

Appendix C-2

Survey Responses – Outside 5km of FEP/WSF

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1	2021-11-08 15:25:09	No	B3H1P7	Yes	Yes		
2	2021-12-05 09:24:23	Yes	B3T0I6	Yes	Yes	No	
3	2021-12-04 14:41:55	No	B3T1R9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
4	2021-12-04 14:23:40	No	B3T1z8	Yes	Yes	No	
7	2021-12-04 11:44:01	No	B3L4B2	Yes	No		
12	2021-12-03 22:10:00	No	B3Z2B3	Yes	No		
13	2021-12-03 22:23:07	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
14	2021-12-03 20:49:08	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	No		
15	2021-12-03 21:32:54	Yes	B3T2E6	Yes	No	No	
16	2021-12-03 21:32:46	Yes	B3t2I7	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
17	2021-11-11 16:41:08	No	B3L3H5	Yes	Yes	No	What will happen if the ICI waste returns to Otter Lake? No provisions have been made for that possibility. The focus should be on prevention not mitigation.
18	2021-12-03 20:43:54	No	b3t2c8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
23	2021-12-03 19:10:31	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	No		
24	2021-12-03 17:39:37	Yes	B3T0E2	Yes	No	I do not have any concerns	
25	2021-12-03 16:56:45	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
26	2021-12-03 16:22:18	No	B3T1S5	Yes	No		
27	2021-12-03 16:14:52	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	Yes	No	Chances of increased animals in area such as bears could put workers in scary and u safe situations
28	2021-11-14 12:30:57	No	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes		
29	2021-11-04 13:54:59	No	B3T2L2	Yes	No		
30	2021-11-25 10:10:19	No	B3T1R7	Yes	No		
35	2021-11-07 19:41:27	No	B3T1W9	Yes	No		
36	2021-12-03 11:26:34	No	B3M0P2	Yes	No		
37	2021-11-13 18:39:52	No	B3T1S5	Yes			
38	2021-12-03 11:25:23	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
40	2021-12-03 10:45:24	Yes	B3T1X5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
41	2021-12-03 10:52:22	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	No		
42	2021-12-03 10:24:39	No	B3t1t9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
44	2021-12-03 10:05:40	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	Increased smell, rodents
46	2021-12-03 09:43:58	No	B3T2A6	Yes	Yes	No	What about the increased traffic at the beginning of Prospect Road
47	2021-12-03 09:26:22	Yes	B3e3e3	Yes	No		
48	2021-12-03 09:40:25	Yes	B3T0J8	Yes	No		
50	2021-12-03 08:45:22	No	B3T2E3	Yes	Yes		
51	2021-12-03 08:41:31	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	No	Because there is insufficient information provided. This description is written with a bias...if there is only an increase of a couple trucks, probably not a concern but if there a few hundred more trucks...a few signs is not going to address safety or accidents.
52	2021-12-03 07:53:12	No	B3t1s2	Yes	Yes		
53	2021-12-03 07:27:56	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	More frequent trips, additional materials lost along the roadways creating littering & unsightliness, affect on wildlife
54	2021-12-03 06:41:04	Yes	B3T1P8	Yes	Yes	No	Current mitigation measures in place are not enforced, I have no faith in new ones.
55	2021-12-03 06:21:35	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes	Yes		
56	2021-12-03 05:59:48	Yes	B3t2e3	Yes	No		
57	2021-11-02 19:50:36	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	Yes		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
58	2021-12-03 05:42:11	No	B3T2A4	Yes	Yes		
59	2021-12-03 05:14:46	No	B3t1x8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
63	2021-12-02 19:14:47	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	No	
64	2021-12-02 16:48:06	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
66	2021-11-21 16:12:36	No	B3t1v3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
67	2021-12-02 13:35:06	Yes	B3t1s9	Yes	Yes		
68	2021-12-02 11:42:23	No	B3T1Z9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
70	2021-12-02 07:57:42	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	
71	2021-12-01 19:13:58	No	B2Y4A6	Yes	No		
72	2021-12-01 18:24:02	No	B3t1v8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
73	2021-12-01 17:36:29	No	B3T1Y8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
75	2021-12-01 16:52:37	No	B3T2G1	Yes	Yes	No	
77	2021-12-01 13:26:19	No	B3T1S7	Yes	Yes		
78	2021-12-01 11:03:14	Yes	B3K1K7	Yes	No		
79	2021-12-01 10:08:17	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	I would need to hear from the workers, as they will have more responsibility to sort.
81	2021-12-01 05:17:42	No	B3T1Y3	Yes	No		
83	2021-11-30 20:30:42	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	
84	2021-11-30 20:19:47	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	No		
85	2021-11-30 19:43:10	No	B3T1Z7	No			
86	2021-11-30 19:28:18	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	Too much traffic
87	2021-11-30 18:50:05	Yes	B3z1l4	Yes	No		
89	2021-11-30 16:53:09	Yes	B3z4b6	No			
91	2021-11-30 16:30:32	No	B3T1T6	Yes	Yes	No	Deviates from original agreement
92	2021-11-30 12:20:04	Yes	B3T0C3	Yes	No		
93	2021-11-30 11:57:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes		
94	2021-11-30 08:30:28	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	No		
95	2021-11-30 06:31:37	Yes	B3t0e5	Yes	No		
96	2021-11-30 05:48:46	Yes	B3r0e2	Yes	No		
97	2021-11-30 05:11:25	Yes	B3T0C5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
98	2021-11-30 04:26:12	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	Increased traffic. Laying off FEP workers and re-hiring them to be traffic spotters???!!!
100	2021-11-06 19:45:28	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	
102	2021-11-29 19:03:16	Yes	B3z3w9	Yes	Yes	No	My concern is the integrity of the people involved that made a decision to break all the promises to the surrounding communities when this system was put in place. Apparently legal contracts and promises mean nothing to HRM council. Shame on everyone who votes to change the terms of the original agreement. Obviously you have no honour.
103	2021-11-29 15:31:26	No	B3M3G9	Yes	Yes	No	Tip face dumping adds significant risk
104	2021-11-29 12:49:54	No	b3t1t8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
105	2021-11-29 12:23:08	No	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
106	2021-11-29 12:47:42	No	B3T2L1	Yes	No		
111	2021-11-29 08:34:14	No		No			
112	2021-11-29 07:44:36	No	B3T1T9	Yes	No		
113	2021-11-28 22:36:07	No	B3T1W1	Yes	No		
114	2021-11-25 09:38:50	No	B3H2Y7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
116	2021-11-28 11:02:50	No	b3t1z4	Yes	No		
118	2021-11-28 08:58:19	No	B3T1W7	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
122	2021-11-27 17:43:03	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
123	2021-11-27 16:20:14	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
124	2021-11-27 16:03:53	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	
125	2021-11-27 10:01:50	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	No		
126	2021-11-27 10:57:24	No	B3a2l8	Yes	No		
127	2021-11-27 10:26:39	No	b2w2a8	Yes	No		
128	2021-11-27 10:09:45	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes		
129	2021-11-27 08:52:29	No	B3z1e2	No			
133	2021-11-26 14:55:51	No	B2W0H6	Yes	Yes		
134	2021-11-26 14:49:36	No	b3m0n1	Yes	No		
135	2021-11-26 14:00:24	No	B3T1W7	Yes	Yes	No	Given that the municipality keeps trying to go back on its agreement, there is doubt that mitigation steps will be taken.
136	2021-11-26 13:20:12	No	B2W0L2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
137	2021-11-26 12:19:20	No	B2Y4J5	Yes	Yes	No	First; you concede that there will be a medium risk. Second. You are dealing with the public who are, at best, occasional users and so unfamiliar and inexperienced so risk levels are higher especially for older people.
138	2021-11-26 10:16:40	No	B2Y3M9	Yes	Yes		
139	2021-11-26 09:18:44	No	B3T2A5	Yes	No		
140	2021-11-26 07:46:03	No	b3a4H4	Yes	No		
141	2021-11-26 06:44:20	No	B4A4J8	No			
142	2021-11-26 05:29:08	No	0	Yes	Yes	Yes	
143	2021-11-26 04:10:09	No	B3P2S4	Yes	No		
144	2021-11-25 19:23:32	No	b3k1r3	Yes	No		
145	2021-11-25 22:39:53	No	B3B1W2	Yes	No		
146	2021-11-25 22:23:40	Yes	B3t2h6	Yes	Yes	No	
147	2021-11-25 22:05:39	No	B2Y1B3	Yes	No		
148	2021-11-25 22:18:49	No	B2x2e5	No			
149	2021-11-25 21:37:53	No	B3M1V1	Yes	No		
150	2021-11-25 21:37:15	No	B3Z1H4	Yes	Yes		
151	2021-11-25 21:18:21	No	B3Z1V9	Yes	No		
152	2021-11-25 21:23:33	No	B3m3b6	No			
153	2021-11-25 17:57:49	Yes	B3T1T3	Yes	No		
154	2021-11-25 18:08:41	Yes	B3T1B6	Yes	No		
155	2021-11-25 18:02:31	No	B3H4B2	Yes	No		
158	2021-11-25 16:58:07	No	B4b1n7	Yes	No		
159	2021-11-25 16:54:01	No	B2V2J9	No			
160	2021-11-25 15:58:11	No	b3n3j2	No			
162	2021-11-25 14:24:02	No	B3Z1H1	Yes	No		
163	2021-11-25 13:41:47	No	B3z3v7	Yes	No		
164	2021-11-25 13:13:06	Yes	b3T2E6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
165	2021-11-25 11:09:27	No	B2X2H6	Yes	Yes	No	These "mitigations" are administrative controls, the second-least-effective in the hierarchy of risk management. These staff job is to collect garbage; they want to be in-and-out ASAP, so their adherence to these controls will be weak. Also, the description is purposefully misleading - it's actually a traffic increase of 50% (17-20 becomes 25-30). So it is 50% increase in risk.
166	2021-11-25 11:51:38	No	B3Z1L3	Yes	No		
167	2021-11-25 11:56:50	No	B3L3H3	Yes	No		
171	2021-11-25 10:09:06	Yes	B3M3K4	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
173	2021-11-25 09:10:29	Yes	B3T155	Yes	No		
174	2021-11-25 09:25:03	Yes	B3t1s8	Yes	No		
175	2021-11-24 11:06:59	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
176	2021-11-05 17:13:07	No		Yes	Yes		
177	2021-11-25 08:59:48	No	B4B1M7	Yes	Yes	No	Attracting rodent could expose workers to diseases
178	2021-11-25 07:53:11	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
179	2021-11-25 08:41:02	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	No		
180	2021-11-10 11:11:55	Yes	B3T0B4	Yes	No		
182	2021-11-25 07:32:55	No	B3Z1K3	Yes	Yes	No	Biohazard concerns
183	2021-11-25 07:15:20	No	B4A1J6	Yes	No		
184	2021-11-25 07:12:12	No	B3T1Z2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
186	2021-11-25 07:00:57	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	No		
188	2021-11-25 06:38:15	No	B3L1J9	Yes	Yes		
189	2021-11-25 06:37:18	No	B3Z2N2	No			
190	2021-11-25 05:43:05	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	No		
191	2021-11-25 05:43:56	No	B2W2K3	Yes	No		
193	2021-11-24 21:10:46	No	B3Z1M2	Yes	No		
194	2021-11-24 18:22:24	Yes	B3T0C2	Yes	No		
195	2021-11-24 18:31:49	No	B3t1v1	Yes	No		
196	2021-11-17 18:34:09	No	B3T1v1	Yes	No		
197	2021-11-24 16:23:03	No	B3T1R3	Yes	No		
199	2021-11-24 12:20:19	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	One way in one way out figure it out. No more pressure on the traffic out here. The province put nothing out in the area for us but garbage.
200	2021-11-24 07:49:10	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
202	2021-11-24 09:29:56	No	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
204	2021-11-05 20:14:01	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
205	2021-11-24 08:12:11	Yes	B3T1W6	Yes	No		
207	2021-11-24 07:19:53	No	B3Z1L4	Yes	No		
208	2021-11-24 06:47:37	Yes	B3T2a5	Yes	No		
209	2021-11-24 06:17:21	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	Dangerous goods and hazardous waste WILL be sent to the land fill without ever being found and removed
212	2021-11-16 20:30:04	No	B3m4k9	Yes	No		
213	2021-11-23 15:04:58	No	B3T2L6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
214	2021-11-23 17:13:18	No	B3T1X5	Yes	No		
215	2021-11-23 16:32:43	No	B3Z1L7	Yes	No		
220	2021-11-23 14:41:29	Yes	B3t1s6	Yes	Yes	No	Honor the contract!
222	2021-11-14 09:01:40	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill. Keep FEP/WSF active.
223	2021-11-23 12:35:06	Yes	B3Z0K9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
225	2021-11-05 18:01:51	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	No	It works great just the way it is.
226	2021-11-23 11:34:32	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	No		
227	2021-11-23 11:53:20	No	B3T2B2	Yes	Yes	No	
228	2021-11-23 10:59:54	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes		
229	2021-11-23 11:00:22	No	B3T1X4	Yes			
230	2021-11-05 06:42:04	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	No		
231	2021-11-23 09:12:02	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	This was not the original agreement
232	2021-11-23 07:54:02	No	B4g1g3	Yes	No		
233	2021-11-23 08:49:27	No	B3t2c9	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
234	2021-11-23 08:34:21	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes		
235	2021-11-15 06:30:45	No	B3T2H2	Yes	Yes	No	There is still an increase in vehicle trips a day resulting in additional noise and pollution
238	2021-11-22 17:35:24	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	It's difficult to believe that areas of concern have not been identified given the impact that it will have on the community.
240	2021-11-22 16:33:36	Yes	B3t0b2	Yes	Yes	No	
242	2021-11-22 15:15:31	No	B4E2R3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
243	2021-11-22 09:15:10	No		Yes	Yes	No	
244	2021-11-22 15:09:14	No	B3T2B5	No			
247	2021-11-22 14:29:02	Yes	B3N3J3	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
248	2021-11-22 13:25:02	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes		
249	2021-11-17 07:19:08	No		Yes	No		
250	2021-11-22 11:58:25	No	b3t1z1	Yes	No		
251	2021-11-22 10:57:01	No	B3T1X2	Yes	No		
253	2021-11-22 08:56:13	No	B3T1V8	Yes	No		
254	2021-11-22 09:11:43	No	B3t1w3	Yes	No		
255	2021-11-04 16:24:30	No		Yes	No		
257	2021-11-08 07:34:24	No		Yes	No		
258	2021-11-22 07:46:11	No		Yes	Yes	Yes	
259	2021-11-21 19:13:42	No	B3T1Y6	Yes	Yes	No	residential waste is not sorted correctly by many, and lack of present protocols and mechanisms to ensure proper disposal will affect the land and all the people in the closer and farther local vicinities
260	2021-11-21 21:35:36	Yes	B3T2H7	Yes	No		
263	2021-11-21 20:04:16	No	B3T2B3	Yes	No		
264	2021-11-21 20:02:01	No	b3n1t3	No			
265	2021-11-21 19:28:10	No	B3Z3W8	Yes	No		
266	2021-11-21 10:54:40	No	B3T0B5	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
267	2021-11-21 09:15:00	No	B3T1Z8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
268	2021-11-21 08:38:26	Yes	B3Z1M9	Yes	No		
269	2021-11-21 08:34:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	
270	2021-11-21 08:08:50	No	B3T1Y6	Yes	Yes		
272	2021-11-21 06:37:30	Yes	B3t1s6				
275	2021-11-20 22:19:47	No	B3Z1C7	Yes	Yes	No	Traffic spotters, provided during peak periods only, will not mitigate the risk of potential harm to other employees. If extra staff (traffic spotters) will be employed to mitigate this risk, why bother? Doesn't sound like it will be saving the taxpayers any money, and if it does, it will be at the cost of employee safety.
276	2021-11-20 22:13:14	No	B3t2b8	Yes	Yes		
277	2021-11-20 21:39:54	No	B3T2C9	Yes	No		
278	2021-11-20 20:55:18	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	No		
279	2021-11-20 18:20:13	No	B3T2L1	Yes	No		
281	2021-11-20 14:33:02	No	B3T1X2	Yes	No		
284	2021-11-20 13:01:56	No	B3T1T8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
285	2021-11-20 09:52:20	No	b3t2a4	Yes	No		
287	2021-11-20 06:22:00	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	No		
288	2021-11-20 05:22:39	Yes	B3T2L4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
289	2021-11-19 22:45:53	No	B3t1w5	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
292	2021-11-19 19:55:05	Yes	B3t1a5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
293	2021-11-19 20:00:21	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes			
297	2021-11-19 17:40:58	Yes	B3t2l6	Yes	No		
300	2021-11-19 16:24:10	Yes	B3t2e8	Yes	No		
301	2021-11-19 15:30:49	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes	No		
302	2021-11-19 14:41:19	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
304	2021-11-19 13:53:50	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes			
306	2021-11-19 13:17:35	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	Not too sure that these will address the problem properly.
307	2021-11-19 12:52:56	Yes	B3T1S8	Yes	No		
309	2021-11-19 13:13:25	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes	No	The toxic conditions the employee will be forced to work in with decaying food placed straight into the landfill untreated and the increase infestation of rats among other animals
310	2021-11-19 12:09:54	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
312	2021-11-19 11:21:59	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
313	2021-11-19 12:01:38	Yes	B3tDe2	Yes	No		
314	2021-11-19 11:37:55	Yes	B3T0B3	Yes	Yes	No	
315	2021-11-19 11:40:51	No	b4e3j4	No			
316	2021-11-19 11:05:54	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	No		
317	2021-11-19 10:51:58	No	B3t2a4	Yes	Yes	No	There is no guarantee that these rules will be enforced or changed. You cannot mix two different concerns into one category...worker concerns are different then traffic concerns. Bottom line-you are reneing a contract made by HRM years ago!
328	2021-11-19 09:26:04	No	B3t1s4	Yes	No		
329	2021-11-19 09:23:53	No	B3T1T7	Yes	No		
330	2021-11-19 09:18:34	No	B3T2E3	No			
331	2021-11-03 14:14:39	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	
332	2021-11-19 09:07:23	No	B3z3x7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
336	2021-11-19 06:57:40	No	B3t1s7	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
340	2021-11-16 06:28:52	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
342	2021-11-18 13:01:08	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	No		
343	2021-11-06 11:19:16	Yes	B3T1S3	Yes	Yes		
344	2021-11-18 08:13:25	No	B3Z1S1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
348	2021-11-17 22:58:07	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
349	2021-11-17 22:09:54	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	Yes		
350	2021-11-17 21:58:13	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	Yes		
351	2021-11-17 20:45:05	No	B3T1V4				
352	2021-11-17 16:59:54	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	No	It still represent an estimated 33% increase of vehicular traffic to the landfill disposal area.
353	2021-11-17 18:51:05	No	B3T1R7	Yes	No		
354	2021-11-17 18:35:15	Yes	B3T1T1	Yes	No		
356	2021-11-17 17:37:03	Yes	B3T1Z1	Yes	No		
358	2021-11-17 17:32:46	No	B3t1p9	Yes	No		
359	2021-11-17 16:50:01	No	B3t1z5	Yes	No		
361	2021-11-17 08:21:13	No	B3T0J9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
362	2021-11-17 16:12:46	Yes	B3T1Z5	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
364	2021-11-17 14:48:48	No	B3T1Z7	Yes	Yes	No	Deactivation of the FEP/WSF could have unforeseen impact on worker and resident safety including but not limited to increased animal/traffic collisions, increased animal activity in the area, increased bird activity and related diseases.
366	2021-11-17 14:03:17	No	B3T1S3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
368	2021-11-17 12:56:04	No	B3T2A3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
369	2021-11-17 12:39:30	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes	No		
372	2021-11-17 10:06:34	Yes	B3T2B4	Yes	No		
373	2021-11-17 09:17:34	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	The mitigation measures indicated do not come with opposing, possible issues related to the changes. This 'survey' does not provide a responder with the information required to make an informed decision. This is not a survey - it's propaganda
374	2021-11-17 08:29:21	No	B3L2X9	Yes	Yes		
375	2021-11-17 07:34:30	No	B3Z1L7	Yes	No		
378	2021-11-17 02:20:42	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	No		
379	2021-11-16 22:06:39	No	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
380	2021-11-16 21:10:18	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	No		
381	2021-11-16 21:18:47	No	B3t1t8	Yes	Yes		
382	2021-11-16 21:09:04	No	B4E0H1	Yes	Yes		
384	2021-11-06 11:35:33	No	B3T1S6	Yes	No		
387	2021-11-16 20:28:47	No	B3T2A8	Yes	No		
388	2021-11-16 20:08:46	No	b4e0c5	Yes	Yes	No	
389	2021-11-16 19:53:05	Yes	b3t1m6	Yes	No		
391	2021-11-16 19:17:01	Yes	B3t1v6	Yes	Yes	No	How about relocation all together
392	2021-11-16 17:11:00	Yes	B3t1z6	Yes	No		
394	2021-11-16 16:57:48	Yes	B3T1K6	Yes	No		
395	2021-11-16 16:47:46	No	B3T1V3	Yes	No		
396	2021-11-16 16:26:13	No	b3r1y2	Yes	Yes	No	leave it as it is. don't give in to corporations trying to increase profit margins. Start taxing the rich even more.
397	2021-11-16 16:09:29	No	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
398	2021-11-16 14:32:29	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	No		
402	2021-11-16 13:43:14	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	
403	2021-11-16 13:20:21	No	B3T2A5	Yes	Yes	No	We already see so much trash and debris falling from trucks there and the other site. More trucks equals more debris. No one takes responsibility when I get a flat tire from nails or glass falling from a truck!
404	2021-11-16 13:04:35	Yes	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
405	2021-11-16 13:08:17	No	B3.2g6	Yes	No		
407	2021-11-16 12:16:47	Yes	B3T1R9	Yes	Yes	No	poor management at the site will jeopardize worker safety regardless of the public policies, the heavy trucks spill enormous amounts of garbage and debris on the prospect rd, slows traffic, ruins the roads, and leaves lines of foul smelling decaying liquid on the public roads as they travel, this will only go from bad to worse with this change.
409	2021-11-16 12:01:52	No	B3T2A3	Yes	No	No	
410	2021-11-16 11:28:33	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	No	Additional traffic on the Prospect Rd
411	2021-11-15 19:57:12	No	B3T1Z6	Yes	Yes		
412	2021-11-16 11:13:28	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
413	2021-11-16 10:54:56	No	B3T1R2	Yes	Yes	No	Who can determine peak traffic periods? May not have spotters when needed.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
418	2021-11-08 04:39:24	No	B3t2b9	No			
419	2021-11-16 09:20:25	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	
420	2021-11-16 09:14:25	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	
421	2021-11-16 08:16:26	No	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	No	
422	2021-11-16 08:02:51	No	B3T2A6	Yes	Yes	No	I am unsure how this will decrease traffic on Prospect Road. Also, there are always debris and garbage on the road and flying off trucks. It is very unsafe and costly for drivers. The sides of the road are always a mess.
423	2021-11-16 07:03:38	No	B3T1S3	Yes	No		
425	2021-11-16 07:17:23	No	B4B0V3	No			
427	2021-11-16 06:40:08	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	No		
428	2021-11-16 05:15:53	No	B3t1r8	Yes	Yes	No	They would need proper training as well, not just signage
429	2021-11-16 03:50:44	No	b3t1r2	Yes	Yes		
432	2021-11-15 22:24:58	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes			
433	2021-11-15 21:42:51	No	B3z1k6	Yes	Yes	No	Increased trips means more environmental impacts due to increased carbon footprint.
436	2021-11-15 21:31:56	No	b3t0h5	Yes			
439	2021-11-15 20:24:57	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
442	2021-11-15 20:01:15	Yes	B3j1b6	Yes	No		
443	2021-11-15 19:21:38	No	B3T1R4	Yes	No		
444	2021-11-15 19:19:56	Yes	B3T0C5	Yes	No		
445	2021-11-15 19:23:32	No	B3M2Z8	Yes	No		
447	2021-11-15 19:20:01	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes	No		
448	2021-11-15 19:18:50	Yes	B3T0C5	Yes			
449	2021-11-15 18:52:28	No	B3T1S7	Yes	Yes	No	Daily litter collection on the highway results in people working in dangerous conditions.
451	2021-11-15 19:07:14	Yes	B3T0C3	Yes	Yes	No	
453	2021-11-09 17:16:13	Yes	B3T1S4	Yes	No		
454	2021-11-15 18:12:10	No	B3T2E4	Yes	No		
456	2021-11-15 18:00:43	No	B3m1b3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
457	2021-11-15 17:07:16	No	B3T1S7	Yes	No		
459	2021-11-15 16:33:39	Yes	b3t1n1	Yes	Yes		
460	2021-11-15 15:34:49	Yes	B3T1V2	Yes	No		
463	2021-11-04 13:14:52	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes	Yes		
467	2021-11-15 15:05:11	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	No		
468	2021-11-15 14:28:53	No	B3T1T5	Yes	Yes	No	You're stating that there will indeed be an increase of traffic. This concerns me as we travel on the Prospect road daily and the amount of garbage and waste and hazardous materials that falls off of trucks and onto the road is awful.
469	2021-11-15 14:37:16	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
470	2021-11-04 13:58:09	No	B3t2l1	Yes	Yes	No	
472	2021-11-15 13:06:30	Yes	B3T1P4	Yes	Yes		
474	2021-11-15 11:55:02	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
475	2021-11-15 12:15:51	Yes	B3t1f5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
476	2021-11-15 11:06:37	Yes	B3T1A2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
477	2021-11-15 11:09:54	No	B3t1z9	Yes	Yes	No	Med risk to high
478	2021-11-02 15:44:15	No	B3t1z9	Yes	Yes	No	Med risk too high
479	2021-11-15 10:58:42	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	This increased traffic mitigation is moot. It does nothing to address the traffic to/from the landfill.
482	2021-11-15 10:47:45	No	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	No	Increased traffic

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
483	2021-11-15 10:38:04	No	B3t1z9	Yes	Yes	No	Increase traffic
487	2021-11-15 08:33:12	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	No		
488	2021-11-15 09:26:01	No		Yes	Yes		
490	2021-11-15 08:50:46	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	No		
491	2021-11-15 08:35:24	No	B4A0G5	Yes	No		
492	2021-11-15 08:16:23	No	B3T1W2	Yes	No		
493	2021-11-15 08:06:45	Yes	B3P2S2	Yes	Yes	No	Keep the FEP/WSF. Do not deactivate.
494	2021-11-15 07:51:27	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
496	2021-11-15 06:25:23	No	b2y2k5	Yes	Yes	No	FEP deactivation is a very BAD idea
497	2021-11-15 06:30:42	No	b3t1s6	Yes			
498	2021-11-15 06:04:16	No	B4A4G9	Yes			
499	2021-11-15 06:00:23	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	No		
501	2021-11-15 05:17:48	No	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes		
502	2021-11-15 04:23:45	No	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes		
503	2021-11-14 22:48:09	Yes	B3T1T3	Yes	No		
504	2021-11-14 23:28:13	Yes	B3t1s4	Yes	No		
505	2021-11-14 21:41:33	No	B3L4E9	Yes	No		
507	2021-11-14 21:08:08	Yes	B3Z2R2	Yes	Yes		
508	2021-11-14 18:53:01	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
509	2021-11-14 19:24:07	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	No		
510	2021-11-14 19:06:31	No	B3z2J2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
511	2021-11-14 18:40:50	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	Yes	No	Increased loads will create additional heavy traffic near the interchange which is currently growing
512	2021-11-14 18:24:35	No	B3z1c5	Yes	Yes		
513	2021-11-14 17:48:16	Yes	B3t1C4	Yes	Yes	No	
514	2021-11-14 17:24:20	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
515	2021-11-14 17:13:33	No	B3t1z1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
517	2021-11-14 17:03:48	No	B3T2B7	Yes	No		
518	2021-11-14 16:51:46	No	B3t2c8	Yes	No		
519	2021-11-14 16:42:02	No	B3T2a3	Yes	No		
520	2021-11-14 16:19:13	Yes	B3t1w1	Yes	Yes	No	Garbage flying out of these vehicles on the sides and middle of our roads
521	2021-11-14 16:13:51	No	B3t2h8	Yes	No		
522	2021-11-14 15:41:54	No	B3Z1X7	Yes	No		
523	2021-11-06 17:49:33	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	Yes		
524	2021-11-14 15:16:45	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
525	2021-11-14 14:28:12	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes	No		
526	2021-11-14 14:13:29	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	
527	2021-11-14 12:59:52	No	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	
529	2021-11-14 12:32:35	Yes	B3T1T7	Yes	Yes	No	Traffic volume is still increased.
530	2021-11-14 12:47:29	No	B3t2a8	No			
531	2021-11-14 12:46:53	Yes	b3t1a9	Yes	No		
532	2021-11-14 12:15:28	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	No	I do not have any concerns	
533	2021-11-14 12:23:24	Yes	b3t1a5	Yes	No		
534	2021-11-14 11:50:10	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	No		
535	2021-11-14 10:54:56	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	No		
537	2021-11-14 10:24:51	No	B3A2Y6	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
538	2021-11-08 06:46:30	Yes	b3t3c9	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
539	2021-11-14 09:41:02	No	B3t2b4	Yes	Yes	No	
540	2021-11-14 09:23:15	No	B3L1W1	Yes	No		
541	2021-11-14 09:02:04	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	Organic material will draw MORE RATS than we have now. We have a major problem with RATS NOW
542	2021-11-14 09:01:11	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
543	2021-11-14 07:47:50	Yes	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
544	2021-11-14 07:20:45	Yes	B3T1A7	Yes	Yes	No	Statement states "this is how it's done now" but does not say how it will be done after this deactivate
545	2021-11-13 19:53:48	Yes	B3T0B6	Yes	Yes	No	Pollution in the air, traffic, lowering our house values, worried about the water tables
548	2021-11-14 07:04:09	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	
549	2021-11-07 15:48:37	Yes	B3t1w1	Yes	Yes	No	U
550	2021-11-14 06:41:46	Yes	B3t0b3	Yes	No		
551	2021-11-14 06:26:48	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
552	2021-11-14 06:22:05	No	B3k1x3	Yes			
553	2021-11-14 04:19:11	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	No	
554	2021-11-14 04:17:16	No	B4B0Y6	Yes	No		
555	2021-11-14 02:03:54	Yes	B3t0J3	Yes	No		
556	2021-11-14 01:17:35	Yes	B3T2J3	Yes	No		
557	2021-11-14 01:10:03	Yes	B3t1k6	Yes	No		
558	2021-11-13 23:39:07	No	B3Z0E7	Yes	Yes		
561	2021-11-13 22:03:06	No	B3T2B4	Yes	Yes		
562	2021-11-13 21:58:46	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	No		
563	2021-11-13 21:07:26	No	B3T1V8	Yes	No		
565	2021-11-13 20:50:36	No	B3N1E3				
568	2021-11-13 19:12:12	Yes	B3R1R4	Yes	No		
569	2021-11-13 18:36:52	No	B3T1W7	Yes	No		
570	2021-11-13 18:56:22	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes		
573	2021-11-13 09:09:40	No		Yes	Yes	No	
576	2021-11-13 16:34:10	No	B3T1W2	Yes	No		
577	2021-11-13 16:15:03	Yes	B3Z1E8	Yes	No		
578	2021-11-13 16:12:11	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
579	2021-11-13 14:52:37	Yes	B3T1W9	Yes	No		
580	2021-11-13 15:28:04	No	B3T1S6	Yes	No		
581	2021-11-13 15:35:18	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	No		
582	2021-11-13 15:09:51	Yes	B3T0C7	Yes	Yes	No	
584	2021-11-13 14:20:55	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes	Yes		
585	2021-11-13 14:05:39	No	B3t1s3	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
586	2021-11-13 13:03:09	Yes	B3t1r7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
587	2021-11-13 12:49:35	Yes	B3t2h9	Yes	Yes	No	The proposed mitigation methods are insufficient, and the structure of this survey express clear bias.
588	2021-11-13 12:12:35	Yes	B3T1W2	Yes	Yes	No	
589	2021-11-13 12:34:33	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	No	
590	2021-11-13 12:34:26	No	B3T1R2	Yes	No		
591	2021-11-12 10:59:07	No	B3T1W3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
592	2021-11-13 11:45:10	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	More trucks = more garbage along the side of the highway. It's a mess often because trash is lost off trucks
594	2021-11-13 11:35:51	No	B3T2A6	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
595	2021-11-13 11:23:25	No	B3t1s1	Yes	Yes	No	We need the pep working as it is supposed to so we don't have too many birds or animals attracted and getting diseases and we don't want the smells from organic waste worse. We need this equipment to stay in place. It is what we all agreed to
596	2021-11-13 11:27:25	No	B3T1W9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
598	2021-11-13 09:13:42	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	Yes	No	Traffic in this area is already expanding rapidly due to residential housing growth; even a small increase in traffic - especially during rush hour - will just make it worse. At least addition of Traffic Kights would possibly help
599	2021-11-13 10:52:22	Yes	B3t1v8	Yes			
601	2021-11-04 08:30:06	No	B3t1y6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
602	2021-11-13 09:10:48	No	B3T1R6	Yes	No		
603	2021-11-13 08:49:57	Yes	B3TOH6	Yes			
605	2021-11-08 10:53:05	Yes	B3T1T8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
607	2021-11-13 08:42:24	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	No	
608	2021-11-13 08:43:32	No	B3T1V8	Yes	No		
609	2021-11-13 08:37:22	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
613	2021-11-13 08:01:46	No	B3T1T3	Yes	Yes		
614	2021-11-13 07:52:06	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	Yes	No	A promise was made to keep this facility as originally intended. A promise broken in the name of money is repugnant. Also, if this doesn't work, it will cost twice as much as was saved to restore it.
615	2021-11-13 07:29:43	Yes	B3Z0N9	Yes	Yes	No	Extra trips waste time and money. More traffic on roads.
616	2021-11-12 10:15:32	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
617	2021-11-13 07:32:01	No	B3t1z3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
618	2021-11-13 07:12:01	No	B3Z3A5	Yes	No		
619	2021-11-13 07:22:29	No	B3t1y5	Yes	Yes		
620	2021-11-13 07:24:17	No	B3T1T8	Yes	No		
621	2021-11-13 06:57:29	No	B3T2B6	Yes	No		
622	2021-11-13 06:34:34	No	B0J2C0	Yes	No		
623	2021-11-13 06:11:22	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	
624	2021-11-13 05:23:59	No	B3T1Y1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
625	2021-11-13 04:38:15	No	B3n1g2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
628	2021-11-12 22:32:48	No	B3T1V2	Yes	No		
630	2021-11-12 21:34:52	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	No		
632	2021-11-12 21:45:13	No	B3t2b8	Yes	Yes		
635	2021-11-12 20:55:35	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	More waste going to the landfill, that is not sorted by the FEP/WSF, allows for probable increase in issues from organics being buried in the landfill.
636	2021-11-12 20:53:35	Yes	B3t0e1	Yes	No		
637	2021-11-12 20:31:03	No	B3L3W3	Yes	No		
638	2021-11-12 20:33:57	No	B3Z0N9	Yes	Yes		
639	2021-11-12 19:53:27	Yes	B3T2L1	Yes	No		
640	2021-11-12 20:10:10	Yes	B3T2G2	Yes	Yes	No	The workers may be exposed to increase off gassing from the organics that are put in the landfill since they are not separated from the garbage.
641	2021-11-12 19:54:56	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
642	2021-11-12 19:51:56	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	No		
644	2021-11-04 15:18:44	No	B3T1T3	Yes	Yes		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
645	2021-11-12 19:18:14	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	Organic should not be put in the landfill
647	2021-11-12 18:58:13	No	B3T1X7	Yes	No		
648	2021-11-12 19:00:02	No	B3R1Z6	Yes	Yes		
649	2021-11-12 18:45:16	No	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	No	
650	2021-11-12 18:45:15	No	B3t2a6	Yes	No		
651	2021-11-12 18:39:00	No	B3T2B2	No			
653	2021-11-09 15:44:21	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	No	When the landfill was started we could smell the garbage and we already are overrun by rodents in the bit area, stopping the sorting facility could result in more. We have a state of the art facility and we are proud of it, it should remain the same. You can see how many households put out an atrocious amount of garbage and no recycling which tells us that there are lots of unacceptable products
654	2021-11-12 17:58:39	No	B3t1s5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
655	2021-11-12 18:04:48	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	No		
658	2021-11-12 17:20:52	Yes	B3t1r8	Yes	Yes		
660	2021-11-12 16:54:50	Yes	B3t1t4	Yes	No		
661	2021-11-12 16:50:26	No	B3t1p7	Yes	No		
662	2021-11-12 16:11:02	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes	Yes	No	We do not need any extra traffic in this area. We have enough large vehicles in the Brunello Estates area with construction on going.
663	2021-11-12 16:22:48	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes	No	
664	2021-11-05 11:03:02	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	No	I do not have any concerns	
665	2021-11-12 16:13:09	Yes	B3t1a2	Yes	Yes	No	
666	2021-11-12 15:58:30	No	B3T2B9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
668	2021-11-12 14:45:10	Yes	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	No	Any garbage going into the ground should not be allowed.
669	2021-11-12 14:09:19	No	B3Z0C8	Yes	Yes	No	Trucks are now going directly to the landfillwhich is effectively making Otter Lake a garbage dump and no longer a landfill monitors what goes into the cells. What follows after that is - rodents, odor, other things that the community when they accepted the location of the LANDFILL demanded they were protected against.
673	2021-11-12 13:57:18	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
675	2021-11-12 13:46:14	No					
677	2021-11-12 13:27:53	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	No		
678	2021-11-12 13:08:59	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	No	That's too many more trucks and much more waste. It already makes the community smell bad and it will also attract more scavenger birds like seagulls to the area.
679	2021-11-12 13:23:55	No					
685	2021-11-12 11:19:12	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	Yes	No	
687	2021-11-04 13:53:39	No	B3T1T9	Yes	No	I do not have any concerns	
688	2021-11-12 11:55:18	No	B3T1B8	Yes	No		
689	2021-11-12 11:21:27	Yes	B3t0k4	Yes	No		
690	2021-11-12 11:36:23	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	No		
692	2021-11-12 11:31:12	Yes	B3t0c4	Yes	No		
693	2021-11-12 11:32:34	Yes	B3t2g2	Yes	Yes	No	
695	2021-11-09 14:58:14	No	B3M3Y5	Yes	Yes	No	See [REDACTED] letter of November 8, 2021
696	2021-11-12 10:56:26	No	B3T2B3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
698	2021-11-12 10:45:38	No	B3t2b4	Yes	No		
699	2021-11-05 07:51:24	No		Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
701	2021-11-12 10:20:55	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	Still even more big truck traffic on our already busy Prospect Road
702	2021-11-12 10:16:59	Yes	B3t1a9	Yes	No		
704	2021-11-12 09:41:35	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	No		
707	2021-11-12 09:44:13	Yes	B3T0B6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
708	2021-11-12 09:47:42	No	B3T1R7	Yes	No		
709	2021-11-12 09:43:26	No	B3t0b2	Yes	Yes	No	
712	2021-11-12 09:41:22	No	B3T1X5	Yes	No		
713	2021-11-12 09:40:17	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	No		
715	2021-11-12 09:10:33	No	B3T1W6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
717	2021-11-12 09:26:35	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
719	2021-11-12 09:06:45	Yes	B3t2a2	Yes	Yes	No	
720	2021-11-12 08:33:02	No	B3Z3X1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
721	2021-11-12 08:18:26	No	B3T2A8	Yes	No		
723	2021-11-12 08:36:35	Yes	B3T2K5	Yes	No		
725	2021-11-12 08:27:32	Yes	B3T0C2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
726	2021-11-12 08:20:28	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	No		
728	2021-11-12 08:30:51	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes	No		
730	2021-11-12 08:11:33	No	B3t2a8	Yes	Yes	No	
732	2021-11-12 07:03:31	Yes	B3t1t5	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
733	2021-11-11 22:26:20	Yes	B3t1c3	Yes	No		
735	2021-11-11 19:08:24	Yes	B3t1k2	Yes	No		
736	2021-11-11 18:30:49	No	B3T1V2	Yes	No		
739	2021-11-11 18:00:07	No	B3T1V2	Yes	No		
741	2021-11-11 18:03:23	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes	No		
743	2021-11-11 16:40:58	Yes	B3T1R9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
745	2021-11-11 15:03:16	No	B3T2A4	Yes	No		
746	2021-11-11 13:12:54	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
747	2021-11-11 15:23:58	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes		
748	2021-11-11 14:02:48	No	B3t1t8	Yes	No		
749	2021-11-11 13:16:05	No	B3T2J7	Yes	No		
751	2021-11-11 13:09:16	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	No		
752	2021-11-11 13:01:23	No	B3T1W3	Yes	Yes	No	with increase in traffic on the road it also increases the amount of garbage on the roads in my community.
753	2021-11-11 12:02:06	Yes	B3t2b2	Yes	Yes	No	Bad for the environment and was not the when you sold us this fiasco
757	2021-11-11 11:49:47	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	No		
759	2021-11-11 11:38:35	Yes	B3T1C3	No			
760	2021-11-11 11:30:14	No	B3T1S1	Yes	No		
761	2021-11-11 11:00:27	Yes	B3T1C3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
762	2021-11-11 10:05:48	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	There will inevitably be more waste going to this area.
763	2021-11-11 08:28:05	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	An increase of 8-10 vehicles per day is on the conservative end of estimation, what research is backing these numbers up?
767	2021-11-11 09:11:03	No	B3t2a1	Yes	Yes	No	Can there be a separate or passing lane put in for these turning vehicles not to obstruct traffic while driving on the Prospect Rd?
768	2021-11-11 08:46:55	No	B3t1p7	Yes	No		
769	2021-11-11 08:06:36	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	No		
770	2021-11-11 08:17:33	Yes	B3t1r9	Yes	Yes	No	HRM should maintain its original agreement and not renig.
771	2021-11-11 08:21:43	No	B0J2C0	Yes	No		
772	2021-11-11 08:18:25	Yes	B3M0J9	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
773	2021-11-11 07:42:15	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
774	2021-11-11 07:03:07	No	B3T1V4	Yes	No		
775	2021-11-10 14:02:24	Yes	B3t0e4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
776	2021-11-11 07:05:09	Yes	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	More traffic means the greater chance of MVA
777	2021-11-11 06:46:00	No	B3tly7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
778	2021-11-11 06:28:21	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	There will be more traffic and if everything is not sorted than more debris will be on the roads
779	2021-11-11 06:43:21	No	B3t2b6	Yes	No		
780	2021-11-11 06:39:32	No	B3T1V3	Yes	No		
781	2021-11-11 06:39:09	Yes	B3T1v3	Yes	No		
782	2021-11-11 06:38:52	Yes	B3T0C7	Yes	No		
783	2021-11-11 06:31:00	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
784	2021-11-11 05:59:37	No	B3Z1L6	Yes	No		
785	2021-11-11 06:00:30	No	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	
788	2021-11-10 23:24:38	Yes	B3T1W2				
789	2021-11-10 22:36:27	Yes	B3T0C7	Yes			
790	2021-11-10 21:14:36	Yes	B3T1C4	No			
795	2021-11-10 18:39:43	No	B3t1w9	Yes	Yes	No	I see no mitigation factors for when the workers are short staffed.
798	2021-11-10 19:03:32	Yes	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
799	2021-11-10 18:54:45	No	B3V1E5	Yes	Yes	No	What about the jobs of the workers? It sure doesn't sound like those are safe.
800	2021-11-10 18:25:49	Yes	B3T2B1	Yes	No		
801	2021-11-06 14:25:32	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
804	2021-11-10 18:01:15	No	B3T1W9	Yes	No		
805	2021-11-10 16:59:47	No	B3t2b8	Yes	No		
806	2021-11-10 17:02:48	Yes	B3t1w6	Yes	No		
807	2021-11-10 15:00:14	No	B3T1X1	Yes	No		
808	2021-11-10 17:23:49	No	B0N1L0	Yes			
809	2021-11-10 17:14:41	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	Yes	No	Too much increase
810	2021-11-10 17:10:28	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	No		
812	2021-11-10 16:45:10	No		No			
814	2021-11-10 15:52:29	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
815	2021-11-10 15:06:22	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	No		
816	2021-11-10 15:16:00	No	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes		
817	2021-11-10 15:09:41	No	B3T1W6	Yes	No		
818	2021-11-10 14:33:05	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	No		
819	2021-11-10 14:33:26	Yes	B3T2K8	Yes	No		
820	2021-11-10 14:24:27	No	B3T1S6	Yes	No		
823	2021-11-10 13:33:49	No	B0J1T0	Yes	No		
826	2021-11-10 12:33:58	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes	No		
827	2021-11-10 11:29:56	No	B3T1V1	Yes	No		
828	2021-11-10 12:07:40	No	B3T1Z7	Yes	No		
829	2021-11-10 11:47:43	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	No		
830	2021-11-10 11:50:45	No	B3T1S6	Yes	No		
832	2021-11-04 18:40:19	No	B3T1X3	Yes	Yes	No	Anything can happen no matter what safety measures are in place!
833	2021-11-10 10:27:02	No	B3T1S6	Yes	No		
834	2021-11-10 10:36:38	Yes	B3T1K5	Yes	Yes	No	I believe there could be safety issues impacted, traffic increase could be greater than anticipated
835	2021-11-10 10:51:19	Yes	B3t1z5	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
836	2021-11-04 15:05:28	Yes	b3t1r8	Yes			
837	2021-11-10 09:43:11	No	B3T1S5	Yes	No		
838	2021-11-10 10:01:07	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes	No		
839	2021-11-10 09:38:48	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
840	2021-11-10 09:18:17	Yes	B3T0I7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
841	2021-11-10 08:57:45	No	b3t2l1	Yes	Yes	No	Residential waste directly to land fill disposal area is concerning - birds, rats, odor etc. Increased traffic.
843	2021-11-09 10:53:27	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
844	2021-11-10 08:24:45	No	b3t1z2	Yes	No		
847	2021-11-10 08:23:29	No	B3P2J3	No			
848	2021-11-10 08:21:46	Yes	B3Z0N9	Yes	Yes	No	
849	2021-11-10 07:38:55	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
850	2021-11-10 07:44:45	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	I do not believe your mitigation measures will go far enough to address my concerns.
851	2021-11-10 07:51:24	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	No		
852	2021-11-10 07:46:12	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	Honour the agreements with the host communities. Actually, the 29 years is up. Kindly, honour the agreement a start looking for a new host.
853	2021-11-10 07:19:40	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
856	2021-11-10 07:17:17	No	B4C4H4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
857	2021-11-10 07:12:40	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	No		
858	2021-11-10 06:32:23	No	B3t1x2	Yes	No		
861	2021-11-04 10:46:19	Yes	B3t1b8	Yes	Yes	No	
862	2021-11-10 06:39:16	Yes	bek3x4	No			
863	2021-11-10 06:01:29	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	No		
870	2021-11-09 21:16:37	No	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	In the environmental assessment, prepared for City Council before the voted to approve the site, it stated bedrock was fractured, so run off and toxic fluids could drain off the landfill into these fissures and cracks and possibly contaminate the groundwater.
876	2021-11-09 20:32:29	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes	No		
878	2021-11-09 20:21:20	Yes	B3T1S6	No			
879	2021-11-09 19:57:59	Yes	B3T2G2	Yes	Yes	No	Increased traffic at landfill sites without supervision creates a risk for unacceptible access and dumping.
880	2021-11-09 20:07:42	No	B3T1X5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
881	2021-11-09 19:46:50	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe these promises. Promises were broken before and I believe they will be broken again and lives and the environment will pay the price
882	2021-11-09 19:44:51	No	B3T1Y4	Yes	Yes	No	I am worried about smell and rodant issues increasing
883	2021-11-09 19:26:51	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
884	2021-11-09 19:22:19	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	No		
885	2021-11-09 19:33:14	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
887	2021-11-09 19:29:36	No	B3Z4B6	No			
888	2021-11-09 19:17:55	No	B3T2C3	Yes	No		
889	2021-11-09 19:15:21	Yes	B3T2A3	Yes	No		
890	2021-11-08 12:55:59	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	No		
892	2021-11-09 18:48:02	No	B3S0G5	Yes	No		
895	2021-11-09 18:19:35	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	No		
900	2021-11-09 17:14:50	No	B3T1W5	Yes	No	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
901	2021-11-09 17:15:45	Yes	B3t0c8	Yes	No		
905	2021-11-09 16:52:54	No	B3t1r6	Yes	No		
906	2021-11-09 16:41:54	No	B3N2R7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
907	2021-11-09 16:30:43	No	B3T1Z2	Yes	Yes	No	Without a front end processor, I am worried that staff will be subjected to unsafe working conditions due to the increase in gases caused by poorly sorted waste entering the landfill. Also it's going to smell more and I wouldn't want to work in that!
908	2021-11-09 16:42:52	Yes	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	It's a growing area and there is no need for more waste if it can be sorted.
911	2021-11-09 16:09:21	No	B3t1z4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
913	2021-11-09 15:56:25	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	No		
914	2021-11-09 15:44:59	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	Because fuck you thats why
915	2021-11-09 14:47:57	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
917	2021-11-09 15:33:29	No	B3T1Z1	Yes	No		
918	2021-11-09 15:20:00	No	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	No	Increase traffic will continue to pose a risk with the measures.
920	2021-11-09 15:32:45	No	123123	No			
921	2021-11-09 15:16:20	Yes	b3t1n2	Yes	No		
922	2021-11-09 15:17:57	No	B3T1W5	Yes	No		
923	2021-11-09 15:12:54	Yes	B3T0C4	Yes	No		
925	2021-11-09 14:48:21	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
926	2021-11-09 14:50:02	Yes	b3t2j7	Yes	Yes	No	
927	2021-11-09 14:50:10	Yes	B3T0G7	Yes	Yes	No	the smell, wildlife
928	2021-11-07 16:12:09	No	B3T1W9	Yes	No		
932	2021-11-09 13:51:42	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	No		
934	2021-11-03 16:19:08	No	B3I2y8	Yes			
935	2021-11-09 08:42:24	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	That is 10 trips to many as it would be a 40 % increase in traffic
937	2021-11-09 13:43:34	No	B3Z3J2	Yes	No		
938	2021-11-09 13:30:26	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	No		
939	2021-11-09 13:29:52	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
940	2021-11-09 13:29:51	No		Yes			
942	2021-11-09 13:22:57	Yes	B3t1a4	Yes	No		
943	2021-11-02 20:30:22	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
945	2021-11-09 12:58:12	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	No	
947	2021-11-09 12:19:14	No	B3T2B1	Yes	No		
949	2021-11-09 12:26:37	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	Yes	No	
951	2021-11-09 12:02:12	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	Safety protocols are not always followed.
952	2021-11-09 11:59:04	Yes	B3t0c8	Yes	Yes	No	I find it very disrespectful to ask my opinion and then tell me why I am incorrect.
953	2021-11-09 11:57:23	No	B3T1Y1	Yes	Yes	No	
955	2021-11-09 11:52:04	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	don't trust they will be followed strictly
960	2021-11-09 11:29:04	Yes	B3t1k9	Yes	No		
963	2021-11-09 11:28:38	Yes	B3T1V1	Yes			
968	2021-11-09 10:53:05	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
969	2021-11-09 10:51:16	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes	No		
971	2021-11-09 10:53:34	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
972	2021-11-09 10:49:14	Yes	B3t1a4	Yes	No		
974	2021-11-09 09:58:25	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	No		
976	2021-11-09 09:25:11	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	No	Safe Work Environment is Priority
977	2021-11-09 09:23:40	No	B4A2E6	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
979	2021-11-09 08:42:39	No	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
980	2021-11-09 08:01:33	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	Signage is great if people would read and abide by them but as we see on the highways everyday and at the bridge that doesn't happen
981	2021-11-09 08:41:25	No	B3T1Y3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
982	2021-11-09 08:23:25	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
983	2021-11-09 08:09:01	No	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
984	2021-11-09 08:02:52	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	No		
986	2021-11-09 07:12:32	Yes	B3T2K5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
988	2021-11-09 07:25:51	No	B3T1W3	Yes	No		
994	2021-11-09 06:08:21	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	
995	2021-11-09 05:54:57	Yes	B3T2L1	Yes	No		
996	2021-11-09 05:38:15	No	B3T2J7	Yes	Yes	No	
997	2021-11-09 04:57:24	No	B3T1W4	Yes	No		
1001	2021-11-08 22:21:42	No	B3T1S5				
1005	2021-11-08 08:40:32	No	B3t1b4	Yes	No	Yes	
1007	2021-11-08 20:54:32	Yes	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes		
1009	2021-11-08 20:37:11	No	B3T2C9	No			
1012	2021-11-08 19:54:39	No	B3T2J8	Yes	No		
1014	2021-11-04 22:00:27	No	B2T1H7	Yes	No		
1016	2021-11-08 19:50:23	Yes	B3T1R4	No			
1017	2021-11-08 19:34:39	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1018	2021-11-08 19:30:52	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	No	Not what we were promised by HRM
1019	2021-11-08 18:59:19	No	B3z3s9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1020	2021-11-07 15:48:42	No	B3T1Z9	Yes	No		
1021	2021-11-08 18:58:30	Yes	b3t1v1	Yes	No		
1022	2021-11-08 18:26:23	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	Opposed to the removal of the FEP and WSF
1024	2021-11-08 18:30:53	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1026	2021-11-08 18:08:04	No		Yes	No		
1028	2021-11-08 17:55:33	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	Yes		
1029	2021-11-08 17:21:04	No	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	I believe the increased vehicle traffic is dramatically underestimated. As well, it appears traffic spotters will only be provided during peak times even though accidents may well occur outside those peak times.
1034	2021-11-08 17:28:05	No	B3R1R4	Yes	Yes		
1035	2021-11-04 09:30:06	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes	No		
1036	2021-11-08 17:13:22	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	No		
1039	2021-11-08 17:05:47	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1041	2021-11-08 16:47:19	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	No	This would mean increased activity in an area without traffic lights.
1042	2021-11-08 16:47:41	No	B3T1W3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1045	2021-11-08 16:32:35	No	B3Z1Z6	Yes	No		
1046	2021-11-08 16:34:44	No	B3t1z2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1049	2021-11-08 16:19:31	No	B3t1s7	Yes	No		
1050	2021-11-08 16:14:16	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	No		
1051	2021-11-08 15:46:53	Yes	B3t2g5	Yes	No		
1054	2021-11-08 11:54:40	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	No		
1055	2021-11-08 15:31:01	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1056	2021-11-08 14:49:55	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
1058	2021-11-08 14:54:19	No	B3T2B2	Yes	No		
1060	2021-11-08 14:03:38	Yes	B3T1S3	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1061	2021-11-08 14:17:43	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1063	2021-11-08 13:59:50	No	B3T2A2	Yes	Yes	No	Believe that increased traffic will occur and contribute to safety concerns on Prospect Rd
1064	2021-11-08 13:13:47	Yes	B3T1R2	Yes	Yes	No	The route to Peggy's Cove is well travelled two lane road used by both local residents and tourists. Increasing the # of garbage trucks and the ensuing odors is detrimental to those who travel behind them and live in the area.
1065	2021-11-08 13:38:16	No	B3T1Z1	Yes	No		
1066	2021-11-08 13:41:11	No	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1067	2021-11-03 18:18:40	No	B3T0E7	Yes	No		
1069	2021-11-08 12:37:43	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes	No		
1071	2021-11-08 12:17:34	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1075	2021-11-08 12:12:12	Yes	B3t2g8	Yes	Yes	No	Still causes unneeded traffic
1076	2021-11-08 11:56:11	No	B3Z1L6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1077	2021-11-08 11:27:30	Yes	B3t1s5	Yes	No	No	
1080	2021-11-08 09:34:59	No	a1a2b2	Yes	No		
1082	2021-11-08 07:49:18	Yes	B3T1z1	Yes			
1088	2021-11-08 10:35:01	No	B3T1T9	Yes	No		
1089	2021-11-08 10:28:53	No	B3S1K9	Yes	No		
1090	2021-11-08 10:17:42	No	B3t0a2	Yes	Yes	No	
1092	2021-11-08 09:55:37	No	B3t1c4	Yes	No		
1093	2021-11-08 09:42:08	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	No	
1094	2021-11-08 09:24:29	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	No		
1095	2021-11-08 09:19:28	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	No		
1096	2021-11-08 08:49:35	Yes	B3T1Z9	Yes	Yes	No	The land fill operations should remain as is. No changes..
1097	2021-11-08 08:51:08	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1098	2021-11-08 09:19:38	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1101	2021-11-08 08:49:26	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	
1102	2021-11-08 08:53:00	Yes	B3T3J5	Yes			
1103	2021-11-08 08:48:10	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1104	2021-11-08 08:51:21	No	B3t1w5	No			
1105	2021-11-08 08:20:52	No	B3T2L2	Yes	No		
1107	2021-11-08 08:42:38	Yes	B3T1P7				
1108	2021-11-08 08:42:39	No	B3T1Z6	No			
1109	2021-11-08 08:18:26	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	No		
1110	2021-11-08 08:08:00	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	No	Will additional staff be hired to make sure that the there are no accidents about to happen with the increase in traffic
1112	2021-11-08 08:28:11	No	B3T2A7				
1113	2021-11-08 08:14:20	No	B3Z2X3	Yes	No		
1114	2021-11-08 08:16:52	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1115	2021-11-08 07:46:17	Yes	B3t1v4	Yes	No		
1116	2021-11-03 20:51:51	No	B3t1x8	Yes	Yes	No	Our area is to busy and this area is dangerous. Debris on the road and trucks pulling out in front of people
1117	2021-11-07 20:19:40	No	B4E1P1	Yes	No		
1118	2021-11-08 07:52:44	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes	No		
1119	2021-11-08 07:38:03	Yes	B3t1a8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1120	2021-11-08 07:36:04	Yes	B3t1m6	Yes	No		
1121	2021-11-08 07:45:21	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes	Yes		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1122	2021-11-08 07:23:00	No	b3t2a7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1123	2021-11-08 07:07:18	Yes	B3t2j5	Yes	Yes	No	Traffic on the otter lake road is already out of control.
1124	2021-11-08 06:46:08	Yes	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1126	2021-11-08 06:55:22	Yes	B3T1C3	Yes			
1127	2021-11-08 04:58:03	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes	No	With deactivating the FEP and the WSP the toxins leaking out in the ground along with the rodent and bird influx is a real problem for all involved
1128	2021-11-08 05:57:54	Yes	B3t1r2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1129	2021-11-08 05:45:23	No	B3T2X2	Yes			
1130	2021-11-08 05:32:56	No	B3T2L4	Yes	No		
1131	2021-11-08 05:12:32	No	B3T1P9	Yes	No		
1132	2021-11-08 04:31:17	Yes	B3T0E7	Yes	No		
1133	2021-11-08 04:33:18	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes		
1134	2021-11-07 21:14:42	Yes	B3t1c9	Yes	Yes	No	Not convinced
1137	2021-11-07 20:48:19	Yes	B3t1p4	Yes	No		
1139	2021-11-07 20:55:15	Yes	B3l1p7	Yes	Yes	No	
1140	2021-11-07 20:25:53	No	b3t1z2	Yes	No		
1142	2021-11-07 20:00:50	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes		
1143	2021-11-07 19:37:12	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1144	2021-11-07 19:34:37	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1145	2021-11-07 19:37:41	No	B3z1l8	No			
1147	2021-11-07 19:15:47	No	B2T1W4				
1149	2021-11-07 18:11:44	No	B3T2A2	Yes	No		
1151	2021-11-07 18:17:57	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
1152	2021-11-07 18:15:55	No	B3t2k8	Yes	Yes	No	Noone is looking to see what is being dumped
1155	2021-11-07 16:51:42	Yes	b3t1p3	Yes	No		
1157	2021-11-07 17:18:42	No	B3T2B5	Yes	No		
1158	2021-11-07 17:15:21	Yes	B3T0B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1159	2021-11-07 17:13:31	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1160	2021-11-07 17:11:12	No	B3t1r5	Yes	Yes		
1161	2021-11-07 16:38:52	No	B0N1Z0	Yes	Yes		
1163	2021-11-07 16:19:55	No	B3T1R3	No			
1165	2021-11-07 15:31:58	Yes	B3t1b4	No			
1167	2021-11-07 15:25:21	No	B3z3w9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1169	2021-11-07 15:06:35	Yes	B3t1z9	Yes	Yes	No	I don't think spotters is enough to help with traffic
1170	2021-11-07 15:00:46	No	B3T1V2	Yes	No		
1172	2021-11-07 14:52:12	No	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
1173	2021-11-07 14:46:22	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
1174	2021-11-07 14:42:21	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	No		
1175	2021-11-07 14:41:01	No	B3T0H6	Yes	Yes		
1176	2021-11-07 14:20:30	No	B3t1s1	Yes	Yes	No	
1178	2021-11-07 14:27:42	Yes	B3T0B4	Yes			
1181	2021-11-07 13:57:16	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1182	2021-11-07 13:55:59	Yes	B3T2K8	Yes	No		
1183	2021-11-07 13:53:13	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1184	2021-11-07 13:37:11	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	No		
1185	2021-11-07 13:27:30	No	B3T0B6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1187	2021-11-07 12:08:35	Yes	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1188	2021-11-07 12:49:52	No	B3Z3W1	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1189	2021-11-07 12:44:35	No	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes		
1190	2021-11-07 12:35:22	No	B3t2a3	No			
1191	2021-11-07 11:49:44	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1192	2021-11-07 11:56:57	No	B3Z3w8	Yes	Yes		
1193	2021-11-07 11:36:13	No	B3T1Z6	Yes			
1194	2021-11-07 11:13:44	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
1197	2021-11-07 11:03:59	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
1198	2021-11-07 11:06:07	No	b3t2a4	Yes			
1199	2021-11-07 10:51:01	No	B3T2B4	Yes	No		
1200	2021-11-07 10:56:06	No	B3t2a7	Yes			
1201	2021-11-07 10:24:38	Yes	B3T2A4	Yes	Yes	No	We should we aiming for improvement to our processes and systems with improved safety of our workers. Removal of world class systems should never be an option. Much of what is described is education, which hurt workers.
1202	2021-11-07 10:37:11	No	B3T1T7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1203	2021-11-07 10:25:31	Yes	B3T2A4	Yes	Yes	No	Why are any changes (removing perfectly fine working components) even necessary? Shame on you.
1205	2021-11-07 10:05:30	No	B3T2A8	Yes	No		
1206	2021-11-07 09:39:06	Yes	b3t0j3	Yes	No		
1208	2021-11-07 09:43:08	No	B3T0A2	Yes	Yes		
1211	2021-11-07 09:03:00	No	B3T1W3	Yes	No	I do not have any concerns	
1214	2021-11-07 08:26:41	No	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	No	Still increases traffic, and added large vehicles
1215	2021-11-07 08:21:25	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1216	2021-11-07 08:04:04	No	B3T1W1	Yes	No		
1217	2021-11-07 08:01:00	Yes	B3t1a5	Yes	No		
1219	2021-11-07 07:22:35	Yes	B3t2h9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1221	2021-11-07 06:19:51	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	Yes	No	The envisioned impacts of proposed changes to the FEP/WSF by Staff are hypothetical. The fact is that you will not know impacts until after proposed changes.
1222	2021-11-07 06:59:44	No	b2v2g5	Yes	No		
1223	2021-11-07 06:41:30	No	B3t1y6				
1224	2021-11-07 06:19:20	No	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1225	2021-11-07 06:29:25	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	No		
1229	2021-11-03 23:07:03	Yes	B3t1n1	Yes	No		
1230	2021-11-07 05:57:59	No	B3T1A4	Yes			
1232	2021-11-07 05:28:05	No	B3T1R6	Yes	No		
1233	2021-11-06 23:19:15	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	No		
1236	2021-11-06 22:34:36	Yes	B3T1S1	Yes	No		
1239	2021-11-06 21:44:24	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1240	2021-11-06 21:09:24	Yes	B3T1P9	Yes	Yes		
1241	2021-11-06 20:51:38	No	B3T2B8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1242	2021-11-06 20:24:42	No	B3T2C9	Yes	Yes	No	
1244	2021-11-06 20:44:41	No	B3T0B6	Yes	No		
1246	2021-11-04 18:10:53	Yes	B3S1N5	Yes	Yes	No	We need to be recycling more just like Chester Municipality
1250	2021-11-06 19:43:28	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	No		
1252	2021-11-06 19:10:42	No	B4a3x8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1253	2021-11-06 19:07:34	No	B3T1Y3	Yes	Yes		
1254	2021-11-06 18:59:26	Yes	B3T1P4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1258	2021-11-06 18:14:13	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	No		
1259	2021-11-06 18:05:21	No	B3T2B5	Yes	No		
1260	2021-11-06 18:06:15	No	B3T2J1	Yes			
1261	2021-11-06 17:52:36	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1263	2021-11-06 17:44:19	Yes	B3t1b6	Yes	Yes	No	It's not enough being done
1268	2021-11-06 16:26:12	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	No		
1270	2021-11-06 16:08:55	No	b3k3m2	Yes	No		
1271	2021-11-06 16:01:23	Yes	B3T0K1	Yes	No		
1273	2021-11-06 15:49:32	No	B3K3M2	Yes	No		
1274	2021-11-06 15:34:47	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	No	Doesn't seem to be enough effort to mitigate concerns.
1275	2021-11-06 15:27:16	No	B3T1S8	Yes	No		
1276	2021-11-06 15:16:29	No	B3t0b4	Yes	No		
1279	2021-11-06 14:37:38	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	Yes	No	Not happy with proposed changes.
1280	2021-11-06 14:03:21	No	B3T1S2	Yes	No		
1281	2021-11-06 13:24:18	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	No		
1282	2021-11-06 13:48:43	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	not safe enough
1285	2021-11-06 12:39:43	Yes	B3t1r8	Yes	No		
1287	2021-11-06 12:40:43	No	B3T2H3	Yes	No		
1288	2021-11-06 11:29:01	No	b3z1m3	Yes	No		
1289	2021-11-06 11:54:35	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes	No		
1293	2021-11-06 11:37:59	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	No	more traffic
1294	2021-11-06 11:47:56	Yes	B3S1S3	No			
1295	2021-11-06 11:35:28	No	B3t2a8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1296	2021-11-06 11:30:28	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	
1300	2021-11-06 09:27:36	No	B3z2r4	Yes	No		
1301	2021-11-06 09:21:57	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	No		
1302	2021-11-06 09:06:28	Yes	B3T1T8	Yes	No		
1309	2021-11-06 08:30:33	Yes	B2G1S7	Yes	Yes		
1310	2021-11-06 08:28:27	No	B3T1Y8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1312	2021-11-06 08:05:22	No	B3T1X3	Yes	Yes		
1313	2021-11-06 07:45:16	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1314	2021-11-06 07:55:30	No	B3t1w1	Yes	No		
1315	2021-11-06 07:55:10	Yes	B3t0a3	Yes			
1317	2021-11-06 07:18:33	Yes	B3T0E2	Yes	Yes	No	You need to listen to the community!
1318	2021-11-06 06:55:39	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	No		
1319	2021-11-05 21:39:30	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	No		
1320	2021-11-06 07:02:40	No	B3t1s6	Yes	No		
1322	2021-11-06 06:37:48	Yes	B3T0C9	Yes	No		
1323	2021-11-06 06:08:53	Yes	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	The front line was agreed to be in place when you were selling the dump to us now you are want to tell us it don't make a difference . You don't change a contract halfway through it.
1324	2021-11-06 06:28:02	Yes	B3T0E6	Yes	No		
1325	2021-11-06 06:25:14	Yes	B3t0e6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1328	2021-11-06 04:07:50	No	B3Z1L6	Yes	Yes	No	I am still concerned
1329	2021-11-06 03:37:18	No	B3T1S3	No			
1338	2021-11-05 21:06:04	Yes	b3t2c8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1341	2021-11-05 20:44:02	Yes	B3T2E1	No			
1342	2021-11-05 20:02:07	Yes	B3T0C6	Yes	No		
1343	2021-11-05 20:38:33	Yes	B3t0a2	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1345	2021-11-05 19:50:42	No	B3Z2T4	Yes	No		
1348	2021-11-03 17:59:35	No	B3L3H5	Yes	Yes		
1350	2021-11-05 19:02:54	No	B3g1a5				
1351	2021-11-05 18:58:41	No	b0p1p0	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1353	2021-11-05 18:55:55	Yes	b3t1r5	Yes	No		
1354	2021-11-05 18:21:58	Yes	B3t1b1				
1355	2021-11-05 17:56:09	No	B3Z2T1	Yes	No		
1356	2021-11-05 18:03:41	No	B3T2B5	Yes	No		
1358	2021-11-05 17:57:20	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1359	2021-11-05 17:50:35	No	b2y2a6	Yes	Yes		
1360	2021-11-05 17:36:31	Yes	B3T1V6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1361	2021-11-05 17:30:56	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	No	Larger vehicles on prospect rd who often speed cause traffic issues
1362	2021-11-05 17:36:27	No	b3t1s5	Yes	No		
1363	2021-11-05 17:37:06	Yes	B3t2e1	Yes	Yes		
1364	2021-11-05 17:19:16	No	B0J1T0	Yes	No		
1368	2021-11-05 16:44:20	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	No		
1369	2021-11-05 16:39:39	No	B3Z3A9	Yes	No		
1370	2021-11-05 16:51:27	Yes	B3t0j3	Yes	Yes	No	
1371	2021-11-02 15:24:34	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	No		
1373	2021-11-05 10:05:15	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	No		
1375	2021-11-05 15:39:22	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	This facility already creates traffic issues. Less control over over materials Meena's more vehicles and more issues for resident driving by the entrance
1376	2021-11-05 15:10:16	No	B3T1S4	Yes	No		
1378	2021-11-05 15:19:43	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	No		
1383	2021-11-05 15:07:44	Yes	B3T2J5	Yes	No		
1384	2021-11-05 15:14:54	No	B3z0n9	Yes	No		
1385	2021-11-05 15:16:35	Yes	B3N2M3	Yes	No		
1388	2021-11-05 14:38:48	Yes	B3t0k5	Yes	Yes	No	
1390	2021-11-05 14:01:15	No	B3T1Z5	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1394	2021-11-05 13:27:14	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1395	2021-11-05 13:51:01	No	B3N1K1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1396	2021-11-05 13:50:29	Yes	B3t1n2	Yes	No		
1401	2021-11-05 12:12:08	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	No		
1402	2021-11-05 12:48:08	No	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1404	2021-11-05 12:05:35	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	No		
1407	2021-11-05 11:41:29	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes	Yes		
1408	2021-11-05 11:18:21	Yes	B3t1r3	Yes	No		
1409	2021-11-05 10:54:40	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	No		
1410	2021-11-05 10:57:29	Yes	B3t2a4	Yes	No		
1412	2021-11-05 10:58:17	No	B3Z1L8	No			
1414	2021-11-05 10:47:26	No	B3T1S1	Yes	No		
1415	2021-11-05 10:51:56	No	B3t2a2	Yes			
1418	2021-11-05 10:08:10	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
1419	2021-11-05 09:55:00	No	B3t2a2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1423	2021-11-05 09:39:03	No	B3T1R4	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1424	2021-11-05 09:35:05	Yes	B3t1n2	Yes	Yes	No	
1426	2021-11-05 08:35:06	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	No		
1427	2021-11-05 08:51:54	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
1428	2021-11-05 08:59:17	No	B3T1R3	Yes	Yes		
1430	2021-11-05 08:42:36	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1431	2021-11-02 16:08:11	No	B3T1T4	Yes	No		
1432	2021-11-05 07:25:01	Yes	B3Z1G5	Yes	No		
1434	2021-11-05 07:11:55	No	b3t1x3	Yes	No		
1435	2021-11-05 06:51:27	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	No		
1436	2021-11-04 13:34:13	No	B3t1x5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1438	2021-11-05 06:55:40	No	B3t1v3	Yes	Yes	No	
1440	2021-11-05 06:39:09	No	B3T2G1	Yes	No		
1443	2021-11-05 06:14:43	No	B3T1R6	Yes			
1444	2021-11-05 06:08:03	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes		
1445	2021-11-05 05:51:09	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	No		
1446	2021-11-05 06:07:56	No	B3Z1H7	No			
1447	2021-11-05 05:49:07	Yes	B3T0E7	Yes	No		
1449	2021-11-05 05:14:12	Yes	B3T2J5	Yes	No		
1452	2021-11-05 03:46:15	No	B3T2E8	Yes	Yes	No	
1454	2021-11-05 00:08:13	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1455	2021-11-05 00:09:42	No	B3s1k1	Yes	No		
1456	2021-11-05 00:08:07	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	
1458	2021-11-04 23:49:13	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	No		
1459	2021-11-04 23:20:51	Yes	B3Z1G6	Yes	Yes	No	Mitigation measures are not enough to adequately manage risk not do they adequately consider the increase in traffic. Many pieces that are requiring no human error or complacency.
1462	2021-11-04 22:28:16	Yes	B3Z2L4	Yes	No		
1463	2021-11-04 22:09:56	No	B4E0N6	Yes	No		
1464	2021-11-04 21:48:00	No	B3t2e9	Yes	No		
1465	2021-11-04 21:56:26	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	Yes	No	
1466	2021-11-04 21:34:43	No	B3T0B3	Yes	Yes	No	
1468	2021-11-04 21:36:36	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes			
1472	2021-11-04 20:31:23	Yes	B3t0c2	Yes	No		
1474	2021-11-04 20:14:33	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	If the filters don't take out dangerous items the Employees will be exposed to more dangerous items as they are brought to Cells
1475	2021-11-04 20:05:11	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	No		
1479	2021-11-04 20:26:04	No	B4e3c1	Yes	Yes		
1480	2021-11-02 18:49:52	No	B3l2y8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1481	2021-11-04 19:18:30	No	B3T2A2	Yes	No		
1483	2021-11-04 19:46:06	Yes	B3t1a9	Yes	No		
1485	2021-11-04 19:44:56	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	No		
1487	2021-11-04 19:34:08	Yes	B3t2e6	Yes	No		
1490	2021-11-04 19:22:44	No	B3t2l1	Yes	No		
1492	2021-11-04 18:58:55	Yes	B3t1r5	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1493	2021-11-04 19:10:05	No	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
1494	2021-11-04 16:02:33	No	B3T1T4	Yes	No		
1496	2021-11-04 18:13:45	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes	No		
1498	2021-11-04 18:46:19	Yes	B3c2j3	Yes	Yes	No	Please honour the agreement.
1500	2021-11-04 18:45:44	No	B3Z1C4	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1503	2021-11-04 16:49:35	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes	Yes	No	Increase of traffic in area that is already impacted by heavy traffic congestion along with more to come with new construction
1505	2021-11-04 18:23:48	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes		
1510	2021-11-04 17:51:19	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Mitigations are not in alignment with the original agreement.
1515	2021-11-04 16:56:50	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1518	2021-11-04 15:58:32	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	No		
1520	2021-11-04 16:01:04	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	No		
1522	2021-11-04 16:04:31	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	No		
1524	2021-11-04 15:42:58	No	B3z1G1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1529	2021-11-04 15:54:09	No	B3Z2J2	No			
1531	2021-11-04 15:39:35	Yes	b3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	Why would we go back on the original decision. Even if there is a reduction of organic waste there does not appear to be a benefit to deactivating the facilities.
1532	2021-11-04 15:23:19	Yes	B3t2j3	Yes	Yes	No	You should not be deactivating FEP/WSF
1535	2021-11-04 14:35:55	No	B3T1V7	Yes	No		
1536	2021-11-04 14:43:19	No	B3T1S5	Yes	No		
1537	2021-11-04 13:01:53	No	B3T2G5	Yes	Yes	No	You have not factored in any of the new developments in the area that are currently underway or will be in the near future. Any additional trips is too many more.
1538	2021-11-04 14:25:53	Yes	b3t1c9	Yes	No		
1539	2021-11-04 14:32:47	Yes	b3t2j3	Yes	Yes	No	You clearly state there is medium on-site risk by proceeding.
1541	2021-11-04 13:53:56	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
1544	2021-11-04 13:58:14	No	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1545	2021-11-04 14:01:07	Yes	B3T1S7	Yes	No		
1547	2021-11-04 13:51:21	No	B3T1X2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1556	2021-11-04 13:12:04	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	No		
1557	2021-11-04 13:21:04	No	B3z0n4	Yes	No		
1559	2021-11-04 12:44:00	No	B3T0B4	Yes	No		
1564	2021-11-04 12:20:24	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1568	2021-11-04 12:11:04	Yes	B3T1K9	No			
1569	2021-11-04 11:56:31	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	No		
1572	2021-11-04 11:40:17	No	B3t1t5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1577	2021-11-04 11:33:38	Yes	b3t1s6	Yes	Yes		
1581	2021-11-04 11:20:52	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	N
1582	2021-11-04 11:07:18	No	B3Z1L8	Yes	No		
1583	2021-11-04 11:01:06	No	B3T2C8	Yes	No		
1584	2021-11-04 10:52:21	No	b3t2l1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1585	2021-11-04 10:25:11	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	No	Those additional vehicles equate to approximately 7800 more individual tips in a single year. Stating 25 to 30 a day makes the equation referred to as minor, 7800 per year is not minor.
1589	2021-11-04 10:48:30	No	B3t0j9	Yes			
1590	2021-11-04 10:43:00	No	B3T2B8	Yes	No		
1594	2021-11-04 10:19:48	No	B3T1S8	Yes	No		
1595	2021-11-04 10:30:26	No	B3t2b1	Yes	Yes		
1596	2021-11-04 10:22:11	Yes	b3 1a2	No			
1598	2021-11-04 10:08:43	No	B3T2B9	Yes	Yes	No	It is not a broken , so leave well enough alone , plus we DO NOT need the increased traffic on our already crowded road .
1600	2021-11-04 10:14:05	Yes	B3T0A2	Yes	Yes		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1601	2021-11-04 10:04:52	No	B3T151	Yes	No		
1603	2021-11-04 09:29:55	Yes	B3T1g&	Yes	No		
1605	2021-11-04 09:29:27	Yes	B3t1c9	Yes	No		
1609	2021-11-04 09:28:11	Yes	B3T5c4	Yes	No		
1613	2021-11-04 09:22:40	Yes	B3T1K5	No			
1616	2021-11-04 09:18:13	No	B3t1x2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1620	2021-11-04 09:02:11	Yes	B2T1H6	Yes	No		
1621	2021-11-04 08:29:23	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	No		
1622	2021-11-04 08:36:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	No		
1623	2021-11-04 08:29:53	No	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	No	Non-compliance.
1624	2021-11-04 09:01:02	No	B3T2L1	No	No		
1626	2021-11-04 08:57:08	Yes	B3T0C1	Yes	No		
1629	2021-11-04 08:51:26	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	No		
1631	2021-11-04 08:42:18	No	B3t2b2	Yes	No		
1634	2021-11-04 08:42:39	No	B3L2Y8	No			
1636	2021-11-04 08:12:53	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1637	2021-11-04 08:01:23	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	No		
1638	2021-11-04 08:26:28	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1639	2021-11-04 08:04:13	Yes	B3t2g3	Yes	Yes	No	These measures do not change the fact that unsorted waste will be going into the landfill.
1641	2021-11-04 08:30:19	Yes	B3T2g8	Yes	No		
1642	2021-11-04 08:26:05	Yes	B3T0C9	Yes	Yes	No	
1644	2021-11-04 07:54:52	Yes	b3t1t2	Yes	Yes	No	You comment field would not take my whole comment - I guess you only want short comments? Leave it the way it is. Hopefully that is short enough....?
1648	2021-11-04 07:26:29	Yes	B3T2E5	Yes	No		
1650	2021-11-04 07:32:25	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1651	2021-11-04 06:58:27	Yes	B3T0H7	Yes	Yes	No	Not for a minute do I believe that there will not be an increase in noxious odours not an increase of gills and other such scavengers. Timberlea already had an increase in tat activity.
1652	2021-11-04 06:54:36	Yes	B3T155	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1655	2021-11-04 06:50:55	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1656	2021-11-04 07:06:16	No	B3t1w5	Yes	Yes		
1658	2021-11-04 06:49:02	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	It is safest to keep it running as is.
1659	2021-11-04 06:41:15	No	B4B1N4	Yes	No		
1660	2021-11-04 06:14:16	No	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1664	2021-11-04 06:23:22	No	B4e3b3	Yes			
1667	2021-11-04 06:05:06	No	B3t1w5	Yes	Yes		
1668	2021-11-04 06:06:06	No	B3E1M4	Yes	Yes		
1670	2021-11-04 05:27:16	No	B3P0C2	Yes	No		
1671	2021-11-04 04:55:59	Yes	B3t1c2	Yes	No		
1672	2021-11-03 17:32:26	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	How are we to know that non-residential waste will be dealt with correctly and not put directly into the landfill. Another concern is the extra traffic to the location
1675	2021-11-03 23:41:40	Yes	B3t1b9	Yes	Yes	No	
1677	2021-11-03 22:17:23	Yes	b3t1b2	Yes	Yes	No	Does this mean their will be roughly a 33% increase in vehicle trips and deposits?
1679	2021-11-03 22:37:56	No	B3T1V8	Yes	No		
1680	2021-11-03 18:47:54	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1681	2021-11-03 22:09:54	Yes	b3t1r4	Yes	Yes	No	The increase of trucks pollutes our air and hurts our environment. There is an increased risk of traffic and worker safety. Unsatisfactory.
1682	2021-11-03 22:28:41	Yes	b3t2c8	Yes	Yes		
1683	2021-11-03 22:13:15	No	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes	No	
1684	2021-11-03 22:20:02	No	B3t1p7	Yes	No		
1689	2021-11-03 21:29:15	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	No		
1691	2021-11-03 21:39:51	Yes	B3T2J2	Yes	Yes	No	These vehicles often leave debris in the road causing issues
1692	2021-11-03 21:43:06	Yes	B3t2g2	Yes			
1693	2021-11-03 21:38:12	No	b3z1p7	Yes	Yes		
1694	2021-11-03 21:18:08	No	B2x2x5	Yes	No		
1695	2021-11-03 21:36:37	No	B3T1Z9	Yes	Yes		
1696	2021-11-03 21:31:39	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1697	2021-11-03 21:23:39	Yes	B3t1r3	Yes	No		
1699	2021-11-03 21:20:54	No	b4c2n9	Yes	Yes		
1703	2021-11-03 20:58:03	No	B4C2Y8	Yes	No		
1706	2021-11-02 15:35:17	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	You have geared this survey to confuse folks.
1710	2021-11-03 20:37:25	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	
1712	2021-11-03 16:32:19	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	No		
1715	2021-11-03 20:31:53	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	No		
1716	2021-11-03 20:30:40	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	No		
1718	2021-11-03 19:27:16	No	B3T2B5	Yes	No		
1720	2021-11-02 17:26:46	Yes	B3Z4B4	Yes	Yes	No	The removal of the FEP WSF means workers have the potential to handle ICI material that is hazardous and dangerous. It also means unacceptable material will end up in the landfill affecting the health of the workers, surrounding property and environment.
1721	2021-11-03 20:09:07	Yes	B3T0C8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1722	2021-11-03 20:07:12	Yes	B3H0H3	Yes	No		
1726	2021-11-03 19:56:25	No	B3z1i7	Yes	Yes		
1728	2021-11-03 19:31:54	Yes	B3T1V1	Yes	Yes	No	They rely on people responding, and respecting the new processes. Since people cannot strap down garbage on the way to the dump and it ends up over the road and ditches, I refuse to believe this will work
1731	2021-11-03 18:58:57	No	B3T1Y8	Yes	Yes	No	An increase of 8 -10 vehicles per day is a concern. More traffic means more recycling to be processed.
1732	2021-11-03 19:14:51	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	No		
1734	2021-11-03 19:22:20	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	No		
1735	2021-11-03 18:55:44	No	B3t2i2	Yes	No		
1738	2021-11-03 19:11:22	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1740	2021-11-03 19:04:36	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes		
1741	2021-11-03 14:08:13	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	No		
1742	2021-11-03 18:16:24	No	B3t1t1	Yes	Yes	No	Too much going into the ground. We need to keep diverting waste an keep the area small and odor free.
1747	2021-11-03 18:59:00	No	B3T1B1	No			
1748	2021-11-03 08:08:27	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
1749	2021-11-03 08:08:12	Yes	B3t1a7	No			
1750	2021-11-02 19:15:26	No	b3t1X8	No			
1752	2021-11-03 18:35:23	Yes	B3t1c2	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1753	2021-11-03 18:02:51	Yes	b3t1a4	Yes	No		
1754	2021-11-03 18:22:37	Yes	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	This write is not clear as to how the danger to workers will be mitigated.
1757	2021-11-03 18:23:20	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes		
1759	2021-11-03 18:11:36	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1761	2021-11-02 15:25:47	No	B3T2L2	Yes	No		
1763	2021-11-03 18:04:19	Yes	B3T2G8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1764	2021-11-03 17:51:38	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	No		
1765	2021-11-03 17:32:12	No	B3T2A1	Yes	No		
1766	2021-11-03 17:43:23	Yes	B3t2g6	Yes	No		
1767	2021-11-03 17:37:50	Yes	B3t1a4	Yes	Yes	No	
1772	2021-11-03 17:18:54	Yes	B3T2E6	Yes	No		
1774	2021-11-03 17:07:51	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	People are not going to read signs
1775	2021-11-03 17:09:25	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	Neariy 2500 extra trucks per annual year is going to significantly increase rats, odours, and other pollution methods.
1776	2021-11-03 17:04:04	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	
1778	2021-11-03 16:37:04	Yes	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	More traffic, more risk
1779	2021-11-03 15:56:48	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	No		
1781	2021-11-03 16:07:17	No	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1782	2021-11-03 16:39:43	No	B3T1Y9	Yes	No		
1783	2021-11-03 16:40:52	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	No		
1785	2021-11-03 16:25:58	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	No		
1786	2021-11-03 16:22:59	Yes	B3t1v1	Yes	Yes	No	
1788	2021-11-03 16:12:11	Yes	B3t0b6	Yes	No		
1789	2021-11-03 16:15:12	Yes	B3T1K6	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1793	2021-11-03 15:27:51	No	B3P2H4	Yes	No		
1795	2021-11-03 15:35:32	Yes	B3t1a9	Yes	Yes	No	The smell
1797	2021-11-03 15:27:34	Yes	b3t1v8	Yes	No		
1800	2021-11-03 15:03:42	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1801	2021-11-03 15:06:54	Yes	B3T2G5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1803	2021-11-03 14:49:21	Yes	b3t1r8	Yes	No		
1804	2021-11-03 15:04:33	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes		
1805	2021-11-03 15:00:10	No	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1806	2021-11-03 14:46:23	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	No		
1810	2021-11-03 14:36:34	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1811	2021-11-03 14:44:03	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	No		
1812	2021-11-03 14:19:31	Yes	B3t1a2	Yes	No		
1814	2021-11-03 14:30:20	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	No	It's smoke and mirrors
1816	2021-11-03 14:01:21	Yes	B3t1t5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1817	2021-11-03 14:19:51	No	b3m0e2	Yes			
1818	2021-11-03 14:13:18	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1819	2021-11-03 13:51:16	No	B3T1S4	Yes		I do not have any concerns	
1820	2021-11-03 13:51:31	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	No		
1821	2021-11-03 13:18:01	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	No		
1822	2021-11-03 11:22:47	No	B3T1X2	Yes	No		
1823	2021-11-03 13:00:20	No	B3T2B7	Yes	No		
1824	2021-11-03 12:29:44	Yes	B3T1K5	Yes	Yes	No	Added traffic and noise in the community
1825	2021-11-03 10:36:17	No	B3T1S7	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1826	2021-11-03 11:53:58	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	No		
1827	2021-11-03 11:59:02	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	No		
1828	2021-11-03 11:51:31	No	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	No	These additional measures usually don't get enforced, so there is no faith in the proposed plan.
1829	2021-11-03 11:21:43	Yes	B3T2G8	Yes	No		
1830	2021-11-03 11:24:06	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
1831	2021-11-03 10:57:07	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	No		
1832	2021-11-03 10:54:32	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1833	2021-11-03 10:56:41	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes	Yes	No	
1834	2021-11-03 10:49:26	No		Yes	Yes	No	
1835	2021-11-03 10:30:15	Yes	B3t1r7	Yes	No		
1836	2021-11-03 10:05:16	Yes	B3t1t9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1837	2021-11-03 10:10:55	No	B3T1W6	Yes	Yes		
1838	2021-11-03 10:03:38	No	B3T2A3	Yes	No		
1839	2021-11-03 08:21:24	No	B3T1Z3	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1840	2021-11-03 08:37:51	No	b3t1v2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1841	2021-11-03 08:17:55	No	B3T2B8	Yes	No		
1842	2021-11-03 07:31:46	Yes	B3T0B2	Yes	Yes	No	
1843	2021-11-03 06:22:06	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	Medium risk is too high
1844	2021-10-28 17:17:53	No	B3l2y8	Yes	Yes		
1845	2021-11-03 07:02:47	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	Medium risk is too high.
1846	2021-11-03 07:02:39	No	B3t2l2	Yes	Yes		
1847	2021-11-03 06:31:24	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	Yes	Medium risk is too high
1848	2021-11-03 06:32:33	No	B3t2k8	Yes	No		
1849	2021-11-03 06:24:23	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes		
1850	2021-11-03 05:21:10	Yes	B3Z1L4	Yes	No		
1851	2021-11-03 04:19:44	Yes	B3t2e3	Yes	No		
1852	2021-11-03 04:15:58	No	B3t1t4	Yes			
1853	2021-11-02 21:45:01	No	B3T1Z3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1854	2021-11-02 21:16:52	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes		
1855	2021-11-02 20:56:32	Yes	B3T1V8	Yes	No		
1856	2021-11-02 20:25:58	No	B3t1t6	Yes	No		
1857	2021-11-02 19:57:57	No	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1858	2021-11-02 19:49:44	No	B3Z1L4	Yes	Yes	No	
1859	2021-11-02 19:27:03	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1860	2021-11-02 19:00:57	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	There is already too much traffic and waste on that route. Debris blowing out of trucks. An increase is more than this route needs. We need a ramp to the highway to get this scrap off the 333. Tourists don't need this. Emergency vehicles don't need this. Commuters paying taxes don't need this. We are done. Our deal ends at 25yrs. Go somewhere else with your poison.
1861	2021-11-02 18:55:04	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1862	2021-11-02 18:56:23	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1863	2021-11-02 18:11:46	No	B3T1T4	Yes	No		
1864	2021-11-02 18:15:33	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1865	2021-11-02 17:30:20	Yes	B3T1Z5	Yes	Yes	No	
1866	2021-11-02 16:40:23	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	There will be more vehicles on the roads will negatively impact the surrounding area

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1867	2021-11-02 14:34:16	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	Yes	No	No inspection or separation of residential waste will take place at the tipping floor, allowing for all banned items to flow directly into the landfill cell causing major environmental issues and expensive costs to fix the resulting problems.
1868	2021-11-02 15:49:35	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	No		
1869	2021-11-02 15:14:23	No	B3T1R8	Yes	No		
1870	2021-11-02 15:23:31	No		Yes	Yes		
1871	2021-11-02 15:02:14	No	B3t1v7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1872	2021-11-02 14:48:27	No	B3M0P2	Yes	No		
1873	2021-11-02 14:43:09	Yes	B3T1P8	Yes	Yes	No	
1874	2021-11-02 14:11:50	No	B3M0P2	Yes	No		
1875	2021-11-02 14:38:17	Yes	B3T1r8	Yes	No		
1876	2021-11-02 14:29:17	No	B4A1P3	Yes	Yes	No	
1877	2021-11-02 14:02:42	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	No		
1878	2021-11-02 14:28:39	No	B3T1R5	Yes			
1879	2021-11-02 14:24:25	No	B3T0H6	Yes	Yes		
1880	Email/Mail-In	No	B3T2C1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1881	Email/Mail-In	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	No	Lowering waste disposal standards from current practice is totally unacceptable!
1882	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	Increased traffic, means increased volume. Already there is residential going directly to landfill. Sure the workers are safe from getting run over, but that's not what deactivation of FEP/WSF is all about. Question irrelevant.
1883	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T0H3	Yes	Yes	No	Admit to increase in traffic - trucks. As yet, no identified concerns - re: safety/other impacts.
1884	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3M4M8	Yes	Yes	No	Elimination of the sorting of trash will result in many undesirable items entering the landfill, many of which will be toxic to the environment while items that would typically be composted will attract vermin and birds.
1885	Email/Mail-In	No	B3Z3T6	Yes	Yes	Yes	The number of trips having to be made are of concern due to fuel consumption and pollution by the vehicles.
1886	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1	2021-11-08 15:25:09	No	B3H1P7	Yes			
2	2021-12-05 09:24:23	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	Yes	No	
3	2021-12-04 14:41:55	No	B3T1R9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
4	2021-12-04 14:23:40	No	B3T1z8	Yes	Yes	No	
7	2021-12-04 11:44:01	No	B3L4B2	Yes	Yes	No	FEP has worked well; no evidence supporting the benefits from making a change
12	2021-12-03 22:10:00	No	B3Z2B3	Yes	Yes	No	The mitigation measures are not as effective as maintaining the FEP/WSF. This survey is not actually a balanced survey. It only presents the HRM/Staff/Mirror perspective as evidence and none of the concerns of the Community Monitoring Committee or others.
13	2021-12-03 22:23:07	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	No	
14	2021-12-03 20:49:08	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	Who deems it necessary to install additional fencing and what is the criteria? Fences like in the picture are taken down in winter to reduce damage. Also, it is already indicated that blown litter is an issue as it has to be collected daily so the plan is to make it worse and then have someone spend more time picking it up outside when they could do it at the already established FEP/WSF inside.
15	2021-12-03 21:32:54	Yes	B3T2E6	Yes	Yes	No	I live near a couple of waste handling facilities in Bayers Lake there is ALWAYS debris blowing around and on the ground. Fence or no
16	2021-12-03 21:32:46	Yes	B3t2I7	Yes	No		
17	2021-11-11 16:41:08	No	B3L3H5	Yes	Yes	No	Why bother with these mitigation efforts when the FEP/WSF are preventing the possibility of a problem in the first place?
18	2021-12-03 20:43:54	No	b3t2c8	Yes	Yes		
23	2021-12-03 19:10:31	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	Our climate and winters makes it difficult to clean up in the winter.
24	2021-12-03 17:39:37	Yes	B3T0E2	Yes	Yes	No	
25	2021-12-03 16:56:45	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
26	2021-12-03 16:22:18	No	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	Do not believe that extra clean up will be adequate
27	2021-12-03 16:14:52	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	Yes	No	Garbage can still blow over fences.
28	2021-11-14 12:30:57	No	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes		
29	2021-11-04 13:54:59	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
30	2021-11-25 10:10:19	No	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	Have seen first hand what the litter was like around the old Sackville Landfill was like. Fences did not stop the trash flying around in the long term.
35	2021-11-07 19:41:27	No	B3T1W9	Yes	Yes	No	I'm not convinced regular clean up will take place.
36	2021-12-03 11:26:34	No	B3M0P2	Yes	Yes	No	Nets are there now and the highway is always full of litter. Hiking the area I know nets dont stop all litter especially in high winds. More untreated and stabilized garbage means more litter.
37	2021-11-13 18:39:52	No	B3T1S5	Yes			
38	2021-12-03 11:25:23	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	Yes	No	More vehicles = more litter
40	2021-12-03 10:45:24	Yes	B3T1X5	Yes	Yes	No	Due to high winds, debris still gets thru/over the screens and does not get picked up at all or in a timely manner.
41	2021-12-03 10:52:22	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	No		
42	2021-12-03 10:24:39	No	B3t1t9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
44	2021-12-03 10:05:40	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	litter blows around fences
46	2021-12-03 09:43:58	No	B3T2A6	Yes	Yes	No	What about all the garbage that blows on to Prospect Rd
47	2021-12-03 09:26:22	Yes	Be32e3	Yes	Yes	No	Real world observations show these methods are not effectively being conducted
48	2021-12-03 09:40:25	Yes	B3T0J8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
50	2021-12-03 08:45:22	No	B3T2E3	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
51	2021-12-03 08:41:31	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	No	These measures sound like a band aid and based on my own experience on litter on the prospect Road from the demo dump, I suggest that a more careful look at the risk and measures needs to be taken. Are the costs of increased fencing and cleaning included in the cost evaluation of closing the sorting facility?
52	2021-12-03 07:53:12	No	B3t1s2	Yes			
53	2021-12-03 07:27:56	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	More frequent trips, additional materials lost along the roadways creating littering & unsightliness, affect on wildlife
54	2021-12-03 06:41:04	Yes	B3T1P8	Yes	Yes	No	These are the same mitigation measures in place at C&D Recycling and they don't work. Highway 333 needs continual blown litter cleanup by community members.
55	2021-12-03 06:21:35	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes			
56	2021-12-03 05:59:48	Yes	B3t2e3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
57	2021-11-02 19:50:36	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes			
58	2021-12-03 05:42:11	No	B3T2A4	Yes			
59	2021-12-03 05:14:46	No	B3t1x8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
63	2021-12-02 19:14:47	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	No	
64	2021-12-02 16:48:06	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
66	2021-11-21 16:12:36	No	B3t1v3	Yes	Yes	No	
67	2021-12-02 13:35:06	Yes	B3t1s9	Yes			
68	2021-12-02 11:42:23	No	B3T1Z9	Yes	Yes	No	They're animal & bird catchers
70	2021-12-02 07:57:42	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	I see daily garbage along Exit3 to Bay road and I feel this will continue to get worse
71	2021-12-01 19:13:58	No	B2Y4A6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
72	2021-12-01 18:24:02	No	B3t1v8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
73	2021-12-01 17:36:29	No	B3T1Y8	Yes	Yes	No	NA
75	2021-12-01 16:52:37	No	B3T2G1	Yes	Yes	No	I have seen how other similar litter mitigations have not worked in other areas close to landfills or processing facilities.
77	2021-12-01 13:26:19	No	B3T1S7	Yes			
78	2021-12-01 11:03:14	Yes	B3K1K7	Yes	Yes	No	
79	2021-12-01 10:08:17	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
81	2021-12-01 05:17:42	No	B3T1Y3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
83	2021-11-30 20:30:42	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes		
84	2021-11-30 20:19:47	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	No		
85	2021-11-30 19:43:10	No	B3T1Z7	No			
86	2021-11-30 19:28:18	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	Litter will still get out
87	2021-11-30 18:50:05	Yes	B3z1I4	Yes	No		
89	2021-11-30 16:53:09	Yes	B3z4b6	No			
91	2021-11-30 16:30:32	No	B3T1T6	Yes	Yes	No	Highways and roads are littered now
92	2021-11-30 12:20:04	Yes	B3T0C3	Yes	Yes	No	
93	2021-11-30 11:57:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes			
94	2021-11-30 08:30:28	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	No		
95	2021-11-30 06:31:37	Yes	B3t0e5	Yes	Yes	No	
96	2021-11-30 05:48:46	Yes	B3t0e2	Yes	Yes	No	More funds being pumped into something that wouldn't need it
97	2021-11-30 05:11:25	Yes	B3T0C5	Yes	Yes	No	
98	2021-11-30 04:26:12	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	No impacts can be identified until the deactivation happens. This must not be allowed!
100	2021-11-06 19:45:28	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	The litter is still happening
102	2021-11-29 19:03:16	Yes	B3z3w9	Yes	Yes	No	HRM Council does not honour its commitments. Why would this be any different!!! Shame on you.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
103	2021-11-29 15:31:26	No	B3M3G9	Yes	Yes	No	Mitigation is great but why not leave the Fep/ wsf in place to minimize instead of mitigation
104	2021-11-29 12:49:54	No	b3t1t8	Yes	Yes		
105	2021-11-29 12:23:08	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	This will only address so much. some still escapes and with more not filtered out, more will spread.
106	2021-11-29 12:47:42	No	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
111	2021-11-29 08:34:14	No		No			
112	2021-11-29 07:44:36	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
113	2021-11-28 22:36:07	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	The highest elev. of the cells appears higher than the fence. When trucks are dumping into a cell they may be higher than the fence, and winds can carry debris over the fence. Wind breaks (portable perhaps), adjacent to the truck dump site may be more effective in reducing airborne litter. However, I am not convinced that either, or a combination of these measures will be able to control litter.
114	2021-11-25 09:38:50	No	B3H2Y7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
116	2021-11-28 11:02:50	No	b3t1z4	Yes	No		
118	2021-11-28 08:58:19	No	B3T1W7	Yes	Yes	No	My concern is that there will not be sorting completed.
122	2021-11-27 17:43:03	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	
123	2021-11-27 16:20:14	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
124	2021-11-27 16:03:53	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	
125	2021-11-27 10:01:50	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	No		
126	2021-11-27 10:57:24	No	B3a2l8	Yes	No		
127	2021-11-27 10:26:39	No	b2w2a8	Yes	No		
128	2021-11-27 10:09:45	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes			
129	2021-11-27 08:52:29	No	B3z1e2	No			
133	2021-11-26 14:55:51	No	B2W0H6	Yes	Yes		
134	2021-11-26 14:49:36	No	b3m0n1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
135	2021-11-26 14:00:24	No	B3T1W7	Yes	No		
136	2021-11-26 13:20:12	No	B2W0L2	Yes	No		
137	2021-11-26 12:19:20	No	B2Y4I5	Yes	Yes	No	You already admit that you expect more litter. There is no way to contain all the extra litter unless you can control the weather around the clock and year round. You know that's not possible. You will have the a degree of control within the fence. Even when garbage is contained at the curb in a container, it's not foolproof
138	2021-11-26 10:16:40	No	B2Y3M9	Yes			
139	2021-11-26 09:18:44	No	B3T2A5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
140	2021-11-26 07:46:03	No	b3a4H4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
141	2021-11-26 06:44:20	No	B4A4J8	No			
142	2021-11-26 05:29:08	No	0	Yes	Yes	Yes	
143	2021-11-26 04:10:09	No	B3P2S4	Yes	Yes	No	What about the trash blowing out of trucks before they enter this monitored area?
144	2021-11-25 19:23:32	No	b3k1r3	Yes	No		
145	2021-11-25 22:39:53	No	B3B1W2	Yes	No		
146	2021-11-25 22:23:40	Yes	B3t2h6	Yes	Yes	No	
147	2021-11-25 22:05:39	No	B2Y1B3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
148	2021-11-25 22:18:49	No	B2x2e5	No			
149	2021-11-25 21:37:53	No	B3M1V1	Yes	No		
150	2021-11-25 21:37:15	No	B3Z1H4	Yes			
151	2021-11-25 21:18:21	No	B3Z1V9	Yes	Yes	No	I think this is not enough to prevent litter blowing outside of the fenced area.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
152	2021-11-25 21:23:33	No	B3m3b6	No			
153	2021-11-25 17:57:49	Yes	B3T1T3	Yes	Yes	No	Most lightweight material in our windy climate, particularly in the area which is a high point of land could easily blow over the fence as it is now proposed
154	2021-11-25 18:08:41	Yes	B3T1B6	Yes	Yes		
155	2021-11-25 18:02:31	No	B3H4B2	Yes	No		
158	2021-11-25 16:58:07	No	B4b1n7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
159	2021-11-25 16:54:01	No	B2V2J9	No			
160	2021-11-25 15:58:11	No	b3n3j2	No			
162	2021-11-25 14:24:02	No	B3Z1H1	Yes	Yes	No	
163	2021-11-25 13:41:47	No	B3z3v7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
164	2021-11-25 13:13:06	Yes	b3T2E6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
165	2021-11-25 11:09:27	No	B2X2H6	Yes	Yes	No	Litter that blows (eg paper, styrofoam) is almost universally materials that should not be in the landfill. If this is causing increase in blowing litter on site, it means we are knowingly landfilling materials that shouldn't be. This is unacceptable.
166	2021-11-25 11:51:38	No	B3Z1L3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
167	2021-11-25 11:56:50	No	B3L3H3	Yes	Yes	No	
171	2021-11-25 10:09:06	Yes	B3M3K4	Yes	Yes	No	
173	2021-11-25 09:10:29	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	A fence is not going to stop garbage
174	2021-11-25 09:25:03	Yes	B3t1s8	Yes	No		
175	2021-11-24 11:06:59	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	No	No	
176	2021-11-05 17:13:07	No		Yes			
177	2021-11-25 08:59:48	No	B4B1M7	Yes	No		
178	2021-11-25 07:53:11	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes	Yes	No	I have watched Garbage blow off garbage trucks as we're driving down the 103. It's nice for you to say you take care of the garbage in the dump but what about the roads that more traffic drive on.
179	2021-11-25 08:41:02	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	Yes	No	
180	2021-11-10 11:11:55	Yes	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	No	stop gap measure that won't solve the long term problem
182	2021-11-25 07:32:55	No	B3Z1K3	Yes	No		
183	2021-11-25 07:15:20	No	B4A1J6	Yes	Yes	No	The litter will still be able to be blown around. The mitigation measures are reactive, not aimed at the cause of the litter.
184	2021-11-25 07:12:12	No	B3T1Z2	Yes	Yes	No	Fencing is fine. Wondering if it will contain all. How are regular cleanups completed
186	2021-11-25 07:00:57	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	Yes	No	too much litter on access road
188	2021-11-25 06:38:15	No	B3L1J9	Yes			
189	2021-11-25 06:37:18	No	B3Z2N2	No			
190	2021-11-25 05:43:05	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	No		
191	2021-11-25 05:43:56	No	B2W2K3	Yes	No		
193	2021-11-24 21:10:46	No	B3Z1M2	Yes	Yes	No	What about the organic waste? Without sorting, everything will just be there leeching it to the ground and nearby waterways
194	2021-11-24 18:22:24	Yes	B3T0C2	Yes	Yes	No	
195	2021-11-24 18:31:49	No	B3t1v1	Yes	Yes	No	Birds will pickup garbage and dropped on road
196	2021-11-17 18:34:09	No	B3T1v1	Yes	Yes	No	Wildlife
197	2021-11-24 16:23:03	No	B3T1R3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
199	2021-11-24 12:20:19	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	All over the street during transport. Ive plugged three tires from the garbage being transported here
200	2021-11-24 07:49:10	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
202	2021-11-24 09:29:56	No	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
204	2021-11-05 20:14:01	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
205	2021-11-24 08:12:11	Yes	B3T1W6	Yes	No		
207	2021-11-24 07:19:53	No	B3Z1L4	Yes	Yes	No	The beginning of Highway 333 near Exhibition Park is littered, constantly. Related to the dump? No idea. Don't care. It should be subjected to the same scrutiny. My immediate concern for the blowing litter is more broad than just within 5 kms of the site.
208	2021-11-24 06:47:37	Yes	B3T2a5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
209	2021-11-24 06:17:21	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	No		
212	2021-11-16 20:30:04	No	B3m4k9	Yes	Yes	No	Nothing organic should be buried here. THESE MITIGATION measures do not meet my needs. Do not deactivate the FEP/WSF
213	2021-11-23 15:04:58	No	B3T2L6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
214	2021-11-23 17:13:18	No	B3T1X5	Yes	No		
215	2021-11-23 16:32:43	No	B3Z1L7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
220	2021-11-23 14:41:29	Yes	B3t1s6	Yes	Yes	No	Honor the contract
222	2021-11-14 09:01:40	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes		
223	2021-11-23 12:35:06	Yes	B3Z0K9	Yes	Yes	No	It appears to be a mere net, we all know on garbage day things blow everywhere including high unit the sky depending on the wind. And do you ply to net in the entire waste facility???
225	2021-11-05 18:01:51	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	No	Putting up some extra netting doesn't work keep things the way they are.
226	2021-11-23 11:34:32	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	No		
227	2021-11-23 11:53:20	No	B3T2B2	Yes	Yes	No	
228	2021-11-23 10:59:54	No	B3T1W1	Yes			
229	2021-11-23 11:00:22	No	B3T1X4	Yes			
230	2021-11-05 06:42:04	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	Yes	No	It's only containing all of the litter until a large storm picks it up
231	2021-11-23 09:12:02	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	Why not stick with the agreement that is working?
232	2021-11-23 07:54:02	No	B4g1g3	Yes	No		
233	2021-11-23 08:49:27	No	B3t2c9	Yes	Yes		
234	2021-11-23 08:34:21	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes			
235	2021-11-15 06:30:45	No	B3T2H2	Yes	Yes	No	There are still extra costs incurred with fencing and additional collections needed. You intend to save in one area but have increased costs in other areas, doesn't make sense
238	2021-11-22 17:35:24	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	Are you indicating that this barrier will prevent litter from blowing over the fenced in area. With the wind that blows across that community, it is very difficult to believe that litter will be contained.
240	2021-11-22 16:33:36	Yes	B3t0b2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
242	2021-11-22 15:15:31	No	B4E2R3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
243	2021-11-22 09:15:10	No		Yes	Yes	No	
244	2021-11-22 15:09:14	No	B3T2B5	No			
247	2021-11-22 14:29:02	Yes	B3N3J3	Yes	Yes	No	
248	2021-11-22 13:25:02	No	B3T1V4	Yes			
249	2021-11-17 07:19:08	No		Yes	Yes	No	hkdfjiewjlij
250	2021-11-22 11:58:25	No	b3t1z1	Yes	Yes	No	
251	2021-11-22 10:57:01	No	B3T1X2	Yes	Yes	No	Removal of the two front end systems will most likely result in more wind blown garbage
253	2021-11-22 08:56:13	No	B3T1V8	Yes	No		
254	2021-11-22 09:11:43	No	B3t1w3	Yes	No		
255	2021-11-04 16:24:30	No		Yes	No		
257	2021-11-08 07:34:24	No		Yes	Yes	Yes	
258	2021-11-22 07:46:11	No		Yes	No		
259	2021-11-21 19:13:42	No	B3T1Y6	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
260	2021-11-21 21:35:36	Yes	B3T2H7	Yes	No		
263	2021-11-21 20:04:16	No	B3T2B3	Yes	No	No	
264	2021-11-21 20:02:01	No	b3n1t3	No			
265	2021-11-21 19:28:10	No	B3Z3W8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
266	2021-11-21 10:54:40	No	B3T0B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
267	2021-11-21 09:15:00	No	B3T1Z8	Yes	No		
268	2021-11-21 08:38:26	Yes	B3Z1M9	Yes	Yes	No	We were told that all intake at the site would be separate on site. You are now trying to do away with this critical component of our acceptance of the landfill agreement. No, no, no, this should not happen.
269	2021-11-21 08:34:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes			
270	2021-11-21 08:08:50	No	B3T1Y6	Yes			
272	2021-11-21 06:37:30	Yes	B3t1s6				
275	2021-11-20 22:19:47	No	B3Z1C7	Yes	Yes	No	Seems like jobs are trying to be replaced with fencing, which in turn will require further collection efforts "as necessary". Why replace jobs with different jobs? Seems like quite a bit of effort for an outcome nobody would like.
276	2021-11-20 22:13:14	No	B3t2b8	Yes			
277	2021-11-20 21:39:54	No	B3T2C9	Yes	No		
278	2021-11-20 20:55:18	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
279	2021-11-20 18:20:13	No	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	No	
281	2021-11-20 14:33:02	No	B3T1X2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
284	2021-11-20 13:01:56	No	B3T1T8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
285	2021-11-20 09:52:20	No	b3t2a4	Yes	No		
287	2021-11-20 06:22:00	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	Yes	No	Netting is dangerous for wildlife. would be interested to know how often people are cleaning the blowing litter
288	2021-11-20 05:22:39	Yes	B3T2L4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
289	2021-11-19 22:45:53	No	B3t1w5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
292	2021-11-19 19:55:05	Yes	B3t1a5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
293	2021-11-19 20:00:21	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes			
297	2021-11-19 17:40:58	Yes	B3t2l6	Yes	Yes	No	
300	2021-11-19 16:24:10	Yes	B3t2e8	Yes	No		
301	2021-11-19 15:30:49	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
302	2021-11-19 14:41:19	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	The wind is a cruel master and while the fence will mitigate some of the flying debris it is not reasonable to believe it will contain it all.
304	2021-11-19 13:53:50	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes			
306	2021-11-19 13:17:35	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
307	2021-11-19 12:52:56	Yes	B3T1S8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
309	2021-11-19 13:13:25	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	No		
310	2021-11-19 12:09:54	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
312	2021-11-19 11:21:59	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	It remains to be seen whether or not the mitigation measures will actually work so what are the plans are there if the blowing litter issue is not addressed by these mitigation measures?
313	2021-11-19 12:01:38	Yes	B3t0e2	Yes	Yes	No	I see litter today
314	2021-11-19 11:37:55	Yes	B3T0B3	Yes	Yes	No	
315	2021-11-19 11:40:51	No	b4e3j4	No			
316	2021-11-19 11:05:54	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	No	Litter can still blow over and around a cheap fence.
317	2021-11-19 10:51:58	No	B3t2a4	Yes	Yes	No	Have you ever driven on Prospect road? It's not just the litter from dumping but the cars and trucks bringing it to the waste facility. If you also add Dartmouth waste, all this increases.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
328	2021-11-19 09:26:04	No	B3t1s4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
329	2021-11-19 09:23:53	No	B3T1T7	Yes	No		
330	2021-11-19 09:18:34	No	B3T2E3	No			
331	2021-11-03 14:14:39	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	
332	2021-11-19 09:07:23	No	B3z3x7	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
336	2021-11-19 06:57:40	No	B3t1s7	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
340	2021-11-16 06:28:52	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
342	2021-11-18 13:01:08	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste should not be buried in landfill
343	2021-11-06 11:19:16	Yes	B3T1S3	Yes			
344	2021-11-18 08:13:25	No	B3Z1S1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
348	2021-11-17 22:58:07	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
349	2021-11-17 22:09:54	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes			
350	2021-11-17 21:58:13	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes			
351	2021-11-17 20:45:05	No	B3T1V4				
352	2021-11-17 16:59:54	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
353	2021-11-17 18:51:05	No	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
354	2021-11-17 18:35:15	Yes	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
356	2021-11-17 17:37:03	Yes	B3T1Z1	Yes	No		
358	2021-11-17 17:32:46	No	B3t1p9	Yes	No		
359	2021-11-17 16:50:01	No	B3t1z5	Yes	No		
361	2021-11-17 08:21:13	No	B3T0J9	Yes	Yes	No	
362	2021-11-17 16:12:46	Yes	B3T1Z5	Yes	Yes	No	
364	2021-11-17 14:48:48	No	B3T1Z7	Yes	Yes	No	Fencing is only as good as the design and there is no design that will prevent the wrath of mother nature. Fences will fall apart, have maintenance issues, get damaged by animals and allow flying debris to enter the surrounding wilderness area and potentially cause additional choking hazards for animals.
366	2021-11-17 14:03:17	No	B3T1S3	Yes	No		
368	2021-11-17 12:56:04	No	B3T2A3	Yes	No		
369	2021-11-17 12:39:30	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes	Yes	No	
372	2021-11-17 10:06:34	Yes	B3T2B4	Yes	Yes	No	There will be more litter.
373	2021-11-17 09:17:34	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	Nova Scotia is THE dirtiest province in this country and each and every time mitigation measures are promised, they are not delivered. Fencing? Really? That's what's going to alleviate this environmental nightmare?
374	2021-11-17 08:29:21	No	B3L2X9	Yes			
375	2021-11-17 07:34:30	No	B3Z1L7	Yes	No		
378	2021-11-17 02:20:42	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	No		
379	2021-11-16 22:06:39	No	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
380	2021-11-16 21:10:18	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	No		
381	2021-11-16 21:18:47	No	B3t1t8	Yes			
382	2021-11-16 21:09:04	No	B4E0H1	Yes			
384	2021-11-06 11:35:33	No	B3T1S6	Yes	No		
387	2021-11-16 20:28:47	No	B3T2A8	Yes	Yes	No	
388	2021-11-16 20:08:46	No	b4e0c5	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
389	2021-11-16 19:53:05	Yes	b3t1m6	Yes	Yes	No	
391	2021-11-16 19:17:01	Yes	B3t1v6	Yes	Yes	No	This Isn't going to fix the mess on the road way to and from who cleans that?
392	2021-11-16 17:11:00	Yes	B3t1z6	Yes	Yes		
394	2021-11-16 16:57:48	Yes	B3T1K6	Yes	Yes	No	
395	2021-11-16 16:47:46	No	B3T1V3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
396	2021-11-16 16:26:13	No	b3r1y2	Yes	Yes	No	leave the processing facilities there as was promised by city council years ago.
397	2021-11-16 16:09:29	No	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
398	2021-11-16 14:32:29	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	No		
402	2021-11-16 13:43:14	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	
403	2021-11-16 13:20:21	No	B3T2A5	Yes	Yes	No	I do not believe this happens on a regular basis. Only when a community group schedules a clean up day.
404	2021-11-16 13:04:35	Yes	B3T1T1	Yes	No		
405	2021-11-16 13:08:17	No	B3.2g6	Yes	No		
407	2021-11-16 12:16:47	Yes	B3T1R9	Yes	Yes	No	I have had much vehicle damage, three punctured tires this year, I have called waste mangement on many occasions when garbage was flying out of truck beds, told drivers that the load was unsecured, they did nothing continued to spead garbage around my community, why would i think this will change for the better??
409	2021-11-16 12:01:52	No	B3T2A3	Yes	No	No	
410	2021-11-16 11:28:33	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	No	
411	2021-11-15 19:57:12	No	B3T1Z6	Yes			
412	2021-11-16 11:13:28	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	
413	2021-11-16 10:54:56	No	B3T1R2	Yes	Yes	No	No follow through. Garbage on # 333 is a great example.
418	2021-11-08 04:39:24	No	B3t2b9	No			
419	2021-11-16 09:20:25	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	
420	2021-11-16 09:14:25	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	
421	2021-11-16 08:16:26	No	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	No	My experience is that the clean up is not adequate and that the litter is more far reaching.
422	2021-11-16 08:02:51	No	B3T2A6	Yes	Yes	No	I am concerned with the flying debris on prospect road that flies off trucks into traffic and the mess on prospect rd.
423	2021-11-16 07:03:38	No	B3T1S3	Yes	Yes	No	Litter collection to date has not been done on a regular basis, seems only when people complain.
425	2021-11-16 07:17:23	No	B4B0V3	No			
427	2021-11-16 06:40:08	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	No		
428	2021-11-16 05:15:53	No	B3t1r8	Yes	Yes	No	The more litter blowing around the faster these fences will deteriorate then they will have to be replaced more frequently which will either cost money or won't happen and there will be more litter blowing around
429	2021-11-16 03:50:44	No	b3t1r2	Yes			
432	2021-11-15 22:24:58	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes			
433	2021-11-15 21:42:51	No	B3z1k6	Yes	Yes	No	More fencing means more interference to wildlife. More on site clean up means more expenses.
436	2021-11-15 21:31:56	No	b3t0h5	Yes			
439	2021-11-15 20:24:57	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
442	2021-11-15 20:01:15	Yes	B3j1b6	Yes	No		
443	2021-11-15 19:21:38	No	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	Fences no matter how high will not address the issue.
444	2021-11-15 19:19:56	Yes	B3T0C5	Yes	No		
445	2021-11-15 19:23:32	No	B3M2Z8	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
447	2021-11-15 19:20:01	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes	No		
448	2021-11-15 19:18:50	Yes	B3T0C5	Yes			
449	2021-11-15 18:52:28	No	B3T1S7	Yes	Yes	No	With winter weather there will not be people cleaning up the highways. If so that is dangerous.
451	2021-11-15 19:07:14	Yes	B3T0C3	Yes	Yes	No	
453	2021-11-09 17:16:13	Yes	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	
454	2021-11-15 18:12:10	No	B3T2E4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
456	2021-11-15 18:00:43	No	B3m1b3	Yes	Yes	No	
457	2021-11-15 17:07:16	No	B3T1S7	Yes	Yes	No	Litter removal and maintenance of fencing on other sites proves to be infrequent and inadequate.
459	2021-11-15 16:33:39	Yes	b3t1n1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
460	2021-11-15 15:34:49	Yes	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	No	Too little too late usually with a portable or temporary fencing solution as they are usually put in place as a reactive measure otherwise it would be permanent and quite ugly. As for staff collection of debris, that just seems unnecessarily dangerous for the employees
463	2021-11-04 13:14:52	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes	No		
467	2021-11-15 15:05:11	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	No		
468	2021-11-15 14:28:53	No	B3T1T5	Yes	Yes	No	The amount of litter along this stretch of Prospect road is already bad enough. We've been told extra precautions and clean ups will happen but they never do!
469	2021-11-15 14:37:16	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	No	
470	2021-11-04 13:58:09	No	B3t2l1	Yes	Yes	No	We already have a litter issue from the waste facility.
472	2021-11-15 13:06:30	Yes	B3T1P4	Yes			
474	2021-11-15 11:55:02	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	Please deal with litter along the highway that blows out of the trucks and is left.
475	2021-11-15 12:15:51	Yes	B3t1t5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
476	2021-11-15 11:06:37	Yes	B3T1A2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
477	2021-11-15 11:09:54	No	B3t1z9	Yes	Yes	No	Med risk to high
478	2021-11-02 15:44:15	No	B3t1z9	Yes	Yes	No	M r too
479	2021-11-15 10:58:42	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	The 103 highway has litter issues from garbage trucks now. Increasing that will only increase the litter issue. During winter months crews will be hindered by snow.
482	2021-11-15 10:47:45	No	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	No	
483	2021-11-15 10:38:04	No	B3t1z9	Yes	Yes	No	
487	2021-11-15 08:33:12	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	Litter is a consistent problem in the Goodwood stretch, whether from this facility or from drop off facilities. If the material is not preprocessed there will be an inevitable increase. Any increase is unacceptable.
488	2021-11-15 09:26:01	No		Yes			
490	2021-11-15 08:50:46	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	No		
491	2021-11-15 08:35:24	No	B4A0G5	Yes	No		
492	2021-11-15 08:16:23	No	B3T1W2	Yes	Yes	No	Litter can easily blow over fencing. A lot of litter is also left beside #333 from trucks going to C&D
493	2021-11-15 08:06:45	Yes	B3P252	Yes	Yes	No	Keep the FEP/WSF. Do not deactivate.
494	2021-11-15 07:51:27	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	Yes	No	With the high winds we receive on a regular basis no fencing measures work. I have seen this at other sites and the litter is still present.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
496	2021-11-15 06:25:23	No	b2y2k5	Yes	Yes	No	Ultimately, dunking garbage is NOT a solution. I have lived [REDACTED] Since returning here I've been disgusted at his proud people are, saying how great we are for garbage separation. We suck.
497	2021-11-15 06:30:42	No	b3t1s6	Yes			
498	2021-11-15 06:04:16	No	B4A4G9	Yes			
499	2021-11-15 06:00:23	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes		
501	2021-11-15 05:17:48	No	B3T2B6	Yes			
502	2021-11-15 04:23:45	No	B3T2B6	Yes			
503	2021-11-14 22:48:09	Yes	B3T1T3	Yes	Yes	No	Escaping litter along highway from Waste trucks heading to processing plant
504	2021-11-14 23:28:13	Yes	B3t1s4	Yes	Yes	No	Because there is litter all over the prospect Road, from the start to the landfill. There needs to be more regular cleaning of the roadways/ditches from the beginning of prospect Road to landfill.
505	2021-11-14 21:41:33	No	B3L4E9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
507	2021-11-14 21:08:08	Yes	B3Z2R2	Yes			
508	2021-11-14 18:53:01	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	Yes	No	The fencing does nothing about birds scattering litter. And allows lots of litter to pass through regardless (e.g., the large gaps visible in the photos where the mesh meets the posts, which inevitably get larger over time).
509	2021-11-14 19:24:07	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	fences will not stop it all
510	2021-11-14 19:06:31	No	B3z2j2	Yes	Yes	No	Already in winter there is a noticeable amount of litter and waste on the roads and highways leading to the facility. High fencing will mitigate into point but with our winds it is probable that litter will escape the entrapment area and create additional waste outside of the dump. This will affect animals, plants and be a be a bad reflection on our city.
511	2021-11-14 18:40:50	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	No		
512	2021-11-14 18:24:35	No	B3z1c5	Yes			
513	2021-11-14 17:48:16	Yes	B3t1C4	Yes	Yes	No	
514	2021-11-14 17:24:20	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	No	Even with a fence, increase in litter will attract more rodents to the area
515	2021-11-14 17:13:33	No	B3t1z1	Yes	Yes	No	
517	2021-11-14 17:03:48	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
518	2021-11-14 16:51:46	No	B3t2c8	Yes	Yes	No	The fences will not stop the wildlife from removing trash
519	2021-11-14 16:42:02	No	B3T2a3	Yes	Yes	No	fences don't seem to be high enough for the winds we get in this area
520	2021-11-14 16:19:13	Yes	B3t1w1	Yes	Yes	No	Maintenance of fence will decrease and those fences make rats etc bears etc will get around those
521	2021-11-14 16:13:51	No	B3t2h8	Yes	No		
522	2021-11-14 15:41:54	No	B3Z1X7	Yes	Yes	No	That fence is too low.
523	2021-11-06 17:49:33	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes			
524	2021-11-14 15:16:45	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
525	2021-11-14 14:28:12	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes	Yes	No	?
526	2021-11-14 14:13:29	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
527	2021-11-14 12:59:52	No	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	
529	2021-11-14 12:32:35	Yes	B3T1T7	Yes	Yes	No	You are still creating negative impacts if you need 'mitigating controls'
530	2021-11-14 12:47:29	No	B3t2a8	No			
531	2021-11-14 12:46:53	Yes	b3t1a9	Yes	Yes		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
532	2021-11-14 12:15:28	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	No		
533	2021-11-14 12:23:24	Yes	b3t1a5	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
534	2021-11-14 11:50:10	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
535	2021-11-14 10:54:56	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	No		
537	2021-11-14 10:24:51	No	B3A2Y6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
538	2021-11-08 06:46:30	Yes	b3t3c9	Yes	Yes	No	
539	2021-11-14 09:41:02	No	b3t2b4	Yes	No		
540	2021-11-14 09:23:15	No	B3L1W1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
541	2021-11-14 09:02:04	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	Contaminants from blowing garbage will end up in Governor's lake.
542	2021-11-14 09:01:11	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes		
543	2021-11-14 07:47:50	Yes	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	No	Without the FEP it is guaranteed that paper products and plastic wrap will be caught by the wind and fly over the fences and into the surrounding areas affecting water quality and posing a hazard and danger to wildlife.
544	2021-11-14 07:20:45	Yes	B3T1A7	Yes	Yes	No	This is now- how will it impact later- we see what happens at other sites
545	2021-11-13 19:53:48	Yes	B3T0B6	Yes	Yes	No	I drive by all the time and litter maybe is suppose to be picked up but does not happen is not monitored enough
548	2021-11-14 07:04:09	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	
549	2021-11-07 15:48:37	Yes	B3t1w1	Yes	Yes	No	I
550	2021-11-14 06:41:46	Yes	B3t0b3	Yes	Yes	No	What about the route to the garbage centre. We have enough garbage on the road that is not being picked up
551	2021-11-14 06:26:48	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
552	2021-11-14 06:22:05	No	B3k1x3	Yes			
553	2021-11-14 04:19:11	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
554	2021-11-14 04:17:16	No	B4B0Y6	Yes	No		
555	2021-11-14 02:03:54	Yes	B3t0J3	Yes	Yes	No	Birds
556	2021-11-14 01:17:35	Yes	B3T2J3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
557	2021-11-14 01:10:03	Yes	B3t1k6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
558	2021-11-13 23:39:07	No	B3Z0E7	Yes			
561	2021-11-13 22:03:06	No	B3T2B4	Yes			
562	2021-11-13 21:58:46	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
563	2021-11-13 21:07:26	No	B3T1V8	Yes	No		
565	2021-11-13 20:50:36	No	B3N1E3				
568	2021-11-13 19:12:12	Yes	B3R1R4	Yes	Yes	No	No one has ever addressed the flying litter years ago, even after repeated promises.
569	2021-11-13 18:36:52	No	B3T1W7	Yes	Yes	No	Promises to do extra personnel pick up will not be done due to further budget restraints, increased windy days will move some garbage past these barriers
570	2021-11-13 18:56:22	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes			
573	2021-11-13 09:09:40	No		Yes	Yes	No	
576	2021-11-13 16:34:10	No	B3T1W2	Yes	Yes	No	More birds will be attracted to the landfill if the FEP/WSF is deactivated. Litter will be carried off by the birds and distributed to the surrounding areas.
577	2021-11-13 16:15:03	Yes	B3Z1E8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
578	2021-11-13 16:12:11	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	No	
579	2021-11-13 14:52:37	Yes	B3T1W9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
580	2021-11-13 15:28:04	No	B3T1S6	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
581	2021-11-13 15:35:18	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	Yes		
582	2021-11-13 15:09:51	Yes	B3T0C7	Yes	Yes	No	This neighbourhood is already full of garbage. I remember the smell of the garbage from when I was growing up! This is a growing area that people are putting lots of investment into, we don't need it to smell like garbage. Thank you.
584	2021-11-13 14:20:55	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes	Yes	No	
585	2021-11-13 14:05:39	No	B3t1s3	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
586	2021-11-13 13:03:09	Yes	B3t1t7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
587	2021-11-13 12:49:35	Yes	B3t2h9	Yes	Yes		
588	2021-11-13 12:12:35	Yes	B3T1W2	Yes	Yes	No	The proposed mitigations are unlikely to be followed or implemented because they rely on additional expenditures for staffing and fencing. I have zero confidence that if the front end processing is removed these things will actually be done. It seems like weak lip service to assuage concerns.
589	2021-11-13 12:34:33	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	No	These are reactive mitigation measures and not proactive.
590	2021-11-13 12:34:26	No	B3T1R2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
591	2021-11-12 10:59:07	No	B3T1W3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
592	2021-11-13 11:45:10	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	There is DAILY trash along the highway. Sometimes for days
594	2021-11-13 11:35:51	No	B3T2A6	Yes	Yes	No	Some but not all
595	2021-11-13 11:23:25	No	B3t1s1	Yes	Yes	No	Increased animal bird presence
596	2021-11-13 11:27:25	No	B3T1W9	Yes	No		
598	2021-11-13 09:13:42	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	Yes	No	Fencing is not a 100% effective measure to control litter
599	2021-11-13 10:52:22	Yes	B3t1v8	Yes			
601	2021-11-04 08:30:06	No	B3t1y6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
602	2021-11-13 09:10:48	No	B3T1R6	Yes	No		
603	2021-11-13 08:49:57	Yes	B3T0H6	Yes			
605	2021-11-08 10:53:05	Yes	B3T1T8	Yes	Yes	No	There is still way too much garbage being blown on to the roadside. There should not be any!
607	2021-11-13 08:42:24	No	B3T1T9	Yes	No		
608	2021-11-13 08:43:32	No	B3T1V8	Yes	No		
609	2021-11-13 08:37:22	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	Garage has a way of getting loose
613	2021-11-13 08:01:46	No	B3T1T3	Yes			
614	2021-11-13 07:52:06	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	Yes	No	Litter has been a growing problem along the Prospect Road and area. I doubt clean ups will be as effective as they should be.
615	2021-11-13 07:29:43	Yes	B3Z0N9	Yes	Yes	No	Spreading garbage will attract more wildlife.
616	2021-11-12 10:15:32	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes	No	
617	2021-11-13 07:32:01	No	B3t1z3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
618	2021-11-13 07:12:01	No	B3Z3A5	Yes	Yes	No	I am concerned that inappropriate materials will find their way into the landfill if monitoring decreases.
619	2021-11-13 07:22:29	No	B3t1y5	Yes			
620	2021-11-13 07:24:17	No	B3T1T8	Yes	Yes	No	
621	2021-11-13 06:57:29	No	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	No	We need to keep the employees there sorting the trash so birds don't over populate the area and carry the trash elsewhere
622	2021-11-13 06:34:34	No	B0J2C0	Yes	Yes	No	This won't block 100%
623	2021-11-13 06:11:22	Yes	B3T156	Yes	Yes	No	The additional litter is preventable if sorted properly.
624	2021-11-13 05:23:59	No	B3T1Y1	Yes	Yes		
625	2021-11-13 04:38:15	No	B3n1g2	Yes	Yes		
628	2021-11-12 22:32:48	No	B3T1V2	Yes	No		
630	2021-11-12 21:34:52	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
632	2021-11-12 21:45:13	No	B3t2b8	Yes			
635	2021-11-12 20:55:35	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	Mitigation measures such as additional litter collection and removal effort by on site personnel would likely not need to be increased if the FEP/WSF was in operation.
636	2021-11-12 20:53:35	Yes	B3t0e1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
637	2021-11-12 20:31:03	No	B3L3W3	Yes	Yes		
638	2021-11-12 20:33:57	No	B3Z0N9	Yes			
639	2021-11-12 19:53:27	Yes	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
640	2021-11-12 20:10:10	Yes	B3T2G2	Yes	Yes	No	Strong winds keep increasing with climate change so there needs to be better controls.
641	2021-11-12 19:54:56	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	There will still be litter escaping this system.
642	2021-11-12 19:51:56	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	No		
644	2021-11-04 15:18:44	No	B3T1T3	Yes			
645	2021-11-12 19:18:14	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	Organic should not be put on the landfill
647	2021-11-12 18:58:13	No	B3T1X7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
648	2021-11-12 19:00:02	No	B3R1Z6	Yes			
649	2021-11-12 18:45:16	No	B3T2L1	Yes	No		
650	2021-11-12 18:45:15	No	B3t2a6	Yes	No		
651	2021-11-12 18:39:00	No	B3T2B2	No			
653	2021-11-09 15:44:21	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
654	2021-11-12 17:58:39	No	B3t1s5	Yes	Yes	No	Too much depends on human managed efforts to address/ limit the risks. Portable fencing likely will not stand up to our winds and weather. If this system fails then the risk to the environment is concerning. What if the facility is closed with damage for days in a storm? The risk is identified as medium. The associated mitigation measures do not provide enough security
655	2021-11-12 18:04:48	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	
658	2021-11-12 17:20:52	Yes	B3t1r8	Yes	Yes		
660	2021-11-12 16:54:50	Yes	B3t1t4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
661	2021-11-12 16:50:26	No	B3t1p7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
662	2021-11-12 16:11:02	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes	Yes	No	With all the budget cuts, how does the city expect to provide extra man hours and staff to do this collection.
663	2021-11-12 16:22:48	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes	No	
664	2021-11-05 11:03:02	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	Because the fep was a main part of the original contract, anything less is unacceptable
665	2021-11-12 16:13:09	Yes	B3t1a2	Yes	Yes	No	
666	2021-11-12 15:58:30	No	B3T2B9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
668	2021-11-12 14:45:10	Yes	B3T2B6	Yes	No		
669	2021-11-12 14:09:19	No	B3Z0C8	Yes	Yes	No	So if there a risk of more blow litter, as there would be in a dump site - because no one is regulating what is being dumped - see answer to the previous question same concerns still exist.
673	2021-11-12 13:57:18	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	No	Impossible to manage
675	2021-11-12 13:46:14	No					
677	2021-11-12 13:27:53	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	No	This survey is leading and directing a response and does allow for important concerns to be addressed
678	2021-11-12 13:08:59	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	No	I still feel that this will not block debris well enough.
679	2021-11-12 13:23:55	No					
685	2021-11-12 11:19:12	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	No		
687	2021-11-04 13:53:39	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes		
688	2021-11-12 11:55:18	No	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
689	2021-11-12 11:21:27	Yes	B3t0k4	Yes	Yes	No	Not rodent/bird proof
690	2021-11-12 11:36:23	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	No		
692	2021-11-12 11:31:12	Yes	B3t0c4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
693	2021-11-12 11:32:34	Yes	B3t2g2	Yes	Yes	No	
695	2021-11-09 14:58:14	No	B3M3Y5	Yes	Yes	No	Litter has been a problem at this site since its inception, it requires constant monitoring! See [REDACTED] letter of November 8, 2021
696	2021-11-12 10:56:26	No	B3T2B3	Yes	Yes	No	A specific contact phone number for nearby residents to call if there is increased garbage
698	2021-11-12 10:45:38	No	B3t2b4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
699	2021-11-05 07:51:24	No		Yes	Yes	No	Concerning Portable fencing, rats etc are not deterred.
701	2021-11-12 10:20:55	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	More garbage will still get spread by birds and wind storms
702	2021-11-12 10:16:59	Yes	B3t1a9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
704	2021-11-12 09:41:35	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	No	Continue doing what the facility already does: open all garbage bags upon arrival, sort and remove organic materials from the rest of the rubbish, and treat the organic matter to make them inert before dumping them in the landfill. We know this treatment process works well, so why are you even considering taking it away???
707	2021-11-12 09:44:13	Yes	B3T0B6	Yes	Yes	No	This only works on the 103.
708	2021-11-12 09:47:42	No	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes		
709	2021-11-12 09:43:26	No	B3t0b2	Yes	Yes	No	
712	2021-11-12 09:41:22	No	B3T1X5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
713	2021-11-12 09:40:17	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes		
715	2021-11-12 09:10:33	No	B3T1W6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
717	2021-11-12 09:26:35	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	
719	2021-11-12 09:06:45	Yes	B3t2a2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
720	2021-11-12 08:33:02	No	B3Z3X1	Yes	Yes	No	The roadsides are NOT cleaned every day. I see things that have fallen from trucks/trailers lying on the road/in ditches for up to a week before it is removed. Trees are decorated with plastics for months at a time.
721	2021-11-12 08:18:26	No	B3T2A8	Yes	Yes	No	birds accessing theist will also distribute litter not caught in border barriers
723	2021-11-12 08:36:35	Yes	B3T2K5	Yes			
725	2021-11-12 08:27:32	Yes	B3T0C2	Yes	Yes	No	
726	2021-11-12 08:20:28	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	Not enough control.
728	2021-11-12 08:30:51	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes	Yes		
730	2021-11-12 08:11:33	No	B3t2a8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
732	2021-11-12 07:03:31	Yes	B3t1t5	Yes	Yes	No	My tires regularly are punctured by nails from debris
733	2021-11-11 22:26:20	Yes	B3t1c3	Yes	Yes	No	Wind can and will blow the garbage around unless you build a dome of netting around the whole place
735	2021-11-11 19:08:24	Yes	B3t1k2	Yes	No		
736	2021-11-11 18:30:49	No	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	No	Litter easily blows above a 6 foot fence. More litter (ie recyclable papers, lightweight plastics and all other debris)
739	2021-11-11 18:00:07	No	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	No	I do not understand what the waste is not covered at the end of each day by a biodegradable cover as in other provinces
741	2021-11-11 18:03:23	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
743	2021-11-11 16:40:58	Yes	B3T1R9	Yes	Yes	No	
745	2021-11-11 15:03:16	No	B3T2A4	Yes	Yes	No	I do not want the problem being created in the first place.
746	2021-11-11 13:12:54	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
747	2021-11-11 15:23:58	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes			
748	2021-11-11 14:02:48	No	B3t1t8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
749	2021-11-11 13:16:05	No	B3T2J7	Yes	Yes	No	There is a great deal of trash that blows off trucks not properly covered.
751	2021-11-11 13:09:16	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	No		
752	2021-11-11 13:01:23	No	B3T1W3	Yes	Yes	No	there is currently a significant amount of blowing litter that is not dealt with and I don't trust HRM to deal with an increase in litter.
753	2021-11-11 12:02:06	Yes	B3t2b2	Yes	Yes	No	This was not the deal with the people when you shoved this project down our throats tests sho
757	2021-11-11 11:49:47	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
759	2021-11-11 11:38:35	Yes	B3T1C3	No			
760	2021-11-11 11:30:14	No	B3T1S1	Yes	No		
761	2021-11-11 11:00:27	Yes	B3T1C3	Yes	Yes	No	
762	2021-11-11 10:05:48	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	Because there will be more waste going there and it won't be sorted.
763	2021-11-11 08:28:05	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	No		
767	2021-11-11 09:11:03	No	B3t2a1	Yes	Yes	No	It's more about litter blowing off of trucks on the Prospect Rd and less about litter blowing off site.
768	2021-11-11 08:46:55	No	B3t1p7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
769	2021-11-11 08:06:36	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	No		
770	2021-11-11 08:17:33	Yes	B3t1r9	Yes	Yes	No	I don't trust it. I think HRM should maintain its original agreement f.
771	2021-11-11 08:21:43	No	B0J2C0	Yes	Yes		
772	2021-11-11 08:18:25	Yes	B3M0J9	Yes	No		
773	2021-11-11 07:42:15	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	No	I drive by the area daily and there is always litter from the exhibition park to the landfill site. It doesn't appear that it is cleaned up daily.
774	2021-11-11 07:03:07	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
775	2021-11-10 14:02:24	Yes	B3t0e4	Yes	Yes	No	Not certain its sufficient. The wind in this area is intense.
776	2021-11-11 07:05:09	Yes	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	The fences are not lower the amount of litter being blown around. The garbage in the ditches on the 103 will increase.
777	2021-11-11 06:46:00	No	B3t1y7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
778	2021-11-11 06:28:21	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	The litter on the Prospect Road now is terrable! What measures will be done to clean that area?
779	2021-11-11 06:43:21	No	B3t2b6	Yes	Yes	No	Because over time this stuff will not be maintained. I work in [REDACTED] and maintenance is always underfunded and forgotten.
780	2021-11-11 06:39:32	No	B3T1V3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
781	2021-11-11 06:39:09	Yes	B3T1v3	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
782	2021-11-11 06:38:52	Yes	B3T0C7	Yes	Yes		
783	2021-11-11 06:31:00	No	B3T1V4	Yes	No		
784	2021-11-11 05:59:37	No	B3Z1L6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
785	2021-11-11 06:00:30	No	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	Prospect Rd is a great example of how these fences don't work when there is a storm
788	2021-11-10 23:24:38	Yes	B3T1W2				
789	2021-11-10 22:36:27	Yes	B3T0C7	Yes			
790	2021-11-10 21:14:36	Yes	B3T1C4	No			
795	2021-11-10 18:39:43	No	B3t1w9	Yes	Yes	No	The mitigation measures have resulted in a medium risk for blowing garbage.
798	2021-11-10 19:03:32	Yes	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	No	It time this was moved for another community to put up with...
799	2021-11-10 18:54:45	No	B3V1E5	Yes	No		
800	2021-11-10 18:25:49	Yes	B3T2B1	Yes	Yes	No	So who will keep the portable area's clean and maintain the fences

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
801	2021-11-06 14:25:32	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	No	It is still a risk.
804	2021-11-10 18:01:15	No	B3T1W9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
805	2021-11-10 16:59:47	No	B3t2b8	Yes	No		
806	2021-11-10 17:02:48	Yes	B3t1w6	Yes	No		
807	2021-11-10 15:00:14	No	B3T1X1	Yes	Yes	No	I do not want any changes made to the sorting of all garbage as i feel it will destroy the landfill.
808	2021-11-10 17:23:49	No	B0N1L0	Yes			
809	2021-11-10 17:14:41	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	Yes	No	Stuff can blow above these fences.
810	2021-11-10 17:10:28	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	
812	2021-11-10 16:45:10	No		No			
814	2021-11-10 15:52:29	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
815	2021-11-10 15:06:22	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	Yes	No	Wind blown litter does not respect the height of your fences
816	2021-11-10 15:16:00	No	B3T1S4	Yes			
817	2021-11-10 15:09:41	No	B3T1W6	Yes	Yes		
818	2021-11-10 14:33:05	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
819	2021-11-10 14:33:26	Yes	B3T2K8	Yes	Yes	No	for example, these nets should be higher for more collection of debris. Why are we changing what is working as it is currently in place as it is today?
820	2021-11-10 14:24:27	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
823	2021-11-10 13:33:49	No	B0J1T0	Yes	Yes	Yes	
826	2021-11-10 12:33:58	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes	No		
827	2021-11-10 11:29:56	No	B3T1V1	Yes	Yes	No	Failure of the facility to follow the original agreement, makes me doubt that this fencing will be installed, and maintained
828	2021-11-10 12:07:40	No	B3T1Z7	Yes	Yes	No	Temporary fencing and other fencing is only as good as it's maintenance. As soon as a fence is knocked over or damaged it ceases to work.
829	2021-11-10 11:47:43	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	Yes	No	It is inevitably that increased unprocessed garbage will increase additional blowing litter.
830	2021-11-10 11:50:45	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
832	2021-11-04 18:40:19	No	B3T1X3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
833	2021-11-10 10:27:02	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
834	2021-11-10 10:36:38	Yes	B3T1K5	Yes	No		
835	2021-11-10 10:51:19	Yes	B3t1z5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
836	2021-11-04 15:05:28	Yes	b3t1r8	Yes			
837	2021-11-10 09:43:11	No	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
838	2021-11-10 10:01:07	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes	Yes	No	Continues to be litter blowing off of vehicles along Highway 103 and Hwy 3 as they make their way to the landfill site
839	2021-11-10 09:38:48	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	I do not believe that these measures will efficiently prevent litter from blowing into forest and lakes.
840	2021-11-10 09:18:17	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
841	2021-11-10 08:57:45	No	b3t2l1	Yes	Yes	No	Litter is an ongoing issue. Daily pick up does not happen as indicated. The current plan does not keep up with the existing situation. It will only get worse with these changes. Trucks often have garbage falling out of them - enforcement of covering is lacking.
843	2021-11-09 10:53:27	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	No	Birds and other animals will pick up litter and transfer it
844	2021-11-10 08:24:45	No	b3t1z2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
847	2021-11-10 08:23:29	No	B3P2J3	No			
848	2021-11-10 08:21:46	Yes	B3Z0N9	Yes			
849	2021-11-10 07:38:55	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	No	Humans are lazy.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
850	2021-11-10 07:44:45	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	I already see garbage on the 103 that is not collected. I do not believe this is going to help.
851	2021-11-10 07:51:24	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	No		
852	2021-11-10 07:46:12	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	Honour the agreements!
853	2021-11-10 07:19:40	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	I drive past every day and the 103 and the parkway is always littered in garbage
856	2021-11-10 07:17:17	No	B4C4H4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
857	2021-11-10 07:12:40	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
858	2021-11-10 06:32:23	No	B3t1x2	Yes		Yes	
861	2021-11-04 10:46:19	Yes	B3t1b8	Yes	Yes	No	
862	2021-11-10 06:39:16	Yes	bek3x4	No			
863	2021-11-10 06:01:29	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	Yes	No	It's the smell n rodents it will bring even more. A net won't stop everything
870	2021-11-09 21:16:37	No	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	
876	2021-11-09 20:32:29	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes	No		
878	2021-11-09 20:21:20	Yes	B3T1S6	No			
879	2021-11-09 19:57:59	Yes	B3T2G2	Yes	Yes	No	Unacceptable. The agreement was for a clean community. Blowing paper creates mess and is visually dirty.
880	2021-11-09 20:07:42	No	B3T1X5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
881	2021-11-09 19:46:50	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	in and lives and the environment will pay the price
882	2021-11-09 19:44:51	No	B3T1Y4	Yes	Yes	No	We don't need a fence, we just don't need the landfill size increased
883	2021-11-09 19:26:51	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
884	2021-11-09 19:22:19	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	No		
885	2021-11-09 19:33:14	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
887	2021-11-09 19:29:36	No	B3Z4B6	No			
888	2021-11-09 19:17:55	No	B3T2C3	Yes	No		
889	2021-11-09 19:15:21	Yes	B3T2A3	Yes	No		
890	2021-11-08 12:55:59	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes		
892	2021-11-09 18:48:02	No	B3S0G5	Yes	No		
895	2021-11-09 18:19:35	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	No		
900	2021-11-09 17:14:50	No	B3T1W5	Yes	Yes	No	your current mitigation isn't working and a few extra fences won't make much difference, rather than going against what you promised you would, why don't you take some of the tax money that we all pay for the things we don't have (sidewalks/sewers/water) and actually keep the area clean
901	2021-11-09 17:15:45	Yes	B3t0c8	Yes	Yes	No	It is a lot of nice words, but quite frankly the risk is 100% with us residents
905	2021-11-09 16:52:54	No	B3t1r6	Yes	No		
906	2021-11-09 16:41:54	No	B3N2R7	Yes	Yes	No	
907	2021-11-09 16:30:43	No	B3T1Z2	Yes	Yes	No	Waste is already present on the road sides near the landfill, it is not enough to mitigate it at the landfill. What is being done to limit waste falling off trucks en route?
908	2021-11-09 16:42:52	Yes	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	A fence doesn't cover the risk of blowing garbage or animals getting into the waste.
911	2021-11-09 16:09:21	No	B3t1z4	Yes	Yes		There will be a higher volume due to residents reducing diligence with green bins etc. Roadside debris will worsen
913	2021-11-09 15:56:25	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	No		
914	2021-11-09 15:44:59	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	I dont want my community to smell like garbage

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
915	2021-11-09 14:47:57	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	A high fence will not mitigate the affects of a strong north east storms capabilities.
917	2021-11-09 15:33:29	No	B3T1Z1	Yes	No		
918	2021-11-09 15:20:00	No	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	No	This will not provide the litter control that is currently in place now. No one has been able to curb the debris on the Prospect Road from the construction debris facility. Why would it work there at Otter Lake.
920	2021-11-09 15:32:45	No	L23123	No			
921	2021-11-09 15:16:20	Yes	b3t1n2	Yes	Yes	No	Litter will still be blown around and not be caught with the catch fencing
922	2021-11-09 15:17:57	No	B3T1W5	Yes	Yes	No	
923	2021-11-09 15:12:54	Yes	B3T0C4	Yes	No		
925	2021-11-09 14:48:21	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	No	A taller netting should be in place. What if debris is smaller than the netting? Debris could easily go through
926	2021-11-09 14:50:02	Yes	b3t2j7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
927	2021-11-09 14:50:10	Yes	B3T0G7	Yes	Yes	No	
928	2021-11-07 16:12:09	No	B3T1W9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
932	2021-11-09 13:51:42	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes	No	
934	2021-11-03 16:19:08	No	B3I2y8	Yes	No		
935	2021-11-09 08:42:24	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	This would put the on site personnel at more risk than before
937	2021-11-09 13:43:34	No	B3Z3J2	Yes	No		
938	2021-11-09 13:30:26	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	No		
939	2021-11-09 13:29:52	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	No		
940	2021-11-09 13:29:51	No		Yes			
942	2021-11-09 13:22:57	Yes	B3t1a4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
943	2021-11-02 20:30:22	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	
945	2021-11-09 12:58:12	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	No	
947	2021-11-09 12:19:14	No	B3T2B1	Yes	Yes	No	Present efforts are in place for present conditions, present efforts are a bare minimum and will not be sustainable with reduced waste processing.
949	2021-11-09 12:26:37	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	Yes	No	
951	2021-11-09 12:02:12	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	You don't catch it all
952	2021-11-09 11:59:04	Yes	B3t0c8	Yes	Yes	No	Again. There are no guarantees. You cannot predict weather impact on these issues.
953	2021-11-09 11:57:23	No	B3T1Y1	Yes	Yes	Yes	You're dense if you think there won't be more litter
955	2021-11-09 11:52:04	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	I've seen litter
960	2021-11-09 11:29:04	Yes	B3t1k9	Yes	Yes	No	It won't stop the issue
963	2021-11-09 11:28:38	Yes	B3T1V1	Yes			
968	2021-11-09 10:53:05	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
969	2021-11-09 10:51:16	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes	Yes	No	Good grief, people. Are you serious????
971	2021-11-09 10:53:34	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes			
972	2021-11-09 10:49:14	Yes	B3t1a4	Yes	Yes		
974	2021-11-09 09:58:25	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	Yes	No	There will still be the issue of blowing debris
976	2021-11-09 09:25:11	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
977	2021-11-09 09:23:40	No	B4A2E6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
979	2021-11-09 08:42:39	No	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
980	2021-11-09 08:01:33	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes		I see more garbage around our road ways then before this site was erected.I was behind the garbage truck one day and debris was flying out of it and no one on the truck stopped to clean up
981	2021-11-09 08:41:25	No	B3T1Y3	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
982	2021-11-09 08:23:25	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
983	2021-11-09 08:09:01	No	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
984	2021-11-09 08:02:52	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	No	With the processor currently in place, litter is already a factor in the area. This will not be made better with the removal of the processor.
986	2021-11-09 07:12:32	Yes	B3T2K5	Yes	No		
988	2021-11-09 07:25:51	No	B3T1W3	Yes	No		
994	2021-11-09 06:08:21	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	The mitigation tactics described above are subject to individuals having ability to collect blowing litter. This could easily change with budget or staffing restrictions in future and should not be considered a solution.
995	2021-11-09 05:54:57	Yes	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	No	More free floating litter means more interaction with wildlife and environment. Mitigation such as fences is a limited step to prevent these interaction. Why not keep the waste facility as is and keep the risk as low as it currently is.
996	2021-11-09 05:38:15	No	B3T2I7	Yes	Yes	No	The wind blows light weight litter everywhere
997	2021-11-09 04:57:24	No	B3T1W4	Yes	Yes	No	
1001	2021-11-08 22:21:42	No	B3T1S5				
1005	2021-11-08 08:40:32	No	B3t1b4	Yes	Yes	No	Look around it still blows
1007	2021-11-08 20:54:32	Yes	B3T2B5	Yes			
1009	2021-11-08 20:37:11	No	B3T2C9	No			
1012	2021-11-08 19:54:39	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	No	There will still be lots of litter blowing off of the trucks
1014	2021-11-04 22:00:27	No	B2T1H7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1016	2021-11-08 19:50:23	Yes	B3T1R4	No			
1017	2021-11-08 19:34:39	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1018	2021-11-08 19:30:52	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	No	Regular mess on prospect road. Takes a long time to get cleaned up. Your track record is not very flattering to your cause. It's an embarrassment
1019	2021-11-08 18:59:19	No	B3z3s9	Yes	Yes	No	There needs to be more litter pickup
1020	2021-11-07 15:48:42	No	B3T1Z9	Yes	No		
1021	2021-11-08 18:58:30	Yes	b3t1v1	Yes	No		
1022	2021-11-08 18:26:23	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	Opposed to the removal of FEP and WSF
1024	2021-11-08 18:30:53	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1026	2021-11-08 18:08:04	No		Yes	Yes	Yes	
1028	2021-11-08 17:55:33	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	Yes	No	
1029	2021-11-08 17:21:04	No	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1034	2021-11-08 17:28:05	No	B3R1R4	Yes			
1035	2021-11-04 09:30:06	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes	Yes		
1036	2021-11-08 17:13:22	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1039	2021-11-08 17:05:47	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1041	2021-11-08 16:47:19	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe the netting will mitigate blowing debris.
1042	2021-11-08 16:47:41	No	B3T1W3	Yes	No		
1045	2021-11-08 16:32:35	No	B3Z1Z6	Yes	Yes	No	Litter in the fence will be unsightly and personnel will have an additional unpleasant job
1046	2021-11-08 16:34:44	No	B3t1z2	Yes	Yes	No	Current set up allows for waste to blow through. I am not confident that mitigation efforts will work and/or be implemented in a timely manner.
1049	2021-11-08 16:19:31	No	B3t1s7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1050	2021-11-08 16:14:16	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1051	2021-11-08 15:46:53	Yes	B3t2g5	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1054	2021-11-08 11:54:40	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	Yes	No	there is regularly blowing litter along the 103 that falls of vehicles that is not cleaned promptly.
1055	2021-11-08 15:31:01	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes	Yes	No	
1056	2021-11-08 14:49:55	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
1058	2021-11-08 14:54:19	No	B3T2B2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1060	2021-11-08 14:03:38	Yes	B3T1S3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1061	2021-11-08 14:17:43	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes	No		
1063	2021-11-08 13:59:50	No	B3T2A2	Yes	Yes	No	Have experienced litter blowing from trucks
1064	2021-11-08 13:13:47	Yes	B3T1R2	Yes	Yes	No	Mitigation measures intended to decrease the litter and debris are already in place and not working the way they're intended. The road always has garbage on it and even flattened one of our tires going home.
1065	2021-11-08 13:38:16	No	B3T1Z1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1066	2021-11-08 13:41:11	No	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	
1067	2021-11-03 18:18:40	No	B3T0E7	Yes			
1069	2021-11-08 12:37:43	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes		No	
1071	2021-11-08 12:17:34	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	With the fences shown in the pictures, litter can certainly go through the fences.
1075	2021-11-08 12:12:12	Yes	B3t2g8	Yes	Yes	No	There will probably be additional clean up for the first part of the year but eventually it'll dwindle away and no clean up will happen.
1076	2021-11-08 11:56:11	No	B3Z1L6	Yes	Yes	No	It is amazing the amount of litter in the area and fences may get some but not even close to all. With the addition of non treated organic waste there will be more birds this more litter being taken off site
1077	2021-11-08 11:27:30	Yes	B3t1s5	Yes	Yes	No	The current process was promised as years ago as world class Keep that promise. This is a terrible format for inputting. I received an invalid format notice and had to erase my other notes
1080	2021-11-08 09:34:59	No	a1a2b2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1082	2021-11-08 07:49:18	Yes	B3T1z1	Yes			
1088	2021-11-08 10:35:01	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes		The cost and maintenance of this infrastructure has already been included in the cost of the original site. This is additional cost to achieve the same result and a cost benefit analysis should be part of the decision process
1089	2021-11-08 10:28:53	No	B351K9	Yes	No		
1090	2021-11-08 10:17:42	No	B3t0a2	Yes	Yes	No	Prospect road is a constant litter box between st Margaret's bay road and the landfill. With increased traffic this will only get worse and it is only ever cleaned up by community volunteers.
1092	2021-11-08 09:55:37	No	B3t1c4	Yes	No		
1093	2021-11-08 09:42:08	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	No	These measures will not solve the issue
1094	2021-11-08 09:24:29	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	
1095	2021-11-08 09:19:28	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes	No	The nets are not going to prevent litter
1096	2021-11-08 08:49:35	Yes	B3T1Z9	Yes	Yes	No	We should not create new issues where the current operations are controlling blowing litter.
1097	2021-11-08 08:51:08	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	No	There's evidence of large scale litter beyond 103.
1098	2021-11-08 09:19:38	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1101	2021-11-08 08:49:26	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	
1102	2021-11-08 08:53:00	Yes	B3T3J5	Yes			
1103	2021-11-08 08:48:10	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1104	2021-11-08 08:51:21	No	B3t1w5	No			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1105	2021-11-08 08:20:52	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	I suspect that the additional cleanup efforts will be insufficient and will become an ongoing problem.
1107	2021-11-08 08:42:38	Yes	B3T1P7				
1108	2021-11-08 08:42:39	No	B3T1Z6	No			
1109	2021-11-08 08:18:26	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	No		
1110	2021-11-08 08:08:00	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	No	This doesn't address the litter on the highway leading to the landfill
1112	2021-11-08 08:28:11	No	B3T2A7				
1113	2021-11-08 08:14:20	No	B3Z2X3	Yes	No		
1114	2021-11-08 08:16:52	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	Yes		
1115	2021-11-08 07:46:17	Yes	B3t1v4	Yes	Yes	No	Fencing can only catch so much and more proactive measures should be taken to ensure no litter is able to be blown around.
1116	2021-11-03 20:51:51	No	B3t1x8	Yes	Yes	No	The trucks are not properly secure
1117	2021-11-07 20:19:40	No	B4E1P1	Yes	Yes	No	If the first two steps are removed, the process is incomplete and will be obvious from its smell.
1118	2021-11-08 07:52:44	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes	Yes	No	
1119	2021-11-08 07:38:03	Yes	B3t1a8	Yes	No		
1120	2021-11-08 07:36:04	Yes	B3t1m6	Yes	Yes	No	The proposed measures depend on a manager's ability or desire to put in extra fencing. This subjective component will result in variation of application hence varying amount of blowing litter. This is a massive environmental hazard
1121	2021-11-08 07:45:21	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes			
1122	2021-11-08 07:23:00	No	b3t2a7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1123	2021-11-08 07:07:18	Yes	B3t2j5	Yes	Yes	No	This survey continues to tell me all the reasons why I should not be concerned about the things I am concerned about. Have you ever lived near a not managed dump?
1124	2021-11-08 06:46:08	Yes	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	I feel the measures are working now and do not need to be changed
1126	2021-11-08 06:55:22	Yes	B3T1C3	Yes			
1127	2021-11-08 04:58:03	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes	No	That says it all you can not control the waste in the manor that you want to go in with the FEP and the WSF it will lower the amount that blows away
1128	2021-11-08 05:57:54	Yes	B3t1r2	Yes	Yes	No	It doesn't address the people that drive to the landfill with improper tie downs. People have had near miss situations with large debris flying off the back of trucks
1129	2021-11-08 05:45:23	No	B3T2X2	Yes			
1130	2021-11-08 05:32:56	No	B3T2L4	Yes	Yes	No	Garbage gets blown everywhere and the fences don't prevent the garbage from being blown all the time
1131	2021-11-08 05:12:32	No	B3T1P9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1132	2021-11-08 04:31:17	Yes	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1133	2021-11-08 04:33:18	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes			
1134	2021-11-07 21:14:42	Yes	B3t1c9	Yes	Yes	No	
1137	2021-11-07 20:48:19	Yes	B3t1p4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1139	2021-11-07 20:55:15	Yes	B3l1p7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1140	2021-11-07 20:25:53	No	b3t1z2	Yes	Yes	No	the solution contains the words....as needed. That means yo wont put them up until after you know they are needed....or someone will then "determine" they are no longer needed..
1142	2021-11-07 20:00:50	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1143	2021-11-07 19:37:12	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	Yes	No	The prospect road looks like landfill because no enforcement of how all this trucks and vehicle carrying garbage
1144	2021-11-07 19:34:37	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	No		
1145	2021-11-07 19:37:41	No	B3r1l8	No			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1147	2021-11-07 19:15:47	No	B2T1W4				
1149	2021-11-07 18:11:44	No	B3T2A2	Yes	Yes	No	Do not accept this will successfully mitigate in pract
1151	2021-11-07 18:17:57	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Litter will still blow through open areas and if netting becomes damaged. The smell will not be blocked from the netting either.
1152	2021-11-07 18:15:55	No	B3t2k8	Yes	No		
1155	2021-11-07 16:51:42	Yes	b3t1p3	Yes	No		
1157	2021-11-07 17:18:42	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	No	What about the birds that might get into the litter?
1158	2021-11-07 17:15:21	Yes	B3T0B5	Yes	Yes	No	I've seen this type of stuff "mitigated" similarly and it failed horribly.
1159	2021-11-07 17:13:31	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1160	2021-11-07 17:11:12	No	B3t1r5	Yes			
1161	2021-11-07 16:38:52	No	B0N1Z0	Yes			
1163	2021-11-07 16:19:55	No	B3T1R3	No			
1165	2021-11-07 15:31:58	Yes	B3t1b4	No			
1167	2021-11-07 15:25:21	No	B3z3w9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1169	2021-11-07 15:06:35	Yes	B3t1z9	Yes	Yes	No	There is currently constant litter, issues with nails in tires etc. Along the prospect road , increased flow,traffic and garbage is going to make this worse.
1170	2021-11-07 15:00:46	No	B3T1V2	Yes			
1172	2021-11-07 14:52:12	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	with the changes there will be more litter and therefore probably not able to maintain amount of increase in litter
1173	2021-11-07 14:46:22	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
1174	2021-11-07 14:42:21	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	No		
1175	2021-11-07 14:41:01	No	B3T0H6	Yes			
1176	2021-11-07 14:20:30	No	B3t1s1	Yes	Yes	No	Fences won't stop it
1178	2021-11-07 14:27:42	Yes	B3T0B4	Yes			
1181	2021-11-07 13:57:16	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1182	2021-11-07 13:55:59	Yes	B3T2K8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1183	2021-11-07 13:53:13	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	Yes		
1184	2021-11-07 13:37:11	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1185	2021-11-07 13:27:30	No	B3T0B6	Yes	No		
1187	2021-11-07 12:08:35	Yes	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	No	Because on occasion I do see garbage along the Prospect road!
1188	2021-11-07 12:49:52	No	B3Z3W1	Yes	No		
1189	2021-11-07 12:44:35	No	B3T1P7	Yes			
1190	2021-11-07 12:35:22	No	B3t2a3	No			
1191	2021-11-07 11:49:44	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	I see enough litter along the highway as it is. I don't believe that putting the onus into site personnel will effect any change whatsoever. They are not personally invested in the outcomes.
1192	2021-11-07 11:56:57	No	B3Z3w8	Yes			
1193	2021-11-07 11:36:13	No	B3T1Z6	Yes			
1194	2021-11-07 11:13:44	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1197	2021-11-07 11:03:59	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
1198	2021-11-07 11:06:07	No	b3t2a4	Yes			
1199	2021-11-07 10:51:01	No	B3T2B4	Yes	No		
1200	2021-11-07 10:56:06	No	B3t2a7	Yes			
1201	2021-11-07 10:24:38	Yes	B3T2A4	Yes	Yes	No	Why make changes that will have such negative effects? You have a system now that should be improved not removed.
1202	2021-11-07 10:37:11	No	B3T1T7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1203	2021-11-07 10:25:31	Yes	B3T2A4	Yes	Yes	No	This is not a survey. This is shameful propaganda.
1205	2021-11-07 10:05:30	No	B3T2A8	Yes	Yes	No	
1206	2021-11-07 09:39:06	Yes	b3t0j3	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1208	2021-11-07 09:43:08	No	B3T0A2	Yes			
1211	2021-11-07 09:03:00	No	B3T1W3	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1214	2021-11-07 08:26:41	No	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	No	Who will monitor if this is actually being done and effectively impact of mitigation measures appears speculative rather than conclusive
1215	2021-11-07 08:21:25	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	
1216	2021-11-07 08:04:04	No	B3T1W1	Yes	No		
1217	2021-11-07 08:01:00	Yes	B3t1a5	Yes	Yes	No	Debris could blow up and over fencing
1219	2021-11-07 07:22:35	Yes	B3t2h9	Yes	Yes	No	
1221	2021-11-07 06:19:51	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	No		
1222	2021-11-07 06:59:44	No	b2v2g5	Yes	No		
1223	2021-11-07 06:41:30	No	B3t1y6				
1224	2021-11-07 06:19:20	No	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	No	Fences may contain some of the blowing litter, but litter has a way of finding itself everywhere. Although cleanup is regularly now done along the Prospect Rd, there are still many days when there is an unacceptable amount of litter along this, on of the most visited tourist routes in the province. What does this say about how we care for our environment!
1225	2021-11-07 06:29:25	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	No		
1229	2021-11-03 23:07:03	Yes	B3t1n1	Yes	Yes	No	I am worried more about the stench
1230	2021-11-07 05:57:59	No	B3T1A4	Yes			
1232	2021-11-07 05:28:05	No	B3T1R6	Yes	No		
1233	2021-11-06 23:19:15	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	No	I understand your minor attempts at containment but it's now enough, sorry.
1236	2021-11-06 22:34:36	Yes	B3T1S1	Yes	No		
1239	2021-11-06 21:44:24	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1240	2021-11-06 21:09:24	Yes	B3T1P9	Yes			
1241	2021-11-06 20:51:38	No	B3T2B8	Yes	Yes	No	illegal dumping is rampant in this area
1242	2021-11-06 20:24:42	No	B3T2C9	Yes	Yes		I don't want to see this material in the land fill if it is biodegradable
1244	2021-11-06 20:44:41	No	B3T0B6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1246	2021-11-04 18:10:53	Yes	B3S1N5	Yes	Yes	No	You need to be recycling more
1250	2021-11-06 19:43:28	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1252	2021-11-06 19:10:42	No	B4a3x8	Yes	Yes	No	While cleanups will help to address the issue, allowing the litter to enter the environment seems like a mistake. I would also be concerned for residents in the area.
1253	2021-11-06 19:07:34	No	B3T1Y3	Yes			
1254	2021-11-06 18:59:26	Yes	B3T1P4	Yes	Yes	No	
1258	2021-11-06 18:14:13	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	No	There is no guarantee that the "additional mitigation measures" will decrease the medium risk of littering at site
1259	2021-11-06 18:05:21	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	No	Concerned about chemicals and other hazardous items leaching into the ground affecting the water and soil quality
1260	2021-11-06 18:06:15	No	B3T2J1	Yes			
1261	2021-11-06 17:52:36	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	No	People will be dumping unbagged, loose items without thinking about the ramifications to the environment.
1263	2021-11-06 17:44:19	Yes	B3t1b6	Yes	Yes	No	Fencing won't help with high winds. And rodents is a Big issue
1268	2021-11-06 16:26:12	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	No		
1270	2021-11-06 16:08:55	No	b3k3m2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1271	2021-11-06 16:01:23	Yes	B3T0K1	Yes	Yes	No	Increased presence of vermin
1273	2021-11-06 15:49:32	No	B3K3M2	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1274	2021-11-06 15:34:47	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	No	It is really windy in that area and I'm not convinced that enough is being done.
1275	2021-11-06 15:27:16	No	B3T1S8	Yes	No		
1276	2021-11-06 15:16:29	No	B3t0b4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1279	2021-11-06 14:37:38	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	Yes	No	Not happy with changed
1280	2021-11-06 14:03:21	No	B3T1S2	Yes	No		
1281	2021-11-06 13:24:18	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1282	2021-11-06 13:48:43	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	even under the current conditions, there is too much blowing around
1285	2021-11-06 12:39:43	Yes	B3t1r8	Yes	Yes	No	Inadequate measures at the site
1287	2021-11-06 12:40:43	No	B3T2H3	Yes	Yes	No	I remember the sackville land fill nightmare
1288	2021-11-06 11:29:01	No	b3z1m3	Yes	Yes	No	The Front end Processor would remove the need for fencing. The wind still blows the litter outside of the fencing
1289	2021-11-06 11:54:35	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes	No		
1293	2021-11-06 11:37:59	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	No	lots of litter to prove otherwise
1294	2021-11-06 11:47:56	Yes	B3S1S3	No			
1295	2021-11-06 11:35:28	No	B3t2a8	Yes	Yes	No	
1296	2021-11-06 11:30:28	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	
1300	2021-11-06 09:27:36	No	B3z2r4	Yes	Yes	No	I have seen drywall and insulation blowing off of trucks on the 103 highway.
1301	2021-11-06 09:21:57	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	No	We voted for the landfill based on these measures staying in place. A fence is not a substitute
1302	2021-11-06 09:06:28	Yes	B3T1T8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1309	2021-11-06 08:30:33	Yes	B2G1S7	Yes			
1310	2021-11-06 08:28:27	No	B3T1Y8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1312	2021-11-06 08:05:22	No	B3T1X3	Yes			
1313	2021-11-06 07:45:16	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	
1314	2021-11-06 07:55:30	No	B3t1w1	Yes	Yes		
1315	2021-11-06 07:55:10	Yes	B3t0a3	Yes			
1317	2021-11-06 07:18:33	Yes	B3T0E2	Yes	Yes	No	More needs to be done to help Lee out community clean!
1318	2021-11-06 06:55:39	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	The mess seen along the highway isn't cleaned promptly now, it will not improve I'm sure
1319	2021-11-05 21:39:30	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1320	2021-11-06 07:02:40	No	B3t1s6	Yes	Yes	No	Litter along road is still bad even with cleanup and fencing
1322	2021-11-06 06:37:48	Yes	B3T0C9	Yes	No		
1323	2021-11-06 06:08:53	Yes	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	Take a drive along the highway and see the litter in the ditches along the 102 and then we got stuck with the compost building on Prospect road because also told us there would be no smell from this (WRONG)
1324	2021-11-06 06:28:02	Yes	B3T0E6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1325	2021-11-06 06:25:14	Yes	B3t0e6	Yes	No		
1328	2021-11-06 04:07:50	No	B3Z1L6	Yes	No		
1329	2021-11-06 03:37:18	No	B3T1S3	No			
1338	2021-11-05 21:06:04	Yes	b3t2c8	Yes	Yes	No	"we will clean it up" is a promise anyone can make and easily break. trying to fix sloppy results is is no substitute for proper sorting and processing
1341	2021-11-05 20:44:02	Yes	B3T2E1	No			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1342	2021-11-05 20:02:07	Yes	B3T0C6	Yes	Yes	No	Additional blowing litter stopped by more/ higher fence is still additional blowing litter. Do your offsite impact study (how much did that cost??) and account for drastic weather changes due to climate change or is the model based off current localised weather patterns? How much of the 2 million dollar savings will go toward additional fencing and blowing litter monitoring costs?
1343	2021-11-05 20:38:33	Yes	B3t0a2	Yes	Yes	No	
1345	2021-11-05 19:50:42	No	B3Z2T4	Yes	Yes	No	It's better to reduce the problem at source than cleaning up afterwards
1348	2021-11-03 17:59:35	No	B3L3H5	Yes	Yes		
1350	2021-11-05 19:02:54	No	B3g1a5				
1351	2021-11-05 18:58:41	No	b0p1p0	Yes	Yes	No	
1353	2021-11-05 18:55:55	Yes	b3t1r5	Yes	Yes		
1354	2021-11-05 18:21:58	Yes	B3t1b1				
1355	2021-11-05 17:56:09	No	B3Z2T1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1356	2021-11-05 18:03:41	No	B3T2B5	Yes	No		
1358	2021-11-05 17:57:20	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	No	They may clean the side of the highway but they don't clean the old existing greenhead rd
1359	2021-11-05 17:50:35	No	b2y2a6	Yes			
1360	2021-11-05 17:36:31	Yes	B3T1V6	Yes	Yes	No	Need to address litter gathering on the 333 highway before the tipping station.
1361	2021-11-05 17:30:56	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	No	What backup plans are in place in the evening the net does not catch everything? Prospect road is a mess as it is
1362	2021-11-05 17:36:27	No	b3t1s5	Yes	No	No	
1363	2021-11-05 17:37:06	Yes	B3t2e1	Yes			
1364	2021-11-05 17:19:16	No	B0J1T0	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1368	2021-11-05 16:44:20	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	No	You stated that there's a medium risk for an increase in on-site litter yet the measures proposed are simple, basic and ineffective for a medium level of risk. This impacts the environment at the waste disposal site even more.
1369	2021-11-05 16:39:39	No	B3Z3A9	Yes	Yes	No	It's a bandaid solution. Dies not address the problem of proper elimination of waste.
1370	2021-11-05 16:51:27	Yes	B3t0j3	Yes	Yes	No	
1371	2021-11-02 15:24:34	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	No		
1373	2021-11-05 10:05:15	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1375	2021-11-05 15:39:22	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	There is already an issue with litter consistently from the facility. More waste materials, more litter.
1376	2021-11-05 15:10:16	No	B3T1S4	Yes	No		
1378	2021-11-05 15:19:43	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	I do not believe this will be sufficient
1383	2021-11-05 15:07:44	Yes	B3T2J5	Yes	Yes	No	They are unable to keep up with the garbage presently
1384	2021-11-05 15:14:54	No	B3z0n9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1385	2021-11-05 15:16:35	Yes	B3N2M3	Yes	Yes		
1388	2021-11-05 14:38:48	Yes	B3t0k5	Yes	Yes	No	
1390	2021-11-05 14:01:15	No	B3T1Z5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1394	2021-11-05 13:27:14	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	in a larger wind event (which happens often) this fencing would not be adequate to maintain a barrier for the unsorted garbage. Especially the lighter garbage.
1395	2021-11-05 13:51:01	No	B3N1K1	Yes	Yes		
1396	2021-11-05 13:50:29	Yes	B3t1n2	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1401	2021-11-05 12:12:08	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	No		
1402	2021-11-05 12:48:08	No	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	No	There is garbage from the beginning of the Prospect Rd to the dump. this is not dealt with on a daily basis
1404	2021-11-05 12:05:35	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	I am concerned with debris blowing from trucks on Highway 333
1407	2021-11-05 11:41:29	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes			
1408	2021-11-05 11:18:21	Yes	B3t1r3	Yes	Yes	No	Not enough
1409	2021-11-05 10:54:40	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	Fences have holes
1410	2021-11-05 10:57:29	Yes	B3t2a4	Yes	Yes	No	Agreement was to keep this in place for the life of the landfill. Only way the community agreed to allow it in our area. You take it out, then you need to close the landfill
1412	2021-11-05 10:58:17	No	B3Z1L8	No			
1414	2021-11-05 10:47:26	No	B3T1S1	Yes	No		
1415	2021-11-05 10:51:56	No	B3t2a2	Yes			
1418	2021-11-05 10:08:10	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1419	2021-11-05 09:55:00	No	B3t2a2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1423	2021-11-05 09:39:03	No	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	That fence ALONE is unsightly. Areas on both sides of such items elsewhere are magnets for trash.
1424	2021-11-05 09:35:05	Yes	B3t1n2	Yes	Yes	No	
1426	2021-11-05 08:35:06	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1427	2021-11-05 08:51:54	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	The 103 leading to the landfill is always full of litter
1428	2021-11-05 08:59:17	No	B3T1R3	Yes			
1430	2021-11-05 08:42:36	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	No	Fencing will not work
1431	2021-11-02 16:08:11	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1432	2021-11-05 07:25:01	Yes	B3Z1G5	Yes	Yes	No	To change the present routine is simply archaic and a step backward.
1434	2021-11-05 07:11:55	No	b3t1x3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1435	2021-11-05 06:51:27	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	No	The FEP/WSF need to stay active.
1436	2021-11-04 13:34:13	No	B3t1x5	Yes	Yes	No	It does not collect all of the debris that can blow away
1438	2021-11-05 06:55:40	No	B3t1v3	Yes	Yes		
1440	2021-11-05 06:39:09	No	B3T2G1	Yes	Yes	No	These mitigations are good but do not fully work 100% of the time
1443	2021-11-05 06:14:43	No	B3T1R6	Yes			
1444	2021-11-05 06:08:03	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes			
1445	2021-11-05 05:51:09	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	No		
1446	2021-11-05 06:07:56	No	B3Z1H7	No			
1447	2021-11-05 05:49:07	Yes	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	High winds will still blow items over.
1449	2021-11-05 05:14:12	Yes	B3T2J5	Yes	No		
1452	2021-11-05 03:46:15	No	B3T2E8	Yes	Yes	No	
1454	2021-11-05 00:08:13	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1455	2021-11-05 00:09:42	No	B3s1k1	Yes	Yes	No	Lots of garbage in the woods surrounding 102 and 103 that blows off of garbage trucks using the highways to reach the landfill
1456	2021-11-05 00:08:07	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	
1458	2021-11-04 23:49:13	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	I simply don't trust that you will keep up with these mitigating measures. I'm not sure how you expect people to trust you at all with any of this.
1459	2021-11-04 23:20:51	Yes	B3Z1G6	Yes	Yes	No	As the height of the landfill increases, so does the effect wind has on loose debris. The fences are good but will not stop debris in high wind situations.
1462	2021-11-04 22:28:16	Yes	B3Z2L4	Yes	Yes	No	Organic material burial
1463	2021-11-04 22:09:56	No	B4E0N6	Yes	No		
1464	2021-11-04 21:48:00	No	B3t2e9	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1465	2021-11-04 21:56:26	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	Yes	No	I walk my dog in the neighbouring woods and certain trash could kill him if it had harmful chemicals or foods
1466	2021-11-04 21:34:43	No	B3T0B3	Yes	Yes	No	
1468	2021-11-04 21:36:36	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes			
1472	2021-11-04 20:31:23	Yes	B3t0c2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1474	2021-11-04 20:14:33	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	No		
1475	2021-11-04 20:05:11	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	No	High wind can blow things over a fence
1479	2021-11-04 20:26:04	No	B4e3c1	Yes			
1480	2021-11-02 18:49:52	No	B3l2y8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1481	2021-11-04 19:18:30	No	B3T2A2	Yes	No		
1483	2021-11-04 19:46:06	Yes	B3t1a9	Yes	Yes	No	Higher fencing does not allow for the fact that we have high winds and animals will be attracted and spread garbage.
1485	2021-11-04 19:44:56	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1487	2021-11-04 19:34:08	Yes	B3t2e6	Yes	Yes		
1490	2021-11-04 19:22:44	No	B3t2l1	Yes	Yes		
1492	2021-11-04 18:58:55	Yes	B3t1r5	Yes	Yes	No	Litter clean up should be expanded to prospect road which has turned into a tourist abomination in recent years. Community groups are currently the only ones cleaning up the mess left by trucks. In addition, more monitoring of trucks on prospect road without proper covering should be conducted.
1493	2021-11-04 19:10:05	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	
1494	2021-11-04 16:02:33	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	Do not sufficient to address the issue
1496	2021-11-04 18:13:45	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes	Yes	No	Currently the traffic is not a concern but I do not see people cleaning up the litter daily. Removing the extra sorting will mean more garbage going into the ground. It is super windy in the area and a fence is not going to stop the garbage from blowing.
1498	2021-11-04 18:46:19	Yes	B3t2j3	Yes	Yes	No	This will not help. Please honour the agreement
1500	2021-11-04 18:45:44	No	B3Z1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1503	2021-11-04 16:49:35	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes	Yes	No	There is already increased amounts of garbage blowing in the area and this will definitely increase
1505	2021-11-04 18:23:48	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1510	2021-11-04 17:51:19	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Mitigations are not in alignment with the original agreement.
1515	2021-11-04 16:56:50	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
1518	2021-11-04 15:58:32	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	Wind does not only blow in one direction however it swirls at times and will easily carry loose paper and debris over these nets
1520	2021-11-04 16:01:04	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	No		
1522	2021-11-04 16:04:31	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1524	2021-11-04 15:42:58	No	B3z1G1	Yes	Yes	No	We drive between exit 5 back and forth to city and there is always lost garbage along both sides of the highway. It is nice it is cleaned up. It is very concerning what the additional traffic will bring and long term will there always be clean up.
1529	2021-11-04 15:54:09	No	B3Z2J2	No			
1531	2021-11-04 15:39:35	Yes	b3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1532	2021-11-04 15:23:19	Yes	B3t2j3	Yes	Yes	No	You should not be deactivating
1535	2021-11-04 14:35:55	No	B3T1V7	Yes	No		
1536	2021-11-04 14:43:19	No	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	if you disable fep/wsf but get new crew to pick up, that does not make sense to me. In addition, without FEP/WSF, there will be more chance of having increased loose litter

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1537	2021-11-04 13:01:53	No	B3T2G5	Yes	Yes	No	Have you seen the current state of trash around here? I don't believe for one second that extra "supervision" of blowing trash is a legitimate solution, nor is the fence proposed. You cannot possibly afford enough staff, maybe even find enough people who are willing to work in such a job, to collect the trash that's going to be blowing around.
1538	2021-11-04 14:25:53	Yes	b3t1c9	Yes	Yes	No	I would prefer less litter to be blowing around in the first place, rather than more nets/fencing to catch it
1539	2021-11-04 14:32:47	Yes	b3t2j3	Yes	Yes	No	There is no guarantee of upkeep. Regular inspections are not defined herein. Today it could be daily, in a month it could be monthly when they city wants to save a buck.
1541	2021-11-04 13:53:56	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Based on extensive observation for many years in the landfill area I have noted a great deal of paper/residue in the area.
1544	2021-11-04 13:58:14	No	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1545	2021-11-04 14:01:07	Yes	B3T1S7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1547	2021-11-04 13:51:21	No	B3T1X2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1556	2021-11-04 13:12:04	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	Yes	No	This fencing is easily ripped/damaged and with increasing wind strength in NS this will lead to numerous repairs/replacement.
1557	2021-11-04 13:21:04	No	B3z0n4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1559	2021-11-04 12:44:00	No	B3T0B4	Yes	No		
1564	2021-11-04 12:20:24	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1568	2021-11-04 12:11:04	Yes	B3T1K9	No			
1569	2021-11-04 11:56:31	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	No		
1572	2021-11-04 11:40:17	No	B3t1t5	Yes	Yes	No	Litter is frequent along 333 highway from landfill
1577	2021-11-04 11:33:38	Yes	b3t1s6	Yes			
1581	2021-11-04 11:20:52	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes		
1582	2021-11-04 11:07:18	No	B3Z1L8	Yes	No		
1583	2021-11-04 11:01:06	No	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1584	2021-11-04 10:52:21	No	b3t2l1	Yes	Yes	No	
1585	2021-11-04 10:25:11	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	No	Better container management should be utilized.
1589	2021-11-04 10:48:30	No	B3t0j9	Yes			
1590	2021-11-04 10:43:00	No	B3T2B8	Yes	Yes		
1594	2021-11-04 10:19:48	No	B3T1S8	Yes	No		
1595	2021-11-04 10:30:26	No	B3t2b1	Yes			
1596	2021-11-04 10:22:11	Yes	b3 1a2	No			
1598	2021-11-04 10:08:43	No	B3T2B9	Yes	Yes	No	
1600	2021-11-04 10:14:05	Yes	B3T0A2	Yes			
1601	2021-11-04 10:04:52	No	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	No	
1603	2021-11-04 09:29:55	Yes	B3T1g&	Yes	Yes	No	A fence does not stop rodents and wile life from being attracted to litter that builds up on a fence.
1605	2021-11-04 09:29:27	Yes	B3t1c9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1609	2021-11-04 09:28:11	Yes	B3T5c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1613	2021-11-04 09:22:40	Yes	B3T1K5	No			
1616	2021-11-04 09:18:13	No	B3t1x2	Yes	No		
1620	2021-11-04 09:02:11	Yes	B2T1H6	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1621	2021-11-04 08:29:23	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	No	
1622	2021-11-04 08:36:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1623	2021-11-04 08:29:53	No	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	No	Litter is occurring all along the route as things stand already. It's a disgrace.
1624	2021-11-04 09:01:02	No	B3T2L1	No			
1626	2021-11-04 08:57:08	Yes	B3T0C1	Yes	Yes	No	
1629	2021-11-04 08:51:26	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1631	2021-11-04 08:42:18	No	B3t2b2	Yes	Yes		
1634	2021-11-04 08:42:39	No	B3L2Y8	No			
1636	2021-11-04 08:12:53	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	No	often these efforts see a short term fix, but do not address the main issue. holes in the fencing often occur and are not repaired
1637	2021-11-04 08:01:23	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	No		
1638	2021-11-04 08:26:28	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	Just not convinced.
1639	2021-11-04 08:04:13	Yes	B3t2g3	Yes	Yes	No	We shouldn't have to clean up after the fact. Unsorted litter should never be given the chance to blow around. That's unacceptable.
1641	2021-11-04 08:30:19	Yes	B3T2g8	Yes	Yes		
1642	2021-11-04 08:26:05	Yes	B3T0C9	Yes	Yes	No	
1644	2021-11-04 07:54:52	Yes	b3t1t2	Yes	Yes	No	You comment field would not take my whole comment - I guess you only want short comments? Leave it the way it is. Hopefully that is short enough....?
1648	2021-11-04 07:26:29	Yes	B3T2E5	Yes	No		
1650	2021-11-04 07:32:25	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1651	2021-11-04 06:58:27	Yes	B3T0H7	Yes	No		
1652	2021-11-04 06:54:36	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	I see plenty of litter along hwy 103 in area of landfill
1655	2021-11-04 06:50:55	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	All one needs to do to drive along the Prospect Rd to see litter from trucks that come to the waste facility there.
1656	2021-11-04 07:06:16	No	B3t1w5	Yes			
1658	2021-11-04 06:49:02	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	Absolutely not, fencing the garbage will not prevent rats and birds
1659	2021-11-04 06:41:15	No	B4B1N4	Yes	No		
1660	2021-11-04 06:14:16	No	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	No	Repairs to the fence...its just netting. Garbage can still get through
1664	2021-11-04 06:23:22	No	B4e3b3	Yes			
1667	2021-11-04 06:05:06	No	B3t1w5	Yes			
1668	2021-11-04 06:06:06	No	B3E1M4	Yes			
1670	2021-11-04 05:27:16	No	B3P0C2	Yes	Yes	No	Because that's a lot of lip service and over time you'll stop doing it daily. It's also super windy up there and the garbage will likely start blowing out of the zone you clean up
1671	2021-11-04 04:55:59	Yes	B3t1c2	Yes	Yes	No	Less precautions means more problems. Lack attention to detail already exists there and this will exacerbate the situation.
1672	2021-11-03 17:32:26	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	The potential hazards of floating and small flying debris is still too high and will impact the surrounding ecosystem and wildlife.
1675	2021-11-03 23:41:40	Yes	B3t1b9	Yes	Yes	No	
1677	2021-11-03 22:17:23	Yes	b3t1b2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1679	2021-11-03 22:37:56	No	B3T1V8	Yes	No		
1680	2021-11-03 18:47:54	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	I dont believe these steps will be adequate. You may have workers picking up litter at the facility, but what about the litter that has already blown into the surrounding forest and area? For example, if there is a wind storm in the night, and trash gets blown away, it will be gone before ur workers can pick it up
1681	2021-11-03 22:09:54	Yes	b3t1r4	Yes	Yes	No	Litter can still get out, especially litter that blows off trucks into our community
1682	2021-11-03 22:28:41	Yes	b3t2c8	Yes			
1683	2021-11-03 22:13:15	No	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1684	2021-11-03 22:20:02	No	B3t1p7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1689	2021-11-03 21:29:15	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	
1691	2021-11-03 21:39:51	Yes	B3T2J2	Yes	Yes	No	As someone who has volunteered to clean up, this isn't good enough
1692	2021-11-03 21:43:06	Yes	B3t2g2	Yes			
1693	2021-11-03 21:38:12	No	b3z1p7	Yes			
1694	2021-11-03 21:18:08	No	B2x2x5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1695	2021-11-03 21:36:37	No	B3T1Z9	Yes			
1696	2021-11-03 21:31:39	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1697	2021-11-03 21:23:39	Yes	B3t1r3	Yes	Yes	No	Transportation to the landfill is a concern
1699	2021-11-03 21:20:54	No	b4c2n9	Yes			
1703	2021-11-03 20:58:03	No	B4C2Y8	Yes	No		
1706	2021-11-02 15:35:17	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	This survey is set up to confuse people
1710	2021-11-03 20:37:25	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	
1712	2021-11-03 16:32:19	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	No	My concern is when the community agreed to allowing the landfill in the area this was not part of the agreement
1715	2021-11-03 20:31:53	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	The dump on the prospect road also said they would take actions to mitigate litter and there has been no improvement. That stretch of road is littered heavily. I have had to replace two tires on my car as a result. It is unsightly. Hard to trust that mitigation measures would be followed through on.
1716	2021-11-03 20:30:40	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	Litter is still blowing around
1718	2021-11-03 19:27:16	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1720	2021-11-02 17:26:46	Yes	B3Z4B4	Yes	Yes	No	The mitigation measures do not control the litter issues. This area is filled including the 103 highway is filled the excessive litter all the time.
1721	2021-11-03 20:09:07	Yes	B3T0C8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1722	2021-11-03 20:07:12	Yes	B3H0H3	Yes	No		
1726	2021-11-03 19:56:25	No	B3z1I7	Yes			
1728	2021-11-03 19:31:54	Yes	B3T1V1	Yes	Yes	No	You can say litter is picked up, but I drive by daily and see stuff in the morning still there on the way home late afternoon
1731	2021-11-03 18:58:57	No	B3T1Y8	Yes	Yes	No	Additional fencing means more recycling that is likely to be blown from the site. Please prove that your impact study has alleviated any concern. Simply stating that "no off-site impacts due to blowing litter have been identified" is insufficient.
1732	2021-11-03 19:14:51	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1734	2021-11-03 19:22:20	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1735	2021-11-03 18:55:44	No	B3t2I2	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe these measures can effectively stop this problem.
1738	2021-11-03 19:11:22	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	
1740	2021-11-03 19:04:36	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes			
1741	2021-11-03 14:08:13	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	No		
1742	2021-11-03 18:16:24	No	B3t1t1	Yes	Yes	No	Landfill footprint is going to grow without addressing the excess entering the gate
1747	2021-11-03 18:59:00	No	B3T1B1	No			
1748	2021-11-03 08:08:27	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
1749	2021-11-03 08:08:12	Yes	B3t1a7	No			
1750	2021-11-02 19:15:26	No	b3t1X8	No			
1752	2021-11-03 18:35:23	Yes	B3t1c2	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1753	2021-11-03 18:02:51	Yes	b3t1a4	Yes	Yes	No	Are you prepared to spend money to mitigate litter and to continue to spend that money or will the expense eventually be deemed too much
1754	2021-11-03 18:22:37	Yes	B3T1W1	Yes	No		
1757	2021-11-03 18:23:20	No	B3T1V7	Yes			
1759	2021-11-03 18:11:36	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1761	2021-11-02 15:25:47	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	not convincing
1763	2021-11-03 18:04:19	Yes	B3T2G8	Yes	Yes	No	
1764	2021-11-03 17:51:38	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	Too easily mismanaged
1765	2021-11-03 17:32:12	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1766	2021-11-03 17:43:23	Yes	B3t2g6	Yes	Yes	No	
1767	2021-11-03 17:37:50	Yes	B3t1a4	Yes	Yes	No	I live close and work very close. I have seen blowing litter many many times
1772	2021-11-03 17:18:54	Yes	B3T2E6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1774	2021-11-03 17:07:51	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	There are too many vehicles now going to land fill with debris falling off vehicles and nothing done. Increased traffic will only make it worse.
1775	2021-11-03 17:09:25	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	High winds, will still allow garbage to fly over these fences that appear to be small.
1776	2021-11-03 17:04:04	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	
1778	2021-11-03 16:37:04	Yes	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	More garbage, more risk
1779	2021-11-03 15:56:48	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	No		
1781	2021-11-03 16:07:17	No	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1782	2021-11-03 16:39:43	No	B3T1Y9	Yes	Yes	No	I believe this should be sorted , it does not matter about clear bag usage , theres a middle in that clear bag that you cannot see . Also for big bulk companies like stores most do not sort there stuff garbage so anything and anything will just be dumped sounds like a wicked plan(sarcasium)
1783	2021-11-03 16:40:52	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1785	2021-11-03 16:25:58	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	Increase of rodents and wildlife
1786	2021-11-03 16:22:59	Yes	B3t1v1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1788	2021-11-03 16:12:11	Yes	B3t0b6	Yes	Yes	No	
1789	2021-11-03 16:15:12	Yes	B3T1K6	Yes	No		
1793	2021-11-03 15:27:51	No	B3P2H4	Yes	Yes	Yes	Any additional litter in the area is a concern. With the landfill, extra duty of care should be taken not to impact surrounding communities or waterways. There are lots of lakes that could be impacted from blowing litter as well.
1795	2021-11-03 15:35:32	Yes	B3t1a9	Yes	Yes	No	The smell
1797	2021-11-03 15:27:34	Yes	b3t1v8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1800	2021-11-03 15:03:42	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	No	Litter fencing is not a good solution
1801	2021-11-03 15:06:54	Yes	B3T2G5	Yes	Yes	No	There would still be additional litter
1803	2021-11-03 14:49:21	Yes	b3t1r8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1804	2021-11-03 15:04:33	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
1805	2021-11-03 15:00:10	No	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	No	
1806	2021-11-03 14:46:23	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	No	Crows will pick up litter and drop outside the fence. Then it will blow
1810	2021-11-03 14:36:34	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes	Yes	No	I doubt that the proposed enhancements will be enough.
1811	2021-11-03 14:44:03	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes		
1812	2021-11-03 14:19:31	Yes	B3t1a2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1814	2021-11-03 14:30:20	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	No		
1816	2021-11-03 14:01:21	Yes	B3t1t5	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1817	2021-11-03 14:19:51	No	b3m0e2	Yes			
1818	2021-11-03 14:13:18	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	I am not confident that the litter will be fully collected, it is unsightly and negative for environment and creatures in the area.
1819	2021-11-03 13:51:16	No	B3T1S4	Yes	No		
1820	2021-11-03 13:51:31	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1821	2021-11-03 13:18:01	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	Gaps in netting . Birds such as crows and sea gulls taking material outside fence line
1822	2021-11-03 11:22:47	No	B3T1X2	Yes	Yes	No	It says medium risk, that is unacceptable, there should be NO risk of increased garbage.
1823	2021-11-03 13:00:20	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	No	This has been an ongoing issue and concerns have been ignored.
1824	2021-11-03 12:29:44	Yes	B3T1K5	Yes	No		
1825	2021-11-03 10:36:17	No	B3T1S7	Yes	Yes	No	Fences can be blown down, penetrated, and otherwise rendered useless. The mere mention of 'fences' implies that blowing litter is a concern.
1826	2021-11-03 11:53:58	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	No		
1827	2021-11-03 11:59:02	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1828	2021-11-03 11:51:31	No	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	No	Birds and rodents still carry away materials.
1829	2021-11-03 11:21:43	Yes	B3T2G8	Yes	Yes	No	I've seen it too many times - promise it will be ok, do the thing and then apologize when it goes bad.
1830	2021-11-03 11:24:06	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1831	2021-11-03 10:57:07	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	No		
1832	2021-11-03 10:54:32	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	No	There is already an unacceptable amount of blowing litter
1833	2021-11-03 10:56:41	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes	Yes	No	
1834	2021-11-03 10:49:26	No		Yes	Yes	No	
1835	2021-11-03 10:30:15	Yes	B3t1r7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1836	2021-11-03 10:05:16	Yes	B3t1t9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1837	2021-11-03 10:10:55	No	B3T1W6	Yes			
1838	2021-11-03 10:03:38	No	B3T2A3	Yes	No		
1839	2021-11-03 08:21:24	No	B3T1Z3	Yes	Yes	No	I support this facility solely for the environmental measures in place. No amount of fencing contains blowing plastic. Not enough is being done to collect litter from garbage trucks blowing.
1840	2021-11-03 08:37:51	No	b3t1v2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1841	2021-11-03 08:17:55	No	B3T2B8	Yes	Yes	No	This does not help all of the litter, especially that that falls before it reaches the facility.
1842	2021-11-03 07:31:46	Yes	B3T0B2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1843	2021-11-03 06:22:06	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	Medium risk is too high
1844	2021-10-28 17:17:53	No	B3l2y8	Yes	Yes		
1845	2021-11-03 07:02:47	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	Medium risk is too high
1846	2021-11-03 07:02:39	No	B3t2l2	Yes			
1847	2021-11-03 06:31:24	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	Medium risk to high
1848	2021-11-03 06:32:33	No	B3t2k8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1849	2021-11-03 06:24:23	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	
1850	2021-11-03 05:21:10	Yes	B3Z1L4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1851	2021-11-03 04:19:44	Yes	B3t2e3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1852	2021-11-03 04:15:58	No	B3t1t4	Yes			
1853	2021-11-02 21:45:01	No	B3T1Z3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1854	2021-11-02 21:16:52	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes			
1855	2021-11-02 20:56:32	Yes	B3T1V8	Yes	No		
1856	2021-11-02 20:25:58	No	B3t1t6	Yes	Yes	No	
1857	2021-11-02 19:57:57	No	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1858	2021-11-02 19:49:44	No	B3Z1L4	Yes	Yes	No	Rogue Litter happens. The area around the DL site is the gateway to Peggy's Cove - it needs to be beautified to welcome tourists to the area.
1859	2021-11-02 19:27:03	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	Nets or catchment areas are a great idea in theory, but are not a fail safe
1860	2021-11-02 19:00:57	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	Nice holes in the fence. Run off into our lake systems and debris in the water/woods harms wildlife and could harm humans. We are supposed to be helping the environment. We are done. Go away.
1861	2021-11-02 18:55:04	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1862	2021-11-02 18:56:23	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	Yes	No	
1863	2021-11-02 18:11:46	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	Simple statistics. With more litter blowing there will be more that escapes.
1864	2021-11-02 18:15:33	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes	No	
1865	2021-11-02 17:30:20	Yes	B3T1Z5	Yes	Yes	No	
1866	2021-11-02 16:40:23	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	I have seen the current state of the surrounding area and there is still blowing litter dispute the current processes
1867	2021-11-02 14:34:16	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	Yes	No	Without the FEP and WSF, who knows what will be dumped into a cell.
1868	2021-11-02 15:49:35	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	No		
1869	2021-11-02 15:14:23	No	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1870	2021-11-02 15:23:31	No		Yes			
1871	2021-11-02 15:02:14	No	B3t1v7	Yes	Yes	No	Mitigation efforts often do not work
1872	2021-11-02 14:48:27	No	B3M0P2	Yes	Yes	No	Highway is covered with litter now. Can only get worse.
1873	2021-11-02 14:43:09	Yes	B3T1P8	Yes	Yes	No	You are forgetting about the litter blowing onto Prospect Rd/333 the weekly cleanups do not happen and this area of road is disgusting
1874	2021-11-02 14:11:50	No	B3M0P2	Yes	Yes		
1875	2021-11-02 14:38:17	Yes	B3T1r8	Yes	Yes	No	
1876	2021-11-02 14:29:17	No	B4A1P3	Yes	Yes	No	This doesn't stop litter from trucks
1877	2021-11-02 14:02:42	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1878	2021-11-02 14:28:39	No	B3T1R5	Yes			
1879	2021-11-02 14:24:25	No	B3T0H6	Yes			
1880	Email/Mail-In	No	B3T2C1	Yes	Yes	No	With climate change and more severe windstorms, I do not believe all litter can be collected.
1881	Email/Mail-In	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	No	Currently there is such litter along Highway 333 that is not being collected, much of this comes from trucks carrying litter. Additional fencing will not alleviate this problem the change proposed will lead to more litter.
1882	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	Blowing litter has not been a problem and will continue to not be a problem seeing that the landfill is surrounded by wooded area that filters blow out before it even hits residential areas. Again not relevant to residents.
1883	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T0H3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1884	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3M4M8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1885	Email/Mail-In	No	B3Z3T6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1886	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1	2021-11-08 15:25:09	No	B3H1P7	Yes			
2	2021-12-05 09:24:23	Yes	B3T0I6	Yes	Yes	No	
3	2021-12-04 14:41:55	No	B3T1R9	Yes	No		
4	2021-12-04 14:23:40	No	B3T1z8	Yes	Yes	No	
7	2021-12-04 11:44:01	No	B3L4B2	Yes	Yes	No	Lifting FEP/WSF is a leap of faith. Maintain the current system that has worked so well
12	2021-12-03 22:10:00	No	B3Z2B3	Yes	Yes	No	I like the use of falcons, but they should be used in addition to the already in place FEP/WSF. The mitigation measures are not as effective as maintaining the FEP/WSF. This survey is not actually a balanced survey. It only presents the HRM/Staff/Mirror perspective as evidence and none of the concerns of the Community Monitoring Committee or others.
13	2021-12-03 22:23:07	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	No	
14	2021-12-03 20:49:08	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	Minimizing the size doesn't reduce the attractiveness to birds. If they can smell the food they will be there and then it is a learned behaviour until there is consistently no food for them. Again, this is already a problem and the plan is worse when we already have the FEP/WSF which helps mitigate.
15	2021-12-03 21:32:54	Yes	B3T2E6	Yes	Yes	No	You have acknowledged there is a medium risk what is the predicted effectiveness of the measures you describe here
16	2021-12-03 21:32:46	Yes	B3t2I7	Yes	Yes		
17	2021-11-11 16:41:08	No	B3L3H5	Yes	Yes	No	Why spend money on mitigation efforts after the fact when you already have the tools to prevent them with the FEP/WSF? Also no thought given to the potential return of ICI waste to the landfill, a move that would increase the problem exponentially.
18	2021-12-03 20:43:54	No	b3t2c8	Yes	No		
23	2021-12-03 19:10:31	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	
24	2021-12-03 17:39:37	Yes	B3T0E2	Yes	Yes	No	
25	2021-12-03 16:56:45	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	Still concerned re the deactivation and organics getting in landfill
26	2021-12-03 16:22:18	No	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	No proof that they would work
27	2021-12-03 16:14:52	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
28	2021-11-14 12:30:57	No	B3T1R8	Yes			
29	2021-11-04 13:54:59	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
30	2021-11-25 10:10:19	No	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	There is no way, in my opinion, that you will be able to control the larger flocks of seagulls and crows that will be attracted to the now greater amount of organics available to them without then use of the front end treatment currently in place.
35	2021-11-07 19:41:27	No	B3T1W9	Yes	Yes	No	Just leave the current measures in place. That is what was agreed to and should be honoured.
36	2021-12-03 11:26:34	No	B3M0P2	Yes	Yes	No	Untreated waste means food they do not currently have. They will come. Measures dont work as seen at other landfill sites across north america
37	2021-11-13 18:39:52	No	B3T1S5	Yes			
38	2021-12-03 11:25:23	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	Yes	No	There will be more "stuff" to attract birds and for them to eat. Remember Lwr Sackville?
40	2021-12-03 10:45:24	Yes	B3T1X5	Yes	Yes	No	They still can come sit on the grounds, posts do not make them go away.
41	2021-12-03 10:52:22	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
42	2021-12-03 10:24:39	No	B3t1t9	Yes	No		
44	2021-12-03 10:05:40	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	more litter equals more birds

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
46	2021-12-03 09:43:58	No	B3T2A6	Yes	No		
47	2021-12-03 09:26:22	Yes	Be32e3	Yes	No		
48	2021-12-03 09:40:25	Yes	B3T0J8	Yes	No		
50	2021-12-03 08:45:22	No	B3T2E3	Yes			
51	2021-12-03 08:41:31	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	No	This is ignorance of the problem..off the top it in my opinion is likely high risk and I don't think there has been a landfill yet that has been able to reduce the impacts of attracting birds and vermin substantially.
52	2021-12-03 07:53:12	No	B3t1s2	Yes			
53	2021-12-03 07:27:56	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	More frequent trips, additional materials lost along the roadways creating littering & unsightliness, affect on wildlife
54	2021-12-03 06:41:04	Yes	B3T1P8	Yes	Yes	No	More organic garbage = more birds. You're going to need a lot of falcons.
55	2021-12-03 06:21:35	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes			
56	2021-12-03 05:59:48	Yes	B3t2e3	Yes	Yes	No	
57	2021-11-02 19:50:36	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes			
58	2021-12-03 05:42:11	No	B3T2A4	Yes			
59	2021-12-03 05:14:46	No	B3t1x8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
63	2021-12-02 19:14:47	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes	No		
64	2021-12-02 16:48:06	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
66	2021-11-21 16:12:36	No	B3t1v3	Yes	Yes	No	Still so many birds
67	2021-12-02 13:35:06	Yes	B3t1s9	Yes			
68	2021-12-02 11:42:23	No	B3T1Z9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
70	2021-12-02 07:57:42	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe these measures will be enough to deal with these birds
71	2021-12-01 19:13:58	No	B2Y4A6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
72	2021-12-01 18:24:02	No	B3t1v8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
73	2021-12-01 17:36:29	No	B3T1Y8	Yes	Yes	No	Bird fly we don't they will still find these way into the land fill and God knows what toxic materials they may get into which could hurt them too
75	2021-12-01 16:52:37	No	B3T2G1	Yes	Yes	No	
77	2021-12-01 13:26:19	No	B3T1S7	Yes			
78	2021-12-01 11:03:14	Yes	B3K1K7	Yes	Yes	No	
79	2021-12-01 10:08:17	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	it will require more labour to do this properly, therefore increasing costs and unknowns.
81	2021-12-01 05:17:42	No	B3T1Y3	Yes	Yes	No	Don't agree with falcon handler and limited culling. We create a problem then kill the birds when they are attracted
83	2021-11-30 20:30:42	No	B3T1W1	Yes			
84	2021-11-30 20:19:47	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	No	
85	2021-11-30 19:43:10	No	B3T1Z7	No			
86	2021-11-30 19:28:18	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	Can't control the birds and other animals completely
87	2021-11-30 18:50:05	Yes	B3z1l4	Yes	Yes	No	These measures that are already in place may be effective for the current set up. But with increased food products Attracting birds these measures seem like they will be in adequate
89	2021-11-30 16:53:09	Yes	B3z4b6	No			
91	2021-11-30 16:30:32	No	B3T1T6	Yes	Yes	No	Things work well the way they are now
92	2021-11-30 12:20:04	Yes	B3T0C3	Yes	Yes	No	
93	2021-11-30 11:57:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes			
94	2021-11-30 08:30:28	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	
95	2021-11-30 06:31:37	Yes	B3t0e5	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
96	2021-11-30 05:48:46	Yes	B3t0e2	Yes	Yes	No	
97	2021-11-30 05:11:25	Yes	B3T0C5	Yes	Yes	No	
98	2021-11-30 04:26:12	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	Increasing the quantity of landfill but decreasing the landfill disposal area does not make sense.
100	2021-11-06 19:45:28	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	
102	2021-11-29 19:03:16	Yes	B3z3w9	Yes	Yes	No	I am old enough to remember the Sackville land fill.
103	2021-11-29 15:31:26	No	B3M3G9	Yes	Yes	No	Just leave FEP / WSF in place to minimize need if not eliminate instead of mitigating. Additional cover requirements will fill up cell faster
104	2021-11-29 12:49:54	No	b3t1t8	Yes			
105	2021-11-29 12:23:08	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	The more unfiltered food waste, the more birds it will attract. I can smell the offensive scents now often when I pass by now. Will get worse without front-end processing.
106	2021-11-29 12:47:42	No	B3T2L1	Yes	No		
111	2021-11-29 08:34:14	No		No			
112	2021-11-29 07:44:36	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
113	2021-11-28 22:36:07	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	In the explanation, you mention bird and vector control. This question is asking specifically about birds, not other 'vectors'. I do not see anything new here that will be more effective than what is already done. The picture you provide does not inspire confidence. A better explanation is required.
114	2021-11-25 09:38:50	No	B3H2Y7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
116	2021-11-28 11:02:50	No	b3t1z4	Yes	No		
118	2021-11-28 08:58:19	No	B3T1W7	Yes	No		
122	2021-11-27 17:43:03	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
123	2021-11-27 16:20:14	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
124	2021-11-27 16:03:53	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	
125	2021-11-27 10:01:50	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
126	2021-11-27 10:57:24	No	B3a2l8	Yes	Yes	No	Birds will still be attracted before these mitigation efforts can be applied. I am concerned about the birds' well being as well as the nuisance caused by the birds.
127	2021-11-27 10:26:39	No	b2w2a8	Yes	No		
128	2021-11-27 10:09:45	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes			
129	2021-11-27 08:52:29	No	B3z1e2	No			
133	2021-11-26 14:55:51	No	B2W0H6	Yes	Yes		
134	2021-11-26 14:49:36	No	b3m0n1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
135	2021-11-26 14:00:24	No	B3T1W7	Yes	Yes	No	Culling birds to mitigate your failure to live up to your agreements is not acceptable.
136	2021-11-26 13:20:12	No	B2W0L2	Yes	Yes	No	It is noted above there is an increased ridge of bird activities. Mitigation efforts are vague and not quantifiable. No indication of effectiveness of proposed mitigation efforts or evidence based backing of their unstated effectiveness.
137	2021-11-26 12:19:20	No	B2Y4J5	Yes	Yes	No	Noise makers will not deter gulls. At each step you admit to increased risks adding that steps will be taken. I have used landfills in the area for over 60 years. The current system is the best, lowest risk. I hate to sound sarcastic, but have you consulted the birds on the?
138	2021-11-26 10:16:40	No	B2Y3M9	Yes			
139	2021-11-26 09:18:44	No	B3T2A5	Yes	Yes	No	
140	2021-11-26 07:46:03	No	b3a4H4	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
141	2021-11-26 06:44:20	No	B4A4J8	No			
142	2021-11-26 05:29:08	No	0	Yes	Yes	Yes	
143	2021-11-26 04:10:09	No	B3P2S4	Yes	Yes	No	
144	2021-11-25 19:23:32	No	b3k1r3	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
145	2021-11-25 22:39:53	No	B3B1W2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
146	2021-11-25 22:23:40	Yes	B3t2h6	Yes	Yes	No	
147	2021-11-25 22:05:39	No	B2Y1B3	Yes	Yes	No	
148	2021-11-25 22:18:49	No	B2x2e5	No			These are more band-aid solutions than anything
149	2021-11-25 21:37:53	No	B3M1V1	Yes	Yes	No	Additional organics will attract more birds. Adding noise makers will only increase public annoyance
150	2021-11-25 21:37:15	No	B3Z1H4	Yes			
151	2021-11-25 21:18:21	No	B3Z1V9	Yes	Yes	No	Without oversight separation, there will be more to attract the birds, even despite daily cover.
152	2021-11-25 21:23:33	No	B3m3b6	No			
153	2021-11-25 17:57:49	Yes	B3T1T3	Yes	Yes	No	You are showing an area that is covered with grass, not dirt, which any bird will easily peck thru
154	2021-11-25 18:08:41	Yes	B3T1B6	Yes			
155	2021-11-25 18:02:31	No	B3H4B2	Yes	No		
158	2021-11-25 16:58:07	No	B4b1n7	Yes	No		
159	2021-11-25 16:54:01	No	B2V2J9	No			
160	2021-11-25 15:58:11	No	b3n3j2	No			
162	2021-11-25 14:24:02	No	B3Z1H1	Yes	Yes	No	I do not believe that they are enough to reduce the bird numbers
163	2021-11-25 13:41:47	No	B3z3v7	Yes	No		
164	2021-11-25 13:13:06	Yes	b3T2E6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
165	2021-11-25 11:09:27	No	B2X2H6	Yes	Yes	No	Again, these changes to the issue are because you want to substitute "elimination" (best) for "engineering control" (middling) in the risk management hierarchy. I don't know what a "whistler flare" is, and neither does google. And you should be putting "emphasis on minimizing the size (and thus the attractiveness to birds)" of the daily disposal area regardless of this consultation.
166	2021-11-25 11:51:38	No	B3Z1L3	Yes	Yes	No	by examining similar techniques at similar facilities, the evidence is clear that this is highly likely to fail.
167	2021-11-25 11:56:50	No	B3L3H3	Yes	Yes	No	
171	2021-11-25 10:09:06	Yes	B3M3K4	Yes	Yes		
173	2021-11-25 09:10:29	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	Regardless it's going to happen
174	2021-11-25 09:25:03	Yes	B3t1s8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
175	2021-11-24 11:06:59	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
176	2021-11-05 17:13:07	No		Yes			
177	2021-11-25 08:59:48	No	B4B1M7	Yes	Yes	No	Cannot eliminate all birds
178	2021-11-25 07:53:11	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes	Yes	No	First of all nice picture of the seagulls on the cap that was shown to the public. It would have been a better picture if you shown the garbage that was being freshly laid down with birds around it.
179	2021-11-25 08:41:02	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	Yes	No	
180	2021-11-10 11:11:55	Yes	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	No	While these measures may reduce the number of birds, I have doubts it will be enough
182	2021-11-25 07:32:55	No	B3Z1K3	Yes	No		
183	2021-11-25 07:15:20	No	B4A1J6	Yes	Yes	No	It is the increased sources of food which will attract the birds. By decreasing the amount of food, there is less to attract the birds

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
184	2021-11-25 07:12:12	No	B3T1Z2	Yes	Yes	No	The measures described do not detail how effective they are or how change will be managed
186	2021-11-25 07:00:57	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	Yes	No	your cheap and only want to save money
188	2021-11-25 06:38:15	No	B3L1J9	Yes			
189	2021-11-25 06:37:18	No	B3Z2N2	No			
190	2021-11-25 05:43:05	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
191	2021-11-25 05:43:56	No	B2W2K3	Yes	Yes	No	Birds at landfills are not afraid to getting close to active equipment. More food availability will increase populations and the birds will spread out throughout the community and cause a nuisance beyond otter lake.
193	2021-11-24 21:10:46	No	B3Z1M2	Yes	No		
194	2021-11-24 18:22:24	Yes	B3T0C2	Yes	Yes	No	
195	2021-11-24 18:31:49	No	B3t1v1	Yes	Yes	No	These solutions do not seem like they will solve this major problem
196	2021-11-17 18:34:09	No	B3T1v1	Yes	Yes	No	Birds will still be attracted to the waste and contamination also carrying garbage and disease
197	2021-11-24 16:23:03	No	B3T1R3	Yes	Yes	No	
199	2021-11-24 12:20:19	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	Seriously. You need a explanation
200	2021-11-24 07:49:10	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
202	2021-11-24 09:29:56	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	You have not offered the questions of what overall concerns me. Not monitoring what goes into the landfill puts us at risk of biological and hazardous material which is unacceptable and not what was agreed to.
204	2021-11-05 20:14:01	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
205	2021-11-24 08:12:11	Yes	B3T1W6	Yes	Yes	No	It does not seem you are proposing to change the current mitigation measures to offset deactivation of the FES.
207	2021-11-24 07:19:53	No	B3Z1L4	Yes	No		
208	2021-11-24 06:47:37	Yes	B3T2a5	Yes	Yes	No	
209	2021-11-24 06:17:21	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	More food / organic waste WILL find its way into the site without pre screening
212	2021-11-16 20:30:04	No	B3m4k9	Yes	Yes		
213	2021-11-23 15:04:58	No	B3T2L6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
214	2021-11-23 17:13:18	No	B3T1X5	Yes	No		
215	2021-11-23 16:32:43	No	B3Z1L7	Yes	Yes	No	Stated earlier, 10% of waste are organics. Birds and rodents will be attracted to 10%, this is a big number
220	2021-11-23 14:41:29	Yes	B3t1s6	Yes	Yes	No	Honor the contract
222	2021-11-14 09:01:40	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes		
223	2021-11-23 12:35:06	Yes	B3Z0K9	Yes	Yes	No	Seagulls and Pigeons are dirty and smart (crafty) even if they aren't right at the landfill they will migrate close by, hence neighborhoods and parking lots.
225	2021-11-05 18:01:51	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	No	Hurt wildlife that's what happens.
226	2021-11-23 11:34:32	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	Please provide additional information related to current and proposed frequency bird mitigation measures. There is no specific information provided above.
227	2021-11-23 11:53:20	No	B3T2B2	Yes	Yes	No	
228	2021-11-23 10:59:54	No	B3T1W1	Yes			
229	2021-11-23 11:00:22	No	B3T1X4	Yes			
230	2021-11-05 06:42:04	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	Yes	No	If the sorting functions weren't being shut down there would be no need to "cull" or control the birds

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
231	2021-11-23 09:12:02	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	Birds ignore these measures and what about the noise pollution to the area caused by these additions?
232	2021-11-23 07:54:02	No	B4g1g3	Yes	Yes	No	Without separation on the front end it will lead to increased odours, rats etc. It also goes directly against the signed agreement with residents. The format of this survey is also appalling.
233	2021-11-23 08:49:27	No	B3t2c9	Yes			
234	2021-11-23 08:34:21	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes			
235	2021-11-15 06:30:45	No	B3T2H2	Yes	Yes	No	Again extra costs required to mitigate something that will result from the proposed changes
238	2021-11-22 17:35:24	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	Wild birds carry disease especially to for example chickens and other domesticated fowl species, these measures will have little impact to deter birds from flocking to the open areas.
240	2021-11-22 16:33:36	Yes	B3t0b2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
242	2021-11-22 15:15:31	No	B4E2R3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
243	2021-11-22 09:15:10	No		Yes	Yes	No	
244	2021-11-22 15:09:14	No	B3T2B5	No			
247	2021-11-22 14:29:02	Yes	B3N3J3	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
248	2021-11-22 13:25:02	No	B3T1V4	Yes			
249	2021-11-17 07:19:08	No		Yes	No		
250	2021-11-22 11:58:25	No	b3t1z1	Yes	Yes	No	
251	2021-11-22 10:57:01	No	B3T1X2	Yes	Yes	No	Medium risk is still an increased risk
253	2021-11-22 08:56:13	No	B3T1V8	Yes	Yes	No	My concern is that there won't be sufficient follow through by HRM once the changes take effect.
254	2021-11-22 09:11:43	No	B3t1w3	Yes	Yes	No	We all know what is captured in/for particular situations does not always capture the full truth of the matter. When we accepted the location of this facility promises were made. We will not accept changes to the agreement (just as you would not)
255	2021-11-04 16:24:30	No		Yes	No		
257	2021-11-08 07:34:24	No		Yes	Yes	No	
258	2021-11-22 07:46:11	No		Yes	No		
259	2021-11-21 19:13:42	No	B3T1Y6	Yes	Yes	No	Not sure why all these after the fact mitigation factors are being suggested when the present 'front end' mitigation processes are already in place
260	2021-11-21 21:35:36	Yes	B3T2H7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
263	2021-11-21 20:04:16	No	B3T2B3	Yes	Yes	No	
264	2021-11-21 20:02:01	No	b3n1t3	No			
265	2021-11-21 19:28:10	No	B3Z3W8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
266	2021-11-21 10:54:40	No	B3T0B5	Yes	Yes	No	If the proposed process is used elsewhere in HRM, then why do they soo mNy birds there and Otter Lake has minimal in comparison using our current process?
267	2021-11-21 09:15:00	No	B3T1Z8	Yes	Yes		
268	2021-11-21 08:38:26	Yes	B3Z1M9	Yes	No		
269	2021-11-21 08:34:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes			
270	2021-11-21 08:08:50	No	B3T1Y6	Yes			
272	2021-11-21 06:37:30	Yes	B3t1s6				
275	2021-11-20 22:19:47	No	B3Z1C7	Yes	Yes	No	Whistle flares, use of a falcon and handler, and limited culling? Really? That sounds like extra expenses, and I doubt any nearby residents will enjoy any of them.
276	2021-11-20 22:13:14	No	B3t2b8	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
277	2021-11-20 21:39:54	No	B3T2C9	Yes	No		
278	2021-11-20 20:55:18	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	Yes	No	Birds and rats will over run .
279	2021-11-20 18:20:13	No	B3T2L1	Yes	No		
281	2021-11-20 14:33:02	No	B3T1X2	Yes	No		
284	2021-11-20 13:01:56	No	B3T1T8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
285	2021-11-20 09:52:20	No	b3t2a4	Yes	Yes	No	this is not a photo of what will happen if fep and wsf are removed from process
287	2021-11-20 06:22:00	Yes	B3T159	Yes	Yes	No	Birds do not deserve to die because they are naturally drawn to a food source... if the garbage is broken down to an inedible form, the birds will not come. Be considerate of nature in this entire process
288	2021-11-20 05:22:39	Yes	B3T2L4	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe these measures will stop birds from scavenging , if they do this will be harmful to them as they can ingest litter
289	2021-11-19 22:45:53	No	B3t1w5	Yes	Yes	No	Without pre-sorting and burying of garbage it is my opinion that the current measures will not be sufficient.
292	2021-11-19 19:55:05	Yes	B3t1a5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
293	2021-11-19 20:00:21	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes			
297	2021-11-19 17:40:58	Yes	B3t2l6	Yes	Yes	No	I do not want any change that currently manages the waste as house prices will impact all people living
300	2021-11-19 16:24:10	Yes	B3t2e8	Yes	No		
301	2021-11-19 15:30:49	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
302	2021-11-19 14:41:19	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	a visit to the site shows lots of birds in the area. With the increase of organic how can it not attract more birds, rodents
304	2021-11-19 13:53:50	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes			
306	2021-11-19 13:17:35	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	I don't love the idea of whistler flares
307	2021-11-19 12:52:56	Yes	B3T1S8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
309	2021-11-19 13:13:25	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes	No	The increase of decaying food placed directly into the landfill will not only attract more bird but other animals as well. Timberlea already has a rodent problem with the deactivation it will only increase and attract not only rats but other animals as well.
310	2021-11-19 12:09:54	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	My understanding is these mitigation measures are going to be decreased as per the CMCLer
312	2021-11-19 11:21:59	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
313	2021-11-19 12:01:38	Yes	B3t0e2	Yes	Yes	No	I don't think the efforts will work
314	2021-11-19 11:37:55	Yes	B3T0B3	Yes	Yes	No	
315	2021-11-19 11:40:51	No	b4e3j4	No			
316	2021-11-19 11:05:54	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	No	You can't stop birds from trying to get at garbage. Go to any landfill in the world and you'll see an issue. This excuse is just trying to make people feel like you're doing something.
317	2021-11-19 10:51:58	No	B3t2a4	Yes	Yes	No	If you are implementing mitigation measures, you are admitting to the bird problem. It is unhealthy and unnatural for birds to scavenge waste. If you know anything about animals, you know that they are relentless once a supply has been introduced to their area.
328	2021-11-19 09:26:04	No	B3t1s4	Yes	Yes	No	
329	2021-11-19 09:23:53	No	B3T1T7	Yes	No		
330	2021-11-19 09:18:34	No	B3T2E3	No			
331	2021-11-03 14:14:39	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	
332	2021-11-19 09:07:23	No	B3z3x7	Yes	No		
336	2021-11-19 06:57:40	No	B3t1s7	Yes	Yes	No	This will not deter the birds
340	2021-11-16 06:28:52	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	I'm skeptical the measures noted above will be effective.
342	2021-11-18 13:01:08	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste should not be buried in landfill

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
343	2021-11-06 11:19:16	Yes	B3T1S3	Yes			
344	2021-11-18 08:13:25	No	B3Z1S1	Yes	Yes		
348	2021-11-17 22:58:07	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes	No	
349	2021-11-17 22:09:54	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes			
350	2021-11-17 21:58:13	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes			
351	2021-11-17 20:45:05	No	B3T1V4				
352	2021-11-17 16:59:54	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	Yes	without front end processing, there is an increased probability that some unprocessed food waste will find its way to the landfill and attract more bird and rodents, as is found in every other landfills in the province landfill
353	2021-11-17 18:51:05	No	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	The material attracting birds i.e. organics which will no longer be removed from the incoming stream is still present. My preference is to eliminate what attracts the birds from the landfill
354	2021-11-17 18:35:15	Yes	B3T1T1	Yes	No		
356	2021-11-17 17:37:03	Yes	B3T1Z1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
358	2021-11-17 17:32:46	No	B3t1p9	Yes	No		
359	2021-11-17 16:50:01	No	B3t1z5	Yes	No		
361	2021-11-17 08:21:13	No	B3T0J9	Yes	Yes	No	
362	2021-11-17 16:12:46	Yes	B3T1Z5	Yes	Yes	No	
364	2021-11-17 14:48:48	No	B3T1Z7	Yes	Yes	No	A tarp cover is not going to keep birds from flocking to the landfill if there is an increase in unsorted waste entering the stream, have you not looked around HRM on a garbage day, birds have a field day with bacs and tarps.
366	2021-11-17 14:03:17	No	B3T1S3	Yes	Yes	No	It still doesn't address the fact that more organics will be making its way into the landfill.
368	2021-11-17 12:56:04	No	B3T2A3	Yes	No		
369	2021-11-17 12:39:30	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes	Yes	No	
372	2021-11-17 10:06:34	Yes	B3T2B4	Yes	Yes	No	There will be more birds at the facility as well as in the general area of commercial and residences. They are loud and will leave their feces.
373	2021-11-17 09:17:34	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	The image you've chosen to represent this question yet again assures me that I'm being sold - show us a real picture - be honest about this process and you might have had proper public buy-in instead of disdain and backlash
374	2021-11-17 08:29:21	No	B3L2X9	Yes			
375	2021-11-17 07:34:30	No	B3Z1L7	Yes	No		
378	2021-11-17 02:20:42	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	Yes	No	Not good enough. Do not want the sorting stopped
379	2021-11-16 22:06:39	No	B3T2C8	Yes	No		
380	2021-11-16 21:10:18	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	No		
381	2021-11-16 21:18:47	No	B3t1t8	Yes			
382	2021-11-16 21:09:04	No	B4E0H1	Yes			
384	2021-11-06 11:35:33	No	B3T1S6	Yes			
387	2021-11-16 20:28:47	No	B3T2A8	Yes	Yes	No	
388	2021-11-16 20:08:46	No	b4e0c5	Yes	Yes	No	
389	2021-11-16 19:53:05	Yes	b3t1m6	Yes	Yes	No	Birds are attracted to garbage, as well as other rodents. There are already enough rats around the neighborhood.
391	2021-11-16 19:17:01	Yes	B3t1v6	Yes	Yes	No	There are already too many bird problems. Maybe of the hrM provided adequate garbage cans like compost this problem would be reduced.
392	2021-11-16 17:11:00	Yes	B3t1z6	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
394	2021-11-16 16:57:48	Yes	B3T1K6	Yes	Yes	No	
395	2021-11-16 16:47:46	No	B3T1V3	Yes	Yes		
396	2021-11-16 16:26:13	No	b3r1y2	Yes	Yes	No	you just want to make an upper Sackville landfill site to increase profits.
397	2021-11-16 16:09:29	No	B3T1P7	Yes	No		
398	2021-11-16 14:32:29	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
402	2021-11-16 13:43:14	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	
403	2021-11-16 13:20:21	No	B3T2A5	Yes	Yes	No	Birds, rats, raccoons, etc.... No one will patrol to see that these do not take over the landfill
404	2021-11-16 13:04:35	Yes	B3T1T1	Yes	No		
405	2021-11-16 13:08:17	No	B3.2g6	Yes	No		
407	2021-11-16 12:16:47	Yes	B3T1R9	Yes	Yes	No	Every other change has brought more foul to the area, i have run into birds, their feces litters the area now, the process in place don't work and the new ones won't either.
409	2021-11-16 12:01:52	No	B3T2A3	Yes	No		
410	2021-11-16 11:28:33	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	No	You can't stop the birds
411	2021-11-15 19:57:12	No	B3T1Z6	Yes			
412	2021-11-16 11:13:28	No	B3T1T4	Yes	No		
413	2021-11-16 10:54:56	No	B3T1R2	Yes	Yes	No	Feel daily cover will be a protocol soon forgotten
418	2021-11-08 04:39:24	No	B3t2b9	No			
419	2021-11-16 09:20:25	Yes	B3TOJ4	Yes	Yes	No	
420	2021-11-16 09:14:25	Yes	B3TOJ4	Yes	Yes	No	
421	2021-11-16 08:16:26	No	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	No	Keep the birds out in the first place..Don't cull them. It isn't the birds fault. My concern is for the birds and again, I consider a lot of this to be smoke in mirrors or wishful thinking. The plans might be good and might sound good but often times they are not carried out as they should be. Just put a check mark on a checklist so the auditors are happy.
422	2021-11-16 08:02:51	No	B3T2A6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
423	2021-11-16 07:03:38	No	B3T1S3	Yes	Yes	No	no off-site impacts due to an enhanced attraction of birds have been identified. If it hasn't been deactivated yet how can you know for sure there will not be an increase
425	2021-11-16 07:17:23	No	B4B0V3	No			
427	2021-11-16 06:40:08	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	No		
428	2021-11-16 05:15:53	No	B3t1r8	Yes	Yes	No	These measures get rid of the birds once they come to the landfill. A larger issue is that when you attract more birds to the landfill you are drawing them away from their natural habitat and that has negative effects on the ecosystem
429	2021-11-16 03:50:44	No	b3t1r2	Yes			
432	2021-11-15 22:24:58	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes			
433	2021-11-15 21:42:51	No	B3z1k6	Yes	Yes	No	Again more expense to have bird controls.
436	2021-11-15 21:31:56	No	b3t0h5	Yes			
439	2021-11-15 20:24:57	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	What are the actual plans. What are the plans of the "increased frequency" of bird and vector control
442	2021-11-15 20:01:15	Yes	B3j1b6	Yes	Yes		
443	2021-11-15 19:21:38	No	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	Birds and any animals will get used to the counter measures and be present anyway.
444	2021-11-15 19:19:56	Yes	B3TOC5	Yes	Yes	No	
445	2021-11-15 19:23:32	No	B3M2Z8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
447	2021-11-15 19:20:01	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
448	2021-11-15 19:18:50	Yes	B3T0C5	Yes			
449	2021-11-15 18:52:28	No	B3T1S7	Yes	Yes	Yes	It's not just birds that will be attracted to the landfill.
451	2021-11-15 19:07:14	Yes	B3T0C3	Yes	Yes	No	
453	2021-11-09 17:16:13	Yes	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	
454	2021-11-15 18:12:10	No	B3T2F4	Yes	Yes		
456	2021-11-15 18:00:43	No	B3m1b3	Yes	Yes	No	
457	2021-11-15 17:07:16	No	B3T1S7	Yes	Yes	No	
459	2021-11-15 16:33:39	Yes	b3t1n1	Yes	No		
460	2021-11-15 15:34:49	Yes	B3T1V2	Yes	No		
463	2021-11-04 13:14:52	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes	No		
467	2021-11-15 15:05:11	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	No		
468	2021-11-15 14:28:53	No	B3T1T5	Yes	Yes	No	Where there is litter there will be birds. How do you plan on stopping the birds from spreading the garbage? And pooping in and around the lake? Do you plan to just kill the birds? These problems could be avoided by keeping things in place as they are
469	2021-11-15 14:37:16	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	No	
470	2021-11-04 13:58:09	No	B3t2L1	Yes	Yes	No	More waste means more birds.
472	2021-11-15 13:06:30	Yes	B3T1P4	Yes			
474	2021-11-15 11:55:02	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	Obviously from your statement, items are going to be going into the landfill that shouldn't be; otherwise there would be no increase in birds.
475	2021-11-15 12:15:51	Yes	B3t1t5	Yes	Yes		
476	2021-11-15 11:06:37	Yes	B3T1A2	Yes	Yes	No	Who is going to be there to monitor any increase in bird population & if the so called cover is actually being placed properly every day or if it gets missed for a day or so.
477	2021-11-15 11:09:54	No	B3t1z9	Yes	Yes	No	Med risk to high
478	2021-11-02 15:44:15	No	B3t1z9	Yes	Yes	No	Med
479	2021-11-15 10:58:42	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	Noise makers only scare the birds away after they've been attracted to the landfill site. Seagulls then circulate to the surrounding area for food.
482	2021-11-15 10:47:45	No	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	No	Medium risk too high
483	2021-11-15 10:38:04	No	B3t1z9	Yes	Yes	No	Media risk to high
487	2021-11-15 08:33:12	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	No 'OFF site impacts' have been identified to date, and pre-processing is still in place. There will be inevitable impacts ON site if the process is deactivated, and the trickle down of that will most definitely happen. Not acceptable. We have more than enough wildlife to contend with without adding 'garbage gulls' to the equation.
488	2021-11-15 09:26:01	No		Yes			
490	2021-11-15 08:50:46	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	When we met with the city prior to the installation of this waste facility, we were given promises regarding capping the cells, sorting waste, no odor, etc. We have odors now, what you are proposing will make it worse. Is a promise made to residents not binding on future Municipal Governments??
491	2021-11-15 08:35:24	No	B4A0G5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
492	2021-11-15 08:16:23	No	B3T1W2	Yes	No		
493	2021-11-15 08:06:45	Yes	B3P2S2	Yes	Yes	No	Keep the FEP/WSF. Do not deactivate.
494	2021-11-15 07:51:27	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	No		
496	2021-11-15 06:25:23	No	b2y2k5	Yes	Yes	No	Moving backwards then adding a band aid is not a solution
497	2021-11-15 06:30:42	No	b3t1s6	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
498	2021-11-15 06:04:16	No	B4A4G9	Yes			
499	2021-11-15 06:00:23	No	B3T1Z4	Yes			
501	2021-11-15 05:17:48	No	B3T2B6	Yes			
502	2021-11-15 04:23:45	No	B3T2B6	Yes			
503	2021-11-14 22:48:09	Yes	B3T1T3	Yes	Yes	No	Odors draw scavenger birds- food products not separated from garbage will emit odors and gases
504	2021-11-14 23:28:13	Yes	B3r1s4	Yes	Yes	No	Any additional birds are too many
505	2021-11-14 21:41:33	No	B3L4E9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
507	2021-11-14 21:08:08	Yes	B3Z2R2	Yes			
508	2021-11-14 18:53:01	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	Yes	No	These mitigating are like trying to hold back the tide when the draw of food remains.
509	2021-11-14 19:24:07	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	Organics need to be removed from the waste buried. Birds will be a significant problem.
510	2021-11-14 19:06:31	No	B3z2j2	Yes	Yes	No	This problem continues to exist even as other waste facilities have put into place the exact same measures. How about rodents, rats, and other wildlife?
511	2021-11-14 18:40:50	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	Yes	No	There is already significant seagulls and other birds. It will get worse
512	2021-11-14 18:24:35	No	B3z1c5	Yes			
513	2021-11-14 17:48:16	Yes	B3t1C4	Yes	Yes	No	
514	2021-11-14 17:24:20	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
515	2021-11-14 17:13:33	No	B3t1z1	Yes	No		
517	2021-11-14 17:03:48	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
518	2021-11-14 16:51:46	No	B3t2c8	Yes	Yes	No	There is increased risk to the birds being poisoned
519	2021-11-14 16:42:02	No	B3T2a3	Yes	Yes	No	Mitigation efforts will never outweigh the food potential
520	2021-11-14 16:19:13	Yes	B3t1w1	Yes	Yes	No	Still be tons more birds than Whitley can stop
521	2021-11-14 16:13:51	No	B3t2h8	Yes	Yes	No	These claims cannot be substantiated
522	2021-11-14 15:41:54	No	B3Z1X7	Yes	No		
523	2021-11-06 17:49:33	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes			
524	2021-11-14 15:16:45	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	No		
525	2021-11-14 14:28:12	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes	Yes	No	
526	2021-11-14 14:13:29	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	
527	2021-11-14 12:59:52	No	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	
529	2021-11-14 12:32:35	Yes	B3T1T7	Yes	Yes	No	approach hasn't been successful at other landfill sites
530	2021-11-14 12:47:29	No	B3t2a8	No			
531	2021-11-14 12:46:53	Yes	b3t1a9	Yes			
532	2021-11-14 12:15:28	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	No	No	I still think
533	2021-11-14 12:23:24	Yes	b3t1a5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
534	2021-11-14 11:50:10	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	No		
535	2021-11-14 10:54:56	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	No		
537	2021-11-14 10:24:51	No	B3A2Y6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
538	2021-11-08 06:46:30	Yes	b3t3c9	Yes	Yes	No	
539	2021-11-14 09:41:02	No	b3t2b4	Yes	Yes	No	If you stop the two step-there will be more birds
540	2021-11-14 09:23:15	No	B3L1W1	Yes	Yes	No	It sounds like this is more geared to keeping the birds from being a problem off-site rather than reducing the attraction to birds overall
541	2021-11-14 09:02:04	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
542	2021-11-14 09:01:11	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes	No	
543	2021-11-14 07:47:50	Yes	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	No	You cannot eliminate birds flying 24/7. Mitigation strategies will reduce wildlife including birds but not 100% effective.
544	2021-11-14 07:20:45	Yes	B3T1A7	Yes	Yes	No	You cannot control birds. But you can control the smell by keeping things the way they are

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
545	2021-11-13 19:53:48	Yes	B3T0B6	Yes	Yes	No	It's currently not working. It causing problems in the area daily Halifax transit in Ragged lake has tons of birds and the poop is EVERYWHERE
548	2021-11-14 07:04:09	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	
549	2021-11-07 15:48:37	Yes	B3t1w1	Yes	Yes	No	
550	2021-11-14 06:41:46	Yes	B3t0b3	Yes	No		
551	2021-11-14 06:26:48	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	
552	2021-11-14 06:22:05	No	B3k1x3	Yes			
553	2021-11-14 04:19:11	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	No	Processing garbage as currently being done, minimize the attention birds give it. Since we are coastal it's important that the birds don't see this as a constant food source
554	2021-11-14 04:17:16	No	B4B0Y6	Yes	Yes		
555	2021-11-14 02:03:54	Yes	B3t0J3	Yes	Yes	No	
556	2021-11-14 01:17:35	Yes	B3T2J3	Yes	Yes	No	Detracting birds is a good measure, bit once the birds learn a new food source is present they will get to it eventually.
557	2021-11-14 01:10:03	Yes	B3t1k6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
558	2021-11-13 23:39:07	No	B3Z0E7	Yes			
561	2021-11-13 22:03:06	No	B3T2B4	Yes			
562	2021-11-13 21:58:46	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	No		
563	2021-11-13 21:07:26	No	B3T1V8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
565	2021-11-13 20:50:36	No	B3N1E3				
568	2021-11-13 19:12:12	Yes	B3R1R4	Yes	Yes	No	Easier said than done. I don't believe the measures will be taken.
569	2021-11-13 18:36:52	No	B3T1W7	Yes	Yes	No	All the extra methods used to mitigate will cost HRM funds that they claim will be saved by shut down of FEP, HRM is growing & mostly with apartment buildings, having personal experience, I see what residents don't separate, we need the FEP, we need HRM to stand by their promises.
570	2021-11-13 18:56:22	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes			
573	2021-11-13 09:09:40	No		Yes	Yes	No	
576	2021-11-13 16:34:10	No	B3T1W2	Yes	Yes	No	The best mitigation is to not deactivate the FEP/WSF.
577	2021-11-13 16:15:03	Yes	B3Z1E8	Yes	Yes	No	I am not satisfied that sufficient labour or the required equipment will be available to mitigate the impacts
578	2021-11-13 16:12:11	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
579	2021-11-13 14:52:37	Yes	B3T1W9	Yes	No		
580	2021-11-13 15:28:04	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
581	2021-11-13 15:35:18	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes			
582	2021-11-13 15:09:51	Yes	B3T0C7	Yes	Yes	No	Birds shit and carry diseases!
584	2021-11-13 14:20:55	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes	Yes	No	Guysborough landfill is covered in birds
585	2021-11-13 14:05:39	No	B3t1s3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
586	2021-11-13 13:03:09	Yes	B3t1t7	Yes	Yes	No	I am still concerned with untreated organic waste going into the landfill which is then eaten and carried through the birds and can cause diseases to spread
587	2021-11-13 12:49:35	Yes	B3t2h9	Yes			
588	2021-11-13 12:12:35	Yes	B3T1W2	Yes	Yes	No	Lots of fancy words saying basically do more of what we already do. Appears to me to be just words unlikely to be as effective as the front end processing facility.
589	2021-11-13 12:34:33	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	No	
590	2021-11-13 12:34:26	No	B3T1R2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
591	2021-11-12 10:59:07	No	B3T1W3	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
592	2021-11-13 11:45:10	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	More trash leads to more pests.
594	2021-11-13 11:35:51	No	B3T2A6	Yes	Yes	No	I am sure the birds will be attracted and am concerned they will be destroyed
595	2021-11-13 11:23:25	No	B3t1s1	Yes	Yes	No	
596	2021-11-13 11:27:25	No	B3T1W9	Yes	Yes	No	Mitigation plans are vague and unproven to be effective.
598	2021-11-13 09:13:42	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	Yes	No	Other areas have tried to control birds and pests with little success
599	2021-11-13 10:52:22	Yes	B3t1v8	Yes			
601	2021-11-04 08:30:06	No	B3t1y6	Yes	Yes	No	We know that won't fix the problem!
602	2021-11-13 09:10:48	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	The measures use terms like 'increased frequency', 'emphasis on minimizing size'. I would prefer to see monitoring of effects and a trial taken based on effect.
603	2021-11-13 08:49:57	Yes	B3T0H6	Yes			
605	2021-11-08 10:53:05	Yes	B3T1T8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
607	2021-11-13 08:42:24	No	B3T1T9	Yes	No		
608	2021-11-13 08:43:32	No	B3T1V8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
609	2021-11-13 08:37:22	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	Scavenger's will come for an easy meal if it is available
613	2021-11-13 08:01:46	No	B3T1T3	Yes			
614	2021-11-13 07:52:06	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	No		
615	2021-11-13 07:29:43	Yes	B3Z0N9	Yes	Yes	No	More garbage equals more birds. They spread garbage and shouldn't be feeding on our waste.
616	2021-11-12 10:15:32	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes	No	
617	2021-11-13 07:32:01	No	B3t1z3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
618	2021-11-13 07:12:01	No	B3Z3A5	Yes	No		
619	2021-11-13 07:22:29	No	B3t1y5	Yes			
620	2021-11-13 07:24:17	No	B3T1T8	Yes	Yes		
621	2021-11-13 06:57:29	No	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	No	I believe if we keep the trash being sorted it will deter more birds
622	2021-11-13 06:34:34	No	B0J2C0	Yes	Yes	Yes	
623	2021-11-13 06:11:22	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	Increase of litter will increase the desire of birds to come.
624	2021-11-13 05:23:59	No	B3T1Y1	Yes			
625	2021-11-13 04:38:15	No	B3n1g2	Yes			
628	2021-11-12 22:32:48	No	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	No	I work in an industrial setting with some of the same mitigation measures. We still have a bird problem.
630	2021-11-12 21:34:52	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	Yes	No	I worked at [redacted] We had falcons, shotguns and every type of noise maker money can buy. Gulls always came. Soon as the falcon was gone, they would land in droves. Noise makers they would land right on top of them.
632	2021-11-12 21:45:13	No	B3t2b8	Yes			
635	2021-11-12 20:55:35	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste should not be buried in the landfill. If the FEP/WSF is still in operation, additional birds would not be attracted to the site in the first place, and additional mitigation measures would not be required.
636	2021-11-12 20:53:35	Yes	B3t0e1	Yes	Yes	No	Measure in place are to deter birds. Not proven to be effective at other sites
637	2021-11-12 20:31:03	No	B3L3W3	Yes			
638	2021-11-12 20:33:57	No	B3Z0N9	Yes			
639	2021-11-12 19:53:27	Yes	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
640	2021-11-12 20:10:10	Yes	B3T2G2	Yes	Yes	No	With increased organics due to not doing all of the promised treatment, there may be increased birds and other wildlife.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
641	2021-11-12 19:54:56	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	We Were told when we accepted the composting facility that there would be no smell. That was a lie. It's hard to believe anything we are now being told about Otter Lake, as a result.
642	2021-11-12 19:51:56	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
644	2021-11-04 15:18:44	No	B3T1T3	Yes			
645	2021-11-12 19:18:14	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	Organic should should not be put in the landfill
647	2021-11-12 18:58:13	No	B3T1X7	Yes	Yes	No	
648	2021-11-12 19:00:02	No	B3R1Z6	Yes			
649	2021-11-12 18:45:16	No	B3T2L1	Yes	No		
650	2021-11-12 18:45:15	No	B3t2a6	Yes	Yes		
651	2021-11-12 18:39:00	No	B3T2B2	No			
653	2021-11-09 15:44:21	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
654	2021-11-12 17:58:39	No	B3t1s5	Yes	Yes	No	Because this is a bandaid solution. The second point has no specifics, it simply states "emphasis on". That's not good enough
655	2021-11-12 18:04:48	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	
658	2021-11-12 17:20:52	Yes	B3t1r8	Yes	Yes		
660	2021-11-12 16:54:50	Yes	B3t1r4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
661	2021-11-12 16:50:26	No	B3t1p7	Yes	Yes	No	So far there's been no issue... why stop these measures now?
662	2021-11-12 16:11:02	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes	Yes	No	We do NOT need any more seagulls, crows or other rodents in this area. It will devalue our homes, bird poop on our vehicles and homes is not appreciated either.
663	2021-11-12 16:22:48	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes	No	
664	2021-11-05 11:03:02	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	There will be way more organics. Therefore more birds and rats period.
665	2021-11-12 16:13:09	Yes	B3t1a2	Yes	Yes	No	
666	2021-11-12 15:58:30	No	B3T2B9	Yes	Yes	No	
668	2021-11-12 14:45:10	Yes	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	No	
669	2021-11-12 14:09:19	No	B3Z0C8	Yes	Yes	No	More unsorted items going into the cells - will attract rodents, birds, create odors, as dumping happens garbage will blow around - attracting more birds, rodents. As for dealing with this by having one type of bird kill another type of bird - is absolutely not acceptable.
673	2021-11-12 13:57:18	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	No	
675	2021-11-12 13:46:14	No					
677	2021-11-12 13:27:53	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	No	This survey is leading and directing a response and does allow for important concerns to be addressed
678	2021-11-12 13:08:59	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	No	There is no way that you'll be able to control the birds from not being attracted to the garbage.
679	2021-11-12 13:23:55	No					
685	2021-11-12 11:19:12	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
687	2021-11-04 13:53:39	No	B3T1T9	Yes	No		
688	2021-11-12 11:55:18	No	B3T1B8	Yes	No		
689	2021-11-12 11:21:27	Yes	B3t0k4	Yes	Yes	No	Not clear on how these measures would help
690	2021-11-12 11:36:23	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes		
692	2021-11-12 11:31:12	Yes	B3t0c4	Yes	Yes	No	
693	2021-11-12 11:32:34	Yes	B3t2g2	Yes	Yes	No	
695	2021-11-09 14:58:14	No	B3M3Y5	Yes	Yes	No	The photo clearly shows the problem has not been resolved!
696	2021-11-12 10:56:26	No	B3T2B3	Yes	Yes	No	Critics say these measures don't work... I don't know
698	2021-11-12 10:45:38	No	B3t2b4	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
699	2021-11-05 07:51:24	No		Yes	Yes	No	These bogus measurements don't address basic issues of ground water pollution. Process of waste needs more accurate, well illustrated clarification for public to fully understand this process and why it is detrimental to the environment
701	2021-11-12 10:20:55	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	Not enough actions to counter birds
702	2021-11-12 10:16:59	Yes	B3t1a9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
704	2021-11-12 09:41:35	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	No	hy are you even considering taking it away??? Without these measures, birds will swarm the landfill, ingesting rotten food that can be seriously dangerous to their health and the health of the ecosystem they are part of.
707	2021-11-12 09:44:13	Yes	B3T0B6	Yes	Yes	No	Wildlife will come if food waste is put into a landfill
708	2021-11-12 09:47:42	No	B3T1R7	Yes			
709	2021-11-12 09:43:26	No	B3t0b2	Yes	Yes	No	
712	2021-11-12 09:41:22	No	B3T1X5	Yes	Yes	No	Just because you haven't identified them doesn't mean they don't exist.
713	2021-11-12 09:40:17	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes			
715	2021-11-12 09:10:33	No	B3T1W6	Yes	Yes	No	We are being told there will be more frequent bird control measures but is that just a story to appease us?
717	2021-11-12 09:26:35	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	
719	2021-11-12 09:06:45	Yes	B3t2a2	Yes	Yes	No	
720	2021-11-12 08:33:02	No	B3Z3X1	Yes	Yes	No	Birds are always present where garbage is. They arrive with the trucks just as they do with fishing boats full of fish.
721	2021-11-12 08:18:26	No	B3T2A8	Yes	Yes	No	there are no known effective measures to stop such increased bird activity
723	2021-11-12 08:36:35	Yes	B3T2K5	Yes			
725	2021-11-12 08:27:32	Yes	B3T0C2	Yes	Yes	No	
726	2021-11-12 08:20:28	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	You can't control nature.
728	2021-11-12 08:30:51	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes			
730	2021-11-12 08:11:33	No	B3t2a8	Yes	Yes	No	They will come!
732	2021-11-12 07:03:31	Yes	B3t1r5	Yes	No		
733	2021-11-11 22:26:20	Yes	B3t1c3	Yes	Yes	No	
735	2021-11-11 19:08:24	Yes	B3t1k2	Yes	Yes	No	It will change as soon as the proposed change occurs
736	2021-11-11 18:30:49	No	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	No	No off-site impacts due to an enhanced attraction of birds have been identified Try looking for them first. You can't provide an answer as the changes have not been made. C'mon!
739	2021-11-11 18:00:07	No	B3T1V2	Yes	No		
741	2021-11-11 18:03:23	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes	Yes	No	
743	2021-11-11 16:40:58	Yes	B3T1R9	Yes	Yes	No	The increase in non sorted material entering the landfill must increase the use of mitigation methods because of an increase in bird population.
745	2021-11-11 15:03:16	No	B3T2A4	Yes	Yes	No	As with the previous issue, I would prefer the possibility of a bird problem not be created, rather than be mitigated.
746	2021-11-11 13:12:54	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	No		
747	2021-11-11 15:23:58	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes			
748	2021-11-11 14:02:48	No	B3t1t8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
749	2021-11-11 13:16:05	No	B3T2J7	Yes	No		
751	2021-11-11 13:09:16	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	No		
752	2021-11-11 13:01:23	No	B3T1W3	Yes	Yes		
753	2021-11-11 12:02:06	Yes	B3t2b2	Yes	Yes	No	Not the deal
757	2021-11-11 11:49:47	Yes	B3T2F1	Yes	Yes	No	They will still be more around our area of living.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
759	2021-11-11 11:38:35	Yes	B3T1C3	No			
760	2021-11-11 11:30:14	No	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	No	
761	2021-11-11 11:00:27	Yes	B3T1C3	Yes	Yes	No	
762	2021-11-11 10:05:48	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	No		
763	2021-11-11 08:28:05	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	I also am not interested in hearing the noise makers. We already have an issue with birds, especially geese, in my area, so this doesn't seem to be a realistic way to mitigate the issue and will be bound to increase
767	2021-11-11 09:11:03	No	B3t2a1	Yes	No		
768	2021-11-11 08:46:55	No	B3t1p7	Yes	No		
769	2021-11-11 08:06:36	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	No		
770	2021-11-11 08:17:33	Yes	B3t1r9	Yes	Yes	No	
771	2021-11-11 08:21:43	No	B0J2C0	Yes			
772	2021-11-11 08:18:25	Yes	B3M0J9	Yes	No		
773	2021-11-11 07:42:15	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
774	2021-11-11 07:03:07	No	B3T1V4	Yes	No		
775	2021-11-10 14:02:24	Yes	B3t0e4	Yes	Yes	No	Birds can cause so much waste, not to mention the predatory birds that can be threats to small pets. And we have many in the area. More garbage means more scavengers in general.
776	2021-11-11 07:05:09	Yes	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	
777	2021-11-11 06:46:00	No	B3t1y7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
778	2021-11-11 06:28:21	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	
779	2021-11-11 06:43:21	No	B3t2b6	Yes	Yes	No	Maintenance falls over time
780	2021-11-11 06:39:32	No	B3T1V3	Yes	No		
781	2021-11-11 06:39:09	Yes	B3T1v3	Yes	Yes		
782	2021-11-11 06:38:52	Yes	B3T0C7	Yes			
783	2021-11-11 06:31:00	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	
784	2021-11-11 05:59:37	No	B3Z1L6	Yes	Yes	No	Solution has not been proven
785	2021-11-11 06:00:30	No	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	
788	2021-11-10 23:24:38	Yes	B3T1W2				
789	2021-11-10 22:36:27	Yes	B3T0C7	Yes			
790	2021-11-10 21:14:36	Yes	B3T1C4	No			
795	2021-11-10 18:39:43	No	B3t1w9	Yes	No		
798	2021-11-10 19:03:32	Yes	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	No	All wildlife is affected
799	2021-11-10 18:54:45	No	B3V1E5	Yes	No		
800	2021-11-10 18:25:49	Yes	B3T2B1	Yes	Yes	No	With garage comes birds rodents and bears I grew up close to the Halifax dump and have seen first hand of what it attracts fences and noise will not eliminate these animals
801	2021-11-06 14:25:32	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	No	Birds will still be attracted and carry disease.
804	2021-11-10 18:01:15	No	B3T1W9	Yes	No		
805	2021-11-10 16:59:47	No	B3t2b8	Yes	Yes	No	Thats now , once organics is added to the landfill BIRDS & RODENTS will be 10 fold. Ask the residents of Sackville NS the nightmare they experienced.
806	2021-11-10 17:02:48	Yes	B3t1w6	Yes	No		
807	2021-11-10 15:00:14	No	B3T1X1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
808	2021-11-10 17:23:49	No	B0N1L0	Yes			
809	2021-11-10 17:14:41	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	Yes	No	You are going to have putrescent garbage - that will attract more birds.
810	2021-11-10 17:10:28	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	
812	2021-11-10 16:45:10	No		No			
814	2021-11-10 15:52:29	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
815	2021-11-10 15:06:22	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	Yes	No	These measures are largely ineffective and I have no confidence in the municipality to deliver them consistently over the long term. They will inevitably become the subject of budget cuts just like the FEP
816	2021-11-10 15:16:00	No	B3T1S4	Yes			
817	2021-11-10 15:09:41	No	B3T1W6	Yes			
818	2021-11-10 14:33:05	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	Yes	No	Additional waste caused by changing the way garbage is presently handled will provide more opportunity for wildlife to feed and gather
819	2021-11-10 14:33:26	Yes	B3T2K8	Yes	Yes	No	Applying a cover does not seem like enough of a mitigation measure. Consider garbage day in our communities, where birds are able to bite through bags, tear off coverings. When material is left from one day to another, the waste pile continues to get bigger and eventually to big manage and mitigate
820	2021-11-10 14:24:27	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
823	2021-11-10 13:33:49	No	B0J1T0	Yes	Yes	No	if birds are attracted it indicates that compostable materials are not being processed properly
826	2021-11-10 12:33:58	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
827	2021-11-10 11:29:56	No	B3T1V1	Yes	Yes	No	Was the same consultant did this study and reported that "no off-site impacts due to an enhanced attraction of birds have been identified", the same consultant that did the environment study in the 1990s. How about a different engineering consultant be hired to do the report.
828	2021-11-10 12:07:40	No	B3T1Z7	Yes	No		
829	2021-11-10 11:47:43	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	Yes	No	Increased unprocessed garage will attract more scavenging birds who also flow into nearby neighborhoods defecating on car and homes and ripping apart garage.
830	2021-11-10 11:50:45	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	Do not believe that culling is the appropriate response to this item
832	2021-11-04 18:40:19	No	B3T1X3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
833	2021-11-10 10:27:02	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	
834	2021-11-10 10:36:38	Yes	B3T1K5	Yes	Yes	No	I have seen "dumps" where there is less mitigation, and there will be more birds and that is a danger to the birds too.
835	2021-11-10 10:51:19	Yes	B3t1z5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
836	2021-11-04 15:05:28	Yes	b3t1r8	Yes			
837	2021-11-10 09:43:11	No	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
838	2021-11-10 10:01:07	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes	Yes	No	There is No "certainty" that allowing "any" further organic waste into the facility will keep the birds away.
839	2021-11-10 09:38:48	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
840	2021-11-10 09:18:17	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	No	The last thing neighbours need is the possibility of more gulls and gull droppings
841	2021-11-10 08:57:45	No	b3t2l1	Yes	Yes	No	Medium risk is unacceptable. There has been a noticeable increase in seagulls over the past 5 years before these changes even take place.
843	2021-11-09 10:53:27	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	No	The attraction of more birds is a huge concern as they carry disease and spread litter.
844	2021-11-10 08:24:45	No	b3t1z2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
847	2021-11-10 08:23:29	No	B3P2J3	No			
848	2021-11-10 08:21:46	Yes	B3Z0N9	Yes			
849	2021-11-10 07:38:55	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	No	Hard on the birds. A source of food and hazards added.
850	2021-11-10 07:44:45	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	I do not think these measures go far enough and I already see plenty of birds.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
851	2021-11-10 07:51:24	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
852	2021-11-10 07:46:12	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	Honour the agreements!
853	2021-11-10 07:19:40	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Sounds like BS
856	2021-11-10 07:17:17	No	B4C4H4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
857	2021-11-10 07:12:40	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	Yes	No	
858	2021-11-10 06:32:23	No	B3t1x2	Yes	Yes	No	Increase bird activity creates a negative environment for the birds, they become dependent on the ecology of the landfill
861	2021-11-04 10:46:19	Yes	B3t1b8	Yes	Yes	No	
862	2021-11-10 06:39:16	Yes	bek3x4	No			
863	2021-11-10 06:01:29	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	No		
870	2021-11-09 21:16:37	No	B3T1P3	Yes	No		
876	2021-11-09 20:32:29	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes	No		
878	2021-11-09 20:21:20	Yes	B3T1S6	No			
879	2021-11-09 19:57:59	Yes	B3T2G2	Yes	Yes	No	Waste that is not appropriately sorted will attract animals and their feces - not acceptable and not the original agreement.
880	2021-11-09 20:07:42	No	B3T1X5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
881	2021-11-09 19:46:50	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	In and lives and the environment will pay the price
882	2021-11-09 19:44:51	No	B3T1Y4	Yes	Yes	No	Birds will still migrate there regardless
883	2021-11-09 19:26:51	No	B3T1W1	Yes	No		
884	2021-11-09 19:22:19	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	Yes	No	There is no guarantee your mitigation will happen or continue like agreed
885	2021-11-09 19:33:14	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
887	2021-11-09 19:29:36	No	B3Z4B6	No			
888	2021-11-09 19:17:55	No	B3T2C3	Yes	No		
889	2021-11-09 19:15:21	Yes	B3T2A3	Yes	No		
890	2021-11-08 12:55:59	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes			
892	2021-11-09 18:48:02	No	B3S0G5	Yes	No		
895	2021-11-09 18:19:35	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
900	2021-11-09 17:14:50	No	B3T1W5	Yes	Yes	No	Perhaps you could put a landfill in the south end of Halifax, then you could ask the residents how they like the landfill caps effect on the birds that come to terrorize their yards. Perhaps rather than wasting time and money to try and break your promise, and have us all spend our time filling out this incredibly skewed survey you could just do what you promised you would do in the first place.
901	2021-11-09 17:15:45	Yes	B3t0c8	Yes	Yes	No	So...it is starting to feel like these are loaded questions with only 1 "right" answer? Additional garbage will attract additional scavengers. That is a fact. And once again, the residents in the area take on 100% of the risk
905	2021-11-09 16:52:54	No	B3t1r6	Yes	No		
906	2021-11-09 16:41:54	No	B3N2R7	Yes	No		
907	2021-11-09 16:30:43	No	B3T1Z2	Yes	Yes	No	Without the front end processor and waste stabilization facility, the garbage is going to smell more, which will make it more attractive to wildlife. Also I am worried about birds being poisoned if organic materials and other contamination gets into the surrounding watersheds.
908	2021-11-09 16:42:52	Yes	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	The birds still have access through the fence
911	2021-11-09 16:09:21	No	B3t1z4	Yes	Yes	No	The bird traffic will increase regardless
913	2021-11-09 15:56:25	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
914	2021-11-09 15:44:59	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	My yard is already tore up from birds I DONT WANT ANY MORE BIRDS
915	2021-11-09 14:47:57	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	No		
917	2021-11-09 15:33:29	No	B3T1Z1	Yes	Yes	No	
918	2021-11-09 15:20:00	No	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	No	How does one control the size of the disposal area with increased traffic.
920	2021-11-09 15:32:45	No	123123	No			
921	2021-11-09 15:16:20	Yes	b3t1n2	Yes	Yes	No	There will still be an increase of scavenger birds and therefore cause more of a concern for safety and feces mess from the increase at or around the landfill area
922	2021-11-09 15:17:57	No	B3T1W5	Yes	No		
923	2021-11-09 15:12:54	Yes	B3T0C4	Yes	No		
925	2021-11-09 14:48:21	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	No	What about surrounding areas? If birds are being 'shooed' they are going to find residential areas nearby.
926	2021-11-09 14:50:02	Yes	b3t2j7	Yes	Yes	No	
927	2021-11-09 14:50:10	Yes	B3T0G7	Yes	Yes	No	
928	2021-11-07 16:12:09	No	B3T1W9	Yes	No		
932	2021-11-09 13:51:42	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes	No	
934	2021-11-03 16:19:08	No	B3I2y8	Yes	No		
935	2021-11-09 08:42:24	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	Birds are a natural predator that will adapt to any of the above mitigation measures.
937	2021-11-09 13:43:34	No	B3Z3J2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
938	2021-11-09 13:30:26	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	Yes	No	Medium risk is too much risk. The risk level should be set at low
939	2021-11-09 13:29:52	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	No		
940	2021-11-09 13:29:51	No		Yes			
942	2021-11-09 13:22:57	Yes	B3t1a4	Yes	No		
943	2021-11-02 20:30:22	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	Spite what is written here I am still concerned about scavenger birds carry diseases caused destruction. In addition these birds contaminate and we have no control over where they fly into our community
945	2021-11-09 12:58:12	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	No	
947	2021-11-09 12:19:14	No	B3T2B1	Yes	Yes	No	nice picture, but those arnt birds, rats with wings is a better description. Apparently present measures do not deter them from being there. Again, present measures are for present processing activities. If you stop it, they will come, nice picture though.
949	2021-11-09 12:26:37	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	Yes	No	more organics more birds
951	2021-11-09 12:02:12	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	The birds stay in the area causing damage to home property and safety concerns on main road. The geese have been out of control and huge nuisance past 3 years
952	2021-11-09 11:59:04	Yes	B3t0c8	Yes	Yes	No	You are only giving what YOUR data says but again, with no ability to truly guarantee this won't happen
953	2021-11-09 11:57:23	No	B3T1Y1	Yes	No		
955	2021-11-09 11:52:04	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	They stay close by and cause hazards on main road and damage to personal yards
960	2021-11-09 11:29:04	Yes	B3t1k9	Yes	Yes	No	
963	2021-11-09 11:28:38	Yes	B3T1V1	Yes			
968	2021-11-09 10:53:05	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
969	2021-11-09 10:51:16	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes	Yes	No	The birds may be held back from the landfill proper, but that will not keep them from the surrounding tax-payers' properties!
971	2021-11-09 10:53:34	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
972	2021-11-09 10:49:14	Yes	B3t1a4	Yes			
974	2021-11-09 09:58:25	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	Yes	No	There are not enough measures to eliminate birds at facilities such as this
976	2021-11-09 09:25:11	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	No	Birds are important too
977	2021-11-09 09:23:40	No	B4A2E6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
979	2021-11-09 08:42:39	No	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
980	2021-11-09 08:01:33	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	More open garbage more birds and Rats
981	2021-11-09 08:41:25	No	B3T1Y3	Yes	Yes		
982	2021-11-09 08:23:25	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
983	2021-11-09 08:09:01	No	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	No	This plan doesn't look like it will help
984	2021-11-09 08:02:52	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
986	2021-11-09 07:12:32	Yes	B3T2K5	Yes	Yes	No	While a cap is placed on fresh waste, birds would already be discouraged from scavenging as FEP is removing organic material. With FEP removed, what is the certainty that birds don't have more incentive to dig?
988	2021-11-09 07:25:51	No	B3T1W3	Yes	No		
994	2021-11-09 06:08:21	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	No		
995	2021-11-09 05:54:57	Yes	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Once again, if you have to enhance the mitigation due to the changes, why make the changes. The system is working well, so let's change it to reduce cost but then turn around and incur cost to increase mitigation efforts. This seems like a very short sighted plan.
996	2021-11-09 05:38:15	No	B3T2J7	Yes	Yes	No	Bird and vector control- means what? Poisin? More chemicals?
997	2021-11-09 04:57:24	No	B3T1W4	Yes	No		
1001	2021-11-08 22:21:42	No	B3T1S5				
1005	2021-11-08 08:40:32	No	B3t1b4	Yes	No		
1007	2021-11-08 20:54:32	Yes	B3T2B5	Yes			
1009	2021-11-08 20:37:11	No	B3T2C9	No			
1012	2021-11-08 19:54:39	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1014	2021-11-04 22:00:27	No	B2T1H7	Yes	No		
1016	2021-11-08 19:50:23	Yes	B3T1R4	No			
1017	2021-11-08 19:34:39	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1018	2021-11-08 19:30:52	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	No	No
1019	2021-11-08 18:59:19	No	B3z3s9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1020	2021-11-07 15:48:42	No	B3T1Z9	Yes	Yes		
1021	2021-11-08 18:58:30	Yes	b3t1v1	Yes	Yes	No	Noise makers sound like a nuisance to the surrounding community, and killing birds is a very poor solution. A better solution would be to continue the front-end processing promised to this community.
1022	2021-11-08 18:26:23	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	Opposed to the removal of FEP and WSF
1024	2021-11-08 18:30:53	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1026	2021-11-08 18:08:04	No		Yes	Yes	No	With the track record of continued cutting back at Otter Lake it is laughable to believe this will be something that will have any chance of surviving new cutbacks that will certainly come.
1028	2021-11-08 17:55:33	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	No		
1029	2021-11-08 17:21:04	No	B3T1R4	Yes	No		
1034	2021-11-08 17:28:05	No	B3R1R4	Yes			
1035	2021-11-04 09:30:06	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes			
1036	2021-11-08 17:13:22	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1039	2021-11-08 17:05:47	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	No		
1041	2021-11-08 16:47:19	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1042	2021-11-08 16:47:41	No	B3T1W3	Yes	Yes	No	I have seen the numbers odd birds on an open landfill and this photo didn't even come close.
1045	2021-11-08 16:32:35	No	B3Z1Z6	Yes	Yes	No	Addressed but not resolved
1046	2021-11-08 16:34:44	No	B3t1z2	Yes	No		
1049	2021-11-08 16:19:31	No	B3t1s7	Yes	No		
1050	2021-11-08 16:14:16	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	No		
1051	2021-11-08 15:46:53	Yes	B3t2g5	Yes	Yes	No	I am concerned the enhanced attraction of birds will lead to increasing populations in the area. I would be concerned that mitigation measures would not be consistent enough to stop the attraction of birds.
1054	2021-11-08 11:54:40	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	Yes	No	The removal of the FEP and WSF and expecting residents to do the sorting that is needed, will make things worse than they are now. These measures don't sufficiently address the issue when the FEP and WSF are removed.
1055	2021-11-08 15:31:01	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1056	2021-11-08 14:49:55	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
1058	2021-11-08 14:54:19	No	B3T2B2	Yes	No		
1060	2021-11-08 14:03:38	Yes	B3T1S3	Yes	No		
1061	2021-11-08 14:17:43	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes	No		
1063	2021-11-08 13:59:50	No	B3T2A2	Yes	No		
1064	2021-11-08 13:13:47	Yes	B3T1R2	Yes	Yes	No	With on-site impacts identified as representing a medium risk which includes an enhanced attraction of birds, this translates to off site impact in the community. The birds have to travel INTO the site from the surrounding community.
1065	2021-11-08 13:38:16	No	B3T1Z1	Yes	Yes	No	Still feel it will attract crows and they are on the increase and noisy and aggressive toward other nesting birds. Feel that your protocols listed will not be used or will be stopped after a short period of time.
1066	2021-11-08 13:41:11	No	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	
1067	2021-11-03 18:18:40	No	B3T0E7	Yes			
1069	2021-11-08 12:37:43	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes	No		
1071	2021-11-08 12:17:34	No	B3T1T4	Yes	No		
1075	2021-11-08 12:12:12	Yes	B3t2g8	Yes	Yes	No	You can not control birds and where they land
1076	2021-11-08 11:56:11	No	B3Z1L6	Yes	Yes	No	Humans cannot and should not control birds. We will see increased untreated organic material, thus more birds, does that mean we will « cull » more to try and control them?
1077	2021-11-08 11:27:30	Yes	B3t1s5	Yes	Yes	No	They will carry garage away. I have to keep my answers short. Poorly developed survey
1080	2021-11-08 09:34:59	No	a1a2b2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1082	2021-11-08 07:49:18	Yes	B3T1z1	Yes			
1088	2021-11-08 10:35:01	No	B3T1T9	Yes	No		
1089	2021-11-08 10:28:53	No	B3S1K9	Yes	No		
1090	2021-11-08 10:17:42	No	B3t0a2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1092	2021-11-08 09:55:37	No	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1093	2021-11-08 09:42:08	No	B3T2J8	Yes	No		
1094	2021-11-08 09:24:29	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	
1095	2021-11-08 09:19:28	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1096	2021-11-08 08:49:35	Yes	B3T1Z9	Yes	Yes	No	This change is considered medium risk. The current system has not created issues and should be retained.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1097	2021-11-08 08:51:08	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	No	These are currently in place. The consultants report indicated that pests and birds would increase. How will we mitigate that increase?
1098	2021-11-08 09:19:38	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1101	2021-11-08 08:49:26	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	
1102	2021-11-08 08:53:00	Yes	B3T3J5	Yes			
1103	2021-11-08 08:48:10	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1104	2021-11-08 08:51:21	No	B3t1w5	No			
1105	2021-11-08 08:20:52	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	Animals will do what comes naturally. Where there is more food, there will be more naturally be more wildlife. I believe the problem will be ongoing.
1107	2021-11-08 08:42:38	Yes	B3T1P7				
1108	2021-11-08 08:42:39	No	B3T1Z6	No			
1109	2021-11-08 08:18:26	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste is not stabilized or the incoming waste is not streamlined so not what gets into the land field. Unprocessed Organic waste attracts more birds/rodents
1110	2021-11-08 08:08:00	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	No	Birds and rodents are attracted to garbage. If you have garbage out you are going to try more of these type of animals or mammals.
1112	2021-11-08 08:28:11	No	B3T2A7				
1113	2021-11-08 08:14:20	No	B3Z2X3	Yes	Yes	No	The dump already emits foul smells. Increasing the organic waste can only mean more smell more birds and more rats (a big problem since the dump was established)
1114	2021-11-08 08:16:52	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes			
1115	2021-11-08 07:46:17	Yes	B3t1v4	Yes	Yes	No	Deterrents are only so effective, especially if the waste itself is more attractive. I have significant doubts of the effectiveness of the measures proposed.
1116	2021-11-03 20:51:51	No	B3t1x8	Yes	No		
1117	2021-11-07 20:19:40	No	B4E1P1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1118	2021-11-08 07:52:44	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes	Yes		
1119	2021-11-08 07:38:03	Yes	B3t1a8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1120	2021-11-08 07:36:04	Yes	B3t1m6	Yes	Yes	No	If there is more material in the landfill that attracts birds, they will eat more plastics and items that they should be ingesting. This will lead to greater environmental impact.
1121	2021-11-08 07:45:21	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes			
1122	2021-11-08 07:23:00	No	b3t2a7	Yes	Yes	No	more mitigation measures should be explored to combat the medium risk of increase in birds
1123	2021-11-08 07:07:18	Yes	B3t2j5	Yes	Yes	No	We ate already plagued with rats. Gulls are the rats of the sky. We will get birds.
1124	2021-11-08 06:46:08	Yes	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	more birds more bird poop. Why when things are working do things change to save money now and may cause more money in pollution in the long run.
1126	2021-11-08 06:55:22	Yes	B3T1C3	Yes			
1127	2021-11-08 04:58:03	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes	No	Their will be more smell's and waste in the ground it will bring a lot more birds to the area
1128	2021-11-08 05:57:54	Yes	B3t1r2	Yes	No		
1129	2021-11-08 05:45:23	No	B3T2X2	Yes			
1130	2021-11-08 05:32:56	No	B3T2L4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1131	2021-11-08 05:12:32	No	B3T1P9	Yes	No		
1132	2021-11-08 04:31:17	Yes	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	
1133	2021-11-08 04:33:18	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes			
1134	2021-11-07 21:14:42	Yes	B3t1c9	Yes	Yes	No	Not enough

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1137	2021-11-07 20:48:19	Yes	B3t1p4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1139	2021-11-07 20:55:15	Yes	B3l1p7	Yes	Yes		
1140	2021-11-07 20:25:53	No	b3t1z2	Yes	Yes	No	
1142	2021-11-07 20:00:50	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1143	2021-11-07 19:37:12	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	Yes	No	They can sneak into any small thing that will attract them not only birds but all scavenger
1144	2021-11-07 19:34:37	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1145	2021-11-07 19:37:41	No	B3z1l8	No			
1147	2021-11-07 19:15:47	No	B2T1W4				
1149	2021-11-07 18:11:44	No	B3T2A2	Yes	Yes	No	Wishful thinking if you have any familiarity with seagulls
1151	2021-11-07 18:17:57	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
1152	2021-11-07 18:15:55	No	B3t2k8	Yes	No		
1155	2021-11-07 16:51:42	Yes	b3t1p3	Yes	No		
1157	2021-11-07 17:18:42	No	B3T2B5	Yes	No		
1158	2021-11-07 17:15:21	Yes	B3T0B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1159	2021-11-07 17:13:31	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	Yes	No	We see lots of seagulls here. I don't see how this will eliminate them. You have lots of people who do not separate food waste and put it directly in garbage.
1160	2021-11-07 17:11:12	No	B3t1r5	Yes			
1161	2021-11-07 16:38:52	No	B0N1Z0	Yes			
1163	2021-11-07 16:19:55	No	B3T1R3	No			
1165	2021-11-07 15:31:58	Yes	B3t1b4	No			
1167	2021-11-07 15:25:21	No	B3z3w9	Yes	Yes	No	
1169	2021-11-07 15:06:35	Yes	B3t1z9	Yes	No		
1170	2021-11-07 15:00:46	No	B3T1V2	Yes			
1172	2021-11-07 14:52:12	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	with changes there will be more waster and more birds therefore hard to control
1173	2021-11-07 14:46:22	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
1174	2021-11-07 14:42:21	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	
1175	2021-11-07 14:41:01	No	B3T0H6	Yes			
1176	2021-11-07 14:20:30	No	B3t1s1	Yes	Yes	No	We all know it won't work
1178	2021-11-07 14:27:42	Yes	B3T0B4	Yes			
1181	2021-11-07 13:57:16	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1182	2021-11-07 13:55:59	Yes	B3T2K8	Yes	Yes		
1183	2021-11-07 13:53:13	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes			
1184	2021-11-07 13:37:11	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	
1185	2021-11-07 13:27:30	No	B3T0B6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1187	2021-11-07 12:08:35	Yes	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1188	2021-11-07 12:49:52	No	B3Z3W1	Yes	No		
1189	2021-11-07 12:44:35	No	B3T1P7	Yes			
1190	2021-11-07 12:35:22	No	B3t2a3	No			
1191	2021-11-07 11:49:44	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	I do not believe that your study took into account all of the potential issues and even this survey is only asking questions that you want to ask. You have not identified what the frequency of bird or vector control will be or how it will be carried out. Your responses with regards to mitigation efforts are much too broad with no specifics.
1192	2021-11-07 11:56:57	No	B3Z3w8	Yes			
1193	2021-11-07 11:36:13	No	B3T1Z6	Yes			
1194	2021-11-07 11:13:44	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1197	2021-11-07 11:03:59	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	
1198	2021-11-07 11:06:07	No	b3t2a4	Yes			
1199	2021-11-07 10:51:01	No	B3T2B4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1200	2021-11-07 10:56:06	No	B3t2a7	Yes			
1201	2021-11-07 10:24:38	Yes	B3T2A4	Yes	Yes	No	Again the system you have now works and should be improved not removed.
1202	2021-11-07 10:37:11	No	B3T1T7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1203	2021-11-07 10:25:31	Yes	B3T2A4	Yes	Yes	No	BS "survey"
1205	2021-11-07 10:05:30	No	B3T2A8	Yes	Yes	No	
1206	2021-11-07 09:39:06	Yes	b3t0j3	Yes	Yes	No	I do not believe it will be effective
1208	2021-11-07 09:43:08	No	B3T0A2	Yes			
1211	2021-11-07 09:03:00	No	B3T1W3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1214	2021-11-07 08:26:41	No	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1215	2021-11-07 08:21:25	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	Yes	Increased mitigation efforts appear speculative as to their outcome rather than conclusive
1216	2021-11-07 08:04:04	No	B3T1W1	Yes	No		
1217	2021-11-07 08:01:00	Yes	B3t1a5	Yes	No		
1219	2021-11-07 07:22:35	Yes	B3t2h9	Yes	Yes	No	Taking out the additional sorting will certainly attract animals. Full stop.
1221	2021-11-07 06:19:51	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	Yes	No	I already watch flocks of crows head to the dump every morning. It is disingenuous to propose that more feeders will not be attracted.
1222	2021-11-07 06:59:44	No	b2v2g5	Yes	No		
1223	2021-11-07 06:41:30	No	B3t1y6				
1224	2021-11-07 06:19:20	No	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	No	Additional waste = additional birds
1225	2021-11-07 06:29:25	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	No		
1229	2021-11-03 23:07:03	Yes	B3t1n1	Yes	Yes	No	Don't believe it
1230	2021-11-07 05:57:59	No	B3T1A4	Yes			
1232	2021-11-07 05:28:05	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes		
1233	2021-11-06 23:19:15	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	No		
1236	2021-11-06 22:34:36	Yes	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	No	I've been in the landfill, about 10 years ago. Your picture is great, but I saw many more birds than are represented by that photo, and I feel they would definitely be increased if there is more food for them to find.
1239	2021-11-06 21:44:24	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1240	2021-11-06 21:09:24	Yes	B3T1P9	Yes			
1241	2021-11-06 20:51:38	No	B3T2B8	Yes	No		
1242	2021-11-06 20:24:42	No	B3T2C9	Yes	Yes	No	I remember the bird and rodent issues in Sackville where is was horrible
1244	2021-11-06 20:44:41	No	B3T0B6	Yes	No		
1246	2021-11-04 18:10:53	Yes	B3S1N5	Yes	Yes	No	No
1250	2021-11-06 19:43:28	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1252	2021-11-06 19:10:42	No	B4a3x8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1253	2021-11-06 19:07:34	No	B3T1Y3	Yes			
1254	2021-11-06 18:59:26	Yes	B3T1P4	Yes	Yes	No	
1258	2021-11-06 18:14:13	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	Yes	No guarantee that the additional measure will mitigate the risk
1259	2021-11-06 18:05:21	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1260	2021-11-06 18:06:15	No	B3T2J1	Yes			
1261	2021-11-06 17:52:36	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1263	2021-11-06 17:44:19	Yes	B3t1b6	Yes	Yes	No	That won't work
1268	2021-11-06 16:26:12	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1270	2021-11-06 16:08:55	No	b3k3m2	Yes	Yes	No	
1271	2021-11-06 16:01:23	Yes	B3T0K1	Yes	Yes	No	"Increased frequency" is extremely vague
1273	2021-11-06 15:49:32	No	B3K3M2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1274	2021-11-06 15:34:47	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	No	I'm not convinced that the measures would be effective.
1275	2021-11-06 15:27:16	No	B3T1S8	Yes	Yes	No	
1276	2021-11-06 15:16:29	No	B3t0b4	Yes	Yes	No	I dont believe these measures will be effective
1279	2021-11-06 14:37:38	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	Yes		
1280	2021-11-06 14:03:21	No	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1281	2021-11-06 13:24:18	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	No		
1282	2021-11-06 13:48:43	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	No		
1285	2021-11-06 12:39:43	Yes	B3t1r8	Yes	Yes	No	Increased organics will lead to increased bird
1287	2021-11-06 12:40:43	No	B3T2H3	Yes	Yes	No	As stated i remember the sackville land fill nightmare
1288	2021-11-06 11:29:01	No	b3z1m3	Yes	Yes	No	Leaving the Processor operational will eliminate the need for bird management & eliminate the killing of wild birds.
1289	2021-11-06 11:54:35	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes	Yes	No	The birds will still keep trying to get to the landfill and instead congregate closer to our residential area instead.
1293	2021-11-06 11:37:59	No	B3T2A1	Yes	No		
1294	2021-11-06 11:47:56	Yes	B3S1S3	No			
1295	2021-11-06 11:35:28	No	B3t2a8	Yes	Yes	No	Your leading people
1296	2021-11-06 11:30:28	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	Not going to help
1300	2021-11-06 09:27:36	No	B3z2r4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1301	2021-11-06 09:21:57	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	No		
1302	2021-11-06 09:06:28	Yes	B3T1T8	Yes	Yes	No	Organic materials will attract more scavenger birds
1309	2021-11-06 08:30:33	Yes	B2G1S7	Yes	Yes		
1310	2021-11-06 08:28:27	No	B3T1Y8	Yes	No		
1312	2021-11-06 08:05:22	No	B3T1X3	Yes			
1313	2021-11-06 07:45:16	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1314	2021-11-06 07:55:30	No	B3t1w1	Yes			
1315	2021-11-06 07:55:10	Yes	B3t0a3	Yes			
1317	2021-11-06 07:18:33	Yes	B3T0E2	Yes	Yes	No	By not sorting the garbage you will increase animals and birds to the area.
1318	2021-11-06 06:55:39	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	Many people still put organic in the bags instead of green bin, hence why the the crows are always tearing them apart. With no sorting at the station it will now go in the landfill
1319	2021-11-05 21:39:30	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	No		
1320	2021-11-06 07:02:40	No	B3t1s6	Yes	Yes	No	Better fix is to not have anything to attract them in 1st place
1322	2021-11-06 06:37:48	Yes	B3T0C9	Yes	No		
1323	2021-11-06 06:08:53	Yes	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	Come just before dark and see the crows/ turkey vultures and owls
1324	2021-11-06 06:28:02	Yes	B3T0E6	Yes	Yes	No	
1325	2021-11-06 06:25:14	Yes	B3t0e6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1328	2021-11-06 04:07:50	No	B3Z1L6	Yes	No		
1329	2021-11-06 03:37:18	No	B3T1S3	No			
1338	2021-11-05 21:06:04	Yes	b3t2c8	Yes	Yes	No	attracting more birds and killing them is terribly inhumane. the animal is being punished for its natural behaviour. again this is a sloppy countermeasure for a sloppy job. just do it right
1341	2021-11-05 20:44:02	Yes	B3T2E1	No			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1342	2021-11-05 20:02:07	Yes	B3T0C6	Yes	Yes	No	Medium risk of increased attraction of birds? Where do you think the birds are coming from? They're not all born there. They will get there by flying over, and having a pit stop, on my roof. How much are these mitigation measures costing? Where can I get a copy of the study we paid for?
1343	2021-11-05 20:38:33	Yes	B3t0a2	Yes	Yes	No	
1345	2021-11-05 19:50:42	No	B3Z2T4	Yes	Yes	No	It's better to reduce the attraction by removing organics than it is to scare birds and other wildlife away
1348	2021-11-03 17:59:35	No	B3L3H5	Yes	Yes		the quick brown fox jumped over the lazy dog the quick brown fox jumped over the lazy dog the quick brown fox jumped over the lazy dog the quick brown fox jumped over the lazy dog the quick brown fox jumped over the lazy dog the quick brown fox jumped over the lazy dog the quick brown fox jumped over the lazy dog the quick brown fox jumped over the lazy dog
1350	2021-11-05 19:02:54	No	B3g1a5				
1351	2021-11-05 18:58:41	No	b0p1p0	Yes			
1353	2021-11-05 18:55:55	Yes	b3t1r5	Yes			
1354	2021-11-05 18:21:58	Yes	B3t1b1				
1355	2021-11-05 17:56:09	No	B3Z2T1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1356	2021-11-05 18:03:41	No	B3T2B5	Yes	No		
1358	2021-11-05 17:57:20	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1359	2021-11-05 17:50:35	No	b2y2a6	Yes			
1360	2021-11-05 17:36:31	Yes	B3T1V6	Yes	No		
1361	2021-11-05 17:30:56	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1362	2021-11-05 17:36:27	No	b3t1s5	Yes	No		
1363	2021-11-05 17:37:06	Yes	B3t2e1	Yes			
1364	2021-11-05 17:19:16	No	B0J1T0	Yes	No		
1368	2021-11-05 16:44:20	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	No		
1369	2021-11-05 16:39:39	No	B3Z3A9	Yes	Yes	No	No specifics.... No timelines.... Just very general platitudes...
1370	2021-11-05 16:51:27	Yes	B3t0j3	Yes	Yes	No	
1371	2021-11-02 15:24:34	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes		
1373	2021-11-05 10:05:15	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes		
1375	2021-11-05 15:39:22	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	Specifically the increase in different material and the impact on water and bird feces
1376	2021-11-05 15:10:16	No	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	By removing the FEP and WSP you will attract more scavenger birds and vermin that carry disease and cause destruction.
1378	2021-11-05 15:19:43	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	I do not believe this will be adequate when the lack of FEP results in a greater noncompliance in separation of organic from waste at the residential source.
1383	2021-11-05 15:07:44	Yes	B3T2J5	Yes	Yes	No	Keep sorting facility as agreement
1384	2021-11-05 15:14:54	No	B3z0n9	Yes	No		
1385	2021-11-05 15:16:35	Yes	B3N2M3	Yes			
1388	2021-11-05 14:38:48	Yes	B3t0k5	Yes	Yes	No	
1390	2021-11-05 14:01:15	No	B3T1Z5	Yes	Yes	No	
1394	2021-11-05 13:27:14	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	some of the unsorted garbage would be hazardous to the animals and birds nearby. In addition the smell/food waste is likely to attract a much higher volume of birds and animals
1395	2021-11-05 13:51:01	No	B3N1K1	Yes			
1396	2021-11-05 13:50:29	Yes	B3t1n2	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1401	2021-11-05 12:12:08	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	No specific information is provided concerning the current or proposed frequency of bird management measures.
1402	2021-11-05 12:48:08	No	B3T2B6	Yes	No		
1404	2021-11-05 12:05:35	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	With the stoppage of the fep/wsf I am concerned that birds will increase.
1407	2021-11-05 11:41:29	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes			
1408	2021-11-05 11:18:21	Yes	B3t1r3	Yes	Yes	No	
1409	2021-11-05 10:54:40	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	I don't see any mitigation
1410	2021-11-05 10:57:29	Yes	B3t2a4	Yes	Yes	No	A lot of smoke and mirrors. Not true
1412	2021-11-05 10:58:17	No	B3Z1L8	No			
1414	2021-11-05 10:47:26	No	B3T1S1	Yes	No		
1415	2021-11-05 10:51:56	No	B3t2a2	Yes			
1418	2021-11-05 10:08:10	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1419	2021-11-05 09:55:00	No	B3t2a2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1423	2021-11-05 09:39:03	No	B3T1R4	Yes	No		
1424	2021-11-05 09:35:05	Yes	B3t1n2	Yes	Yes	No	
1426	2021-11-05 08:35:06	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1427	2021-11-05 08:51:54	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	This mitigation has little to do with impact of shutting down FEP
1428	2021-11-05 08:59:17	No	B3T1R3	Yes			
1430	2021-11-05 08:42:36	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	No	Birds bring disease
1431	2021-11-02 16:08:11	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	Noise pollution, killing birds, etc
1432	2021-11-05 07:25:01	Yes	B3Z1G5	Yes	Yes	No	There will be less noise generated if the front end loaders are shutdown.
1434	2021-11-05 07:11:55	No	b3t1x3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1435	2021-11-05 06:51:27	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	No	The FEP/WSP need to stay activated
1436	2021-11-04 13:34:13	No	B3t1x5	Yes	Yes		
1438	2021-11-05 06:55:40	No	B3t1v3	Yes			
1440	2021-11-05 06:39:09	No	B3T2G1	Yes	Yes		
1443	2021-11-05 06:14:43	No	B3T1R6	Yes			
1444	2021-11-05 06:08:03	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes			
1445	2021-11-05 05:51:09	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	No		
1446	2021-11-05 06:07:56	No	B3Z1H7	No			
1447	2021-11-05 05:49:07	Yes	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	With more blowing litter it will attract more birds
1449	2021-11-05 05:14:12	Yes	B3T2J5	Yes	No		
1452	2021-11-05 03:46:15	No	B3T2E8	Yes	No		
1454	2021-11-05 00:08:13	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	No	It is an almost round the clock job. More garbage, more birds, and you can never get rid of them, only try to limit their numbers.
1455	2021-11-05 00:09:42	No	B3s1k1	Yes	Yes	No	
1456	2021-11-05 00:08:07	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	
1458	2021-11-04 23:49:13	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	Once again, lack of trust. Also, this area is a nightmare of pests already with so much construction going on, I can't imagine adding more fuel to the fire.
1459	2021-11-04 23:20:51	Yes	B3Z1G6	Yes	No		
1462	2021-11-04 22:28:16	Yes	B3Z2L4	Yes	Yes	No	
1463	2021-11-04 22:09:56	No	B4E0N6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1464	2021-11-04 21:48:00	No	B3t2e9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1465	2021-11-04 21:56:26	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	Yes	No	
1466	2021-11-04 21:34:43	No	B3T0B3	Yes	Yes	No	
1468	2021-11-04 21:36:36	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1472	2021-11-04 20:31:23	Yes	B3t0c2	Yes	Yes	No	
1474	2021-11-04 20:14:33	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	Trying to nudge birds to behave the way we want is done constantly throughout planet, often with dubious results, think Scully, etc.
1475	2021-11-04 20:05:11	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	No	There are quite a few seagulls around the area now. The numbers will only increase unless you plan on killing them which I would disagree to
1479	2021-11-04 20:26:04	No	B4e3c1	Yes			
1480	2021-11-02 18:49:52	No	B3l2y8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1481	2021-11-04 19:18:30	No	B3T2A2	Yes	No		
1483	2021-11-04 19:46:06	Yes	B3t1a9	Yes	Yes	No	If you remove the safeguards in place more scavenger birds will be attracted due to the lack of sorting with compostables.
1485	2021-11-04 19:44:56	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	
1487	2021-11-04 19:34:08	Yes	B3t2e6	Yes			
1490	2021-11-04 19:22:44	No	B3t2l1	Yes			
1492	2021-11-04 18:58:55	Yes	B3t1r5	Yes	Yes	No	Deactivation of FEP and WSF will lead to the accumulation of more organic waste, attracting more wildlife.
1493	2021-11-04 19:10:05	No	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
1494	2021-11-04 16:02:33	No	B3T1T4	Yes	No		
1496	2021-11-04 18:13:45	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes	Yes	No	The attraction of more birds will still occur even with items that are in place as they are probably in place currently and it is not making a difference. Always lots of crows around to get into the trash and having more things there that attract them will only make things worse.
1498	2021-11-04 18:46:19	Yes	B3t2j3	Yes	Yes	No	Birds are already an issue and this will increase it. Please honour the agreement
1500	2021-11-04 18:45:44	No	B3Z1C4	Yes	Yes	No	City pigeon are now out here shittin on everything dirty birds rats
1503	2021-11-04 16:49:35	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes	Yes	No	The more organic material the more birds and rodents will increase period
1505	2021-11-04 18:23:48	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
1510	2021-11-04 17:51:19	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Mitigations are not in alignment with the original agreement.
1515	2021-11-04 16:56:50	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
1518	2021-11-04 15:58:32	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	with increased garbage and organics there will be an increase in the already multitude of existing birds. Birds carry diseases and in addition fly to and from the land fill daily over residential housing.
1520	2021-11-04 16:01:04	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1522	2021-11-04 16:04:31	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	Yes	No	
1524	2021-11-04 15:42:58	No	B3z1G1	Yes	Yes	No	Very concerned about the outer band of the area as it moves into more and more residential areas.
1529	2021-11-04 15:54:09	No	B3Z2J2	No			
1531	2021-11-04 15:39:35	Yes	b3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1532	2021-11-04 15:23:19	Yes	B3t2j3	Yes	Yes	No	You should not be deactivating
1535	2021-11-04 14:35:55	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	No	It works now, but will not work if you make the changes
1536	2021-11-04 14:43:19	No	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	If you figure that people will not put food waste in their garbage, I believe that you are wrong - therefore, getting rid of FEP/WSF removes a step where wet waste can be removed from what goes in the landfill
1537	2021-11-04 13:01:53	No	B3T2G5	Yes	No		
1538	2021-11-04 14:25:53	Yes	b3t1c9	Yes	Yes	No	Would prefer to attract less birds in the first place then assume that the additional mitigation efforts will be enough
1539	2021-11-04 14:32:47	Yes	b3t2j3	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1541	2021-11-04 13:53:56	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Pictures of birds with the current FEP/WSF measures in place are meaningless relative to what the bird situation will potentially be when the Front End Processing activity is discontinued
1544	2021-11-04 13:58:14	No	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1545	2021-11-04 14:01:07	Yes	B3T1S7	Yes	Yes	No	these measures were tried in the previous landfill to no avail
1547	2021-11-04 13:51:21	No	B3T1X2	Yes	No		
1556	2021-11-04 13:12:04	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	Yes	No	So we will spend more money on staffing and equipment. I have a hard time believe the appropriate cover would be placed to meet the expected increase in unsuitable waste.
1557	2021-11-04 13:21:04	No	B3z0n4	Yes	Yes	No	Concern for the well being of the birds - and potentially more birds being exposed to this "limited" culling
1559	2021-11-04 12:44:00	No	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	No	
1564	2021-11-04 12:20:24	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	No	More organic will attract more birds and vectors
1568	2021-11-04 12:11:04	Yes	B3T1K9	No			
1569	2021-11-04 11:56:31	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes		
1572	2021-11-04 11:40:17	No	B3t1t5	Yes	No		
1577	2021-11-04 11:33:38	Yes	b3t1s6	Yes			
1581	2021-11-04 11:20:52	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes			
1582	2021-11-04 11:07:18	No	B3Z1L8	Yes	No		
1583	2021-11-04 11:01:06	No	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	Paint it whatever colour you want, this is still HRM trying to break an agreement they should not be breaking.
1584	2021-11-04 10:52:21	No	b3t2l1	Yes	Yes	No	
1585	2021-11-04 10:25:11	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	No	With the addition of waste and new waste streams there will be more birds/rodents attracted to the site. More control equates to additional control costs, which are and will be passed on to taxpayers.
1589	2021-11-04 10:48:30	No	B3t0j9	Yes			
1590	2021-11-04 10:43:00	No	B3T2B8	Yes			
1594	2021-11-04 10:19:48	No	B3T1S8	Yes	Yes	No	Those measures don't always work as designed.
1595	2021-11-04 10:30:26	No	B3t2b1	Yes			
1596	2021-11-04 10:22:11	Yes	b3 1a2	No			
1598	2021-11-04 10:08:43	No	B3T2B9	Yes	No		
1600	2021-11-04 10:14:05	Yes	B3T0A2	Yes			
1601	2021-11-04 10:04:52	No	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	No	
1603	2021-11-04 09:29:55	Yes	B3T1g&	Yes	Yes	No	You can't control where birds want to go off landfill property
1605	2021-11-04 09:29:27	Yes	B3t1c9	Yes	Yes	No	
1609	2021-11-04 09:28:11	Yes	B3T5c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1613	2021-11-04 09:22:40	Yes	B3T1K5	No			
1616	2021-11-04 09:18:13	No	B3t1x2	Yes	No		
1620	2021-11-04 09:02:11	Yes	B2T1H6	Yes	Yes		Still think the effects will out weigh the mitigation treatment as there is no data to support
1621	2021-11-04 08:29:23	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	No	
1622	2021-11-04 08:36:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	with the addition of tonnage of non approved organic materials, the sheer additional availability will lead to the attraction of more birds
1623	2021-11-04 08:29:53	No	B3T1T1	Yes	No		
1624	2021-11-04 09:01:02	No	B3T2L1	No			
1626	2021-11-04 08:57:08	Yes	B3T0C1	Yes	Yes	No	
1629	2021-11-04 08:51:26	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	No		
1631	2021-11-04 08:42:18	No	B3t2b2	Yes	No		
1634	2021-11-04 08:42:39	No	B3L2Y8	No			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1636	2021-11-04 08:12:53	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	No	no diversion measures have been considered to keep them away
1637	2021-11-04 08:01:23	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	No		
1638	2021-11-04 08:26:28	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	Would need more info.
1639	2021-11-04 08:04:13	Yes	B3t2g3	Yes	Yes	No	These do not address the issues at the root of the problem. There is no way of predicting the effect on the bird population.
1641	2021-11-04 08:30:19	Yes	B3T2g8	Yes			
1642	2021-11-04 08:26:05	Yes	B3T0C9	Yes	Yes		
1644	2021-11-04 07:54:52	Yes	b3t1t2	Yes	Yes	No	I remember Sackville. Your comment field would not take my whole comment - I guess you only want short comments? Leave it the way it is. Hopefully that is short enough....?
1648	2021-11-04 07:26:29	Yes	B3T2E5	Yes	Yes	No	This measure might be working fine now but we don't know how well it will work once the change is implemented. I have visited the site and the main measure is just a loud noise that barely works as it is.
1650	2021-11-04 07:32:25	No	B3T1T9	Yes	No		
1651	2021-11-04 06:58:27	Yes	B3T0H7	Yes	Yes	No	I do not believe that once the front - end processor is shut down that there will not be an increase in unwanted activity and cannot be controlled.
1652	2021-11-04 06:54:36	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	No		
1655	2021-11-04 06:50:55	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	Define the increased frequency of bird and vector controls
1656	2021-11-04 07:06:16	No	B3t1w5	Yes			
1658	2021-11-04 06:49:02	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	The facility is running well in our community
1659	2021-11-04 06:41:15	No	B4B1N4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1660	2021-11-04 06:14:16	No	B3T0B4	Yes	No		
1664	2021-11-04 06:23:22	No	B4e3b3	Yes			
1667	2021-11-04 06:05:06	No	B3t1w5	Yes			
1668	2021-11-04 06:06:06	No	B3E1M4	Yes			
1670	2021-11-04 05:27:16	No	B3P0C2	Yes	No		
1671	2021-11-04 04:55:59	Yes	B3t1c2	Yes	Yes	No	The birds leave the dump and fly elsewhere right now in our community. They are attracted to the dump but hang out elsewhere and fly back. Nothing is being done to stop this and it will only get worse
1672	2021-11-03 17:32:26	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	Even the potential of a slight increase in organic matter entering the facility will increase the amount of birds entering the area, as well as rats, mice and other scavenging animals
1675	2021-11-03 23:41:40	Yes	B3t1b9	Yes	Yes	No	
1677	2021-11-03 22:17:23	Yes	b3t1b2	Yes	Yes	No	If you're expecting to attract more birds then is sounds you're expecting more organic waste, which means more critters in general. Medium risk is not low risk.
1679	2021-11-03 22:37:56	No	B3T1V8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1680	2021-11-03 18:47:54	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	Birds can pick things up from the dump then carry them else where. All ur mitigation, will not deter all of the birds and the loss the the FEP/WSF will likely attract more birds
1681	2021-11-03 22:09:54	Yes	b3t1r4	Yes	Yes	No	There is no clear explanation on how you are going to implement these measures.
1682	2021-11-03 22:28:41	Yes	b3t2c8	Yes			
1683	2021-11-03 22:13:15	No	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1684	2021-11-03 22:20:02	No	B3t1p7	Yes	Yes		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1689	2021-11-03 21:29:15	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	Bird are persistent. If the waste is not processed and organically will be present, birds will make a mess with or without a daily cover on fresh waste.
1691	2021-11-03 21:39:51	Yes	B3T2J2	Yes	Yes	No	
1692	2021-11-03 21:43:06	Yes	B3t2g2	Yes			
1693	2021-11-03 21:38:12	No	b3z1p7	Yes			
1694	2021-11-03 21:18:08	No	B2x2x5	Yes	No		
1695	2021-11-03 21:36:37	No	B3T1Z9	Yes			
1696	2021-11-03 21:31:39	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1697	2021-11-03 21:23:39	Yes	B3t1r3	Yes	No		
1699	2021-11-03 21:20:54	No	b4c2n9	Yes			
1703	2021-11-03 20:58:03	No	B4C2Y8	Yes	No		
1706	2021-11-02 15:35:17	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	?????
1710	2021-11-03 20:37:25	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	No		
1712	2021-11-03 16:32:19	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes		My concern is when the community agreed to allowing the landfill in the area this was not part of the agreement
1715	2021-11-03 20:31:53	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	No		
1716	2021-11-03 20:30:40	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	It will still draw more birds to the area
1718	2021-11-03 19:27:16	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1720	2021-11-02 17:26:46	Yes	B3Z4B4	Yes	Yes	No	We know for a fact traditional landfills abundance of birds. Otter Lake Landfill is NOT a traditional landfill and requires the operation of the FEP and WSF to ensure the residential communities that surround the Otter Lake Landfill are not burdened by offensive odours, scavenging birds, unwanted rats, rodents, and vermin that carry disease and cause destruction.
1721	2021-11-03 20:09:07	Yes	B3T0C8	Yes	No		
1722	2021-11-03 20:07:12	Yes	B3H0H3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1726	2021-11-03 19:56:25	No	B3z1I7	Yes			
1728	2021-11-03 19:31:54	Yes	B3T1V1	Yes	Yes	No	With non-quantifiable terms like increases, it sounds more like you're saying we hope this works but we have no idea. We know the current system is effective. Do not change it
1731	2021-11-03 18:58:57	No	B3T1Y8	Yes	Yes	No	Please define what these statements actually entail, "Increased frequency of bird and vector control efforts at the landfill disposal area and around the landfill in general. Emphasis on minimizing the size (and thus the attractiveness to birds) of the landfill disposal area, as well as applying daily cover on freshly placed waste."
1732	2021-11-03 19:14:51	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	With no frp or wad the amount of food waste that DIES end up I the land fill will attract more than just birds. What about the vultures that are along the south shore and south west nova ? I'm sure they will make their way up to this future smelly facility.
1734	2021-11-03 19:22:20	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1735	2021-11-03 18:55:44	No	B3t2I2	Yes	Yes	No	Birds can carry waste, create a noise nuisance and make a mess in surrounding areas. I don't believe these measures will remove this additional nuisance.
1738	2021-11-03 19:11:22	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	
1740	2021-11-03 19:04:36	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes			
1741	2021-11-03 14:08:13	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	No		
1742	2021-11-03 18:16:24	No	B3t1t1	Yes	No		
1747	2021-11-03 18:59:00	No	B3T1B1	No			
1748	2021-11-03 08:08:27	Yes	B3T1B1	No			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1749	2021-11-03 08:08:12	Yes	B3t1a7	No			
1750	2021-11-02 19:15:26	No	b3t1X8	No			
1752	2021-11-03 18:35:23	Yes	B3t1c2	Yes	No		
1753	2021-11-03 18:02:51	Yes	b3t1a4	Yes	Yes	No	If saving money is the reason these changes are being made then adding mitigation methods is an added cost which eventually will be deemed too expensive.
1754	2021-11-03 18:22:37	Yes	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	No definition of bird and vector control to accurately say this is sufficient/ limiting the size will not affect birds visiting
1757	2021-11-03 18:23:20	No	B3T1V7	Yes			
1759	2021-11-03 18:11:36	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1761	2021-11-02 15:25:47	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	
1763	2021-11-03 18:04:19	Yes	B3T2G8	Yes	Yes	No	
1764	2021-11-03 17:51:38	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	To easily mismanaged.
1765	2021-11-03 17:32:12	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	No	If you are cutting out certain aspects where there will be more leakage and odor will definitely attract more animals
1766	2021-11-03 17:43:23	Yes	B3t2g6	Yes	Yes	No	Also need to address rodent issues
1767	2021-11-03 17:37:50	Yes	B3t1a4	Yes	No		
1772	2021-11-03 17:18:54	Yes	B3T2E6	Yes	Yes	No	
1774	2021-11-03 17:07:51	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	Sick of bird droppings everywhere now
1775	2021-11-03 17:09:25	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes		
1776	2021-11-03 17:04:04	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	
1778	2021-11-03 16:37:04	Yes	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	How do you get rid of rodents?
1779	2021-11-03 15:56:48	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	No	I'm not convinced that the measures would be effective.
1781	2021-11-03 16:07:17	No	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	No	Eliminating the source for which the birds/rodents are attracted is a much more attractive solution, in my opinion, rather than exterminating those attracted to the site! No different than expecting private residents being expected to eliminate food sources for wildlife vs traps or poisoning!
1782	2021-11-03 16:39:43	No	B3T1Y9	Yes	Yes	No	Birds are attracted to garbage, dumped garbage will just attract
1783	2021-11-03 16:40:52	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1785	2021-11-03 16:25:58	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	
1786	2021-11-03 16:22:59	Yes	B3t1v1	Yes	Yes	No	
1788	2021-11-03 16:12:11	Yes	B3t0b6	Yes	No		
1789	2021-11-03 16:15:12	Yes	B3T1K6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1793	2021-11-03 15:27:51	No	B3P2H4	Yes	Yes	Yes	I do not consider birds to have a major impact on the environment, which is my primary concern.
1795	2021-11-03 15:35:32	Yes	B3t1a9	Yes	No		
1797	2021-11-03 15:27:34	Yes	b3t1v8	Yes	No		
1800	2021-11-03 15:03:42	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	No	Flocks of birds will carry debris and cause issues with excrement in the area
1801	2021-11-03 15:06:54	Yes	B3T2G5	Yes	Yes	No	It will attract more birds
1803	2021-11-03 14:49:21	Yes	b3t1r8	Yes	No		
1804	2021-11-03 15:04:33	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
1805	2021-11-03 15:00:10	No	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes		
1806	2021-11-03 14:46:23	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	No	No daily coverage isn't fast enough
1810	2021-11-03 14:36:34	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes	Yes	No	These seem like short-term bandaids more than solutions.
1811	2021-11-03 14:44:03	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes			
1812	2021-11-03 14:19:31	Yes	B3t1a2	Yes	No		
1814	2021-11-03 14:30:20	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	No		
1816	2021-11-03 14:01:21	Yes	B3t1t5	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1817	2021-11-03 14:19:51	No	b3m0e2	Yes			
1818	2021-11-03 14:13:18	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	I am not confident this will work.
1819	2021-11-03 13:51:16	No	B3T1S4	Yes	No		
1820	2021-11-03 13:51:31	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	No		
1821	2021-11-03 13:18:01	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	Looks like a staged photo. Sea gulls don't naturally line up in pristine area.
1822	2021-11-03 11:22:47	No	B3T1X2	Yes	Yes	No	Medium risk of increase is too great a risk. There should be NO increase in attracting birds. The FEP & WSF should be improved, not removed.
1823	2021-11-03 13:00:20	No	B3T2B7	Yes	No		
1824	2021-11-03 12:29:44	Yes	B3T1K5	Yes	No		
1825	2021-11-03 10:36:17	No	B3T1S7	Yes	Yes	No	Birds have a way of getting what they want, as any birdwatcher will tell you. While I personally enjoy birds, we have all seen the results of their activity on waste pickup day, notwithstanding well-meant but ultimately ineffective protective measures.
1826	2021-11-03 11:53:58	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	No		
1827	2021-11-03 11:59:02	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	No	Bird control measures are insufficient
1828	2021-11-03 11:51:31	No	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	No	The proposed mitigation is vague and unenforceable. Problem will persist.
1829	2021-11-03 11:21:43	Yes	B3T2G8	Yes	No		
1830	2021-11-03 11:24:06	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	I feel more seagulls will fly into the area of timberlea
1831	2021-11-03 10:57:07	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	Medium risk is too much risk. The Agreement entered into by HRM with the adjacent communities did not propose that the communities be exposed to medium risk.
1832	2021-11-03 10:54:32	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1833	2021-11-03 10:56:41	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes	Yes	No	
1834	2021-11-03 10:49:26	No		Yes	Yes	No	
1835	2021-11-03 10:30:15	Yes	B3t1r7	Yes	Yes	No	I don't think it will be enough sorting measures are removed
1836	2021-11-03 10:05:16	Yes	B3t1t9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1837	2021-11-03 10:10:55	No	B3T1W6	Yes			
1838	2021-11-03 10:03:38	No	B3T2A3	Yes	No		
1839	2021-11-03 08:21:24	No	B3T1Z3	Yes	Yes	No	We should not be killing birds because of our waste issues and you admit an increased risk if you remove the environmental measures.
1840	2021-11-03 08:37:51	No	b3t1v2	Yes	Yes	No	
1841	2021-11-03 08:17:55	No	B3T2B8	Yes	Yes	No	This will only work to a point.
1842	2021-11-03 07:31:46	Yes	B3T0B2	Yes	No		
1843	2021-11-03 06:22:06	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	Medium risk is too high. Just because no off site risks are ID'd does not mean they won't occur. 4000+ putrid waste direct to landfill will attract birds.
1844	2021-10-28 17:17:53	No	B3I2y8	Yes	Yes		
1845	2021-11-03 07:02:47	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	Increased bird activity at the site will undoubtedly lead to increased presence in adjacent communities with negative outcomes.
1846	2021-11-03 07:02:39	No	B3t2l2	Yes			
1847	2021-11-03 06:31:24	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	Decaying material not properly processed will attract rodents, birds and give odours
1848	2021-11-03 06:32:33	No	B3t2k8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1849	2021-11-03 06:24:23	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	
1850	2021-11-03 05:21:10	Yes	B3Z1L4	Yes	No		
1851	2021-11-03 04:19:44	Yes	B3t2e3	Yes	No		
1852	2021-11-03 04:15:58	No	B3t1r4	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1853	2021-11-02 21:45:01	No	B3T1Z3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1854	2021-11-02 21:16:52	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes			
1855	2021-11-02 20:56:32	Yes	B3T1V8	Yes	Yes	No	
1856	2021-11-02 20:25:58	No	B3t1t6	Yes	Yes	No	
1857	2021-11-02 19:57:57	No	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	No	What about other wildlife and other native plants and organisms
1858	2021-11-02 19:49:44	No	B3Z1L4	Yes	Yes	No	Birds are persistent. They will never be an non-issue.
1859	2021-11-02 19:27:03	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	
1860	2021-11-02 19:00:57	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	Doesn't scare rodents. And that photo is not reassuring re: birds. 😞
1861	2021-11-02 18:55:04	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1862	2021-11-02 18:56:23	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	Yes	No	
1863	2021-11-02 18:11:46	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	At the end of the day, if you had a sorter/processor that mitigated these issues before the birds are attracted, they wouldn't potentially become more of an issue. The the sorter is a proactive measure as opposed to all of these other measures which are reactive measures.
1864	2021-11-02 18:15:33	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes	No	
1865	2021-11-02 17:30:20	Yes	B3T1Z5	Yes	Yes	No	
1866	2021-11-02 16:40:23	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	Increased organic waste will result in more birds on site, the falcon will not be there 24/7 and birds get used to whistles
1867	2021-11-02 14:34:16	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	Yes	No	Again, currently we have NO PROBLEMS with birds, rats or environmental issues. With a truck and dump program, and not using the FEP & WSF safety measures that ensure only acceptable end up in the cell as approved by HRM staff. Keep the FEP & WSF
1868	2021-11-02 15:49:35	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	No		
1869	2021-11-02 15:14:23	No	B3T1R8	Yes	No		
1870	2021-11-02 15:23:31	No		Yes			
1871	2021-11-02 15:02:14	No	B3t1v7	Yes			
1872	2021-11-02 14:48:27	No	B3M0P2	Yes	Yes	No	I worked [REDACTED] These measures only work for a moment and then they comeback. Birds will come in flocks without FEP/WSF. FOOD
1873	2021-11-02 14:43:09	Yes	B3T1P8	Yes	Yes	No	
1874	2021-11-02 14:11:50	No	B3M0P2	Yes			
1875	2021-11-02 14:38:17	Yes	B3T1r8	Yes	Yes	No	
1876	2021-11-02 14:29:17	No	B4A1P3	Yes		No	Doesn't solve the root of the problem, wildlife should not be able to eat plastic and other toxic waste
1877	2021-11-02 14:02:42	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	No		
1878	2021-11-02 14:28:39	No	B3T1R5	Yes			
1879	2021-11-02 14:24:25	No	B3T0H6	Yes			
1880	Email/Mail-In	No	B3T2C1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1881	Email/Mail-In	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	No	Wherever there is unsorted organic materials there will be birds, rats and other vermin. The deterioration of waste disposal standards will lead to more problems.
1882	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	More garbage, more birds, more ways to fix the problem. If it is a problem at all.
1883	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T0H3	Yes	Yes	No	Exposure of waste will attract feeders. Someday - include increased killings. We can't predict animal behaviour.
1884	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3M4M8	Yes	Yes	No	Unless you are willing to employ your proposed mitigation measures 24/7 352 days a year they real impact will be marginal
1885	Email/Mail-In	No	B3Z3T6	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1886	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1	2021-11-08 15:25:09	No	B3H1P7	Yes			
2	2021-12-05 09:24:23	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	Yes	No	
3	2021-12-04 14:41:55	No	B3T1R9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
4	2021-12-04 14:23:40	No	B3T1z8	Yes	Yes	No	
7	2021-12-04 11:44:01	No	B3L4B2	Yes	Yes	No	A commitment to the community was maintained for FEP/WSF. It has worked well and should be maintained.
12	2021-12-03 22:10:00	No	B3Z2B3	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting means poisoning which endangers other parts of our ecosystem, which is already in deep crisis. Never bait. Poison baiting endangers falcons as birds also eat the bait. But baiting also endangers other birds of prey which eat rodents. The mitigation measures are not as effective as maintaining the FEP/WSF.
13	2021-12-03 22:23:07	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	No	
14	2021-12-03 20:49:08	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	A baiting program is bad for the environment as the dead rodents will be eaten by scavengers and any still alive may be preyed upon. This will lead to bioaccumulation in predators and a poisoning of the local food chain. Again, this would be making the problem worse when we have a FEP/WSF established to mitigate.
15	2021-12-03 21:32:54	Yes	B3T2E6	Yes	Yes	No	So your response to potential rodents is to put down poison and attractants?
16	2021-12-03 21:32:46	Yes	B3t2I7	Yes			
17	2021-11-11 16:41:08	No	B3L3H5	Yes	Yes	No	Why give rodent population a chance to grow when you already have the FEP/WSF to prevent a problem in the first place? Also no thought given to the possible return of ICI waste to Otter Lake and the effect that will have on the rodent population.
18	2021-12-03 20:43:54	No	b3t2c8	Yes	Yes		Unclear of what a baiting program entails and if it poses a risk to other animals. Safety of wildlife should be a priority as well.
23	2021-12-03 19:10:31	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	
24	2021-12-03 17:39:37	Yes	B3T0E2	Yes	Yes	No	That does not seem sufficient to prevent rodent issues in the local adjacent communities
25	2021-12-03 16:56:45	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	Doesn't mitigate my concerns
26	2021-12-03 16:22:18	No	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	No proof that they will wormk
27	2021-12-03 16:14:52	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	Yes	No	
28	2021-11-14 12:30:57	No	B3T1R8	Yes			
29	2021-11-04 13:54:59	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	bringing in unsorted materials could over power present control applications.
30	2021-11-25 10:10:19	No	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	I doubt that the existing mitigation measures will be successful with the increased food availability. Do the existing measures irradiate the present rodent population? Rodents are prolific breeders and with a larger amount of food available there will definitely be more rodents around.
35	2021-11-07 19:41:27	No	B3T1W9	Yes	Yes	No	
36	2021-12-03 11:26:34	No	B3M0P2	Yes	Yes	No	Untreated waste introduces new food source.
37	2021-11-13 18:39:52	No	B3T1S5	Yes			
38	2021-12-03 11:25:23	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	Yes	No	There will be more food for rodents therefore more rodents
40	2021-12-03 10:45:24	Yes	B3T1X5	Yes	Yes	No	trash is still not segregated (garbage and food) and rodents still come looking for food.
41	2021-12-03 10:52:22	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	No		
42	2021-12-03 10:24:39	No	B3t1t9	Yes	No		
44	2021-12-03 10:05:40	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents travel from nearby locations

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
46	2021-12-03 09:43:58	No	B3T2A6	Yes		I do not have any concerns	
47	2021-12-03 09:26:22	Yes	Be32e3	Yes	No		
48	2021-12-03 09:40:25	Yes	B3T0J8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
50	2021-12-03 08:45:22	No	B3T2E3	Yes			
51	2021-12-03 08:41:31	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	No	se same as bird issue in previous question.
52	2021-12-03 07:53:12	No	B3t1s2	Yes			
53	2021-12-03 07:27:56	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	Timberlea Village sees more rats than ever! More frequent trips, more organics, additional materials lost along the roadways attracts rodents & unwelcome wildlife
54	2021-12-03 06:41:04	Yes	B3T1P8	Yes	Yes	No	More organic material in the landfill will bring more rats. Baiting won't be able to overcome the attraction of tonnes of potential food.
55	2021-12-03 06:21:35	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes			
56	2021-12-03 05:59:48	Yes	B3t2e3	Yes	Yes	No	
57	2021-11-02 19:50:36	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes			
58	2021-12-03 05:42:11	No	B3T2A4	Yes			
59	2021-12-03 05:14:46	No	B3t1x8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
63	2021-12-02 19:14:47	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	No	
64	2021-12-02 16:48:06	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
66	2021-11-21 16:12:36	No	B3t1v3	Yes	Yes	No	The program can take a long time to put in place
67	2021-12-02 13:35:06	Yes	B3t1s9	Yes			
68	2021-12-02 11:42:23	No	B3T1Z9	Yes	Yes	No	If done properly NO rodents would survive
70	2021-12-02 07:57:42	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	Again with the increase in additional waste will increase these rodents and these measures will not be enough and will only be a temporary bandaid
71	2021-12-01 19:13:58	No	B2Y4A6	Yes	Yes	No	Ethics of baiting program
72	2021-12-01 18:24:02	No	B3t1v8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
73	2021-12-01 17:36:29	No	B3T1Y8	Yes	Yes	No	Rats etc produce very quickly and to lose the sorting process to remove food waste will open the door to more rats etc.
75	2021-12-01 16:52:37	No	B3T2G1	Yes	Yes	No	
77	2021-12-01 13:26:19	No	B3T1S7	Yes			
78	2021-12-01 11:03:14	Yes	B3K1K7	Yes	Yes	No	The Rats throughout Timberlea are already bad as a result of this landfill, clearly they will only get much worse as these measures will NOT properly deal with the existing problem, which will only worsen with the proposal at hand.
79	2021-12-01 10:08:17	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	We have rodents in our subdivision as a result of the landfill With The Front-End Processor. (I make sure NO food wasted or garbage is in my yard) expect it will be worse if removed.
81	2021-12-01 05:17:42	No	B3T1Y3	Yes	Yes	No	Once again we create a feeding site then want to kill all the extra animals that come there. Have you given any thought to how this really doesn't manage the problem, you feel that trapping and baiting works. The grocery stores can't even keep them away or high density population areas. Why do you feel that you can control it you're not not realistic
83	2021-11-30 20:30:42	No	B3T1W1	Yes			
84	2021-11-30 20:19:47	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents will travel outside the landfill disposal area and spread to neighbouring communities. More information is needed on exactly what the plan would look like, both on roll out and general upkeep/inspections.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
85	2021-11-30 19:43:10	No	B3T1Z7	No			
86	2021-11-30 19:28:18	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents are getting worse all the time
87	2021-11-30 18:50:05	Yes	B3z1l4	Yes	Yes	No	This will add a significant amount of food waste to the disposal areas. For the amount of money it takes to continue these services it seems unnecessary to stop them and potentially harm the surrounding environment. The current rodent mitigation Does not sound adequate to address the proposed changes.
89	2021-11-30 16:53:09	Yes	B3z4b6	No			
91	2021-11-30 16:30:32	No	B3T1T6	Yes	Yes	No	To many rodents now as it is
92	2021-11-30 12:20:04	Yes	B3T0C3	Yes	Yes	No	
93	2021-11-30 11:57:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes			
94	2021-11-30 08:30:28	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	
95	2021-11-30 06:31:37	Yes	B3t0e5	Yes	Yes	No	
96	2021-11-30 05:48:46	Yes	B3t0e2	Yes	Yes	No	
97	2021-11-30 05:11:25	Yes	B3T0C5	Yes	Yes	No	
98	2021-11-30 04:26:12	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	I would like to know how the study deducted 'medium' risk vs 'high' risk!
100	2021-11-06 19:45:28	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	
102	2021-11-29 19:03:16	Yes	B3z3w9	Yes	Yes	No	I do not trust that HRM will do what is needed.
103	2021-11-29 15:31:26	No	B3M3G9	Yes	Yes	No	Leaving FEP / WSF in place will continue to minimize or eliminate this problem instead of requiring mitigation
104	2021-11-29 12:49:54	No	b3t1t8	Yes			
105	2021-11-29 12:23:08	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	It is not like the rodents just stay there. They will travel to other areas and the more inappropriate landfill items, the more rodents will be attracted.
106	2021-11-29 12:47:42	No	B3T2L1	Yes	No		
111	2021-11-29 08:34:14	No		No			
112	2021-11-29 07:44:36	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	No	The effectiveness of baiting doesn't allay my concerns.
113	2021-11-28 22:36:07	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	I did not see an explanation of why there are no off site impacts are expected. It is easy to say this, but I want to see some analysis. Without the FEP/WSF, there will be more food and better 'denning' opportunities (due to the inferior compaction of waste) which will result in increased populations.
114	2021-11-25 09:38:50	No	B3H2Y7	Yes	Yes	No	
116	2021-11-28 11:02:50	No	b3t1z4	Yes	No		
118	2021-11-28 08:58:19	No	B3T1W7	Yes	Yes	No	
122	2021-11-27 17:43:03	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	
123	2021-11-27 16:20:14	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
124	2021-11-27 16:03:53	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents run downhill and this is going to add to the pre-existing rodent issue in this community
125	2021-11-27 10:01:50	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	No		
126	2021-11-27 10:57:24	No	B3a2l8	Yes	No		
127	2021-11-27 10:26:39	No	b2w2a8	Yes	No		
128	2021-11-27 10:09:45	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes			
129	2021-11-27 08:52:29	No	B3z1e2	No			
133	2021-11-26 14:55:51	No	B2W0H6	Yes	Yes		
134	2021-11-26 14:49:36	No	b3m0n1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
135	2021-11-26 14:00:24	No	B3T1W7	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting rodents is inhumane.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
136	2021-11-26 13:20:12	No	B2W0L2	Yes	Yes	No	You are suggesting we remove the solution to the root cause of the now non-existent issue and substitute out for a bandaid on an issue you are about to cause. This is sort sighted planning.
137	2021-11-26 12:19:20	No	B2Y4J5	Yes	Yes	No	You really have to be kidding!!! Of course there will be more rats. Anyone next door to someone with a bird feeder will tell you that. Even when garbage and green bin material is secured. This is really quite insulting.
138	2021-11-26 10:16:40	No	B2Y3M9	Yes			
139	2021-11-26 09:18:44	No	B3T2A5	Yes	Yes		
140	2021-11-26 07:46:03	No	b3a4H4	Yes	No		
141	2021-11-26 06:44:20	No	B4A4J8	No			
142	2021-11-26 05:29:08	No	0	Yes	Yes	Yes	
143	2021-11-26 04:10:09	No	B3P2S4	Yes	Yes	No	
144	2021-11-25 19:23:32	No	b3k1r3	Yes	Yes	No	No amount of baiting can handle that amount of rodents especially when the bait has to compete with food waste.
145	2021-11-25 22:39:53	No	B3B1W2	Yes	No		
146	2021-11-25 22:23:40	Yes	B3t2h6	Yes	Yes	No	
147	2021-11-25 22:05:39	No	B2Y1B3	Yes	Yes	No	Another band aid solution. If it can't be automated, it's not worth doing.
148	2021-11-25 22:18:49	No	B2x2e5	No			
149	2021-11-25 21:37:53	No	B3M1V1	Yes	Yes	No	Adding organics will increase rodents. Supply food and they will come. Look at all the restaurants in town trying to keep rats out
150	2021-11-25 21:37:15	No	B3Z1H4	Yes			
151	2021-11-25 21:18:21	No	B3Z1V9	Yes	Yes	No	Should we not try to prevent more rodents, rather than attracting and then trapping them?
152	2021-11-25 21:23:33	No	B3m3b6	No			
153	2021-11-25 17:57:49	Yes	B3T1T3	Yes	Yes	No	The rodents would have to be poisoned and these chemicals could then leach into the water table
154	2021-11-25 18:08:41	Yes	B3T1B6	Yes			
155	2021-11-25 18:02:31	No	B3H4B2	Yes	No		
158	2021-11-25 16:58:07	No	B4b1n7	Yes	Yes	No	General concerns about poison animals dying off site
159	2021-11-25 16:54:01	No	B2V2J9	No			
160	2021-11-25 15:58:11	No	b3n3j2	No			
162	2021-11-25 14:24:02	No	B3Z1H1	Yes	Yes	No	If there is food, there will be rodents
163	2021-11-25 13:41:47	No	B3z3v7	Yes	No		
164	2021-11-25 13:13:06	Yes	b3T2E6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
165	2021-11-25 11:09:27	No	B2X2H6	Yes	Yes	No	The landfill would represent an all-you-can-eat buffet for rodents, even with a daily earth cap put over the material (a rat can easily dig through that). Baiting program might reduce their numbers, but it would hardly control them. Preventing the additional rodents from accessing the site is much more effective, perhaps by implementing some kind of "front end processing" to separate them...
166	2021-11-25 11:51:38	No	B3Z1L3	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting programs reduce risk but the addition of more organic material to the site will significantly impact the population. Baiting programs have proven unable to keep up under similar stress tests.
167	2021-11-25 11:56:50	No	B3L3H3	Yes	Yes	No	
171	2021-11-25 10:09:06	Yes	B3M3K4	Yes			
173	2021-11-25 09:10:29	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents are a big problem in Halifax as it is being a port city. Nothing's going to stop the rodents. It never does. What do rodents attract? DISEASE

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
174	2021-11-25 09:25:03	Yes	B3t1s8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
175	2021-11-24 11:06:59	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
176	2021-11-05 17:13:07	No		Yes			
177	2021-11-25 08:59:48	No	B4B1M7	Yes	Yes	No	Bait only kills them. They will still be attracted to the area
178	2021-11-25 07:53:11	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
179	2021-11-25 08:41:02	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	Yes	No	
180	2021-11-10 11:11:55	Yes	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	No	Rats can't be stopped - an increase there will lead to an increase in surrounding areas.
182	2021-11-25 07:32:55	No	B3Z1K3	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting programs have limited success and create risk of poison to natural species in area (mink, otter, etc)
183	2021-11-25 07:15:20	No	B4A1J6	Yes	Yes	No	The issues seem to be the same. It is not the mitigation measures but the effect the increased waste will have on the facility. Less sources of food waste will attract less rodents.
184	2021-11-25 07:12:12	No	B3T1Z2	Yes	Yes	No	Halifax has a rodent problem. Not sure how effective these measures will be. I live in Whites Lake and there are rodents in the neighborhood, presumably from the garbage trucks. If the organic sa will increase in the landfill so will the rodents
186	2021-11-25 07:00:57	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	Yes	No	big rodent problem in nearby residential area
188	2021-11-25 06:38:15	No	B3L1J9	Yes			
189	2021-11-25 06:37:18	No	B3Z2N2	No			
190	2021-11-25 05:43:05	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	Rats and other small rodents continue to be a concern in the Timberlea area even with the current measures. Removing measures at the landfill site will only make this issue worse as rodents delivered to site seek sources of food further from the landfill.
191	2021-11-25 05:43:56	No	B2W2K3	Yes	Yes	No	Depending on population levels, bait traps may not be effective. If there is an abundance of human food waste it is unlikely that rodents will even visit the bait stations. Rodents that get delivered may also be wise to bait stations making them ineffective. With more food, populations will increase and spread out to the local area.
193	2021-11-24 21:10:46	No	B3Z1M2	Yes	Yes	No	Unacceptable
194	2021-11-24 18:22:24	Yes	B3T0C2	Yes	Yes	No	
195	2021-11-24 18:31:49	No	B3t1v1	Yes	Yes	No	We will see a large increase in rodents and baiting will only slightly diminish it
196	2021-11-17 18:34:09	No	B3T1v1	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting will not decrease the rodents being attracted to this site and increasing their population within the surrounding areas
197	2021-11-24 16:23:03	No	B3T1R3	Yes	Yes	No	
199	2021-11-24 12:20:19	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	
200	2021-11-24 07:49:10	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	Yes	Come on. Lies you cant prevent that
202	2021-11-24 09:29:56	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	If you do not prevent organic matter from entering the landfill, you have not met the expected requirements of the public that pay for this location to exist.
204	2021-11-05 20:14:01	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
205	2021-11-24 08:12:11	Yes	B3T1W6	Yes	No		
207	2021-11-24 07:19:53	No	B3Z1L4	Yes	No		
208	2021-11-24 06:47:37	Yes	B3T2a5	Yes	Yes	No	We already have many rats running through this area because of landfill, mg dog died because of a rat poisoned that it grabbed
209	2021-11-24 06:17:21	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	Again food / organic waste WILL attract them
212	2021-11-16 20:30:04	No	B3m4k9	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
213	2021-11-23 15:04:58	No	B3T2L6	Yes	Yes	No	What mitigation measures are in place to eradicate/control the rodents venturing outwards of the landfill and making their way into nearby communities, then multiplying and spreading to more surrounding communities (wild fire)?
214	2021-11-23 17:13:18	No	B3T1X5	Yes	No		
215	2021-11-23 16:32:43	No	B3Z1L7	Yes	Yes	No	Stated earlier, 10% of waste are organics. Birds and rodents will be attracted to 10%, this is a big number
220	2021-11-23 14:41:29	Yes	B3t1s6	Yes	Yes	No	Honor the contract!
222	2021-11-14 09:01:40	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	
223	2021-11-23 12:35:06	Yes	B3Z0K9	Yes	Yes	No	Let's be realistic. Rats are not a new problem when it comes to trash. If there were such a wonderful solution they'd be gone
225	2021-11-05 18:01:51	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	No	Common sense you can bait all you want does not mean they will kill all the rodents Infact they adapte. Of there is any source of easy food they will only get worse and multiple at a extreme rate.
226	2021-11-23 11:34:32	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	This portion of the survey appears to address "delivery of rodents" to the site. If waste is no longer sorted before entering the facility, will rodent populations increase due to increase volume of organic waste onsite?
227	2021-11-23 11:53:20	No	B3T2B2	Yes	Yes	No	
228	2021-11-23 10:59:54	No	B3T1W1	Yes			
229	2021-11-23 11:00:22	No	B3T1X4	Yes			
230	2021-11-05 06:42:04	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	Yes	No	
231	2021-11-23 09:12:02	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	Very disappointed that you would be risking our community to save money.
232	2021-11-23 07:54:02	No	B4g1g3	Yes	Yes	No	I am well educated in the matter. These silly excerpts are not what I expected. And unappreciated. A medium risk is an understatement.
233	2021-11-23 08:49:27	No	B3t2c9	Yes			
234	2021-11-23 08:34:21	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes			
235	2021-11-15 06:30:45	No	B3T2H2	Yes	Yes	No	you are just being extra cruel with extra poison. we need to have a process that will do its best not to attract rodents all together. Why create something that attracts more rodents and then kill them after the fact.
238	2021-11-22 17:35:24	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	Where there is edable waste there will always be rodents such as rats congregating within the local areas.
240	2021-11-22 16:33:36	Yes	B3t0b2	Yes	Yes	No	
242	2021-11-22 15:15:31	No	B4E2R3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
243	2021-11-22 09:15:10	No		Yes	Yes		
244	2021-11-22 15:09:14	No	B3T2B5	No			
247	2021-11-22 14:29:02	Yes	B3N3J3	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
248	2021-11-22 13:25:02	No	B3T1V4	Yes			
249	2021-11-17 07:19:08	No		Yes	No		
250	2021-11-22 11:58:25	No	b3t1z1	Yes	Yes	No	
251	2021-11-22 10:57:01	No	B3T1X2	Yes	Yes	No	Medium risk is still an increased risk. Rats are already an issue and any increase is intolerable
253	2021-11-22 08:56:13	No	B3T1V8	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents on site are another reason for additional bird activity.
254	2021-11-22 09:11:43	No	B3t1w3	Yes	Yes	No	This would not be adequate to address the increase in rodent population.
255	2021-11-04 16:24:30	No		Yes	No		
257	2021-11-08 07:34:24	No		Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
258	2021-11-22 07:46:11	No		Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
259	2021-11-21 19:13:42	No	B3T1Y6	Yes	Yes	No	see previous comment
260	2021-11-21 21:35:36	Yes	B3T2H7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
263	2021-11-21 20:04:16	No	B3T2B3	Yes	Yes	No	
264	2021-11-21 20:02:01	No	b3n1t3	No			
265	2021-11-21 19:28:10	No	B3Z3W8	Yes	Yes		
266	2021-11-21 10:54:40	No	B3T0B5	Yes	Yes	No	Again, current process works. Proposed process is unknown and could become unmanageable
267	2021-11-21 09:15:00	No	B3T1Z8	Yes			
268	2021-11-21 08:38:26	Yes	B3Z1M9	Yes	Yes	No	Look at the Sackville site
269	2021-11-21 08:34:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes			
270	2021-11-21 08:08:50	No	B3T1Y6	Yes			
272	2021-11-21 06:37:30	Yes	B3t1s6				
275	2021-11-20 22:19:47	No	B3Z1C7	Yes	Yes	No	More rodents, more bait, more problems. Just keep sorting waste for crying out loud.
276	2021-11-20 22:13:14	No	B3t2b8	Yes			
277	2021-11-20 21:39:54	No	B3T2C9	Yes	No		
278	2021-11-20 20:55:18	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting will also kill wildlife and birds and or can be carried back to the near by communities as well.
279	2021-11-20 18:20:13	No	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	No	
281	2021-11-20 14:33:02	No	B3T1X2	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting programs are ineffective at controlling rodent populations. In any unmonitored ecosystem filled with unnatural/human waste, more rodent populations will thrive, creating a hot spot for diseases.
284	2021-11-20 13:01:56	No	B3T1T8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
285	2021-11-20 09:52:20	No	b3t2a4	Yes	Yes	No	this may not be effective if fep and wsf are removed
287	2021-11-20 06:22:00	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	Yes	No	So you will bait rodents so they die, which will attract more birds and animals to the decaying carcasses.
288	2021-11-20 05:22:39	Yes	B3T2L4	Yes	No		
289	2021-11-19 22:45:53	No	B3t1w5	Yes	Yes	No	There has been a significant rise in rodent activity in part due to the reduced restaurant activity and easily accessible garbage. I believe that the proposed changes will only provide a more easily accessible source of food.
292	2021-11-19 19:55:05	Yes	B3t1a5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
293	2021-11-19 20:00:21	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes			
297	2021-11-19 17:40:58	Yes	B3t2l6	Yes	Yes	No	No changes should occur to waste management as people have bought homes in areas with the undetsrr tree and I g that it would always be managed as it was originally set up
300	2021-11-19 16:24:10	Yes	B3t2e8	Yes	No		
301	2021-11-19 15:30:49	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
302	2021-11-19 14:41:19	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	The increase in rodent population is becoming urban/suburban/rural issue. The green bin program has brought pest into homes and other areas in our communities. The suggestion that by allowing more organic into the stream will not increase the rodent population, disease spread to other animals flies in the face of logic
304	2021-11-19 13:53:50	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes			
306	2021-11-19 13:17:35	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe that a baiting program is going to be sufficient in keeping rodents at bay from the landfill disposal area.
307	2021-11-19 12:52:56	Yes	B3T1S8	Yes	Yes	No	medium risk needs to be reduced to small risk, and not allow to grow to large risks

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
309	2021-11-19 13:13:25	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes	No	The increase of decaying food placed directly into the landfill will not only attract more bird but other animals as well. Timberlea already has a rodent problem with the deactivation it will only increase and attract not only rats but other animals as well.
310	2021-11-19 12:09:54	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
312	2021-11-19 11:21:59	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Don't think baiting is enough
313	2021-11-19 12:01:38	Yes	B3t0e2	Yes	Yes	No	Too many rats now and this will make it worse
314	2021-11-19 11:37:55	Yes	B3T0B3	Yes	Yes	No	
315	2021-11-19 11:40:51	No	b4e3j4	No			
316	2021-11-19 11:05:54	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	No	Just like the birds issue, you're not going to stop rodents from being attracted to the area. They're rodents. They find a way.
317	2021-11-19 10:51:58	No	B3t2a4	Yes	Yes	No	Again, you are admitting to increased problems by saying you are implementing mitigation programs. Rats will come when there is a source of food no matter what you do. Just take a look at the docks or warehouses, even where there is no source of rotting waste!
328	2021-11-19 09:26:04	No	B3t1s4	Yes	Yes	No	With all the new expenses it will cost to solve all the problems this will make why not just keep it the way it is, doesnt make much sense.
329	2021-11-19 09:23:53	No	B3T1T7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
330	2021-11-19 09:18:34	No	B3T2E3	No			
331	2021-11-03 14:14:39	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	
332	2021-11-19 09:07:23	No	B3z3x7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
336	2021-11-19 06:57:40	No	B3t1s7	Yes	Yes	No	There's no way you'll stop determined rodents
340	2021-11-16 06:28:52	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	I work in an environment that tends to attract mice and it doesn't seem to matter how frequently pest control is contacted the problem persists.
342	2021-11-18 13:01:08	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste should not be buried in the landfill
343	2021-11-06 11:19:16	Yes	B3T1S3	Yes			
344	2021-11-18 08:13:25	No	B3Z1S1	Yes			
348	2021-11-17 22:58:07	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes	No	We got too many rats now in Timberlea They get immune to the poison
349	2021-11-17 22:09:54	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes			
350	2021-11-17 21:58:13	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes			
351	2021-11-17 20:45:05	No	B3T1V4				
352	2021-11-17 16:59:54	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	No	Same reasons as for the "bird" question above.
353	2021-11-17 18:51:05	No	B3T1R7	Yes	No		
354	2021-11-17 18:35:15	Yes	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	No	I dontbelieve this will be sufficient
356	2021-11-17 17:37:03	Yes	B3T1Z1	Yes	Yes	No	Current steps hat were part of the original agreement to allow the facility to operate in that location are being removed
358	2021-11-17 17:32:46	No	B3t1p9	Yes	No		
359	2021-11-17 16:50:01	No	B3t1z5	Yes	No		
361	2021-11-17 08:21:13	No	B3T0J9	Yes	Yes	No	
362	2021-11-17 16:12:46	Yes	B3T1Z5	Yes	Yes	No	
364	2021-11-17 14:48:48	No	B3T1Z7	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting is no solution to rodents and other pests. Bait sites simply move the problem up the food chain to larger animals that get hold of dead baited rodents. The solution is simple, maintain organic diversion in the FEP/WSF
366	2021-11-17 14:03:17	No	B3T1S3	Yes	Yes	No	See last answer
368	2021-11-17 12:56:04	No	B3T2A3	Yes	No		
369	2021-11-17 12:39:30	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
372	2021-11-17 10:06:34	Yes	B3T2B4	Yes	Yes	No	There will be more rodents which will be a nuisance, plus increased potential for disease.
373	2021-11-17 09:17:34	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	These mitigation measures would be the first of thier kind to ever work in the world - ever!
374	2021-11-17 08:29:21	No	B3L2X9	Yes			
375	2021-11-17 07:34:30	No	B3Z1L7	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents will arrive, why have less sorting at the front end. There will be more than there are now.
378	2021-11-17 02:20:42	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	Yes	No	Not good enough. Do not wantbthings to change
379	2021-11-16 22:06:39	No	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
380	2021-11-16 21:10:18	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	Apparently it is not working so well as I have noticed an increase in rats in our area
381	2021-11-16 21:18:47	No	B3t1t8	Yes			
382	2021-11-16 21:09:04	No	B4E0H1	Yes			
384	2021-11-06 11:35:33	No	B3T1S6	Yes			
387	2021-11-16 20:28:47	No	B3T2A8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
388	2021-11-16 20:08:46	No	b4e0c5	Yes	Yes	No	
389	2021-11-16 19:53:05	Yes	b3t1m6	Yes	Yes	No	
391	2021-11-16 19:17:01	Yes	B3t1v6	Yes	Yes	No	
392	2021-11-16 17:11:00	Yes	B3t1z6	Yes			
394	2021-11-16 16:57:48	Yes	B3T1K6	Yes	Yes	No	
395	2021-11-16 16:47:46	No	B3T1V3	Yes			
396	2021-11-16 16:26:13	No	b3r1y2	Yes	Yes	No	don't make changes, keep the promises as made years ago by city council.
397	2021-11-16 16:09:29	No	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
398	2021-11-16 14:32:29	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	garbage dumped is an open invitation for rodents
402	2021-11-16 13:43:14	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	
403	2021-11-16 13:20:21	No	B3T2A5	Yes	Yes	No	As before, no way to avoid the issue if there is a food source present
404	2021-11-16 13:04:35	Yes	B3T1T1	Yes	No		
405	2021-11-16 13:08:17	No	B3.2g6	Yes	Yes		
407	2021-11-16 12:16:47	Yes	B3T1R9	Yes	Yes	No	because again the city is being disengenous, the rodent problem is horrenous as is and will clearly get worse, the baiting does not work, the rodents learn.
409	2021-11-16 12:01:52	No	B3T2A3	Yes	No		
410	2021-11-16 11:28:33	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	No	There has to be a better way
411	2021-11-15 19:57:12	No	B3T1Z6	Yes			
412	2021-11-16 11:13:28	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	
413	2021-11-16 10:54:56	No	B3T1R2	Yes	Yes	No	Once rats get offsite they need to go somewhere
418	2021-11-08 04:39:24	No	B3t2b9	No			
419	2021-11-16 09:20:25	Yes	B3TOJ4	Yes	Yes	No	
420	2021-11-16 09:14:25	Yes	B3TOJ4	Yes	Yes	No	
421	2021-11-16 08:16:26	No	B3T1R1	Yes	No		
422	2021-11-16 08:02:51	No	B3T2A6	Yes	Yes	No	Moderate risk sounds serious yet this survey down plays this issue. More measures need to be taken than a baiting system.
423	2021-11-16 07:03:38	No	B3T1S3	Yes	Yes	No	Additional decaying product dumped will increase rodents obviously, not deactivating the procedure will at the very least not cause an increase
425	2021-11-16 07:17:23	No	B4B0V3	No			
427	2021-11-16 06:40:08	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
428	2021-11-16 05:15:53	No	B3t1r8	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents are incredibly smart and multiply quickly. Whatever trap is used in the beginning, the rodents will eventually outsmart and then a new trap will have to be developed which will cost more money.
429	2021-11-16 03:50:44	No	b3t1r2	Yes			
432	2021-11-15 22:24:58	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes			
433	2021-11-15 21:42:51	No	B3z1k6	Yes	Yes	No	More baiting means more expenses.
436	2021-11-15 21:31:56	No	b3r0h5	Yes			
439	2021-11-15 20:24:57	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	This will not be enough to deter a large increase in rodents which is inevitable. Baiting program not enough
442	2021-11-15 20:01:15	Yes	B3j1b6	Yes			
443	2021-11-15 19:21:38	No	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	Once rodents are established in a specific area where food waste is present it is nearly impossible to get rid of them.
444	2021-11-15 19:19:56	Yes	B3T0C5	Yes	Yes	No	This is an absolute joke and betrayal of the community that has hosted this landfill for years...it is considered a world class facility and should be maintained as such...a couple questions into the survey and you can tell how biased it is already....
445	2021-11-15 19:23:32	No	B3M2Z8	Yes	Yes	No	Refuse
447	2021-11-15 19:20:01	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes	No		
448	2021-11-15 19:18:50	Yes	B3T0C5	Yes			
449	2021-11-15 18:52:28	No	B3T1S7	Yes	Yes	No	Poisoned rats are left to die on peoples properties. Of pets become in contact with poisoned rodents.
451	2021-11-15 19:07:14	Yes	B3T0C3	Yes	Yes	No	
453	2021-11-09 17:16:13	Yes	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	
454	2021-11-15 18:12:10	No	B3T2E4	Yes			
456	2021-11-15 18:00:43	No	B3m1b3	Yes	Yes	No	
457	2021-11-15 17:07:16	No	B3T1S7	Yes	Yes	No	It is difficult to appreciate how no increased rodent activities can be a potential given the nature of the change. Other hrm rodent control measures have proven only to have moderate success in much less prone areas. Baiting in a plethora of organic food will have limited effectiveness
459	2021-11-15 16:33:39	Yes	b3t1n1	Yes	Yes	No	Rats have been an ongoing issue in the BLT community for several years now. A baiting program is not going to sufficiently control the problem. Rats carry diseases and there are many pets in this area that are susceptible to illness because of rodents
460	2021-11-15 15:34:49	Yes	B3T1V2	Yes	No		
463	2021-11-04 13:14:52	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes	No		
467	2021-11-15 15:05:11	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	No		
468	2021-11-15 14:28:53	No	B3T1T5	Yes	Yes	No	You plan to implement a baiting program? Wow. Maybe just don't deactivate the FEP/WSP (which was supposed to be kept in place as per the contract). Why is this even being proposed? Part of the reason the community agreed they were ok with waste management site was because of the FEP/WSP and now you just plan to take it away?
469	2021-11-15 14:37:16	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	No	
470	2021-11-04 13:58:09	No	B3t2l1	Yes	Yes	No	
472	2021-11-15 13:06:30	Yes	B3T1P4	Yes			
474	2021-11-15 11:55:02	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	You can't catch all of the rodents and we've already seen a large increase in rodents in this area.
475	2021-11-15 12:15:51	Yes	B3t1t5	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
476	2021-11-15 11:06:37	Yes	B3T1A2	Yes	Yes	No	Not really because rodents are not stupid, they adapt & learn, and if no one is policing what is actually being dropped at the facility there is bound to be an increase in rodents & smells.
477	2021-11-15 11:09:54	No	B3t1z9	Yes	Yes	No	Med risk to high
478	2021-11-02 15:44:15	No	B3t1z9	Yes	Yes	No	Mmmm
479	2021-11-15 10:58:42	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	Timberlea has a rat problem now. They come down the waterway from the landfill looking for food. It will only get worse.
482	2021-11-15 10:47:45	No	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	No	Medium risk too high
483	2021-11-15 10:38:04	No	B3t1z9	Yes	Yes	No	Med risk to high, should be no risk
487	2021-11-15 08:33:12	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting on site is a band-aid and we all know it. There are ALREADY rats in the 5km perimeter. Any potential for increase is unacceptable. Plus, baiting will target non-rodent life and I have a large issue with that also.
488	2021-11-15 09:26:01	No		Yes			
490	2021-11-15 08:50:46	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	When we met with the city prior to the installation of this waste facility, we were given promises regarding capping the cells, sorting waste, no odor, etc. We have Rodents now, what you are proposing will make it worse. Is a promise made to residents not binding on future Municipal Governments??
491	2021-11-15 08:35:24	No	B4A0G5	Yes	Yes	No	Rats and rodents often do not stay contained to the dump location; they often will travel into the surrounding community. Not removing organic matter will encourage more rodents onsite
492	2021-11-15 08:16:23	No	B3T1W2	Yes	Yes	No	Uncomposted Organics should NOT be going into landfill. PERIOD!
493	2021-11-15 08:06:45	Yes	B3P2S2	Yes	Yes	No	Keep the FEP/WSF. Do not deactivate.
494	2021-11-15 07:51:27	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	Yes	No	Rodent control at that level is impossible. There will always be a rodent population that will expand out from the waste area.
496	2021-11-15 06:25:23	No	b2y2k5	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moving backwards and adding a band aid is not a solution. "Let's kill the creatures that WE attract!!" Lovely!! NOT!!! It's inhumane!!
497	2021-11-15 06:30:42	No	b3t1s6	Yes			
498	2021-11-15 06:04:16	No	B4A4G9	Yes			
499	2021-11-15 06:00:23	No	B3T1Z4	Yes			
501	2021-11-15 05:17:48	No	B3T2B6	Yes			
502	2021-11-15 04:23:45	No	B3T2B6	Yes			
503	2021-11-14 22:48:09	Yes	B3T1T3	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents are prolific & maximum removal of food items from waste to keep ahead of multiplying rodents.
504	2021-11-14 23:28:13	Yes	B3t1s4	Yes	Yes	No	Because there will be additional food waste in the landfill and they WILL cause an increase of rodents in the area... If they learn to avoid the landfill, they will go to different areas in the community
505	2021-11-14 21:41:33	No	B3L4E9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
507	2021-11-14 21:08:08	Yes	B3Z2R2	Yes			
508	2021-11-14 18:53:01	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	Yes	No	Suggesting some baitboxes are an adequate counter measure is absurd. Relatively clean commercial businesses often struggle for years with rodent issues. To suggest some baitboxes can deal with this at something like a dump is a joke.
509	2021-11-14 19:24:07	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	The presence organics will multiply the rat problem
510	2021-11-14 19:06:31	No	B3z2j2	Yes	Yes	No	Why change what has already been working in Hope's that the mitigation measures will prevent issues? What if it doesn't.
511	2021-11-14 18:40:50	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	Yes	No	Any increase in rodents will only make it worse
512	2021-11-14 18:24:35	No	B3z1c5	Yes			
513	2021-11-14 17:48:16	Yes	B3t1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Timberlea already has too many rats this will cause so many more.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
514	2021-11-14 17:24:20	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	No	Bating itself won't limit rodents.
515	2021-11-14 17:13:33	No	B3t1z1	Yes	Yes	No	
517	2021-11-14 17:03:48	No	B3T2B7	Yes	No		
518	2021-11-14 16:51:46	No	B3t2c8	Yes	Yes	No	Rats are a problem in the HRM as it is including my area thanks to green bins. You can't fix the rodent issue
519	2021-11-14 16:42:02	No	B3T2a3	Yes	Yes	No	Mitigation efforts will not be enough to offset the feeding potential
520	2021-11-14 16:19:13	Yes	B3t1w1	Yes	Yes	No	Still have rats and disease getting into birds etc
521	2021-11-14 16:13:51	No	B3t2h8	Yes	Yes	No	These claims cannot be substantiated
522	2021-11-14 15:41:54	No	B3Z1X7	Yes	Yes	No	People are putting things in their garbage bags that don't fo there. You can't assume everyone is being diligent about that. You get rid of the front end, there will be MORE rodents and other wildlife.
523	2021-11-06 17:49:33	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes			
524	2021-11-14 15:16:45	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	Timberlea is already full of rats. They are running around the neighbourhood getting into houses & sheds. We don't want more rats, get rid of them!!!
525	2021-11-14 14:28:12	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes	Yes	No	
526	2021-11-14 14:13:29	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	
527	2021-11-14 12:59:52	No	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	
529	2021-11-14 12:32:35	Yes	B3T1T7	Yes	Yes		Again you are expecting an increase in rodents. Doesn't take much to trigger a population explosion and increased risk beyond site.
530	2021-11-14 12:47:29	No	B3t2a8	No			
531	2021-11-14 12:46:53	Yes	b3t1a9	Yes			
532	2021-11-14 12:15:28	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	No	I'm seriously concerned about the attraction of more rodents coming into this area.
533	2021-11-14 12:23:24	Yes	b3t1a5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
534	2021-11-14 11:50:10	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	No	I have had my own rodent problems in and around my house and have spent thousands of dollars to repair damage caused by rats. I am concerned about the increase in rodents at the landfill and, possibly, in my neighbourhood again.
535	2021-11-14 10:54:56	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	No		
537	2021-11-14 10:24:51	No	B3A2Y6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
538	2021-11-08 06:46:30	Yes	b3t3c9	Yes	Yes	No	
539	2021-11-14 09:41:02	No	b3t2b4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
540	2021-11-14 09:23:15	No	B3L1W1	Yes	No		
541	2021-11-14 09:02:04	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	We have a major problem with RATS NOW
542	2021-11-14 09:01:11	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes	No	
543	2021-11-14 07:47:50	Yes	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	No	Bating is putting toxins (bait) into the surrounding area affecting water quality as well as other predatory animals that will feed on the dead rodents and spreading the poison further away from the landfill facility to adjoining communities
544	2021-11-14 07:20:45	Yes	B3T1A7	Yes	Yes	No	BLT is already ridden with rodents. Deactivation will make it worst
545	2021-11-13 19:53:48	Yes	B3T0B6	Yes	Yes	No	What is currently being done is not sufficient
548	2021-11-14 07:04:09	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	
549	2021-11-07 15:48:37	Yes	B3t1w1	Yes	Yes	No	
550	2021-11-14 06:41:46	Yes	B3t0b3	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe you
551	2021-11-14 06:26:48	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	
552	2021-11-14 06:22:05	No	B3k1x3	Yes			
553	2021-11-14 04:19:11	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	No	Again without processing and delivery of rodents would increase their chance of survival.
554	2021-11-14 04:17:16	No	B4B0Y6	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
555	2021-11-14 02:03:54	Yes	B3t0J3	Yes	Yes	No	
556	2021-11-14 01:17:35	Yes	B3T2J3	Yes	Yes	No	Rats are already throughout Beechville. These rats are difficult to eliminate and a massive food source nearby will only make matters worse.
557	2021-11-14 01:10:03	Yes	B3t1k6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
558	2021-11-13 23:39:07	No	B3Z0E7	Yes			
561	2021-11-13 22:03:06	No	B3T2B4	Yes			
562	2021-11-13 21:58:46	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
563	2021-11-13 21:07:26	No	B3T1V8	Yes	No		
565	2021-11-13 20:50:36	No	B3N1E3				
568	2021-11-13 19:12:12	Yes	B3R1R4	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe these measures will be taken.
569	2021-11-13 18:36:52	No	B3T1W7	Yes	Yes	No	Absolutely UNACCEPTABLE to increase poisoning as rodent population grows, this endangers our wildlife and environment even more, NO to increasing poisons, YES to maintaining what we have with the FEP.
570	2021-11-13 18:56:22	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes			
573	2021-11-13 09:09:40	No		Yes	Yes	No	
576	2021-11-13 16:34:10	No	B3T1W2	Yes	Yes	No	The best mitigation measure to reduce rodents is not to deactivate the FEP/WSF.
577	2021-11-13 16:15:03	Yes	B3Z1E8	Yes	Yes	No	Again I do not think there will be sufficient labour/equipment and the medium risk will become high. If the risk was low. To begin with then it of course would be better.
578	2021-11-13 16:12:11	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	No	
579	2021-11-13 14:52:37	Yes	B3T1W9	Yes	Yes	No	Cost of increased pest control and the increased danger to local wildlife with the pest control management.
580	2021-11-13 15:28:04	No	B3T1S6	Yes	No		
581	2021-11-13 15:35:18	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes			
582	2021-11-13 15:09:51	Yes	B3T0C7	Yes	Yes	No	People do not do a good job of separating their trash!!
584	2021-11-13 14:20:55	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes	Yes	No	
585	2021-11-13 14:05:39	No	B3t1s3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
586	2021-11-13 13:03:09	Yes	B3t1t7	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
587	2021-11-13 12:49:35	Yes	B3t2h9	Yes			
588	2021-11-13 12:12:35	Yes	B3T1W2	Yes	Yes	No	More baiting is the mitigation strategy? Empty, hollow, and simply adds more poison to the environment. The front end processing works and does not rely on poison and trapping.
589	2021-11-13 12:34:33	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	No	There is still a high potential for additional rodents to be present in the area where there is already a problem even with the current mitigation measures.
590	2021-11-13 12:34:26	No	B3T1R2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
591	2021-11-12 10:59:07	No	B3T1W3	Yes	Yes	No	
592	2021-11-13 11:45:10	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	More trash equals more pests, which reproduce exponentially
594	2021-11-13 11:35:51	No	B3T2A6	Yes	Yes	No	No type of poisons or anything harmful to the land should be used. This area should remain as it was supposed to be.
595	2021-11-13 11:23:25	No	B3t1s1	Yes	Yes	No	
596	2021-11-13 11:27:25	No	B3T1W9	Yes	Yes	No	Concerned about effectiveness of baiting program
598	2021-11-13 09:13:42	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	Yes	No	As per my previous response, these mitigation strategies are not 100% effective so increase in rodents will occur
599	2021-11-13 10:52:22	Yes	B3t1v8	Yes			
601	2021-11-04 08:30:06	No	B3t1y6	Yes	Yes	No	We all know that won't fix the problem!

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
602	2021-11-13 09:10:48	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	What is meant by 'increased baiting'? All mitigation seems very vague.
603	2021-11-13 08:49:57	Yes	B3T0H6	Yes			
605	2021-11-08 10:53:05	Yes	B3T1T8	Yes	Yes	No	I hear there are still many rodents and the baiting program kills the crows.
607	2021-11-13 08:42:24	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	No	
608	2021-11-13 08:43:32	No	B3T1V8	Yes	Yes		
609	2021-11-13 08:37:22	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting is typically not effective. Removing the reason for rodents is much better
613	2021-11-13 08:01:46	No	B3T1T3	Yes			
614	2021-11-13 07:52:06	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	Yes	No	
615	2021-11-13 07:29:43	Yes	B3Z0N9	Yes	Yes	No	More loose garbage and spread of garbage will only increase the rodent population.
616	2021-11-12 10:15:32	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes	No	
617	2021-11-13 07:32:01	No	B3t1z3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
618	2021-11-13 07:12:01	No	B3Z3A5	Yes	No		
619	2021-11-13 07:22:29	No	B3t1y5	Yes			
620	2021-11-13 07:24:17	No	B3T1T8	Yes			
621	2021-11-13 06:57:29	No	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
622	2021-11-13 06:34:34	No	B0J2C0	Yes	No		
623	2021-11-13 06:11:22	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	Again, an increase of litter will draw more rodents in.
624	2021-11-13 05:23:59	No	B3T1Y1	Yes			
625	2021-11-13 04:38:15	No	B3n1g2	Yes			
628	2021-11-12 22:32:48	No	B3T1V2	Yes	No		
630	2021-11-12 21:34:52	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	No		
632	2021-11-12 21:45:13	No	B3t2b8	Yes			
635	2021-11-12 20:55:35	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste should not be buried in the landfill. If the FEP/WSF is still in operation, additional rodents would not be attracted to the site in the first place, and additional mitigation measures would not be required. If a rodent population does become established should the FEP/WSF be shut down, and other measures are then used to deter them, the rodents will then move on to other areas.
636	2021-11-12 20:53:35	Yes	B3t0e1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
637	2021-11-12 20:31:03	No	B3L3W3	Yes			
638	2021-11-12 20:33:57	No	B3Z0N9	Yes			
639	2021-11-12 19:53:27	Yes	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	No	Should the landfill become over run with rats they will migrate far and wide affect into our neighborhoods
640	2021-11-12 20:10:10	Yes	B3T2G2	Yes	Yes	No	With the deactivation of FEP/WSF there will likely be increased rodents which have already been increased in these areas. Please do not deactivate the FEP/WSF.
641	2021-11-12 19:54:56	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	There will be increased rodents. Killing them doesn't solve the problem of what is attracting them if the trash isn't being presorted.
642	2021-11-12 19:51:56	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	Yes	No	
644	2021-11-04 15:18:44	No	B3T1T3	Yes			
645	2021-11-12 19:18:14	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	Organic should should not be put in the landfill
647	2021-11-12 18:58:13	No	B3T1X7	Yes	No		
648	2021-11-12 19:00:02	No	B3R1Z6	Yes			
649	2021-11-12 18:45:16	No	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	No	
650	2021-11-12 18:45:15	No	B3t2a6	Yes			
651	2021-11-12 18:39:00	No	B3T2B2	No			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
653	2021-11-09 15:44:21	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	No	We will have more rodents in our communities and this is not acceptable. There were promises made when the facility went in so close to housing
654	2021-11-12 17:58:39	No	B3t1s5	Yes	Yes	No	What is baiting ? Poisoning? The fresh water system is at risk for being impacted. Has there been an environmental assessment ?
655	2021-11-12 18:04:48	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
658	2021-11-12 17:20:52	Yes	B3t1r8	Yes	Yes		
660	2021-11-12 16:54:50	Yes	B3t1t4	Yes	Yes	No	If the waste is not properly cleaned as it is now I believe it will attract more rodents
661	2021-11-12 16:50:26	No	B3t1p7	Yes	Yes	No	Again, if the current process is addressing these issues, why remove them
662	2021-11-12 16:11:02	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes	Yes	No	Does the city plan on keeping these "baiting programs" on going forever? How is the city going to pay for staff to maintain these baiting programs?
663	2021-11-12 16:22:48	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes	No	
664	2021-11-05 11:03:02	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	There is already a rodent problem. Having more organics will only breed more.
665	2021-11-12 16:13:09	Yes	B3t1a2	Yes	Yes	No	
666	2021-11-12 15:58:30	No	B3T2B9	Yes	Yes	No	
668	2021-11-12 14:45:10	Yes	B3T2B6	Yes	No		
669	2021-11-12 14:09:19	No	B3Z0C8	Yes	Yes	No	Right now the sorting is done in a specific area, rodent control is easier because of size of the physical location. Once garbage starts to go directly to the landfill (AKA dump) - the rodent issue is transferred over a much larger area making it hard/impossible to control.
673	2021-11-12 13:57:18	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	No	
675	2021-11-12 13:46:14	No					
677	2021-11-12 13:27:53	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	No	This survey is leading and directing a response and does allow for important concerns to be addressed
678	2021-11-12 13:08:59	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting isn't very effective and also animal cruelty.
679	2021-11-12 13:23:55	No					
685	2021-11-12 11:19:12	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	Yes	No	
687	2021-11-04 13:53:39	No	B3T1T9	Yes	No		
688	2021-11-12 11:55:18	No	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
689	2021-11-12 11:21:27	Yes	B3t0k4	Yes	Yes	No	Insufficient measure to control issue
690	2021-11-12 11:36:23	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes			
692	2021-11-12 11:31:12	Yes	B3t0c4	Yes	Yes	No	
693	2021-11-12 11:32:34	Yes	B3t2g2	Yes	Yes	No	
695	2021-11-09 14:58:14	No	B3M3Y5	Yes	Yes	No	I owned property in Timberlea before and after the land fill was established. The rodent problem has not been reduced it gets worse each year. I had a rental property where they ate through the siding and the exterior sheathing and infested the attic! gotten been reduced, it continues to grow year after year. The rats are aggressive and eat through siding and
696	2021-11-12 10:56:26	No	B3T2B3	Yes	Yes	No	Critics say they don't work. I am not sure what works
698	2021-11-12 10:45:38	No	B3t2b4	Yes	Yes		
699	2021-11-05 07:51:24	No		Yes	Yes	No	More information on the "mitigation of Rodents" needs to accompany this survey. Description is too sketchy.
701	2021-11-12 10:20:55	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	Increased risk still
702	2021-11-12 10:16:59	Yes	B3t1a9	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
704	2021-11-12 09:41:35	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	No	hy are you even considering taking it away??? Baiting is not enough! If a rat sees a giant pile of rotten food and garbage and a trap that has a tiny morsel of food, obviously it's going to go for the giant free for all rather than the trap. This unlimited source of rotten food will also encourage the rat population to increase exponentially.
707	2021-11-12 09:44:13	Yes	B3T0B6	Yes	Yes	No	Not good enough
708	2021-11-12 09:47:42	No	B3T1R7	Yes			
709	2021-11-12 09:43:26	No	B3t0b2	Yes	Yes	No	
712	2021-11-12 09:41:22	No	B3T1X5	Yes	No		
713	2021-11-12 09:40:17	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes			
715	2021-11-12 09:10:33	No	B3T1W6	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
717	2021-11-12 09:26:35	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	
719	2021-11-12 09:06:45	Yes	B3t2a2	Yes	Yes	No	
720	2021-11-12 08:33:02	No	B3Z3X1	Yes	Yes	No	Rats and mice thrive on garbage, especially things left lying on the ground for a period of time. They are scooped up with the trash during clean ups and dumped directly into the landfill.
721	2021-11-12 08:18:26	No	B3T2A8	Yes	Yes	No	mitigation measures help but don't alleviate the increase of rodent activity that will occur after the present facilities are shut down
723	2021-11-12 08:36:35	Yes	B3T2K5	Yes			
725	2021-11-12 08:27:32	Yes	B3T0C2	Yes	Yes	No	
726	2021-11-12 08:20:28	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	We have rats in this area already. I do not look forward to an increased population as a result of your actions.
728	2021-11-12 08:30:51	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes			
730	2021-11-12 08:11:33	No	B3t2a8	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe it. I believe increase rats and mice.
732	2021-11-12 07:03:31	Yes	B3t1t5	Yes	No		
733	2021-11-11 22:26:20	Yes	B3t1c3	Yes	Yes	No	
735	2021-11-11 19:08:24	Yes	B3t1k2	Yes	No		
736	2021-11-11 18:30:49	No	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	No	YOU ARE NOT ABLE TO ANSWER THIS AS THE FEP/WSF HAVE NOT BEEN DEACTIVATED !!!
739	2021-11-11 18:00:07	No	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	No	We have rats and now weasels and traps DO NOT actually work all the time!!
741	2021-11-11 18:03:23	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes	Yes	No	
743	2021-11-11 16:40:58	Yes	B3T1R9	Yes	Yes	No	Rodent impact will definitely increase, increasing the rodent mitigation methods in hopes of not causing large increases in rodent population
745	2021-11-11 15:03:16	No	B3T2A4	Yes	Yes	No	In an effort to not be too repetitive, I will point out that the system currently in place, the one residents agreed to, is working. Let's stay with it.
746	2021-11-11 13:12:54	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes		
747	2021-11-11 15:23:58	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes			
748	2021-11-11 14:02:48	No	B3t1t8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
749	2021-11-11 13:16:05	No	B3T2J7	Yes	Yes	No	There will be increased rodents if the front end processing, stabilizer, and processing.
751	2021-11-11 13:09:16	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes		
752	2021-11-11 13:01:23	No	B3T1W3	Yes			
753	2021-11-11 12:02:06	Yes	B3t2b2	Yes	Yes	No	Not strict enough
757	2021-11-11 11:49:47	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	No		
759	2021-11-11 11:38:35	Yes	B3T1C3	No			
760	2021-11-11 11:30:14	No	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
761	2021-11-11 11:00:27	Yes	B3T1C3	Yes	Yes	No	
762	2021-11-11 10:05:48	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	Because we have rodents now and I don't want them to get worse.
763	2021-11-11 08:28:05	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	Absolutely there will be more rodents! If we're not sorting and disposing of organic material properly there will most definitely be an increased issue of rodents.
767	2021-11-11 09:11:03	No	B3t2a1	Yes	No		
768	2021-11-11 08:46:55	No	B3t1p7	Yes	Yes	No	If food residues are not burned prior disposal, there will likely be more rodents around
769	2021-11-11 08:06:36	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	Its the smell I'm concerned about! Its already giving off an odour. I moved my home here based on the city honouring the operation as it started not to the changes. It will make my home environment less livable costing me hundreds of thousands of dollars in depreciated home value.
770	2021-11-11 08:17:33	Yes	B3t1r9	Yes	Yes	No	This survey is organized in an incredibly biased way. Where are the counter arguments ?
771	2021-11-11 08:21:43	No	B0J2C0	Yes			
772	2021-11-11 08:18:25	Yes	B3M0J9	Yes	Yes	No	
773	2021-11-11 07:42:15	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	No	Rats are intelligent and will move into other areas of the neighbourhood.
774	2021-11-11 07:03:07	No	B3T1V4	Yes	No		
775	2021-11-10 14:02:24	Yes	B3t0e4	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents have the potential to propagate and spread. I'd like to see some sort of system in place where we would have access to have rodent control services provided at residences if the issues arise.
776	2021-11-11 07:05:09	Yes	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	More garbage means more rodents. The bait program would need to be increased exponentially
777	2021-11-11 06:46:00	No	B3t1y7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
778	2021-11-11 06:28:21	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	If there is no sorting, there will be increased rodents! Rodents can have a large territory. Our pats are at risk for injury and diseases form this increased population.
779	2021-11-11 06:43:21	No	B3t2b6	Yes	No		
780	2021-11-11 06:39:32	No	B3T1V3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
781	2021-11-11 06:39:09	Yes	B3T1v3	Yes			
782	2021-11-11 06:38:52	Yes	B3T0C7	Yes			
783	2021-11-11 06:31:00	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	
784	2021-11-11 05:59:37	No	B3Z1L6	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting has not worked in other areas
785	2021-11-11 06:00:30	No	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting hasn't worked to control the problem in downtown Halifax. There is no way it will curb the increased activity that will be seen around the site
788	2021-11-10 23:24:38	Yes	B3T1W2				
789	2021-11-10 22:36:27	Yes	B3T0C7	Yes			
790	2021-11-10 21:14:36	Yes	B3T1C4	No			
795	2021-11-10 18:39:43	No	B3t1w9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
798	2021-11-10 19:03:32	Yes	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	No	More needs to be done these rodents get spread throughout community is disgusting
799	2021-11-10 18:54:45	No	B3V1E5	Yes	No		
800	2021-11-10 18:25:49	Yes	B3T2B1	Yes	Yes	No	Where you have waist materials you will have rats and these multiple you will not be able to control this

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
801	2021-11-06 14:25:32	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	No	The fact there is no separation of waste will automatically ensure a great number of rodents in the area. They carry disease and can travel throughout other areas into neighboring population. Cost savings do not equal the risk to the public health.
804	2021-11-10 18:01:15	No	B3T1W9	Yes	No		
805	2021-11-10 16:59:47	No	B3t2b8	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents and organics go hand in hand, once they find food they are there for good!
806	2021-11-10 17:02:48	Yes	B3t1w6	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
807	2021-11-10 15:00:14	No	B3T1X1	Yes	Yes	No	If the sorting the rodents will take over.
808	2021-11-10 17:23:49	No	B0N1L0	Yes			
809	2021-11-10 17:14:41	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	Yes	No	Putrescent garbage will attract more and more rodents. Timberlea is full of them now. We don't want more.
810	2021-11-10 17:10:28	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	
812	2021-11-10 16:45:10	No		No			
814	2021-11-10 15:52:29	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes			
815	2021-11-10 15:06:22	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	Yes	No	Your current mitigation efforts are ineffective and this will only get worse with the closing of the FEP
816	2021-11-10 15:16:00	No	B3T1S4	Yes			
817	2021-11-10 15:09:41	No	B3T1W6	Yes			
818	2021-11-10 14:33:05	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	Yes	No	What you are proposing will not guarantee the rodent problem will be satisfactory
819	2021-11-10 14:33:26	Yes	B3T2K8	Yes	Yes	No	There is alot of competing material - bait versus the actual material delivered. Rodents will enjoy the actual waste more than the bait!
820	2021-11-10 14:24:27	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	Rats are smart and survivors trapping is not enough however i am not a pest control expert so i have no alternative suggestions
823	2021-11-10 13:33:49	No	B0J1T0	Yes	Yes	No	same reply as previous question
826	2021-11-10 12:33:58	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes	Yes	No	
827	2021-11-10 11:29:56	No	B3T1V1	Yes	Yes	No	
828	2021-11-10 12:07:40	No	B3T1Z7	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting will not control pests when they have an entire dump at their disposal
829	2021-11-10 11:47:43	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	Yes	No	The Timberlea community is well known to have rat issues. Decreasing protections at the dump will only increase these issues. We purchased an expensive home in a desirable golf community knowing there were safeguards at the landfill facility. This feels like a bait and switch tactic not in the best interest of the community, only the bottom line of HRM.
830	2021-11-10 11:50:45	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	Dead rodents killed by baiting brings other issues and concerns around disease and smell
832	2021-11-04 18:40:19	No	B3T1X3	Yes	Yes	No	
833	2021-11-10 10:27:02	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	Is baiting more effective than fresh waste?
834	2021-11-10 10:36:38	Yes	B3T1K5	Yes	Yes	No	We have rodents now, so this will not improve the issue.
835	2021-11-10 10:51:19	Yes	B3t1z5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
836	2021-11-04 15:05:28	Yes	b3t1r8	Yes			
837	2021-11-10 09:43:11	No	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	
838	2021-11-10 10:01:07	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes	Yes	No	There has been an every increasing number of rats seen in the Timberlea area in recent years. I will grant that some of this might have been to the building of the Brunello subdivision and the loss of natural forest buffer.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
839	2021-11-10 09:38:48	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	This will not effectively decrease number of rodents when compared to current levels. An increase in rodents will naturally occur.
840	2021-11-10 09:18:17	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	No	The last thing neighbours need is the possibility of more rats running throughout the neighbourhood. Halifax City has enough rats for Timberlea and area. To save a measly 2 million dollars to add rats, gulls, maggots, flies and the like is a pathetic attempt to increase the bottom line.
841	2021-11-10 08:57:45	No	b3t2I1	Yes	Yes	No	Medium risk is unacceptable. There are already complaints of rodents in neighborhoods bordering this site before these changes are implemented.
843	2021-11-09 10:53:27	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	No	The attraction of more rodents IS the concern, not how you kill these animals. Without the current facilities, you will be attracting more birds and rodents.
844	2021-11-10 08:24:45	No	b3t1z2	Yes	Yes	No	.baiting, aka poisoning could also have unintended impacts on wildlife. Including wildlife taking the bait, or feeding on poisoned rodents
847	2021-11-10 08:23:29	No	B3P2J3	No			
848	2021-11-10 08:21:46	Yes	B3Z0N9	Yes			
849	2021-11-10 07:38:55	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	No	Is it poison being used? What about groundwater?
850	2021-11-10 07:44:45	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	There are already high instances of rats in the surrounding area so the existing mitigations are not sufficient.
851	2021-11-10 07:51:24	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	Yes	No	Feel like their is more racoons and rats in area and this will continue to attract them and rapping measures should need to be even larger than planned to address the problem
852	2021-11-10 07:46:12	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	Best control is working now. No changes needed. When it comes to the environment it is 2021 and step backward is the wrong step!
853	2021-11-10 07:19:40	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Rats are a huge problem now and it is only found to get worse.
856	2021-11-10 07:17:17	No	B4C4H4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
857	2021-11-10 07:12:40	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	Yes	No	We currently live on [REDACTED] and have rodent problems, so what is currently done isn't even enough.
858	2021-11-10 06:32:23	No	B3t1x2	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting stations just don't work
861	2021-11-04 10:46:19	Yes	B3t1b8	Yes	Yes	No	
862	2021-11-10 06:39:16	Yes	bek3x4	No			
863	2021-11-10 06:01:29	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	Yes	No	It's haven't stopped it so far. Rats are everywhere
870	2021-11-09 21:16:37	No	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	
876	2021-11-09 20:32:29	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes	Yes	No	
878	2021-11-09 20:21:20	Yes	B3T1S6	No			
879	2021-11-09 19:57:59	Yes	B3T2G2	Yes	Yes	No	Unacceptable - garbage that is not sorted appropriately will attract additional rodents. This is not meeting with original agreement
880	2021-11-09 20:07:42	No	B3T1X5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
881	2021-11-09 19:46:50	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	in and lives and the environment will pay the price
882	2021-11-09 19:44:51	No	B3T1Y4	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents populate at a very fast rate, it's a huge food source you won't be able to control it
883	2021-11-09 19:26:51	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
884	2021-11-09 19:22:19	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	Yes	No	There is no guarantee you will still to your mitigation measures
885	2021-11-09 19:33:14	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
887	2021-11-09 19:29:36	No	B3Z4B6	No			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
888	2021-11-09 19:17:55	No	B3T2C3	Yes	Yes	No	There is no guarantee that the rodents will cooperate and take the "bait"
889	2021-11-09 19:15:21	Yes	B3T2A3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
890	2021-11-08 12:55:59	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes			
892	2021-11-09 18:48:02	No	B3S0G5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
895	2021-11-09 18:19:35	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	Yes	No	
900	2021-11-09 17:14:50	No	B3T1W5	Yes	Yes	No	This WILL attract and deliver more rodents to the area. Baiting programs simply end up killing other wildlife and pets that live in proximity to the landfill site. Perhaps rather than helping to increase the rodent population, you can just do what you promised you would do and keep the front end processing in place to mitigate the rodent population.
901	2021-11-09 17:15:45	Yes	B3t0c8	Yes	Yes	No	What happens if you are wrong? Who is burdened with the risk? The local residents are! Question out of curiosity: if I answered this the "right" way...as this survey seems to be heavily skewed...and I getting additional information about why I am "wrong" similar to what I am getting now?
905	2021-11-09 16:52:54	No	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
906	2021-11-09 16:41:54	No	B3N2R7	Yes	No		
907	2021-11-09 16:30:43	No	B3T1Z2	Yes	Yes	No	Delivery of rodents seems like an odd phrase... Organic materials will attract more rodents no? If you remove the waste stabilization facility, waste will not get the time to start to break down (if there is some organic material in there by mistake which there will undoubtedly be).
908	2021-11-09 16:42:52	Yes	B3T1V4	Yes	No		
911	2021-11-09 16:09:21	No	B3t1z4	Yes	Yes	No	The rodent population will definitely increase and will not be managed by traps
913	2021-11-09 15:56:25	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	No		
914	2021-11-09 15:44:59	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	Thats a lie. This poll is propoganda. The rodent problem is notoriously bad already in Glengarry Estates and Greenwood Heights it'll only get worse if you go through with this.
915	2021-11-09 14:47:57	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	I have lived in Timberlea for [redacted] years and only in the last 4 years have we had a problem with rodents. I am currently paying \$300. to a reputable pest control company. We already have rat tunnels around our house. Lack of sorting will further exacerbate the problem.
917	2021-11-09 15:33:29	No	B3T1Z1	Yes	Yes	No	
918	2021-11-09 15:20:00	No	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	No	Introducing organics will help the rodent population to explode.
920	2021-11-09 15:32:45	No	123123	No			
921	2021-11-09 15:16:20	Yes	b3t1n2	Yes	Yes	No	rodents follow garbage and rotting food waste. Baiting programs will not be enough to resolve this issue. Its an issue currently, so why would you think this would not make it a larger issue
922	2021-11-09 15:17:57	No	B3T1W5	Yes	No		
923	2021-11-09 15:12:54	Yes	B3T0C4	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting rodents is not 100% effective.
925	2021-11-09 14:48:21	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
926	2021-11-09 14:50:02	Yes	b3t2j7	Yes	Yes	No	
927	2021-11-09 14:50:10	Yes	B3T0G7	Yes	Yes	No	rats, mice, bugs. there's nothing you can do to keep them completely away and this project is just going to bring in even more of them
928	2021-11-07 16:12:09	No	B3T1W9	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
932	2021-11-09 13:51:42	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes	No	
934	2021-11-03 16:19:08	No	B3l2y8	Yes	No		
935	2021-11-09 08:42:24	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	no fresh rodents are delivered there daily and will just populate themselves over time
937	2021-11-09 13:43:34	No	B3Z3J2	Yes	Yes		
938	2021-11-09 13:30:26	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	Yes	No	Medium risk is too high this should be low risk for rodents. Living in the area there are already a large number of rodents due to the facility if you make these changes there will definitely be a lot more rodents in the area which is completely unnecessary.
939	2021-11-09 13:29:52	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
940	2021-11-09 13:29:51	No		Yes			
942	2021-11-09 13:22:57	Yes	B3t1a4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
943	2021-11-02 20:30:22	Yes	B3T155	Yes	Yes	No	With the elimination of the FEP in the WSF residents will not be able to sort ways to the level that this operation does. We inherited this facility many years ago when no one else wanted and now we will be penalized because we've been good citizens. Hey Durham claims cannot be substantiated. Our community will end up having offence of voters rodents disease and health issues. Shame on you!
945	2021-11-09 12:58:12	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	No	
947	2021-11-09 12:19:14	No	B3T2B1	Yes	Yes	No	Rats are bad. Any industry that attracts rats should be held accountable to zero tolerance and HRM Council should not accept anything less.
949	2021-11-09 12:26:37	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	Yes	No	more organics more rodents who will go to residential area
951	2021-11-09 12:02:12	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	There's been a huge increase of mice etc in area since construction of the facility which will only get worse.
952	2021-11-09 11:59:04	Yes	B3t0c8	Yes	Yes	No	Honestly? Your going to say there won't be an issue!??
953	2021-11-09 11:57:23	No	B3T1Y1	Yes	Yes	No	
955	2021-11-09 11:52:04	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	since the building has existed theres been an increase of rodents in area
960	2021-11-09 11:29:04	Yes	B3r1k9	Yes	Yes	No	In the last couple years they already got worse . This a all lies
963	2021-11-09 11:28:38	Yes	B3T1V1	Yes			
968	2021-11-09 10:53:05	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	There has been a serious increase in the last ten years of rodents in the area they might not actually be on site but the rodents are smart enough to inhabit the surrounding area
969	2021-11-09 10:51:16	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes	Yes	No	There are already too many rodents in my neighbourhood from what is in place now.
971	2021-11-09 10:53:34	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes			
972	2021-11-09 10:49:14	Yes	B3t1a4	Yes			
974	2021-11-09 09:58:25	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents will migrate to other areas
976	2021-11-09 09:25:11	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	No	What would the otters do
977	2021-11-09 09:23:40	No	B4A2E6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
979	2021-11-09 08:42:39	No	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
980	2021-11-09 08:01:33	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	Since the green bins and otter lake came into effect, our area is crawling with Rats. They have holes and trails from green bin to green bin from house to house
981	2021-11-09 08:41:25	No	B3T1Y3	Yes			
982	2021-11-09 08:23:25	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
983	2021-11-09 08:09:01	No	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	No	Animals are able to leave an area into the surroundings.
984	2021-11-09 08:02:52	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	No	Poisoning rodents is bad for the ecosystem; adding more poisoned rodents to this ecosystem is not the answer.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
986	2021-11-09 07:12:32	Yes	B3T2K5	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting may limit the population, but it's not an overall effective process to limit rodents. We live very close to the landfill and have many rodents in our yard. We used a commercial pest control provider but after a full year it did nothing to reduce their presence. Increased organics is going to increase rodents, unless there's a specialized program to reduce their presence.
988	2021-11-09 07:25:51	No	B3T1W3	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting will not be enough to control the rodent problem.
994	2021-11-09 06:08:21	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	Increased waste will increase rodent attraction, full stop. Without proper processing this will be inevitable.
995	2021-11-09 05:54:57	Yes	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	No	Mitigation is not the same as prevention in the first place. Current system does not require increased baiting, so how does this change improve the waste management system other than a cost basis.
996	2021-11-09 05:38:15	No	B3T2J7	Yes	Yes	No	
997	2021-11-09 04:57:24	No	B3T1W4	Yes	Yes	No	
1001	2021-11-08 22:21:42	No	B3T1S5				
1005	2021-11-08 08:40:32	No	B3t1b4	Yes	Yes	No	There was no problem with rats back in the day till the landfill was in place I've lived here my life I know this
1007	2021-11-08 20:54:32	Yes	B3T2B5	Yes			
1009	2021-11-08 20:37:11	No	B3T2C9	No			
1012	2021-11-08 19:54:39	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	No	Poison will get added to the food chain and is not an option
1014	2021-11-04 22:00:27	No	B2T1H7	Yes	No		
1016	2021-11-08 19:50:23	Yes	B3T1R4	No			
1017	2021-11-08 19:34:39	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1018	2021-11-08 19:30:52	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	No	
1019	2021-11-08 18:59:19	No	B3z3s9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1020	2021-11-07 15:48:42	No	B3T1Z9	Yes			
1021	2021-11-08 18:58:30	Yes	b3t1v1	Yes	Yes	No	You can't identify an increase in pests before a measure is implemented. Moderate risk on site is quite high and would likely impact the surrounding community.
1022	2021-11-08 18:26:23	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	Organic material will attract rodents. Some people sort their waste well but many do not. We need personnel to sort at the front line
1024	2021-11-08 18:30:53	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	No	
1026	2021-11-08 18:08:04	No		Yes	Yes	No	Controlling rodents by regulations not enforced by bureaucrats and operators interested in profits will have no chance of effectiveness.
1028	2021-11-08 17:55:33	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	Yes	No	
1029	2021-11-08 17:21:04	No	B3T1R4	Yes	No		
1034	2021-11-08 17:28:05	No	B3R1R4	Yes			
1035	2021-11-04 09:30:06	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes			
1036	2021-11-08 17:13:22	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	No		
1039	2021-11-08 17:05:47	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1041	2021-11-08 16:47:19	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	No	I live in Alderwood Village, we already have a significant rat population. Anything that may cause this to expand further concerns me.
1042	2021-11-08 16:47:41	No	B3T1W3	Yes	Yes	No	All the barring this side of hell won't control the numbers of rats in the area.
1045	2021-11-08 16:32:35	No	B3Z1Z6	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting sounds like poison..
1046	2021-11-08 16:34:44	No	B3t1z2	Yes	Yes	No	I have seen many rats in the Timberlea area
1049	2021-11-08 16:19:31	No	B3t1s7	Yes	Yes	No	
1050	2021-11-08 16:14:16	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1051	2021-11-08 15:46:53	Yes	B3t2g5	Yes	Yes	No	If there is an increase in attraction how are baiting measures handled to ensure population doesn't increase?
1054	2021-11-08 11:54:40	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	Yes	No	there is a medium risk of increased rodents on site. Rats multiply quickly and baiting will not be sufficient. the changes suggested to the facility and not worth this risk.
1055	2021-11-08 15:31:01	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes	Yes	No	
1056	2021-11-08 14:49:55	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	How can you guarantee that expanding the existing rodent controls will adequately prevent off-site impacts?
1058	2021-11-08 14:54:19	No	B3T2B2	Yes	No		
1060	2021-11-08 14:03:38	Yes	B3T1S3	Yes	Yes	No	What is the specific and tangible action to improve the onsite rodent control processes so that there is capacity to retain the rodents within the disposal area, given that there is a flagged risk of more rodents. Curious about the specifics more than anything. The solution seems fairly general.
1061	2021-11-08 14:17:43	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1063	2021-11-08 13:59:50	No	B3T2A2	Yes	Yes	No	Am unconvinced
1064	2021-11-08 13:13:47	Yes	B3T1R2	Yes	Yes	No	No amount of baiting will eliminate all rodents and it will impact other animals in the area
1065	2021-11-08 13:38:16	No	B3T1Z1	Yes	No		
1066	2021-11-08 13:41:11	No	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	
1067	2021-11-03 18:18:40	No	B3T0E7	Yes			
1069	2021-11-08 12:37:43	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1071	2021-11-08 12:17:34	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	I don't even live close to this landfill, we are definitely having a problem of rodents in our area.
1075	2021-11-08 12:12:12	Yes	B3t2g8	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents are becoming an increasingly bigger issue around the area. They carry disease and are very unfriendly. They also attract other wildlife
1076	2021-11-08 11:56:11	No	B3Z1L6	Yes	Yes	No	You cannot control rodents. They will expand beyond the « baiting area » where their food source currently is
1077	2021-11-08 11:27:30	Yes	B3t1s5	Yes	Yes	No	We need to avoid more diseases
1080	2021-11-08 09:34:59	No	a1a2b2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1082	2021-11-08 07:49:18	Yes	B3T1z1	Yes			
1088	2021-11-08 10:35:01	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1089	2021-11-08 10:28:53	No	B3S1K9	Yes	No		
1090	2021-11-08 10:17:42	No	B3t0a2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1092	2021-11-08 09:55:37	No	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1093	2021-11-08 09:42:08	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	No	These measures will not solve the problem
1094	2021-11-08 09:24:29	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting doesn't matter if there is organic material in trash. Just a band-aid solution
1095	2021-11-08 09:19:28	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes	No	Currently with the FEP/WSF Rodents are a big problem in the area
1096	2021-11-08 08:49:35	Yes	B3T1Z9	Yes	Yes	No	It is impossible for a baiting program to contain to rodents. A review of a typical landfill without a front-end processor and waste stabilizer should provide an answers.
1097	2021-11-08 08:51:08	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1098	2021-11-08 09:19:38	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1101	2021-11-08 08:49:26	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	
1102	2021-11-08 08:53:00	Yes	B3T3J5	Yes			
1103	2021-11-08 08:48:10	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1104	2021-11-08 08:51:21	No	B3t1w5	No			
1105	2021-11-08 08:20:52	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	I am admittedly relatively unfamiliar with baiting programs; however, my concern is that the natural predators of the rodents will also be negatively impacted.
1107	2021-11-08 08:42:38	Yes	B3T1P7				
1108	2021-11-08 08:42:39	No	B3T1Z6	No			
1109	2021-11-08 08:18:26	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	
1110	2021-11-08 08:08:00	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	No	If the baiting boxes are on the perimeter of the dump it's not very likely that the rodents will go that far away from their source of food. The more garbage, the more rats.
1112	2021-11-08 08:28:11	No	B3T2A7				
1113	2021-11-08 08:14:20	No	B3Z2X3	Yes	Yes	No	Use of poisons impacts unintended species. Rats have increased in numbers since the dump was created and have been an issue for many BLT residents for years. Lessening the sorting can only increase the problem
1114	2021-11-08 08:16:52	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes			
1115	2021-11-08 07:46:17	Yes	B3t1v4	Yes	Yes	No	Despite baiting program, the concern is around what is bringing the rodents to the landfill in the first place. Ideally, waste should be processed so there is no food/other waste that is attractive to animals/birds. Need to proactively prevent animals from being attracted to the landfill as opposed to reactively trying to drive them away.
1116	2021-11-03 20:51:51	No	B3t1x8	Yes	Yes	No	They will need to go somewhere
1117	2021-11-07 20:19:40	No	B4E1P1	Yes	Yes	No	The process doesn't allow smell or rats to linger. Garbage is broken down wetted and processed beyond any attractive material.
1118	2021-11-08 07:52:44	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes			
1119	2021-11-08 07:38:03	Yes	B3t1a8	Yes	Yes		
1120	2021-11-08 07:36:04	Yes	B3t1m6	Yes	Yes	No	As the food source increases, such as organics, then there are more rodents and more potential to spread disease as increased vectors, etc.
1121	2021-11-08 07:45:21	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes			
1122	2021-11-08 07:23:00	No	b3t2a7	Yes	Yes	No	I believe the current FEP/WSF approach reduces this mitigation of rodents. Having to bait the rodents does not seem ideal when the current system aids in alleviating this issue already.
1123	2021-11-08 07:07:18	Yes	B3t2j5	Yes	No		
1124	2021-11-08 06:46:08	Yes	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	leave things alone its working
1126	2021-11-08 06:55:22	Yes	B3T1C3	Yes			
1127	2021-11-08 04:58:03	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes	No	If everything is separated and done the right way with the FEP and WSF we will be able to keep it under control
1128	2021-11-08 05:57:54	Yes	B3t1r2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1129	2021-11-08 05:45:23	No	B3T2X2	Yes			
1130	2021-11-08 05:32:56	No	B3T2L4	Yes	Yes	No	can't control the rodents
1131	2021-11-08 05:12:32	No	B3T1P9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1132	2021-11-08 04:31:17	Yes	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	
1133	2021-11-08 04:33:18	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes			
1134	2021-11-07 21:14:42	Yes	B3t1c9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1137	2021-11-07 20:48:19	Yes	B3t1p4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1139	2021-11-07 20:55:15	Yes	B3l1p7	Yes			
1140	2021-11-07 20:25:53	No	b3t1z2	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1142	2021-11-07 20:00:50	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes		
1143	2021-11-07 19:37:12	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	Yes	No	When there is a garbage they will come that makes the community will be known as landfill
1144	2021-11-07 19:34:37	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1145	2021-11-07 19:37:41	No	B3z1L8	No			
1147	2021-11-07 19:15:47	No	B2T1W4				
1149	2021-11-07 18:11:44	No	B3T2A2	Yes	Yes	No	Rats are an increasing problem in rural communities. Populations likely to increase exponentially
1151	2021-11-07 18:17:57	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting systems are not fool proof and if garbage is not separated properly then rodents will feed off the garbage and be less likely to go to baiting systems.
1152	2021-11-07 18:15:55	No	B3t2k8	Yes	No		
1155	2021-11-07 16:51:42	Yes	b3t1p3	Yes	Yes	No	My workplace uses baiting programs like this and despite using different providers over the years we still have issues with rodents entering the building. My home as well as my workplace are within 5km of Otter Lake and given the food based nature of my work I don't want to see any increased pest activity in the area.
1157	2021-11-07 17:18:42	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	No	It's also goign to be other animals at this site.
1158	2021-11-07 17:15:21	Yes	B3T0B5	Yes	Yes	No	If I get a rat you guys are getting the bill.
1159	2021-11-07 17:13:31	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	Yes	No	Again, lots of people still put food waste in their garbage. More and more people are seeing rats around our subdivisions.
1160	2021-11-07 17:11:12	No	B3t1r5	Yes			
1161	2021-11-07 16:38:52	No	B0N1Z0	Yes			
1163	2021-11-07 16:19:55	No	B3T1R3	No			
1165	2021-11-07 15:31:58	Yes	B3t1b4	No			
1167	2021-11-07 15:25:21	No	B3z3w9	Yes	No		
1169	2021-11-07 15:06:35	Yes	B3t1z9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1170	2021-11-07 15:00:46	No	B3T1V2	Yes			
1172	2021-11-07 14:52:12	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	more waste more rodents harder to get control
1173	2021-11-07 14:46:22	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
1174	2021-11-07 14:42:21	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	
1175	2021-11-07 14:41:01	No	B3T0H6	Yes			
1176	2021-11-07 14:20:30	No	B3t1s1	Yes	Yes	No	
1178	2021-11-07 14:27:42	Yes	B3T0B4	Yes			
1181	2021-11-07 13:57:16	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	No	
1182	2021-11-07 13:55:59	Yes	B3T2K8	Yes			
1183	2021-11-07 13:53:13	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes			
1184	2021-11-07 13:37:11	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	
1185	2021-11-07 13:27:30	No	B3T0B6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1187	2021-11-07 12:08:35	Yes	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	No	I do not believe that baiting programs alone will solve the rodent problem as these rodents can produce and multiple at an alarming rate and cause havoc!
1188	2021-11-07 12:49:52	No	B3Z3W1	Yes	No		
1189	2021-11-07 12:44:35	No	B3T1P7	Yes			
1190	2021-11-07 12:35:22	No	B3t2a3	No			
1191	2021-11-07 11:49:44	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	We need to be getting rid of landfill not enlarging it. I'm concerned that a baiting program will affect other local wildlife.
1192	2021-11-07 11:56:57	No	B3Z3w8	Yes			
1193	2021-11-07 11:36:13	No	B3T1Z6	Yes			
1194	2021-11-07 11:13:44	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1197	2021-11-07 11:03:59	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	
1198	2021-11-07 11:06:07	No	b3t2a4	Yes			
1199	2021-11-07 10:51:01	No	B3T2B4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1200	2021-11-07 10:56:06	No	B3t2a7	Yes			
1201	2021-11-07 10:24:38	Yes	B3T2A4	Yes	Yes	No	If you have a system that works now, it needs to be kept and improved not removed.
1202	2021-11-07 10:37:11	No	B3T1T7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1203	2021-11-07 10:25:31	Yes	B3T2A4	Yes	Yes	No	
1205	2021-11-07 10:05:30	No	B3T2A8	Yes	Yes	No	
1206	2021-11-07 09:39:06	Yes	b3t0j3	Yes	Yes	No	There will be an increase due to the closures being pursued
1208	2021-11-07 09:43:08	No	B3T0A2	Yes			
1211	2021-11-07 09:03:00	No	B3T1W3	Yes	Yes	No	Still going to bring more
1214	2021-11-07 08:26:41	No	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	No	Bait all you want it will add substantial rodent population
1215	2021-11-07 08:21:25	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	current technologies deployed at this facility are state-of-the-art in dealing with this potential problem; proposed mitigation measures cannot conclusively determine likely impact in preventing increased rodent problem
1216	2021-11-07 08:04:04	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	Regular baiting programs should continue.
1217	2021-11-07 08:01:00	Yes	B3t1a5	Yes	Yes	No	I do not believe rat breeding is rectified with baiting, baiting also kills unintentional wild and domestic life
1219	2021-11-07 07:22:35	Yes	B3t2h9	Yes	Yes	No	More will be coming to dig through the bags!
1221	2021-11-07 06:19:51	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	Yes	No	More untreated organic waste = more food supply for rodents.
1222	2021-11-07 06:59:44	No	b2v2g5	Yes	No		
1223	2021-11-07 06:41:30	No	B3t1y6				
1224	2021-11-07 06:19:20	No	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents are attracted to where there is a food source. There has been an undeniable increase in rodents along the Prospect Rd indicating that the present method of dealing with this problem at the landfill is working. More waste will attract even more of these disease carrying rodents in our residential areas.
1225	2021-11-07 06:29:25	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	No		
1229	2021-11-03 23:07:03	Yes	B3t1n1	Yes	Yes	No	Don't believe it
1230	2021-11-07 05:57:59	No	B3T1A4	Yes			
1232	2021-11-07 05:28:05	No	B3T1R6	Yes			
1233	2021-11-06 23:19:15	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	No	There is already a huge rat problem in the area. Clearly what is already happening isn't enough, the added risk will only increase the already huge population of rodents.
1236	2021-11-06 22:34:36	Yes	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	No	We had an unusual amount of rodents at my home this past spring/summer. There was very little food waste to attract them. If there is more food waste at the landfill, I'm concerned that the rodents will procreate even more rapidly and will be forced to venture further away for food, causing an increased number of rodents in residential areas due to overpopulation in the landfill.
1239	2021-11-06 21:44:24	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1240	2021-11-06 21:09:24	Yes	B3T1P9	Yes			
1241	2021-11-06 20:51:38	No	B3T2B8	Yes	No		
1242	2021-11-06 20:24:42	No	B3T2C9	Yes	Yes		Where there are unsorted biodegradable material in dumps there is garbage. You will not know what is in the land fill. Silly measures indeed. Keep separating and there will be few rats
1244	2021-11-06 20:44:41	No	B3T0B6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1246	2021-11-04 18:10:53	Yes	B3S1N5	Yes	Yes	No	You really need to revamp your policies

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1250	2021-11-06 19:43:28	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	
1252	2021-11-06 19:10:42	No	B4a3x8	Yes	Yes	No	There will still be an increase in rodent activity, even if there are programs to deal with it. This is fundamentally unfair to residents in the area, who were promised that this wouldn't occur.
1253	2021-11-06 19:07:34	No	B3T1Y3	Yes			
1254	2021-11-06 18:59:26	Yes	B3T1P4	Yes	Yes	No	
1258	2021-11-06 18:14:13	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	Yes	Baiting may not be successful against rodents
1259	2021-11-06 18:05:21	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1260	2021-11-06 18:06:15	No	B3T2J1	Yes			
1261	2021-11-06 17:52:36	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	No	The rats are already terrible in this area. It will only get worse.
1263	2021-11-06 17:44:19	Yes	B3t1b6	Yes	Yes	No	That won't work. Even with front end loading we had rodents on a clean property
1268	2021-11-06 16:26:12	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1270	2021-11-06 16:08:55	No	b3k3m2	Yes	Yes	No	- Rats wont be limited to the direct area, they will end up in the community as well
1271	2021-11-06 16:01:23	Yes	B3T0K1	Yes	Yes	No	Recent report released by Orkin highlights Halifax in top 3 rattiest cities in Maritimes
1273	2021-11-06 15:49:32	No	B3K3M2	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting programs rarely work for eliminating rodents. Additionally, rodents will not only be drawn to the landfill site but to surrounding residential areas which then it will be dependent on the residents to manage.
1274	2021-11-06 15:34:47	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	No	I don't see how this mitigation would help.
1275	2021-11-06 15:27:16	No	B3T1S8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1276	2021-11-06 15:16:29	No	B3t0b4	Yes	Yes	No	I do not believe this stops rodents from increasing in the neighboring areas
1279	2021-11-06 14:37:38	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes			
1280	2021-11-06 14:03:21	No	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes	No	not sure it will work. Present measures are working.
1281	2021-11-06 13:24:18	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1282	2021-11-06 13:48:43	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting will be insufficient and doesn't cover the full range of area from which rats can travel
1285	2021-11-06 12:39:43	Yes	B3t1r8	Yes	Yes	No	Increased buried organics will increase rodent activity
1287	2021-11-06 12:40:43	No	B3T2H3	Yes	Yes	No	A larger open pit will simply become unmanageable
1288	2021-11-06 11:29:01	No	b3z1m3	Yes	Yes	No	Without the Processor being operational, small animals as well as rodents would be attracted to the landfill. They would be subject to the poison set out for the rodents as well.
1289	2021-11-06 11:54:35	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes	Yes	No	A baiting program is not enough.
1293	2021-11-06 11:37:59	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	No	admitted it with "baiting"....
1294	2021-11-06 11:47:56	Yes	B3S1S3	No			
1295	2021-11-06 11:35:28	No	B3t2a8	Yes	Yes	No	Your leading people
1296	2021-11-06 11:30:28	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	Will still have an increase although it may help a bit
1300	2021-11-06 09:27:36	No	B3z2r4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1301	2021-11-06 09:21:57	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	No	We voted for the landfill with these measures in place. We don't want them removed it was not what the community wanted. We did not want a landfill without these measures and still don't
1302	2021-11-06 09:06:28	Yes	B3T1T8	Yes	Yes	No	It will not be possible to mitigate due to volume of organics
1309	2021-11-06 08:30:33	Yes	B2G1S7	Yes	Yes		
1310	2021-11-06 08:28:27	No	B3T1Y8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1312	2021-11-06 08:05:22	No	B3T1X3	Yes			
1313	2021-11-06 07:45:16	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	
1314	2021-11-06 07:55:30	No	B3t1w1	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1315	2021-11-06 07:55:10	Yes	B3t0a3	Yes			
1317	2021-11-06 07:18:33	Yes	B3T0E2	Yes	Yes	No	By not sorting the organic waste and stabilizing it you are just dump food for rats into a hole!
1318	2021-11-06 06:55:39	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	I live very close to the landfill and have a huge rat problem that didn't exist before the landfill, with no sorting it will only get worse.
1319	2021-11-05 21:39:30	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	No		
1320	2021-11-06 07:02:40	No	B3r1s6	Yes	Yes	No	Better fix is to not attract them in first place
1322	2021-11-06 06:37:48	Yes	B3T0C9	Yes	No		
1323	2021-11-06 06:08:53	Yes	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	never seen so many rodents
1324	2021-11-06 06:28:02	Yes	B3T0E6	Yes	Yes	No	The population of rats continues to grow in the surrounding subdivisions. They were non existent in this area prior to the landfill.
1325	2021-11-06 06:25:14	Yes	B3t0e6	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe baiting will be successful enough to not increase the rodnt population in the area.
1328	2021-11-06 04:07:50	No	B3Z1L6	Yes	No		
1329	2021-11-06 03:37:18	No	B3T1S3	No			
1338	2021-11-05 21:06:04	Yes	b3t2c8	Yes	Yes	No	I lack the confidence that any these measures are effective. using the fluffiest words possible, all you are saying is "we will take care of it". why is our landfill revered for its efficacy if we're just going to adopt practices of lesser landfills? it's unacceptable and your optimism of dealing with these "medium risks " is naive
1341	2021-11-05 20:44:02	Yes	B3T2E1	No			
1342	2021-11-05 20:02:07	Yes	B3T0C6	Yes	Yes	No	
1343	2021-11-05 20:38:33	Yes	B3t0a2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1345	2021-11-05 19:50:42	No	B3Z2T4	Yes	Yes	No	It's better to reduce the attraction, by removing organics, than baiting rodents
1348	2021-11-03 17:59:35	No	B3L3H5	Yes			
1350	2021-11-05 19:02:54	No	B3g1a5				
1351	2021-11-05 18:58:41	No	b0p1p0	Yes	Yes		
1353	2021-11-05 18:55:55	Yes	b3t1r5	Yes			
1354	2021-11-05 18:21:58	Yes	B3t1b1				
1355	2021-11-05 17:56:09	No	B3Z2T1	Yes	No		
1356	2021-11-05 18:03:41	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1358	2021-11-05 17:57:20	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	No	The area of blt is full of rodents that started from the land fill
1359	2021-11-05 17:50:35	No	b2y2a6	Yes			
1360	2021-11-05 17:36:31	Yes	B3T1V6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1361	2021-11-05 17:30:56	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	No	What guarantees can be made that rodents will not spread to the surrounding areas? What happens if baited rodents die, then are consumed by other predators? Do they ingest the toxins as well?
1362	2021-11-05 17:36:27	No	b3t1s5	Yes	No		
1363	2021-11-05 17:37:06	Yes	B3t2e1	Yes			
1364	2021-11-05 17:19:16	No	B0J1T0	Yes	Yes	No	experience, with rats in particular
1368	2021-11-05 16:44:20	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	No	Again, the report indicates medium risk for on-site yet fails to give a concrete risk level for off-site. More garbage will attract more rodents which in turn will have a significant impact on the wildlife and ecosystem of the surrounding area. Rodents are proven to carry and spread disease quickly and if they affect nearby wildlife that is a significant environmental concern.
1369	2021-11-05 16:39:39	No	B3Z3A9	Yes	Yes	No	Bating programmes leave dead rodent bodies in every hole they crawl into to die...
1370	2021-11-05 16:51:27	Yes	B3t0j3	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1371	2021-11-02 15:24:34	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes			
1373	2021-11-05 10:05:15	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes			
1375	2021-11-05 15:39:22	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	More material, more rodents. This is a no win proposition
1376	2021-11-05 15:10:16	No	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	Again, there will be even more rodents and vermin causing more disease.
1378	2021-11-05 15:19:43	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	Increasing baiting and poisoning at the site is a negative, in my opinion. Furthermore, removing the FEP contravenes the contract between the municipality and the host communities. Shame on Council if they even consider removing the Front End Processing and waste Sroaration.
1383	2021-11-05 15:07:44	Yes	B3T2J5	Yes	Yes	No	Keep sorting as per agreement
1384	2021-11-05 15:14:54	No	B3z0n9	Yes	Yes	No	May not be enough to contain the extra rodent populations.
1385	2021-11-05 15:16:35	Yes	B3N2M3	Yes			
1388	2021-11-05 14:38:48	Yes	B3t0k5	Yes	Yes	No	
1390	2021-11-05 14:01:15	No	B3T1Z5	Yes	Yes	No	rats move around very quickly to other food source areas
1394	2021-11-05 13:27:14	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	With the increased volume of unsorted waste, more rodents are likely to appear in the community. Baiting for this much higher expected number of rodents will not be sufficient.
1395	2021-11-05 13:51:01	No	B3N1K1	Yes			
1396	2021-11-05 13:50:29	Yes	B3t1n2	Yes	Yes	No	
1401	2021-11-05 12:12:08	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	This facility was not designed for disposal and management of organic materials. Removing the front end sorting processes will increase organic waste and frequency of rodents.
1402	2021-11-05 12:48:08	No	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	No	Not sure a baiting program would be enough
1404	2021-11-05 12:05:35	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	Yes	Again with the stoppage of the fep/fsw I am concerned that rodents will increase.
1407	2021-11-05 11:41:29	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes			
1408	2021-11-05 11:18:21	Yes	B3t1r3	Yes	Yes	No	Increased food waste
1409	2021-11-05 10:54:40	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	baiting is not mitigation
1410	2021-11-05 10:57:29	Yes	B3t2a4	Yes	Yes	No	Again smoke and mirrors
1412	2021-11-05 10:58:17	No	B3Z1L8	No			
1414	2021-11-05 10:47:26	No	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1415	2021-11-05 10:51:56	No	B3t2a2	Yes			
1418	2021-11-05 10:08:10	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Timberlea is overrun with rats & rodents.
1419	2021-11-05 09:55:00	No	B3t2a2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1423	2021-11-05 09:39:03	No	B3T1R4	Yes	No		
1424	2021-11-05 09:35:05	Yes	B3t1n2	Yes	Yes	No	
1426	2021-11-05 08:35:06	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	No	That's not enough to keep the rat population in check. You need to
1427	2021-11-05 08:51:54	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Again, this has nothing to do with the resulting infestation that will happen as a result of shutting down FEP
1428	2021-11-05 08:59:17	No	B3T1R3	Yes			
1430	2021-11-05 08:42:36	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	No	It's not working now we have rodents since landfill went in
1431	2021-11-02 16:08:11	No	B3T1T4	Yes	No		
1432	2021-11-05 07:25:01	Yes	B3Z1G5	Yes	Yes	No	These measures did not work at the Sackville land fill.
1434	2021-11-05 07:11:55	No	b3t1x3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1435	2021-11-05 06:51:27	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	No	The FEP/WSP need to stay activated
1436	2021-11-04 13:34:13	No	B3t1x5	Yes			
1438	2021-11-05 06:55:40	No	B3t1v3	Yes			
1440	2021-11-05 06:39:09	No	B3T2G1	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1443	2021-11-05 06:14:43	No	B3T1R6	Yes			
1444	2021-11-05 06:08:03	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes			
1445	2021-11-05 05:51:09	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	No		
1446	2021-11-05 06:07:56	No	B3Z1H7	No			
1447	2021-11-05 05:49:07	Yes	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	
1449	2021-11-05 05:14:12	Yes	B3T2J5	Yes	Yes	No	We have noticed a recent increase of rodents in the area
1452	2021-11-05 03:46:15	No	B3T2E8	Yes	Yes	No	
1454	2021-11-05 00:08:13	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	No	Rats are a huge concern in our area already with a baiting program in place as it is. Bait works but around homes we always say to limit competing food sources (for example, don't have birdfeeders). Baiting around a dump full of more enticing foods will not be as effective as one would like.
1455	2021-11-05 00:09:42	No	B3s1k1	Yes	Yes	No	
1456	2021-11-05 00:08:07	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	
1458	2021-11-04 23:49:13	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	My house is absolutely plagued with rats (no pun intended.) I just can't believe at all that no off site impact to rodents was found. We have regular pest control service at our house and still can't get a full control of the rat problem, I can't imagine baiting an entire dump will be very effective.
1459	2021-11-04 23:20:51	Yes	B3Z1G6	Yes	Yes	Yes	It's hard to control, but every effort must be made to keep rodent levels to a minimum. Maybe introducing predators like eagles or hawks?
1462	2021-11-04 22:28:16	Yes	B3Z2L4	Yes	Yes	No	
1463	2021-11-04 22:09:56	No	B4E0N6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1464	2021-11-04 21:48:00	No	B3t2e9	Yes	Yes	No	I am concerned with the increased risk of odour, environmental impact and rodents in the area due to same
1465	2021-11-04 21:56:26	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents are already an issue in the area and damage property
1466	2021-11-04 21:34:43	No	B3T0B3	Yes	Yes	No	
1468	2021-11-04 21:36:36	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes			
1472	2021-11-04 20:31:23	Yes	B3t0c2	Yes	Yes	No	Honor the original agreement that was agree to.
1474	2021-11-04 20:14:33	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	I work in areas where rodent control is attempted with very little success, if you have more food source around the rodents, etc will come
1475	2021-11-04 20:05:11	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	No	There are many rats in my area now. We do not need any more being attracted to this area since they will not all go or stay at the dump site.
1479	2021-11-04 20:26:04	No	B4e3c1	Yes			
1480	2021-11-02 18:49:52	No	B3l2y8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1481	2021-11-04 19:18:30	No	B3T2A2	Yes	No		
1483	2021-11-04 19:46:06	Yes	B3t1a9	Yes	Yes	No	We already have a serious problem in HRM with rodents, an increase in volume of waste material that has not been accurately sorted and cleaned will cause a further problem with rodents
1485	2021-11-04 19:44:56	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	
1487	2021-11-04 19:34:08	Yes	B3t2e6	Yes			
1490	2021-11-04 19:22:44	No	B3t2l1	Yes			
1492	2021-11-04 18:58:55	Yes	B3t1r5	Yes	Yes	No	Maintaining FEP and WSF would be superior to relying on poisoning of rodents.
1493	2021-11-04 19:10:05	No	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
1494	2021-11-04 16:02:33	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	Inadequate mitigation

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1496	2021-11-04 18:13:45	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes	Yes	No	Having garbage that has food in it will increase rodent in the area as the smell and having more items that should be put in other facilities won't happen.
1498	2021-11-04 18:46:19	Yes	B3t2j3	Yes	Yes	No	Rats are already a huge issue in Beechville. These measures will not make it better. Please honour the agreement
1500	2021-11-04 18:45:44	No	B3Z1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Not enough
1503	2021-11-04 16:49:35	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes	Yes	No	Rats are already an issue in Beechville we see them in backyard on regular basis and set traps regularly. Please on the contract that was originally set up
1505	2021-11-04 18:23:48	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1510	2021-11-04 17:51:19	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Mitigations are not in alignment with the original agreement.
1515	2021-11-04 16:56:50	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
1518	2021-11-04 15:58:32	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	Within HRM and the Timberlea, Lakeside area there is already a rodent issue.
1520	2021-11-04 16:01:04	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	I still believe the proposed modifications will increase rodent activity in the area
1522	2021-11-04 16:04:31	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	Yes	No	
1524	2021-11-04 15:42:58	No	B3z1G1	Yes	Yes	No	I lived in timberlea from [REDACTED] and there was a steady increase with rodents. So when they are run out of otter lake, they go elsewhere. The bird of prey have substantially increased over the years too which is good but if rodents are poisoned then eaten by prey, it can significantly impact them.
1529	2021-11-04 15:54:09	No	B3Z2J2	No			
1531	2021-11-04 15:39:35	Yes	b3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1532	2021-11-04 15:23:19	Yes	B3t2j3	Yes	Yes	No	You should not be deactivating
1535	2021-11-04 14:35:55	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	No	Without proper sorting there will be more for for rodents. I don't believe your above mentioned solutions will handle the problem.
1536	2021-11-04 14:43:19	No	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	Same as previous question - getting rid of FEP/WSF will increase the amount of food waste and rodents will find their way to the landfill.
1537	2021-11-04 13:01:53	No	B3T2G5	Yes	Yes	No	Again, the cost of an adequate rodent disposal system will likely be more than the government is willing to pay. In addition, everywhere I know has a rodent mitigation system in place and they still have rodents. This will just make it worse. From restaurants to hospitals, anywhere you don't want rats, there are mitigation systems and yet the rats are still there.
1538	2021-11-04 14:25:53	Yes	b3t1c9	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents bring disease and I am not confident that the theoretical baiting program will offset the total increase of rodents and would need to see more data to support this
1539	2021-11-04 14:32:47	Yes	b3t2j3	Yes	Yes	No	
1541	2021-11-04 13:53:56	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting on-site will have some effect, the degree to which that will be efficacious is speculative.
1544	2021-11-04 13:58:14	No	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	
1545	2021-11-04 14:01:07	Yes	B3T1S7	Yes	Yes	No	these measures were tried in the previous landfill to no avail
1547	2021-11-04 13:51:21	No	B3T1X2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1556	2021-11-04 13:12:04	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting will have little affect in an area of this magnitude. It may mitigate some but not all of the risk. Housing developments are getting closer to this area and its concerning.
1557	2021-11-04 13:21:04	No	B3z0n4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1559	2021-11-04 12:44:00	No	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	No	
1564	2021-11-04 12:20:24	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents will be attracted to the increased organic

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1568	2021-11-04 12:11:04	Yes	B3T1K9	No			
1569	2021-11-04 11:56:31	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
1572	2021-11-04 11:40:17	No	B3t1t5	Yes	Yes	No	Rats are on the increase in the hatchet lake area
1577	2021-11-04 11:33:38	Yes	b3t1s6	Yes			
1581	2021-11-04 11:20:52	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes			
1582	2021-11-04 11:07:18	No	B3Z1L8	Yes	No		
1583	2021-11-04 11:01:06	No	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	As before.
1584	2021-11-04 10:52:21	No	b3t2I1	Yes	Yes	No	
1585	2021-11-04 10:25:11	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	No	The addition of new waste streams will only further enhance the population of rodents, current mitigation issues are not successful currently, ask the residents that live within the 4/5 kilometres of Otter Lake.
1589	2021-11-04 10:48:30	No	B3t0j9	Yes			
1590	2021-11-04 10:43:00	No	B3T2B8	Yes			
1594	2021-11-04 10:19:48	No	B3T1S8	Yes	Yes	No	Those measures almost never work as designed.
1595	2021-11-04 10:30:26	No	B3t2b1	Yes			
1596	2021-11-04 10:22:11	Yes	b3 1a2	No			
1598	2021-11-04 10:08:43	No	B3T2B9	Yes	Yes	No	
1600	2021-11-04 10:14:05	Yes	B3T0A2	Yes			
1601	2021-11-04 10:04:52	No	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	No	
1603	2021-11-04 09:29:55	Yes	B3T1g&	Yes	Yes	No	You can't control rodents once they make it off site
1605	2021-11-04 09:29:27	Yes	B3t1c9	Yes	Yes	No	
1609	2021-11-04 09:28:11	Yes	B3T5c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1613	2021-11-04 09:22:40	Yes	B3T1K5	No			
1616	2021-11-04 09:18:13	No	B3t1x2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1620	2021-11-04 09:02:11	Yes	B2T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	Current rodent problem exists fear of overloading system mitigation and little data shown to support ability to comply
1621	2021-11-04 08:29:23	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	No	
1622	2021-11-04 08:36:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	The proposed additional organic water will mice the rodent risk factor from a medium risk to a higher risk. this wil also negatively the workers at this facility
1623	2021-11-04 08:29:53	No	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	No	If "baiting" means poison, that is even worse, given its impact on other species.
1624	2021-11-04 09:01:02	No	B3T2L1	No			
1626	2021-11-04 08:57:08	Yes	B3T0C1	Yes	Yes	No	
1629	2021-11-04 08:51:26	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1631	2021-11-04 08:42:18	No	B3t2b2	Yes	No		
1634	2021-11-04 08:42:39	No	B3L2Y8	No			
1636	2021-11-04 08:12:53	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	No	adding food waste to the landfill will increase rodents.
1637	2021-11-04 08:01:23	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	No		
1638	2021-11-04 08:26:28	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	There will always be rodents in this area. With the closure there is bound to be an increase. Not convinced on this one.
1639	2021-11-04 08:04:13	Yes	B3t2g3	Yes	Yes	No	We already have a troubling rodent problem in Beechville. This will only make matters worse. The Waste Facility may implement a baiting program but they aren't going to help all individual residents when the problem spills over into our neighborhoods.
1641	2021-11-04 08:30:19	Yes	B3T2g8	Yes			
1642	2021-11-04 08:26:05	Yes	B3T0C9	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1644	2021-11-04 07:54:52	Yes	b3t1t2	Yes	Yes	No	I remember Sackville. You comment field would not take my whole comment - I guess you only want short comments? Leave it the way it is. Hopefully that is short enough....?
1648	2021-11-04 07:26:29	Yes	B3T2E5	Yes	Yes	No	I am sure traps will help mitigate the change but the rodent population can get out of control very quickly and simple traps won't be enough.
1650	2021-11-04 07:32:25	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1651	2021-11-04 06:58:27	Yes	B3T0H7	Yes	Yes	No	We are already seeing an increase in rodent activity in Timberlea, this year alone over 10 rats have been killed in our backyard.
1652	2021-11-04 06:54:36	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	I have seen rats in my neighborhood in past few years
1655	2021-11-04 06:50:55	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	Define the baiting program. So far, efforts noted are vague and not well defined
1656	2021-11-04 07:06:16	No	B3t1w5	Yes			
1658	2021-11-04 06:49:02	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes		
1659	2021-11-04 06:41:15	No	B4B1N4	Yes	Yes	No	
1660	2021-11-04 06:14:16	No	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	No	
1664	2021-11-04 06:23:22	No	B4e3b3	Yes			
1667	2021-11-04 06:05:06	No	B3t1w5	Yes			
1668	2021-11-04 06:06:06	No	B3E1M4	Yes			
1670	2021-11-04 05:27:16	No	B3POC2	Yes	No		
1671	2021-11-04 04:55:59	Yes	B3t1c2	Yes	Yes	No	The rodent population in Timberlea is already at an all time high. Higher than other areas bc of the dump like the birds nothing has ever been done to mitigate that. The leave the dump and head for the nearest other food source, our homes.
1672	2021-11-03 17:32:26	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	There will always be a large number of rodents associated with the dump, however with the possibility of increasing the amount of organic waste entering the facility the numbers will increase exponentially
1675	2021-11-03 23:41:40	Yes	B3t1b9	Yes	Yes	No	
1677	2021-11-03 22:17:23	Yes	b3t1b2	Yes	Yes	No	Medium risk is not acceptable. Not when it comes to rodents and increased organic waste.
1679	2021-11-03 22:37:56	No	B3T1V8	Yes	No		
1680	2021-11-03 18:47:54	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	As with birds rodents travel. As u indicated there are on-site impacts. If it there is an increase of rodents at the site, it is only common sense that there will be more rodents in the surrounding are. A bigger food source means it can support a bigger population and when populations grow, so does there territory. Its bloody common sense!
1681	2021-11-03 22:09:54	Yes	b3t1r4	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents are a huge problem that all dumps have, none of those measures have worked before, and they certainly won't now. Plus the dump smells.
1682	2021-11-03 22:28:41	Yes	b3t2c8	Yes			
1683	2021-11-03 22:13:15	No	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1684	2021-11-03 22:20:02	No	B3t1p7	Yes			
1689	2021-11-03 21:29:15	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	Dumping unprocessed waste, with organic matter will lure additional rodents. A baiting program is required presently, with processed waste. How can increased organic matter not increase rodent population?
1691	2021-11-03 21:39:51	Yes	B3T2J2	Yes	Yes	No	Neighbours have had issues with rodents that would not be this far from the city

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1692	2021-11-03 21:43:06	Yes	B3t2g2	Yes			
1693	2021-11-03 21:38:12	No	b3z1p7	Yes			
1694	2021-11-03 21:18:08	No	B2x2x5	Yes	No		
1695	2021-11-03 21:36:37	No	B3T1Z9	Yes			
1696	2021-11-03 21:31:39	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1697	2021-11-03 21:23:39	Yes	B3t1r3	Yes	Yes	No	Bait and kill. Hmm
1699	2021-11-03 21:20:54	No	b4c2n9	Yes			
1703	2021-11-03 20:58:03	No	B4C2Y8	Yes	No		
1706	2021-11-02 15:35:17	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	Ditto
1710	2021-11-03 20:37:25	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	
1712	2021-11-03 16:32:19	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	No	
1715	2021-11-03 20:31:53	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	Would prefer if more rodents weren't attracted in the first place to be killed.
1716	2021-11-03 20:30:40	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting does not work and is a danger to other animals
1718	2021-11-03 19:27:16	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	No	What exactly does "baiting" entail, live traps , poisons, and how do these prevent rodents from persistently being attracted to the waste ?
1720	2021-11-02 17:26:46	Yes	B3Z4B4	Yes	Yes	No	Organics not pulled and processed by the operations of FEP WSF will attract rodents.
1721	2021-11-03 20:09:07	Yes	B3T0C8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1722	2021-11-03 20:07:12	Yes	B3H0H3	Yes	Yes	No	Concerned about catching all with the baiting program
1726	2021-11-03 19:56:25	No	B3z1l7	Yes			
1728	2021-11-03 19:31:54	Yes	B3T1V1	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting programs do not have a high effective control rate and deals with a problem you're creating.
1731	2021-11-03 18:58:57	No	B3T1Y8	Yes	Yes	No	I have concerns with this statement, "no off-site impacts due to the delivery of rodents to the landfill disposal area have been identified". Identified by who and what specific measures may be implemented as there will be an increase in rodent activity.
1732	2021-11-03 19:14:51	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	They are there now! And if more food sources are readily available they are going to continue to multiply. I live in a community in close proximity to the site and in years here I have never had a problem , but last year they were abundant. So who else pay my exterminator bill ?? I'm sure it's not going to be the city. Not my issue not my problem is what HRM will say
1734	2021-11-03 19:22:20	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1735	2021-11-03 18:55:44	No	B3t2l2	Yes	Yes	No	More waste will invite more rodents.
1738	2021-11-03 19:11:22	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	
1740	2021-11-03 19:04:36	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes			
1741	2021-11-03 14:08:13	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	No		
1742	2021-11-03 18:16:24	No	B3t1t1	Yes	Yes	No	Keep it clean and rodents will not be a great issue
1747	2021-11-03 18:59:00	No	B3T1B1	No			
1748	2021-11-03 08:08:27	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
1749	2021-11-03 08:08:12	Yes	B3t1a7	No			
1750	2021-11-02 19:15:26	No	b3t1X8	No			
1752	2021-11-03 18:35:23	Yes	B3t1c2	Yes	Yes	No	Against killing
1753	2021-11-03 18:02:51	Yes	b3t1a4	Yes	Yes	No	We already have a rodent problem in Timberlea related to the landfill .It has not been addressed so I don't expect this mitigation method to be anymore successful then it is currently.
1754	2021-11-03 18:22:37	Yes	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	The FEP/WSP were promised requirements and they must stay in place.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1757	2021-11-03 18:23:20	No	B3T1V7	Yes			
1759	2021-11-03 18:11:36	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	No	We have had rodents in our area ever since Brunelleschi was constructed and city isn't doing anything to get rid of them. I can't see baiting stations being a suitable solution. I believe more rodents will be attracted
1761	2021-11-02 15:25:47	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes		
1763	2021-11-03 18:04:19	Yes	B3T2G8	Yes	Yes	No	
1764	2021-11-03 17:51:38	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	Area is too large to manage
1765	2021-11-03 17:32:12	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	No	More odor more animals
1766	2021-11-03 17:43:23	Yes	B3t2g6	Yes	Yes	No	
1767	2021-11-03 17:37:50	Yes	B3t1a4	Yes	Yes	No	There is a huge amount of rats and raccoons in this area. Way more than I've ever seen anywhere else. There needs to be more baiting and trapping in the residential areas and business areas because of the landfill.
1772	2021-11-03 17:18:54	Yes	B3T2E6	Yes	Yes	No	We already have so many rodents in our neighbourhood, this could increase those numbers
1774	2021-11-03 17:07:51	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	We are now experiencing issues with rodents from increased blasting and very concerned it will only get worse in this area.
1775	2021-11-03 17:09:25	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes			
1776	2021-11-03 17:04:04	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	
1778	2021-11-03 16:37:04	Yes	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents will be attracted to the area.
1779	2021-11-03 15:56:48	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	No	I don't see how this mitigation would help.
1781	2021-11-03 16:07:17	No	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	No	See previous comment.
1782	2021-11-03 16:39:43	No	B3T1Y9	Yes	Yes	No	
1783	2021-11-03 16:40:52	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1785	2021-11-03 16:25:58	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	This will not stop them enough
1786	2021-11-03 16:22:59	Yes	B3t1v1	Yes	Yes	No	
1788	2021-11-03 16:12:11	Yes	B3t0b6	Yes	No		
1789	2021-11-03 16:15:12	Yes	B3T1K6	Yes	Yes	No	Whatever you are doing on plan on doing it's not enough to fix the Rodent activity that's stemmed from the facility. Stop trying to make changes and honour the previous agreements!!
1793	2021-11-03 15:27:51	No	B3P2H4	Yes	Yes	No	Do baited rodents have impact on surrounding wildlife?
1795	2021-11-03 15:35:32	Yes	B3t1a9	Yes	Yes	No	Rats will increase
1797	2021-11-03 15:27:34	Yes	b3t1v8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1800	2021-11-03 15:03:42	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	No	The mitigation measures will not adequately control the infestation of rodents
1801	2021-11-03 15:06:54	Yes	B3T2G5	Yes	Yes	No	Our area is already overrun with rodents and this will only make it worse
1803	2021-11-03 14:49:21	Yes	b3t1r8	Yes	No		
1804	2021-11-03 15:04:33	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
1805	2021-11-03 15:00:10	No	B3t1p6	Yes			
1806	2021-11-03 14:46:23	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	No	Look at rodent control anywhere. If there is more garbage there are more rodents
1810	2021-11-03 14:36:34	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents will be a continuous. As are the measures for birds, this seems like a short-term bandaid.
1811	2021-11-03 14:44:03	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes			
1812	2021-11-03 14:19:31	Yes	B3t1a2	Yes		Yes	
1814	2021-11-03 14:30:20	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	No	Smoke and mirrors. The reason the community agreed to having the landfill was the contingency of these practices be in place.
1816	2021-11-03 14:01:21	Yes	B3t1t5	Yes	Yes	No	You will never address the issue with bait

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1817	2021-11-03 14:19:51	No	b3m0e2	Yes			
1818	2021-11-03 14:13:18	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	There is an increase of rodents already due to extensive construction in the area. The measures will not be enough - residents will see increased rodents.
1819	2021-11-03 13:51:16	No	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	I am concerned about the impact of de-activating the WEP/WSF. With organics not removed and stabilized, rodents may find more to attract them. BTW, "delivery of rodents" is not my concern; attraction leading to more rodents moving to the area is.
1820	2021-11-03 13:51:31	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1821	2021-11-03 13:18:01	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	Birds eating contaminated rodents.
1822	2021-11-03 11:22:47	No	B3T1X2	Yes	Yes	No	Medium risk of increase in rodents is too great, there is a terrible problem in the BLT communities already and removing the FEP & WSF will only increase this issue.
1823	2021-11-03 13:00:20	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	No	
1824	2021-11-03 12:29:44	Yes	B3T1K5	Yes	Yes	No	Rats will move to neighbouring areas as they are attracted to garbage
1825	2021-11-03 10:36:17	No	B3T1S7	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting programs' -- rat-traps, what else? Get serious.
1826	2021-11-03 11:53:58	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1827	2021-11-03 11:59:02	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting programs are insufficient
1828	2021-11-03 11:51:31	No	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	No	Mitigation plan is vague and ineffective. Why have these measures not already been implemented?
1829	2021-11-03 11:21:43	Yes	B3T2G8	Yes	Yes	No	Again: This won't make things worse - do it - things get worse - sorry! Lather, rinse, repeat
1830	2021-11-03 11:24:06	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1831	2021-11-03 10:57:07	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	Medium risk threshold for rodents is far too high and not a risk that the original agreement stipulated as being acceptable to the adjacent communities or one that would be visited upon them.
1832	2021-11-03 10:54:32	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1833	2021-11-03 10:56:41	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes	Yes	No	We already have too many rodents.
1834	2021-11-03 10:49:26	No		Yes	Yes	No	
1835	2021-11-03 10:30:15	Yes	B3t1r7	Yes	Yes	No	I don't think it will be enough if sorting measures are removed
1836	2021-11-03 10:05:16	Yes	B3t1f9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1837	2021-11-03 10:10:55	No	B3T1W6	Yes			
1838	2021-11-03 10:03:38	No	B3T2A3	Yes	No		
1839	2021-11-03 08:21:24	No	B3T1Z3	Yes	Yes	No	This is not necessary if you keep environmental measures in place. You shouldn't have to kill anything. Furthermore rodent populations spread fast.
1840	2021-11-03 08:37:51	No	b3t1v2	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting is cruel
1841	2021-11-03 08:17:55	No	B3T2B8	Yes	Yes	No	This will not work to the level needed.
1842	2021-11-03 07:31:46	Yes	B3T0B2	Yes	No		
1843	2021-11-03 06:22:06	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	Medium risk too high. 4000+ tons putrid waste direct to landfill will attract disease carrying rodents.
1844	2021-10-28 17:17:53	No	B3I2y8	Yes			
1845	2021-11-03 07:02:47	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	Untreated putrid waste to the landfill (in excess of 4000 t in 2019) will attract rodents to site and adjacent communities.
1846	2021-11-03 07:02:39	No	B3t2I2	Yes			
1847	2021-11-03 06:31:24	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	Decaying material in landfill, more than 4000 tons in 2019, will attract rodents to the area.
1848	2021-11-03 06:32:33	No	B3t2k8	Yes	Yes	No	
1849	2021-11-03 06:24:23	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1850	2021-11-03 05:21:10	Yes	B3Z1L4	Yes	No		
1851	2021-11-03 04:19:44	Yes	B3t2e3	Yes	No		
1852	2021-11-03 04:15:58	No	B3t1t4	Yes			
1853	2021-11-02 21:45:01	No	B3T1Z3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1854	2021-11-02 21:16:52	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes			
1855	2021-11-02 20:56:32	Yes	B3T1V8	Yes	No		
1856	2021-11-02 20:25:58	No	B3t1t6	Yes	Yes	No	
1857	2021-11-02 19:57:57	No	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	No	Bait is inhumane.
1858	2021-11-02 19:49:44	No	B3Z1L4	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting doesn't rid homes of rodents, especially with an influx of relocated ones. It's a vicious cycle.
1859	2021-11-02 19:27:03	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	Not good enough
1860	2021-11-02 19:00:57	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting doesn't kill the mating. Enough is enough. We are a tiny peninsula. No where to go. Push this somewhere else where we don't have people stuck with no exit.
1861	2021-11-02 18:55:04	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	No	Mitigation requires more than one strategy. Baiting cannot be relied upon.
1862	2021-11-02 18:56:23	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	Yes	No	
1863	2021-11-02 18:11:46	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	The sorter already manages this issue by preventing the attraction of rodents in the first place.
1864	2021-11-02 18:15:33	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes	No	
1865	2021-11-02 17:30:20	Yes	B3T1Z5	Yes	Yes	No	
1866	2021-11-02 16:40:23	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	We have baits and traps where I work and we still have rodent issues and that's at a health centre bait and traps will not be enough for a landfill - rodents will not go for bait in a trap when there's a mountain of possibility in the landfill
1867	2021-11-02 14:34:16	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	Yes	No	With no processing of residential waste through the FEP & WSF, who can predict what measures will have to be taken if a 'truck & dump' program is adopted.
1868	2021-11-02 15:49:35	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	No		
1869	2021-11-02 15:14:23	No	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1870	2021-11-02 15:23:31	No		Yes			
1871	2021-11-02 15:02:14	No	B3t1v7	Yes			
1872	2021-11-02 14:48:27	No	B3M0P2	Yes	Yes	No	More food more rodents.
1873	2021-11-02 14:43:09	Yes	B3T1P8	Yes	Yes	No	
1874	2021-11-02 14:11:50	No	B3M0P2	Yes			
1875	2021-11-02 14:38:17	Yes	B3T1r8	Yes	Yes	No	
1876	2021-11-02 14:29:17	No	B4A1P3	Yes	No		
1877	2021-11-02 14:02:42	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1878	2021-11-02 14:28:39	No	B3T1R5	Yes			
1879	2021-11-02 14:24:25	No	B3T0H6	Yes			
1880	Email/Mail-In	No	B3T2C1	Yes	Yes	No	I do not believe all rodents will be controlled, especially after the FEP is closed.
1881	Email/Mail-In	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	No	Risk of rodents will be increased with elimination of current procedures.
1882	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	There is a rodent problem now in our community. Has increased over the past five years. So whatever is being scare now sosuitioorle. With the under estimated increase of unchecked waste to the landfill, I can only expect rodent volume to increase.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1883	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T0H3	Yes	Yes	No	Statement refers to "delivery of rodents". Exposure of trash will attract rodents. Greater breeding/increased number potential for spreading to outlying areas/housing.
1884	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3M4M8	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting is an attempt to control rodents will not be effective. Elimination of a food source (i.e. removal of potential food sources) is the only proven method. As a worker in the Halifax food/restaurant industry I have had to deal with rats and mice in the workplace. Traps and poison baiting DOES NOT WORK. Removal of their food sources like trash food waste is the only proven method.
1885	Email/Mail-In	No	B3Z3T6	Yes	Yes	No	Does the baiting of rodents actually keep them away or attract them to the bait stations?
1886	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	No	Redundant. We should have a regular culling program to eliminate all rodents, rather than trapping and moving.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1	2021-11-08 15:25:09	No	B3H1P7	Yes			
2	2021-12-05 09:24:23	Yes	B3T0I6	Yes	Yes	No	
3	2021-12-04 14:41:55	No	B3T1R9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
4	2021-12-04 14:23:40	No	B3T1z8	Yes	Yes	No	
7	2021-12-04 11:44:01	No	B3L4B2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	Maintain FEP/WSF at Otter Lake
12	2021-12-03 22:10:00	No	B3Z2B3	Yes	Yes	No	The mitigation measures are not as effective as maintaining the FEP/WSF. This survey is not actually a balanced survey. It only presents the HRM/Staff/Mirror perspective as evidence and none of the concerns of the Community Monitoring Committee or others.
13	2021-12-03 22:23:07	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	No	
14	2021-12-03 20:49:08	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	No		
15	2021-12-03 21:32:54	Yes	B3T2E6	Yes	Yes	No	I know how much dust I have in my home just from the increased traffic from a new subdivision. This is not going to work the way you say it will
16	2021-12-03 21:32:46	Yes	B3t2I7	Yes			
17	2021-11-11 16:41:08	No	B3L3H5	Yes	Yes	No	What happens if traffic increases as a result of the unexpected return of ICI garbage to Otter Lake? Current mitigation efforts could be inadequate.
18	2021-12-03 20:43:54	No	b3t2c8	Yes	Yes	Yes	There should be a somewhat option or unsure rather than just yes or no.
23	2021-12-03 19:10:31	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	No		
24	2021-12-03 17:39:37	Yes	B3T0E2	Yes	Yes	No	
25	2021-12-03 16:56:45	No	B3T1R6	Yes	No		
26	2021-12-03 16:22:18	No	B3T1S5	Yes	No		
27	2021-12-03 16:14:52	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	Yes	No	
28	2021-11-14 12:30:57	No	B3T1R8	Yes			
29	2021-11-04 13:54:59	No	B3T2L2	Yes	No		
30	2021-11-25 10:10:19	No	B3T1R7	Yes	No		
35	2021-11-07 19:41:27	No	B3T1W9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
36	2021-12-03 11:26:34	No	B3M0P2	Yes	Yes	No	garbage creates more dust especially in untreated state
37	2021-11-13 18:39:52	No	B3T1S5	Yes			
38	2021-12-03 11:25:23	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	No		
40	2021-12-03 10:45:24	Yes	B3T1X5	Yes	No		
41	2021-12-03 10:52:22	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	No		
42	2021-12-03 10:24:39	No	B3t1t9	Yes	No		
44	2021-12-03 10:05:40	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	dust can still travel
46	2021-12-03 09:43:58	No	B3T2A6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
47	2021-12-03 09:26:22	Yes	Be32e3	Yes	No		
48	2021-12-03 09:40:25	Yes	B3T0J8	Yes	No		
50	2021-12-03 08:45:22	No	B3T2E3	Yes			
51	2021-12-03 08:41:31	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	No	If the commitment not close this portion of the facility, additional measures would be need and again if you gave the full information about the impacts like how many additional trucks are you talking about would be helpful.
52	2021-12-03 07:53:12	No	B3t1s2	Yes			
53	2021-12-03 07:27:56	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
54	2021-12-03 06:41:04	Yes	B3T1P8	Yes	No		
55	2021-12-03 06:21:35	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes			
56	2021-12-03 05:59:48	Yes	B3t2e3	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
57	2021-11-02 19:50:36	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes			
58	2021-12-03 05:42:11	No	B3T2A4	Yes			
59	2021-12-03 05:14:46	No	B3t1x8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
63	2021-12-02 19:14:47	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	No	
64	2021-12-02 16:48:06	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	No	No	
66	2021-11-21 16:12:36	No	B3t1v3	Yes	Yes	No	Roads are still dirty and bad in that area
67	2021-12-02 13:35:06	Yes	B3r1s9	Yes			
68	2021-12-02 11:42:23	No	B3T1Z9	Yes	No		
70	2021-12-02 07:57:42	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	
71	2021-12-01 19:13:58	No	B2Y4A6	Yes	No		
72	2021-12-01 18:24:02	No	B3t1v8	Yes	No		
73	2021-12-01 17:36:29	No	B3T1Y8	Yes	No		
75	2021-12-01 16:52:37	No	B3T2G1	Yes	Yes	No	
77	2021-12-01 13:26:19	No	B3T1S7	Yes			
78	2021-12-01 11:03:14	Yes	B3K1K7	Yes	Yes	No	
79	2021-12-01 10:08:17	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
81	2021-12-01 05:17:42	No	B3T1Y3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
83	2021-11-30 20:30:42	No	B3T1W1	Yes			
84	2021-11-30 20:19:47	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	No		
85	2021-11-30 19:43:10	No	B3T1Z7	No			
86	2021-11-30 19:28:18	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	It is not controlled and will only get worse
87	2021-11-30 18:50:05	Yes	B3z1I4	Yes	No		
89	2021-11-30 16:53:09	Yes	B3z4b6	No			
91	2021-11-30 16:30:32	No	B3T1T6	Yes	No		
92	2021-11-30 12:20:04	Yes	B3T0C3	Yes	Yes	No	
93	2021-11-30 11:57:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes			
94	2021-11-30 08:30:28	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	No		
95	2021-11-30 06:31:37	Yes	B3t0e5	Yes	No		
96	2021-11-30 05:48:46	Yes	B3t0e2	Yes	No		
97	2021-11-30 05:11:25	Yes	B3T0C5	Yes	No		
98	2021-11-30 04:26:12	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	I have zero trust in the word of those compiling this survey based on the trust which has been broken due to the proposed broken CONTRACT to close the FEP/WEF
100	2021-11-06 19:45:28	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	
102	2021-11-29 19:03:16	Yes	B3z3w9	Yes	Yes	No	My concern is that I am waistline my time on a questionnaire that should not even be on anyone's radar. I am on question 18 and still have not been asked whether or not I agree with changes being proposed.
103	2021-11-29 15:31:26	No	B3M3G9	Yes	Yes	No	Less traffic as per current t operations is better for everyone.
104	2021-11-29 12:49:54	No	b3t1t8	Yes			
105	2021-11-29 12:23:08	No	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
106	2021-11-29 12:47:42	No	B3T2L1	Yes	No		
111	2021-11-29 08:34:14	No		No			
112	2021-11-29 07:44:36	No	B3T1T9	Yes	No		
113	2021-11-28 22:36:07	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	While I commend the measures mentioned to mitigate mud, the concern being discussed here is dust. Vehicles on dry roads will create dust. Not so on wet ones. A good quality, well maintained road using gravel may control both, but that depends on the quality of the gravel (no fines in it).
114	2021-11-25 09:38:50	No	B3H2Y7	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
116	2021-11-28 11:02:50	No	b3t1z4	Yes	No		
118	2021-11-28 08:58:19	No	B3T1W7	Yes	No		
122	2021-11-27 17:43:03	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	No		
123	2021-11-27 16:20:14	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
124	2021-11-27 16:03:53	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	
125	2021-11-27 10:01:50	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	No		
126	2021-11-27 10:57:24	No	B3a2l8	Yes	No		
127	2021-11-27 10:26:39	No	b2w2a8	Yes	No		
128	2021-11-27 10:09:45	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes			
129	2021-11-27 08:52:29	No	B3z1e2	No			
133	2021-11-26 14:55:51	No	B2W0H6	Yes			
134	2021-11-26 14:49:36	No	b3m0n1	Yes	No		
135	2021-11-26 14:00:24	No	B3T1W7	Yes	No		
136	2021-11-26 13:20:12	No	B2W0L2	Yes	No		
137	2021-11-26 12:19:20	No	B2Y4J5	Yes	Yes	No	I believe the commercial haulers will be fine but private individuals aren't equipped to deal with garbage like most experienced companies. YOU KNOW THAT!!!
138	2021-11-26 10:16:40	No	B2Y3M9	Yes			
139	2021-11-26 09:18:44	No	B3T2A5	Yes			
140	2021-11-26 07:46:03	No	b3a4H4	Yes	No		
141	2021-11-26 06:44:20	No	B4A4J8	No			
142	2021-11-26 05:29:08	No	0	Yes	Yes	Yes	
143	2021-11-26 04:10:09	No	B3P2S4	Yes	No		
144	2021-11-25 19:23:32	No	b3k1r3	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
145	2021-11-25 22:39:53	No	B3B1W2	Yes	No		
146	2021-11-25 22:23:40	Yes	B3t2h6	Yes	Yes	No	
147	2021-11-25 22:05:39	No	B2Y1B3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
148	2021-11-25 22:18:49	No	B2x2e5	No			
149	2021-11-25 21:37:53	No	B3M1V1	Yes	No		
150	2021-11-25 21:37:15	No	B3Z1H4	Yes			
151	2021-11-25 21:18:21	No	B3Z1V9	Yes	No		
152	2021-11-25 21:23:33	No	B3m3b6	No			
153	2021-11-25 17:57:49	Yes	B3T1T3	Yes	No		
154	2021-11-25 18:08:41	Yes	B3T1B6	Yes			
155	2021-11-25 18:02:31	No	B3H4B2	Yes	No		
158	2021-11-25 16:58:07	No	B4b1n7	Yes	Yes	No	Calcium maybe for dust control?
159	2021-11-25 16:54:01	No	B2V2J9	No			
160	2021-11-25 15:58:11	No	b3n3j2	No			
162	2021-11-25 14:24:02	No	B3Z1H1	Yes	No		
163	2021-11-25 13:41:47	No	B3z3v7	Yes	No		
164	2021-11-25 13:13:06	Yes	b3T2E6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
165	2021-11-25 11:09:27	No	B2X2H6	Yes	Yes	No	naturally-sourced dust suppressant (Tembec) is very misleading - as far as I can tell, it would probably be sodium (or other) lignosulfonate, which comes as a byproduct of pulp production (truly a natural approach with no side effects!). No specific concerns other than misleading description.
166	2021-11-25 11:51:38	No	B3Z1L3	Yes	Yes	No	You only have visit a similar facility with the exact same mitigation efforts to understand that dust will remain a significant issue.
167	2021-11-25 11:56:50	No	B3L3H3	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
171	2021-11-25 10:09:06	Yes	B3M3K4	Yes			
173	2021-11-25 09:10:29	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	No		
174	2021-11-25 09:25:03	Yes	B3t1s8	Yes	No		
175	2021-11-24 11:06:59	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
176	2021-11-05 17:13:07	No		Yes			
177	2021-11-25 08:59:48	No	B4B1M7	Yes	No		
178	2021-11-25 07:53:11	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes	No		
179	2021-11-25 08:41:02	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	Yes	No	
180	2021-11-10 11:11:55	Yes	B3T0B4	Yes	No		
182	2021-11-25 07:32:55	No	B3Z1K3	Yes	No		
183	2021-11-25 07:15:20	No	B4A1J6	Yes	No		
184	2021-11-25 07:12:12	No	B3T1Z2	Yes	No		
186	2021-11-25 07:00:57	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	No	No	
188	2021-11-25 06:38:15	No	B3L1J9	Yes			
189	2021-11-25 06:37:18	No	B3Z2N2	No			
190	2021-11-25 05:43:05	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	No		
191	2021-11-25 05:43:56	No	B2W2K3	Yes	No		
193	2021-11-24 21:10:46	No	B3Z1M2	Yes	No		
194	2021-11-24 18:22:24	Yes	B3T0C2	Yes	Yes	No	
195	2021-11-24 18:31:49	No	B3t1v1	Yes	No		
196	2021-11-17 18:34:09	No	B3T1v1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
197	2021-11-24 16:23:03	No	B3T1R3	Yes	No		
199	2021-11-24 12:20:19	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	No		
200	2021-11-24 07:49:10	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
202	2021-11-24 09:29:56	No	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
204	2021-11-05 20:14:01	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
205	2021-11-24 08:12:11	Yes	B3T1W6	Yes	No		
207	2021-11-24 07:19:53	No	B3Z1L4	Yes	No		
208	2021-11-24 06:47:37	Yes	B3T2a5	Yes	No		
209	2021-11-24 06:17:21	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	No		
212	2021-11-16 20:30:04	No	B3m4k9	Yes			
213	2021-11-23 15:04:58	No	B3T2L6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
214	2021-11-23 17:13:18	No	B3T1X5	Yes	No		
215	2021-11-23 16:32:43	No	B3Z1L7	Yes	No		
220	2021-11-23 14:41:29	Yes	B3t1s6	Yes	Yes	No	Honor the contract!
222	2021-11-14 09:01:40	No	B3T1V4	Yes	No		
223	2021-11-23 12:35:06	Yes	B3Z0K9	Yes	Yes	No	
225	2021-11-05 18:01:51	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes		
226	2021-11-23 11:34:32	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	No		
227	2021-11-23 11:53:20	No	B3T2B2	Yes	Yes	No	
228	2021-11-23 10:59:54	No	B3T1W1	Yes			
229	2021-11-23 11:00:22	No	B3T1X4	Yes			
230	2021-11-05 06:42:04	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	No		
231	2021-11-23 09:12:02	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
232	2021-11-23 07:54:02	No	B4g1g3	Yes	No		
233	2021-11-23 08:49:27	No	B3t2c9	Yes			
234	2021-11-23 08:34:21	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes			
235	2021-11-15 06:30:45	No	B3T2H2	Yes	Yes	No	There will be more vehicle trips and therefore more dust.
238	2021-11-22 17:35:24	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	Dust is a common concern within any landfill establishment and is not easily rectified.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
240	2021-11-22 16:33:36	Yes	B3t0b2	Yes	Yes	No	
242	2021-11-22 15:15:31	No	B4E2R3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
243	2021-11-22 09:15:10	No		Yes	No		
244	2021-11-22 15:09:14	No	B3T2B5	No			
247	2021-11-22 14:29:02	Yes	B3N3J3	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
248	2021-11-22 13:25:02	No	B3T1V4	Yes			
249	2021-11-17 07:19:08	No		Yes			
250	2021-11-22 11:58:25	No	b3t1z1	Yes	No		
251	2021-11-22 10:57:01	No	B3T1X2	Yes	No		
253	2021-11-22 08:56:13	No	B3T1V8	Yes	No		
254	2021-11-22 09:11:43	No	B3t1w3	Yes	No		
255	2021-11-04 16:24:30	No		Yes	No		
257	2021-11-08 07:34:24	No		Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
258	2021-11-22 07:46:11	No		Yes	No		
259	2021-11-21 19:13:42	No	B3T1Y6	Yes	Yes	No	more trucks = more dust generation
260	2021-11-21 21:35:36	Yes	B3T2H7	Yes	No		
263	2021-11-21 20:04:16	No	B3T2B3	Yes	Yes	No	
264	2021-11-21 20:02:01	No	b3n1t3	No			
265	2021-11-21 19:28:10	No	B3Z3W8	Yes	Yes		
266	2021-11-21 10:54:40	No	B3T0B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
267	2021-11-21 09:15:00	No	B3T1Z8	Yes			
268	2021-11-21 08:38:26	Yes	B3Z1M9	Yes	No		
269	2021-11-21 08:34:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes			
270	2021-11-21 08:08:50	No	B3T1Y6	Yes			
272	2021-11-21 06:37:30	Yes	B3t1s6				
275	2021-11-20 22:19:47	No	B3Z1C7	Yes	No		
276	2021-11-20 22:13:14	No	B3t2b8	Yes			
277	2021-11-20 21:39:54	No	B3T2C9	Yes	No		
278	2021-11-20 20:55:18	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	No		
279	2021-11-20 18:20:13	No	B3T2L1	Yes	No		
281	2021-11-20 14:33:02	No	B3T1X2	Yes	No		
284	2021-11-20 13:01:56	No	B3T1T8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
285	2021-11-20 09:52:20	No	b3t2a4	Yes	No		
287	2021-11-20 06:22:00	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	Yes		
288	2021-11-20 05:22:39	Yes	B3T2L4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
289	2021-11-19 22:45:53	No	B3t1w5	Yes	Yes	No	The extra cost of dust suppression and pollution that creates off sets the benefits in my opinion.
292	2021-11-19 19:55:05	Yes	B3t1a5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
293	2021-11-19 20:00:21	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes			
297	2021-11-19 17:40:58	Yes	B3t2l6	Yes	No		
300	2021-11-19 16:24:10	Yes	B3t2e8	Yes	No		
301	2021-11-19 15:30:49	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes	No		
302	2021-11-19 14:41:19	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
304	2021-11-19 13:53:50	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes			
306	2021-11-19 13:17:35	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	
307	2021-11-19 12:52:56	Yes	B3T1S8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
309	2021-11-19 13:13:25	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	No		
310	2021-11-19 12:09:54	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
312	2021-11-19 11:21:59	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
313	2021-11-19 12:01:38	Yes	B3t0e2	Yes	No		
314	2021-11-19 11:37:55	Yes	B3T0B3	Yes	No		
315	2021-11-19 11:40:51	No	b4e3j4	No			
316	2021-11-19 11:05:54	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	No	You just showed a vehicle kicking up dust...
317	2021-11-19 10:51:58	No	B3t2a4	Yes	Yes	No	Trucks and autos still need to get to the dump. Roads also have gravel sides and traffic veers off the road throwing up dust.
328	2021-11-19 09:26:04	No	B3t1s4	Yes	No		
329	2021-11-19 09:23:53	No	B3T1T7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
330	2021-11-19 09:18:34	No	B3T2E3	No			
331	2021-11-03 14:14:39	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	
332	2021-11-19 09:07:23	No	B3z3x7	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
336	2021-11-19 06:57:40	No	B3t1s7	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
340	2021-11-16 06:28:52	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
342	2021-11-18 13:01:08	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste should not be buried in a landfill
343	2021-11-06 11:19:16	Yes	B3T1S3	Yes			
344	2021-11-18 08:13:25	No	B3Z1S1	Yes			
348	2021-11-17 22:58:07	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	No		
349	2021-11-17 22:09:54	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes			
350	2021-11-17 21:58:13	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes			
351	2021-11-17 20:45:05	No	B3T1V4				
352	2021-11-17 16:59:54	No	B3T2B7	Yes	No		
353	2021-11-17 18:51:05	No	B3T1R7	Yes	No		
354	2021-11-17 18:35:15	Yes	B3T1T1	Yes	No		
356	2021-11-17 17:37:03	Yes	B3T1Z1	Yes	No		
358	2021-11-17 17:32:46	No	B3t1p9	Yes	No		
359	2021-11-17 16:50:01	No	B3t1z5	Yes	No		
361	2021-11-17 08:21:13	No	B3T0J9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
362	2021-11-17 16:12:46	Yes	B3T1Z5	Yes	No		
364	2021-11-17 14:48:48	No	B3T1Z7	Yes	Yes	No	Modification of the original design of the FEP/WSF is not in the best interest of the environment, the residents, or the terms of agreement agreed upon when the facility was permitted to open. Removal/deactivation of the FEP/WSF would be a reason for cancelling the agreement and deactivation of the entire waste facility.
366	2021-11-17 14:03:17	No	B3T1S3	Yes	No		
368	2021-11-17 12:56:04	No	B3T2A3	Yes	No		
369	2021-11-17 12:39:30	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes	No		
372	2021-11-17 10:06:34	Yes	B3T2B4	Yes	No		
373	2021-11-17 09:17:34	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	Have you even read the msds sheet for this product
374	2021-11-17 08:29:21	No	B3L2X9	Yes			
375	2021-11-17 07:34:30	No	B3Z1L7	Yes	No		
378	2021-11-17 02:20:42	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	No		
379	2021-11-16 22:06:39	No	B3T2C8	Yes	No		
380	2021-11-16 21:10:18	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	No		
381	2021-11-16 21:18:47	No	B3t1t8	Yes			
382	2021-11-16 21:09:04	No	B4E0H1	Yes			
384	2021-11-06 11:35:33	No	B3T1S6	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
387	2021-11-16 20:28:47	No	B3T2A8	Yes	No		
388	2021-11-16 20:08:46	No	b4e0c5	Yes	Yes	No	
389	2021-11-16 19:53:05	Yes	b3t1m6	Yes	No		
391	2021-11-16 19:17:01	Yes	B3t1v6	Yes	No		
392	2021-11-16 17:11:00	Yes	B3t1z6	Yes			
394	2021-11-16 16:57:48	Yes	B3T1K6	Yes	Yes	No	
395	2021-11-16 16:47:46	No	B3T1V3	Yes			
396	2021-11-16 16:26:13	No	b3r1y2	Yes	Yes	No	don't change a thing.
397	2021-11-16 16:09:29	No	B3T1P7	Yes	No		
398	2021-11-16 14:32:29	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	No		
402	2021-11-16 13:43:14	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	
403	2021-11-16 13:20:21	No	B3T2A5	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
404	2021-11-16 13:04:35	Yes	B3T1T1	Yes	No		
405	2021-11-16 13:08:17	No	B3.2g6	Yes	No		
407	2021-11-16 12:16:47	Yes	B3T1R9	Yes	Yes	No	look at the roads around the facilities now! they are as much potholes and gravel as they are asphalt, the dust generated is enormous and your abatement will be non existant.
409	2021-11-16 12:01:52	No	B3T2A3	Yes	No		
410	2021-11-16 11:28:33	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	No	
411	2021-11-15 19:57:12	No	B3T1Z6	Yes			
412	2021-11-16 11:13:28	No	B3T1T4	Yes	No		
413	2021-11-16 10:54:56	No	B3T1R2	Yes	No		
418	2021-11-08 04:39:24	No	B3t2b9	No			
419	2021-11-16 09:20:25	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	
420	2021-11-16 09:14:25	Yes	B3TOJ4	Yes	Yes	No	
421	2021-11-16 08:16:26	No	B3T1R1	Yes	No		
422	2021-11-16 08:02:51	No	B3T2A6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
423	2021-11-16 07:03:38	No	B3T1S3	Yes	No		
425	2021-11-16 07:17:23	No	B4B0V3	No			
427	2021-11-16 06:40:08	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	No		
428	2021-11-16 05:15:53	No	B3t1r8	Yes	Yes	Yes	I am not worried about the dust now. I am still worried about increased exhaust fumes
429	2021-11-16 03:50:44	No	b3t1r2	Yes			
432	2021-11-15 22:24:58	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes			
433	2021-11-15 21:42:51	No	B3z1k6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
436	2021-11-15 21:31:56	No	b3t0h5	Yes			
439	2021-11-15 20:24:57	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
442	2021-11-15 20:01:15	Yes	B3j1b6	Yes			
443	2021-11-15 19:21:38	No	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	What about the debris that the trucks will drop from their truck? The litter ensuing might produce other concerns.
444	2021-11-15 19:19:56	Yes	B3T0C5	Yes	No		
445	2021-11-15 19:23:32	No	B3M2Z8	Yes			
447	2021-11-15 19:20:01	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes	No		
448	2021-11-15 19:18:50	Yes	B3T0C5	Yes			
449	2021-11-15 18:52:28	No	B3T1S7	Yes	No		
451	2021-11-15 19:07:14	Yes	B3T0C3	Yes	Yes	No	
453	2021-11-09 17:16:13	Yes	B3T1S4	Yes	No		
454	2021-11-15 18:12:10	No	B3T2E4	Yes			
456	2021-11-15 18:00:43	No	B3m1b3	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
457	2021-11-15 17:07:16	No	B3T1S7	Yes	No		
459	2021-11-15 16:33:39	Yes	b3t1n1	Yes	Yes		
460	2021-11-15 15:34:49	Yes	B3T1V2	Yes	No		
463	2021-11-04 13:14:52	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes	No		
467	2021-11-15 15:05:11	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	No		
468	2021-11-15 14:28:53	No	B3T1T5	Yes	Yes	No	I'm concerned with everything related to th deactivation of the current FEP
469	2021-11-15 14:37:16	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	No		
470	2021-11-04 13:58:09	No	B3t2l1	Yes	Yes	No	
472	2021-11-15 13:06:30	Yes	B3T1P4	Yes			
474	2021-11-15 11:55:02	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	No		
475	2021-11-15 12:15:51	Yes	B3t1t5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
476	2021-11-15 11:06:37	Yes	B3T1A2	Yes	No		
477	2021-11-15 11:09:54	No	B3t1z9	Yes	No		
478	2021-11-02 15:44:15	No	B3t1z9	Yes	No		
479	2021-11-15 10:58:42	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	No		
482	2021-11-15 10:47:45	No	B3T2L1	Yes	No		
483	2021-11-15 10:38:04	No	B3t1z9	Yes	No		
487	2021-11-15 08:33:12	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	
488	2021-11-15 09:26:01	No		Yes			
490	2021-11-15 08:50:46	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	When we met with the city prior to the installation of this waste facility, we were given promises regarding capping the cells, sorting waste, no odor, etc. We have Dust now, what you are proposing will make it worse. Is a promise made to residents not binding on future Municipal Governments??
491	2021-11-15 08:35:24	No	B4A0G5	Yes	No		
492	2021-11-15 08:16:23	No	B3T1W2	Yes	No		
493	2021-11-15 08:06:45	Yes	B3P2S2	Yes	Yes	No	Keep the FEP/WSF. Do not deactivate.
494	2021-11-15 07:51:27	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	No		
496	2021-11-15 06:25:23	No	b2y2k5	Yes	Yes	No	
497	2021-11-15 06:30:42	No	b3t1s6	Yes			
498	2021-11-15 06:04:16	No	B4A4G9	Yes			
499	2021-11-15 06:00:23	No	B3T1Z4	Yes			
501	2021-11-15 05:17:48	No	B3T2B6	Yes			
502	2021-11-15 04:23:45	No	B3T2B6	Yes			
503	2021-11-14 22:48:09	Yes	B3T1T3	Yes	Yes	No	Need proper paving & widening shoulders to prevent long vehicles especially from off roading.
504	2021-11-14 23:28:13	Yes	B3t1s4	Yes	No		
505	2021-11-14 21:41:33	No	B3L4E9	Yes	No		
507	2021-11-14 21:08:08	Yes	B3Z2R2	Yes			
508	2021-11-14 18:53:01	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	Yes	No	This is full of weasel words ("used as necessary") that make no concrete assurances that dust shall not increase. Unacceptable.
509	2021-11-14 19:24:07	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	No		
510	2021-11-14 19:06:31	No	B3z2j2	Yes	Yes		
511	2021-11-14 18:40:50	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	No		
512	2021-11-14 18:24:35	No	B3z1c5	Yes			
513	2021-11-14 17:48:16	Yes	B3t1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
514	2021-11-14 17:24:20	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	No		
515	2021-11-14 17:13:33	No	B3t1z1	Yes	No		
517	2021-11-14 17:03:48	No	B3T2B7	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
518	2021-11-14 16:51:46	No	B3t2c8	Yes	No		
519	2021-11-14 16:42:02	No	B3T2a3	Yes	No		
520	2021-11-14 16:19:13	Yes	B3t1w1	Yes	No		
521	2021-11-14 16:13:51	No	B3t2h8	Yes	No		
522	2021-11-14 15:41:54	No	B3Z1X7	Yes	No		
523	2021-11-06 17:49:33	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes			
524	2021-11-14 15:16:45	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	No		
525	2021-11-14 14:28:12	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes	Yes	No	
526	2021-11-14 14:13:29	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	
527	2021-11-14 12:59:52	No	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	
529	2021-11-14 12:32:35	Yes	B3T1T7	Yes	No		
530	2021-11-14 12:47:29	No	B3t2a8	No			
531	2021-11-14 12:46:53	Yes	b3t1a9	Yes			
532	2021-11-14 12:15:28	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	No	It still concerns that it isn't enough.
533	2021-11-14 12:23:24	Yes	b3t1a5	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
534	2021-11-14 11:50:10	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	No		
535	2021-11-14 10:54:56	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	No		
537	2021-11-14 10:24:51	No	B3A2Y6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
538	2021-11-08 06:46:30	Yes	b3t3c9	Yes	Yes	No	
539	2021-11-14 09:41:02	No	b3t2b4	Yes	No		
540	2021-11-14 09:23:15	No	B3L1W1	Yes	No		
541	2021-11-14 09:02:04	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	No		
542	2021-11-14 09:01:11	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes	No	
543	2021-11-14 07:47:50	Yes	B3T1V2	Yes	No		
544	2021-11-14 07:20:45	Yes	B3T1A7	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
545	2021-11-13 19:53:48	Yes	B3T0B6	Yes	Yes	No	
548	2021-11-14 07:04:09	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	
549	2021-11-07 15:48:37	Yes	B3t1w1	Yes	Yes	No	
550	2021-11-14 06:41:46	Yes	B3t0b3	Yes	Yes	No	
551	2021-11-14 06:26:48	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe
552	2021-11-14 06:22:05	No	B3k1x3	Yes			
553	2021-11-14 04:19:11	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
554	2021-11-14 04:17:16	No	B4B0Y6	Yes			
555	2021-11-14 02:03:54	Yes	B3t0l3	Yes	No		
556	2021-11-14 01:17:35	Yes	B3T2J3	Yes	No		
557	2021-11-14 01:10:03	Yes	B3t1k6	Yes	No		
558	2021-11-13 23:39:07	No	B3Z0E7	Yes			
561	2021-11-13 22:03:06	No	B3T2B4	Yes			
562	2021-11-13 21:58:46	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	No		
563	2021-11-13 21:07:26	No	B3T1V8	Yes	No		
565	2021-11-13 20:50:36	No	B3N1E3				
568	2021-11-13 19:12:12	Yes	B3R1R4	Yes	No		
569	2021-11-13 18:36:52	No	B3T1W7	Yes	Yes	No	Once again, more spending to mitigate what you want to take away, more pollution for the people who were promised that the FEP/WSP would be maintained
570	2021-11-13 18:56:22	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes			
573	2021-11-13 09:09:40	No		Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
576	2021-11-13 16:34:10	No	B3T1W2	Yes	No		
577	2021-11-13 16:15:03	Yes	B3Z1E8	Yes	No		
578	2021-11-13 16:12:11	No	B3T1V7	Yes	No		
579	2021-11-13 14:52:37	Yes	B3T1W9	Yes	No		
580	2021-11-13 15:28:04	No	B3T1S6	Yes	No		
581	2021-11-13 15:35:18	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes			
582	2021-11-13 15:09:51	Yes	B3T0C7	Yes	Yes	No	We don't need more crap to breathe in.
584	2021-11-13 14:20:55	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes	Yes	No	
585	2021-11-13 14:05:39	No	B3t1s3	Yes	Yes	No	They come in uncovered
586	2021-11-13 13:03:09	Yes	B3t1t7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
587	2021-11-13 12:49:35	Yes	B3t2h9	Yes			
588	2021-11-13 12:12:35	Yes	B3T1W2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
589	2021-11-13 12:34:33	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	No		
590	2021-11-13 12:34:26	No	B3T1R2	Yes	No		
591	2021-11-12 10:59:07	No	B3T1W3	Yes	Yes	No	
592	2021-11-13 11:45:10	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Increased dust cannot be contained. Today they say they'll use these measures, in two years there will be a recommendation to stop because it costs money. Anything that is promised will be removed. Same as the trash sorter.
594	2021-11-13 11:35:51	No	B3T2A6	Yes	No		
595	2021-11-13 11:23:25	No	B3t1s1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
596	2021-11-13 11:27:25	No	B3T1W9	Yes	No		
598	2021-11-13 09:13:42	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	No		
599	2021-11-13 10:52:22	Yes	B3t1v8	Yes			
601	2021-11-04 08:30:06	No	B3t1y6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
602	2021-11-13 09:10:48	No	B3T1R6	Yes	No		
603	2021-11-13 08:49:57	Yes	B3T0H6	Yes			
605	2021-11-08 10:53:05	Yes	B3T1T8	Yes	Yes	No	
607	2021-11-13 08:42:24	No	B3T1T9	Yes	No		
608	2021-11-13 08:43:32	No	B3T1V8	Yes			
609	2021-11-13 08:37:22	No	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
613	2021-11-13 08:01:46	No	B3T1T3	Yes			
614	2021-11-13 07:52:06	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	No		
615	2021-11-13 07:29:43	Yes	B3Z0N9	Yes	Yes	No	More trucks equal more dust.
616	2021-11-12 10:15:32	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes	No	The dust is terrible in Timberlea. On my car and in my house if I open windows.
617	2021-11-13 07:32:01	No	B3t1z3	Yes	No		
618	2021-11-13 07:12:01	No	B3Z3A5	Yes	No		
619	2021-11-13 07:22:29	No	B3t1y5	Yes			
620	2021-11-13 07:24:17	No	B3T1T8	Yes			
621	2021-11-13 06:57:29	No	B3T2B6	Yes	No		
622	2021-11-13 06:34:34	No	B0J2C0	Yes	No		
623	2021-11-13 06:11:22	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	
624	2021-11-13 05:23:59	No	B3T1Y1	Yes			
625	2021-11-13 04:38:15	No	B3n1g2	Yes			
628	2021-11-12 22:32:48	No	B3T1V2	Yes	No		
630	2021-11-12 21:34:52	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	No		
632	2021-11-12 21:45:13	No	B3t2b8	Yes			
635	2021-11-12 20:55:35	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
636	2021-11-12 20:53:35	Yes	B3t0e1	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
637	2021-11-12 20:31:03	No	B3L3W3	Yes			
638	2021-11-12 20:33:57	No	B3Z0N9	Yes			
639	2021-11-12 19:53:27	Yes	B3T2L1	Yes	No		
640	2021-11-12 20:10:10	Yes	B3T2G2	Yes	No		
641	2021-11-12 19:54:56	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	The extra money being spent to fix the road to handle extra traffic could simply be used to keep the presorting program in place.g
642	2021-11-12 19:51:56	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	No		
644	2021-11-04 15:18:44	No	B3T1T3	Yes			
645	2021-11-12 19:18:14	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	Operating the preserving is a requirement of the otter lake landfill agreement
647	2021-11-12 18:58:13	No	B3T1X7	Yes	No		
648	2021-11-12 19:00:02	No	B3R1Z6	Yes			
649	2021-11-12 18:45:16	No	B3T2L1	Yes	No		
650	2021-11-12 18:45:15	No	B3t2a6	Yes			
651	2021-11-12 18:39:00	No	B3T2B2	No			
653	2021-11-09 15:44:21	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
654	2021-11-12 17:58:39	No	B3t1s5	Yes	Yes	No	What about increased risk of debris and damage to the roadway secondary to increased traffic
655	2021-11-12 18:04:48	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
658	2021-11-12 17:20:52	Yes	B3t1r8	Yes	Yes		
660	2021-11-12 16:54:50	Yes	B3t1t4	Yes	No		
661	2021-11-12 16:50:26	No	B3t1p7	Yes	No		
662	2021-11-12 16:11:02	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes	Yes	No	Again, we do not need extra traffic creating bottle necks and creating dust. We have enough now with all the construction in our area.
663	2021-11-12 16:22:48	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
664	2021-11-05 11:03:02	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
665	2021-11-12 16:13:09	Yes	B3r1a2	Yes	Yes	No	
666	2021-11-12 15:58:30	No	B3T2B9	Yes	No		
668	2021-11-12 14:45:10	Yes	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	No	
669	2021-11-12 14:09:19	No	B3Z0C8	Yes	Yes	No	Logically more truck traffic on gravel roads, regardless of suppression efforts will generate more dust, more tracking of garbage on the roads. Keeping the trucks of these roads is the only way to truly address this issue. More concerning is tracking garbage on these gravel roads and it it ground in --- further attracting undesirable critters.
673	2021-11-12 13:57:18	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	No	
675	2021-11-12 13:46:14	No					
677	2021-11-12 13:27:53	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	No	This survey is leading and directing a response and does allow for important concerns to be addressed
678	2021-11-12 13:08:59	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
679	2021-11-12 13:23:55	No					
685	2021-11-12 11:19:12	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	Yes	No	
687	2021-11-04 13:53:39	No	B3T1T9	Yes	No		
688	2021-11-12 11:55:18	No	B3T1B8	Yes	No		
689	2021-11-12 11:21:27	Yes	B3t0k4	Yes	Yes	No	Unclear how that would help
690	2021-11-12 11:36:23	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes			
692	2021-11-12 11:31:12	Yes	B3t0c4	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
693	2021-11-12 11:32:34	Yes	B3t2g2	Yes	Yes	No	
695	2021-11-09 14:58:14	No	B3M3Y5	Yes	No		
696	2021-11-12 10:56:26	No	B3T2B3	Yes	No		
698	2021-11-12 10:45:38	No	B3t2b4	Yes			
699	2021-11-05 07:51:24	No		Yes	No		
701	2021-11-12 10:20:55	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	More trucks will make more dust.
702	2021-11-12 10:16:59	Yes	B3t1a9	Yes	No		
704	2021-11-12 09:41:35	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	No		
707	2021-11-12 09:44:13	Yes	B3T0B6	Yes	Yes	No	Not good enough
708	2021-11-12 09:47:42	No	B3T1R7	Yes			
709	2021-11-12 09:43:26	No	B3t0b2	Yes	Yes	No	
712	2021-11-12 09:41:22	No	B3T1X5	Yes	No		
713	2021-11-12 09:40:17	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes			
715	2021-11-12 09:10:33	No	B3T1W6	Yes	No		
717	2021-11-12 09:26:35	No	B3T1S6	Yes	No		
719	2021-11-12 09:06:45	Yes	B3t2a2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
720	2021-11-12 08:33:02	No	B3Z3X1	Yes	Yes	No	Particulates can stay in the air for many miles, landing far distances from the landfill. Cars and homes are covered with dust that falls from the air.
721	2021-11-12 08:18:26	No	B3T2A8	Yes	No		
723	2021-11-12 08:36:35	Yes	B3T2K5	Yes			
725	2021-11-12 08:27:32	Yes	B3T0C2	Yes	Yes	No	
726	2021-11-12 08:20:28	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	No		
728	2021-11-12 08:30:51	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes			
730	2021-11-12 08:11:33	No	B3t2a8	Yes	No		
732	2021-11-12 07:03:31	Yes	B3t1t5	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
733	2021-11-11 22:26:20	Yes	B3t1c3	Yes	Yes	No	
735	2021-11-11 19:08:24	Yes	B3r1k2	Yes	No		
736	2021-11-11 18:30:49	No	B3T1V2	Yes	No		
739	2021-11-11 18:00:07	No	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	No	Just the fact of any increased traffic by heavy vehicles on our already poorly maintained roads is a concern. HRM does not take care of our roads in timely manners at the best of times.
741	2021-11-11 18:03:23	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes	No		
743	2021-11-11 16:40:58	Yes	B3T1R9	Yes	No		
745	2021-11-11 15:03:16	No	B3T2A4	Yes	No		
746	2021-11-11 13:12:54	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes			
747	2021-11-11 15:23:58	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes			
748	2021-11-11 14:02:48	No	B3t1t8	Yes	No		
749	2021-11-11 13:16:05	No	B3T2J7	Yes	No		
751	2021-11-11 13:09:16	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes			
752	2021-11-11 13:01:23	No	B3T1W3	Yes			
753	2021-11-11 12:02:06	Yes	B3t2b2	Yes	Yes	No	No deal
757	2021-11-11 11:49:47	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	No		
759	2021-11-11 11:38:35	Yes	B3T1C3	No			
760	2021-11-11 11:30:14	No	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	No	
761	2021-11-11 11:00:27	Yes	B3T1C3	Yes	Yes	No	
762	2021-11-11 10:05:48	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	No		
763	2021-11-11 08:28:05	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
767	2021-11-11 09:11:03	No	B3t2a1	Yes	No		
768	2021-11-11 08:46:55	No	B3t1p7	Yes	No		
769	2021-11-11 08:06:36	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	Concerns about being outdoors in my neighborhood breathing stinky poor quality air.
770	2021-11-11 08:17:33	Yes	B3t1r9	Yes	Yes	No	This is not a scientific survey this is a presentation of one side.
771	2021-11-11 08:21:43	No	B0I2C0	Yes			
772	2021-11-11 08:18:25	Yes	B3M0J9	Yes	Yes	No	
773	2021-11-11 07:42:15	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	No		
774	2021-11-11 07:03:07	No	B3T1V4	Yes	No		
775	2021-11-10 14:02:24	Yes	B3t0e4	Yes	Yes		
776	2021-11-11 07:05:09	Yes	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
777	2021-11-11 06:46:00	No	B3t1y7	Yes	No		
778	2021-11-11 06:28:21	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
779	2021-11-11 06:43:21	No	B3t2b6	Yes	No		
780	2021-11-11 06:39:32	No	B3T1V3	Yes	No		
781	2021-11-11 06:39:09	Yes	B3T1v3	Yes			
782	2021-11-11 06:38:52	Yes	B3T0C7	Yes			
783	2021-11-11 06:31:00	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	
784	2021-11-11 05:59:37	No	B3Z1L6	Yes	No		
785	2021-11-11 06:00:30	No	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	
788	2021-11-10 23:24:38	Yes	B3T1W2				
789	2021-11-10 22:36:27	Yes	B3T0C7	Yes			
790	2021-11-10 21:14:36	Yes	B3T1C4	No			
795	2021-11-10 18:39:43	No	B3t1w9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
798	2021-11-10 19:03:32	Yes	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	No	This should have been taken care of up one conception of the landfill
799	2021-11-10 18:54:45	No	B3V1E5	Yes	No		
800	2021-11-10 18:25:49	Yes	B3T2B1	Yes	No		
801	2021-11-06 14:25:32	No	B3T2J8	Yes	No		
804	2021-11-10 18:01:15	No	B3T1W9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
805	2021-11-10 16:59:47	No	B3t2b8	Yes	No		
806	2021-11-10 17:02:48	Yes	B3t1w6	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
807	2021-11-10 15:00:14	No	B3T1X1	Yes	No		
808	2021-11-10 17:23:49	No	B0N1L0	Yes			
809	2021-11-10 17:14:41	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	Yes	No	Still lots of dust.
810	2021-11-10 17:10:28	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	No		
812	2021-11-10 16:45:10	No		No			
814	2021-11-10 15:52:29	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes			
815	2021-11-10 15:06:22	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	No		
816	2021-11-10 15:16:00	No	B3T1S4	Yes			
817	2021-11-10 15:09:41	No	B3T1W6	Yes			
818	2021-11-10 14:33:05	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	No		
819	2021-11-10 14:33:26	Yes	B3T2K8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
820	2021-11-10 14:24:27	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
823	2021-11-10 13:33:49	No	B0I1T0	Yes	Yes	Yes	
826	2021-11-10 12:33:58	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes	No		
827	2021-11-10 11:29:56	No	B3T1V1	Yes	Yes	No	More traffic always leads to additional dust and pollution
828	2021-11-10 12:07:40	No	B3T1Z7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
829	2021-11-10 11:47:43	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	Yes	No	We can be living in a construction zone at Brunello Estates and any action that would increase dust in this area is unacceptable.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
830	2021-11-10 11:50:45	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
832	2021-11-04 18:40:19	No	B3T1X3	Yes	Yes	No	There is still dust even with gravel roads !
833	2021-11-10 10:27:02	No	B3T1S6	Yes	No		
834	2021-11-10 10:36:38	Yes	B3T1K5	Yes	No		
835	2021-11-10 10:51:19	Yes	B3t1z5	Yes	No		
836	2021-11-04 15:05:28	Yes	b3t1r8	Yes			
837	2021-11-10 09:43:11	No	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
838	2021-11-10 10:01:07	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes	No		
839	2021-11-10 09:38:48	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
840	2021-11-10 09:18:17	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
841	2021-11-10 08:57:45	No	b3t2l1	Yes	Yes	No	Dust, mud and gravel as well as pot holes are already existing concerns. Additional traffic will increase these issues.
843	2021-11-09 10:53:27	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
844	2021-11-10 08:24:45	No	b3t1z2	Yes	No		
847	2021-11-10 08:23:29	No	B3P2J3	No			
848	2021-11-10 08:21:46	Yes	B3Z0N9	Yes			
849	2021-11-10 07:38:55	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	No	Too polluted already..
850	2021-11-10 07:44:45	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	No		
851	2021-11-10 07:51:24	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	No		
852	2021-11-10 07:46:12	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	Actually the road is being used for racing! No one addresses the problems over there. Please don't make new ones! Think about it.
853	2021-11-10 07:19:40	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
856	2021-11-10 07:17:17	No	B4C4H4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
857	2021-11-10 07:12:40	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	Yes	No	
858	2021-11-10 06:32:23	No	B3t1x2	Yes	No		
861	2021-11-04 10:46:19	Yes	B3t1b8	Yes	Yes	No	
862	2021-11-10 06:39:16	Yes	bek3x4	No			
863	2021-11-10 06:01:29	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
870	2021-11-09 21:16:37	No	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	
876	2021-11-09 20:32:29	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes	No		
878	2021-11-09 20:21:20	Yes	B3T1S6	No			
879	2021-11-09 19:57:59	Yes	B3T2G2	Yes	No		
880	2021-11-09 20:07:42	No	B3T1X5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
881	2021-11-09 19:46:50	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	in and lives and the environment will pay the price
882	2021-11-09 19:44:51	No	B3T1Y4	Yes	Yes	No	Just don't think it will work.
883	2021-11-09 19:26:51	No	B3T1W1	Yes	No		
884	2021-11-09 19:22:19	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	No		
885	2021-11-09 19:33:14	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
887	2021-11-09 19:29:36	No	B3Z4B6	No			
888	2021-11-09 19:17:55	No	B3T2C3	Yes	No		
889	2021-11-09 19:15:21	Yes	B3T2A3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
890	2021-11-08 12:55:59	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes			
892	2021-11-09 18:48:02	No	B3S0G5	Yes	No		
895	2021-11-09 18:19:35	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	No		
900	2021-11-09 17:14:50	No	B3T1W5	Yes	Yes	No	Increased trucking = increased problems. More dust, more garbage on the roads/woods/ditches, more accidents, more wear and tear the list goes on
901	2021-11-09 17:15:45	Yes	B3t0c8	Yes	Yes	No	See previous answers. Who designed these questions? Obviously someone with an agenda

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
905	2021-11-09 16:52:54	No	B3t1r6	Yes	No		
906	2021-11-09 16:41:54	No	B3N2R7	Yes	No		
907	2021-11-09 16:30:43	No	B3T1Z2	Yes	No		
908	2021-11-09 16:42:52	Yes	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
911	2021-11-09 16:09:21	No	B3t1z4	Yes	Yes	No	Increased volume equates to increased dust and other issues adding unrecognized costs to operations.
913	2021-11-09 15:56:25	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	No		
914	2021-11-09 15:44:59	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	Your idea is bad and you should feel bad
915	2021-11-09 14:47:57	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	No		
917	2021-11-09 15:33:29	No	B3T1Z1	Yes	No		
918	2021-11-09 15:20:00	No	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	No	A lot of the trucks will be smaller and more frequent.
920	2021-11-09 15:32:45	No	123123	No			
921	2021-11-09 15:16:20	Yes	b3t1n2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
922	2021-11-09 15:17:57	No	B3T1W5	Yes	No		
923	2021-11-09 15:12:54	Yes	B3T0C4	Yes	No		
925	2021-11-09 14:48:21	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
926	2021-11-09 14:50:02	Yes	b3t2j7	Yes	Yes	No	
927	2021-11-09 14:50:10	Yes	B3T0G7	Yes	Yes	No	
928	2021-11-07 16:12:09	No	B3T1W9	Yes	No		
932	2021-11-09 13:51:42	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes	No	
934	2021-11-03 16:19:08	No	B3I2y8	Yes	No		
935	2021-11-09 08:42:24	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
937	2021-11-09 13:43:34	No	B3Z3J2	Yes			
938	2021-11-09 13:30:26	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
939	2021-11-09 13:29:52	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	No		
940	2021-11-09 13:29:51	No		Yes			
942	2021-11-09 13:22:57	Yes	B3r1a4	Yes	No		
943	2021-11-02 20:30:22	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes		
945	2021-11-09 12:58:12	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
947	2021-11-09 12:19:14	No	B3T2B1	Yes	No		
949	2021-11-09 12:26:37	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	No		
951	2021-11-09 12:02:12	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	Dust is dust your going to have it
952	2021-11-09 11:59:04	Yes	B3t0c8	Yes	No		
953	2021-11-09 11:57:23	No	B3T1Y1	Yes	No		
955	2021-11-09 11:52:04	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	i would want proof
960	2021-11-09 11:29:04	Yes	B3t1k9	Yes	No		
963	2021-11-09 11:28:38	Yes	B3T1V1	Yes			
968	2021-11-09 10:53:05	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	No		
969	2021-11-09 10:51:16	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes	Yes	Yes	There are a lot of additional residential properties going up in the area which will exacerbate the current traffic issues.
971	2021-11-09 10:53:34	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes			
972	2021-11-09 10:49:14	Yes	B3t1a4	Yes			
974	2021-11-09 09:58:25	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	No		
976	2021-11-09 09:25:11	No	B3T2A1	Yes	No		
977	2021-11-09 09:23:40	No	B4A2E6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
979	2021-11-09 08:42:39	No	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
980	2021-11-09 08:01:33	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	No		
981	2021-11-09 08:41:25	No	B3T1Y3	Yes			
982	2021-11-09 08:23:25	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
983	2021-11-09 08:09:01	No	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
984	2021-11-09 08:02:52	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	No		
986	2021-11-09 07:12:32	Yes	B3T2K5	Yes	No		
988	2021-11-09 07:25:51	No	B3T1W3	Yes	No		
994	2021-11-09 06:08:21	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	No		
995	2021-11-09 05:54:57	Yes	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
996	2021-11-09 05:38:15	No	B3T2J7	Yes	Yes	No	What is Tembec? Air pollution contributed to population illness but you talk about decreasing mud.
997	2021-11-09 04:57:24	No	B3T1W4	Yes	No		
1001	2021-11-08 22:21:42	No	B3T1S5				
1005	2021-11-08 08:40:32	No	B3t1b4	Yes	No		
1007	2021-11-08 20:54:32	Yes	B3T2B5	Yes			
1009	2021-11-08 20:37:11	No	B3T2C9	No			
1012	2021-11-08 19:54:39	No	B3T2J8	Yes	No		
1014	2021-11-04 22:00:27	No	B2T1H7	Yes	No		
1016	2021-11-08 19:50:23	Yes	B3T1R4	No			
1017	2021-11-08 19:34:39	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1018	2021-11-08 19:30:52	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	No	
1019	2021-11-08 18:59:19	No	B3z3s9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1020	2021-11-07 15:48:42	No	B3T1Z9	Yes			
1021	2021-11-08 18:58:30	Yes	b3t1v1	Yes	No		
1022	2021-11-08 18:26:23	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1024	2021-11-08 18:30:53	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	No	
1026	2021-11-08 18:08:04	No		Yes	Yes	No	Future cutbacks will try to make the case for "no longer being necessary. The record speaks for itself
1028	2021-11-08 17:55:33	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	No		
1029	2021-11-08 17:21:04	No	B3T1R4	Yes	No		
1034	2021-11-08 17:28:05	No	B3R1R4	Yes			
1035	2021-11-04 09:30:06	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes			
1036	2021-11-08 17:13:22	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1039	2021-11-08 17:05:47	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1041	2021-11-08 16:47:19	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1042	2021-11-08 16:47:41	No	B3T1W3	Yes	No		
1045	2021-11-08 16:32:35	No	B3Z1Z6	Yes		Yes	
1046	2021-11-08 16:34:44	No	B3t1z2	Yes	No		
1049	2021-11-08 16:19:31	No	B3t1s7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1050	2021-11-08 16:14:16	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	No		
1051	2021-11-08 15:46:53	Yes	B3t2g5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1054	2021-11-08 11:54:40	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	No		
1055	2021-11-08 15:31:01	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes	Yes		
1056	2021-11-08 14:49:55	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
1058	2021-11-08 14:54:19	No	B3T2B2	Yes	No		
1060	2021-11-08 14:03:38	Yes	B3T1S3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1061	2021-11-08 14:17:43	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes	No		
1063	2021-11-08 13:59:50	No	B3T2A2	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1064	2021-11-08 13:13:47	Yes	B3T1R2	Yes	Yes	No	As someone who has respiratory issues, even the amount of dust kicked up on the Perimeter Access Road would create a challenge and this is just one truck
1065	2021-11-08 13:38:16	No	B3T1Z1	Yes	No		
1066	2021-11-08 13:41:11	No	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	
1067	2021-11-03 18:18:40	No	B3T0E7	Yes			
1069	2021-11-08 12:37:43	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes	No		
1071	2021-11-08 12:17:34	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	Any amount of dust concerns me. It is not good for the environment.
1075	2021-11-08 12:12:12	Yes	B3t2g8	Yes	Yes	No	The dust, while minimal right now, is still an issue as it also brings the smell
1076	2021-11-08 11:56:11	No	B3Z1L6	Yes	No		
1077	2021-11-08 11:27:30	Yes	B3t1s5	Yes	No		
1080	2021-11-08 09:34:59	No	a1a2b2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1082	2021-11-08 07:49:18	Yes	B3T1z1	Yes			
1088	2021-11-08 10:35:01	No	B3T1T9	Yes	No		
1089	2021-11-08 10:28:53	No	B3S1K9	Yes	No		
1090	2021-11-08 10:17:42	No	B3t0a2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1092	2021-11-08 09:55:37	No	B3t1c4	Yes	No		
1093	2021-11-08 09:42:08	No	B3T2J8	Yes	No		
1094	2021-11-08 09:24:29	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	No		
1095	2021-11-08 09:19:28	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	No		
1096	2021-11-08 08:49:35	Yes	B3T1Z9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1097	2021-11-08 08:51:08	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	No		
1098	2021-11-08 09:19:38	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1101	2021-11-08 08:49:26	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	
1102	2021-11-08 08:53:00	Yes	B3T3J5	Yes			
1103	2021-11-08 08:48:10	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1104	2021-11-08 08:51:21	No	B3t1w5	No			
1105	2021-11-08 08:20:52	No	B3T2L2	Yes	No		
1107	2021-11-08 08:42:38	Yes	B3T1P7				
1108	2021-11-08 08:42:39	No	B3T1Z6	No			
1109	2021-11-08 08:18:26	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	
1110	2021-11-08 08:08:00	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	No		
1112	2021-11-08 08:28:11	No	B3T2A7				
1113	2021-11-08 08:14:20	No	B3Z2X3	Yes	No		
1114	2021-11-08 08:16:52	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes			
1115	2021-11-08 07:46:17	Yes	B3t1v4	Yes	Yes	No	Still see the possibility of increased dust with these measures.
1116	2021-11-03 20:51:51	No	B3t1x8	Yes	Yes	No	These trucks are dangerous this road is already overly populated
1117	2021-11-07 20:19:40	No	B4E1P1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1118	2021-11-08 07:52:44	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes			
1119	2021-11-08 07:38:03	Yes	B3t1a8	Yes			
1120	2021-11-08 07:36:04	Yes	B3t1m6	Yes	No		
1121	2021-11-08 07:45:21	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes			
1122	2021-11-08 07:23:00	No	b3t2a7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1123	2021-11-08 07:07:18	Yes	B3t2J5	Yes	No		
1124	2021-11-08 06:46:08	Yes	B3T1S4	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1126	2021-11-08 06:55:22	Yes	B3T1C3	Yes			
1127	2021-11-08 04:58:03	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes	No	As you can see in the picture above the dust coming off the road and truck what kind of toxins will be in the air you can not guarantee with the removal of the FEP and WSP this will not happen
1128	2021-11-08 05:57:54	Yes	B3t1r2	Yes	No		
1129	2021-11-08 05:45:23	No	B3T2X2	Yes			
1130	2021-11-08 05:32:56	No	B3T2L4	Yes	Yes	No	
1131	2021-11-08 05:12:32	No	B3T1P9	Yes	No		
1132	2021-11-08 04:31:17	Yes	B3T0E7	Yes	No		
1133	2021-11-08 04:33:18	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes			
1134	2021-11-07 21:14:42	Yes	B3t1c9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1137	2021-11-07 20:48:19	Yes	B3t1p4	Yes	No		
1139	2021-11-07 20:55:15	Yes	B3l1p7	Yes			
1140	2021-11-07 20:25:53	No	b3t1z2	Yes	No		
1142	2021-11-07 20:00:50	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes			
1143	2021-11-07 19:37:12	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	Yes	No	We seen this everyday this disposal thing not bringing any good to the community
1144	2021-11-07 19:34:37	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	No		
1145	2021-11-07 19:37:41	No	B3z1l8	No			
1147	2021-11-07 19:15:47	No	B2T1W4				
1149	2021-11-07 18:11:44	No	B3T2A2	Yes	No		
1151	2021-11-07 18:17:57	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
1152	2021-11-07 18:15:55	No	B3t2k8	Yes	No		
1155	2021-11-07 16:51:42	Yes	b3t1p3	Yes	No		
1157	2021-11-07 17:18:42	No	B3T2B5	Yes	No		
1158	2021-11-07 17:15:21	Yes	B3T0B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1159	2021-11-07 17:13:31	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	No		
1160	2021-11-07 17:11:12	No	B3t1r5	Yes			
1161	2021-11-07 16:38:52	No	B0N1Z0	Yes			
1163	2021-11-07 16:19:55	No	B3T1R3	No			
1165	2021-11-07 15:31:58	Yes	B3t1b4	No			
1167	2021-11-07 15:25:21	No	B3z3w9	Yes	No		
1169	2021-11-07 15:06:35	Yes	B3t1z9	Yes	Yes		
1170	2021-11-07 15:00:46	No	B3T1V2	Yes			
1172	2021-11-07 14:52:12	No	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
1173	2021-11-07 14:46:22	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
1174	2021-11-07 14:42:21	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	No		
1175	2021-11-07 14:41:01	No	B3T0H6	Yes			
1176	2021-11-07 14:20:30	No	B3t1s1	Yes	Yes	No	
1178	2021-11-07 14:27:42	Yes	B3T0B4	Yes			
1181	2021-11-07 13:57:16	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1182	2021-11-07 13:55:59	Yes	B3T2K8	Yes			
1183	2021-11-07 13:53:13	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes			
1184	2021-11-07 13:37:11	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1185	2021-11-07 13:27:30	No	B3T0B6	Yes	No		
1187	2021-11-07 12:08:35	Yes	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1188	2021-11-07 12:49:52	No	B3Z3W1	Yes	No		
1189	2021-11-07 12:44:35	No	B3T1P7	Yes			
1190	2021-11-07 12:35:22	No	B3t2a3	No			
1191	2021-11-07 11:49:44	No	B3T1R6	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1192	2021-11-07 11:56:57	No	B3Z3w8	Yes			
1193	2021-11-07 11:36:13	No	B3T1Z6	Yes			
1194	2021-11-07 11:13:44	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
1197	2021-11-07 11:03:59	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
1198	2021-11-07 11:06:07	No	b3t2a4	Yes			
1199	2021-11-07 10:51:01	No	B3T2B4	Yes	No		
1200	2021-11-07 10:56:06	No	B3t2a7	Yes			
1201	2021-11-07 10:24:38	Yes	B3T2A4	Yes	Yes	No	Traffic is already terrible and the city just ignores it. The amount of trash with the existing traffic is unacceptable and the city has done nothing to address it.
1202	2021-11-07 10:37:11	No	B3T1T7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1203	2021-11-07 10:25:31	Yes	B3T2A4	Yes	Yes	No	
1205	2021-11-07 10:05:30	No	B3T2A8	Yes	No		
1206	2021-11-07 09:39:06	Yes	b3t0j3	Yes	No		
1208	2021-11-07 09:43:08	No	B3T0A2	Yes			
1211	2021-11-07 09:03:00	No	B3T1W3	Yes	No		
1214	2021-11-07 08:26:41	No	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1215	2021-11-07 08:21:25	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	No		
1216	2021-11-07 08:04:04	No	B3T1W1	Yes	No		
1217	2021-11-07 08:01:00	Yes	B3t1a5	Yes	Yes	No	As necessary is subjective
1219	2021-11-07 07:22:35	Yes	B3t2h9	Yes	No		
1221	2021-11-07 06:19:51	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	No		
1222	2021-11-07 06:59:44	No	b2v2g5	Yes	No		
1223	2021-11-07 06:41:30	No	B3t1y6				
1224	2021-11-07 06:19:20	No	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1225	2021-11-07 06:29:25	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	No		
1229	2021-11-03 23:07:03	Yes	B3t1n1	Yes	Yes	No	Don't believe it , lies lies lies
1230	2021-11-07 05:57:59	No	B3T1A4	Yes			
1232	2021-11-07 05:28:05	No	B3T1R6	Yes			
1233	2021-11-06 23:19:15	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	No		
1236	2021-11-06 22:34:36	Yes	B3T1S1	Yes	No		
1239	2021-11-06 21:44:24	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes		Yes	
1240	2021-11-06 21:09:24	Yes	B3T1P9	Yes			
1241	2021-11-06 20:51:38	No	B3T2B8	Yes	No		
1242	2021-11-06 20:24:42	No	B3T2C9	Yes	No		
1244	2021-11-06 20:44:41	No	B3T0B6	Yes	No		
1246	2021-11-04 18:10:53	Yes	B3S1N5	Yes	Yes	No	Put in lights if required
1250	2021-11-06 19:43:28	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	
1252	2021-11-06 19:10:42	No	B4a3x8	Yes	No		
1253	2021-11-06 19:07:34	No	B3T1Y3	Yes			
1254	2021-11-06 18:59:26	Yes	B3T1P4	Yes	Yes	No	
1258	2021-11-06 18:14:13	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	No		
1259	2021-11-06 18:05:21	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1260	2021-11-06 18:06:15	No	B3T2J1	Yes			
1261	2021-11-06 17:52:36	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1263	2021-11-06 17:44:19	Yes	B3t1b6	Yes		No	
1268	2021-11-06 16:26:12	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1270	2021-11-06 16:08:55	No	b3k3m2	Yes	No		
1271	2021-11-06 16:01:23	Yes	B3T0K1	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1273	2021-11-06 15:49:32	No	B3K3M2	Yes	No		
1274	2021-11-06 15:34:47	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1275	2021-11-06 15:27:16	No	B3T1S8	Yes	No		
1276	2021-11-06 15:16:29	No	B3t0b4	Yes	No		
1279	2021-11-06 14:37:38	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes			
1280	2021-11-06 14:03:21	No	B3T1S2	Yes	No		
1281	2021-11-06 13:24:18	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1282	2021-11-06 13:48:43	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	No		
1285	2021-11-06 12:39:43	Yes	B3t1r8	Yes	No		
1287	2021-11-06 12:40:43	No	B3T2H3	Yes	No		
1288	2021-11-06 11:29:01	No	b3z1m3	Yes	No		
1289	2021-11-06 11:54:35	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes		No	Paved roads will not sufficiently stop the dust.
1293	2021-11-06 11:37:59	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1294	2021-11-06 11:47:56	Yes	B3S1S3	No			
1295	2021-11-06 11:35:28	No	B3t2a8	Yes	No		
1296	2021-11-06 11:30:28	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	No		
1300	2021-11-06 09:27:36	No	B3z2r4	Yes	No		
1301	2021-11-06 09:21:57	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	No	Leave our existing measures in place, we agreed to the landfill because of it
1302	2021-11-06 09:06:28	Yes	B3T1T8	Yes		I do not have any concerns	
1309	2021-11-06 08:30:33	Yes	B2G1S7	Yes			
1310	2021-11-06 08:28:27	No	B3T1Y8	Yes	Yes		
1312	2021-11-06 08:05:22	No	B3T1X3	Yes			
1313	2021-11-06 07:45:16	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1314	2021-11-06 07:55:30	No	B3t1w1	Yes			
1315	2021-11-06 07:55:10	Yes	B3t0a3	Yes			
1317	2021-11-06 07:18:33	Yes	B3T0E2	Yes	No		
1318	2021-11-06 06:55:39	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1319	2021-11-05 21:39:30	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	No		
1320	2021-11-06 07:02:40	No	B3t1s6	Yes	No		
1322	2021-11-06 06:37:48	Yes	B3T0C9	Yes	No		
1323	2021-11-06 06:08:53	Yes	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	try hanging out your clothes to dry and you will see the dirt and nice smell you get on your freshly washed clothes
1324	2021-11-06 06:28:02	Yes	B3T0E6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1325	2021-11-06 06:25:14	Yes	B3t0e6	Yes	No		
1328	2021-11-06 04:07:50	No	B3Z1L6	Yes	Yes	No	What are impacts of adding more Tembec to the road? Dust will increase regardless, and be carried by winds
1329	2021-11-06 03:37:18	No	B3T1S3	No			
1338	2021-11-05 21:06:04	Yes	b3t2c8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1341	2021-11-05 20:44:02	Yes	B3T2E1	No			
1342	2021-11-05 20:02:07	Yes	B3T0C6	Yes	No		
1343	2021-11-05 20:38:33	Yes	B3t0a2	Yes	Yes	No	
1345	2021-11-05 19:50:42	No	B3Z2T4	Yes	No		
1348	2021-11-03 17:59:35	No	B3L3H5	Yes			
1350	2021-11-05 19:02:54	No	B3g1a5				
1351	2021-11-05 18:58:41	No	b0p1p0	Yes			
1353	2021-11-05 18:55:55	Yes	b3t1r5	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1354	2021-11-05 18:21:58	Yes	B3t1b1				
1355	2021-11-05 17:56:09	No	B3Z2T1	Yes	No		
1356	2021-11-05 18:03:41	No	B3T2B5	Yes	No		
1358	2021-11-05 17:57:20	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1359	2021-11-05 17:50:35	No	b2y2a6	Yes			
1360	2021-11-05 17:36:31	Yes	B3T1V6	Yes	No		
1361	2021-11-05 17:30:56	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1362	2021-11-05 17:36:27	No	b3t1s5	Yes	No		
1363	2021-11-05 17:37:06	Yes	B3t2e1	Yes			
1364	2021-11-05 17:19:16	No	B011T0	Yes	No		
1368	2021-11-05 16:44:20	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	No		
1369	2021-11-05 16:39:39	No	B3Z3A9	Yes	No		
1370	2021-11-05 16:51:27	Yes	B3t0j3	Yes	Yes	No	
1371	2021-11-02 15:24:34	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes			
1373	2021-11-05 10:05:15	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes			
1375	2021-11-05 15:39:22	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	Already an issue and the expectation of more more traffic will only add to it
1376	2021-11-05 15:10:16	No	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	You can not know the damage to health from this dust for years. Then it is toooo late.
1378	2021-11-05 15:19:43	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	No		
1383	2021-11-05 15:07:44	Yes	B3T2J5	Yes	Yes	No	Dump road needs to be gated at 103 highway to keep cars out after hours has turned into drag race strip every night
1384	2021-11-05 15:14:54	No	B3z0n9	Yes	No		
1385	2021-11-05 15:16:35	Yes	B3N2M3	Yes			
1388	2021-11-05 14:38:48	Yes	B3t0k5	Yes	Yes	No	
1390	2021-11-05 14:01:15	No	B3T1Z5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1394	2021-11-05 13:27:14	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1395	2021-11-05 13:51:01	No	B3N1K1	Yes			
1396	2021-11-05 13:50:29	Yes	B3t1n2	Yes	No		
1401	2021-11-05 12:12:08	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	No		
1402	2021-11-05 12:48:08	No	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1404	2021-11-05 12:05:35	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	No		
1407	2021-11-05 11:41:29	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes			
1408	2021-11-05 11:18:21	Yes	B3t1r3	Yes	No		
1409	2021-11-05 10:54:40	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	improper use of "consistent"
1410	2021-11-05 10:57:29	Yes	B3t2a4	Yes	Yes	No	Smoke and mirrors
1412	2021-11-05 10:58:17	No	B3Z1L8	No			
1414	2021-11-05 10:47:26	No	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1415	2021-11-05 10:51:56	No	B3t2a2	Yes			
1418	2021-11-05 10:08:10	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
1419	2021-11-05 09:55:00	No	B3t2a2	Yes	No		
1423	2021-11-05 09:39:03	No	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	All these mitigation measures are NOT ENOUGH for the increased activity.
1424	2021-11-05 09:35:05	Yes	B3t1n2	Yes	Yes	No	
1426	2021-11-05 08:35:06	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes			
1427	2021-11-05 08:51:54	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
1428	2021-11-05 08:59:17	No	B3T1R3	Yes			
1430	2021-11-05 08:42:36	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	No	Na
1431	2021-11-02 16:08:11	No	B3T1T4	Yes	No		
1432	2021-11-05 07:25:01	Yes	B3Z1G5	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1434	2021-11-05 07:11:55	No	b3t1x3	Yes	No		
1435	2021-11-05 06:51:27	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	No	The FEP/WSP need to stay activated
1436	2021-11-04 13:34:13	No	B3t1x5	Yes			
1438	2021-11-05 06:55:40	No	B3t1v3	Yes			
1440	2021-11-05 06:39:09	No	B3T2G1	Yes			
1443	2021-11-05 06:14:43	No	B3T1R6	Yes			
1444	2021-11-05 06:08:03	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes			
1445	2021-11-05 05:51:09	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	No		
1446	2021-11-05 06:07:56	No	B3Z1H7	No			
1447	2021-11-05 05:49:07	Yes	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	Debris from trucks and dust (tire dust)
1449	2021-11-05 05:14:12	Yes	B3T2J5	Yes	No		
1452	2021-11-05 03:46:15	No	B3T2E8	Yes	No		
1454	2021-11-05 00:08:13	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes			
1455	2021-11-05 00:09:42	No	B3s1k1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1456	2021-11-05 00:08:07	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes			
1458	2021-11-04 23:49:13	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	No		
1459	2021-11-04 23:20:51	Yes	B3Z1G6	Yes	No		
1462	2021-11-04 22:28:16	Yes	B3Z2L4	Yes	No		
1463	2021-11-04 22:09:56	No	B4E0N6	Yes	No		
1464	2021-11-04 21:48:00	No	B3t2e9	Yes	No		
1465	2021-11-04 21:56:26	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	Yes	No	
1466	2021-11-04 21:34:43	No	B3T0B3	Yes	Yes	No	
1468	2021-11-04 21:36:36	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes			
1472	2021-11-04 20:31:23	Yes	B3t0c2	Yes	Yes	No	Honor your original agreement.
1474	2021-11-04 20:14:33	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	No		
1475	2021-11-04 20:05:11	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	No		
1479	2021-11-04 20:26:04	No	B4e3c1	Yes			
1480	2021-11-02 18:49:52	No	B3I2y8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1481	2021-11-04 19:18:30	No	B3T2A2	Yes	No		
1483	2021-11-04 19:46:06	Yes	B3r1a9	Yes	No		
1485	2021-11-04 19:44:56	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	
1487	2021-11-04 19:34:08	Yes	B3t2e6	Yes			
1490	2021-11-04 19:22:44	No	B3t2I1	Yes			
1492	2021-11-04 18:58:55	Yes	B3t1r5	Yes	Yes	No	
1493	2021-11-04 19:10:05	No	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
1494	2021-11-04 16:02:33	No	B3T1T4	Yes	No		
1496	2021-11-04 18:13:45	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes	No		
1498	2021-11-04 18:46:19	Yes	B3t2j3	Yes	Yes	No	There is already a lot of dust with construction in the area. This will add more. Please honour the agreement
1500	2021-11-04 18:45:44	No	B3Z1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Still dusty as fuck there
1503	2021-11-04 16:49:35	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes	Yes	No	With more trucks going of course the dust will increase just honour the agreement please
1505	2021-11-04 18:23:48	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes			
1510	2021-11-04 17:51:19	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Mitigations are not in alignment with the original agreement.
1515	2021-11-04 16:56:50	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
1518	2021-11-04 15:58:32	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	No		
1520	2021-11-04 16:01:04	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	No		
1522	2021-11-04 16:04:31	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	No		
1524	2021-11-04 15:42:58	No	B3z1G1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1529	2021-11-04 15:54:09	No	B3Z2J2	No			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1531	2021-11-04 15:39:35	Yes	b3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1532	2021-11-04 15:23:19	Yes	B3t2j3	Yes	Yes	No	You should not be deactivating
1535	2021-11-04 14:35:55	No	B3T1V7	Yes	No		
1536	2021-11-04 14:43:19	No	B3T1S5	Yes	No		
1537	2021-11-04 13:01:53	No	B3T2G5	Yes	No		
1538	2021-11-04 14:25:53	Yes	b3t1c9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1539	2021-11-04 14:32:47	Yes	b3t2j3	Yes	Yes	No	
1541	2021-11-04 13:53:56	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
1544	2021-11-04 13:58:14	No	B3T1S5	Yes	No		
1545	2021-11-04 14:01:07	Yes	B3T1S7	Yes	No		
1547	2021-11-04 13:51:21	No	B3T1X2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1556	2021-11-04 13:12:04	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	Yes	No	Again, we will increasing the number of staff and equipment to mitigate this risk? In dry weather, granular roads would need to be sprayed multiple times throughout the day.
1557	2021-11-04 13:21:04	No	B3z0n4	Yes	No		
1559	2021-11-04 12:44:00	No	B3T0B4	Yes	No		
1564	2021-11-04 12:20:24	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1568	2021-11-04 12:11:04	Yes	B3T1K9	No			
1569	2021-11-04 11:56:31	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
1572	2021-11-04 11:40:17	No	B3t1t5	Yes	No		
1577	2021-11-04 11:33:38	Yes	b3t1s6	Yes			
1581	2021-11-04 11:20:52	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes			
1582	2021-11-04 11:07:18	No	B3Z1L8	Yes	No		
1583	2021-11-04 11:01:06	No	B3T2C8	Yes	No		
1584	2021-11-04 10:52:21	No	b3t2l1	Yes	No		
1585	2021-11-04 10:25:11	No	B3T2B5	Yes		Yes	
1589	2021-11-04 10:48:30	No	B3t0j9	Yes			
1590	2021-11-04 10:43:00	No	B3T2B8	Yes			
1594	2021-11-04 10:19:48	No	B3T1S8	Yes	No		
1595	2021-11-04 10:30:26	No	B3t2b1	Yes			
1596	2021-11-04 10:22:11	Yes	b3 1a2	No			
1598	2021-11-04 10:08:43	No	B3T2B9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1600	2021-11-04 10:14:05	Yes	B3T0A2	Yes			
1601	2021-11-04 10:04:52	No	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	No	
1603	2021-11-04 09:29:55	Yes	B3T1g&	Yes	Yes	No	
1605	2021-11-04 09:29:27	Yes	B3t1c9	Yes	No		
1609	2021-11-04 09:28:11	Yes	B3T5c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1613	2021-11-04 09:22:40	Yes	B3T1K5	No			
1616	2021-11-04 09:18:13	No	B3t1x2	Yes	No		
1620	2021-11-04 09:02:11	Yes	B2T1H6	Yes	No		
1621	2021-11-04 08:29:23	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	No	
1622	2021-11-04 08:36:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	No		
1623	2021-11-04 08:29:53	No	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe this approach will stem the issue.
1624	2021-11-04 09:01:02	No	B3T2L1	No			
1626	2021-11-04 08:57:08	Yes	B3T0C1	Yes	Yes	No	
1629	2021-11-04 08:51:26	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	No		
1631	2021-11-04 08:42:18	No	B3t2b2	Yes	No		
1634	2021-11-04 08:42:39	No	B3L2Y8	No			
1636	2021-11-04 08:12:53	No	B3T1V7	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1637	2021-11-04 08:01:23	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	No		
1638	2021-11-04 08:26:28	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	No		
1639	2021-11-04 08:04:13	Yes	B3t2g3	Yes	Yes	No	
1641	2021-11-04 08:30:19	Yes	B3T2g8	Yes			
1642	2021-11-04 08:26:05	Yes	B3T0C9	Yes			
1644	2021-11-04 07:54:52	Yes	b3t1tZ	Yes	Yes	No	I remember Sackville. You comment field would not take my whole comment - I guess you only want short comments? Leave it the way it is. Hopefully that is short enough....?
1648	2021-11-04 07:26:29	Yes	B3T2E5	Yes	No		
1650	2021-11-04 07:32:25	No	B3T1T9	Yes	No		
1651	2021-11-04 06:58:27	Yes	B3T0H7	Yes	No		
1652	2021-11-04 06:54:36	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	No		
1655	2021-11-04 06:50:55	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1656	2021-11-04 07:06:16	No	B3t1w5	Yes			
1658	2021-11-04 06:49:02	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
1659	2021-11-04 06:41:15	No	B4B1N4	Yes	Yes	No	
1660	2021-11-04 06:14:16	No	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	No	
1664	2021-11-04 06:23:22	No	B4e3b3	Yes			
1667	2021-11-04 06:05:06	No	B3t1w5	Yes			
1668	2021-11-04 06:06:06	No	B3E1M4	Yes			
1670	2021-11-04 05:27:16	No	B3P0C2	Yes	No		
1671	2021-11-04 04:55:59	Yes	B3t1c2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1672	2021-11-03 17:32:26	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	No		
1675	2021-11-03 23:41:40	Yes	B3t1b9	Yes	Yes	No	
1677	2021-11-03 22:17:23	Yes	b3t1b2	Yes	No		
1679	2021-11-03 22:37:56	No	B3T1V8	Yes	No		
1680	2021-11-03 18:47:54	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	No		
1681	2021-11-03 22:09:54	Yes	b3t1r4	Yes	Yes	No	there will still be dust, bad for air quality
1682	2021-11-03 22:28:41	Yes	b3t2e8	Yes			
1683	2021-11-03 22:13:15	No	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1684	2021-11-03 22:20:02	No	B3t1p7	Yes			
1689	2021-11-03 21:29:15	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	No		
1691	2021-11-03 21:39:51	Yes	B3T2J2	Yes	Yes	No	
1692	2021-11-03 21:43:06	Yes	B3t2g2	Yes			
1693	2021-11-03 21:38:12	No	b3z1p7	Yes			
1694	2021-11-03 21:18:08	No	B2x2x5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1695	2021-11-03 21:36:37	No	B3T1Z9	Yes			
1696	2021-11-03 21:31:39	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1697	2021-11-03 21:23:39	Yes	B3t1r3	Yes	No		
1699	2021-11-03 21:20:54	No	b4c2n9	Yes			
1703	2021-11-03 20:58:03	No	B4C2Y8	Yes	No		
1706	2021-11-02 15:35:17	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	I have attended all of the meetings over the past 10 years and it seems to me that HRM council is not listening. The people have spoken as well as the government. Please respect our communities.
1710	2021-11-03 20:37:25	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	No		
1712	2021-11-03 16:32:19	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1715	2021-11-03 20:31:53	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	No		
1716	2021-11-03 20:30:40	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1718	2021-11-03 19:27:16	No	B3T2B5	Yes		I do not have any concerns	
1720	2021-11-02 17:26:46	Yes	B3Z4B4	Yes	Yes	No	Again, this is NOT a traditional landfill because it is located in the middle of residential communities. Our community was promised by a legal contract to have a world class facility and two components of a system, a FEP and WSF to host this facility.
1721	2021-11-03 20:09:07	Yes	B3T0C8	Yes	No		
1722	2021-11-03 20:07:12	Yes	B3H0H3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1726	2021-11-03 19:56:25	No	B3z1I7	Yes			
1728	2021-11-03 19:31:54	Yes	B3T1V1	Yes	No		
1731	2021-11-03 18:58:57	No	B3T1Y8	Yes	Yes	No	You don't specify how much this will cost, i.e. "naturally-sourced dust suppressant (Tembec)". Also please define what "naturally-resourced" means? What environmental impact does Tembec have on NS forests?
1732	2021-11-03 19:14:51	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1734	2021-11-03 19:22:20	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	No		
1735	2021-11-03 18:55:44	No	B3t2I2	Yes	No		
1738	2021-11-03 19:11:22	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	
1740	2021-11-03 19:04:36	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes			
1741	2021-11-03 14:08:13	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	No		
1742	2021-11-03 18:16:24	No	B3t1t1	Yes	No		
1747	2021-11-03 18:59:00	No	B3T1B1	No			
1748	2021-11-03 08:08:27	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
1749	2021-11-03 08:08:12	Yes	B3t1a7	No			
1750	2021-11-02 19:15:26	No	b3t1X8	No			
1752	2021-11-03 18:35:23	Yes	B3t1c2	Yes	No		
1753	2021-11-03 18:02:51	Yes	b3t1a4	Yes	No		
1754	2021-11-03 18:22:37	Yes	B3T1W1	Yes	No		
1757	2021-11-03 18:23:20	No	B3T1V7	Yes			
1759	2021-11-03 18:11:36	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	No		
1761	2021-11-02 15:25:47	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes		
1763	2021-11-03 18:04:19	Yes	B3T2G8	Yes	Yes	No	
1764	2021-11-03 17:51:38	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	No		
1765	2021-11-03 17:32:12	No	B3T2A1	Yes	No		
1766	2021-11-03 17:43:23	Yes	B3t2g6	Yes	No		
1767	2021-11-03 17:37:50	Yes	B3t1a4	Yes	No		
1772	2021-11-03 17:18:54	Yes	B3T2E6	Yes	No		
1774	2021-11-03 17:07:51	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	Many more vehicles is a concern
1775	2021-11-03 17:09:25	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes			
1776	2021-11-03 17:04:04	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	
1778	2021-11-03 16:37:04	Yes	B3T1S4	Yes	No		
1779	2021-11-03 15:56:48	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	No		
1781	2021-11-03 16:07:17	No	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes		
1782	2021-11-03 16:39:43	No	B3T1Y9	Yes	No		
1783	2021-11-03 16:40:52	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes			
1785	2021-11-03 16:25:58	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	No		
1786	2021-11-03 16:22:59	Yes	B3t1v1	Yes	Yes	No	
1788	2021-11-03 16:12:11	Yes	B3t0b6	Yes	Yes	No	
1789	2021-11-03 16:15:12	Yes	B3T1K6	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1793	2021-11-03 15:27:51	No	B3P2H4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1795	2021-11-03 15:35:32	Yes	B3t1a9	Yes	Yes	No	The dust is always in the air
1797	2021-11-03 15:27:34	Yes	b3t1v8	Yes	No		
1800	2021-11-03 15:03:42	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	No		
1801	2021-11-03 15:06:54	Yes	B3T2G5	Yes	No		
1803	2021-11-03 14:49:21	Yes	b3t1r8	Yes	No		
1804	2021-11-03 15:04:33	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
1805	2021-11-03 15:00:10	No	B3t1p6	Yes			
1806	2021-11-03 14:46:23	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	No		
1810	2021-11-03 14:36:34	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes	No		
1811	2021-11-03 14:44:03	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes			
1812	2021-11-03 14:19:31	Yes	B3t1a2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1814	2021-11-03 14:30:20	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	No		
1816	2021-11-03 14:01:21	Yes	B3t1t5	Yes	Yes	No	Dust will always be present and you can consistently see your efforts are not working
1817	2021-11-03 14:19:51	No	b3m0e2	Yes			
1818	2021-11-03 14:13:18	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	Air quality and stir up from increased activity will not be completely offset by these measures. Community agreed to the site and now govt and s changing the agreement- residents will suffer
1819	2021-11-03 13:51:16	No	B3T1S4	Yes	No		
1820	2021-11-03 13:51:31	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	No		
1821	2021-11-03 13:18:01	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	No		
1822	2021-11-03 11:22:47	No	B3T1X2	Yes	Yes	No	I do not trust HRM staff in regard to Otter Lake. Residents want HRM to honour the agreement and this is the third time in seven years they have tried to remove the FEP & WSF. No trust in Municipal staff or Councillors in this regard.
1823	2021-11-03 13:00:20	No	B3T2B7	Yes	No		
1824	2021-11-03 12:29:44	Yes	B3T1K5	Yes	No		
1825	2021-11-03 10:36:17	No	B3T1S7	Yes	No		
1826	2021-11-03 11:53:58	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	No		
1827	2021-11-03 11:59:02	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1828	2021-11-03 11:51:31	No	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1829	2021-11-03 11:21:43	Yes	B3T2G8	Yes	Yes	No	Just keep the FEP/WSF
1830	2021-11-03 11:24:06	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
1831	2021-11-03 10:57:07	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	No		
1832	2021-11-03 10:54:32	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1833	2021-11-03 10:56:41	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes	Yes	No	
1834	2021-11-03 10:49:26	No		Yes	Yes	No	
1835	2021-11-03 10:30:15	Yes	B3t1r7	Yes	No		
1836	2021-11-03 10:05:16	Yes	B3t1r9	Yes	No		
1837	2021-11-03 10:10:55	No	B3T1W6	Yes			
1838	2021-11-03 10:03:38	No	B3T2A3	Yes	No		
1839	2021-11-03 08:21:24	No	B3T1Z3	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1840	2021-11-03 08:37:51	No	b3t1v2	Yes	No		
1841	2021-11-03 08:17:55	No	B3T2B8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1842	2021-11-03 07:31:46	Yes	B3T0B2	Yes	No		
1843	2021-11-03 06:22:06	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	
1844	2021-10-28 17:17:53	No	B3I2y8	Yes			
1845	2021-11-03 07:02:47	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1846	2021-11-03 07:02:39	No	B3t2I2	Yes			
1847	2021-11-03 06:31:24	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	Yes	Any changes that result in increased activity are cause for concern. What is industrial waste comes back?
1848	2021-11-03 06:32:33	No	B3t2k8	Yes	No		
1849	2021-11-03 06:24:23	No	B3T2L2	Yes			
1850	2021-11-03 05:21:10	Yes	B3Z1L4	Yes	No		
1851	2021-11-03 04:19:44	Yes	B3t2e3	Yes	No		
1852	2021-11-03 04:15:58	No	B3t1t4	Yes			
1853	2021-11-02 21:45:01	No	B3T1Z3	Yes	No		
1854	2021-11-02 21:16:52	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes			
1855	2021-11-02 20:56:32	Yes	B3T1V8	Yes	No		
1856	2021-11-02 20:25:58	No	B3t1t6	Yes	No		
1857	2021-11-02 19:57:57	No	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1858	2021-11-02 19:49:44	No	B3Z1L4	Yes	Yes	No	We have been promised truck traffic (towing waste material) at the head of the Prospect Road (and the falling, hazardous debris) would be stopped - which we were told over a year ago. It hasn't changed anything as of yet
1859	2021-11-02 19:27:03	No	B3T1R6	Yes	No		
1860	2021-11-02 19:00:57	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	Bc they all get rocks and mud in their tires driving on the cap or near it. These damage windshields. Less trucks, better.
1861	2021-11-02 18:55:04	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1862	2021-11-02 18:56:23	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	Yes	No	
1863	2021-11-02 18:11:46	No	B3T1T4	Yes	No		
1864	2021-11-02 18:15:33	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes	No	
1865	2021-11-02 17:30:20	Yes	B3T1Z5	Yes	No		
1866	2021-11-02 16:40:23	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	Large trucks always generate more dust despite the use of suppressing chemicals
1867	2021-11-02 14:34:16	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	Yes	No	Without the FEP & WSF, how can anyone predict what concerns will occur as a result of dust
1868	2021-11-02 15:49:35	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	No		
1869	2021-11-02 15:14:23	No	B3T1R8	Yes	No		
1870	2021-11-02 15:23:31	No		Yes			
1871	2021-11-02 15:02:14	No	B3t1v7	Yes			
1872	2021-11-02 14:48:27	No	B3M0P2	Yes	No		
1873	2021-11-02 14:43:09	Yes	B3T1P8	Yes	Yes	No	
1874	2021-11-02 14:11:50	No	B3M0P2	Yes			
1875	2021-11-02 14:38:17	Yes	B3T1r8	Yes	Yes	No	
1876	2021-11-02 14:29:17	No	B4A1P3	Yes	Yes	No	
1877	2021-11-02 14:02:42	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1878	2021-11-02 14:28:39	No	B3T1R5	Yes			
1879	2021-11-02 14:24:25	No	B3T0H6	Yes			
1880	Email/Mail-In	No	B3T2C1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1881	Email/Mail-In	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1882	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	Dust is virtually not existent now at landfill. However, when more types of disposal are diverted to other locations for eg. sexter construction sud in Goodwood "DUST IS A PROBLEM"! Not hard to see the city is doubling in size in the next 20 years. What is being scare to reduce the hazards increased by diversion to other sites?

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1883	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T0H3	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	Increased traffic! More disturbance! More dust.
1884	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3M4M8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1885	Email/Mail-In	No	B3Z3T6	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1886	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1	2021-11-08 15:25:09	No	B3H1P7	Yes			
2	2021-12-05 09:24:23	Yes	B3T0I6	Yes	Yes	No	
3	2021-12-04 14:41:55	No	B3T1R9	Yes	No		
4	2021-12-04 14:23:40	No	B3T1z8	Yes	Yes	No	
7	2021-12-04 11:44:01	No	B3L4B2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	There has not been a whole project analysis of impact of GHGs - this question focuses on just one aspect
12	2021-12-03 22:10:00	No	B3Z2B3	Yes	Yes	No	The mitigation measures are not as effective as maintaining the FEP/WSF. This survey is not actually a balanced survey. It only presents the HRM/Staff/Mirror perspective as evidence and none of the concerns of the Community Monitoring Committee or others.
13	2021-12-03 22:23:07	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	No	
14	2021-12-03 20:49:08	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	In the very first paragraph it says "Diverting marterial away from the landfill is the best way to reduce greenhouse gas emissions" yet this proposal is to stop doing some of that work. Again, we have the already established FEP/WSF doing this.
15	2021-12-03 21:32:54	Yes	B3T2E6	Yes	Yes	No	There is a reason you were using FEP/WSP before, has that reason gone away. Use the methane to create electricity. Become a leader we're a capital city of one of the oremier countries in the world and this is the 21st century for goodness sake
16	2021-12-03 21:32:46	Yes	B3t2I7	Yes			
17	2021-11-11 16:41:08	No	B3L3H5	Yes	Yes	No	Sure you'll save on electricity but what about methane gas generated in a landfill that has become a truck and dump and could easily become the burial ground of ICI, due to unforeseen circumstances.
18	2021-12-03 20:43:54	No	b3t2c8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
23	2021-12-03 19:10:31	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	No		
24	2021-12-03 17:39:37	Yes	B3T0E2	Yes	Yes	No	
25	2021-12-03 16:56:45	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	Still concerned re deactivation
26	2021-12-03 16:22:18	No	B3T1S5	Yes	No		
27	2021-12-03 16:14:52	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	Yes	No	
28	2021-11-14 12:30:57	No	B3T1R8	Yes			
29	2021-11-04 13:54:59	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	you indicate" not anticipated to greatly increase" but you don't give any idea of how much it will increase and how this could be improved.
30	2021-11-25 10:10:19	No	B3T1R7	Yes	No		
35	2021-11-07 19:41:27	No	B3T1W9	Yes	Yes	No	
36	2021-12-03 11:26:34	No	B3M0P2	Yes	Yes	No	More untreated waste means a longer time to fully become inert. If anything the WSF should be used for 42 to 63 days to further treat waste to an inert state
37	2021-11-13 18:39:52	No	B3T1S5	Yes			
38	2021-12-03 11:25:23	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	No		
40	2021-12-03 10:45:24	Yes	B3T1X5	Yes	Yes	No	this does not fully educate me on what this process does and the outcome.
41	2021-12-03 10:52:22	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	No		
42	2021-12-03 10:24:39	No	B3t1t9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
44	2021-12-03 10:05:40	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	more waste equals more gas
46	2021-12-03 09:43:58	No	B3T2A6	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
47	2021-12-03 09:26:22	Yes	Be32e3	Yes	Yes	No	It comes down to trust. I have a science background and yet do not believe this statement. Realize you presented a model of the facility for us to accept as local residents. Not its being changed. There is no trust in your words.
48	2021-12-03 09:40:25	Yes	B3T0J8	Yes	No		
50	2021-12-03 08:45:22	No	B3T2E3	Yes			
51	2021-12-03 08:41:31	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	No	What happens if the gases increase because there is more organic material going into the landfill than you anticipated?
52	2021-12-03 07:53:12	No	B3t1s2	Yes			
53	2021-12-03 07:27:56	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	The changes for Otter Lake will lead to increased and longer term accumulation of waste materials, leading to a dump like former Lower Sackville IN THE MIDDLE OF A RESIDENTIAL AREA
54	2021-12-03 06:41:04	Yes	B3T1P8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
55	2021-12-03 06:21:35	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes			
56	2021-12-03 05:59:48	Yes	B3t2e3	Yes	No		
57	2021-11-02 19:50:36	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes			
58	2021-12-03 05:42:11	No	B3T2A4	Yes			
59	2021-12-03 05:14:46	No	B3t1x8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
63	2021-12-02 19:14:47	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes	No		
64	2021-12-02 16:48:06	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
66	2021-11-21 16:12:36	No	B3t1v3	Yes	Yes	No	
67	2021-12-02 13:35:06	Yes	B3t1s9	Yes			
68	2021-12-02 11:42:23	No	B3T1Z9	Yes	Yes	No	Why not use the methane to produce power
70	2021-12-02 07:57:42	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	
71	2021-12-01 19:13:58	No	B2Y4A6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
72	2021-12-01 18:24:02	No	B3t1v8	Yes	Yes		
73	2021-12-01 17:36:29	No	B3T1Y8	Yes	Yes	No	No comment
75	2021-12-01 16:52:37	No	B3T2G1	Yes	No		
77	2021-12-01 13:26:19	No	B3T1S7	Yes			
78	2021-12-01 11:03:14	Yes	B3K1K7	Yes	No		
79	2021-12-01 10:08:17	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	greenhouse gases are not anticipated to Greatly Increase does not instill confidence.
81	2021-12-01 05:17:42	No	B3T1Y3	Yes		Yes	
83	2021-11-30 20:30:42	No	B3T1W1	Yes			
84	2021-11-30 20:19:47	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	No		
85	2021-11-30 19:43:10	No	B3T1Z7	No			
86	2021-11-30 19:28:18	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	Talk all you want to is going to get worse
87	2021-11-30 18:50:05	Yes	B3z1M	Yes	No		
89	2021-11-30 16:53:09	Yes	B3z4b6	No			
91	2021-11-30 16:30:32	No	B3T1T6	Yes	Yes	No	It will only increase emissions and tax payer base
92	2021-11-30 12:20:04	Yes	B3T0C3	Yes	Yes	No	
93	2021-11-30 11:57:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes			
94	2021-11-30 08:30:28	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	
95	2021-11-30 06:31:37	Yes	B3t0e5	Yes	Yes	No	
96	2021-11-30 05:48:46	Yes	B3t0e2	Yes	Yes	No	
97	2021-11-30 05:11:25	Yes	B3T0C5	Yes	Yes	No	
98	2021-11-30 04:26:12	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	Trading a devil for a witch does not make it right!!!
100	2021-11-06 19:45:28	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	
102	2021-11-29 19:03:16	Yes	B3z3w9	Yes	Yes	No	HRM tries not to honour its commitments to the community. Why would this be any different?

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
103	2021-11-29 15:31:26	No	B3M3G9	Yes	No		
104	2021-11-29 12:49:54	No	b3t1t8	Yes			
105	2021-11-29 12:23:08	No	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
106	2021-11-29 12:47:42	No	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
111	2021-11-29 08:34:14	No		No			
112	2021-11-29 07:44:36	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	No	Wouldn't it be better to figure a way to reduce the carbon footprint of the facility by installing green energy generation program in site.
113	2021-11-28 22:36:07	No	B3T1W1	Yes	No		
114	2021-11-25 09:38:50	No	B3H2Y7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
116	2021-11-28 11:02:50	No	b3t1z4	Yes	No		
118	2021-11-28 08:58:19	No	B3T1W7	Yes	Yes	No	Sorting will help reduce the need for this mitigation. People do not sort their own garbage as well as you seem to think.
122	2021-11-27 17:43:03	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	No		
123	2021-11-27 16:20:14	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
124	2021-11-27 16:03:53	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	
125	2021-11-27 10:01:50	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	One of the most important outcomes of COP26 was a pledge from the EU and the US to reduce methane production. Arguing that eliminating processing of < 150 mm size organic materials "will not greatly increase" greenhouse gas production is disingenuous in that the phrasing attempts to discount a real concern. I suspect there is more public support for improving the facility.
126	2021-11-27 10:57:24	No	B3a2l8	Yes	Yes	No	This addresses some of my concerns, but not all. If residents are expected to sort their garbage thoroughly that's good, but having the final measure in place to sort out things that were not caught would be better than leaving it up to residents and then letting anything else have a free pass.
127	2021-11-27 10:26:39	No	b2w2a8	Yes	No		
128	2021-11-27 10:09:45	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes			
129	2021-11-27 08:52:29	No	B3z1e2	No			
133	2021-11-26 14:55:51	No	B2W0H6	Yes			
134	2021-11-26 14:49:36	No	b3m0n1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
135	2021-11-26 14:00:24	No	B3T1W7	Yes	Yes		
136	2021-11-26 13:20:12	No	B2W0L2	Yes	Yes	No	You have not quantified the level of GHGs produced by the additional organic waste to make a comparison with the reduction claim of 1240 tonnes per year. What is the other figure and how was it calculated? If the comparison is favourable why am I one seeing one number?
137	2021-11-26 12:19:20	No	B2Y4J5	Yes	Yes	No	Please do not tell me this is about saving the environment. This is about eliminating that portion of the power bill for Mirror so they can pocket cash. Once guard rails are removed, we all know that once screening is eliminated, there is a great incentive for all to go to speed and convenience. More methane producing garbage will more than make up for any GHG saved by turning off the electricity
138	2021-11-26 10:16:40	No	B2Y3M9	Yes			
139	2021-11-26 09:18:44	No	B3T2A5	Yes			
140	2021-11-26 07:46:03	No	b3a4H4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
141	2021-11-26 06:44:20	No	B4A4J8	No			
142	2021-11-26 05:29:08	No	0	Yes	No		
143	2021-11-26 04:10:09	No	B3P2S4	Yes	Yes	No	smoke and mirrors
144	2021-11-25 19:23:32	No	b3k1r3	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
145	2021-11-25 22:39:53	No	B3B1W2	Yes	Yes	No	
146	2021-11-25 22:23:40	Yes	B3t2h6	Yes	Yes	No	
147	2021-11-25 22:05:39	No	B2Y1B3	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes, but I'll believe it when I see it
148	2021-11-25 22:18:49	No	B2x2e5	No			
149	2021-11-25 21:37:53	No	B3M1V1	Yes	Yes	No	My concern is with the addition of organics that methane will be produced and the need for a methane collection system and burn off will never necessary. Also methane stinks. Otter Lake is in a inhabited area, any smell will greatly effect the nearby houses
150	2021-11-25 21:37:15	No	B3Z1H4	Yes			
151	2021-11-25 21:18:21	No	B3Z1V9	Yes	No		
152	2021-11-25 21:23:33	No	B3m3b6	No			
153	2021-11-25 17:57:49	Yes	B3T1T3	Yes	Yes	No	When there is more organic matter going into the landfill, obviously more methane gas will be produced
154	2021-11-25 18:08:41	Yes	B3T1B6	Yes			
155	2021-11-25 18:02:31	No	B3H4B2	Yes	No		
158	2021-11-25 16:58:07	No	B4b1n7	Yes	No		
159	2021-11-25 16:54:01	No	B2V2J9	No			
160	2021-11-25 15:58:11	No	b3n3j2	No			
162	2021-11-25 14:24:02	No	B3Z1H1	Yes	Yes	No	
163	2021-11-25 13:41:47	No	B3z3v7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
164	2021-11-25 13:13:06	Yes	b3T2E6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
165	2021-11-25 11:09:27	No	B2X2H6	Yes	Yes	No	Install solar panels on top of the already-capped landfill cells, as their daytime electricity generation should be easy to actually eliminate the co2 impact of the FEP/WSF, rather than just hand-waving it away as "mitigat[ing] potential increases as a result of not stabilizing materials". True zero emissions, not net-zero emissions.
166	2021-11-25 11:51:38	No	B3Z1L3	Yes	No		
167	2021-11-25 11:56:50	No	B3L3H3	Yes	Yes	No	
171	2021-11-25 10:09:06	Yes	B3M3K4	Yes			
173	2021-11-25 09:10:29	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	If our waste is not sorted at Otter Lake... who is actually going to do it? Residents are not. Adults are just big children. If not sorted by Otter Lake, sorting will not happen and all the garbage will go together in a landfill. Worst possible outcome.
174	2021-11-25 09:25:03	Yes	B3t1s8	Yes	No		
175	2021-11-24 11:06:59	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	
176	2021-11-05 17:13:07	No		Yes			
177	2021-11-25 08:59:48	No	B4B1M7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
178	2021-11-25 07:53:11	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes	Yes	No	When the garbage dump was proposed. It was supposed to be a dry dump. There was supposed to be no organics in it. Therefore you must wonder what is breaking down and causing the methane. There has been many changes to the dump. That was not conveyed to the public And we still continue to change what was proposed to the people around the dump.
179	2021-11-25 08:41:02	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	Yes	No	
180	2021-11-10 11:11:55	Yes	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes		
182	2021-11-25 07:32:55	No	B3Z1K3	Yes	No		
183	2021-11-25 07:15:20	No	B4A1J6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
184	2021-11-25 07:12:12	No	B3T1Z2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
186	2021-11-25 07:00:57	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	No		
188	2021-11-25 06:38:15	No	B3L1J9	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
189	2021-11-25 06:37:18	No	B3Z2N2	No			
190	2021-11-25 05:43:05	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	GHGs and other gases from the landfill are still an issue. Smell and odour in the Timberlea area is still an issue during certain weather periods. The GHGs created by the FEP/WSF could be better controlled by purchasing green sources of energy from NSP rather than downloading the issue to local residents.
191	2021-11-25 05:43:56	No	B2W2K3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
193	2021-11-24 21:10:46	No	B3Z1M2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
194	2021-11-24 18:22:24	Yes	B3T0C2	Yes	Yes	No	
195	2021-11-24 18:31:49	No	B3t1v1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
196	2021-11-17 18:34:09	No	B3T1v1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
197	2021-11-24 16:23:03	No	B3T1R3	Yes	Yes	No	
199	2021-11-24 12:20:19	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	No		
200	2021-11-24 07:49:10	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
202	2021-11-24 09:29:56	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	Your questions are leading and not allowing the public to properly address concerns. Organic matter will draw in bears, rats and more. Sorting is needed to ensure the plant functions as the public have paid for it to do.
204	2021-11-05 20:14:01	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
205	2021-11-24 08:12:11	Yes	B3T1W6	Yes	Yes	No	I don't think you have done sufficient study to support the claim that the reduction in electrical use will offset increase in GHG emission. Further, as the NSP grid becomes greener over the next decade, these offsets will continue to decrease
207	2021-11-24 07:19:53	No	B3Z1L4	Yes	Yes	No	No amount of preventable greenhouse gases is acceptable. Prevention is our responsibility.
208	2021-11-24 06:47:37	Yes	B3T2a5	Yes	Yes	No	When the wind blows in certain ways, it stinks here
209	2021-11-24 06:17:21	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	
212	2021-11-16 20:30:04	No	B3m4k9	Yes			
213	2021-11-23 15:04:58	No	B3T2L6	Yes	Yes	No	What measures will be put in place to mitigate or eliminate the impending greenhouse gas from the small pieces, add up to alot when concentrated, along with anything still in the landfill because half-life is not instantaneous
214	2021-11-23 17:13:18	No	B3T1X5	Yes	No		
215	2021-11-23 16:32:43	No	B3Z1L7	Yes	No		
220	2021-11-23 14:41:29	Yes	B3t1s6	Yes	Yes	No	Honor the contract
222	2021-11-14 09:01:40	No	B3T1V4	Yes	No		
223	2021-11-23 12:35:06	Yes	B3Z0K9	Yes	Yes	No	This is lip service to try to spin the issue
225	2021-11-05 18:01:51	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	No	Leave things as is.
226	2021-11-23 11:34:32	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	The information provided states in the first paragraph that diversion or organic materials is the best way to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, which is exactly what the front end sorting equipment is doing. Will upgrades to the existing methane collection systems be required to account for the increased organic loading?
227	2021-11-23 11:53:20	No	B3T2B2	Yes	Yes	No	
228	2021-11-23 10:59:54	No	B3T1W1	Yes			
229	2021-11-23 11:00:22	No	B3T1X4	Yes			
230	2021-11-05 06:42:04	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	No		
231	2021-11-23 09:12:02	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	Why implement it in the first place then? There was clearly a need. Very disappointed in this decision.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
232	2021-11-23 07:54:02	No	B4g1g3	Yes	No		
233	2021-11-23 08:49:27	No	B3t2c9	Yes			
234	2021-11-23 08:34:21	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes			
235	2021-11-15 06:30:45	No	B3T2H2	Yes	Yes	No	The key word is "greatly" it is still going to increase greenhouse gases. You are going in the wrong direction.
238	2021-11-22 17:35:24	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	reduction in greenhouse gasses is not the answer, elimination of these types of gases are crucial. We should rethink our position with respect to these areas of concern.
240	2021-11-22 16:33:36	Yes	B3t0b2	Yes	Yes	No	
242	2021-11-22 15:15:31	No	B4E2R3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
243	2021-11-22 09:15:10	No		Yes	No		
244	2021-11-22 15:09:14	No	B3T2B5	No			
247	2021-11-22 14:29:02	Yes	B3N3J3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
248	2021-11-22 13:25:02	No	B3T1V4	Yes			
249	2021-11-17 07:19:08	No		Yes			
250	2021-11-22 11:58:25	No	b3t1z1	Yes	No		
251	2021-11-22 10:57:01	No	B3T1X2	Yes	Yes	No	Removal of two front end systems will result in more organics in the landfill resulting in increased methane
253	2021-11-22 08:56:13	No	B3T1V8	Yes	Yes	No	I believe the majority of residents in single family homes separate organic waste and dispose of it properly however, those living in apartment buildings most likely do not. Once the front end separation is deactivated organics will be going into the facility. On a site as large as Otter Lake, solar energy should be used to generate all electricity.
254	2021-11-22 09:11:43	No	B3t1w3	Yes	Yes	Yes	But you know there are always failures and omissions in every situation
255	2021-11-04 16:24:30	No		Yes	No		
257	2021-11-08 07:34:24	No		Yes	No		
258	2021-11-22 07:46:11	No		Yes	Yes	Yes	
259	2021-11-21 19:13:42	No	B3T1Y6	Yes	Yes	No	You need to look into ways the FEP/WSF can work more efficiently and consume less electricity. Not go backwards to eliminating it and thereby creating additional issues.
260	2021-11-21 21:35:36	Yes	B3T2H7	Yes	Yes	No	Anticipation does not address my concerns, though the electrical cost of running the process is an interesting argument that I would find hard to ignore.
263	2021-11-21 20:04:16	No	B3T2B3	Yes	Yes		
264	2021-11-21 20:02:01	No	b3n1t3	No			
265	2021-11-21 19:28:10	No	B3Z3W8	Yes	Yes		
266	2021-11-21 10:54:40	No	B3T0B5	Yes	Yes	No	
267	2021-11-21 09:15:00	No	B3T1Z8	Yes			
268	2021-11-21 08:38:26	Yes	B3Z1M9	Yes	Yes	No	Because you are not being truthful and I do not trust what you are stating
269	2021-11-21 08:34:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes			
270	2021-11-21 08:08:50	No	B3T1Y6	Yes			
272	2021-11-21 06:37:30	Yes	B3t1s6				
275	2021-11-20 22:19:47	No	B3Z1C7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
276	2021-11-20 22:13:14	No	B3t2b8	Yes			
277	2021-11-20 21:39:54	No	B3T2C9	Yes	No		
278	2021-11-20 20:55:18	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	Yes	No	This was not in the agreement signed with our communities . You need to stick to the contract as well as your word.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
279	2021-11-20 18:20:13	No	B3T2L1	Yes	No		
281	2021-11-20 14:33:02	No	B3T1X2	Yes	Yes	No	Any leaked gases, especially from materials under 150mm are very hazardous to our planet's health. Any climate change impact we have will affect us for generations to come, and we cannot risk any greenhouse gas emissions at all. Unless you are offsetting via tree planting or another method, this is insufficient and unethical to continue polluting our planet.
284	2021-11-20 13:01:56	No	B3T1T8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
285	2021-11-20 09:52:20	No	b3t2a4	Yes	No		
287	2021-11-20 06:22:00	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes			
288	2021-11-20 05:22:39	Yes	B3T2L4	Yes	Yes	No	It is completely backwards in 2021 to shut down the FEP/WSF any and all measures to reduce greenhouse gasses should be employed, not simply "hoping" to balance it out by reducing electricity use. That is a complete scapegoat
289	2021-11-19 22:45:53	No	B3t1w5	Yes	Yes	No	
292	2021-11-19 19:55:05	Yes	B3t1a5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
293	2021-11-19 20:00:21	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes			
297	2021-11-19 17:40:58	Yes	B3t2l6	Yes		No	No change as home owners bought houses her understanding the plan was to continue. This area also is an area with little investment from HRM in comparison to other areas but at same time asking us to be ok with these changes . It is not acceptable for some cost savings to occur while affecting a small proportion of Citizens in HRM
300	2021-11-19 16:24:10	Yes	B3t2e8	Yes	No		
301	2021-11-19 15:30:49	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
302	2021-11-19 14:41:19	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	The use of technology to help limit methane gas exposure to the world is in concert with limiting what we put into landfill, how does allowing more organic into the site assist in lower methane production
304	2021-11-19 13:53:50	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes			
306	2021-11-19 13:17:35	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	
307	2021-11-19 12:52:56	Yes	B3T1S8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
309	2021-11-19 13:13:25	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes	No	Placing organic waste directly into the landfill untreated is bound to raise the odour problem and will increase the greenhouse gas emissions.
310	2021-11-19 12:09:54	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
312	2021-11-19 11:21:59	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
313	2021-11-19 12:01:38	Yes	B3t0e2	Yes	No		
314	2021-11-19 11:37:55	Yes	B3T0B3	Yes	No		
315	2021-11-19 11:40:51	No	b4e3j4	No			
316	2021-11-19 11:05:54	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	No		
317	2021-11-19 10:51:58	No	B3t2a4	Yes	Yes	No	You are twisting the truth here. Bottom line is that this will cause more harm than good.
328	2021-11-19 09:26:04	No	B3t1s4	Yes	Yes	No	
329	2021-11-19 09:23:53	No	B3T1T7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
330	2021-11-19 09:18:34	No	B3T2E3	No			
331	2021-11-03 14:14:39	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
332	2021-11-19 09:07:23	No	B3z3x7	Yes	Yes	No	No matter how hard we try there will always be gas we can't catch. The more we can try to help the better, but unfortunately some still escape
336	2021-11-19 06:57:40	No	B3r1s7	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
340	2021-11-16 06:28:52	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
342	2021-11-18 13:01:08	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste should not be buried in a landfill
343	2021-11-06 11:19:16	Yes	B3T1S3	Yes			
344	2021-11-18 08:13:25	No	B3Z1S1	Yes			
348	2021-11-17 22:58:07	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
349	2021-11-17 22:09:54	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes			
350	2021-11-17 21:58:13	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes			
351	2021-11-17 20:45:05	No	B3T1V4				
352	2021-11-17 16:59:54	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	No	Increased methane and CO2 production in the landfill will necessarily increase if there is no waste stabilisation process of organic waste. This problem will increase if/when ICI waste stop being accepted at other facilities. The CO2 associated with the electricity consumption of the FEP/WSF will decrease as the electricity is getting greener in NS by
353	2021-11-17 18:51:05	No	B3T1R7	Yes	No		
354	2021-11-17 18:35:15	Yes	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	No	These were promised to us from the beginning and were deemed necessary and valid. In order to save money is not a valid reason to throw all these promised measured out the window.
356	2021-11-17 17:37:03	Yes	B3T1Z1	Yes	No		
358	2021-11-17 17:32:46	No	B3t1p9	Yes	No		
359	2021-11-17 16:50:01	No	B3t1z5	Yes	No		
361	2021-11-17 08:21:13	No	B3T0J9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
362	2021-11-17 16:12:46	Yes	B3T1Z5	Yes	Yes	No	
364	2021-11-17 14:48:48	No	B3T1Z7	Yes	Yes	No	Those wells do not work. No debate about that. There are numerous locations where those wells are present and they still stink from miles away - the reason - organics in the waste stream. Keeping the diversion in the FEP/WSF mitigates the amount of gasses produced so they may be more appropriately handled by the collection system down the road.
366	2021-11-17 14:03:17	No	B3T1S3	Yes	Yes	No	
368	2021-11-17 12:56:04	No	B3T2A3	Yes	Yes	No	You made a promise to the community that the Otter Lake facility could be built there on condition that it would include only inert matter. You are breaking your word. This survey does not feel like consultation - it feels like a dismissal of the fact that the city is breaking its promise.
369	2021-11-17 12:39:30	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes	Yes	No	
372	2021-11-17 10:06:34	Yes	B3T2B4	Yes	Yes	No	You have not demonstrated how you are going to increase diversion. What educational measures are you taking? What inspections at the curbside are being taken to discourage people who are discarding organics in their garbage?
373	2021-11-17 09:17:34	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	While the entire world is being pushed to measure up on environmental issues - you would like us to take a big step backwards
374	2021-11-17 08:29:21	No	B3L2X9	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
375	2021-11-17 07:34:30	No	B3Z1L7	Yes	No		
378	2021-11-17 02:20:42	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	Yes	No	
379	2021-11-16 22:06:39	No	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	
380	2021-11-16 21:10:18	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
381	2021-11-16 21:18:47	No	B3t1t8	Yes			
382	2021-11-16 21:09:04	No	B4E0H1	Yes			
384	2021-11-06 11:35:33	No	B3T1S6	Yes			
387	2021-11-16 20:28:47	No	B3T2A8	Yes	Yes	No	
388	2021-11-16 20:08:46	No	b4e0c5	Yes	Yes	No	
389	2021-11-16 19:53:05	Yes	b3t1m6	Yes	No		
391	2021-11-16 19:17:01	Yes	B3t1v6	Yes	No		
392	2021-11-16 17:11:00	Yes	B3t1z6	Yes			
394	2021-11-16 16:57:48	Yes	B3T1K6	Yes	Yes	No	
395	2021-11-16 16:47:46	No	B3T1V3	Yes			
396	2021-11-16 16:26:13	No	b3r1y2	Yes	Yes	No	cut the BS. that statement above is for the ignorant.
397	2021-11-16 16:09:29	No	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	No	
398	2021-11-16 14:32:29	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	getting rid of front end processor is stupid---why was it put there in the first place---a saving of 2 million in a 1 billion dollar budget-- mere peanuts--- put Your heads together for better solutions!
402	2021-11-16 13:43:14	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	
403	2021-11-16 13:20:21	No	B3T2A5	Yes	Yes	No	May control gases, not smell
404	2021-11-16 13:04:35	Yes	B3T1T1	Yes	No		
405	2021-11-16 13:08:17	No	B3.2g6	Yes	Yes		
407	2021-11-16 12:16:47	Yes	B3T1R9	Yes	No		
409	2021-11-16 12:01:52	No	B3T2A3	Yes	Yes	No	
410	2021-11-16 11:28:33	No	B3T2B7	Yes	No		
411	2021-11-15 19:57:12	No	B3T1Z6	Yes			
412	2021-11-16 11:13:28	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	
413	2021-11-16 10:54:56	No	B3T1R2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
418	2021-11-08 04:39:24	No	B3t2b9	No			
419	2021-11-16 09:20:25	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	
420	2021-11-16 09:14:25	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	
421	2021-11-16 08:16:26	No	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes		
422	2021-11-16 08:02:51	No	B3T2A6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
423	2021-11-16 07:03:38	No	B3T1S3	Yes	Yes	No	you should have put in a not sure option!!
425	2021-11-16 07:17:23	No	B4B0V3	No			
427	2021-11-16 06:40:08	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	Yes	No	
428	2021-11-16 05:15:53	No	B3t1r8	Yes	Yes	No	This is certainly interesting, but this means that those living close to the landfill have to tolerate more pollution while others get to pay themselves on the back for reducing emissions. This is not fair and there are better ways to reduce emissions
429	2021-11-16 03:50:44	No	b3t1r2	Yes			
432	2021-11-15 22:24:58	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes			
433	2021-11-15 21:42:51	No	B3z1k6	Yes	Yes	No	Use solar electricity to power the FEP/WSF
436	2021-11-15 21:31:56	No	b3t0h5	Yes			
439	2021-11-15 20:24:57	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
442	2021-11-15 20:01:15	Yes	B3j1b6	Yes			
443	2021-11-15 19:21:38	No	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	Not anticipated is not a re-assuring statement here. Keep the facilities as is
444	2021-11-15 19:19:56	Yes	B3T0C5	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
445	2021-11-15 19:23:32	No	B3M2Z8	Yes			
447	2021-11-15 19:20:01	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes		
448	2021-11-15 19:18:50	Yes	B3T0C5	Yes			
449	2021-11-15 18:52:28	No	B3T1S7	Yes	Yes	No	
451	2021-11-15 19:07:14	Yes	B3T0C3	Yes	Yes	No	
453	2021-11-09 17:16:13	Yes	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	
454	2021-11-15 18:12:10	No	B3T2E4	Yes			
456	2021-11-15 18:00:43	No	B3m1b3	Yes	Yes	No	
457	2021-11-15 17:07:16	No	B3T1S7	Yes	Yes	No	All this is subject to proper operation and maintenance, as per the existing agreement how is the public reassured that cost measures related to gas capture will not outweigh the environmental benefits and be removed in coming years. The current agreement has been nullified by the operators why will this not happen again with an engineered measure that requires maintenance
459	2021-11-15 16:33:39	Yes	b3t1n1	Yes	No		
460	2021-11-15 15:34:49	Yes	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	No	How about sustainable/renewable energy source for the "high power consumption" portion of the operation? Rather than shut it down why not make it more efficient, therefore saving money AND the initial intent of the project as it was designed
463	2021-11-04 13:14:52	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes	No		
467	2021-11-15 15:05:11	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	No		
468	2021-11-15 14:28:53	No	B3T1T5	Yes	Yes	No	Just leave things as they are Andy keep the FSP
469	2021-11-15 14:37:16	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	No	
470	2021-11-04 13:58:09	No	B3t2I1	Yes	Yes	No	
472	2021-11-15 13:06:30	Yes	B3T1P4	Yes			
474	2021-11-15 11:55:02	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	We were originally told that there would be no organics in this landfill, now you are not only allowing them in, but you want to add more.
475	2021-11-15 12:15:51	Yes	B3t1t5	Yes	Yes	No	
476	2021-11-15 11:06:37	Yes	B3T1A2	Yes	Yes	No	Because if people feel that no one is watching, they have the potential to stop sorting there household waste & we wind up with the site having more rodents, birds & greenhouse gas emissions.
477	2021-11-15 11:09:54	No	B3t1z9	Yes	No		
478	2021-11-02 15:44:15	No	B3t1z9	Yes	No		
479	2021-11-15 10:58:42	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	No		
482	2021-11-15 10:47:45	No	B3T2L1	Yes	No		
483	2021-11-15 10:38:04	No	B3t1z9	Yes	No		
487	2021-11-15 08:33:12	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	So you are intimating that by using less electricity you will 'offset' the environmental impacts? Nope. I'm not buying that. How short sighted! Methane is itself a valuable byproduct. Instead of focussing on money savings (what this is really about, who are we kidding!) look to ways of harvesting that byproduct as a resource.
488	2021-11-15 09:26:01	No		Yes			
490	2021-11-15 08:50:46	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	No		
491	2021-11-15 08:35:24	No	B4A0G5	Yes	Yes	No	Off gas is a serious concern and increasing the amount of matter that is going to create more methane has a long term risk to the environment. We've been a leader for a reason, we need to maintain that leadership position.
492	2021-11-15 08:16:23	No	B3T1W2	Yes	Yes	No	Do not want any increase of gas production
493	2021-11-15 08:06:45	Yes	B3P2S2	Yes	Yes	No	Keep the FEP/WSF. Do not deactivate.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
494	2021-11-15 07:51:27	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
496	2021-11-15 06:25:23	No	b2y2k5	Yes			
497	2021-11-15 06:30:42	No	b3t1s6	Yes			
498	2021-11-15 06:04:16	No	B4A4G9	Yes			
499	2021-11-15 06:00:23	No	B3T1Z4	Yes			
501	2021-11-15 05:17:48	No	B3T2B6	Yes			
502	2021-11-15 04:23:45	No	B3T2B6	Yes			
503	2021-11-14 22:48:09	Yes	B3T1T3	Yes	Yes	No	Our community is growing in housing development expedientally & having this plant in such close proximity, we need max. protection going forward.
504	2021-11-14 23:28:13	Yes	B3t1s4	Yes	Yes	No	
505	2021-11-14 21:41:33	No	B3L4E9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
507	2021-11-14 21:08:08	Yes	B3Z2R2	Yes			
508	2021-11-14 18:53:01	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	No		
509	2021-11-14 19:24:07	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	In no way could the reduction of electricity used offset the greenhouse gas emissions. NSP is getting away from those sources of power generation.
510	2021-11-14 19:06:31	No	B3z2j2	Yes	Yes	No	
511	2021-11-14 18:40:50	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	No		
512	2021-11-14 18:24:35	No	B3z1c5	Yes			
513	2021-11-14 17:48:16	Yes	B3t1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
514	2021-11-14 17:24:20	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
515	2021-11-14 17:13:33	No	B3t1z1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
517	2021-11-14 17:03:48	No	B3T2B7	Yes	No		
518	2021-11-14 16:51:46	No	B3t2c8	Yes	No		
519	2021-11-14 16:42:02	No	B3T2a3	Yes	Yes	No	Methane has a much greater effect on climate change than Carbon dioxide from energy production
520	2021-11-14 16:19:13	Yes	B3t1w1	Yes	Yes	No	Still have plenty gasses and stink
521	2021-11-14 16:13:51	No	B3t2h8	Yes	Yes	No	There's still greenhouse gas emissions
522	2021-11-14 15:41:54	No	B3Z1X7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
523	2021-11-06 17:49:33	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes			
524	2021-11-14 15:16:45	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes		
525	2021-11-14 14:28:12	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes	Yes		
526	2021-11-14 14:13:29	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	
527	2021-11-14 12:59:52	No	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	
529	2021-11-14 12:32:35	Yes	B3T1T7	Yes		No	You are playing games. You are ignoring the possibility of switching to green energy sources which completely negates your offset argument and results in increased emissions.
530	2021-11-14 12:47:29	No	B3t2a8	No			
531	2021-11-14 12:46:53	Yes	b3t1a9	Yes			
532	2021-11-14 12:15:28	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	No	I do believe this spike be enough to offset the gases this would generate.
533	2021-11-14 12:23:24	Yes	b3t1a5	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
534	2021-11-14 11:50:10	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
535	2021-11-14 10:54:56	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	Yes		
537	2021-11-14 10:24:51	No	B3A2Y6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
538	2021-11-08 06:46:30	Yes	b3t3c9	Yes	Yes		
539	2021-11-14 09:41:02	No	b3t2b4	Yes	No		
540	2021-11-14 09:23:15	No	B3L1W1	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
541	2021-11-14 09:02:04	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
542	2021-11-14 09:01:11	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes	No	
543	2021-11-14 07:47:50	Yes	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	No	Without the FEP organic material will find its way into the landfill. With more and more condos and apartments being constructed in HRM the level of unsorted garbage is guaranteed to increase. Most apartments have a chute that the garbage gets deposited, no sorting controls exist or limited sorting will lead to increased greenhouse gasses.
544	2021-11-14 07:20:45	Yes	B3T1A7	Yes	Yes	No	Get in bed with NSPower, and get them to pay for the electricity bill- they can afford it
545	2021-11-13 19:53:48	Yes	B3T0B6	Yes	Yes	No	
548	2021-11-14 07:04:09	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	
549	2021-11-07 15:48:37	Yes	B3t1w1	Yes	Yes	No	
550	2021-11-14 06:41:46	Yes	B3t0b3	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe
551	2021-11-14 06:26:48	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	
552	2021-11-14 06:22:05	No	B3k1x3	Yes			
553	2021-11-14 04:19:11	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	No		
554	2021-11-14 04:17:16	No	B4B0Y6	Yes			
555	2021-11-14 02:03:54	Yes	B3t0J3	Yes	Yes	No	
556	2021-11-14 01:17:35	Yes	B3T2J3	Yes	Yes	No	While magnitude is being focused on above, green house gases will increase. That is an issue to me when we can avoid it.
557	2021-11-14 01:10:03	Yes	B3t1k6	Yes	No		
558	2021-11-13 23:39:07	No	B3Z0E7	Yes			
561	2021-11-13 22:03:06	No	B3T2B4	Yes			
562	2021-11-13 21:58:46	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	
563	2021-11-13 21:07:26	No	B3T1V8	Yes	No		
565	2021-11-13 20:50:36	No	B3N1E3				
568	2021-11-13 19:12:12	Yes	B3R1R4	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe these measures will be taken.
569	2021-11-13 18:36:52	No	B3T1W7	Yes	Yes	No	Not good enough, HRM's growth requires the maintenance of the FEP/WSP
570	2021-11-13 18:56:22	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes			
573	2021-11-13 09:09:40	No		Yes	Yes	No	
576	2021-11-13 16:34:10	No	B3T1W2	Yes	Yes	No	We (i.e. the communities surrounding Otter Lake) were promised the measures put in place 20 years ago would remain in place. HRM needs to honour that agreement.
577	2021-11-13 16:15:03	Yes	B3Z1E8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
578	2021-11-13 16:12:11	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
579	2021-11-13 14:52:37	Yes	B3T1W9	Yes	Yes	No	If the concern is the electric bill, while not use alternative power. Solar or wind power, this would increase the "green action plan" taken by the municipality
580	2021-11-13 15:28:04	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
581	2021-11-13 15:35:18	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes			
582	2021-11-13 15:09:51	Yes	B3T0C7	Yes	Yes	No	We should be do more to control carbon to help curb global warming.
584	2021-11-13 14:20:55	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes	Yes	No	
585	2021-11-13 14:05:39	No	B3t1s3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
586	2021-11-13 13:03:09	Yes	B3t1t7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
587	2021-11-13 12:49:35	Yes	B3t2h9	Yes			
588	2021-11-13 12:12:35	Yes	B3T1W2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
589	2021-11-13 12:34:33	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
590	2021-11-13 12:34:26	No	B3T1R2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
591	2021-11-12 10:59:07	No	B3T1W3	Yes	Yes	No	
592	2021-11-13 11:45:10	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	There is a reason this landfill was set up the way it was. It needs to be running properly to maintain the level of safety and sanitation we currently have.
594	2021-11-13 11:35:51	No	B3T2A6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
595	2021-11-13 11:23:25	No	B3r1s1	Yes	Yes	No	
596	2021-11-13 11:27:25	No	B3T1W9	Yes	No		
598	2021-11-13 09:13:42	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
599	2021-11-13 10:52:22	Yes	B3t1v8	Yes			
601	2021-11-04 08:30:06	No	B3t1y6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
602	2021-11-13 09:10:48	No	B3T1R6	Yes	No		
603	2021-11-13 08:49:57	Yes	B3T0H6	Yes			
605	2021-11-08 10:53:05	Yes	B3T1T8	Yes	No		
607	2021-11-13 08:42:24	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	No	
608	2021-11-13 08:43:32	No	B3T1V8	Yes			
609	2021-11-13 08:37:22	No	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
613	2021-11-13 08:01:46	No	B3T1T3	Yes			
614	2021-11-13 07:52:06	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	No		
615	2021-11-13 07:29:43	Yes	B3Z0N9	Yes	Yes	No	At least some materials are separated now. Every bit will save the environment.
616	2021-11-12 10:15:32	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes	No	
617	2021-11-13 07:32:01	No	B3r1z3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
618	2021-11-13 07:12:01	No	B3Z3A5	Yes	No		
619	2021-11-13 07:22:29	No	B3t1y5	Yes			
620	2021-11-13 07:24:17	No	B3T1T8	Yes			
621	2021-11-13 06:57:29	No	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
622	2021-11-13 06:34:34	No	B0J2C0	Yes	Yes	Yes	
623	2021-11-13 06:11:22	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	
624	2021-11-13 05:23:59	No	B3T1Y1	Yes			
625	2021-11-13 04:38:15	No	B3n1g2	Yes			
628	2021-11-12 22:32:48	No	B3T1V2	Yes	No		
630	2021-11-12 21:34:52	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	Yes	No	I am not concern with the Electricity used onsite. This CO2 output will be reduced significantly as the province reaches it renewal energy goals. The wording of its "not anticipated" to increase. Leaves alot of room for bawk tracking when it does increase.
632	2021-11-12 21:45:13	No	B3t2b8	Yes			
635	2021-11-12 20:55:35	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste should not be buried in the landfill.
636	2021-11-12 20:53:35	Yes	B3t0e1	Yes	No		
637	2021-11-12 20:31:03	No	B3L3W3	Yes			
638	2021-11-12 20:33:57	No	B3Z0N9	Yes			
639	2021-11-12 19:53:27	Yes	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	No	Concerned about smelly winds
640	2021-11-12 20:10:10	Yes	B3T2G2	Yes	Yes	No	Please do not deactivate the FEP/WSF. This is a step that was promised to the community. This is not something that should be removed. Increased organics and not separating them, causing increased methane.
641	2021-11-12 19:54:56	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
642	2021-11-12 19:51:56	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	Yes	No	
644	2021-11-04 15:18:44	No	B3T1T3	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
645	2021-11-12 19:18:14	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	Organic should should not be put in the landfill
647	2021-11-12 18:58:13	No	B3T1X7	Yes	No		
648	2021-11-12 19:00:02	No	B3R1Z6	Yes			
649	2021-11-12 18:45:16	No	B3T2L1	Yes	No		
650	2021-11-12 18:45:15	No	B3t2a6	Yes			
651	2021-11-12 18:39:00	No	B3T2B2	No			
653	2021-11-09 15:44:21	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
654	2021-11-12 17:58:39	No	B3t1s5	Yes	Yes	No	Why doesn't the site work to generate it's own power
655	2021-11-12 18:04:48	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	
658	2021-11-12 17:20:52	Yes	B3t1r8	Yes	Yes		
660	2021-11-12 16:54:50	Yes	B3t1t4	Yes	Yes	No	If the organics are not to be removed as they are now it would create more gases
661	2021-11-12 16:50:26	No	B3t1p7	Yes	Yes	No	Again, the current process meets these requirements, why change them
662	2021-11-12 16:11:02	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes	Yes	No	Leave things the way they are...it works! Why does the city want to devalue our homes? Brunello Estates is such a beautiful place to live and now the city wants to ruin it.
663	2021-11-12 16:22:48	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes	No	
664	2021-11-05 11:03:02	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	The increases organics will probably be more that you supposedly anticipate.
665	2021-11-12 16:13:09	Yes	B3t1a2	Yes	Yes	No	
666	2021-11-12 15:58:30	No	B3T2B9	Yes	Yes	No	
668	2021-11-12 14:45:10	Yes	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	No	
669	2021-11-12 14:09:19	No	B3Z0C8	Yes	Yes	No	Not significantly increasing is not a mitigation. We should be looking for better ways to address waste-- even better than FEP & WSP verses just shutting them down and burying everything - come on HRM you, WE can do better.
673	2021-11-12 13:57:18	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
675	2021-11-12 13:46:14	No					
677	2021-11-12 13:27:53	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	No	This survey is leading and directing a response and does allow for important concerns to be addressed
678	2021-11-12 13:08:59	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	No	More community education and enforcement is needed to be sure that only proper waste materials are dumped.
679	2021-11-12 13:23:55	No					
685	2021-11-12 11:19:12	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
687	2021-11-04 13:53:39	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes		
688	2021-11-12 11:55:18	No	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes		
689	2021-11-12 11:21:27	Yes	B3t0k4	Yes	Yes	No	Did not provide results of the evaluation or specific estimates of potential increases
690	2021-11-12 11:36:23	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes			
692	2021-11-12 11:31:12	Yes	B3t0c4	Yes	Yes	No	
693	2021-11-12 11:32:34	Yes	B3t2g2	Yes	Yes	No	
695	2021-11-09 14:58:14	No	B3M3Y5	Yes	Yes	No	The photo shows a large flock of birds, so much for control measures on that topic!
696	2021-11-12 10:56:26	No	B3T2B3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
698	2021-11-12 10:45:38	No	B3t2b4	Yes			
699	2021-11-05 07:51:24	No		Yes	Yes	No	Basic concern is with water . It is a trade off , either we assure water standards, or air standards... is that what the survey is implying?
701	2021-11-12 10:20:55	No	B3T1W1	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
702	2021-11-12 10:16:59	Yes	B3t1a9	Yes			
704	2021-11-12 09:41:35	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	No		
707	2021-11-12 09:44:13	Yes	B3T0B6	Yes	Yes	No	Not good enough. Moving in the wrong direction
708	2021-11-12 09:47:42	No	B3T1R7	Yes			
709	2021-11-12 09:43:26	No	B3r0b2	Yes	Yes	No	
712	2021-11-12 09:41:22	No	B3T1X5	Yes	Yes	No	
713	2021-11-12 09:40:17	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes			
715	2021-11-12 09:10:33	No	B3T1W6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
717	2021-11-12 09:26:35	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	
719	2021-11-12 09:06:45	Yes	B3t2a2	Yes	Yes	No	
720	2021-11-12 08:33:02	No	B3Z3X1	Yes	Yes	No	You say WSF can reduce the greenhouse gases, but does it? When will you be deciding not to do that anymore? What then?
721	2021-11-12 08:18:26	No	B3T2A8	Yes	No		
723	2021-11-12 08:36:35	Yes	B3T2K5	Yes			
725	2021-11-12 08:27:32	Yes	B3T0C2	Yes	No		
726	2021-11-12 08:20:28	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
728	2021-11-12 08:30:51	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes			
730	2021-11-12 08:11:33	No	B3t2a8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
732	2021-11-12 07:03:31	Yes	B3t1t5	Yes	No		
733	2021-11-11 22:26:20	Yes	B3t1c3	Yes	Yes	No	
735	2021-11-11 19:08:24	Yes	B3t1k2	Yes	No		
736	2021-11-11 18:30:49	No	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	No	Please stop pretending your present mitigation will control any of the the immediate concerns around the FEP/WSF, you do not know what will happen.
739	2021-11-11 18:00:07	No	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	No	Our planet is dying and these measures are not enough...please be more forward thinking
741	2021-11-11 18:03:23	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes	Yes		
743	2021-11-11 16:40:58	Yes	B3T1R9	Yes	No		
745	2021-11-11 15:03:16	No	B3T2A4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
746	2021-11-11 13:12:54	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
747	2021-11-11 15:23:58	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes			
748	2021-11-11 14:02:48	No	B3t1t8	Yes	No		
749	2021-11-11 13:16:05	No	B3T2J7	Yes	No		
751	2021-11-11 13:09:16	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes			
752	2021-11-11 13:01:23	No	B3T1W3	Yes			
753	2021-11-11 12:02:06	Yes	B3t2b2	Yes	No		
757	2021-11-11 11:49:47	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	Yes	No	Will be an issue for years. Can't contain it all forever.
759	2021-11-11 11:38:35	Yes	B3T1C3	No			
760	2021-11-11 11:30:14	No	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	No	
761	2021-11-11 11:00:27	Yes	B3T1C3	Yes	Yes	No	
762	2021-11-11 10:05:48	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	Yes, no matter what you do, risk's will still be there - it'll be bad for the environment.
763	2021-11-11 08:28:05	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	Methane gas will inevitably increase and escape. While there are things you can do to help this, mistakes can happen and the risk is still there.
767	2021-11-11 09:11:03	No	B3t2a1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
768	2021-11-11 08:46:55	No	B3t1p7	Yes	Yes	No	Not separating waste and not stabilizing materials will mean more stiff dumped into the landing.
769	2021-11-11 08:06:36	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	No		
770	2021-11-11 08:17:33	Yes	B3t1r9	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
771	2021-11-11 08:21:43	No	B0J2C0	Yes			
772	2021-11-11 08:18:25	Yes	B3M0J9	Yes	Yes	No	
773	2021-11-11 07:42:15	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	No		
774	2021-11-11 07:03:07	No	B3T1V4	Yes	No		
775	2021-11-10 14:02:24	Yes	B3t0e4	Yes			
776	2021-11-11 07:05:09	Yes	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
777	2021-11-11 06:46:00	No	B3t1y7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
778	2021-11-11 06:28:21	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
779	2021-11-11 06:43:21	No	B3t2b6	Yes	Yes	No	Maintenance fails overtime
780	2021-11-11 06:39:32	No	B3T1V3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
781	2021-11-11 06:39:09	Yes	B3T1v3	Yes			
782	2021-11-11 06:38:52	Yes	B3T0C7	Yes			
783	2021-11-11 06:31:00	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	
784	2021-11-11 05:59:37	No	B3Z1L6	Yes	No		
785	2021-11-11 06:00:30	No	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	
788	2021-11-10 23:24:38	Yes	B3T1W2				
789	2021-11-10 22:36:27	Yes	B3T0C7	Yes			
790	2021-11-10 21:14:36	Yes	B3T1C4	No			
795	2021-11-10 18:39:43	No	B3t1w9	Yes	No		
798	2021-11-10 19:03:32	Yes	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	No	They will increase!!! No more needs to be said
799	2021-11-10 18:54:45	No	B3V1E5	Yes	No		
800	2021-11-10 18:25:49	Yes	B3T2B1	Yes	Yes	No	These changes in my opinion is to save money only and with no concern for the environment any emissions is to much
801	2021-11-06 14:25:32	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	No	You are automatically generating more additional methane gas due to the fact the waste isn't being separated and decomposing in the ground.
804	2021-11-10 18:01:15	No	B3T1W9	Yes	No		
805	2021-11-10 16:59:47	No	B3t2b8	Yes	Yes	No	Organics will have a much greater effect in our landfill than without.
806	2021-11-10 17:02:48	Yes	B3t1w6	Yes	No		
807	2021-11-10 15:00:14	No	B3T1X1	Yes	Yes	No	If you stop the sorting, the landfill in my opinion will harm our ground water.This is not how the landfill was intended to be worked
808	2021-11-10 17:23:49	No	B0N1L0	Yes			
809	2021-11-10 17:14:41	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	Yes	No	Still have gases going into the air.
810	2021-11-10 17:10:28	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	
812	2021-11-10 16:45:10	No		No			
814	2021-11-10 15:52:29	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes			
815	2021-11-10 15:06:22	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	Yes	No	More organic material will necessarily enter the landfill producing more methane.
816	2021-11-10 15:16:00	No	B3T1S4	Yes			
817	2021-11-10 15:09:41	No	B3T1W6	Yes			
818	2021-11-10 14:33:05	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	Yes		This once again cannot be guaranteed
819	2021-11-10 14:33:26	Yes	B3T2K8	Yes	No		
820	2021-11-10 14:24:27	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
823	2021-11-10 13:33:49	No	B0J1T0	Yes	Yes	No	
826	2021-11-10 12:33:58	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes	No		
827	2021-11-10 11:29:56	No	B3T1V1	Yes	Yes		But there is an increase
828	2021-11-10 12:07:40	No	B3T1Z7	Yes	Yes	No	Landfill gasses that escape ruin the clean smell of our downwind areas

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
829	2021-11-10 11:47:43	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	Yes	No	You have admitted that deactivating the equipment will increase the worse emitter or greenhouse gas. I don't believe the energy savings will mitigate the methane gains.
830	2021-11-10 11:50:45	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	words like "anticipated" -greenhouse gases are not anticipated ... do not give me a good feeling. I need facts not what is anticipated.
832	2021-11-04 18:40:19	No	B3T1X3	Yes	Yes	No	
833	2021-11-10 10:27:02	No	B3T1S6	Yes	No		
834	2021-11-10 10:36:38	Yes	B3T1K5	Yes	Yes	No	So I do not believe that using less electricity to not stabilize is a great trade off.
835	2021-11-10 10:51:19	Yes	B3t1z5	Yes	Yes		
836	2021-11-04 15:05:28	Yes	b3t1r8	Yes			
837	2021-11-10 09:43:11	No	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
838	2021-11-10 10:01:07	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes	No		
839	2021-11-10 09:38:48	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
840	2021-11-10 09:18:17	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
841	2021-11-10 08:57:45	No	b3t2l1	Yes	Yes	No	
843	2021-11-09 10:53:27	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	No	KEEP WHAT YOU HAVE. IT IS WORKING.
844	2021-11-10 08:24:45	No	b3t1z2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
847	2021-11-10 08:23:29	No	B3P2J3	No			
848	2021-11-10 08:21:46	Yes	B3Z0N9	Yes			
849	2021-11-10 07:38:55	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	No	Why not use the methane to power better sorting rather than less.
850	2021-11-10 07:44:45	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	Studies today will not show what additional items being let through due to the closure of the processing facilities.
851	2021-11-10 07:51:24	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	No		
852	2021-11-10 07:46:12	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	This has nothing to do with the changes you are proposing. Please just stop! The province has directed the city a number of times in this. You are not listening.
853	2021-11-10 07:19:40	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	BS
856	2021-11-10 07:17:17	No	B4C4H4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
857	2021-11-10 07:12:40	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	Yes	No	
858	2021-11-10 06:32:23	No	B3t1x2	Yes	No		
861	2021-11-04 10:46:19	Yes	B3t1b8	Yes	Yes	No	
862	2021-11-10 06:39:16	Yes	bek3x4	No			
863	2021-11-10 06:01:29	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	Yes	No	We have smelled the Oder on many days, it will get way worse
870	2021-11-09 21:16:37	No	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	
876	2021-11-09 20:32:29	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes	No		
878	2021-11-09 20:21:20	Yes	B3T1S6	No			
879	2021-11-09 19:57:59	Yes	B3T2G2	Yes	No		
880	2021-11-09 20:07:42	No	B3T1X5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
881	2021-11-09 19:46:50	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	in and lives and the environment will pay the price
882	2021-11-09 19:44:51	No	B3T1Y4	Yes	Yes	No	Still think it won't work
883	2021-11-09 19:26:51	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
884	2021-11-09 19:22:19	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
885	2021-11-09 19:33:14	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
887	2021-11-09 19:29:36	No	B3Z4B6	No			
888	2021-11-09 19:17:55	No	B3T2C3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
889	2021-11-09 19:15:21	Yes	B3T2A3	Yes	No		
890	2021-11-08 12:55:59	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes			
892	2021-11-09 18:48:02	No	B3S0G5	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
895	2021-11-09 18:19:35	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	No		
900	2021-11-09 17:14:50	No	B3T1W5	Yes	Yes	No	People already have enough trouble sorting their garbage and food waste. This end to front end processing will undoubtedly mean that waste that should not go into the landfill will end up in there. The promise was made to keep the front end processing when this landfill was proposed, and again since then. Why don't you stop wasting our money and time and just do what you said you were going to do.
901	2021-11-09 17:15:45	Yes	B3t0c8	Yes	Yes	No	This friggin dump is going to STINK the air so bad. And right next to 3 major subdivisions. And all you can say is we "think" this won't be a problem. What if it is? Where will you be then?
905	2021-11-09 16:52:54	No	B3t1r6	Yes	No		
906	2021-11-09 16:41:54	No	B3N2R7	Yes		Yes	
907	2021-11-09 16:30:43	No	B3T1Z2	Yes	Yes	No	Why don't you find a better way to power your facility? The facility does cut down on the amount of methane produced, but if you are saying that you need to cut back on electricity, then maybe you should put up a windmill or something.
908	2021-11-09 16:42:52	Yes	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	There's no reason to not clean and sort the household garbage brought in.
911	2021-11-09 16:09:21	No	B3t1z4	Yes	Yes	No	Yet to be prove effective.
913	2021-11-09 15:56:25	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
914	2021-11-09 15:44:59	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	I dont want a dump by my house
915	2021-11-09 14:47:57	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	We already smell horrendous dump smells depending on wind direction. Lack of frequent FEP and WSF will make it worse.
917	2021-11-09 15:33:29	No	B3T1Z1	Yes	Yes	No	Electricity is and will be generated with cleaner/greener sources for energy.
918	2021-11-09 15:20:00	No	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	No	Replacing one with another.
920	2021-11-09 15:32:45	No	123123	No			
921	2021-11-09 15:16:20	Yes	b3t1n2	Yes	No		
922	2021-11-09 15:17:57	No	B3T1W5	Yes	No		
923	2021-11-09 15:12:54	Yes	B3T0C4	Yes	Yes	No	The smell from the landfill is awful
925	2021-11-09 14:48:21	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
926	2021-11-09 14:50:02	Yes	b3t2j7	Yes	Yes	No	
927	2021-11-09 14:50:10	Yes	B3T0G7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
928	2021-11-07 16:12:09	No	B3T1W9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
932	2021-11-09 13:51:42	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes	No	
934	2021-11-03 16:19:08	No	B3l2y8	Yes	No		
935	2021-11-09 08:42:24	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	The GP do not recycle properly/compost properly which will cause more gasses to accumulate. if you are worried about the expense of the electricity being used you should think about installing wind turbines and or solar to capture renewable power. Further more if government took back the electricity company then we the public would not have to pay out huge bonuses to the Ceo of NSP.
937	2021-11-09 13:43:34	No	B3Z3J2	Yes			
938	2021-11-09 13:30:26	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	Yes	No	You say it's not anticipated to "greatly increase" methane gas. I disagree and living in the community close to the facility we definitely do not need additional smells coming from the otter lake facility
939	2021-11-09 13:29:52	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	No		
940	2021-11-09 13:29:51	No		Yes			
942	2021-11-09 13:22:57	Yes	B3t1a4	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
943	2021-11-02 20:30:22	Yes	B3T155	Yes	Yes	No	
945	2021-11-09 12:58:12	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes		Yes	
947	2021-11-09 12:19:14	No	B3T2B1	Yes	Yes		Thats right, climate change is fake news. Present measures are in place for present processing practices and these are minimum measures to address a huge problem with landfill gases.
949	2021-11-09 12:26:37	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	Yes	No	we are within 8 kms from the city which is moving outwards ,this is not a place for a landfill that has outlived its use
951	2021-11-09 12:02:12	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	Don't think it's enough
952	2021-11-09 11:59:04	Yes	B3t0c8	Yes	Yes	No	Stop the bull
953	2021-11-09 11:57:23	No	B3T1Y1	Yes	Yes	No	
955	2021-11-09 11:52:04	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	
960	2021-11-09 11:29:04	Yes	B3t1k9	Yes	Yes	No	All lies
963	2021-11-09 11:28:38	Yes	B3T1V1	Yes			
968	2021-11-09 10:53:05	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	The site was built with these concerns and you seem to think removing some of the safety features will be ok . I don't think you really are concerned about the gasses as much as you should be
969	2021-11-09 10:51:16	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes	Yes	No	I do not swear, but if I did, I would here. The current system is an international model of doing it right. Now you want to go back to doing it wrong. Let's all put coal stoves and furnaces in our houses.
971	2021-11-09 10:53:34	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes			
972	2021-11-09 10:49:14	Yes	B3t1a4	Yes			
974	2021-11-09 09:58:25	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	No		
976	2021-11-09 09:25:11	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	No	Consider investing in a Biodigester
977	2021-11-09 09:23:40	No	B4A2E6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
979	2021-11-09 08:42:39	No	B3T1T2	Yes		I do not have any concerns	
980	2021-11-09 08:01:33	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	Electricity doesn't stink ,garbage not treated properly does
981	2021-11-09 08:41:25	No	B3T1Y3	Yes			
982	2021-11-09 08:23:25	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
983	2021-11-09 08:09:01	No	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	No	How much GHG does it produce with the machine. Also, the grid is slowly being switched to green sources
984	2021-11-09 08:02:52	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	No		
986	2021-11-09 07:12:32	Yes	B3T2K5	Yes	Yes	No	No, but maybe. If HRM is approved to make these changes I'd like to see monitoring and reporting of emissions.
988	2021-11-09 07:25:51	No	B3T1W3	Yes	Yes	No	I'm not sure.
994	2021-11-09 06:08:21	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	No		
995	2021-11-09 05:54:57	Yes	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	No	Nova Scotia is koving to a reduced carbon footprint in power generation regardless , so over the life span of the facility, power consumption will represent a reduced greenhouse gas contributor overall
996	2021-11-09 05:38:15	No	B3T2J7	Yes	Yes	No	By your own admission, they will go up!! We need to be making things better, not worse. You also don't mention the impact of increased traffic.
997	2021-11-09 04:57:24	No	B3T1W4	Yes	No		
1001	2021-11-08 22:21:42	No	B3T155				
1005	2021-11-08 08:40:32	No	B3t1b4	Yes	No		
1007	2021-11-08 20:54:32	Yes	B3T2B5	Yes			
1009	2021-11-08 20:37:11	No	B3T2C9	No			
1012	2021-11-08 19:54:39	No	B3T2J8	Yes	No		
1014	2021-11-04 22:00:27	No	B2T1H7	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1016	2021-11-08 19:50:23	Yes	B3T1R4	No			
1017	2021-11-08 19:34:39	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1018	2021-11-08 19:30:52	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	No	Once again, i live here, i know the smell
1019	2021-11-08 18:59:19	No	B3z3s9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1020	2021-11-07 15:48:42	No	B3T1Z9	Yes			
1021	2021-11-08 18:58:30	Yes	b3t1v1	Yes	Yes	No	You have not quantified the amount of gas emission which the removal of the WFS will cause to be compared with the amount saved by decreased electricity costs.
1022	2021-11-08 18:26:23	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	Opposition to the termination of FEP/WSF. Lets keep our world class facility
1024	2021-11-08 18:30:53	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1026	2021-11-08 18:08:04	No		Yes	Yes	No	The amount of money spent on Otterlake from 1998 to date is huge. Over the years cutbacks, cutbacks and more cutbacks have made sure that the original plan is completely unrecognizable... Otterlake has no credibility in protecting the communities and the environment
1028	2021-11-08 17:55:33	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	Yes	No	
1029	2021-11-08 17:21:04	No	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes		
1034	2021-11-08 17:28:05	No	B3R1R4	Yes			
1035	2021-11-04 09:30:06	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes			
1036	2021-11-08 17:13:22	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	Not significantly increase carbon dioxide emmissions is still too much.
1039	2021-11-08 17:05:47	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1041	2021-11-08 16:47:19	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1042	2021-11-08 16:47:41	No	B3T1W3	Yes	No		
1045	2021-11-08 16:32:35	No	B3Z1Z6	Yes	Yes	No	Any increase in greenhouse gas is unacceptable
1046	2021-11-08 16:34:44	No	B3t1z2	Yes	No		
1049	2021-11-08 16:19:31	No	B3t1s7	Yes	No		
1050	2021-11-08 16:14:16	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1051	2021-11-08 15:46:53	Yes	B3t2g5	Yes	No		
1054	2021-11-08 11:54:40	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	No		
1055	2021-11-08 15:31:01	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes			
1056	2021-11-08 14:49:55	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
1058	2021-11-08 14:54:19	No	B3T2B2	Yes	No		
1060	2021-11-08 14:03:38	Yes	B3T1S3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1061	2021-11-08 14:17:43	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes	Yes	No	The current process with fep is an added step to mitigate organic waste
1063	2021-11-08 13:59:50	No	B3T2A2	Yes	Yes	No	Not convincing
1064	2021-11-08 13:13:47	Yes	B3T1R2	Yes	Yes	No	Both the WSF and FEP are doing exactly what they were intended to within the timeline HRM committed to with the community. If this has changed HRM needs to move to another location.
1065	2021-11-08 13:38:16	No	B3T1Z1	Yes	Yes	No	Because not all residents compost and you will end up with organics and this methane.
1066	2021-11-08 13:41:11	No	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	
1067	2021-11-03 18:18:40	No	B3T0E7	Yes			
1069	2021-11-08 12:37:43	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes			
1071	2021-11-08 12:17:34	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	In the above paragraph, it is mentioned that it CAN reduce green house emissions and then it goes on to say it DOESN'T ANTICIPATE any further green house emissions. This doesn't guarantee anything!!!!
1075	2021-11-08 12:12:12	Yes	B3t2g8	Yes	Yes	No	
1076	2021-11-08 11:56:11	No	B3Z1L6	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1077	2021-11-08 11:27:30	Yes	B3t1s5	Yes	Yes	No	Not everyone does composting at home. We must ensure their organic waste is diverted from the landfill
1080	2021-11-08 09:34:59	No	a1a2b2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1082	2021-11-08 07:49:18	Yes	B3T1z1	Yes			
1088	2021-11-08 10:35:01	No	B3T1T9	Yes	No		
1089	2021-11-08 10:28:53	No	B3S1K9	Yes	Yes	No	[G]reenhouse gases are not anticipated to greatly increase by deactivating the FEP/WSF does not sound like the author is positive and may be wrong.
1090	2021-11-08 10:17:42	No	B3t0a2	Yes	No		
1092	2021-11-08 09:55:37	No	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1093	2021-11-08 09:42:08	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	No	
1094	2021-11-08 09:24:29	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	No		
1095	2021-11-08 09:19:28	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes		No, the smell today can be bad now, cant imagine what the future will hold
1096	2021-11-08 08:49:35	Yes	B3T1Z9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1097	2021-11-08 08:51:08	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	No		
1098	2021-11-08 09:19:38	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1101	2021-11-08 08:49:26	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	
1102	2021-11-08 08:53:00	Yes	B3T3J5	Yes			
1103	2021-11-08 08:48:10	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1104	2021-11-08 08:51:21	No	B3t1w5	No			
1105	2021-11-08 08:20:52	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	It will mitigate the increases, but it will not eliminate them.
1107	2021-11-08 08:42:38	Yes	B3T1P7				
1108	2021-11-08 08:42:39	No	B3T1Z6	No			
1109	2021-11-08 08:18:26	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	
1110	2021-11-08 08:08:00	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	No	When the dump was proposed it was supposed to be a dry dump meaning that there was no organics that was going to decompose in cause methane gas. If that was the case why are we burning methane gas from a dump that was supposed to be dry.
1112	2021-11-08 08:28:11	No	B3T2A7				
1113	2021-11-08 08:14:20	No	B3Z2X3	Yes	No		
1114	2021-11-08 08:16:52	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes			
1115	2021-11-08 07:46:17	Yes	B3t1v4	Yes	Yes	No	Greater processing should take place to remove all green house gas causing materials, regardless of how "significant" it is. Using renewable energy sources would eliminate the CO2 emissions from electrical generation required. It seems all the solutions proposed are the easiest ideas as opposed to the best solution.
1116	2021-11-03 20:51:51	No	B3t1x8	Yes	Yes	No	This is not good for the residents
1117	2021-11-07 20:19:40	No	B4E1P1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1118	2021-11-08 07:52:44	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes			
1119	2021-11-08 07:38:03	Yes	B3t1a8	Yes			
1120	2021-11-08 07:36:04	Yes	B3t1m6	Yes	Yes	No	The concern identified is the amount of electricity used. Perhaps green energy sources should be installed to offset the cost rather than shutting the system down.
1121	2021-11-08 07:45:21	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes			
1122	2021-11-08 07:23:00	No	b3t2a7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1123	2021-11-08 07:07:18	Yes	B3t2j5	Yes	Yes	No	
1124	2021-11-08 06:46:08	Yes	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	we have an agreement that is working . Keep it.
1126	2021-11-08 06:55:22	Yes	B3T1C3	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1127	2021-11-08 04:58:03	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes	No	The. WSF reduced gas in any way it's a win for our Community . It's all about the money not the people who live here
1128	2021-11-08 05:57:54	Yes	B3t1r2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1129	2021-11-08 05:45:23	No	B3T2X2	Yes			
1130	2021-11-08 05:32:56	No	B3T2L4	Yes	Yes	No	
1131	2021-11-08 05:12:32	No	B3T1P9	Yes	No		
1132	2021-11-08 04:31:17	Yes	B3T0E7	Yes	No		
1133	2021-11-08 04:33:18	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes			
1134	2021-11-07 21:14:42	Yes	B3t1c9	Yes		Yes	
1137	2021-11-07 20:48:19	Yes	B3t1p4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1139	2021-11-07 20:55:15	Yes	B3l1p7	Yes			
1140	2021-11-07 20:25:53	No	b3t1z2	Yes	No		
1142	2021-11-07 20:00:50	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes			
1143	2021-11-07 19:37:12	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	Yes	No	Not implemented
1144	2021-11-07 19:34:37	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	No		
1145	2021-11-07 19:37:41	No	B3z1l8	No			
1147	2021-11-07 19:15:47	No	B2T1W4				
1149	2021-11-07 18:11:44	No	B3T2A2	Yes	Yes	No	Greenwashing
1151	2021-11-07 18:17:57	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1152	2021-11-07 18:15:55	No	B3t2k8	Yes	No		
1155	2021-11-07 16:51:42	Yes	b3t1p3	Yes	No		
1157	2021-11-07 17:18:42	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1158	2021-11-07 17:15:21	Yes	B3T0B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1159	2021-11-07 17:13:31	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1160	2021-11-07 17:11:12	No	B3t1r5	Yes			
1161	2021-11-07 16:38:52	No	B0N1Z0	Yes			
1163	2021-11-07 16:19:55	No	B3T1R3	No			
1165	2021-11-07 15:31:58	Yes	B3t1b4	No			
1167	2021-11-07 15:25:21	No	B3z3w9	Yes	No		
1169	2021-11-07 15:06:35	Yes	B3t1z9	Yes	Yes		
1170	2021-11-07 15:00:46	No	B3T1V2	Yes			
1172	2021-11-07 14:52:12	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1173	2021-11-07 14:46:22	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
1174	2021-11-07 14:42:21	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	
1175	2021-11-07 14:41:01	No	B3T0H6	Yes			
1176	2021-11-07 14:20:30	No	B3t1s1	Yes	Yes	No	
1178	2021-11-07 14:27:42	Yes	B3T0B4	Yes			
1181	2021-11-07 13:57:16	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	No	
1182	2021-11-07 13:55:59	Yes	B3T2K8	Yes			
1183	2021-11-07 13:53:13	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes			
1184	2021-11-07 13:37:11	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1185	2021-11-07 13:27:30	No	B3T0B6	Yes	Yes	No	
1187	2021-11-07 12:08:35	Yes	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1188	2021-11-07 12:49:52	No	B3Z3W1	Yes	No		
1189	2021-11-07 12:44:35	No	B3T1P7	Yes			
1190	2021-11-07 12:35:22	No	B3t2a3	No			
1191	2021-11-07 11:49:44	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	It feels like we are going backwards with regards to the environment. It is wrong to try to save money by being less responsible with the environment.
1192	2021-11-07 11:56:57	No	B3Z3w8	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1193	2021-11-07 11:36:13	No	B3T1Z6	Yes			
1194	2021-11-07 11:13:44	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
1197	2021-11-07 11:03:59	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	
1198	2021-11-07 11:06:07	No	b3t2a4	Yes			
1199	2021-11-07 10:51:01	No	B3T2B4	Yes	No		
1200	2021-11-07 10:56:06	No	B3t2a7	Yes			
1201	2021-11-07 10:24:38	Yes	B3T2A4	Yes	Yes	No	You are combing to unrelated matters in your statement. Unacceptable! Why are you manipulating our citizens?
1202	2021-11-07 10:37:11	No	B3T1T7	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1203	2021-11-07 10:25:31	Yes	B3T2A4	Yes	Yes	No	
1205	2021-11-07 10:05:30	No	B3T2A8	Yes	Yes	No	
1206	2021-11-07 09:39:06	Yes	b3t0j3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1208	2021-11-07 09:43:08	No	B3T0A2	Yes			
1211	2021-11-07 09:03:00	No	B3T1W3	Yes	No		
1214	2021-11-07 08:26:41	No	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1215	2021-11-07 08:21:25	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1216	2021-11-07 08:04:04	No	B3T1W1	Yes	No		
1217	2021-11-07 08:01:00	Yes	B3t1a5	Yes	No		
1219	2021-11-07 07:22:35	Yes	B3t2h9	Yes	Yes	No	
1221	2021-11-07 06:19:51	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	Yes	No	You are basing greenhouse gas production from the use of coal-fired electricity in Nova Scotia. This is a separate environmental problem. Speak plainly. HRM is concerned about the cost of electricity.
1222	2021-11-07 06:59:44	No	b2v2g5	Yes	No		
1223	2021-11-07 06:41:30	No	B3t1y6				
1224	2021-11-07 06:19:20	No	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	No	It appears that this is just a money saving opportunity.
1225	2021-11-07 06:29:25	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	No		
1229	2021-11-03 23:07:03	Yes	B3t1n1	Yes	No		
1230	2021-11-07 05:57:59	No	B3T1A4	Yes			
1232	2021-11-07 05:28:05	No	B3T1R6	Yes			
1233	2021-11-06 23:19:15	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	No	The smell of mostly well contained now. I'm worried it won't be in the future especially hot summers. I also don't feel enough is being done to recycle or reuse waste (even recyclables picked up aren't properly being recycled.)
1236	2021-11-06 22:34:36	Yes	B3T1S1	Yes	No		
1239	2021-11-06 21:44:24	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1240	2021-11-06 21:09:24	Yes	B3T1P9	Yes			
1241	2021-11-06 20:51:38	No	B3T2B8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1242	2021-11-06 20:24:42	No	B3T2C9	Yes	No		
1244	2021-11-06 20:44:41	No	B3T0B6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1246	2021-11-04 18:10:53	Yes	B3S1N5	Yes	Yes	Yes	Really you need to look at Chester Municipality
1250	2021-11-06 19:43:28	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1252	2021-11-06 19:10:42	No	B4a3x8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1253	2021-11-06 19:07:34	No	B3T1Y3	Yes			
1254	2021-11-06 18:59:26	Yes	B3T1P4	Yes	No		
1258	2021-11-06 18:14:13	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1259	2021-11-06 18:05:21	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1260	2021-11-06 18:06:15	No	B3T2J1	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1261	2021-11-06 17:52:36	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	No	A lot of people do not use the greenbins and throw everything in the garbage. Could potentially be worse
1263	2021-11-06 17:44:19	Yes	B3t1b6	Yes	Yes	No	
1268	2021-11-06 16:26:12	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	No		
1270	2021-11-06 16:08:55	No	b3k3m2	Yes	Yes	No	
1271	2021-11-06 16:01:23	Yes	B3T0K1	Yes	Yes	No	If concerned about energy use of FEP/WSF, then research alternate sources (solar, etc).
1273	2021-11-06 15:49:32	No	B3K3M2	Yes	Yes	No	
1274	2021-11-06 15:34:47	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	No	I'm not convinced that this would mitigate the issue.
1275	2021-11-06 15:27:16	No	B3T1S8	Yes	Yes	No	Hard to believe that monitoring it will be as big an energy user as that requires stopping it
1276	2021-11-06 15:16:29	No	B3t0b4	Yes	Yes	No	In 2030 electricity will be from 80% renewable sources. The offset you're proposing will therefore be canceled out and emissions will increase
1279	2021-11-06 14:37:38	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes			
1280	2021-11-06 14:03:21	No	B3T1S2	Yes	No		
1281	2021-11-06 13:24:18	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1282	2021-11-06 13:48:43	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	No		
1285	2021-11-06 12:39:43	Yes	B3t1r8	Yes	Yes	No	Increased organics will be long term greenhouse gas generation
1287	2021-11-06 12:40:43	No	B3T2H3	Yes	No		
1288	2021-11-06 11:29:01	No	b3z1m3	Yes	No		
1289	2021-11-06 11:54:35	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes	Yes	No	Past evaluations does not address what will happen in the future.
1293	2021-11-06 11:37:59	No	B3T2A1	Yes	No		
1294	2021-11-06 11:47:56	Yes	B3S1S3	No			
1295	2021-11-06 11:35:28	No	B3t2a8	Yes	Yes	No	Your only showing 1 side of the story
1296	2021-11-06 11:30:28	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1300	2021-11-06 09:27:36	No	B3z2r4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1301	2021-11-06 09:21:57	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	No	FEP/WSF controls are why and the only reason the community agreed to the landfill.
1302	2021-11-06 09:06:28	Yes	B3T1T8	Yes	Yes	No	Many households especially apartment dwellers do not sort waste
1309	2021-11-06 08:30:33	Yes	B2G1S7	Yes	Yes		
1310	2021-11-06 08:28:27	No	B3T1Y8	Yes			
1312	2021-11-06 08:05:22	No	B3T1X3	Yes			
1313	2021-11-06 07:45:16	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1314	2021-11-06 07:55:30	No	B3t1w1	Yes			
1315	2021-11-06 07:55:10	Yes	B3t0a3	Yes			
1317	2021-11-06 07:18:33	Yes	B3T0E2	Yes	Yes	No	Stabilizing and sorting the waste is the only way to reduce gases on-site!
1318	2021-11-06 06:55:39	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	
1319	2021-11-05 21:39:30	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1320	2021-11-06 07:02:40	No	B3t1s6	Yes	Yes	No	Need to decrease current gases not just worry about the lack of an increase
1322	2021-11-06 06:37:48	Yes	B3T0C9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1323	2021-11-06 06:08:53	Yes	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	this is something you can't see but Mirror says it all good so I guess we should just believe them just like you told us about the front line would solve all our problems
1324	2021-11-06 06:28:02	Yes	B3T0E6	Yes	Yes	No	I disagree that this is enough
1325	2021-11-06 06:25:14	Yes	B3t0e6	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1328	2021-11-06 04:07:50	No	B3Z1L6	Yes	Yes	No	ByExisting approach do you mean the attempt to take away FEP/WSF? As it was stated, FEP WSF doo treat the methane issue, removing them increases the impact, so my desire is to not remove them
1329	2021-11-06 03:37:18	No	B3T1S3	No			
1338	2021-11-05 21:06:04	Yes	b3t2c8	Yes	Yes	No	removal of an energy cost without acknowledging the gains of the energy cost is flimsy, i believe the fep/wsf are net positive benefits to our acclaimed landfill
1341	2021-11-05 20:44:02	Yes	B3T2E1	No			
1342	2021-11-05 20:02:07	Yes	B3T0C6	Yes	No	No	Not green house gases
1343	2021-11-05 20:38:33	Yes	B3t0a2	Yes	Yes		
1345	2021-11-05 19:50:42	No	B3Z2T4	Yes	Yes	No	There will be increased methane produced. The GHG emissions because of the electricity use of the system will decrease as a greater proportion of our power is sourced from renewables.
1348	2021-11-03 17:59:35	No	B3L3H5	Yes			
1350	2021-11-05 19:02:54	No	B3g1a5				
1351	2021-11-05 18:58:41	No	b0p1p0	Yes			
1353	2021-11-05 18:55:55	Yes	b3t1r5	Yes			
1354	2021-11-05 18:21:58	Yes	B3t1b1				
1355	2021-11-05 17:56:09	No	B3Z2T1	Yes	No		
1356	2021-11-05 18:03:41	No	B3T2B5	Yes	No		
1358	2021-11-05 17:57:20	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	No	Whatever they are doing to keep smell down hasn't been work for the past 20 yrs.
1359	2021-11-05 17:50:35	No	b2y2a6	Yes			
1360	2021-11-05 17:36:31	Yes	B3T1V6	Yes	No		
1361	2021-11-05 17:30:56	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	No		
1362	2021-11-05 17:36:27	No	b3t1s5	Yes	No		
1363	2021-11-05 17:37:06	Yes	B3t2e1	Yes			
1364	2021-11-05 17:19:16	No	B0I1T0	Yes	No		
1368	2021-11-05 16:44:20	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	No	Your report indicates that the WSF does treat materials and can reduce greenhouse gas in the landfill. The argument about energy usage is better solved with the generation of clean energy rather than turning the system off.
1369	2021-11-05 16:39:39	No	B3Z3A9	Yes	Yes	No	Where's the proof?
1370	2021-11-05 16:51:27	Yes	B3t0j3	Yes	Yes	No	
1371	2021-11-02 15:24:34	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes			
1373	2021-11-05 10:05:15	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes			
1375	2021-11-05 15:39:22	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1376	2021-11-05 15:10:16	No	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	That fact that the FEP and WSF does work and has been working to treat materials and reduce greenhouse gases in the landfill is the reason you should not remove the FEP and WSF.
1378	2021-11-05 15:19:43	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	Your assumption that removal of FEP will not result in increase of greenhouse gas emissions is a huge assumption and not supported by sound evidence.
1383	2021-11-05 15:07:44	Yes	B3T2J5	Yes	Yes	No	Keep waste sorting as per agreement
1384	2021-11-05 15:14:54	No	B3z0n9	Yes	Yes	No	Worried this won't be enough. Air quality and climate change are very important.
1385	2021-11-05 15:16:35	Yes	B3N2M3	Yes			
1388	2021-11-05 14:38:48	Yes	B3t0k5	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1390	2021-11-05 14:01:15	No	B3T1Z5	Yes	Yes	No	not proven method to reduce costs
1394	2021-11-05 13:27:14	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1395	2021-11-05 13:51:01	No	B3N1K1	Yes			
1396	2021-11-05 13:50:29	Yes	B3t1n2	Yes	Yes		
1401	2021-11-05 12:12:08	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	No		
1402	2021-11-05 12:48:08	No	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	No	do not fully understand
1404	2021-11-05 12:05:35	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1407	2021-11-05 11:41:29	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes			
1408	2021-11-05 11:18:21	Yes	B3t1r3	Yes	Yes	No	
1409	2021-11-05 10:54:40	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	the entire justification is silly
1410	2021-11-05 10:57:29	Yes	B3t2a4	Yes	Yes	No	Again not true
1412	2021-11-05 10:58:17	No	B3Z1L8	No			
1414	2021-11-05 10:47:26	No	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	No	
1415	2021-11-05 10:51:56	No	B3t2a2	Yes			
1418	2021-11-05 10:08:10	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	10-12% of waste received at the landfill is organic, compostable material. Eliminating the FEP/WSF will allow these materials to enter the landfill unchecked.
1419	2021-11-05 09:55:00	No	B3t2a2	Yes	Yes		
1423	2021-11-05 09:39:03	No	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	I'm sorry but your wish for arguments against what you are doing are obviously an effort to obstruct our REAL concerns
1424	2021-11-05 09:35:05	Yes	B3t1n2	Yes	Yes	No	
1426	2021-11-05 08:35:06	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes			
1427	2021-11-05 08:51:54	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Where are you going to monitor that there is no increase of food waste to the landfill resulting in increased gas production?
1428	2021-11-05 08:59:17	No	B3T1R3	Yes			
1430	2021-11-05 08:42:36	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1431	2021-11-02 16:08:11	No	B3T1T4	Yes	No		
1432	2021-11-05 07:25:01	Yes	B3Z1G5	Yes	No		
1434	2021-11-05 07:11:55	No	b3t1x3	Yes			
1435	2021-11-05 06:51:27	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	No	The FEP/WSP need to stay activated
1436	2021-11-04 13:34:13	No	B3t1x5	Yes			
1438	2021-11-05 06:55:40	No	B3t1v3	Yes			
1440	2021-11-05 06:39:09	No	B3T2G1	Yes			
1443	2021-11-05 06:14:43	No	B3T1R6	Yes			
1444	2021-11-05 06:08:03	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes			
1445	2021-11-05 05:51:09	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	Yes	No	Do not understand how this works
1446	2021-11-05 06:07:56	No	B3Z1H7	No			
1447	2021-11-05 05:49:07	Yes	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	People still mix their garage
1449	2021-11-05 05:14:12	Yes	B3T2J5	Yes	Yes	No	We should be doing more than what MAY be necessary to mitigate the impact
1452	2021-11-05 03:46:15	No	B3T2E8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1454	2021-11-05 00:08:13	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1455	2021-11-05 00:09:42	No	B3s1k1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1456	2021-11-05 00:08:07	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes			
1458	2021-11-04 23:49:13	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	No		
1459	2021-11-04 23:20:51	Yes	B3Z1G6	Yes	No		
1462	2021-11-04 22:28:16	Yes	B3Z2L4	Yes	Yes		
1463	2021-11-04 22:09:56	No	B4E0N6	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1464	2021-11-04 21:48:00	No	B3t2e9	Yes	Yes	No	Terms used to address how much it will increase emission of greenhouse gasses is too vague. What is considered 'not significant'? It still increases the negative impact
1465	2021-11-04 21:56:26	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	Yes	No	
1466	2021-11-04 21:34:43	No	B3T0B3	Yes	Yes	No	
1468	2021-11-04 21:36:36	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes			
1472	2021-11-04 20:31:23	Yes	B3t0c2	Yes	Yes	No	Honor your original agreement.
1474	2021-11-04 20:14:33	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	No		
1475	2021-11-04 20:05:11	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	No	While I appreciate this would reduce the electricity usage the odors created from the dump will most likely increase causing a direct impact to property prices in the area. When the site first opened there were many days that the smells were not pleasant when you were outside in your yard.
1479	2021-11-04 20:26:04	No	B4e3c1	Yes			
1480	2021-11-02 18:49:52	No	B3l2y8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1481	2021-11-04 19:18:30	No	B3T2A2	Yes	Yes	No	The amount of methane generation will possibly remain constant for many years yet. There is a percentage of the population that will be adding organic material for a few generations yet. Electricity will get greener. The electricity saved will not balance out the methane generated if electricity becomes less carbon intensive
1483	2021-11-04 19:46:06	Yes	B3t1a9	Yes	Yes	No	As citizens we are being given increased taxes regarding greenhouse gases, the municipalities should be more responsible not trying to pass the risk off on citizens.
1485	2021-11-04 19:44:56	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	
1487	2021-11-04 19:34:08	Yes	B3t2e6	Yes			
1490	2021-11-04 19:22:44	No	B3t2l1	Yes			
1492	2021-11-04 18:58:55	Yes	B3t1r5	Yes	Yes	No	Nova Scotia Power is rapidly moving towards eliminating coal from their power generation and increasing their reliance on renewable sources. Shutting down FEP and WSF as a means to reduce GHG is a terrible excuse for a plan to combat climate change.
1493	2021-11-04 19:10:05	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	
1494	2021-11-04 16:02:33	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	Not confident this will mitigate the issue
1496	2021-11-04 18:13:45	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes	Yes	No	This will over all affect the environment and cause global warming to happen even faster
1498	2021-11-04 18:46:19	Yes	B3t2j3	Yes	Yes	No	We need to do better for our environment not take measures that cause our efforts to go backwards. Please honour the agreement
1500	2021-11-04 18:45:44	No	B3Z1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1503	2021-11-04 16:49:35	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes	Yes	No	Of course everyone is concerned about greenhouse gases, honor the agreement
1505	2021-11-04 18:23:48	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes			
1510	2021-11-04 17:51:19	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Mitigations are not in alignment with the original agreement.
1515	2021-11-04 16:56:50	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
1518	2021-11-04 15:58:32	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	There are many days in the summer when you can detect an odor coming from the landfill. The proposed course of action will only enhance this issue.
1520	2021-11-04 16:01:04	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	No		
1522	2021-11-04 16:04:31	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	No		
1524	2021-11-04 15:42:58	No	B3z1G1	Yes	No		
1529	2021-11-04 15:54:09	No	B3Z2J2	No			
1531	2021-11-04 15:39:35	Yes	b3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1532	2021-11-04 15:23:19	Yes	B3t2j3	Yes	Yes	No	You should not be deactivating
1535	2021-11-04 14:35:55	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	No	More mething will becreated and spread throughtout the site. I am not concerned about using electricity to handle the problem. After all we have enough wind turbines that this shouldn't be a problem.
1536	2021-11-04 14:43:19	No	B3T155	Yes	Yes	No	If you are wondering about the increase of dust because of an increase of truck traffic, the increase truck traffic increases green house gas emissions
1537	2021-11-04 13:01:53	No	B3T2G5	Yes	No		
1538	2021-11-04 14:25:53	Yes	b3t1c9	Yes	Yes	No	The energy source that powers the FEP/WSF facilities can (and will) eventually improve over the years to use more renewable energy sources, thus it will gradually decrease in emissions, but the removal of the facilities will be a permanant increase in emissions, so even if it's a net benefit now, it may not be down the line as our source of electricity becomes more green
1539	2021-11-04 14:32:47	Yes	b3t2j3	Yes	Yes	No	
1541	2021-11-04 13:53:56	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
1544	2021-11-04 13:58:14	No	B3T155	Yes	Yes		
1545	2021-11-04 14:01:07	Yes	B3T157	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1547	2021-11-04 13:51:21	No	B3T1X2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1556	2021-11-04 13:12:04	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1557	2021-11-04 13:21:04	No	B3z0n4	Yes	Yes		
1559	2021-11-04 12:44:00	No	B3T0B4	Yes	No		
1564	2021-11-04 12:20:24	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	No	Increasing organic will generate more gas
1568	2021-11-04 12:11:04	Yes	B3T1K9	No			
1569	2021-11-04 11:56:31	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
1572	2021-11-04 11:40:17	No	B3t1t5	Yes	No		
1577	2021-11-04 11:33:38	Yes	b3t1s6	Yes			
1581	2021-11-04 11:20:52	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes			
1582	2021-11-04 11:07:18	No	B3Z1L8	Yes	No		
1583	2021-11-04 11:01:06	No	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	
1584	2021-11-04 10:52:21	No	b3t2l1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1585	2021-11-04 10:25:11	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1589	2021-11-04 10:48:30	No	B3t0j9	Yes			
1590	2021-11-04 10:43:00	No	B3T2B8	Yes			
1594	2021-11-04 10:19:48	No	B3T158	Yes	Yes	No	These measures almost never work as designed
1595	2021-11-04 10:30:26	No	B3t2b1	Yes			
1596	2021-11-04 10:22:11	Yes	b3 1a2	No			
1598	2021-11-04 10:08:43	No	B3T2B9	Yes	Yes	No	
1600	2021-11-04 10:14:05	Yes	B3T0A2	Yes			
1601	2021-11-04 10:04:52	No	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	No	
1603	2021-11-04 09:29:55	Yes	B3T1g&	Yes	Yes	No	
1605	2021-11-04 09:29:27	Yes	B3t1c9	Yes	No		
1609	2021-11-04 09:28:11	Yes	B3T5c4	Yes	No		
1613	2021-11-04 09:22:40	Yes	B3T1K5	No			
1616	2021-11-04 09:18:13	No	B3t1x2	Yes	No		
1620	2021-11-04 09:02:11	Yes	B2T1H6	Yes	No		
1621	2021-11-04 08:29:23	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	No	
1622	2021-11-04 08:36:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	more organics, more negative issues. Disposal area was not designed for Additional organics

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1623	2021-11-04 08:29:53	No	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	No	We were promised at the inception of this facility, that odour would not be an issue. Yet when I drove past yesterday, the stink was strong. HRM has lot credibility with me on this topic.
1624	2021-11-04 09:01:02	No	B3T2L1	No			
1626	2021-11-04 08:57:08	Yes	B3T0C1	Yes	Yes	No	
1629	2021-11-04 08:51:26	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	No		
1631	2021-11-04 08:42:18	No	B3t2b2	Yes	Yes		
1634	2021-11-04 08:42:39	No	B3L2Y8	No			
1636	2021-11-04 08:12:53	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	No	
1637	2021-11-04 08:01:23	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	No		
1638	2021-11-04 08:26:28	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes			
1639	2021-11-04 08:04:13	Yes	B3t2g3	Yes	Yes	No	By removing the sorting step in the waste management process, you introduce an unknown amount of organic waste into the system. It would be preferable to find a greener source of electricity than removing the sorting process altogether.
1641	2021-11-04 08:30:19	Yes	B3T2g8	Yes			
1642	2021-11-04 08:26:05	Yes	B3T0C9	Yes			
1644	2021-11-04 07:54:52	Yes	b3t1t2	Yes	Yes	No	This is the big one. Didn't HRM declare a climate emerg? Does that only apply in situations where you can raise taxes? Worrying about climate change and burying organic material are not compatible ideas. Pick one.
1648	2021-11-04 07:26:29	Yes	B3T2E5	Yes	No		
1650	2021-11-04 07:32:25	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1651	2021-11-04 06:58:27	Yes	B3T0H7	Yes	Yes	No	Require more knowledge on this subject, I can only see an increase in the gasses if this closure moves forward.
1652	2021-11-04 06:54:36	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes		partially
1655	2021-11-04 06:50:55	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	"Greenhouse gases are not anticipated to greatly increase". So they WILL increase.
1656	2021-11-04 07:06:16	No	B3t1w5	Yes			
1658	2021-11-04 06:49:02	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
1659	2021-11-04 06:41:15	No	B4B1N4	Yes	Yes	No	
1660	2021-11-04 06:14:16	No	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	No	Landfill should never be retired
1664	2021-11-04 06:23:22	No	B4e3b3	Yes			
1667	2021-11-04 06:05:06	No	B3t1w5	Yes			
1668	2021-11-04 06:06:06	No	B3E1M4	Yes			
1670	2021-11-04 05:27:16	No	B3POC2	Yes	Yes	Yes	Somewhat.
1671	2021-11-04 04:55:59	Yes	B3t1c2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1672	2021-11-03 17:32:26	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	I believe stopping any additional gasses is mor important than the use of electricity that has already produced gasses.
1675	2021-11-03 23:41:40	Yes	B3t1b9	Yes	Yes	No	
1677	2021-11-03 22:17:23	Yes	b3t1b2	Yes	Yes	No	How much waste is being collected by WSF in comparison to the amount of greenhouse gas emission it would save by not having FEP?WSF?
1679	2021-11-03 22:37:56	No	B3T1V8	Yes	No		
1680	2021-11-03 18:47:54	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1681	2021-11-03 22:09:54	Yes	b3t1r4	Yes	Yes	No	Our measures now keep the dump from smelling. the dump already smells bad, can't imagine how bad it will be without out it.
1682	2021-11-03 22:28:41	Yes	b3t2c8	Yes			
1683	2021-11-03 22:13:15	No	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes	No	
1684	2021-11-03 22:20:02	No	B3t1p7	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1689	2021-11-03 21:29:15	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	No		
1691	2021-11-03 21:39:51	Yes	B3T2J2	Yes	Yes	No	I can smell this anytime driving past
1692	2021-11-03 21:43:06	Yes	B3t2g2	Yes			
1693	2021-11-03 21:38:12	No	b3z1p7	Yes			
1694	2021-11-03 21:18:08	No	B2x2x5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1695	2021-11-03 21:36:37	No	B3T1Z9	Yes			
1696	2021-11-03 21:31:39	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1697	2021-11-03 21:23:39	Yes	B3t1r3	Yes	Yes		
1699	2021-11-03 21:20:54	No	b4c2n9	Yes			
1703	2021-11-03 20:58:03	No	B4C2Y8	Yes	Yes	No	The potential introduction of organic material could increase greenhouse gas emissions, and reduction in recovery of recyclable materials could also generally increase greenhouse gas emissions. Colloid those emissions is a good stop gap measure but does not replace elimination of those emissions.
1706	2021-11-02 15:35:17	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	Same
1710	2021-11-03 20:37:25	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1712	2021-11-03 16:32:19	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	No	My concern is when the community agreed to allowing the landfill in the area this was not part of the agreement
1715	2021-11-03 20:31:53	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	No		
1716	2021-11-03 20:30:40	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1718	2021-11-03 19:27:16	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	No	I have extreme skepticism about the mass dump and bury being a solution to "save" us from greenhouse gases by saving electricity usage. Can't the site be used to house wind turbine generators that will power the existing FEP/WSF.
1720	2021-11-02 17:26:46	Yes	B3Z4B4	Yes	Yes	No	We require the WSF is required to decompose and stabilize material. This system is required to control greenhouse gas.
1721	2021-11-03 20:09:07	Yes	B3T0C8	Yes	Yes	No	
1722	2021-11-03 20:07:12	Yes	B3H0H3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1726	2021-11-03 19:56:25	No	B3z1f7	Yes			
1728	2021-11-03 19:31:54	Yes	B3T1V1	Yes	No		
1731	2021-11-03 18:58:57	No	B3T1Y8	Yes	Yes	No	Contaminated pollution will leach into near by watersheds. You've not addressed this concern adequately.
1732	2021-11-03 19:14:51	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	There has not been enough work done. The wsf treats it so leave it be. The citizens of Halifax are generally lazy and do not compost as they should and are not held accountable for their actions , by removing this processor you are potentially resting more green house gasses
1734	2021-11-03 19:22:20	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	No		
1735	2021-11-03 18:55:44	No	B3t2l2	Yes	Yes	No	The community agreed to use of the wsf for these reasons and others and the city should respect the terms of the original agreement.
1738	2021-11-03 19:11:22	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	
1740	2021-11-03 19:04:36	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes			
1741	2021-11-03 14:08:13	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	No		
1742	2021-11-03 18:16:24	No	B3t1t1	Yes	Yes	No	The FEP removes excess product from the facility ,to me that is a plus and a promise from the opening day
1747	2021-11-03 18:59:00	No	B3T1B1	No			
1748	2021-11-03 08:08:27	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
1749	2021-11-03 08:08:12	Yes	B3t1a7	No			
1750	2021-11-02 19:15:26	No	b3t1X8	No			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1752	2021-11-03 18:35:23	Yes	B3t1c2	Yes	Yes	No	
1753	2021-11-03 18:02:51	Yes	b3t1a4	Yes		I do not have any concerns	
1754	2021-11-03 18:22:37	Yes	B3T1W1	Yes	No		
1757	2021-11-03 18:23:20	No	B3T1V7	Yes			
1759	2021-11-03 18:11:36	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	No		
1761	2021-11-02 15:25:47	No	B3T2L2	Yes			
1763	2021-11-03 18:04:19	Yes	B3T2G8	Yes	Yes	No	
1764	2021-11-03 17:51:38	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	Burying organic material has been proven to be detrimental to the environment.
1765	2021-11-03 17:32:12	No	B3T2A1	Yes	No		
1766	2021-11-03 17:43:23	Yes	B3t2g6	Yes	No		
1767	2021-11-03 17:37:50	Yes	B3t1a4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1772	2021-11-03 17:18:54	Yes	B3T2E6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1774	2021-11-03 17:07:51	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	
1775	2021-11-03 17:09:25	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes			
1776	2021-11-03 17:04:04	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	
1778	2021-11-03 16:37:04	Yes	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	The whole country is going in the direction of reducing methane gas. This will increase substantially the amount of methane gas.
1779	2021-11-03 15:56:48	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1781	2021-11-03 16:07:17	No	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	No	While the reducing greenhouse gasses is always a positive action has the idea of reusing the greenhouse gas emissions as a source of energy been considered?
1782	2021-11-03 16:39:43	No	B3T1Y9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1783	2021-11-03 16:40:52	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes			
1785	2021-11-03 16:25:58	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	It's purely not good for the environment
1786	2021-11-03 16:22:59	Yes	B3t1v1	Yes		I do not have any concerns	
1788	2021-11-03 16:12:11	Yes	B3t0b6	Yes	Yes	No	
1789	2021-11-03 16:15:12	Yes	B3T1K6	Yes	Yes	No	That doesn't sound like enough to eliminate that need to use it ?
1793	2021-11-03 15:27:51	No	B3P2H4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1795	2021-11-03 15:35:32	Yes	B3t1a9	Yes	Yes	No	I dont think it will work
1797	2021-11-03 15:27:34	Yes	b3t1v8	Yes	No		
1800	2021-11-03 15:03:42	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	No	I would require more information/evidence to alleviate my concerns in this regard.
1801	2021-11-03 15:06:54	Yes	B3T2G5	Yes	Yes	No	
1803	2021-11-03 14:49:21	Yes	b3t1r8	Yes	No		
1804	2021-11-03 15:04:33	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
1805	2021-11-03 15:00:10	No	B3t1p6	Yes			
1806	2021-11-03 14:46:23	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	No		
1810	2021-11-03 14:36:34	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes	Yes	No	Offsetting is not eliminating the issue, it's a lateral move and not a solution.
1811	2021-11-03 14:44:03	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes			
1812	2021-11-03 14:19:31	Yes	B3t1a2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1814	2021-11-03 14:30:20	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	No	It was not the agreement
1816	2021-11-03 14:01:21	Yes	B3t1t5	Yes	Yes	No	
1817	2021-11-03 14:19:51	No	b3m0e2	Yes			
1818	2021-11-03 14:13:18	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1819	2021-11-03 13:51:16	No	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	I am skeptical of the breezy "based on previously-completed evaluations." Show me the data. Tell me who did these evaluations, and what they were instructed to look at. I trust the Otter Lake Community Monitoring Committee to evaluate things like this - if you want to convince me otherwise, show me the data.
1820	2021-11-03 13:51:31	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	No		
1821	2021-11-03 13:18:01	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes		
1822	2021-11-03 11:22:47	No	B3T1X2	Yes	Yes	No	Id the FEP & WSF are not working properly HRM should fix and improve them. We now ship all commercial and apartment building waste out of HRM to neighbouring county. THIS IS WRONG!!! we should eal with our own waste in HRM. With increased population we will have increased waste. Improve the FEP & WSF, make them better, DO NOT REMOVE!
1823	2021-11-03 13:00:20	No	B3T2B7	Yes	No		
1824	2021-11-03 12:29:44	Yes	B3T1K5	Yes	Yes	No	There WILL be smell
1825	2021-11-03 10:36:17	No	B3T1S7	Yes	Yes	No	This estimate is nothing more than a (granted) informed, but still a best guess. Incidentally, what happens to the collected gases, such as methane?
1826	2021-11-03 11:53:58	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	No		
1827	2021-11-03 11:59:02	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	No	Insufficient mitigation
1828	2021-11-03 11:51:31	No	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	No	
1829	2021-11-03 11:21:43	Yes	B3T2G8	Yes	Yes	No	We estimated this but it's actually worse - sorry! I can see that coming a mile away
1830	2021-11-03 11:24:06	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Even with these measures the odor comes across to bit with the right winds. Hate to smell an increase in the future
1831	2021-11-03 10:57:07	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	No		
1832	2021-11-03 10:54:32	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	No	Simply stating that there will be an increased use of electricity without establishing alternatives for energy production on site, is not addressing my concerns. Adoption of renewable energy resources should be part of this plan. Seeking to deter opposition, based on an implied increase in energy use is an irresponsible approach.
1833	2021-11-03 10:56:41	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes	Yes	No	
1834	2021-11-03 10:49:26	No		Yes	Yes	No	
1835	2021-11-03 10:30:15	Yes	B3t1r7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1836	2021-11-03 10:05:16	Yes	B3t1t9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1837	2021-11-03 10:10:55	No	B3T1W6	Yes			
1838	2021-11-03 10:03:38	No	B3T2A3	Yes	No		
1839	2021-11-03 08:21:24	No	B3T1Z3	Yes	Yes	No	I do not trust it will improve if. Anticipated and potential increases are still too risky for me.
1840	2021-11-03 08:37:51	No	b3t1v2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1841	2021-11-03 08:17:55	No	B3T2B8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1842	2021-11-03 07:31:46	Yes	B3T0B2	Yes	Yes		
1843	2021-11-03 06:22:06	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	There is no accounting for maintenance of idle assets or calculation of start up costs to idled equipment that is aged.
1844	2021-10-28 17:17:53	No	B3i2y8	Yes			
1845	2021-11-03 07:02:47	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	There has been no accounting for electrical usage in the inactive state.
1846	2021-11-03 07:02:39	No	B3t2l2	Yes			
1847	2021-11-03 06:31:24	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1848	2021-11-03 06:32:33	No	B3t2k8	Yes	Yes	No	
1849	2021-11-03 06:24:23	No	B3T2L2	Yes			
1850	2021-11-03 05:21:10	Yes	B3Z1L4	Yes	Yes	No	The FEP was part of this design that this community agreed to. We've fought it's dismantling multiple times. Keep it in place and honour the commitment.
1851	2021-11-03 04:19:44	Yes	B3t2e3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1852	2021-11-03 04:15:58	No	B3t1t4	Yes			
1853	2021-11-02 21:45:01	No	B3T123	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1854	2021-11-02 21:16:52	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes			
1855	2021-11-02 20:56:32	Yes	B3T1V8	Yes	Yes	No	
1856	2021-11-02 20:25:58	No	B3t1t6	Yes	Yes	No	
1857	2021-11-02 19:57:57	No	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	Yes	diverted green cart material will still create greenhouse gases wherever it's sent or processed.
1858	2021-11-02 19:49:44	No	B3Z1L4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1859	2021-11-02 19:27:03	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	
1860	2021-11-02 19:00:57	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	No		
1861	2021-11-02 18:55:04	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	No	Given the agreement Canada has entered re reduction by 30% by 2030, will this meet that requirement.
1862	2021-11-02 18:56:23	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	Yes	No	
1863	2021-11-02 18:11:46	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes		
1864	2021-11-02 18:15:33	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes	No	
1865	2021-11-02 17:30:20	Yes	B3T1Z5	Yes	Yes	No	
1866	2021-11-02 16:40:23	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1867	2021-11-02 14:34:16	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	Yes	No	Current practice calls for all residential waste to be inspected, bags, especially dark bags, so that contents can be inspected. All illegal material is removed including organic material. This survey doesn't indicate any actual electrical cost savings. It also doesn't say with proof, what status of a cell will be in a truck & dump program.
1868	2021-11-02 15:49:35	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	No		
1869	2021-11-02 15:14:23	No	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1870	2021-11-02 15:23:31	No		Yes			
1871	2021-11-02 15:02:14	No	B3t1v7	Yes			
1872	2021-11-02 14:48:27	No	B3M0P2	Yes	Yes	No	More organics more gas.
1873	2021-11-02 14:43:09	Yes	B3T1P8	Yes	Yes	No	
1874	2021-11-02 14:11:50	No	B3M0P2	Yes			
1875	2021-11-02 14:38:17	Yes	B3T1r8	Yes	Yes	No	
1876	2021-11-02 14:29:17	No	B4A1P3	Yes	Yes	No	This doesn't solve the issues of waste collection
1877	2021-11-02 14:02:42	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1878	2021-11-02 14:28:39	No	B3T1R5	Yes			
1879	2021-11-02 14:24:25	No	B3T0H6	Yes			
1880	Email/Mail-In	No	B3T2C1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1881	Email/Mail-In	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	No	The municipality should switch to environmentally sustainable sources of electrical power generation, e.g. hydro, solar, wind, geothermal

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1882	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	You say not to expect a significant increase in gases. You don't say how much gas contributing material is separated by way of FEP/WSF. When shut down, it is only common sense to anticipate an increase in gas producing material. After all, there are a lot of blackbags with mixed waste getting to landfill NOW. With the increase in apartment and condo living you can expect MORE. If these are the under estimated 8-to-10 loads per day, you will have a bigger problem. THE SAVING IN ELECTRICITY WILL NOT WARRANT.
1883	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T0H3	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste still needs disposal or treatment - regardless of method, poses still presented. Electricity saving is likely negligible.
1884	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3M4M8	Yes	Yes	No	Offgas production in a landfill is to be expected. With the loss of the WSF you will be increasing the volume of gas production and thereby mitigate any progress towards a cleaner environment.
1885	Email/Mail-In	No	B3Z3T6	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1886	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1	2021-11-08 15:25:09	No	B3H1P7	Yes			
2	2021-12-05 09:24:23	Yes	B3T0I6	Yes	Yes	No	
3	2021-12-04 14:41:55	No	B3T1R9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
4	2021-12-04 14:23:40	No	B3T1z8	Yes	Yes	No	
7	2021-12-04 11:44:01	No	B3L4B2	Yes	Yes	No	Keep FEP/WSF
12	2021-12-03 22:10:00	No	B3Z2B3	Yes	Yes	No	The mitigation measures are not as effective as maintaining the FEP/WSF. This survey is not actually a balanced survey. It only presents the HRM/Staff/Mirror perspective as evidence and none of the concerns of the Community Monitoring Committee or others.
13	2021-12-03 22:23:07	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	No	
14	2021-12-03 20:49:08	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	The difference is that by separating using the FEP/WSF means that most of the our causing items are contained in a single area vice spread over a landfill. Odour can be controlled more effectively if it is know when the odour causing material is.
15	2021-12-03 21:32:54	Yes	B3T2E6	Yes	Yes	No	Because you can't be trusted. You commit to something and then when keep changing things and moving goalposts. Nothing you say can be trusted as being in the best interests of Halifax citizens anymore. It's not just money
16	2021-12-03 21:32:46	Yes	B3t2I7	Yes			
17	2021-11-11 16:41:08	No	B3L3H5	Yes	Yes	No	Why depend on mitigating measures when current prevention is working fine with the FEP/WSF?
18	2021-12-03 20:43:54	No	b3t2c8	Yes	Yes		
23	2021-12-03 19:10:31	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	
24	2021-12-03 17:39:37	Yes	B3T0E2	Yes	Yes	No	Odours have long been an issue at this landfill and this new proposal is surely to enhance that issue
25	2021-12-03 16:56:45	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	
26	2021-12-03 16:22:18	No	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	Not convinced that existing approach will continue working
27	2021-12-03 16:14:52	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	Yes	No	
28	2021-11-14 12:30:57	No	B3T1R8	Yes			
29	2021-11-04 13:54:59	No	B3T2L2	Yes	No		
30	2021-11-25 10:10:19	No	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	How can anyone really know what the odour problem may be as at the present time most, if not all organic garbage, does not go into the cell. I am pretty sure that any odour generated by the decaying organics will be increased greatly and depending on wind direction will impact nearby homes and businesses.
35	2021-11-07 19:41:27	No	B3T1W9	Yes	Yes	No	
36	2021-12-03 11:26:34	No	B3M0P2	Yes	Yes	No	More untreated waste and raw organics will create more stench
37	2021-11-13 18:39:52	No	B3T1S5	Yes			
38	2021-12-03 11:25:23	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	Yes	No	Get real. It smells at times now, it will get worse. Remember Lwr Sackville?
40	2021-12-03 10:45:24	Yes	B3T1X5	Yes	Yes	No	as per previous question, not enough info here to determine if this is viable.
41	2021-12-03 10:52:22	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	No		
42	2021-12-03 10:24:39	No	B3t1t9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
44	2021-12-03 10:05:40	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	odours will still travel
46	2021-12-03 09:43:58	No	B3T2A6	Yes	Yes	No	The current smell that comes from the facility is horrendous in the summer! More measures need to be in place than now
47	2021-12-03 09:26:22	Yes	Be32e3	Yes	Yes	No	Dont believe you, trust issue again.
48	2021-12-03 09:40:25	Yes	B3T0J8	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
50	2021-12-03 08:45:22	No	B3T2E3	Yes			
51	2021-12-03 08:41:31	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	No	You finally recognize here that there is an increase in oututs...if you kept the sorting this wouldn't be an issue....now you are getting closer to the concern when this was built...it will attract vermin and birds...
52	2021-12-03 07:53:12	No	B3t1s2	Yes			
53	2021-12-03 07:27:56	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	The changes for Otter Lake will lead to increased and longer term accumulation of waste materials, leading to a dump like former Lower Sackville IN THE MIDDLE OF A RESIDENTIAL AREA
54	2021-12-03 06:41:04	Yes	B3T1P8	Yes	Yes	No	The Otter Lake facility already smells bad, with measures in place, especially on humid summer days (which is most of them now, with climate change). Rotting organic material STINKS. Leaving more in the landfill will just make this existing problem worse.
55	2021-12-03 06:21:35	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes			
56	2021-12-03 05:59:48	Yes	B3t2e3	Yes	Yes	No	
57	2021-11-02 19:50:36	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes			
58	2021-12-03 05:42:11	No	B3T2A4	Yes			
59	2021-12-03 05:14:46	No	B3t1x8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
63	2021-12-02 19:14:47	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	No	
64	2021-12-02 16:48:06	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
66	2021-11-21 16:12:36	No	B3t1v3	Yes	Yes	No	
67	2021-12-02 13:35:06	Yes	B3t1s9	Yes			
68	2021-12-02 11:42:23	No	B3T1Z9	Yes	Yes	No	Treated properly there would be no odor
70	2021-12-02 07:57:42	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	
71	2021-12-01 19:13:58	No	B2Y4A6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
72	2021-12-01 18:24:02	No	B3t1v8	Yes			
73	2021-12-01 17:36:29	No	B3T1Y8	Yes	Yes	No	Have you ever been near the Irving on Prospect road on a hot summer day the smell is gross with the right winds these smells could travel a long distance.
75	2021-12-01 16:52:37	No	B3T2G1	Yes	Yes	No	
77	2021-12-01 13:26:19	No	B3T1S7	Yes			
78	2021-12-01 11:03:14	Yes	B3K1K7	Yes	Yes	No	
79	2021-12-01 10:08:17	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes		
81	2021-12-01 05:17:42	No	B3T1Y3	Yes	No		
83	2021-11-30 20:30:42	No	B3T1W1	Yes			
84	2021-11-30 20:19:47	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	No	The existing approach is clear, understood and works. Why change something that is not broken?
85	2021-11-30 19:43:10	No	B3T1Z7	No			
86	2021-11-30 19:28:18	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	I can smell the dump now so it will only get worse with this new plan
87	2021-11-30 18:50:05	Yes	B3z1l4	Yes	Yes	No	It's obvious that increase in food waste in the disposal area will increase odors. I'm not sure that the logic in the explanation above is sound.
89	2021-11-30 16:53:09	Yes	B3z4b6	No			
91	2021-11-30 16:30:32	No	B3T1T6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
92	2021-11-30 12:20:04	Yes	B3T0C3	Yes	Yes	No	
93	2021-11-30 11:57:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes			
94	2021-11-30 08:30:28	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	
95	2021-11-30 06:31:37	Yes	B3t0e5	Yes	Yes	No	
96	2021-11-30 05:48:46	Yes	B3t0e2	Yes	Yes	No	
97	2021-11-30 05:11:25	Yes	B3T0C5	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
98	2021-11-30 04:26:12	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	These odours would have been addressed in the original Otter Lake design! If not, shame on you!
100	2021-11-06 19:45:28	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	
102	2021-11-29 19:03:16	Yes	B3z3w9	Yes	Yes	No	The people sitting in the fancy offices will not be the ones that are dealing with the smell.
103	2021-11-29 15:31:26	No	B3M3G9	Yes	Yes	No	Best way to deal with odours is the WSF. Should leave it operational to ensure long term minimization of odours vs mitigation of odours that will now occur.
104	2021-11-29 12:49:54	No	b3t1t8	Yes			
105	2021-11-29 12:23:08	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	More inappropriate items in landfill, more odor. It just might take longer as it processes but fear it will eventually surpass current odor levels.
106	2021-11-29 12:47:42	No	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
111	2021-11-29 08:34:14	No		No			
112	2021-11-29 07:44:36	No	B3T1T9	Yes	No		
113	2021-11-28 22:36:07	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
114	2021-11-25 09:38:50	No	B3H2Y7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
116	2021-11-28 11:02:50	No	b3t1z4	Yes	No		
118	2021-11-28 08:58:19	No	B3T1W7	Yes	Yes	No	If this mitigation is not going to stop if the sorting stops, why is this part of the survey? Your survey is very poorly written. My concern is that there will not be sorting completed.
122	2021-11-27 17:43:03	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
123	2021-11-27 16:20:14	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
124	2021-11-27 16:03:53	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	
125	2021-11-27 10:01:50	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	No		
126	2021-11-27 10:57:24	No	B3a2l8	Yes	No		
127	2021-11-27 10:26:39	No	b2w2a8	Yes	No		
128	2021-11-27 10:09:45	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes			
129	2021-11-27 08:52:29	No	B3z1e2	No			
133	2021-11-26 14:55:51	No	B2W0H6	Yes			
134	2021-11-26 14:49:36	No	b3m0n1	Yes	No		
135	2021-11-26 14:00:24	No	B3T1W7	Yes	Yes	No	Odours were one of the concerns raised originally by the people living in the area.
136	2021-11-26 13:20:12	No	B2W0L2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
137	2021-11-26 12:19:20	No	B2Y4J5	Yes	Yes	No	For the same reasons in previous answers. Less control will result in more negatives including smell.
138	2021-11-26 10:16:40	No	B2Y3M9	Yes			
139	2021-11-26 09:18:44	No	B3T2A5	Yes			
140	2021-11-26 07:46:03	No	b3a4H4	Yes	No		
141	2021-11-26 06:44:20	No	B4A4J8	No			
142	2021-11-26 05:29:08	No	0	Yes	Yes	Yes	
143	2021-11-26 04:10:09	No	B3P2S4	Yes	Yes	No	more smoke and mirrors
144	2021-11-25 19:23:32	No	b3k1r3	Yes	Yes	No	Because this is a whole lotta words for "yes it will stink more"
145	2021-11-25 22:39:53	No	B3B1W2	Yes	Yes	No	
146	2021-11-25 22:23:40	Yes	B3t2h6	Yes	Yes	No	
147	2021-11-25 22:05:39	No	B2Y1B3	Yes	No		
148	2021-11-25 22:18:49	No	B2x2e5	No			
149	2021-11-25 21:37:53	No	B3M1V1	Yes	Yes	No	Current WSF system to my best knowledge pumps all offgas through a carbon filter to help eliminate odour before rely to the atmosphere
150	2021-11-25 21:37:15	No	B3Z1H4	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
151	2021-11-25 21:18:21	No	B3Z1V9	Yes	Yes	No	This does not mitigate an increase in gas production.
152	2021-11-25 21:23:33	No	B3m3b6	No			
153	2021-11-25 17:57:49	Yes	B3T1T3	Yes	Yes	No	If we are trying to reduce the burning of methane gases, I don't see how just burning the gas which would be produced in anyway reduces the environmental impact of organic matter that is not being treated properly to minimize methane gas production
154	2021-11-25 18:08:41	Yes	B3T1B6	Yes			
155	2021-11-25 18:02:31	No	B3H4B2	Yes	No		
158	2021-11-25 16:58:07	No	B4b1n7	Yes	Yes	No	I don't know enough to know, but don't want this to be like the burnside composte place you can smell for miles
159	2021-11-25 16:54:01	No	B2V2J9	No			
160	2021-11-25 15:58:11	No	b3n3j2	No			
162	2021-11-25 14:24:02	No	B3Z1H1	Yes	Yes	No	
163	2021-11-25 13:41:47	No	B3z3v7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
164	2021-11-25 13:13:06	Yes	b3T2E6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
165	2021-11-25 11:09:27	No	B2X2H6	Yes	Yes	No	Use the current generation of odour as a marketing opportunity. "Our landfill smells (because it is literally, actually world class!)"
166	2021-11-25 11:51:38	No	B3Z1L3	Yes	Yes	No	Landfill monitoring consistent with the current approach for the management of odours is commendable. Notwithstanding this approach, you are dealing with a significantly different entity. The proximity to residential and retail facilities creates an unfortunate series of events that will lead to foul air pollution and odour.
167	2021-11-25 11:56:50	No	B3L3H3	Yes	Yes	No	
171	2021-11-25 10:09:06	Yes	B3M3K4	Yes			
173	2021-11-25 09:10:29	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	Garbage stinks and nothing you do will stop that.
174	2021-11-25 09:25:03	Yes	B3t1s8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
175	2021-11-24 11:06:59	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
176	2021-11-05 17:13:07	No		Yes			
177	2021-11-25 08:59:48	No	B4B1M7	Yes	Yes	No	
178	2021-11-25 07:53:11	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
179	2021-11-25 08:41:02	Yes	B3T0I6	Yes	Yes	No	
180	2021-11-10 11:11:55	Yes	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	No	steps are taken already to reduce odors and it is not sufficient - this will only make things worse
182	2021-11-25 07:32:55	No	B3Z1K3	Yes	No		
183	2021-11-25 07:15:20	No	B4A1J6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
184	2021-11-25 07:12:12	No	B3T1Z2	Yes	Yes	No	I worried that people are getting complacent about sorting. If organics increase, what is the process to restart the processor. I fear if the processor stops it will be permanent.
186	2021-11-25 07:00:57	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	Yes	No	big odor problems now not being addressed
188	2021-11-25 06:38:15	No	B3L1J9	Yes			
189	2021-11-25 06:37:18	No	B3Z2N2	No			
190	2021-11-25 05:43:05	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	Odour is a problem in Timberlea and work should focus on addressing this as opposed to seeking savings. HRM continues to increase population close to the landfill, while making decisions based on solutions from consultants that are not focused on protecting reside and relying on proposals that 'may' have the 'potential' to improve issues.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
191	2021-11-25 05:43:56	No	B2W2K3	Yes	Yes	No	Even though the open area is minimized, increasing the amount of organics will increase the amount of odours. With increasing weather events, including strong winds, it is more likely odour will reach nearby communities.
193	2021-11-24 21:10:46	No	B3Z1M2	Yes	Yes		
194	2021-11-24 18:22:24	Yes	B3TOC2	Yes	Yes	No	
195	2021-11-24 18:31:49	No	B3t1v1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
196	2021-11-17 18:34:09	No	B3T1v1	Yes	Yes	No	Sorting is felt to be the best course of action to limit odours produced by waste
197	2021-11-24 16:23:03	No	B3T1R3	Yes	Yes	No	
199	2021-11-24 12:20:19	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	Lirs
200	2021-11-24 07:49:10	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
202	2021-11-24 09:29:56	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	If you do not sort and ensure you know what is put in the landfill, there will be odour problems. I drove by the Sackville landfill for years and the smell was disgusting. Do not do that to our city and impact the tourism drive to Peggys Cove. Use common sense please.
204	2021-11-05 20:14:01	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
205	2021-11-24 08:12:11	Yes	B3T1W6	Yes	No		
207	2021-11-24 07:19:53	No	B3Z1L4	Yes	Yes	No	Odour mitigation is not odour elimination. On hot summer days, the current situation results in malodorous conditions. We cannot allow this as 'normal' or acceptable.
208	2021-11-24 06:47:37	Yes	B3T2a5	Yes	Yes	No	it stinks here
209	2021-11-24 06:17:21	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	Gas / odor collection cannot guarantee all will be collected
212	2021-11-16 20:30:04	No	B3m4k9	Yes			
213	2021-11-23 15:04:58	No	B3T2L6	Yes	Yes	No	With the land be disturbed at times abelt traffic, erosion...how would the odour be prevented then?
214	2021-11-23 17:13:18	No	B3T1X5	Yes	No		
215	2021-11-23 16:32:43	No	B3Z1L7	Yes	Yes	No	Stated earlier, 10% of waste are organics. 10% is a big number, organics smell.
220	2021-11-23 14:41:29	Yes	B3t1s6	Yes	Yes	No	Honor the contract!
222	2021-11-14 09:01:40	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes		
223	2021-11-23 12:35:06	Yes	B3Z0K9	Yes	Yes	No	You can smell the landfill already.
225	2021-11-05 18:01:51	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	No	Leave it alone it works
226	2021-11-23 11:34:32	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	The mitigation methods discussed above provide no information about how the current processes onsite will be changed to account for the additional organic materials to be landfilled. Will the existing landfill gas collection systems need to be upgraded to account for the increased organic components entering the landfill?
227	2021-11-23 11:53:20	No	B3T2B2	Yes	Yes	No	
228	2021-11-23 10:59:54	No	B3T1W1	Yes			
229	2021-11-23 11:00:22	No	B3T1X4	Yes			
230	2021-11-05 06:42:04	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	Yes	No	
231	2021-11-23 09:12:02	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
232	2021-11-23 07:54:02	No	B4g1g3	Yes	Yes	No	It's another article citing propaganda.
233	2021-11-23 08:49:27	No	B3t2c9	Yes			
234	2021-11-23 08:34:21	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes			
235	2021-11-15 06:30:45	No	B3T2H2	Yes	Yes	No	The review still shows there could be potential for increased odours, we won't know until it is too late.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
238	2021-11-22 17:35:24	No	B3T156	Yes			
240	2021-11-22 16:33:36	Yes	B3t0b2	Yes	Yes	No	
242	2021-11-22 15:15:31	No	B4E2R3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
243	2021-11-22 09:15:10	No		Yes	Yes	No	
244	2021-11-22 15:09:14	No	B3T2B5	No			
247	2021-11-22 14:29:02	Yes	B3N3J3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
248	2021-11-22 13:25:02	No	B3T1V4	Yes			
249	2021-11-17 07:19:08	No		Yes			
250	2021-11-22 11:58:25	No	b3t1z1	Yes	Yes	No	
251	2021-11-22 10:57:01	No	B3T1X2	Yes	Yes	No	I do not believe your comments about increased odour
253	2021-11-22 08:56:13	No	B3T1V8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
254	2021-11-22 09:11:43	No	B3t1w3	Yes	Yes	No	No issues have been identified but there will be an increase in odour. We say NO to the proposed changes to the Otter Lake Waste Processing and Disposal Survey!
255	2021-11-04 16:24:30	No		Yes	No		
257	2021-11-08 07:34:24	No		Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
258	2021-11-22 07:46:11	No		Yes	No		
259	2021-11-21 19:13:42	No	B3T1Y6	Yes	Yes	No	Odour mitigation procedures need to be put in place AS WELL AS keeping and even improving the FEP/WSF.
260	2021-11-21 21:35:36	Yes	B3T2H7	Yes	Yes	No	If the FEP/WSF was implemented to avoid odours, and is accomplishing that objective, trying to tell us that the process may make it worse sounds like a bad argument.
263	2021-11-21 20:04:16	No	B3T2B3	Yes	Yes	No	
264	2021-11-21 20:02:01	No	b3n1t3	No			
265	2021-11-21 19:28:10	No	B3Z3W8	Yes	Yes		
266	2021-11-21 10:54:40	No	B3T0B5	Yes	Yes	No	
267	2021-11-21 09:15:00	No	B3T1Z8	Yes			
268	2021-11-21 08:38:26	Yes	B3Z1M9	Yes	Yes	No	Trust
269	2021-11-21 08:34:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes			
270	2021-11-21 08:08:50	No	B3T1Y6	Yes			
272	2021-11-21 06:37:30	Yes	B3t1s6				
275	2021-11-20 22:19:47	No	B3Z1C7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
276	2021-11-20 22:13:14	No	B3t2b8	Yes			
277	2021-11-20 21:39:54	No	B3T2C9	Yes	No		
278	2021-11-20 20:55:18	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	Yes	No	Methane gasses
279	2021-11-20 18:20:13	No	B3T2L1	Yes		No	
281	2021-11-20 14:33:02	No	B3T1X2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
284	2021-11-20 13:01:56	No	B3T1T8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
285	2021-11-20 09:52:20	No	b3t2a4	Yes	Yes	No	removal of fep and wsf
287	2021-11-20 06:22:00	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes			
288	2021-11-20 05:22:39	Yes	B3T2L4	Yes	Yes	No	
289	2021-11-19 22:45:53	No	B3t1w5	Yes	Yes	No	Burying garbage will not reduce smells or gas created by decomposing garbage.
292	2021-11-19 19:55:05	Yes	B3t1a5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
293	2021-11-19 20:00:21	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes			
297	2021-11-19 17:40:58	Yes	B3t2l6	Yes	Yes	No	No change should occur
300	2021-11-19 16:24:10	Yes	B3t2e8	Yes	No		
301	2021-11-19 15:30:49	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
302	2021-11-19 14:41:19	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	The current odour's being omitted at the site presently are very unpleasant and with wind blowing in any given direction it is moved to towards living area. The belief that someone will be policing the amount of organic going into the site is equal to the reason FEP was required.
304	2021-11-19 13:53:50	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes			
306	2021-11-19 13:17:35	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	
307	2021-11-19 12:52:56	Yes	B3T1S8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
309	2021-11-19 13:13:25	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes	No	Dumping organic waste that is decaying with out treatment is going to generate additional odours. There is already a smell from this facility and not treating the decaying food will only increase that smell and attract animals who may get sick and die from the toxins of rotten food.
310	2021-11-19 12:09:54	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	My understanding from the CMC is these measures will not work without the FEP & WSF.
312	2021-11-19 11:21:59	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
313	2021-11-19 12:01:38	Yes	B3t0e2	Yes	Yes	No	Right now you can smell a bad odor depending on which way the wind blow and this will make it worse
314	2021-11-19 11:37:55	Yes	B3T0B3	Yes	Yes	No	
315	2021-11-19 11:40:51	No	b4e3j4	No			
316	2021-11-19 11:05:54	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	No	With the increase that you'll see in odours coming from the recyclables that aren't being sorted properly with the removal of the FEP/WSF, there's no way that you can keep the odours down. Stinks now, will increase not decrease!
317	2021-11-19 10:51:58	No	B3t2a4	Yes	Yes	No	
328	2021-11-19 09:26:04	No	B3t1s4	Yes	Yes	No	
329	2021-11-19 09:23:53	No	B3T1T7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
330	2021-11-19 09:18:34	No	B3T2E3	No			
331	2021-11-03 14:14:39	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	
332	2021-11-19 09:07:23	No	B3z3x7	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
336	2021-11-19 06:57:40	No	B3t1s7	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
340	2021-11-16 06:28:52	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
342	2021-11-18 13:01:08	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste should not be buried in a landfill
343	2021-11-06 11:19:16	Yes	B3T1S3	Yes			
344	2021-11-18 08:13:25	No	B3Z1S1	Yes			
348	2021-11-17 22:58:07	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes	No	It stinks too much now in the Sommer time
349	2021-11-17 22:09:54	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes			
350	2021-11-17 21:58:13	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes			
351	2021-11-17 20:45:05	No	B3T1V4				
352	2021-11-17 16:59:54	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	No	On what real data is Dillon's assertion based exactly? I am not sure I see how heat treatment would kickstart the microbiological decomposition process. At any rate the "amount of odour/gas" produced is not related with the speed of the process but with the amount of organic material present in the waste which will increase
353	2021-11-17 18:51:05	No	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	Again, my preference is to eliminate as much as possible from the landfill
354	2021-11-17 18:35:15	Yes	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	No	Same answer as green house gas question. Please refer back to that question

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
356	2021-11-17 17:37:03	Yes	B3T1Z1	Yes	Yes	No	Current steps that are part of the original agreement that allowed the facility to operate in that location are being eliminated
358	2021-11-17 17:32:46	No	B3t1p9	Yes	No		
359	2021-11-17 16:50:01	No	B3t1z5	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
361	2021-11-17 08:21:13	No	B3T0J9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
362	2021-11-17 16:12:46	Yes	B3T1Z5	Yes	Yes	No	
364	2021-11-17 14:48:48	No	B3T1Z7	Yes	Yes	No	Those wells do not work. No debate about that. There are numerous locations where those wells are present and they still stink from miles away - the reason - organics in the waste stream. Keeping the diversion in the FEP/WSF mitigates the amount of gasses produced so they may be more appropriately handled by the collection system down the road.
366	2021-11-17 14:03:17	No	B3T1S3	Yes	No		
368	2021-11-17 12:56:04	No	B3T2A3	Yes	Yes	No	How are you planning to contain the size of the landfill if you do away with front-end sorting?
369	2021-11-17 12:39:30	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes	Yes	No	
372	2021-11-17 10:06:34	Yes	B3T2B4	Yes	Yes	No	If not stabilized, the rotting organics will stink.
373	2021-11-17 09:17:34	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	This measure has been proposed and taken on across the world to disastrous effect and impact. The narrative of this survey is again selling to me - this is not a survey
374	2021-11-17 08:29:21	No	B3L2X9	Yes			
375	2021-11-17 07:34:30	No	B3Z1L7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
378	2021-11-17 02:20:42	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	Yes	No	This is not good enough
379	2021-11-16 22:06:39	No	B3T2C8	Yes	No		
380	2021-11-16 21:10:18	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	gas flaring also has negative effects on humans.
381	2021-11-16 21:18:47	No	B3t1t8	Yes			
382	2021-11-16 21:09:04	No	B4E0H1	Yes			
384	2021-11-06 11:35:33	No	B3T1S6	Yes			
387	2021-11-16 20:28:47	No	B3T2A8	Yes	Yes	No	
388	2021-11-16 20:08:46	No	b4e0c5	Yes	Yes	Yes	keep operating the FEP/WSF
389	2021-11-16 19:53:05	Yes	b3t1m6	Yes	Yes	No	This landfill site was promised to be closed years ago. It has already gotten bigger and now it is getting stinkier. What was once a great facility recognized world wide will now be a regular dump
391	2021-11-16 19:17:01	Yes	B3t1v6	Yes	Yes	No	It will still stink
392	2021-11-16 17:11:00	Yes	B3t1z6	Yes			
394	2021-11-16 16:57:48	Yes	B3T1K6	Yes	Yes	No	
395	2021-11-16 16:47:46	No	B3T1V3	Yes			
396	2021-11-16 16:26:13	No	b3r1y2	Yes	Yes	No	more BS as stated above.
397	2021-11-16 16:09:29	No	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	No	
398	2021-11-16 14:32:29	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	We DO NOT want another Sackville incident!!!
402	2021-11-16 13:43:14	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	
403	2021-11-16 13:20:21	No	B3T2A5	Yes	Yes	No	It already smells, I can't see how adding more rotten waste will make it any better even with measures above.
404	2021-11-16 13:04:35	Yes	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
405	2021-11-16 13:08:17	No	B3.2g6	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
407	2021-11-16 12:16:47	Yes	B3T1R9	Yes	Yes	No	the environment and decomposition goals should be weighed against the human disruption, not all one and none of the other, I don't want more odor but the sorting/processing and decomposition are important long term ecological considerations. we should not sacrifice the environment for better smell.
409	2021-11-16 12:01:52	No	B3T2A3	Yes	No		
410	2021-11-16 11:28:33	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
411	2021-11-15 19:57:12	No	B3T1Z6	Yes			
412	2021-11-16 11:13:28	No	B3T1T4	Yes	No		
413	2021-11-16 10:54:56	No	B3T1R2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
418	2021-11-08 04:39:24	No	B3t2b9	No			
419	2021-11-16 09:20:25	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	
420	2021-11-16 09:14:25	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	
421	2021-11-16 08:16:26	No	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	No	Again, relying on humans to do things that take extra time and energy is not always a good plan. I don't trust that it will be done as planned.
422	2021-11-16 08:02:51	No	B3T2A6	Yes	Yes	No	If these measures are already in place then why does it smell so bad? It smells like terribly sour milk or rotting food on a regular basis so whatever you are doing is not working.
423	2021-11-16 07:03:38	No	B3T1S3	Yes		No	not sure
425	2021-11-16 07:17:23	No	B4B0V3	No			
427	2021-11-16 06:40:08	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	Yes	No	
428	2021-11-16 05:15:53	No	B3t1r8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
429	2021-11-16 03:50:44	No	b3t1r2	Yes			
432	2021-11-15 22:24:58	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes			
433	2021-11-15 21:42:51	No	B3z1k6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
436	2021-11-15 21:31:56	No	b3t0h5	Yes			
439	2021-11-15 20:24:57	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	There are odours now especially in the summer. The measures noted above will not be enough to fix odour problems.
442	2021-11-15 20:01:15	Yes	B3j1b6	Yes			
443	2021-11-15 19:21:38	No	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	The long term effects of the deactivation of the FEP/WSF is not really addressed here.
444	2021-11-15 19:19:56	Yes	B3T0C5	Yes	Yes	No	Are you actually serious....this should be on the news...what a joke.
445	2021-11-15 19:23:32	No	B3M2Z8	Yes			
447	2021-11-15 19:20:01	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes			
448	2021-11-15 19:18:50	Yes	B3T0C5	Yes			
449	2021-11-15 18:52:28	No	B3T1S7	Yes	No		
451	2021-11-15 19:07:14	Yes	B3T0C3	Yes	Yes	No	
453	2021-11-09 17:16:13	Yes	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	
454	2021-11-15 18:12:10	No	B3T2E4	Yes			
456	2021-11-15 18:00:43	No	B3m1b3	Yes	Yes	No	
457	2021-11-15 17:07:16	No	B3T1S7	Yes	Yes	No	The existing measures remove more organic material so increased concentrations of organic increase the potential of odours gas emissions
459	2021-11-15 16:33:39	Yes	b3t1n1	Yes	Yes	No	
460	2021-11-15 15:34:49	Yes	B3T1V2	Yes	No		
463	2021-11-04 13:14:52	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes			
467	2021-11-15 15:05:11	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
468	2021-11-15 14:28:53	No	B3T1T5	Yes	Yes	No	Seriously? You're trying to say that the current system generates more odours than would be generated if the FEP/WSF is deactivated? I find that hard to believe. Just keep the system in place as contracted and promised!
469	2021-11-15 14:37:16	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
470	2021-11-04 13:58:09	No	B3t2I1	Yes	Yes	No	The odours currently are not controlled. Additional waste will increase the odour.
472	2021-11-15 13:06:30	Yes	B3T1P4	Yes			
474	2021-11-15 11:55:02	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	While not often, we have experienced odours from the landfill. Even once is one time too many.
475	2021-11-15 12:15:51	Yes	B3t1t5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
476	2021-11-15 11:06:37	Yes	B3T1A2	Yes	Yes	No	If no one is there to kickstart the process what is the potential of the odors hanging for longer periods with it occurring naturally as at older landfill sites.
477	2021-11-15 11:09:54	No	B3t1z9	Yes	Yes	No	4000 tons decay waste not accessible
478	2021-11-02 15:44:15	No	B3t1z9	Yes	Yes	No	4000 t of decaying waste not acceptable
479	2021-11-15 10:58:42	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	No		
482	2021-11-15 10:47:45	No	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	No	4000 tons decaying waste in landfill without FEP/WSF
483	2021-11-15 10:38:04	No	B3t1z9	Yes	Yes	No	4000 tons decaying waste in lad fill without FEP/WSF
487	2021-11-15 08:33:12	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	Said it yourselves. The process of pre-treatment 'kick starts' the decomp process and the odours are minimized. Enough said. I suggest that you come down to the area on a day when 'something' isn't working right. I guarantee you will lose your lunch with the stench. No thanks.
488	2021-11-15 09:26:01	No		Yes			
490	2021-11-15 08:50:46	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	When we met with the city prior to the installation of this waste facility, we were given promises regarding capping the cells, sorting waste, no odor, etc. We have Odors now, what you are proposing will make it worse. Is a promise made to residents not binding on future Municipal Governments??
491	2021-11-15 08:35:24	No	B4A0G5	Yes	No		
492	2021-11-15 08:16:23	No	B3T1W2	Yes	No		
493	2021-11-15 08:06:45	Yes	B3P2S2	Yes	Yes	No	Keep the FEP/WSF. Do not deactivate.
494	2021-11-15 07:51:27	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	Yes	No	There is always going to be an odour issue.
496	2021-11-15 06:25:23	No	b2y2k5	Yes			
497	2021-11-15 06:30:42	No	b3t1s6	Yes			
498	2021-11-15 06:04:16	No	B4A4G9	Yes			
499	2021-11-15 06:00:23	No	B3T1Z4	Yes			
501	2021-11-15 05:17:48	No	B3T2B6	Yes			
502	2021-11-15 04:23:45	No	B3T2B6	Yes			
503	2021-11-14 22:48:09	Yes	B3T1T3	Yes	Yes	No	With ever increasing processing to acomadate growing community, I fear increasing amt. of unfavorable odor/debris/pollutants will mar our air quality, roadside scenes and our growing tourist interest via this route to Peggys Cove etc.
504	2021-11-14 23:28:13	Yes	B3t1s4	Yes	Yes	No	It is already incredibly stinky in the area surrounding the landfill, especially in the summer. More food waste in the landfill will increase odours.
505	2021-11-14 21:41:33	No	B3L4E9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
507	2021-11-14 21:08:08	Yes	B3Z2R2	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
508	2021-11-14 18:53:01	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	Yes	No	As with so many other counter measures here, these are vague counter measures that defy common sense: "as small as possible", "proactive monitoring", etc. These are provide zero assurance there won't be odor issues. In fact that these were the best light the mitigations could be cast in makes me certain there will be. Again, this is not acceptable.
509	2021-11-14 19:24:07	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	Smell will certainly be much, much worse.
510	2021-11-14 19:06:31	No	B3z2j2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
511	2021-11-14 18:40:50	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	Yes	No	As it currently stands we can smell the landfill many days of the year. This will make it worse
512	2021-11-14 18:24:35	No	B3z1c5	Yes			
513	2021-11-14 17:48:16	Yes	B3t1C4	Yes	Yes	No	
514	2021-11-14 17:24:20	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	No	
515	2021-11-14 17:13:33	No	B3t1z1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
517	2021-11-14 17:03:48	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
518	2021-11-14 16:51:46	No	B3t2c8	Yes	Yes	No	
519	2021-11-14 16:42:02	No	B3T2a3	Yes	No		
520	2021-11-14 16:19:13	Yes	B3t1w1	Yes		No	Smells terrible now amd nothing is done I Can't imagine when this change is made how bad it will be
521	2021-11-14 16:13:51	No	B3t2h8	Yes	Yes	No	These claims cannot be substantiated
522	2021-11-14 15:41:54	No	B3Z1X7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
523	2021-11-06 17:49:33	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes			
524	2021-11-14 15:16:45	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes			
525	2021-11-14 14:28:12	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes			
526	2021-11-14 14:13:29	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	
527	2021-11-14 12:59:52	No	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	
529	2021-11-14 12:32:35	Yes	B3T1T7	Yes	No		
530	2021-11-14 12:47:29	No	B3t2a8	No			
531	2021-11-14 12:46:53	Yes	b3t1a9	Yes			
532	2021-11-14 12:15:28	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	No	It will still generate doors, and the landfill will still be remain fairly large but stagnant.
533	2021-11-14 12:23:24	Yes	b3t1a5	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
534	2021-11-14 11:50:10	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	No		
535	2021-11-14 10:54:56	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes			
537	2021-11-14 10:24:51	No	B3A2Y6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
538	2021-11-08 06:46:30	Yes	b3t3c9	Yes			
539	2021-11-14 09:41:02	No	b3t2b4	Yes	Yes	No	It stinks bad enough already
540	2021-11-14 09:23:15	No	B3L1W1	Yes	No		
541	2021-11-14 09:02:04	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	I grew up in Halifax when the old dump was in the north end. The smell was gross and our community doesn't need this here
542	2021-11-14 09:01:11	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes	No	
543	2021-11-14 07:47:50	Yes	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	No	No FEP will lead to organics products increasing the odors.
544	2021-11-14 07:20:45	Yes	B3T1A7	Yes	Yes	No	All these questions ask- are you ok with how it's done now- yes. will you be ok to destroy our environment- NO!!!
545	2021-11-13 19:53:48	Yes	B3T0B6	Yes	Yes	No	You can periodically smell that awful sweet smell of the wind blows a certain way
548	2021-11-14 07:04:09	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	
549	2021-11-07 15:48:37	Yes	B3t1w1	Yes	Yes	No	
550	2021-11-14 06:41:46	Yes	B3t0b3	Yes	Yes	No	Don't believe

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
551	2021-11-14 06:26:48	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	
552	2021-11-14 06:22:05	No	B3k1x3	Yes			
553	2021-11-14 04:19:11	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
554	2021-11-14 04:17:16	No	B4B0Y6	Yes			
555	2021-11-14 02:03:54	Yes	B3t0J3	Yes	Yes	No	
556	2021-11-14 01:17:35	Yes	B3T2J3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
557	2021-11-14 01:10:03	Yes	B3t1k6	Yes	Yes	No	The spray don't work and it makes prospect road also smell bad
558	2021-11-13 23:39:07	No	B3Z0E7	Yes			
561	2021-11-13 22:03:06	No	B3T2B4	Yes			
562	2021-11-13 21:58:46	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	
563	2021-11-13 21:07:26	No	B3T1V8	Yes	No		
565	2021-11-13 20:50:36	No	B3N1E3				
568	2021-11-13 19:12:12	Yes	B3R1R4	Yes	Yes	No	I remember what the smell was like years ago . I don't think the measures will be followed through with.
569	2021-11-13 18:36:52	No	B3T1W7	Yes	Yes	No	We need to maintain what we have at Otter Lake as it has proven to work. Why would we lower the standard that has been world class for a few savings that HRM might waste on something less proven ?
570	2021-11-13 18:56:22	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes			
573	2021-11-13 09:09:40	No		Yes	Yes	No	
576	2021-11-13 16:34:10	No	B3T1W2	Yes	Yes	No	If the FEP/WSF is deactivated, raw, organic material will enter the landfill, which will create odour.
577	2021-11-13 16:15:03	Yes	B3Z1E8	Yes	Yes	No	I do. It feel there will be sufficient labour available to manger this risk.
578	2021-11-13 16:12:11	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
579	2021-11-13 14:52:37	Yes	B3T1W9	Yes	Yes	No	The odours from this facility and one other in the area are brutal at times. If the organic waste is increased, I'm am sure the odours will be worse. Example- lower Sackville landfill. Nope.. not in my back yard!!
580	2021-11-13 15:28:04	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe what is said given that many landfills smell. Eg the one in Fredericton and along hwy. 101
581	2021-11-13 15:35:18	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes			
582	2021-11-13 15:09:51	Yes	B3T0C7	Yes	Yes	No	I remember the smell of this landfill growing up and driving pass on the highway! It was disgusting.
584	2021-11-13 14:20:55	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes	Yes	No	
585	2021-11-13 14:05:39	No	B3t1s3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
586	2021-11-13 13:03:09	Yes	B3t1t7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
587	2021-11-13 12:49:35	Yes	B3t2h9	Yes			
588	2021-11-13 12:12:35	Yes	B3T1W2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
589	2021-11-13 12:34:33	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	No	
590	2021-11-13 12:34:26	No	B3T1R2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
591	2021-11-12 10:59:07	No	B3T1W3	Yes	Yes	No	
592	2021-11-13 11:45:10	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	The landfill smells as it is, working properly. Odors will increase ultimately if the plant doesn't work properly
594	2021-11-13 11:35:51	No	B3T2A6	Yes			
595	2021-11-13 11:23:25	No	B3t1s1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
596	2021-11-13 11:27:25	No	B3T1W9	Yes	No		
598	2021-11-13 09:13:42	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
599	2021-11-13 10:52:22	Yes	B3t1v8	Yes			
601	2021-11-04 08:30:06	No	B3t1y6	Yes	Yes	No	This management process was never supposed to be an option.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
602	2021-11-13 09:10:48	No	B3T1R6	Yes		No	Today there are (on occasion) odours from this site. By not restricting what goes into the site, current measures will not be sufficient.
603	2021-11-13 08:49:57	Yes	B3T0H6	Yes			
605	2021-11-08 10:53:05	Yes	B3T1T8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
607	2021-11-13 08:42:24	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	No	
608	2021-11-13 08:43:32	No	B3T1V8	Yes			
609	2021-11-13 08:37:22	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
613	2021-11-13 08:01:46	No	B3T1T3	Yes			
614	2021-11-13 07:52:06	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	Yes	No	
615	2021-11-13 07:29:43	Yes	B3Z0N9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
616	2021-11-12 10:15:32	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes	No	
617	2021-11-13 07:32:01	No	B3t1z3	Yes	No		
618	2021-11-13 07:12:01	No	B3Z3A5	Yes	Yes	No	I have occasionally noticed strong odours emanating from the site.
619	2021-11-13 07:22:29	No	B3t1y5	Yes			
620	2021-11-13 07:24:17	No	B3T1T8	Yes			
621	2021-11-13 06:57:29	No	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
622	2021-11-13 06:34:34	No	B0J2C0	Yes	Yes	Yes	
623	2021-11-13 06:11:22	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	
624	2021-11-13 05:23:59	No	B3T1Y1	Yes			
625	2021-11-13 04:38:15	No	B3n1g2	Yes			
628	2021-11-12 22:32:48	No	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
630	2021-11-12 21:34:52	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	Yes	No	I strong agree the FEP should stay operational as per the agreement originally agreed to when opening the site If the site. If the site used to operate 2 FEP with no odours. How would operating one increase the odours. Again using no science only words like may increase.
632	2021-11-12 21:45:13	No	B3t2b8	Yes			
635	2021-11-12 20:55:35	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste should not be buried in the landfill.
636	2021-11-12 20:53:35	Yes	B3t0e1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
637	2021-11-12 20:31:03	No	B3L3W3	Yes			
638	2021-11-12 20:33:57	No	B3Z0N9	Yes			
639	2021-11-12 19:53:27	Yes	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	No	Concerned about increasing smells as in other landfills
640	2021-11-12 20:10:10	Yes	B3T2G2	Yes	Yes	No	Please do not deactivate the FEP/WSF. There have been odours in the area over the years. Removing the FEP/WSF will lead to increased odours that the community should not have to live with. We were promised this step and HRM should honour this commitment.
641	2021-11-12 19:54:56	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	See previous comments about composting facility smells.
642	2021-11-12 19:51:56	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	Yes	No	
644	2021-11-04 15:18:44	No	B3T1T3	Yes			
645	2021-11-12 19:18:14	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	The importation of the FEP/WEs is a requirement of the otter lake landfill agreement
647	2021-11-12 18:58:13	No	B3T1X7	Yes	Yes	No	
648	2021-11-12 19:00:02	No	B3R1Z6	Yes			
649	2021-11-12 18:45:16	No	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	No	
650	2021-11-12 18:45:15	No	B3t2a6	Yes			
651	2021-11-12 18:39:00	No	B3T2B2	No			
653	2021-11-09 15:44:21	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	No	Do you live within 5 kms of the landfill ? If not you have no idea of the odors. We need this landfill to last as long as possible

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
654	2021-11-12 17:58:39	No	B3t1s5	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
655	2021-11-12 18:04:48	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes		
658	2021-11-12 17:20:52	Yes	B3t1r8	Yes	Yes		
660	2021-11-12 16:54:50	Yes	B3t1t4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
661	2021-11-12 16:50:26	No	B3t1p7	Yes	Yes	No	There is no way the addition of organic waste will not create odor
662	2021-11-12 16:11:02	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes	Yes	No	You CANNOT a guarantee that the odor will decrease, but right now it's good. Why would you want to mess with that?
663	2021-11-12 16:22:48	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes	No	
664	2021-11-05 11:03:02	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe it will not cause more odor
665	2021-11-12 16:13:09	Yes	B3t1a2	Yes	Yes	No	
666	2021-11-12 15:58:30	No	B3T2B9	Yes	Yes	No	
668	2021-11-12 14:45:10	Yes	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	No	Drive by on a hot day, or any other day there is an odor
669	2021-11-12 14:09:19	No	B3Z0C8	Yes	Yes	No	First, kick starting the process might be a good thing if we followed up with the right processes after - which clearly are not burying it. So getting rid of FEP & WSF seems like going backwards - why are we not talking about making this process better - not just throwing up our hands and saying ... ok lets bury it all. Shame.
673	2021-11-12 13:57:18	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	No	
675	2021-11-12 13:46:14	No					
677	2021-11-12 13:27:53	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	No	This survey is leading and directing a response and does allow for important concerns to be addressed
678	2021-11-12 13:08:59	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	No	It already smells bad on some days. We don't need to increase the frequency of those days.
679	2021-11-12 13:23:55	No					
685	2021-11-12 11:19:12	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
687	2021-11-04 13:53:39	No	B3T1T9	Yes			
688	2021-11-12 11:55:18	No	B3T1B8	Yes			
689	2021-11-12 11:21:27	Yes	B3t0k4	Yes	Yes	No	You said you would not be adding any new measures to control additional odours
690	2021-11-12 11:36:23	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes			
692	2021-11-12 11:31:12	Yes	B3t0c4	Yes	Yes	No	
693	2021-11-12 11:32:34	Yes	B3t2g2	Yes	Yes	No	
695	2021-11-09 14:58:14	No	B3M3Y5	Yes	Yes	No	If you live down wind of the Landfill it is obvious that odour management requires more work. See [REDACTED] letter of November 8, 2021
696	2021-11-12 10:56:26	No	B3T2B3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
698	2021-11-12 10:45:38	No	B3t2b4	Yes			
699	2021-11-05 07:51:24	No		Yes	No		
701	2021-11-12 10:20:55	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes		Unforeseen odours will occur
702	2021-11-12 10:16:59	Yes	B3t1a9	Yes			
704	2021-11-12 09:41:35	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	No	hy are you even considering taking it away??? The way the garbage is treated now mitigates odours because the organic matter isn't thrown directly into the landfill.
707	2021-11-12 09:44:13	Yes	B3T0B6	Yes	Yes	No	Not good enough
708	2021-11-12 09:47:42	No	B3T1R7	Yes			
709	2021-11-12 09:43:26	No	B3t0b2	Yes	Yes	No	
712	2021-11-12 09:41:22	No	B3T1X5	Yes	No		
713	2021-11-12 09:40:17	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes			
715	2021-11-12 09:10:33	No	B3T1W6	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
717	2021-11-12 09:26:35	No	B3T156	Yes	Yes	No	It stinks now.
719	2021-11-12 09:06:45	Yes	B3t2a2	Yes	Yes		
720	2021-11-12 08:33:02	No	B3Z3X1	Yes	Yes	No	You say no off-site impacts have been identified. Do you hold your breath when driving past the landfill? You can smell it miles away from it. Maybe you should live in the Goodwood area for the summer next year. You will change your mind quickly.
721	2021-11-12 08:18:26	No	B3T2A8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
723	2021-11-12 08:36:35	Yes	B3T2K5	Yes			
725	2021-11-12 08:27:32	Yes	B3T0C2	Yes	Yes	No	
726	2021-11-12 08:20:28	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	I am uncomfortable with the proposed changes and do not trust that the suggested odors will not intensify.
728	2021-11-12 08:30:51	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes			
730	2021-11-12 08:11:33	No	B3t2a8	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe it
732	2021-11-12 07:03:31	Yes	B3t1t5	Yes	No		
733	2021-11-11 22:26:20	Yes	B3t1c3	Yes	Yes	No	
735	2021-11-11 19:08:24	Yes	B3t1k2	Yes	Yes	No	Bullshit.
736	2021-11-11 18:30:49	No	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	No	You want to add a significant organic and uncontrolled material to the facility. You do not have any idea what mitigation processes you will need based on consultants.
739	2021-11-11 18:00:07	No	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	No	It is easy to say that there will be all these measures in place and there may be at first but I do not trust it will continue forever...there must be public access to records to ensure this has been done each and every day
741	2021-11-11 18:03:23	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes	Yes		
743	2021-11-11 16:40:58	Yes	B3T1R9	Yes	Yes	No	So you are saying the smells will be diminished, I find that hard to believe
745	2021-11-11 15:03:16	No	B3T2A4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
746	2021-11-11 13:12:54	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes		Yes	
747	2021-11-11 15:23:58	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes			
748	2021-11-11 14:02:48	No	B3t1t8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
749	2021-11-11 13:16:05	No	B3T2J7	Yes	Yes	No	If you deactivate the front end processor, waste stream management and stabilization, you will be betraying the original contract betraying public trust.
751	2021-11-11 13:09:16	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes			
752	2021-11-11 13:01:23	No	B3T1W3	Yes			
753	2021-11-11 12:02:06	Yes	B3t2b2	Yes	Yes	No	No deal
757	2021-11-11 11:49:47	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	Yes	No	Can't mitigate all. Smells will increase. If not right away, at some point.
759	2021-11-11 11:38:35	Yes	B3T1C3	No			
760	2021-11-11 11:30:14	No	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	No	
761	2021-11-11 11:00:27	Yes	B3T1C3	Yes	Yes	No	
762	2021-11-11 10:05:48	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	Because even when the FEP is in effect we still get odours - so if that's gone we're going to get way more.
763	2021-11-11 08:28:05	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	Covering it daily will not completely eliminate the odours - the risk of odours in the air is still present.
767	2021-11-11 09:11:03	No	B3t2a1	Yes	Yes	No	
768	2021-11-11 08:46:55	No	B3t1p7	Yes	Yes	No	The stabilization process makes it faster for the materials to be decomposed, removing this steps means the landfill will fill up quicker and the smells last longer

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
769	2021-11-11 08:06:36	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	Maintain present operations. Any risk to increasing the odour should be enough for the city to end consideration of changes as proposed.
770	2021-11-11 08:17:33	Yes	B3t1r9	Yes	Yes	No	Presently every morning and most evenings on route 333 there is a pervasive sour milk odor. I don't see how this will mitigate this.
771	2021-11-11 08:21:43	No	B0J2C0	Yes			
772	2021-11-11 08:18:25	Yes	B3M0J9	Yes	Yes	No	
773	2021-11-11 07:42:15	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	No	why would you remove the "kick start" to the odour problem? Every piece of equipment should remain to help this process.
774	2021-11-11 07:03:07	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	Mothballing the FEP/WSF also removes the 15 day turning of waste and the generation of low grade compost. The 15 day composting process would mitigate the concerns.
775	2021-11-10 14:02:24	Yes	B3t0e4	Yes			
776	2021-11-11 07:05:09	Yes	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
777	2021-11-11 06:46:00	No	B3t1y7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
778	2021-11-11 06:28:21	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	Is this new method any better? Really? There was to be no odor from the current system and come take a wiff on a hot day. You want to reduce odor? Start looking and developing a new site for land foll, its past due being in our back yard!
779	2021-11-11 06:43:21	No	B3t2b6	Yes	No		
780	2021-11-11 06:39:32	No	B3T1V3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
781	2021-11-11 06:39:09	Yes	B3T1v3	Yes			
782	2021-11-11 06:38:52	Yes	B3T0C7	Yes			
783	2021-11-11 06:31:00	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	
784	2021-11-11 05:59:37	No	B3Z1L6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
785	2021-11-11 06:00:30	No	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	
788	2021-11-10 23:24:38	Yes	B3T1W2				
789	2021-11-10 22:36:27	Yes	B3T0C7	Yes			
790	2021-11-10 21:14:36	Yes	B3T1C4	No			
795	2021-11-10 18:39:43	No	B3t1w9	Yes	Yes	No	Measures have not been put in place to make this a dry landfill as was first intended. If it is not a dry landfill odours will be a problem. The smell is already bad as I drive by during the summer.
798	2021-11-10 19:03:32	Yes	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	No	Keep the proper set up in place to protect communities
799	2021-11-10 18:54:45	No	B3V1E5	Yes	No		
800	2021-11-10 18:25:49	Yes	B3T2B1	Yes	Yes	No	This will be hard to believe that there will be no additional odour
801	2021-11-06 14:25:32	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	No	I do not believe that this landfill will not have increased odors.
804	2021-11-10 18:01:15	No	B3T1W9	Yes	Yes	No	I cannot imagine that changing this would yield the same level of odour instead of more. It is not logical. The smell of this landfill directly impacts many residents in the area and switching up the current process, regardless of good intentions to minimize the smell, will most likely still increase the odour of the landfill.
805	2021-11-10 16:59:47	No	B3t2b8	Yes	Yes	No	More gas will effect the ozone !
806	2021-11-10 17:02:48	Yes	B3t1w6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
807	2021-11-10 15:00:14	No	B3T1X1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
808	2021-11-10 17:23:49	No	B0N1L0	Yes			
809	2021-11-10 17:14:41	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	Yes	No	Putrescent garbage stinks.
810	2021-11-10 17:10:28	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	
812	2021-11-10 16:45:10	No		No			
814	2021-11-10 15:52:29	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes			
815	2021-11-10 15:06:22	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
816	2021-11-10 15:16:00	No	B3T1S4	Yes			
817	2021-11-10 15:09:41	No	B3T1W6	Yes			
818	2021-11-10 14:33:05	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	Yes	No	What happens if you are wrong
819	2021-11-10 14:33:26	Yes	B3T2K8	Yes	Yes	No	What is currently in place is effective so I don't understand why we change something that is industry leading? The potential for increased odors is enough for me to worry about its impacts on property values
820	2021-11-10 14:24:27	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
823	2021-11-10 13:33:49	No	B0J1T0	Yes	Yes		
826	2021-11-10 12:33:58	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes	Yes	No	
827	2021-11-10 11:29:56	No	B3T1V1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
828	2021-11-10 12:07:40	No	B3T1Z7	Yes	Yes		
829	2021-11-10 11:47:43	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	Yes	No	Any increased odor is unacceptable in a growing community. The bottom line is this project was initially approved with the safeguards of the equipment in place. The community would never have agreed to it oversize. How pleasant it will be for people enjoying a friendly game of golf engulfed in noxious gas.
830	2021-11-10 11:50:45	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
832	2021-11-04 18:40:19	No	B3T1X3	Yes	Yes	No	
833	2021-11-10 10:27:02	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	
834	2021-11-10 10:36:38	Yes	B3T1K5	Yes	Yes	No	I am not seeing that the mechanism mentioned are likely as certain as indicated.
835	2021-11-10 10:51:19	Yes	B3t1z5	Yes			
836	2021-11-04 15:05:28	Yes	b3t1r8	Yes			
837	2021-11-10 09:43:11	No	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
838	2021-11-10 10:01:07	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
839	2021-11-10 09:38:48	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
840	2021-11-10 09:18:17	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
841	2021-11-10 08:57:45	No	b3t2l1	Yes	Yes	No	Not separating organic waste and neutralizing it before it ends up in the landfill will create more odor - especially with increased volume.
843	2021-11-09 10:53:27	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	No	WHAT YOU HAVE IS WORKING. KEEP IT.
844	2021-11-10 08:24:45	No	b3t1z2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
847	2021-11-10 08:23:29	No	B3P2J3	No			
848	2021-11-10 08:21:46	Yes	B3Z0N9	Yes			
849	2021-11-10 07:38:55	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	No	Let's start looking for a new landfill site. Our communities will suffer environmentally.
850	2021-11-10 07:44:45	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	There are smells now. I do not believe that the existing mitigation is enough for the garbage there now.
851	2021-11-10 07:51:24	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	Yes	No	
852	2021-11-10 07:46:12	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	If you lived here you would know. They are doing excellent today. Please don't jeopardize what we have!
853	2021-11-10 07:19:40	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Again more lip service. Stick to your original agreement with the area
856	2021-11-10 07:17:17	No	B4C4H4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
857	2021-11-10 07:12:40	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	Yes	No	Additional odors is a significant impact to quality of life at our homes
858	2021-11-10 06:32:23	No	B3t1x2	Yes	Yes	No	Feels like we're taking a step backwards from technology waste management is an important part of our way forward
861	2021-11-04 10:46:19	Yes	B3t1b8	Yes	Yes	No	
862	2021-11-10 06:39:16	Yes	bek3x4	No			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
863	2021-11-10 06:01:29	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	Yes	No	
870	2021-11-09 21:16:37	No	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	
876	2021-11-09 20:32:29	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes	Yes	No	We had a contract with the acceptance of having a facility in our community where the promises were set. Now you want to change them. Maybe bring it to another community for acceptance
878	2021-11-09 20:21:20	Yes	B3T1S6	No			
879	2021-11-09 19:57:59	Yes	B3T2G2	Yes	Yes	No	The only acceptable way to manage odor best is sorting bags individually. Not acceptable. We have an international reputation that will be destroyed.
880	2021-11-09 20:07:42	No	B3T1X5	Yes	Yes		
881	2021-11-09 19:46:50	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	in and lives and the environment will pay the price
882	2021-11-09 19:44:51	No	B3T1Y4	Yes	Yes	No	Doesn't matter it will still stink
883	2021-11-09 19:26:51	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
884	2021-11-09 19:22:19	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	Yes	No	No guarantee of mitigation measures
885	2021-11-09 19:33:14	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
887	2021-11-09 19:29:36	No	B3Z4B6	No			
888	2021-11-09 19:17:55	No	B3T2C3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
889	2021-11-09 19:15:21	Yes	B3T2A3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
890	2021-11-08 12:55:59	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes			
892	2021-11-09 18:48:02	No	B3S0G5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
895	2021-11-09 18:19:35	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	Yes	No	
900	2021-11-09 17:14:50	No	B3T1W5	Yes	Yes	No	Currently, with the front end processing in place, the stench is almost unbearable some days. Removing that front end processing will exponentially increased the smells from the landfill. If you think it won't be a problem, perhaps you can move the landfill to Tower Road in Halifax and see how the south enders like it.
901	2021-11-09 17:15:45	Yes	B3t0c8	Yes	Yes	No	I lived close...but not as close as this dump...to the Sackville landfill and it consistently stunk. Now think about this: we can't go on our deck because the air stinks too much. We can't hold at Brunello because the air stinks too much. Resale prices drop...because the air stinks. But you won't be here to deal with it. Is it fine to say MY analysis shows the air will have a major stench?
905	2021-11-09 16:52:54	No	B3t1r6	Yes	No		
906	2021-11-09 16:41:54	No	B3N2R7	Yes	No		
907	2021-11-09 16:30:43	No	B3T1Z2	Yes	Yes	No	I'm a planner, and I don't trust this. When the FEP/WSF was put in place it was said that it was going to reduce odours and in the original reports and plans it says this, so how are you saying the opposite now?
908	2021-11-09 16:42:52	Yes	B3T1V4	Yes	No		
911	2021-11-09 16:09:21	No	B3t1z4	Yes	Yes	No	Again, the obvious increase in garbage will add to operational costs which are not recognized - and budgets will tighten and mitigation cease
913	2021-11-09 15:56:25	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
914	2021-11-09 15:44:59	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	Yes	You said when it was built it wouldnt turn into a dump. KEEP YOUR PROMISE FOR ONCE!
915	2021-11-09 14:47:57	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	Odour cannot be decrease your with less frequent attention. If it is corrected frequently It can be kept to a minimum.
917	2021-11-09 15:33:29	No	B3T1Z1	Yes	No		
918	2021-11-09 15:20:00	No	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	No	You indicate the system will be maintained. It will have to be expanded to accommodate the additional gas.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
920	2021-11-09 15:32:45	No	123123	No			
921	2021-11-09 15:16:20	Yes	b3t1n2	Yes	Yes	No	with additional rotting waste material comes additional odours This is simply unacceptable
922	2021-11-09 15:17:57	No	B3T1W5	Yes	No		
923	2021-11-09 15:12:54	Yes	B3T0C4	Yes	Yes	No	Smell in the community is not pleasant and lowers property values.
925	2021-11-09 14:48:21	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	No	
926	2021-11-09 14:50:02	Yes	b3t2j7	Yes	Yes	No	
927	2021-11-09 14:50:10	Yes	B3T0G7	Yes	Yes	No	no matter what you do it's going to reek
928	2021-11-07 16:12:09	No	B3T1W9	Yes	Yes	No	
932	2021-11-09 13:51:42	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes	No	
934	2021-11-03 16:19:08	No	B3l2y8	Yes	No		
935	2021-11-09 08:42:24	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	As it is now on a hot humid day we get a rotten smell in the air so I can only see that getting worse...
937	2021-11-09 13:43:34	No	B3Z3J2	Yes			
938	2021-11-09 13:30:26	Yes	B3T2I6	Yes	Yes	No	I don't agree I think that if you make these changes we will definitely have a lot more odour coming from the facility.
939	2021-11-09 13:29:52	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
940	2021-11-09 13:29:51	No		Yes			
942	2021-11-09 13:22:57	Yes	B3t1a4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
943	2021-11-02 20:30:22	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	As it is now there were times when the odour can be offensive. Can you imagine if FTP and WSF is removed what will happen?
945	2021-11-09 12:58:12	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
947	2021-11-09 12:19:14	No	B3T2B1	Yes	Yes	No	I have had the pleasure of those odours while driving home, so your efforts are not 100% effective. If you cant control the odours completely now, they will occur more often and get more offensive in the future.
949	2021-11-09 12:26:37	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	Yes	No	land fill has reached its top
951	2021-11-09 12:02:12	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	Landfills generate odor there's no way to stop it. The area is highly populated housing values will decrease, breathing issues increase
952	2021-11-09 11:59:04	Yes	B3t0c8	Yes		No	When this facility was built we were given assurances and these are lies. Why would we believe you now?
953	2021-11-09 11:57:23	No	B3T1Y1	Yes	Yes	No	
955	2021-11-09 11:52:04	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	a landfill stinks no way around that
960	2021-11-09 11:29:04	Yes	B3t1k9	Yes	Yes	No	The smell is already bad
963	2021-11-09 11:28:38	Yes	B3T1V1	Yes			
968	2021-11-09 10:53:05	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	Again you are relying on the public to follow guide lines of separation of organics from their trash
969	2021-11-09 10:51:16	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes	Yes	No	If we did not need this system in the first place, why spend all that money on it? We were sold a bill of goods.
971	2021-11-09 10:53:34	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes			
972	2021-11-09 10:49:14	Yes	B3t1a4	Yes			
974	2021-11-09 09:58:25	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	Yes	No	odors will increase
976	2021-11-09 09:25:11	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
977	2021-11-09 09:23:40	No	B4A2E6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
979	2021-11-09 08:42:39	No	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
980	2021-11-09 08:01:33	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	Sackville was told this same BS and we smelled how that turned out
981	2021-11-09 08:41:25	No	B3T1Y3	Yes			
982	2021-11-09 08:23:25	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
983	2021-11-09 08:09:01	No	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	No	You admit that there will be odour.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
984	2021-11-09 08:02:52	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	No	I can't understand how there would not be additional foul odour; isn't that one of the purposes of the processing plant in the beginning?
986	2021-11-09 07:12:32	Yes	B3T2K5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
988	2021-11-09 07:25:51	No	B3T1W3	Yes	Yes	No	It will not be enough to mitigate the odour.
994	2021-11-09 06:08:21	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	Even with the existing processor in place today we can smell door from the landfill. Proactive monitoring for site odours is not a solution. Relying on residents to sort garbage properly is not a solution.
995	2021-11-09 05:54:57	Yes	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	No	The system currently works to reduce odors. The current system represents the "mitigation" that was proposed when the facility was designed.
996	2021-11-09 05:38:15	No	B3T2J7	Yes	Yes	No	It already stinks on some days now.
997	2021-11-09 04:57:24	No	B3T1W4	Yes	Yes	No	
1001	2021-11-08 22:21:42	No	B3T1S5				
1005	2021-11-08 08:40:32	No	B3t1b4	Yes	Yes	No	
1007	2021-11-08 20:54:32	Yes	B3T2B5	Yes			
1009	2021-11-08 20:37:11	No	B3T2C9	No			
1012	2021-11-08 19:54:39	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1014	2021-11-04 22:00:27	No	B2T1H7	Yes	No		
1016	2021-11-08 19:50:23	Yes	B3T1R4	No			
1017	2021-11-08 19:34:39	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1018	2021-11-08 19:30:52	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	No	
1019	2021-11-08 18:59:19	No	B3z3s9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1020	2021-11-07 15:48:42	No	B3T1Z9	Yes			
1021	2021-11-08 18:58:30	Yes	b3t1v1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1022	2021-11-08 18:26:23	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	Residents do their best to sort organics but FEP is also needed
1024	2021-11-08 18:30:53	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1026	2021-11-08 18:08:04	No		Yes	Yes	No	Nicely written nonsense which might convince the public that Otterlake is still the "example for the rest of the world to follow" (quote from 1998 councillors comments promoting the landfill)
1028	2021-11-08 17:55:33	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	Yes	No	
1029	2021-11-08 17:21:04	No	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	The front-end processor and waste stabilizer should be maintained to ensure ongoing efficacy of the landfill.
1034	2021-11-08 17:28:05	No	B3R1R4	Yes			
1035	2021-11-04 09:30:06	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes			
1036	2021-11-08 17:13:22	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1039	2021-11-08 17:05:47	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1041	2021-11-08 16:47:19	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	No	I already can smell Otter Lake from my home when the wind blows the right direction.
1042	2021-11-08 16:47:41	No	B3T1W3	Yes	Yes	No	The odor of an open cell cannot be compared to a closed one.
1045	2021-11-08 16:32:35	No	B3Z1Z6	Yes	Yes	No	Monitoring doesn't resolve the problem of odour
1046	2021-11-08 16:34:44	No	B3t1z2	Yes	Yes	No	
1049	2021-11-08 16:19:31	No	B3t1s7	Yes	Yes	No	
1050	2021-11-08 16:14:16	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1051	2021-11-08 15:46:53	Yes	B3t2g5	Yes	Yes	No	It doesn't identify if closing the wsf/fep will reduce odours or increase only that of odour issues may be increased.
1054	2021-11-08 11:54:40	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	Yes		closure of the FEP and WSF and relying on residents to properly sort is a downgrade from the current system.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1055	2021-11-08 15:31:01	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes			
1056	2021-11-08 14:49:55	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	The Dillon Review suggests that operation of the FEP/WSF "may increase" the potential for odour issues. This implies they are unsure if that's the case and they won't know for sure until the processes are shut down. That concerns me.
1058	2021-11-08 14:54:19	No	B3T2B2	Yes	Yes	No	The approach doesn't identify a strategy in the case that the WSF creates more odor than anticipated by the Closure Review. Currently on its bad days, especially when the wind comes from the west, it's truly awful.
1060	2021-11-08 14:03:38	Yes	B3T1S3	Yes	Yes	No	I would like to see more specific modelling. Where can that be found?
1061	2021-11-08 14:17:43	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes	No		
1063	2021-11-08 13:59:50	No	B3T2A2	Yes	Yes	No	My opinion is based on experience of residents who have lived in close proximity to such facilities.
1064	2021-11-08 13:13:47	Yes	B3T1R2	Yes	Yes	No	None of this addresses the increase flow of garbage trucks on the roads to and from the site or their accompanying stench
1065	2021-11-08 13:38:16	No	B3T1Z1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1066	2021-11-08 13:41:11	No	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	
1067	2021-11-03 18:18:40	No	B3T0E7	Yes			
1069	2021-11-08 12:37:43	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes			
1071	2021-11-08 12:17:34	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	Any type of odor especially "sulfide" is not a good thing. If this area gets lots of wind????????????????
1075	2021-11-08 12:12:12	Yes	B3t2g8	Yes	Yes	No	On a good day, you can hardly smell the dump. All those brand new \$700,000 houses will be subjected to the smell, as well as though getting groceries and golfing. People will actively avoid the area
1076	2021-11-08 11:56:11	No	B3Z1L6	Yes	Yes	No	There is already an odour so this will likely increase the odour.
1077	2021-11-08 11:27:30	Yes	B3t1s5	Yes	Yes	No	We already have the compost facility creating smell on the Prospect Road. Whenever I complain to 311, their response after an investigation is someone accidentally left a door open
1080	2021-11-08 09:34:59	No	a1a2b2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1082	2021-11-08 07:49:18	Yes	B3T1z1	Yes			
1088	2021-11-08 10:35:01	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1089	2021-11-08 10:28:53	No	B3S1K9	Yes	Yes	No	FEP/WSF was not properly deployed, this issue is not addressed.
1090	2021-11-08 10:17:42	No	B3t0a2	Yes	Yes	No	
1092	2021-11-08 09:55:37	No	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1093	2021-11-08 09:42:08	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	No	
1094	2021-11-08 09:24:29	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	Ordours are already prevelant. Otter Lake can be smelt throughout Goodwood. Sometimes it is so bad at the Irving Gas Stop that it takes your breath away. This will make matters worse.
1095	2021-11-08 09:19:28	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes	No	There is already a smell today, mitigation will not assist with this issue
1096	2021-11-08 08:49:35	Yes	B3T1Z9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1097	2021-11-08 08:51:08	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1098	2021-11-08 09:19:38	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1101	2021-11-08 08:49:26	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	
1102	2021-11-08 08:53:00	Yes	B3T3J5	Yes			
1103	2021-11-08 08:48:10	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1104	2021-11-08 08:51:21	No	B3t1w5	No			
1105	2021-11-08 08:20:52	No	B3T2L2	Yes	No	No	
1107	2021-11-08 08:42:38	Yes	B3T1P7				

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1108	2021-11-08 08:42:39	No	B3T1Z6	No			
1109	2021-11-08 08:18:26	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	
1110	2021-11-08 08:08:00	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	No	Again, it's supposed to be a dry dump.
1112	2021-11-08 08:28:11	No	B3T2A7				
1113	2021-11-08 08:14:20	No	B3Z2X3	Yes	Yes	No	The dump creates a foul smell in the low lying area along 9 mile river, worse on humid days. Driving through it is unpleasant already and can only be made worse by reducing sorting
1114	2021-11-08 08:16:52	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes			
1115	2021-11-08 07:46:17	Yes	B3t1v4	Yes	Yes	No	It seems the study conducted has identified this as an issue. As opposed to simply trying to mitigate this, take the steps to proactively prevent it.
1116	2021-11-03 20:51:51	No	B3t1x8	Yes	Yes	No	This place already smells so bad
1117	2021-11-07 20:19:40	No	B4E1P1	Yes	Yes	No	access is fine
1118	2021-11-08 07:52:44	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes			
1119	2021-11-08 07:38:03	Yes	B3t1a8	Yes	Yes		
1120	2021-11-08 07:36:04	Yes	B3t1m6	Yes	Yes	No	The odours right now can be overpowering. Removing the front end sorting will result in more smell. Leaving the systems in place that work just now makes a lot more sense rather than trying to mitigate these problems with more solutions.
1121	2021-11-08 07:45:21	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes			
1122	2021-11-08 07:23:00	No	b3t2a7	Yes	Yes	No	I think more options should be explored when it comes to odour management.
1123	2021-11-08 07:07:18	Yes	B3t2j5	Yes	Yes	No	We were very aware of doors before and even now there is a whiff of dump on some days. I hope the city will be prepared to reimburse homeowners in the area when we can't sell at appropriate value as no one wants to live next to a stinky dump.
1124	2021-11-08 06:46:08	Yes	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	when it first opened the odor was terrible. They fixed it and now you want to go back.
1126	2021-11-08 06:55:22	Yes	B3T1C3	Yes			
1127	2021-11-08 04:58:03	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes	No	Still you cannot guarantee the odorous smell will not be a problem
1128	2021-11-08 05:57:54	Yes	B3t1r2	Yes	Yes	No	You can't eliminate the smell in the summer. We are told you shouldn't smell it now and since it's been open the sour smell has been prevalent driving through the area. This can't possibly improve
1129	2021-11-08 05:45:23	No	B3T2X2	Yes			
1130	2021-11-08 05:32:56	No	B3T2L4	Yes	Yes	No	You cannot control the odour even with these measures
1131	2021-11-08 05:12:32	No	B3T1P9	Yes	No		
1132	2021-11-08 04:31:17	Yes	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	
1133	2021-11-08 04:33:18	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes			
1134	2021-11-07 21:14:42	Yes	B3t1c9	Yes	Yes	No	Not enough
1137	2021-11-07 20:48:19	Yes	B3t1p4	Yes	Yes	No	
1139	2021-11-07 20:55:15	Yes	B3l1p7	Yes			
1140	2021-11-07 20:25:53	No	b3r1z2	Yes	Yes	No	
1142	2021-11-07 20:00:50	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes			
1143	2021-11-07 19:37:12	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	Yes	No	Still having a bad day everytime passing by this prospect area where the disposal can't control the odor
1144	2021-11-07 19:34:37	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1145	2021-11-07 19:37:41	No	B3z1l8	No			
1147	2021-11-07 19:15:47	No	B2T1W4				

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1149	2021-11-07 18:11:44	No	B3T2A2	Yes	Yes	No	This is a world class facility. I have lived here long enough to remember what it was like before. No way we should at this time of increased environmental concerns be taking such a backward step.
1151	2021-11-07 18:17:57	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1152	2021-11-07 18:15:55	No	B3t2k8	Yes	No		
1155	2021-11-07 16:51:42	Yes	b3t1p3	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1157	2021-11-07 17:18:42	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	No	It already stinks in that area, so this is just going to make things more stinky!
1158	2021-11-07 17:15:21	Yes	B3T0B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1159	2021-11-07 17:13:31	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1160	2021-11-07 17:11:12	No	B3t1r5	Yes			
1161	2021-11-07 16:38:52	No	B0N1Z0	Yes			
1163	2021-11-07 16:19:55	No	B3T1R3	No			
1165	2021-11-07 15:31:58	Yes	B3t1b4	No			
1167	2021-11-07 15:25:21	No	B3z3w9	Yes	Yes	No	
1169	2021-11-07 15:06:35	Yes	B3t1z9	Yes	Yes	No	Again unsure if this will help when it's already a current problem that's not being taken care of
1170	2021-11-07 15:00:46	No	B3T1V2	Yes			
1172	2021-11-07 14:52:12	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	more waste more odour at site
1173	2021-11-07 14:46:22	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
1174	2021-11-07 14:42:21	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	
1175	2021-11-07 14:41:01	No	B3T0H6	Yes			
1176	2021-11-07 14:20:30	No	B3t1s1	Yes	Yes	No	You seem to have an answer for everything except why it was voted on to negate the agreement
1178	2021-11-07 14:27:42	Yes	B3T0B4	Yes			
1181	2021-11-07 13:57:16	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	No	
1182	2021-11-07 13:55:59	Yes	B3T2K8	Yes			
1183	2021-11-07 13:53:13	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes			
1184	2021-11-07 13:37:11	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1185	2021-11-07 13:27:30	No	B3T0B6	Yes	Yes	No	
1187	2021-11-07 12:08:35	Yes	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1188	2021-11-07 12:49:52	No	B3Z3W1	Yes	No		
1189	2021-11-07 12:44:35	No	B3T1P7	Yes			
1190	2021-11-07 12:35:22	No	B3t2a3	No			
1191	2021-11-07 11:49:44	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	
1192	2021-11-07 11:56:57	No	B3Z3w8	Yes			
1193	2021-11-07 11:36:13	No	B3T1Z6	Yes			
1194	2021-11-07 11:13:44	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1197	2021-11-07 11:03:59	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	
1198	2021-11-07 11:06:07	No	b3t2a4	Yes			
1199	2021-11-07 10:51:01	No	B3T2B4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1200	2021-11-07 10:56:06	No	B3t2a7	Yes			
1201	2021-11-07 10:24:38	Yes	B3T2A4	Yes	Yes	No	Again it is about improving the existing system rather than getting rid of it. Our taxes are the highest in the country and the city skirts their civic responsibility.
1202	2021-11-07 10:37:11	No	B3T1T7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1203	2021-11-07 10:25:31	Yes	B3T2A4	Yes	Yes	No	
1205	2021-11-07 10:05:30	No	B3T2A8	Yes	No		
1206	2021-11-07 09:39:06	Yes	b3t0j3	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe these measures will be effective

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1208	2021-11-07 09:43:08	No	B3T0A2	Yes			
1211	2021-11-07 09:03:00	No	B3T1W3	Yes	No		
1214	2021-11-07 08:26:41	No	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	No	
1215	2021-11-07 08:21:25	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	concerned that mitigation measures will turn out to be more costly than projected savings
1216	2021-11-07 08:04:04	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1217	2021-11-07 08:01:00	Yes	B3t1a5	Yes	Yes	No	
1219	2021-11-07 07:22:35	Yes	B3t2h9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1221	2021-11-07 06:19:51	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	Yes	No	On warm summer days depending on wind we can already smell the dump.
1222	2021-11-07 06:59:44	No	b2v2g5	Yes	No		
1223	2021-11-07 06:41:30	No	B3t1y6				
1224	2021-11-07 06:19:20	No	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	No	There are times when the stench from the present landfill system is unbearable. If the intent is to use other methods of mitigating these odours, they should already be in use to test their efficiency.
1225	2021-11-07 06:29:25	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	No		
1229	2021-11-03 23:07:03	Yes	B3t1n1	Yes	Yes	No	Dump should be closed. Been here long enough
1230	2021-11-07 05:57:59	No	B3T1A4	Yes			
1232	2021-11-07 05:28:05	No	B3T1R6	Yes			
1233	2021-11-06 23:19:15	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	No	See previous answer. Often smells do travel to the timbelra/lakeside area already. I don't know how Brunello will thrive when the smell decreases property value.
1236	2021-11-06 22:34:36	Yes	B3T1S1	Yes	No		
1239	2021-11-06 21:44:24	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1240	2021-11-06 21:09:24	Yes	B3T1P9	Yes			
1241	2021-11-06 20:51:38	No	B3T2B8	Yes	No		
1242	2021-11-06 20:24:42	No	B3T2C9	Yes	Yes	No	
1244	2021-11-06 20:44:41	No	B3T0B6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1246	2021-11-04 18:10:53	Yes	B3S1N5	Yes	Yes	Yes	There are different ways to do this
1250	2021-11-06 19:43:28	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	
1252	2021-11-06 19:10:42	No	B4a3x8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1253	2021-11-06 19:07:34	No	B3T1Y3	Yes			
1254	2021-11-06 18:59:26	Yes	B3T1P4	Yes	Yes	No	
1258	2021-11-06 18:14:13	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	No	How reliable is Dillon's report?
1259	2021-11-06 18:05:21	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1260	2021-11-06 18:06:15	No	B3T2J1	Yes			
1261	2021-11-06 17:52:36	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	No	I work [REDACTED] and smell the dump literally all of the time. It's disgusting but thankfully it's a business park and not a residential area. I'd be upset if I couldn't leave my house without smelling garbage
1263	2021-11-06 17:44:19	Yes	B3t1b6	Yes	Yes	No	
1268	2021-11-06 16:26:12	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe that the success touted of HRM's source separated waste diversion is as successful as they believe.
1270	2021-11-06 16:08:55	No	b3k3m2	Yes	Yes		There are no existing off-site odors and without a long term study of deactivating the system I wouldn't be comfortable that no new odors will be generated
1271	2021-11-06 16:01:23	Yes	B3T0K1	Yes	Yes	No	Does not appear to be scientific/research supporting this claim
1273	2021-11-06 15:49:32	No	B3K3M2	Yes	Yes	No	
1274	2021-11-06 15:34:47	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	No	I'm not convinced that the proposed approach would be enough.
1275	2021-11-06 15:27:16	No	B3T1S8	Yes	Yes	No	My experience is the smells are not contained

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1276	2021-11-06 15:16:29	No	B3t0b4	Yes	Yes	No	Increased organic material = increased odours
1279	2021-11-06 14:37:38	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes			
1280	2021-11-06 14:03:21	No	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1281	2021-11-06 13:24:18	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1282	2021-11-06 13:48:43	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	Assumes sortation by public is sufficient and that odours can be controlled. Hasn't been proven to work elsewhere
1285	2021-11-06 12:39:43	Yes	B3t1r8	Yes	Yes	No	
1287	2021-11-06 12:40:43	No	B3T2H3	Yes	Yes	No	You want to have a larger open pit
1288	2021-11-06 11:29:01	No	b3z1m3	Yes	Yes	No	Because when I drive by the site every day I can smell it. It is not being effectively managed now.
1289	2021-11-06 11:54:35	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes	Yes	No	Not removing the Front-End Processor and Waste Stabilization facility would be a better solution.
1293	2021-11-06 11:37:59	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	No	
1294	2021-11-06 11:47:56	Yes	B3S1S3	No			
1295	2021-11-06 11:35:28	No	B3t2a8	Yes	Yes	No	
1296	2021-11-06 11:30:28	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	
1300	2021-11-06 09:27:36	No	B3z2r4	Yes	No		
1301	2021-11-06 09:21:57	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	No	There is no way the smell will not worsen if existing measures are removed. Even after that is proven it will be too late and we will not get it back. Leave it alone. FEP/WSF controls are why and the only reason the community agreed to the landfill.
1302	2021-11-06 09:06:28	Yes	B3T1T8	Yes	Yes	No	Odors will not be mitigated
1309	2021-11-06 08:30:33	Yes	B2G1S7	Yes	Yes		
1310	2021-11-06 08:28:27	No	B3T1Y8	Yes			
1312	2021-11-06 08:05:22	No	B3T1X3	Yes			
1313	2021-11-06 07:45:16	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	
1314	2021-11-06 07:55:30	No	B3t1w1	Yes			
1315	2021-11-06 07:55:10	Yes	B3t0a3	Yes			
1317	2021-11-06 07:18:33	Yes	B3T0E2	Yes	Yes	No	By not sorting the waste you will increase gases and stunk up our community!
1318	2021-11-06 06:55:39	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	This landfill is beyond its life now, don't believe things will be kept under control forever
1319	2021-11-05 21:39:30	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1320	2021-11-06 07:02:40	No	B3t1s6	Yes	Yes	No	Current site and process already stinks to the point of tears in my eyes some days
1322	2021-11-06 06:37:48	Yes	B3T0C9	Yes	No		
1323	2021-11-06 06:08:53	Yes	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	come smell my nice clean clothes
1324	2021-11-06 06:28:02	Yes	B3T0E6	Yes	Yes	No	I disagree. We have noticed smells increasing as things are now, even without these proposed changes.
1325	2021-11-06 06:25:14	Yes	B3t0e6	Yes	No		
1328	2021-11-06 04:07:50	No	B3Z1L6	Yes	Yes	No	I believe that deactivation will in fact create more odours
1329	2021-11-06 03:37:18	No	B3T1S3	No			
1338	2021-11-05 21:06:04	Yes	b3t2c8	Yes	Yes	No	improperly sorted compost, additional pests, "culling" of additional pests, will surely bring more odours
1341	2021-11-05 20:44:02	Yes	B3T2E1	No			
1342	2021-11-05 20:02:07	Yes	B3T0C6	Yes	Yes	No	Wouldn't the kick started process then move faster than a non kick started process? Which would mean it would happen and end faster. Shorter duration means less gas being omitted, correct?
1343	2021-11-05 20:38:33	Yes	B3t0a2	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1345	2021-11-05 19:50:42	No	B3Z2T4	Yes	Yes	No	There are already odours at certain times of the year. I believe that this will get worse.
1348	2021-11-03 17:59:35	No	B3L3H5	Yes			
1350	2021-11-05 19:02:54	No	B3g1a5				
1351	2021-11-05 18:58:41	No	b0p1p0	Yes			
1353	2021-11-05 18:55:55	Yes	b3t1r5	Yes			
1354	2021-11-05 18:21:58	Yes	B3t1b1				
1355	2021-11-05 17:56:09	No	B3Z2T1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	Don't
1356	2021-11-05 18:03:41	No	B3T2B5	Yes	No		
1358	2021-11-05 17:57:20	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1359	2021-11-05 17:50:35	No	b2y2a6	Yes			
1360	2021-11-05 17:36:31	Yes	B3T1V6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1361	2021-11-05 17:30:56	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	No	I work [REDACTED] I smell the sweet odour routinely from the plant. I don't buy that these strategies will work. Who will compensate me if my property value decreases as result of the foul odour coming from the facility?
1362	2021-11-05 17:36:27	No	b3t1s5	Yes	Yes		
1363	2021-11-05 17:37:06	Yes	B3t2e1	Yes			
1364	2021-11-05 17:19:16	No	B0J1T0	Yes	Yes	No	I remember Sackville
1368	2021-11-05 16:44:20	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	No	Clearly the odor mitigation measures already in place need an upgrade. Driving on hwy 333 during windy days the smell of garbage is overwhelming. Your current measures are not sufficient so no change to them does not alleviate my concerns.
1369	2021-11-05 16:39:39	No	B3Z3A9	Yes	Yes	No	Where's the proof?
1370	2021-11-05 16:51:27	Yes	B3t0j3	Yes	Yes	No	
1371	2021-11-02 15:24:34	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes			
1373	2021-11-05 10:05:15	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes			
1375	2021-11-05 15:39:22	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	The odor in the summer can be unbearable at times already..
1376	2021-11-05 15:10:16	No	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	So far no one as any complaints of odours, so it makes sense to me that the FEP and WSF are working . It seem to me if something is successful and working properly you should not get rid of it just to save money.
1378	2021-11-05 15:19:43	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes		
1383	2021-11-05 15:07:44	Yes	B3T2J5	Yes	Yes	No	Keep bladders and sorting in place
1384	2021-11-05 15:14:54	No	B3z0n9	Yes	Yes	No	Nobody wants to smell a dump if they live nearby. Or even driving by...
1385	2021-11-05 15:16:35	Yes	B3N2M3	Yes			
1388	2021-11-05 14:38:48	Yes	B3t0k5	Yes	Yes	No	
1390	2021-11-05 14:01:15	No	B3T1Z5	Yes	Yes	No	not proven method for reducing order
1394	2021-11-05 13:27:14	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	The vast increase in organic matter naturally will increase the smell. Wind direction would amplify the effect as well in close by areas. Common sense would indicate that much more would be necessary to be done in if the sorting stops.
1395	2021-11-05 13:51:01	No	B3N1K1	Yes			
1396	2021-11-05 13:50:29	Yes	B3t1n2	Yes			
1401	2021-11-05 12:12:08	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	How can there be no risk of increased odours when the waste stream will no longer be sorted.
1402	2021-11-05 12:48:08	No	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	No	the current odour is already to strong
1404	2021-11-05 12:05:35	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	I am concerned that odours will increase.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1407	2021-11-05 11:41:29	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes			
1408	2021-11-05 11:18:21	Yes	B3t1r3	Yes	Yes	No	
1409	2021-11-05 10:54:40	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	improper use of "consistent"
1410	2021-11-05 10:57:29	Yes	B3t2a4	Yes	Yes	No	Not true
1412	2021-11-05 10:58:17	No	B3Z1L8	No			
1414	2021-11-05 10:47:26	No	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	No	This landfill already generates terrible odors that we smell on our commute through Goodwood on prospect road, depending on which direction the wind is blowing. I do not want to be subject to more or stronger odors as claimed by the community monitoring community if both the FEP and WSF are removed.
1415	2021-11-05 10:51:56	No	B3t2a2	Yes			
1418	2021-11-05 10:08:10	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	The entire community surrounding the landfill has a strong odour, depending on temp/weather conditions. I am concerned that the smell will now be constant. No one wants to smell a garbage dump when sitting on their patio.
1419	2021-11-05 09:55:00	No	B3t2a2	Yes			
1423	2021-11-05 09:39:03	No	B3T1R4	Yes	No		
1424	2021-11-05 09:35:05	Yes	B3t1n2	Yes	Yes	No	
1426	2021-11-05 08:35:06	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes			
1427	2021-11-05 08:51:54	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	See my comment on the previous item about greenhouse gas
1428	2021-11-05 08:59:17	No	B3T1R3	Yes			
1430	2021-11-05 08:42:36	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	No	These will not work
1431	2021-11-02 16:08:11	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	Daily landfill cover? Where is this cover coming from and won't this fill up the area more quickly? I'd be very surprised that you could cover daily!!! Can that be in writing?
1432	2021-11-05 07:25:01	Yes	B3Z1G5	Yes	Yes	No	There is still raw garbage that will be exposed.
1434	2021-11-05 07:11:55	No	b3t1x3	Yes			
1435	2021-11-05 06:51:27	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	No	The FEP/WSP need to stay activated
1436	2021-11-04 13:34:13	No	B3t1x5	Yes			
1438	2021-11-05 06:55:40	No	B3t1v3	Yes			
1440	2021-11-05 06:39:09	No	B3T2G1	Yes			
1443	2021-11-05 06:14:43	No	B3T1R6	Yes			
1444	2021-11-05 06:08:03	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes			
1445	2021-11-05 05:51:09	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	No		
1446	2021-11-05 06:07:56	No	B3Z1H7	No			
1447	2021-11-05 05:49:07	Yes	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	No issues now. So leave it.
1449	2021-11-05 05:14:12	Yes	B3T2J5	Yes	Yes	No	All efforts should be made. If council is so confident in this approach I expect that the city will offer a home buyback program at market value to address any negative impact experienced by home owners.
1452	2021-11-05 03:46:15	No	B3T2E8	Yes	Yes	No	
1454	2021-11-05 00:08:13	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1455	2021-11-05 00:09:42	No	B3s1k1	Yes	Yes	No	
1456	2021-11-05 00:08:07	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes			
1458	2021-11-04 23:49:13	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	
1459	2021-11-04 23:20:51	Yes	B3Z1G6	Yes	No		
1462	2021-11-04 22:28:16	Yes	B3Z2L4	Yes			
1463	2021-11-04 22:09:56	No	B4E0N6	Yes	No		
1464	2021-11-04 21:48:00	No	B3t2e9	Yes	Yes	No	What options and assurances are there if the odour does become worse?

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1465	2021-11-04 21:56:26	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	Yes	No	I walk the neighbouring wilderness area everyday and I haven't been able to breath next to some landfills. The odours will be an issue
1466	2021-11-04 21:34:43	No	B3T0B3	Yes	Yes	No	
1468	2021-11-04 21:36:36	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes			
1472	2021-11-04 20:31:23	Yes	B3t0c2	Yes	Yes	No	Honor your original agreement.
1474	2021-11-04 20:14:33	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	It's inevitable that adding more compostables will only increase likelihood of smell, though they say current Compost site is well mitigated it smells constantly for one excuse or another
1475	2021-11-04 20:05:11	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	No	Already addressed in the last question
1479	2021-11-04 20:26:04	No	B4e3c1	Yes			
1480	2021-11-02 18:49:52	No	B3l2y8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1481	2021-11-04 19:18:30	No	B3T2A2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1483	2021-11-04 19:46:06	Yes	B3t1a9	Yes	No		
1485	2021-11-04 19:44:56	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	
1487	2021-11-04 19:34:08	Yes	B3t2e6	Yes			
1490	2021-11-04 19:22:44	No	B3t2l1	Yes			
1492	2021-11-04 18:58:55	Yes	B3t1r5	Yes	Yes	No	FEP helps remove organic material from waste which helps reduce odour. Please do not deactivate FEP.
1493	2021-11-04 19:10:05	No	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
1494	2021-11-04 16:02:33	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	
1496	2021-11-04 18:13:45	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes	Yes	No	Still putting pollutants into the air and will be smelled by residents in the area
1498	2021-11-04 18:46:19	Yes	B3t2j3	Yes	Yes	No	Please honour the agreement
1500	2021-11-04 18:45:44	No	B3Z1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	Do this for prospect road
1503	2021-11-04 16:49:35	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes	Yes	No	There is already a smell in the area doubtful that's this will help at all, honor the agreement set up
1505	2021-11-04 18:23:48	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes			
1510	2021-11-04 17:51:19	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Mitigations are not in alignment with the original agreement.
1515	2021-11-04 16:56:50	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
1518	2021-11-04 15:58:32	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	Without a doubt this is the most concerning aspect of this proposal. I believe this course of action will only increase the odor issue. Not only is this extremely unpleasant but will drive down house prices within the area.
1520	2021-11-04 16:01:04	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	We have experienced unpleasant odour from the landfill in the past and I am not convinced that the stated mitigations will effectively deal with the odours.
1522	2021-11-04 16:04:31	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	Yes	No	
1524	2021-11-04 15:42:58	No	B3z1G1	Yes	Yes	No	Ever since it opened we had odour issues in the Timberlea area and since moving to exit 5, we can still drive by and smell it.
1529	2021-11-04 15:54:09	No	B3Z2J2	No			
1531	2021-11-04 15:39:35	Yes	b3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1532	2021-11-04 15:23:19	Yes	B3t2j3	Yes	Yes	No	You should not be deactivating
1535	2021-11-04 14:35:55	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	No	If this site is not monitored there will definitely be more methane producing products put in the garbage.
1536	2021-11-04 14:43:19	No	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	It doesn't smell good their now when I drive on the Prospect Road. With the increased possibility of food waste being with the garbage, the smell can only get worse
1537	2021-11-04 13:01:53	No	B3T2G5	Yes	Yes		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1538	2021-11-04 14:25:53	Yes	b3t1c9	Yes	Yes	No	This is based on an assumption. We won't know until the facilities are shut down. I realize this is a bit of a catch 22, but it still does not address my concerns that it probably won't increase odours. Currently the odours are not a problem, so best case scenario it continues to not be a problem. Worst case it increases odours. I would need to see more evidence that it will not create more odours
1539	2021-11-04 14:32:47	Yes	b3t2j3	Yes	Yes	No	It's almost hard to believe that our tax dollars were used to spin people into thinking that there will be no ramifications from these changes.
1541	2021-11-04 13:53:56	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	The study is severely limited in respect to on-site implications only. Those of us with it the 3-5 km area certainly notice current door issues. Currently those of us within the 3-5 km radius do notice significant odours.
1544	2021-11-04 13:58:14	No	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1545	2021-11-04 14:01:07	Yes	B3T1S7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1547	2021-11-04 13:51:21	No	B3T1X2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1556	2021-11-04 13:12:04	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	Yes	No	Again, we will be paying for additional staff and equipment to mitigate this risk!
1557	2021-11-04 13:21:04	No	B3z0n4	Yes			
1559	2021-11-04 12:44:00	No	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1564	2021-11-04 12:20:24	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	No	Increased organic will produce more offensive odour
1568	2021-11-04 12:11:04	Yes	B3T1K9	No			
1569	2021-11-04 11:56:31	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
1572	2021-11-04 11:40:17	No	B3t1t5	Yes	Yes	No	Door is still present most warm days
1577	2021-11-04 11:33:38	Yes	b3t1s6	Yes			
1581	2021-11-04 11:20:52	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes			
1582	2021-11-04 11:07:18	No	B3Z1L8	Yes		I do not have any concerns	
1583	2021-11-04 11:01:06	No	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	Here's an idea, just stick with the original agreement.
1584	2021-11-04 10:52:21	No	b3t2l1	Yes	Yes	No	
1585	2021-11-04 10:25:11	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1589	2021-11-04 10:48:30	No	B3t0j9	Yes			
1590	2021-11-04 10:43:00	No	B3T2B8	Yes			
1594	2021-11-04 10:19:48	No	B3T1S8	Yes	Yes	No	Never 100 % problem free
1595	2021-11-04 10:30:26	No	B3t2b1	Yes			
1596	2021-11-04 10:22:11	Yes	b3 1a2	No			
1598	2021-11-04 10:08:43	No	B3T2B9	Yes	Yes		
1600	2021-11-04 10:14:05	Yes	B3T0A2	Yes			
1601	2021-11-04 10:04:52	No	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	No	
1603	2021-11-04 09:29:55	Yes	B3T1g&	Yes	Yes	No	In previous temporary shut downs odor was not able to be controlled
1605	2021-11-04 09:29:27	Yes	B3t1c9	Yes	Yes	No	This does not have the same effect as the FEP and WSF
1609	2021-11-04 09:28:11	Yes	B3T5c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1613	2021-11-04 09:22:40	Yes	B3T1K5	No			
1616	2021-11-04 09:18:13	No	B3t1x2	Yes	No		
1620	2021-11-04 09:02:11	Yes	B2T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	
1621	2021-11-04 08:29:23	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	No	
1622	2021-11-04 08:36:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	more organics, more gasses. More gasses, more odors
1623	2021-11-04 08:29:53	No	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	No	This wasn't supposed to have been an issue. HRM has lost credibility.
1624	2021-11-04 09:01:02	No	B3T2L1	No			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1626	2021-11-04 08:57:08	Yes	B3T0C1	Yes	Yes	No	
1629	2021-11-04 08:51:26	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	
1631	2021-11-04 08:42:18	No	B3t2b2	Yes	Yes		
1634	2021-11-04 08:42:39	No	B3L2Y8	No			
1636	2021-11-04 08:12:53	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	No	odours at the REGroup collection facility in goodwood have been increasing each year, so this does not address my concern that they wont here either
1637	2021-11-04 08:01:23	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	No	Using words like microbiological stabilization process sounds very scientific but ultimately mean nothing to the public. The will the landfill cover be on the new garbage 24/7? Monitoring for odours is fine but what will you do if it does smell. What are the steps you would take. I don't want my property value going down because the garbage smell comes into our community every day at lunch.
1638	2021-11-04 08:26:28	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes			
1639	2021-11-04 08:04:13	Yes	B3t2g3	Yes	Yes	No	If the current system releases gasses quicker because the process is jumpstart Ed by microbes, it also means the process will be over more quickly. I feel this question is misleading by nature.
1641	2021-11-04 08:30:19	Yes	B3T2g8	Yes			
1642	2021-11-04 08:26:05	Yes	B3T0C9	Yes			
1644	2021-11-04 07:54:52	Yes	b3t1t2	Yes	Yes	No	This is the big one. Didn't HRM declare a climate emerg? Does that only apply in situations where you can raise taxes? Worrying about climate change and burying organic material are not compatible ideas. Pick one.
1648	2021-11-04 07:26:29	Yes	B3T2E5	Yes	Yes	No	We get bad smell in our areas from time to time that can only come from a landfill. It seems naive to think that it might not get worse once the sorting process is removed.
1650	2021-11-04 07:32:25	No	B3T1T9	Yes	No		
1651	2021-11-04 06:58:27	Yes	B3T0H7	Yes	Yes	No	Again I can only in-vision an increase with this closure.
1652	2021-11-04 06:54:36	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	I can smell odors along 333 near Irving Stn
1655	2021-11-04 06:50:55	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	We live close to the site on the Prospect Rd. There are DEFINITELY odors noted at the site. There is no question this would be the same for Otter Lake.
1656	2021-11-04 07:06:16	No	B3t1w5	Yes			
1658	2021-11-04 06:49:02	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
1659	2021-11-04 06:41:15	No	B4B1N4	Yes	Yes		
1660	2021-11-04 06:14:16	No	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	No	
1664	2021-11-04 06:23:22	No	B4e3b3	Yes			
1667	2021-11-04 06:05:06	No	B3t1w5	Yes			
1668	2021-11-04 06:06:06	No	B3E1M4	Yes			
1670	2021-11-04 05:27:16	No	B3P0C2	Yes	Yes	No	Just like Mill cove and eastern passage wwtp arnt supposed to stink? The measures you guys put in place do not always work. If I was a resident out there this would be my main concern
1671	2021-11-04 04:55:59	Yes	B3t1c2	Yes	Yes	No	It smells NOW! Some days it just wafts through greenwood heights, timberlea village etc. It is disgusting. It also can be smelt in prospect. If it isn't fixed NOW it can only get worse.
1672	2021-11-03 17:32:26	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1675	2021-11-03 23:41:40	Yes	B3t1b9	Yes	Yes	No	
1677	2021-11-03 22:17:23	Yes	b3t1b2	Yes	Yes	No	More information required
1679	2021-11-03 22:37:56	No	B3T1V8	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1680	2021-11-03 18:47:54	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1681	2021-11-03 22:09:54	Yes	b3t1r4	Yes	Yes	No	the dump smells horrible. imagine driving home after work and smelling something absolutely rancid. now imagine living next to it. imagine being a tourist going to Peggys Cove, certainly a stain on our community.
1682	2021-11-03 22:28:41	Yes	b3t2c8	Yes			
1683	2021-11-03 22:13:15	No	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes	No	I still find there are often bad odors around the site area.
1684	2021-11-03 22:20:02	No	B3t1p7	Yes			
1689	2021-11-03 21:29:15	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes		The current approach to management of odours is inadequate. Not processing the waste and allowing the organic matter to leach into the ground will no doubt increase odours.
1691	2021-11-03 21:39:51	Yes	B3T2J2	Yes	Yes	No	
1692	2021-11-03 21:43:06	Yes	B3t2g2	Yes			
1693	2021-11-03 21:38:12	No	b3z1p7	Yes			
1694	2021-11-03 21:18:08	No	B2x2x5	Yes	No		
1695	2021-11-03 21:36:37	No	B3T1Z9	Yes			
1696	2021-11-03 21:31:39	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1697	2021-11-03 21:23:39	Yes	B3t1r3	Yes	Yes	No	You can say all you like. On a hot summer day I can smell it on the prospect rd.
1699	2021-11-03 21:20:54	No	b4c2n9	Yes			
1703	2021-11-03 20:58:03	No	B4C2Y8	Yes	No		
1706	2021-11-02 15:35:17	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	
1710	2021-11-03 20:37:25	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	
1712	2021-11-03 16:32:19	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	No	My concern is when the community agreed to allowing the landfill in the area this was not part of the agreement
1715	2021-11-03 20:31:53	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	When the wind is in the right direction we can already get an odour. I am not convinced it would not worsen with the removal of the FEP/WSF
1716	2021-11-03 20:30:40	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	There will still be odors
1718	2021-11-03 19:27:16	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	No	We were told the present system was the best choice for our area, now we are told we really don't need it?
1720	2021-11-02 17:26:46	Yes	B324B4	Yes	Yes	No	The Dillion report was commissioned by HRM and their report was inconclusive. Science is not always perfect and their assessments are based on ASSUMPTIONS that are not proven. The current system has worked for 23 years. PLEASE DO NO CHANGE IT.
1721	2021-11-03 20:09:07	Yes	B3T0C8	Yes	Yes	No	
1722	2021-11-03 20:07:12	Yes	B3H0H3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1726	2021-11-03 19:56:25	No	B3z1l7	Yes			
1728	2021-11-03 19:31:54	Yes	B3T1V1	Yes	Yes	No	Spend some time at the areas down wind from there on a warm afternoon, you haven't fixed that problem and admit it could increase as an issue
1731	2021-11-03 18:58:57	No	B3T1Y8	Yes	Yes	No	You are still not addressing my overall concerns that a removal of the existing sorting process entail.
1732	2021-11-03 19:14:51	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	Again I live close to the site and the smell is sometimes unbearable
1734	2021-11-03 19:22:20	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1735	2021-11-03 18:55:44	No	B3t2l2	Yes	Yes	No	The wsf provides better odour management than a system without it. The facility on the Prospect Road is monitored for odour and is often unbearable during certain times of the year. Removing time tested measures for odour management is a bad decision. Regardless, the community has not agreed to remove these measures.
1738	2021-11-03 19:11:22	Yes	B3T156	Yes	Yes	No	
1740	2021-11-03 19:04:36	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes			
1741	2021-11-03 14:08:13	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	No		
1742	2021-11-03 18:16:24	No	B3t1t1	Yes	Yes	No	Let's not step back in time by doing it the old way . The old way was not ideal for any reason
1747	2021-11-03 18:59:00	No	B3T1B1	No			
1748	2021-11-03 08:08:27	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
1749	2021-11-03 08:08:12	Yes	B3t1a7	No			
1750	2021-11-02 19:15:26	No	b3t1X8	No			
1752	2021-11-03 18:35:23	Yes	B3t1c2	Yes	Yes	No	Not happy with any increase in landfill
1753	2021-11-03 18:02:51	Yes	b3t1a4	Yes	Yes	No	There are currently no issues with the WSF so one would think that process is effective .If it is removed I would expect it's replacement is going to produce more odors .
1754	2021-11-03 18:22:37	Yes	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	As noted in a previous answer the otterlake committee who is extremely knowledgeable is against the removal of the FEP/WSF- I want the council to leave these 2 items at the landfill for the health and safety of our community - as was promised. I expect an update with regards to this manner. Thanks
1757	2021-11-03 18:23:20	No	B3T1V7	Yes			
1759	2021-11-03 18:11:36	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1761	2021-11-02 15:25:47	No	B3T2L2	Yes			
1763	2021-11-03 18:04:19	Yes	B3T2G8	Yes	Yes	No	Because I don't believe it will work.
1764	2021-11-03 17:51:38	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	No		
1765	2021-11-03 17:32:12	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	No	
1766	2021-11-03 17:43:23	Yes	B3t2g6	Yes	Yes	No	I dont trust the response
1767	2021-11-03 17:37:50	Yes	B3t1a4	Yes		Yes	
1772	2021-11-03 17:18:54	Yes	B3T2E6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1774	2021-11-03 17:07:51	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	
1775	2021-11-03 17:09:25	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes			
1776	2021-11-03 17:04:04	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	The odours will be worse
1778	2021-11-03 16:37:04	Yes	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	More garbage, more stink.
1779	2021-11-03 15:56:48	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	No	
1781	2021-11-03 16:07:17	No	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	No	There are currently days when the odor emitted from the facility is sickening enough to turn one's stomach, adding additional fuel so to speak will certainly not help!
1782	2021-11-03 16:39:43	No	B3T1Y9	Yes	Yes		
1783	2021-11-03 16:40:52	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes			
1785	2021-11-03 16:25:58	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	Past experience has shown the smell. It won't change
1786	2021-11-03 16:22:59	Yes	B3t1v1	Yes	Yes	No	
1788	2021-11-03 16:12:11	Yes	B3t0b6	Yes	Yes	No	
1789	2021-11-03 16:15:12	Yes	B3T1K6	Yes	No		
1793	2021-11-03 15:27:51	No	B3P2H4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1795	2021-11-03 15:35:32	Yes	B3t1a9	Yes	Yes	No	it already smells

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1797	2021-11-03 15:27:34	Yes	b3t1v8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1800	2021-11-03 15:03:42	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	No	The changes at the landfill will create more odour
1801	2021-11-03 15:06:54	Yes	B3T2G5	Yes	Yes	No	
1803	2021-11-03 14:49:21	Yes	b3t1r8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1804	2021-11-03 15:04:33	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
1805	2021-11-03 15:00:10	No	B3t1p6	Yes			
1806	2021-11-03 14:46:23	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1810	2021-11-03 14:36:34	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes	Yes	No	This is one of my largest concerns. It will most likely be ongoing and will definitely impact quality of life and property values.
1811	2021-11-03 14:44:03	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes			
1812	2021-11-03 14:19:31	Yes	B3t1a2	Yes	Yes	No	The community was ensured that there would be no negative effects to surrounding areas. Once again we have environmental racism happening in Nova Scotia with a landfill placed immediately next to a historical Black community.
1814	2021-11-03 14:30:20	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	No	It was not the agreement
1816	2021-11-03 14:01:21	Yes	B3t1t5	Yes	Yes	No	Odours control will continue? You havent controlled it yet and shutting the FEP doesnt deal with the issue
1817	2021-11-03 14:19:51	No	b3m0e2	Yes			
1818	2021-11-03 14:13:18	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	This will decrease enjoyment of outdoors in our community, golf course and decrease our property values. This was never agreed to. Govt is tarnishing a great history of a successful waste management system with these changes. Completely unacceptable
1819	2021-11-03 13:51:16	No	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	After admitting that closure of the WEP/FEP will likely lead to additional odours, you say that you will continue CURRENT mitigating practices. These practices are not going to mitigate increased odours unless you ramp them up considerably, which will increase the costs. Better to keep the very successful current approach.
1820	2021-11-03 13:51:31	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1821	2021-11-03 13:18:01	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents will still borrow underneath ground because the more bad order the more they like it
1822	2021-11-03 11:22:47	No	B3T1X2	Yes	Yes	No	I do not believe HRM staff. If you live in the local community you can smell the landfill and this WILL increase if the FEP & WSF are removed. Plus Prospect also gets the putrid smell of the compost facility too! Gross!
1823	2021-11-03 13:00:20	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	No	Again there already is odour issues for which HRM has not addressed
1824	2021-11-03 12:29:44	Yes	B3T1K5	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe the measures will address all the odor
1825	2021-11-03 10:36:17	No	B3T1S7	Yes	Yes	No	Best efforts' can control odours only to a certain extent. Have you driven by Goodwood lately?
1826	2021-11-03 11:53:58	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1827	2021-11-03 11:59:02	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	No	Insufficient measures
1828	2021-11-03 11:51:31	No	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	No	This facility has not been able to control smells as it is now. No faith in mitigation plan.
1829	2021-11-03 11:21:43	Yes	B3T2G8	Yes	Yes	No	Again, the promise of no new odours until there are and then - sorry!
1830	2021-11-03 11:24:06	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1831	2021-11-03 10:57:07	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes		No	At present with the FEP/WSF safeguards in place there are minimal or acceptable levels of odour resulting. Removal of the FEP/WSF processes has been acknowledged as resulting in more odour producing organic materials being deposited in the landfill by a factor of tons. This has the potential to produce an unacceptable level of odours , a level noxious to the adjacent communities .
1832	2021-11-03 10:54:32	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1833	2021-11-03 10:56:41	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes	Yes	No	
1834	2021-11-03 10:49:26	No		Yes	Yes	No	
1835	2021-11-03 10:30:15	Yes	B3t1r7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1836	2021-11-03 10:05:16	Yes	B3t1t9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1837	2021-11-03 10:10:55	No	B3T1W6	Yes			
1838	2021-11-03 10:03:38	No	B3T2A3	Yes	No		
1839	2021-11-03 08:21:24	No	B3T1Z3	Yes	Yes	No	Promises and statements have been made before about odours and not met.
1840	2021-11-03 08:37:51	No	b3t1v2	Yes	Yes	No	Goodwood always stinks in the summer
1841	2021-11-03 08:17:55	No	B3T2B8	Yes	Yes	No	This will not work to the level needed.
1842	2021-11-03 07:31:46	Yes	B3T0B2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1843	2021-11-03 06:22:06	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	Just because a risk is not identified does not mean it will not happen. I have difficulty believing 4000+ tons of waste directly to landfill Will not cause odours.
1844	2021-10-28 17:17:53	No	B3l2y8	Yes			
1845	2021-11-03 07:02:47	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	4000 tons of putrid waste going directly to landfill causes odour.
1846	2021-11-03 07:02:39	No	B3t2l2	Yes			
1847	2021-11-03 06:31:24	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	With 10% of throughput being decaying material that is not being processed, not confident these measures will be effective.
1848	2021-11-03 06:32:33	No	B3t2k8	Yes	Yes	No	
1849	2021-11-03 06:24:23	No	B3T2L2	Yes			
1850	2021-11-03 05:21:10	Yes	B3Z1L4	Yes	Yes	No	It already smells extremely bad along the 333 at certain times of year. I realize the Compost facility has been the culprit but we do not any additional chance of increased odour
1851	2021-11-03 04:19:44	Yes	B3t2e3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1852	2021-11-03 04:15:58	No	B3t1t4	Yes			
1853	2021-11-02 21:45:01	No	B3T1Z3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1854	2021-11-02 21:16:52	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes			
1855	2021-11-02 20:56:32	Yes	B3T1V8	Yes	Yes	No	
1856	2021-11-02 20:25:58	No	B3t1t6	Yes	Yes	No	
1857	2021-11-02 19:57:57	No	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	No	Just one whiff of the compost facility on evergreen road and failure by the city to quell or control it has me worried about this site also. It is virtually impossible to rid the area of landfill odour.
1858	2021-11-02 19:49:44	No	B3Z1L4	Yes	Yes	No	
1859	2021-11-02 19:27:03	No	B3T1R6	Yes	No		
1860	2021-11-02 19:00:57	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	It already stinks to the high heavens. More stink is unacceptable. Stop devaluing our properties. Do the flares produce pollution?
1861	2021-11-02 18:55:04	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	No	
1862	2021-11-02 18:56:23	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	Yes	No	
1863	2021-11-02 18:11:46	No	B3T1T4	Yes			
1864	2021-11-02 18:15:33	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes	No	
1865	2021-11-02 17:30:20	Yes	B3T1Z5	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1866	2021-11-02 16:40:23	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	Currently there are smells that come from this site, with more organic materials making their way into the site the smell will increase
1867	2021-11-02 14:34:16	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	Yes	No	How can any environmental inspection company state that no harmful ODOURS will increase when they have no idea what's hidden in the DARK BAGS. Very misleading assumptions. This duty does not state how many complaints Otter Lake Landfill have received since opening its gate. Most complaints of odour actually originate from the nearby COMPOST FACILITY on Prospect Road.
1868	2021-11-02 15:49:35	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	No		
1869	2021-11-02 15:14:23	No	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	No	I have very little faith in your ability to monitor and mitigate odour. It's been an ongoing issue.
1870	2021-11-02 15:23:31	No		Yes			
1871	2021-11-02 15:02:14	No	B3t1v7	Yes			
1872	2021-11-02 14:48:27	No	B3M0P2	Yes	Yes	No	More organics more odour. More rats, more birds. More BAD
1873	2021-11-02 14:43:09	Yes	B3T1P8	Yes	Yes	No	
1874	2021-11-02 14:11:50	No	B3M0P2	Yes			
1875	2021-11-02 14:38:17	Yes	B3T1r8	Yes	Yes	No	
1876	2021-11-02 14:29:17	No	B4A1P3	Yes	Yes	No	Again, this has been an issue for years and doesn't seem to ever be solved
1877	2021-11-02 14:02:42	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1878	2021-11-02 14:28:39	No	B3T1R5	Yes			
1879	2021-11-02 14:24:25	No	B3T0H6	Yes			
1880	Email/Mail-In	No	B3T2C1	Yes	Yes	No	Once the FEP is closed no one knows what is in the waste. i.e. hazardous waste
1881	Email/Mail-In	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	No	The question is designed to be incomprehensible to the average person. We fervently oppose any changes to Otter Lake.
1882	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1883	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T0H3	Yes	Yes		Don't have background to evaluate production of odours.
1884	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3M4M8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1885	Email/Mail-In	No	B3Z3T6	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1886	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1	2021-11-08 15:25:09	No	B3H1P7	Yes			
2	2021-12-05 09:24:23	Yes	B3T0I6	Yes	Yes		
3	2021-12-04 14:41:55	No	B3T1R9	Yes	Yes		
4	2021-12-04 14:23:40	No	B3T1z8	Yes	Yes	No	
7	2021-12-04 11:44:01	No	B3L4B2	Yes	Yes	No	Keep FEP/WSF
12	2021-12-03 22:10:00	No	B3Z2B3	Yes	Yes	No	The mitigation measures are not as effective as maintaining the FEP/WSF. This survey is not actually a balanced survey. It only presents the HRM/Staff/Mirror perspective as evidence and none of the concerns of the Community Monitoring Committee or others.
13	2021-12-03 22:23:07	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	No	
14	2021-12-03 20:49:08	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	By removing the FEP/WSF you are removing the ability to divert toxic materials such as batteries from the landfill. The best way to prevent a leachate incident is to divert as is the case with organic material and greenhouse gas emissions. In this way FEP/WSF contributes to meeting and exceeding the stringent requirements for groundwater protection. Does the city want another Harrietsfield issue?
15	2021-12-03 21:32:54	Yes	B3T2E6	Yes	No		
16	2021-12-03 21:32:46	Yes	B3t2I7	Yes			
17	2021-11-11 16:41:08	No	B3L3H5	Yes	Yes	No	In the short run there probably won't be a problem, but that doesn't mean one will not develop over time.
18	2021-12-03 20:43:54	No	b3t2c8	Yes			
23	2021-12-03 19:10:31	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	
24	2021-12-03 17:39:37	Yes	B3T0E2	Yes	Yes	No	
25	2021-12-03 16:56:45	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	Promises were made to our communities, HRM keeps breaking these. Which is next?
26	2021-12-03 16:22:18	No	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	Just because nothing has been identified now does not mean it will not show up in the future
27	2021-12-03 16:14:52	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	Yes	No	
28	2021-11-14 12:30:57	No	B3T1R8	Yes			
29	2021-11-04 13:54:59	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	The site is built to past requirements , so if the operation changes, will the existing system handle the additional exposure.
30	2021-11-25 10:10:19	No	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	Is there anything in the proposal to explain what happens with very large rainfall events occur, as we recently had. From what I remember in the original agreement regarding the establishment of the Otter Lake Facility a large amount of time, effort and discussion took place of the location of the Facility and existing streams and rivers leading down to St. Margarets Bay.
35	2021-11-07 19:41:27	No	B3T1W9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
36	2021-12-03 11:26:34	No	B3M0P2	Yes	Yes	No	Earth movement or other even can breach a cell. More untreated waste can potentially have an escape and more run off 100/200 year storm events with climate change.
37	2021-11-13 18:39:52	No	B3T1S5	Yes			
38	2021-12-03 11:25:23	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	Yes	No	Just don't trust you being able to handle greater volumes of compostible material. Once the ground water is contaminated that's it.
40	2021-12-03 10:45:24	Yes	B3T1X5	Yes	Yes	No	
41	2021-12-03 10:52:22	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	No		
42	2021-12-03 10:24:39	No	B3t1t9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
44	2021-12-03 10:05:40	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	more garbage more risk

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
46	2021-12-03 09:43:58	No	B3T2A6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
47	2021-12-03 09:26:22	Yes	Be32e3	Yes	Yes	No	There is delectable contaminants in downstream water already. A larger landfill will increase this amount. You promised not to do this and are renegeing on this.
48	2021-12-03 09:40:25	Yes	B3T0J8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
50	2021-12-03 08:45:22	No	B3T2E3	Yes			
51	2021-12-03 08:41:31	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	No	I THINK YOU ARE GOING TO END UP WITH ALOT MORE HOLDING PONDS WHICH JUST COMPOUNDS THE ISSUE...
52	2021-12-03 07:53:12	No	B3t1s2	Yes			
53	2021-12-03 07:27:56	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	The changes for Otter Lake will lead to increased and longer term accumulation of waste materials, leading to a dump like former Lower Sackville IN THE MIDDLE OF A RESIDENTIAL AREA
54	2021-12-03 06:41:04	Yes	B3T1P8	Yes	Yes	No	The Prospect communities already have bad groundwater. Everyone needs an expensive private water treatment system in their homes. Given what happened in Harrietsfield, I have NO confidence that groundwater protection is a priority at either the provincial or municipal levels.
55	2021-12-03 06:21:35	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes			
56	2021-12-03 05:59:48	Yes	B3t2e3	Yes	Yes	No	
57	2021-11-02 19:50:36	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes			
58	2021-12-03 05:42:11	No	B3T2A4	Yes			
59	2021-12-03 05:14:46	No	B3t1x8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
63	2021-12-02 19:14:47	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	No	
64	2021-12-02 16:48:06	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
66	2021-11-21 16:12:36	No	B3t1v3	Yes	No		
67	2021-12-02 13:35:06	Yes	B3t1s9	Yes			
68	2021-12-02 11:42:23	No	B3T1Z9	Yes	Yes	No	
70	2021-12-02 07:57:42	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	You CANNOT possible stop leaking 100%
71	2021-12-01 19:13:58	No	B2Y4A6	Yes	No		
72	2021-12-01 18:24:02	No	B3r1v8	Yes			
73	2021-12-01 17:36:29	No	B3T1Y8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
75	2021-12-01 16:52:37	No	B3T2G1	Yes	Yes	No	
77	2021-12-01 13:26:19	No	B3T1S7	Yes			
78	2021-12-01 11:03:14	Yes	B3K1K7	Yes	Yes	No	
79	2021-12-01 10:08:17	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes			
81	2021-12-01 05:17:42	No	B3T1Y3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
83	2021-11-30 20:30:42	No	B3T1W1	Yes			
84	2021-11-30 20:19:47	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	No		
85	2021-11-30 19:43:10	No	B3T1Z7	No			
86	2021-11-30 19:28:18	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
87	2021-11-30 18:50:05	Yes	B3z1l4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
89	2021-11-30 16:53:09	Yes	B3z4b6	No			
91	2021-11-30 16:30:32	No	B3T1T6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
92	2021-11-30 12:20:04	Yes	B3T0C3	Yes	Yes	No	
93	2021-11-30 11:57:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes			
94	2021-11-30 08:30:28	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	
95	2021-11-30 06:31:37	Yes	B3t0e5	Yes			
96	2021-11-30 05:48:46	Yes	B3t0e2	Yes	Yes	No	
97	2021-11-30 05:11:25	Yes	B3T0C5	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
98	2021-11-30 04:26:12	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	Because many are starting to not believe a word we are being told.
100	2021-11-06 19:45:28	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	
102	2021-11-29 19:03:16	Yes	B3z3w9	Yes	Yes	No	The original agreement addressed these issues.
103	2021-11-29 15:31:26	No	B3M3G9	Yes	No		
104	2021-11-29 12:49:54	No	b3t1t8	Yes			
105	2021-11-29 12:23:08	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	Again, more inappropriate items, greater concentration in leachate. With increasing severe storms, much harder to contain. If it escapes, damage is down and waterways near there flow downstream to many other homes and areas.
106	2021-11-29 12:47:42	No	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
111	2021-11-29 08:34:14	No		No			
112	2021-11-29 07:44:36	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
113	2021-11-28 22:36:07	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	Increasing amounts of organics in the landfill will result in increasing levels of water contamination. This can result in changes being needed to the wastewater processing requirements, resulting in increased costs and affecting the economics of the de-commissioning plan being proposed.
114	2021-11-25 09:38:50	No	B3H2Y7	Yes	Yes	No	
116	2021-11-28 11:02:50	No	b3t1z4	Yes	Yes	No	untreated organic material deposited directly into the land fill is going to change the current dynamics of the facility.
118	2021-11-28 08:58:19	No	B3T1W7	Yes	Yes	No	Groundwater will be negatively impacted if sorting is not completed. Sorting by residents (especially in apartments/condos/businesses) is not sufficient.
122	2021-11-27 17:43:03	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
123	2021-11-27 16:20:14	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
124	2021-11-27 16:03:53	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	
125	2021-11-27 10:01:50	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	No		
126	2021-11-27 10:57:24	No	B3a2I8	Yes	Yes	No	I still think the final sorting is important.
127	2021-11-27 10:26:39	No	b2w2a8	Yes	No		
128	2021-11-27 10:09:45	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes			
129	2021-11-27 08:52:29	No	B3z1e2	No			
133	2021-11-26 14:55:51	No	B2W0H6	Yes			
134	2021-11-26 14:49:36	No	b3m0n1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
135	2021-11-26 14:00:24	No	B3T1W7	Yes	Yes	Yes	(If what you're saying is true. Past experience does not encourage trust...)
136	2021-11-26 13:20:12	No	B2W0L2	Yes	No		
137	2021-11-26 12:19:20	No	B2Y4J5	Yes	Yes	No	I do believe there is an elevated risk because of reduced security but I truly don't know much about the water table in the area
138	2021-11-26 10:16:40	No	B2Y3M9	Yes			
139	2021-11-26 09:18:44	No	B3T2A5	Yes			
140	2021-11-26 07:46:03	No	b3a4H4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
141	2021-11-26 06:44:20	No	B4A4J8	No			
142	2021-11-26 05:29:08	No	0	Yes	Yes		
143	2021-11-26 04:10:09	No	B3P2S4	Yes	Yes	No	seriously?
144	2021-11-25 19:23:32	No	b3k1r3	Yes	No		
145	2021-11-25 22:39:53	No	B3B1W2	Yes	Yes	No	
146	2021-11-25 22:23:40	Yes	B3t2h6	Yes	Yes	No	
147	2021-11-25 22:05:39	No	B2Y1B3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
148	2021-11-25 22:18:49	No	B2x2e5	No			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
149	2021-11-25 21:37:53	No	B3M1V1	Yes	No		
150	2021-11-25 21:37:15	No	B3Z1H4	Yes			
151	2021-11-25 21:18:21	No	B3Z1V9	Yes	No		
152	2021-11-25 21:23:33	No	B3m3b6	No			
153	2021-11-25 17:57:49	Yes	B3T1T3	Yes	Yes	No	Since more leachate will be produced when there is more organic matter going into the landfill, then the amount of material that needs to be treated will increase. The treatment of a higher volume of leachate at a treatment facility will cost more money and need energy and resources to be used to deal with the treatment process. y
154	2021-11-25 18:08:41	Yes	B3T1B6	Yes			
155	2021-11-25 18:02:31	No	B3H4B2	Yes	No		
158	2021-11-25 16:58:07	No	B4b1n7	Yes	No		
159	2021-11-25 16:54:01	No	B2V2J9	No			
160	2021-11-25 15:58:11	No	b3n3j2	No			
162	2021-11-25 14:24:02	No	B3Z1H1	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe that the risk of contamination is eliminated.
163	2021-11-25 13:41:47	No	B3z3v7	Yes	Yes	No	What is the holding pond becomes flooded with new changes to climate ie heavy sustained rains
164	2021-11-25 13:13:06	Yes	b3T2E6	Yes	Yes	No	If get rid of front loader then anything toxic can go in dump. No more checks and balances
165	2021-11-25 11:09:27	No	B2X2H6	Yes	Yes	No	Earlier sections explicitly admitted that removing the FEP will result in more trash blowing around on- and off-site. This material could impact groundwater, and is not accounted for by monitoring wells or liners or caps (unless further considered in some document not made public).
166	2021-11-25 11:51:38	No	B3Z1L3	Yes	Yes	No	The topography of the area leads me to continue to have concerns as does the proximity to residential areas.
167	2021-11-25 11:56:50	No	B3L3H3	Yes	Yes	No	
171	2021-11-25 10:09:06	Yes	B3M3K4	Yes			
173	2021-11-25 09:10:29	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	Most people live on wells out here. Garbage waste already is a major concern for residents. Have you seen the side of Prospect Rd? All of this garbage plus the additional garbage that won't be disposed or sorted properly will indeed end up in one of the lakes in our area making it into groundwater and wells.
174	2021-11-25 09:25:03	Yes	B3t1s8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
175	2021-11-24 11:06:59	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	
176	2021-11-05 17:13:07	No		Yes			
177	2021-11-25 08:59:48	No	B4B1M7	Yes	No		
178	2021-11-25 07:53:11	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes	Yes		
179	2021-11-25 08:41:02	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	Yes	No	Look no further than how you fucked the dog on the RDM site for water contamination.
180	2021-11-10 11:11:55	Yes	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	No	Nothing is foolproof. Contamination will occur at some point.
182	2021-11-25 07:32:55	No	B3Z1K3	Yes	Yes	No	Concerns somewhat addressed - are extraordinary weather events accounted for?
183	2021-11-25 07:15:20	No	B4A1J6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
184	2021-11-25 07:12:12	No	B3T1Z2	Yes	Yes	No	
186	2021-11-25 07:00:57	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	Yes	No	Not sure any changes in groundwater will be flagged
188	2021-11-25 06:38:15	No	B3L1J9	Yes			no organics in landfill...ever should be allowed
189	2021-11-25 06:37:18	No	B3Z2N2	No			
190	2021-11-25 05:43:05	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
191	2021-11-25 05:43:56	No	BZW2K3	Yes	No		
193	2021-11-24 21:10:46	No	B3Z1M2	Yes			
194	2021-11-24 18:22:24	Yes	B3T0C2	Yes	Yes	No	
195	2021-11-24 18:31:49	No	B3t1v1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
196	2021-11-17 18:34:09	No	B3T1v1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
197	2021-11-24 16:23:03	No	B3T1R3	Yes	Yes	No	
199	2021-11-24 12:20:19	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	Lies
200	2021-11-24 07:49:10	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
202	2021-11-24 09:29:56	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	If you do not monitor what is put in the landfill, hazardous chemicals may be part of the landfill in future. That is not acceptable.
204	2021-11-05 20:14:01	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
205	2021-11-24 08:12:11	Yes	B3T1W6	Yes	Yes	No	The leachate is a last line of defense. The facility should be using a multi barrier approach beginning with diversion of organic materials to minimize the generation of leachate. Once it is being generated in the ground it will be difficult to enhance the leachate collection system if it is no longer up to the task.
207	2021-11-24 07:19:53	No	B3Z1L4	Yes	Yes	No	You cannot tell me that this does not leak or experience unfortunate incidence where protocols are breached. The community does not trust your imposed safety measures.
208	2021-11-24 06:47:37	Yes	B3T2a5	Yes	No		
209	2021-11-24 06:17:21	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	still potential for leakage into ground water
212	2021-11-16 20:30:04	No	B3m4k9	Yes			
213	2021-11-23 15:04:58	No	B3T2L6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
214	2021-11-23 17:13:18	No	B3T1X5	Yes	No		
215	2021-11-23 16:32:43	No	B3Z1L7	Yes	No		
220	2021-11-23 14:41:29	Yes	B3t1s6	Yes	Yes	No	Honor the contract!
222	2021-11-14 09:01:40	No	B3T1V4	Yes			
223	2021-11-23 12:35:06	Yes	B3Z0K9	Yes	Yes	No	
225	2021-11-05 18:01:51	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes		
226	2021-11-23 11:34:32	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	Will the quantity and strength of leachate change due to the proposed deactivation of the front end sorting equipment? If so, are the existing leachate collection and treatment systems able to manage that increase?
227	2021-11-23 11:53:20	No	B3T2B2	Yes	Yes	No	
228	2021-11-23 10:59:54	No	B3T1W1	Yes			
229	2021-11-23 11:00:22	No	B3T1X4	Yes			
230	2021-11-05 06:42:04	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	Yes	No	
231	2021-11-23 09:12:02	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	What about the current issue of blowing debris into the communities water ways? You cannot isolate these problems in a silo.
232	2021-11-23 07:54:02	No	B4g1g3	Yes	Yes	No	This comes from a signed agreement. It should not be rescinded.
233	2021-11-23 08:49:27	No	B3t2c9	Yes			
234	2021-11-23 08:34:21	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes			
235	2021-11-15 06:30:45	No	B3T2H2	Yes	Yes	No	With change to climate change, precipitation could increase dramatically, we should not be putting ourselves in a situation where we have to clean up environmental disasters.
238	2021-11-22 17:35:24	No	B3T1S6	Yes			
240	2021-11-22 16:33:36	Yes	B3t0b2	Yes	Yes	No	
242	2021-11-22 15:15:31	No	B4E2R3	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
243	2021-11-22 09:15:10	No		Yes	Yes		
244	2021-11-22 15:09:14	No	B3T2B5	No			
247	2021-11-22 14:29:02	Yes	B3N3J3	Yes	Yes	No	
248	2021-11-22 13:25:02	No	B3T1V4	Yes			
249	2021-11-17 07:19:08	No		Yes			
250	2021-11-22 11:58:25	No	b3t1z1	Yes	Yes	No	
251	2021-11-22 10:57:01	No	B3T1X2	Yes	Yes	No	Existing liners WILL deteriorate and eventually leach into faulted rock and contaminate groundwater
253	2021-11-22 08:56:13	No	B3T1V8	Yes	No		
254	2021-11-22 09:11:43	No	B3t1w3	Yes	Yes	No	We agreed to the Otter Lake Wastefacility when no one else would have it. You need to honour every safe guard that was promised. We all know the landfill should have been placed in a different location, nowhere close to such a populated area. Once the ground water is affected it will be too late...or take years to rectify.
255	2021-11-04 16:24:30	No		Yes	Yes		
257	2021-11-08 07:34:24	No		Yes	No		
258	2021-11-22 07:46:11	No		Yes	Yes	Yes	
259	2021-11-21 19:13:42	No	B3T1Y6	Yes	Yes	No	please see some of my previous comments
260	2021-11-21 21:35:36	Yes	B3T2H7	Yes	No		
263	2021-11-21 20:04:16	No	B3T2B3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
264	2021-11-21 20:02:01	No	b3n1t3	No			
265	2021-11-21 19:28:10	No	B3Z3W8	Yes	Yes		
266	2021-11-21 10:54:40	No	B3T0B5	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
267	2021-11-21 09:15:00	No	B3T1Z8	Yes			
268	2021-11-21 08:38:26	Yes	B3Z1M9	Yes	Yes	No	Trust
269	2021-11-21 08:34:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes			
270	2021-11-21 08:08:50	No	B3T1Y6	Yes			
272	2021-11-21 06:37:30	Yes	B3t1s6				
275	2021-11-20 22:19:47	No	B3Z1C7	Yes	Yes	No	That groundwater monitoring cell is a rusty piece of square steel tubing with a metal box ratchet strapped to it and a whirlingig taped to the top. Do you seriously expect me to have faith in that?
276	2021-11-20 22:13:14	No	B3t2b8	Yes			
277	2021-11-20 21:39:54	No	B3T2C9	Yes	No		
278	2021-11-20 20:55:18	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
279	2021-11-20 18:20:13	No	B3T2L1	Yes	No		
281	2021-11-20 14:33:02	No	B3T1X2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
284	2021-11-20 13:01:56	No	B3T1T8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
285	2021-11-20 09:52:20	No	b3t2a4	Yes	Yes	No	removal of wsf and fep could affect this process
287	2021-11-20 06:22:00	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes			
288	2021-11-20 05:22:39	Yes	B3T2L4	Yes	Yes	No	
289	2021-11-19 22:45:53	No	B3t1w5	Yes	Yes	No	Once there is a pollutant in the soil there is no way to control where or how much moves into neighbourhoods.
292	2021-11-19 19:55:05	Yes	B3t1a5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
293	2021-11-19 20:00:21	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes			
297	2021-11-19 17:40:58	Yes	B3t2l6	Yes	Yes	No	
300	2021-11-19 16:24:10	Yes	B3t2e8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
301	2021-11-19 15:30:49	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
302	2021-11-19 14:41:19	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	The area that holds this site is a very complex ecosystem that supplies water to many communities not drawing from municipal systems. Failure to detect leakage could affect clients for kms around the site, proper values will plunge if water is not potable
304	2021-11-19 13:53:50	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes			
306	2021-11-19 13:17:35	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	We already have problems with our water so I'm very nervous about the water quality being affected.
307	2021-11-19 12:52:56	Yes	B3T1S8	Yes	Yes	No	a temporary holding pond can fill up faster these days with increased rainfall
309	2021-11-19 13:13:25	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	No		
310	2021-11-19 12:09:54	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Once a breach happens it is too late.
312	2021-11-19 11:21:59	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
313	2021-11-19 12:01:38	Yes	B3t0e2	Yes	No		
314	2021-11-19 11:37:55	Yes	B3T0B3	Yes	No		
315	2021-11-19 11:40:51	No	b4e3j4	No			
316	2021-11-19 11:05:54	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	No	Still doesn't address the issue of poor sorting causing issues.
317	2021-11-19 10:51:58	No	B3t2a4	Yes	Yes	No	We have seen what global climate change has done to many places in Canada and the world, causing flooding and landslides. With that in mind, holding tanks and liners are no guarantee that leachate will not escape into the ground water.
328	2021-11-19 09:26:04	No	B3t1s4	Yes	No		
329	2021-11-19 09:23:53	No	B3T1T7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
330	2021-11-19 09:18:34	No	B3T2E3	No			
331	2021-11-03 14:14:39	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes		
332	2021-11-19 09:07:23	No	B3z3x7	Yes	Yes	No	Same answer as stated about the gas
336	2021-11-19 06:57:40	No	B3t1s7	Yes	No		
340	2021-11-16 06:28:52	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
342	2021-11-18 13:01:08	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste should not be buried in a landfill
343	2021-11-06 11:19:16	Yes	B3T1S3	Yes			
344	2021-11-18 08:13:25	No	B3Z1S1	Yes			
348	2021-11-17 22:58:07	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
349	2021-11-17 22:09:54	Yes	B3T2F1	Yes			
350	2021-11-17 21:58:13	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes			
351	2021-11-17 20:45:05	No	B3T1V4				
352	2021-11-17 16:59:54	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	No	Any increase of untreated organic waste in the landfill has the potential to lead to increased groundwater contamination. Extreme weather events including catastrophic rain events (e.g. BC) are forecasted to occur more in the future and could overcome the present system. The only protection is to landfill only inert material
353	2021-11-17 18:51:05	No	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
354	2021-11-17 18:35:15	Yes	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	No	Water in this area is not good quality to start with. Lots of people in this area can not drink their water already. Don't mess with those of us who can.
356	2021-11-17 17:37:03	Yes	B3T1Z1	Yes	Yes	No	The current agreement that allowed this facility to operate in this location is being broken and therefore the contract with the community is being violated
358	2021-11-17 17:32:46	No	B3t1p9	Yes	No		
359	2021-11-17 16:50:01	No	B3t1z5	Yes	Yes		
361	2021-11-17 08:21:13	No	B3T0J9	Yes	Yes	No	
362	2021-11-17 16:12:46	Yes	B3T1Z5	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
364	2021-11-17 14:48:48	No	B3T1Z7	Yes	Yes	No	As you introduce more organics to the fields, you are simply providing a reason for animals to congregate and search out food sources. They in turn destroy equipment that was meant to monitor and prevent leaks into the groundwater.
366	2021-11-17 14:03:17	No	B3T1S3	Yes	Yes	No	Groundwater is already impacted on site that the water at the office isn't drinkable, increased organics won't make the water quality better.
368	2021-11-17 12:56:04	No	B3T2A3	Yes	Yes	No	But won't an end to the FEP mean that "high-flow" capacities will be reached much more quickly?
369	2021-11-17 12:39:30	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes	Yes	No	
372	2021-11-17 10:06:34	Yes	B3T2B4	Yes	Yes	No	I'm still concerned with the generation of leachate.
373	2021-11-17 09:17:34	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	I live downstream - I could list a dozens reasons why this does not address my concerns in the least. And, here it is again "with respect to the deactivation" - surveys do NOT tell me why I should be satisfied with a measure - you're telling me to be ok with this. I am not!
374	2021-11-17 08:29:21	No	B3L2X9	Yes			
375	2021-11-17 07:34:30	No	B3Z1L7	Yes	No		
378	2021-11-17 02:20:42	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	Yes	No	
379	2021-11-16 22:06:39	No	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	
380	2021-11-16 21:10:18	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	your plan will result in groundwater issues that will affect well water in surrounding areas
381	2021-11-16 21:18:47	No	B3t1t8	Yes			
382	2021-11-16 21:09:04	No	B4E0H1	Yes			
384	2021-11-06 11:35:33	No	B3T1S6	Yes			
387	2021-11-16 20:28:47	No	B3T2A8	Yes	Yes	No	
388	2021-11-16 20:08:46	No	b4e0c5	Yes	Yes	No	keep operating the FEP/WSF
389	2021-11-16 19:53:05	Yes	b3t1m6	Yes	Yes	No	There is a river system directly adjacent to the landfill areas which already suffers from invasive species. The native fish don't need additional stresses from pollution to compete against as well.
391	2021-11-16 19:17:01	Yes	B3t1v6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
392	2021-11-16 17:11:00	Yes	B3t1z6	Yes			
394	2021-11-16 16:57:48	Yes	B3T1K6	Yes	Yes	No	
395	2021-11-16 16:47:46	No	B3T1V3	Yes			
396	2021-11-16 16:26:13	No	b3r1y2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns.	
397	2021-11-16 16:09:29	No	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	No	
398	2021-11-16 14:32:29	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
402	2021-11-16 13:43:14	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	
403	2021-11-16 13:20:21	No	B3T2A5	Yes	No		
404	2021-11-16 13:04:35	Yes	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
405	2021-11-16 13:08:17	No	B3.2g6	Yes			
407	2021-11-16 12:16:47	Yes	B3T1R9	Yes	Yes	No	look at your example picture here! monitoring station held together with electrical tape and ratchetstraps, the people in charge should be ashamed. what about deterioration of the liners/piping? look at other landfills that have contaminated their communities, it will happen again with time.
409	2021-11-16 12:01:52	No	B3T2A3	Yes	Yes	No	
410	2021-11-16 11:28:33	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
411	2021-11-15 19:57:12	No	B3T1Z6	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
412	2021-11-16 11:13:28	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	
413	2021-11-16 10:54:56	No	B3T1R2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
418	2021-11-08 04:39:24	No	B3t2b9	No			
419	2021-11-16 09:20:25	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	
420	2021-11-16 09:14:25	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	
421	2021-11-16 08:16:26	No	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
422	2021-11-16 08:02:51	No	B3T2A6	Yes	Yes	No	I am glad there are systems in place however, we have seen in the past other communities such as Harrietsfield have their water table completely polluted by a HRM approved landfill site.
423	2021-11-16 07:03:38	No	B3T1S3	Yes	Yes		
425	2021-11-16 07:17:23	No	B4B0V3	No			
427	2021-11-16 06:40:08	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	Yes	No	
428	2021-11-16 05:15:53	No	B3t1r8	Yes	Yes	No	I feel that this approach works well with the current system because we are being sure to sort the garbage at the landfill. This way nothing overly harmful get put in the landfill. Of we are not sorting, the trash going in will most include more harmful chemicals that do not belong in the landfill. We cannot only rely on households to sort their garbage. This landfill is very close to our lakes.
429	2021-11-16 03:50:44	No	b3t1r2	Yes			
432	2021-11-15 22:24:58	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes			
433	2021-11-15 21:42:51	No	B3z1k6	Yes	Yes	No	Without front end sorting more inappropriate or harmful things can end up in the landfill and produce more harmful leachate. Nothing is foolproof and leaks of the leachate into groundwater can happen.
436	2021-11-15 21:31:56	No	b3t0h5	Yes			
439	2021-11-15 20:24:57	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Not enough proof this will work. Sorry but the picture above of that rickety monitoring system does not put me at ease
442	2021-11-15 20:01:15	Yes	B3j1b6	Yes			
443	2021-11-15 19:21:38	No	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	Once you removed the FEP/WSF how can you be sure that the double liner system will remain intact and functional?
444	2021-11-15 19:19:56	Yes	B3T0C5	Yes	Yes	No	
445	2021-11-15 19:23:32	No	B3M2Z8	Yes			
447	2021-11-15 19:20:01	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes			
448	2021-11-15 19:18:50	Yes	B3T0C5	Yes			
449	2021-11-15 18:52:28	No	B3T1S7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
451	2021-11-15 19:07:14	Yes	B3T0C3	Yes	Yes	No	
453	2021-11-09 17:16:13	Yes	B3T1S4	Yes	No		
454	2021-11-15 18:12:10	No	B3T2E4	Yes			
456	2021-11-15 18:00:43	No	B3m1b3	Yes	Yes	No	
457	2021-11-15 17:07:16	No	B3T1S7	Yes	No		
459	2021-11-15 16:33:39	Yes	b3t1n1	Yes	No		
460	2021-11-15 15:34:49	Yes	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	No	My concern with the lack of the presort is relying on citizens to sort correctly to prevent sending environmentally damaging materials to Otter lake is asking for issues. The public will exploit the opportunity to toss anything at Otter Lake as there will be no 1st line of defence in removal of items. Why wait in a hazardous materials line anymore if you can just toss it in the black bag.
463	2021-11-04 13:14:52	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes			
467	2021-11-15 15:05:11	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
468	2021-11-15 14:28:53	No	B3T1T5	Yes	Yes	No	The deactivation of the FEP/WSF will cause no problems in regard to groundwater quality until it is deactivated and starts to cause a problem, right?
469	2021-11-15 14:37:16	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	No		
470	2021-11-04 13:58:09	No	B3t2I1	Yes	Yes	No	We all live on well water.
472	2021-11-15 13:06:30	Yes	B3T1P4	Yes			
474	2021-11-15 11:55:02	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	Yes	I said yes to the above BUT that's dependant on how stringently the rules and monitoring are being followed. Based on the past, I don't trust it.
475	2021-11-15 12:15:51	Yes	B3t1t5	Yes	Yes	No	
476	2021-11-15 11:06:37	Yes	B3T1A2	Yes	Yes		
477	2021-11-15 11:09:54	No	B3t1z9	Yes	No		
478	2021-11-02 15:44:15	No	B3t1z9	Yes	No		
479	2021-11-15 10:58:42	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	No		
482	2021-11-15 10:47:45	No	B3T2L1	Yes	No		
483	2021-11-15 10:38:04	No	B3t1z9	Yes	No		
487	2021-11-15 08:33:12	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	Slippery slope folks. Is the province going to pay to put everyone on city water (YUCK!) if something goes wrong? Once you detect a problem it's too late.
488	2021-11-15 09:26:01	No		Yes			
490	2021-11-15 08:50:46	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
491	2021-11-15 08:35:24	No	B4A0G5	Yes	Yes	No	Monitoring for issues isn't the only solution. Working proactively to keep the water safe has to be the highest priority. It's next to a lake which could then carry contaminants into the local ground water. This should be a major concern for all parties involved.
492	2021-11-15 08:16:23	No	B3T1W2	Yes	No		
493	2021-11-15 08:06:45	Yes	B3P2S2	Yes	Yes	No	Keep the FEP/WSF. Do not deactivate.
494	2021-11-15 07:51:27	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	Yes	No	You cannot possibly control water run off. It will find it's own path and contamination is always a hazard. I cannot afford to have the groundwater aquifer compromised.
496	2021-11-15 06:25:23	No	b2y2k5	Yes			
497	2021-11-15 06:30:42	No	b3t1s6	Yes			
498	2021-11-15 06:04:16	No	B4A4G9	Yes			
499	2021-11-15 06:00:23	No	B3T1Z4	Yes			
501	2021-11-15 05:17:48	No	B3T2B6	Yes			
502	2021-11-15 04:23:45	No	B3T2B6	Yes			
503	2021-11-14 22:48:09	Yes	B3T1T3	Yes	No		
504	2021-11-14 23:28:13	Yes	B3t1s4	Yes	No		
505	2021-11-14 21:41:33	No	B3L4E9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
507	2021-11-14 21:08:08	Yes	B3Z2R2	Yes			
508	2021-11-14 18:53:01	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
509	2021-11-14 19:24:07	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	Unsorted garbage can lead to contamination from many sources.
510	2021-11-14 19:06:31	No	B3z2j2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
511	2021-11-14 18:40:50	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	Yes	No	Landfill is very near the 9 Mile river system and other lakes
512	2021-11-14 18:24:35	No	B3z1c5	Yes			
513	2021-11-14 17:48:16	Yes	B3t1C4	Yes	Yes	No	
514	2021-11-14 17:24:20	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	No	
515	2021-11-14 17:13:33	No	B3t1z1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
517	2021-11-14 17:03:48	No	B3T2B7	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
518	2021-11-14 16:51:46	No	B3t2c8	Yes	Yes	No	It's too late once the ground water is contaminated in the surrounding communities. It's not worth the risk.
519	2021-11-14 16:42:02	No	B3T2a3	Yes	Yes	No	A river runs right next to landfill, which drains into the bay I live on. The landfill was allowed by the community with the stipulations that these FEP etc would be in place to protect resources like this.
520	2021-11-14 16:19:13	Yes	B3t1w1	Yes	Yes	No	Still always be leaks and less and less monitoring
521	2021-11-14 16:13:51	No	B3t2h8	Yes	Yes	No	These claims cannot be substantiated
522	2021-11-14 15:41:54	No	B3Z1X7	Yes	No		
523	2021-11-06 17:49:33	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes			
524	2021-11-14 15:16:45	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes			
525	2021-11-14 14:28:12	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes			
526	2021-11-14 14:13:29	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	
527	2021-11-14 12:59:52	No	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	
529	2021-11-14 12:32:35	Yes	B3T1T7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
530	2021-11-14 12:47:29	No	B3t2a8	No			
531	2021-11-14 12:46:53	Yes	b3t1a9	Yes			
532	2021-11-14 12:15:28	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	No		
533	2021-11-14 12:23:24	Yes	b3t1a5	Yes	Yes	No	
534	2021-11-14 11:50:10	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	No	My family and I swim at a lake in our neighbourhood and so I am concerned about any possible water issues that arise from the landfill.
535	2021-11-14 10:54:56	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes			
537	2021-11-14 10:24:51	No	B3A2Y6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
538	2021-11-08 06:46:30	Yes	b3t3c9	Yes			
539	2021-11-14 09:41:02	No	b3t2b4	Yes	No		
540	2021-11-14 09:23:15	No	B3L1W1	Yes	No		
541	2021-11-14 09:02:04	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	No		
542	2021-11-14 09:01:11	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes	No	
543	2021-11-14 07:47:50	Yes	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	No	Petroleum products waste oils not caught. at the FEP will end up in the leachant pools. Any systems never 100 % effective. Severe wx amongst other factors can damage infrastructure .
544	2021-11-14 07:20:45	Yes	B3T1A7	Yes	Yes	No	My water already taste funny since they started construction of Lovett lake- now have to buy bottles of water
545	2021-11-13 19:53:48	Yes	B3T0B6	Yes	Yes	No	
548	2021-11-14 07:04:09	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	
549	2021-11-07 15:48:37	Yes	B3t1w1	Yes	Yes	No	
550	2021-11-14 06:41:46	Yes	B3t0b3	Yes	Yes	No	You will not maintain
551	2021-11-14 06:26:48	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	No		
552	2021-11-14 06:22:05	No	B3k1x3	Yes			
553	2021-11-14 04:19:11	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	No	
554	2021-11-14 04:17:16	No	B4B0Y6	Yes			
555	2021-11-14 02:03:54	Yes	B3t0J3	Yes	Yes	No	
556	2021-11-14 01:17:35	Yes	B3T2J3	Yes	No		
557	2021-11-14 01:10:03	Yes	B3t1k6	Yes	No		
558	2021-11-13 23:39:07	No	B3Z0E7	Yes			
561	2021-11-13 22:03:06	No	B3T2B4	Yes			
562	2021-11-13 21:58:46	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
563	2021-11-13 21:07:26	No	B3T1V8	Yes	No		
565	2021-11-13 20:50:36	No	B3N1E3				
568	2021-11-13 19:12:12	Yes	B3R1R4	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
569	2021-11-13 18:36:52	No	B3T1W7	Yes	Yes	No	There are many promises, and many promises not kept. We cannot afford to jeopardize our most precious resource, water. The industrial area on Mills Drive in Goodwood is one example. I worked there and the contamination is horrible, nothing is done to prevent it despite what officials say.
570	2021-11-13 18:56:22	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes			
573	2021-11-13 09:09:40	No		Yes	Yes	No	Listen here, bureaucrats, I did not go all the way [REDACTED] only to come home and have you incompetents screw up my well water
576	2021-11-13 16:34:10	No	B3T1W2	Yes	Yes	No	The FEP/WSF ensures no hazardous materials go into the landfill. If the FEP/WSF is deactivated, hazardous materials will be dumped in the landfill which will impact ground water. HRM needs to honour its agreement made 20 years ago.
577	2021-11-13 16:15:03	Yes	B3Z1E8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
578	2021-11-13 16:12:11	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	No	
579	2021-11-13 14:52:37	Yes	B3T1W9	Yes	No		
580	2021-11-13 15:28:04	No	B3T1S6	Yes	No		
581	2021-11-13 15:35:18	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes			
582	2021-11-13 15:09:51	Yes	B3T0C7	Yes	Yes	No	
584	2021-11-13 14:20:55	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes	Yes	No	
585	2021-11-13 14:05:39	No	B3t1s3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
586	2021-11-13 13:03:09	Yes	B3t1t7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
587	2021-11-13 12:49:35	Yes	B3t2h9	Yes			
588	2021-11-13 12:12:35	Yes	B3T1W2	Yes	Yes	No	I do not accept the assertions that no risk to groundwater is identified. How can landfilling more organics and other waste not have any potential impact on ground water. Lots of fancy words that say almost nothing in an attempt to distract and confuse.
589	2021-11-13 12:34:33	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes		Liners can fail easily and impact a significant number of people in the area.
590	2021-11-13 12:34:26	No	B3T1R2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
591	2021-11-12 10:59:07	No	B3T1W3	Yes	Yes	No	
592	2021-11-13 11:45:10	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Undoubtedly water will be affected
594	2021-11-13 11:35:51	No	B3T2A6	Yes			
595	2021-11-13 11:23:25	No	B3t1s1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
596	2021-11-13 11:27:25	No	B3T1W9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
598	2021-11-13 09:13:42	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
599	2021-11-13 10:52:22	Yes	B3t1v8	Yes			
601	2021-11-04 08:30:06	No	B3t1y6	Yes	Yes	No	We all know this is not the solution.
602	2021-11-13 09:10:48	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	There is again no mention of unrestricted products now entering the landfill and their potential impacts.
603	2021-11-13 08:49:57	Yes	B3T0H6	Yes			
605	2021-11-08 10:53:05	Yes	B3T1T8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
607	2021-11-13 08:42:24	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	No	
608	2021-11-13 08:43:32	No	B3T1V8	Yes			
609	2021-11-13 08:37:22	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
613	2021-11-13 08:01:46	No	B3T1T3	Yes			
614	2021-11-13 07:52:06	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	No		
615	2021-11-13 07:29:43	Yes	B3Z0N9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
616	2021-11-12 10:15:32	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes	No	
617	2021-11-13 07:32:01	No	B3t1z3	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
618	2021-11-13 07:12:01	No	B3Z3A5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
619	2021-11-13 07:22:29	No	B3t1y5	Yes			
620	2021-11-13 07:24:17	No	B3T1T8	Yes			
621	2021-11-13 06:57:29	No	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
622	2021-11-13 06:34:34	No	B0J2C0	Yes	Yes	Yes	
623	2021-11-13 06:11:22	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	
624	2021-11-13 05:23:59	No	B3T1Y1	Yes			
625	2021-11-13 04:38:15	No	B3n1g2	Yes			
628	2021-11-12 22:32:48	No	B3T1V2	Yes	No		
630	2021-11-12 21:34:52	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	No		
632	2021-11-12 21:45:13	No	B3t2b8	Yes			
635	2021-11-12 20:55:35	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	The FEP/WSF being in operation was a guarantee to communities, like Hatchet Lake Brookside, that the potential for leachate issues that would contaminate our drinking water downstream of Otter Lake would not manifest. Organic waste should not be buried in the landfill.
636	2021-11-12 20:53:35	Yes	B3t0e1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
637	2021-11-12 20:31:03	No	B3L3W3	Yes			
638	2021-11-12 20:33:57	No	B3Z0N9	Yes			
639	2021-11-12 19:53:27	Yes	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	No	Look a Harrietsfield water issues, don't want that here! We are all on well water as was Harrietsfield and now they are fighting for city water!
640	2021-11-12 20:10:10	Yes	B3T2G2	Yes	Yes	No	Please do not deactivate the FEP/WSF. Removal of this step may impact the groundwater. This was promised to the community and you are breaking that promise.
641	2021-11-12 19:54:56	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	
642	2021-11-12 19:51:56	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	No		
644	2021-11-04 15:18:44	No	B3T1T3	Yes			
645	2021-11-12 19:18:14	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	Organic should should not be put into the landfill
647	2021-11-12 18:58:13	No	B3T1X7	Yes	Yes	No	
648	2021-11-12 19:00:02	No	B3R1Z6	Yes			
649	2021-11-12 18:45:16	No	B3T2L1	Yes		Yes	
650	2021-11-12 18:45:15	No	B3t2a6	Yes			
651	2021-11-12 18:39:00	No	B3T2B2	No			
653	2021-11-09 15:44:21	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
654	2021-11-12 17:58:39	No	B3t1s5	Yes	Yes	No	It will have no impact on protecting ground water that's not the concern. The concern is will it negatively impact groundwater quality.
655	2021-11-12 18:04:48	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes		
658	2021-11-12 17:20:52	Yes	B3t1r8	Yes			
660	2021-11-12 16:54:50	Yes	B3t1t4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
661	2021-11-12 16:50:26	No	B3t1p7	Yes	Yes	No	I'm not sure why the city wants to change the current process
662	2021-11-12 16:11:02	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes	Yes	No	We have several lakes, ponds and creeks in the area. Why is the city wanting to put this area at risk?
663	2021-11-12 16:22:48	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes	No	
664	2021-11-05 11:03:02	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
665	2021-11-12 16:13:09	Yes	B3t1a2	Yes	No		
666	2021-11-12 15:58:30	No	B3T2B9	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
668	2021-11-12 14:45:10	Yes	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	No	So you place it in the ground no matter what method is used it will eventually enter the water system. The consultant will give the answers you want but not the residents side. I now my job was to hire consultants.
669	2021-11-12 14:09:19	No	B3Z0C8	Yes	Yes	No	The more garbage that goes in the ground the higher the risk is to ground water. With no separation - very bad things end up in the ground. We need to continue to monitor and separate so only what is intended goes into the cells. Saying we will keep the cells small - sure but it you dump everything there will be more cells and more of everything that is bad.
673	2021-11-12 13:57:18	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
675	2021-11-12 13:46:14	No					
677	2021-11-12 13:27:53	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	No	This survey is leading and directing a response and does allow for important concerns to be addressed
678	2021-11-12 13:08:59	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
679	2021-11-12 13:23:55	No					
685	2021-11-12 11:19:12	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
687	2021-11-04 13:53:39	No	B3T1T9	Yes			
688	2021-11-12 11:55:18	No	B3T1B8	Yes			
689	2021-11-12 11:21:27	Yes	B3t0k4	Yes	Yes	No	No additional measures being implemented
690	2021-11-12 11:36:23	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes			
692	2021-11-12 11:31:12	Yes	B3t0c4	Yes	Yes	No	
693	2021-11-12 11:32:34	Yes	B3t2g2	Yes	Yes	No	
695	2021-11-09 14:58:14	No	B3M3Y5	Yes	Yes	No	Ground water issues are always a concern, monitoring is only part of the solution. Impacting the water quality of Nine Mile River is always a concern. See [REDACTED] letter of November 8, 2021
696	2021-11-12 10:56:26	No	B3T2B3	Yes	No		
698	2021-11-12 10:45:38	No	B3t2b4	Yes			
699	2021-11-05 07:51:24	No		Yes	Yes	No	Why install the FEP/WSf system in the first place. Was this location meant to be a "research" experiment?
701	2021-11-12 10:20:55	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	Many ways for crap to settle into water
702	2021-11-12 10:16:59	Yes	B3t1a9	Yes			
704	2021-11-12 09:41:35	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	No	hy are you even considering taking it away???
707	2021-11-12 09:44:13	Yes	B3T0B6	Yes	Yes	No	Not good enough
708	2021-11-12 09:47:42	No	B3T1R7	Yes			
709	2021-11-12 09:43:26	No	B3t0b2	Yes	Yes	No	
712	2021-11-12 09:41:22	No	B3T1X5	Yes	Yes	No	
713	2021-11-12 09:40:17	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes			
715	2021-11-12 09:10:33	No	B3T1W6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
717	2021-11-12 09:26:35	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	Water is bad now.
719	2021-11-12 09:06:45	Yes	B3t2a2	Yes	Yes	No	It's technically a dump , however well monitored, it in the middle of " how many lakes " ! Putting it in this spot was a very bad decision and not wanted ! so hard to trust it will be safe for all those intricate water systems !
720	2021-11-12 08:33:02	No	B3Z3X1	Yes	Yes	No	If the runoff is already present with these features in place it can only get worse when they are removed. The water travels a very long distance, right into Shad Bay so without preventive measures it will travel even further, polluting wells, lakes, rivers and the sea ruining all types of fishing and boating for everyone.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
721	2021-11-12 08:18:26	No	B3T2A8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
723	2021-11-12 08:36:35	Yes	B3T2K5	Yes			
725	2021-11-12 08:27:32	Yes	B3T0C2	Yes	No		
726	2021-11-12 08:20:28	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
728	2021-11-12 08:30:51	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes			
730	2021-11-12 08:11:33	No	B3t2a8	Yes	Yes	Yes	It has impacted peoples wells in the past and what is to say this will not happen again.
732	2021-11-12 07:03:31	Yes	B3t1t5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
733	2021-11-11 22:26:20	Yes	B3t1c3	Yes	Yes	No	
735	2021-11-11 19:08:24	Yes	B3t1k2	Yes	Yes	No	More needs to be done !
736	2021-11-11 18:30:49	No	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	No	The Woodens River Watershed is a valuable and natural resource that was protected as part of the social contract 25 years ago. Deactivating the FEP/WSF will immediately place the entire watershed at risk. As a stakeholder I will not allow this to happen.
739	2021-11-11 18:00:07	No	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	No	It is easy to say that these things will be put into place but as someone who lives with a dug well who would be affected by this sort of thing it is very scary. There must be public access to ensure this is being done
741	2021-11-11 18:03:23	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes	Yes		
743	2021-11-11 16:40:58	Yes	B3T1R9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
745	2021-11-11 15:03:16	No	B3T2A4	Yes	Yes	No	I am worried the proposed changes will result in a change to what gets sent to Otter Lake. Once that happens, we are conducting a brand new experiment re waste management. I do not want to play with our current success in this regard.
746	2021-11-11 13:12:54	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
747	2021-11-11 15:23:58	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes			
748	2021-11-11 14:02:48	No	B3t1t8	Yes	Yes	No	Isn't there a concern with what is going in rather than what is coming out?
749	2021-11-11 13:16:05	No	B3T2J7	Yes	Yes	No	
751	2021-11-11 13:09:16	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes			
752	2021-11-11 13:01:23	No	B3T1W3	Yes			
753	2021-11-11 12:02:06	Yes	B3t2b2	Yes	Yes	No	Clean water to my wells is more important than anything
757	2021-11-11 11:49:47	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	Yes	No	Accident happen and it only takes one accident to ruin the water.
759	2021-11-11 11:38:35	Yes	B3T1C3	No			
760	2021-11-11 11:30:14	No	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	No	The effect on groundwater takes place over a long period of time
761	2021-11-11 11:00:27	Yes	B3T1C3	Yes	Yes	No	
762	2021-11-11 10:05:48	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	Seepage can affect surrounding lakes.
763	2021-11-11 08:28:05	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	Again, there are efforts to help mitigate any of the issues, but the risk is still present.
767	2021-11-11 09:11:03	No	B3t2a1	Yes	No		
768	2021-11-11 08:46:55	No	B3t1p7	Yes	Yes	No	I want to belive that sorting the waste prevent some batteries and other toxic materials from ending up in the landfill. If you remove this step, the potential to proving toxic items from ending in the landfill is gone
769	2021-11-11 08:06:36	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	The city can't in good conscious think doing less is not without risk. To me, its like leaving an unattended baby in a car... What could possibly go wrong? City representatives need to be GREAT in taking care of our community. We've already given so much by having a dump in our backyards. Shame on this proposal!

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
770	2021-11-11 08:17:33	Yes	B3t1r9	Yes	Yes	No	Quoting requirements when HRM is proposing backing away from requirements previously agreed to by the community is no way to instill trust or confidence that HRM and it's contractors will adhere to any other requirements.
771	2021-11-11 08:21:43	No	B0J2C0	Yes			
772	2021-11-11 08:18:25	Yes	B3M0J9	Yes	Yes	No	
773	2021-11-11 07:42:15	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	No	If household garbage isn't sorted there will be more hazardous waste put in the landfill.
774	2021-11-11 07:03:07	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
775	2021-11-10 14:02:24	Yes	B3t0e4	Yes			
776	2021-11-11 07:05:09	Yes	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
777	2021-11-11 06:46:00	No	B3t1y7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
778	2021-11-11 06:28:21	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	We are all on wells here. Its a matter of time until land water is affected. We see it in 1st Nation communities. The rules get relaxed and time passes, people get sick. Move the landfill, its time before an accident happens that affects lives!
779	2021-11-11 06:43:21	No	B3t2b6	Yes	Yes	No	I think we should be increasing sorting, and not taking any chance or making any changes to the original plan when the dump was set up.
780	2021-11-11 06:39:32	No	B3T1V3	Yes	No		
781	2021-11-11 06:39:09	Yes	B3T1v3	Yes			
782	2021-11-11 06:38:52	Yes	B3T0C7	Yes			
783	2021-11-11 06:31:00	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	
784	2021-11-11 05:59:37	No	B3Z1L6	Yes	No		
785	2021-11-11 06:00:30	No	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	
788	2021-11-10 23:24:38	Yes	B3T1W2				
789	2021-11-10 22:36:27	Yes	B3T0C7	Yes			
790	2021-11-10 21:14:36	Yes	B3T1C4	No			
795	2021-11-10 18:39:43	No	B3t1w9	Yes	No		
798	2021-11-10 19:03:32	Yes	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	No	You are next to the Western Common wilderness area! Hello! Shut it down and move to a new community!!
799	2021-11-10 18:54:45	No	B3V1E5	Yes	No		
800	2021-11-10 18:25:49	Yes	B3T2B1	Yes	Yes	No	I am rely on well water if it is compromised in any way if your system fails who will look after our issues we do not have access to city water!!
801	2021-11-06 14:25:32	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	No	The leachate mitigation was designed to service the amount of waste for its original design. That design does not include the additional waste being proposed.
804	2021-11-10 18:01:15	No	B3T1W9	Yes	Yes	No	This is not good enough. This is a change that, again, impacts the residents of this community and these measures have worked for years and the community has been satisfied with the measures. Why do we now need to revert to something that worries and concerns many residents in this area? It is very concerning that this continues to be brought up.
805	2021-11-10 16:59:47	No	B3t2b8	Yes	Yes	No	I live down stream of the landfill and use a ground water well. What will the our ground water be like 25 years from now .
806	2021-11-10 17:02:48	Yes	B3t1w6	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
807	2021-11-10 15:00:14	No	B3T1X1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
808	2021-11-10 17:23:49	No	B0N1L0	Yes			
809	2021-11-10 17:14:41	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	Yes	No	Not following the original agreement.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
810	2021-11-10 17:10:28	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	
812	2021-11-10 16:45:10	No		No			
814	2021-11-10 15:52:29	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes			
815	2021-11-10 15:06:22	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
816	2021-11-10 15:16:00	No	B3T1S4	Yes			
817	2021-11-10 15:09:41	No	B3T1W6	Yes			
818	2021-11-10 14:33:05	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	Yes	No	You seem to be presuming non separated garbage will have no effect on the water. I don't think you can say that.
819	2021-11-10 14:33:26	Yes	B3T2K8	Yes	Yes	No	Its too late when leaching gets into our water tables!
820	2021-11-10 14:24:27	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
823	2021-11-10 13:33:49	No	B0J1T0	Yes	Yes	Yes	
826	2021-11-10 12:33:58	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes	No		
827	2021-11-10 11:29:56	No	B3T1V1	Yes	Yes	No	
828	2021-11-10 12:07:40	No	B3T1Z7	Yes			
829	2021-11-10 11:47:43	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	No		
830	2021-11-10 11:50:45	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
832	2021-11-04 18:40:19	No	B3T1X3	Yes	Yes	No	
833	2021-11-10 10:27:02	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	Would there not be increased volume of waste and therefore increased surface area and leachate from the untreated waste.
834	2021-11-10 10:36:38	Yes	B3T1K5	Yes	Yes	No	I think there are greater chances of breakdown and issues with groundwater. This was ALWAYS a concern before the construction of the landfill. Need to see how these changes will be easily mitigated.
835	2021-11-10 10:51:19	Yes	B3t1z5	Yes			
836	2021-11-04 15:05:28	Yes	b3t1r8	Yes			
837	2021-11-10 09:43:11	No	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
838	2021-11-10 10:01:07	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes	Yes	No	I believe groundwater quality is a separate issue when it comes to landfills. Used to live near another such site in [REDACTED]. "Long term" issue is a concern.
839	2021-11-10 09:38:48	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	There is always an impact to groundwater when increased amounts of raw waste (unsorted/untreated) are dumped into the ground
840	2021-11-10 09:18:17	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
841	2021-11-10 08:57:45	No	b3t2l1	Yes	Yes	No	This facility sites at the head of a water shed that feeds a number of rivers and lakes in the Prospect Communities. All of these communities are on well systems. Increased volume with less processing is concerning.
843	2021-11-09 10:53:27	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	No	This will not work. Groundwater in the area is already affected and does not need something else contaminating the water.
844	2021-11-10 08:24:45	No	b3t1z2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
847	2021-11-10 08:23:29	No	B3P2J3	No			
848	2021-11-10 08:21:46	Yes	B3Z0N9	Yes			
849	2021-11-10 07:38:55	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	No	More organic matter and toxic waste will end up in the "dump".
850	2021-11-10 07:44:45	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	No		
851	2021-11-10 07:51:24	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
852	2021-11-10 07:46:12	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	This is a beautiful area of the province. Like Blue Mountain. Close to the city. I think it would be best to close the facility. Work at ensuring containment. And, not adding anything new.
853	2021-11-10 07:19:40	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	The entire city should be concerned

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
856	2021-11-10 07:17:17	No	B4C4H4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
857	2021-11-10 07:12:40	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
858	2021-11-10 06:32:23	No	B3t1x2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
861	2021-11-04 10:46:19	Yes	B3t1b8	Yes	Yes	No	
862	2021-11-10 06:39:16	Yes	bek3x4	No			
863	2021-11-10 06:01:29	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
870	2021-11-09 21:16:37	No	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	bedrock was fractured, so run off and toxic fluids could drain off the landfill into these fissures and cracks and possibly contaminate the groundwater. They did not have time or resources to evaluate the subsurface water flow, therefore the potential deleterious effects of leakage into the aquifer remain unknown
876	2021-11-09 20:32:29	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes	Yes	No	The promise was to do certain things and maintain them. All you do now every couple years is try to change the deal you made with the community. This is unacceptable.
878	2021-11-09 20:21:20	Yes	B3T1S6	No			
879	2021-11-09 19:57:59	Yes	B3T2G2	Yes	Yes	No	I'm actually not believing anything - this is not transparent or truthful. Extremely biased
880	2021-11-09 20:07:42	No	B3T1X5	Yes			
881	2021-11-09 19:46:50	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	In and lives and the environment will pay the price
882	2021-11-09 19:44:51	No	B3T1Y4	Yes	Yes	No	Leaching will still happen
883	2021-11-09 19:26:51	No	B3T1W1	Yes	No		
884	2021-11-09 19:22:19	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
885	2021-11-09 19:33:14	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
887	2021-11-09 19:29:36	No	B3Z4B6	No			
888	2021-11-09 19:17:55	No	B3T2C3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
889	2021-11-09 19:15:21	Yes	B3T2A3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
890	2021-11-08 12:55:59	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes			
892	2021-11-09 18:48:02	No	B3S0G5	Yes	Yes	No	Without sorting the black bag garbage items such as batteries and paint will be going into the landfill. Lazy people do not sort their garbage and the existing process removes all the potential hazards when their bags go to the processing plant. This prevents leachate going into the water table and then into the wells. Keep the existing process. It seems to be working.
895	2021-11-09 18:19:35	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	Yes	No	
900	2021-11-09 17:14:50	No	B3T1W5	Yes	Yes	No	We pay taxes that include things like sewer/water/sidewalks.....we get none of those. We have to dig wells at our own expense, and hope that all of the rich developers won't build so many houses that our wells go dry or get polluted. Now you want to remove the protections that were put in place so that there is an ever increased risk for groundwater contamination. .
901	2021-11-09 17:15:45	Yes	B3t0c8	Yes	Yes	No	Jesus. See my previous comments about a loaded survey. This is unreal!!
905	2021-11-09 16:52:54	No	B3t1r6	Yes	No		
906	2021-11-09 16:41:54	No	B3N2R7	Yes	Yes	No	This existing process should not be changed.
907	2021-11-09 16:30:43	No	B3T1Z2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
908	2021-11-09 16:42:52	Yes	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes		
911	2021-11-09 16:09:21	No	B3t1z4	Yes	Yes	No	I do not believe the approach will be effective. Quality water is priceless - the risk is too high especially in this area that has significant river and lake areas

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
913	2021-11-09 15:56:25	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	No		
914	2021-11-09 15:44:59	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	Just leave it alone
915	2021-11-09 14:47:57	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	No		
917	2021-11-09 15:33:29	No	B3T1Z1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
918	2021-11-09 15:20:00	No	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	No	This not a guarantee. The water system in the area travels to Shad Bay.
920	2021-11-09 15:32:45	No	I23123	No			
921	2021-11-09 15:16:20	Yes	b3t1n2	Yes	Yes	No	monitoring will not stop spills and the risk is increased with direct dumping to the landfill
922	2021-11-09 15:17:57	No	B3T1W5	Yes	No		
923	2021-11-09 15:12:54	Yes	B3T0C4	Yes	No		
925	2021-11-09 14:48:21	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
926	2021-11-09 14:50:02	Yes	b3t2j7	Yes	Yes	No	
927	2021-11-09 14:50:10	Yes	B3T0G7	Yes	Yes	No	
928	2021-11-07 16:12:09	No	B3T1W9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
932	2021-11-09 13:51:42	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes	No	
934	2021-11-03 16:19:08	No	B3I2y8	Yes			
935	2021-11-09 08:42:24	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	That monitoring well looks like something that Joe Blow jerry rigged up and I would not trust any information it was providing
937	2021-11-09 13:43:34	No	B3Z3J2	Yes			
938	2021-11-09 13:30:26	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
939	2021-11-09 13:29:52	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
940	2021-11-09 13:29:51	No		Yes			
942	2021-11-09 13:22:57	Yes	B3t1a4	Yes	No		
943	2021-11-02 20:30:22	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	
945	2021-11-09 12:58:12	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	No	
947	2021-11-09 12:19:14	No	B3T2B1	Yes	Yes	No	its a garbage dump, why bother monitoring if its not a problem, besides, residents on wells, dont really matter. Isnt there a water shed near by?
949	2021-11-09 12:26:37	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	Yes	No	
951	2021-11-09 12:02:12	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	There's so many sources of water in area that you are risking as well as habitats for animals etc
952	2021-11-09 11:59:04	Yes	B3t0c8	Yes	Yes	No	Next
953	2021-11-09 11:57:23	No	B3T1Y1	Yes	Yes	No	
955	2021-11-09 11:52:04	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	theres far to many water sources in area pollution risks high
960	2021-11-09 11:29:04	Yes	B3t1k9	Yes	Yes	No	
963	2021-11-09 11:28:38	Yes	B3T1V1	Yes			
968	2021-11-09 10:53:05	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	Like you said no foreseen impact what if there is and something you missed in the study I'm not convinced
969	2021-11-09 10:51:16	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes	Yes	No	I no longer have faith in the governing body of Halifax Regional Municipality. Their loyalty is to the god called profit and not to its constituents.
971	2021-11-09 10:53:34	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes			
972	2021-11-09 10:49:14	Yes	B3t1a4	Yes			
974	2021-11-09 09:58:25	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	Yes	No	Ground water quality will always be a great concern
976	2021-11-09 09:25:11	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	No	Hope this works
977	2021-11-09 09:23:40	No	B4A2E6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
979	2021-11-09 08:42:39	No	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
980	2021-11-09 08:01:33	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	No faith in the system as a 100 percent guarantee ,when looking to cut and save money things like thiss end up suffering wih growth
981	2021-11-09 08:41:25	No	B3T1Y3	Yes			
982	2021-11-09 08:23:25	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
983	2021-11-09 08:09:01	No	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
984	2021-11-09 08:02:52	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	No	Seems ilke the water in the area already requires treatment for household use. How will this be made better?
986	2021-11-09 07:12:32	Yes	B3T2K5	Yes	No		
988	2021-11-09 07:25:51	No	B3T1W3	Yes	Yes	No	I live on a downstream lake so I continue to be concerned.
994	2021-11-09 06:08:21	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes		
995	2021-11-09 05:54:57	Yes	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	No	
996	2021-11-09 05:38:15	No	B3T2J7	Yes	Yes	No	
997	2021-11-09 04:57:24	No	B3T1W4	Yes	Yes	No	
1001	2021-11-08 22:21:42	No	B3T1S5				
1005	2021-11-08 08:40:32	No	B3t1b4	Yes	Yes	No	
1007	2021-11-08 20:54:32	Yes	B3T2B5	Yes			
1009	2021-11-08 20:37:11	No	B3T2C9	No			
1012	2021-11-08 19:54:39	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	No	Having monitoring stations only tell you after the fact
1014	2021-11-04 22:00:27	No	B2T1H7	Yes	No		
1016	2021-11-08 19:50:23	Yes	B3T1R4	No			
1017	2021-11-08 19:34:39	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1018	2021-11-08 19:30:52	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	No	
1019	2021-11-08 18:59:19	No	B3z3s9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1020	2021-11-07 15:48:42	No	B3T1Z9	Yes			
1021	2021-11-08 18:58:30	Yes	b3t1v1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1022	2021-11-08 18:26:23	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	No studies have been done to ensure water will not be affected. Lets keep our world class facility going
1024	2021-11-08 18:30:53	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	No	
1026	2021-11-08 18:08:04	No		Yes	Yes	No	What is happening is EXACTLY what the surrounding communities feared in 1998. Most councillors have moved on and the landfill is being deconstructed into being just a dump. Goes to show you never to trust politicians
1028	2021-11-08 17:55:33	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	Yes	No	
1029	2021-11-08 17:21:04	No	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	
1034	2021-11-08 17:28:05	No	B3R1R4	Yes			
1035	2021-11-04 09:30:06	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes			
1036	2021-11-08 17:13:22	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	No effects identified....yet I would imagine.
1039	2021-11-08 17:05:47	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes			
1041	2021-11-08 16:47:19	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1042	2021-11-08 16:47:41	No	B3T1W3	Yes	Yes	No	The leakage from the sites ince detected in monitoring wells is too little too late, once detected, it is already in the groundwater.
1045	2021-11-08 16:32:35	No	B3Z1Z6	Yes		Yes	
1046	2021-11-08 16:34:44	No	B3t1z2	Yes	No		
1049	2021-11-08 16:19:31	No	B3t1s7	Yes	Yes	No	Still think chemicals will leach into groundwater
1050	2021-11-08 16:14:16	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1051	2021-11-08 15:46:53	Yes	B3t2g5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1054	2021-11-08 11:54:40	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	No		
1055	2021-11-08 15:31:01	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes			
1056	2021-11-08 14:49:55	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
1058	2021-11-08 14:54:19	No	B3T2B2	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1060	2021-11-08 14:03:38	Yes	B3T1S3	Yes	Yes	No	Proximity to natural streams and lakes makes this entire process uncomfortable to me. I would appreciate seeing the procedure and modelling that Dillon Consulting used to come to the conclusion that deactivating the FEP/WSF was favourable.
1061	2021-11-08 14:17:43	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1063	2021-11-08 13:59:50	No	B3T2A2	Yes	No		
1064	2021-11-08 13:13:47	Yes	B3T1R2	Yes	Yes	No	While it's stated, "the landfill disposal area is kept as small as possible" the removal of the FEP and WSF will guarantee the area's will grow and it will grow exponentially as urban development continues
1065	2021-11-08 13:38:16	No	B3T1Z1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1066	2021-11-08 13:41:11	No	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	
1067	2021-11-03 18:18:40	No	B3T0E7	Yes			
1069	2021-11-08 12:37:43	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes			
1071	2021-11-08 12:17:34	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	How do you know that with the deactivation that there won't be any ground water problems!!!!!! Has this been even tried??????
1075	2021-11-08 12:12:12	Yes	B3t2g8	Yes	Yes	No	Nothing should impact water supply
1076	2021-11-08 11:56:11	No	B3Z1L6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1077	2021-11-08 11:27:30	Yes	B3t1s5	Yes	Yes	No	We live by a lake that you can like link to Otter Lake. This changes could very well impact our drinking water as well as our recreational activities
1080	2021-11-08 09:34:59	No	a1a2b2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1082	2021-11-08 07:49:18	Yes	B3T1z1	Yes			
1088	2021-11-08 10:35:01	No	B3T1T9	Yes	No		
1089	2021-11-08 10:28:53	No	B3S1K9	Yes	No		
1090	2021-11-08 10:17:42	No	B3t0a2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1092	2021-11-08 09:55:37	No	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1093	2021-11-08 09:42:08	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1094	2021-11-08 09:24:29	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	This is bullshit. Goodwood will end up just like Harrietsfield
1095	2021-11-08 09:19:28	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	No		
1096	2021-11-08 08:49:35	Yes	B3T1Z9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1097	2021-11-08 08:51:08	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1098	2021-11-08 09:19:38	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1101	2021-11-08 08:49:26	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	
1102	2021-11-08 08:53:00	Yes	B3T3J5	Yes			
1103	2021-11-08 08:48:10	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1104	2021-11-08 08:51:21	No	B3t1w5	No			
1105	2021-11-08 08:20:52	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	I believe that the extra stress on the system will cause ongoing problems and will eventually cause the system to fail earlier.
1107	2021-11-08 08:42:38	Yes	B3T1P7				
1108	2021-11-08 08:42:39	No	B3T1Z6	No			
1109	2021-11-08 08:18:26	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	We dont know what will end-up in the field by removing these facilities. Anything like hospital, industrial or commercial waste can end up by removing these processing units which can contaminate the ground water.
1110	2021-11-08 08:08:00	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	No	This doesn't address the holding pond that overflows during heavy rain and goes into the river.
1112	2021-11-08 08:28:11	No	B3T2A7				

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1113	2021-11-08 08:14:20	No	B3Z2X3	Yes	Yes	No	The proximity to the waterways, runoff, rats, raccoon, leptospirosis
1114	2021-11-08 08:16:52	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes			
1115	2021-11-08 07:46:17	Yes	B3t1v4	Yes	Yes	No	While safety measures are in place, there is always the possibility that these can fail. Better to proactively eliminate/process the items that can cause the issues as opposed to simply containing or treating runoff.
1116	2021-11-03 20:51:51	No	B3r1x8	Yes	Yes	No	This will not help
1117	2021-11-07 20:19:40	No	B4E1P1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1118	2021-11-08 07:52:44	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes			
1119	2021-11-08 07:38:03	Yes	B3t1a8	Yes			
1120	2021-11-08 07:36:04	Yes	B3t1m6	Yes	Yes	No	If there is more leachate, the potential exists that the holding area will be overwhelmed. The greater amount of leachate will enter the aquifer and impact ground water for a large distance around the landfill.
1121	2021-11-08 07:45:21	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes			
1122	2021-11-08 07:23:00	No	b3t2a7	Yes	Yes	No	I think the communities near this ground water should receive an incentive for this impact
1123	2021-11-08 07:07:18	Yes	B3t2j5	Yes	Yes	No	Are you serious ? This surgery is ridiculous.
1124	2021-11-08 06:46:08	Yes	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	when the leachate starts it will take a long time to correct it. Our water will be ruined. Please leave good enough alone.
1126	2021-11-08 06:55:22	Yes	B3T1C3	Yes			
1127	2021-11-08 04:58:03	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes	No	With more waste in the ground covered over it will only increase the toxins there is no guarantee it will not leak
1128	2021-11-08 05:57:54	Yes	B3t1r2	Yes	Yes	No	
1129	2021-11-08 05:45:23	No	B3T2X2	Yes			
1130	2021-11-08 05:32:56	No	B3T2L4	Yes	Yes	No	water table
1131	2021-11-08 05:12:32	No	B3T1P9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1132	2021-11-08 04:31:17	Yes	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	
1133	2021-11-08 04:33:18	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes			
1134	2021-11-07 21:14:42	Yes	B3t1c9	Yes	Yes	No	
1137	2021-11-07 20:48:19	Yes	B3t1p4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1139	2021-11-07 20:55:15	Yes	B3l1p7	Yes			
1140	2021-11-07 20:25:53	No	b3t1z2	Yes	No		
1142	2021-11-07 20:00:50	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes			
1143	2021-11-07 19:37:12	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	Yes	No	With the lakes and river around this community this facility ruined the environment around it
1144	2021-11-07 19:34:37	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	Yes		
1145	2021-11-07 19:37:41	No	B3z1l8	No			
1147	2021-11-07 19:15:47	No	B2T1W4				
1149	2021-11-07 18:11:44	No	B3T2A2	Yes	Yes	No	Increased leachate - overload
1151	2021-11-07 18:17:57	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1152	2021-11-07 18:15:55	No	B3t2k8	Yes	Yes	No	Noone is looking to see what is dumped
1155	2021-11-07 16:51:42	Yes	b3t1p3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1157	2021-11-07 17:18:42	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	No	Once it's contaminated, there is no going back!
1158	2021-11-07 17:15:21	Yes	B3T0B5	Yes	Yes	No	
1159	2021-11-07 17:13:31	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1160	2021-11-07 17:11:12	No	B3t1r5	Yes			
1161	2021-11-07 16:38:52	No	B0N1Z0	Yes			
1163	2021-11-07 16:19:55	No	B3T1R3	No			
1165	2021-11-07 15:31:58	Yes	B3t1b4	No			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1167	2021-11-07 15:25:21	No	B3z3w9	Yes	No		
1169	2021-11-07 15:06:35	Yes	B3t1z9	Yes	Yes		
1170	2021-11-07 15:00:46	No	B3T1V2	Yes			
1172	2021-11-07 14:52:12	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	FEP and WSF are needed to assure water safety
1173	2021-11-07 14:46:22	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
1174	2021-11-07 14:42:21	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	No		
1175	2021-11-07 14:41:01	No	B3T0H6	Yes			
1176	2021-11-07 14:20:30	No	B3t1s1	Yes	Yes	No	We are all on wells
1178	2021-11-07 14:27:42	Yes	B3T0B4	Yes			
1181	2021-11-07 13:57:16	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	No	
1182	2021-11-07 13:55:59	Yes	B3T2K8	Yes			
1183	2021-11-07 13:53:13	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes			
1184	2021-11-07 13:37:11	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1185	2021-11-07 13:27:30	No	B3T0B6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1187	2021-11-07 12:08:35	Yes	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1188	2021-11-07 12:49:52	No	B3Z3W1	Yes	Yes	No	I am concerned that reduced screening on the front end may allow more hazardous material to slip into the landfill, and that over time this could pose a threat to Nine Mile River and its lake system. Surely the liners can't work perfectly forever.
1189	2021-11-07 12:44:35	No	B3T1P7	Yes			
1190	2021-11-07 12:35:22	No	B3t2a3	No			
1191	2021-11-07 11:49:44	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1192	2021-11-07 11:56:57	No	B3Z3w8	Yes			
1193	2021-11-07 11:36:13	No	B3T1Z6	Yes			
1194	2021-11-07 11:13:44	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	
1197	2021-11-07 11:03:59	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	
1198	2021-11-07 11:06:07	No	b3t2a4	Yes			
1199	2021-11-07 10:51:01	No	B3T2B4	Yes	Yes	No	Not sure would be the option I'd choose.
1200	2021-11-07 10:56:06	No	B3t2a7	Yes			
1201	2021-11-07 10:24:38	Yes	B3T2A4	Yes	Yes	No	Deactivating the system will have an effect. You arguments are not based on science.
1202	2021-11-07 10:37:11	No	B3T1T7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1203	2021-11-07 10:25:31	Yes	B3T2A4	Yes	Yes	No	Absolutely shameful that HRM is even considering this "change".
1205	2021-11-07 10:05:30	No	B3T2A8	Yes	Yes	No	
1206	2021-11-07 09:39:06	Yes	b3t0j3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1208	2021-11-07 09:43:08	No	B3T0A2	Yes			
1211	2021-11-07 09:03:00	No	B3T1W3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1214	2021-11-07 08:26:41	No	B3T1R8	Yes	No		
1215	2021-11-07 08:21:25	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	same concern as previous questions
1216	2021-11-07 08:04:04	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1217	2021-11-07 08:01:00	Yes	B3t1a5	Yes	Yes	No	Accidents happen, have we not learned?
1219	2021-11-07 07:22:35	Yes	B3t2h9	Yes	No		
1221	2021-11-07 06:19:51	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1222	2021-11-07 06:59:44	No	b2v2g5	Yes	No		
1223	2021-11-07 06:41:30	No	B3t1y6				
1224	2021-11-07 06:19:20	No	B3T2B6	Yes		No	Information states that this works by keeping the area as small as possible. Increasing the amount of waste sent there does not "keep it as small as possible".
1225	2021-11-07 06:29:25	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1229	2021-11-03 23:07:03	Yes	B3t1n1	Yes	Yes	No	I'm concerned about everything . Dump shouldn't be in my neighbourhood
1230	2021-11-07 05:57:59	No	B3T1A4	Yes			
1232	2021-11-07 05:28:05	No	B3T1R6	Yes			
1233	2021-11-06 23:19:15	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	Yes	This sounds like it is being looked after, I hope this system remains working. We have beautiful local trails and water ways I would like to keep clean and safe for people and wildlife
1236	2021-11-06 22:34:36	Yes	B3T1S1	Yes	No		
1239	2021-11-06 21:44:24	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1240	2021-11-06 21:09:24	Yes	B3T1P9	Yes			
1241	2021-11-06 20:51:38	No	B3T2B8	Yes	Yes	No	not enough info provided
1242	2021-11-06 20:24:42	No	B3T2C9	Yes	Yes	No	I am worried about the increase runoff going through the lakes , rivers and finally to the ocean. This plan is going backwards not forwards. it will further increase water pollution and global warming
1244	2021-11-06 20:44:41	No	B3T0B6	Yes	No		
1246	2021-11-04 18:10:53	Yes	B3S1N5	Yes	Yes		
1250	2021-11-06 19:43:28	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	
1252	2021-11-06 19:10:42	No	B4a3x8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1253	2021-11-06 19:07:34	No	B3T1Y3	Yes			
1254	2021-11-06 18:59:26	Yes	B3T1P4	Yes	Yes	No	
1258	2021-11-06 18:14:13	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	No		
1259	2021-11-06 18:05:21	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1260	2021-11-06 18:06:15	No	B3T2J1	Yes			
1261	2021-11-06 17:52:36	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	No		
1263	2021-11-06 17:44:19	Yes	B3t1b6	Yes	Yes	No	
1268	2021-11-06 16:26:12	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	No		
1270	2021-11-06 16:08:55	No	b3k3m2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1271	2021-11-06 16:01:23	Yes	B3T0K1	Yes	No		
1273	2021-11-06 15:49:32	No	B3K3M2	Yes	No		
1274	2021-11-06 15:34:47	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	No	I'm not convinced this would help.
1275	2021-11-06 15:27:16	No	B3T1S8	Yes	No		
1276	2021-11-06 15:16:29	No	B3t0b4	Yes	Yes	No	Impacts from increased leachate
1279	2021-11-06 14:37:38	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes			
1280	2021-11-06 14:03:21	No	B3T1S2	Yes	No		
1281	2021-11-06 13:24:18	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1282	2021-11-06 13:48:43	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	Insufficient for incremental usage
1285	2021-11-06 12:39:43	Yes	B3t1r8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1287	2021-11-06 12:40:43	No	B3T2H3	Yes	No		
1288	2021-11-06 11:29:01	No	b3z1m3	Yes	No		
1289	2021-11-06 11:54:35	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes	Yes	No	Contaminated pollution may easily leach into the nearby watershed.
1293	2021-11-06 11:37:59	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	No	hasn't there already been problems? do we trust?
1294	2021-11-06 11:47:56	Yes	B3S1S3	No			
1295	2021-11-06 11:35:28	No	B3t2a8	Yes	Yes	No	
1296	2021-11-06 11:30:28	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	No		
1300	2021-11-06 09:27:36	No	B3z2r4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1301	2021-11-06 09:21:57	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	No	Leave it alone!!!!!! FEP/WSF controls are why and the only reason the community agreed to the landfill.
1302	2021-11-06 09:06:28	Yes	B3T1T8	Yes	Yes	Yes	The site will need to expand with the growing population and will result in polluted groundwater and nearby wells
1309	2021-11-06 08:30:33	Yes	B2G1S7	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1310	2021-11-06 08:28:27	No	B3T1Y8	Yes			
1312	2021-11-06 08:05:22	No	B3T1X3	Yes			
1313	2021-11-06 07:45:16	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	
1314	2021-11-06 07:55:30	No	B3t1w1	Yes			
1315	2021-11-06 07:55:10	Yes	B3t0a3	Yes			
1317	2021-11-06 07:18:33	Yes	B3T0E2	Yes	Yes	No	This is not enough, we need to sort and stabilize the waste.
1318	2021-11-06 06:55:39	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	We were told by experts that the cells are at capacity and more pressure will cause them to crack and leach, promises are being broken to this community and it's disgraceful
1319	2021-11-05 21:39:30	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1320	2021-11-06 07:02:40	No	B3t1s6	Yes	Yes	No	Disaster waiting to happen
1322	2021-11-06 06:37:48	Yes	B3T0C9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1323	2021-11-06 06:08:53	Yes	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	there are many lakes in the area witch run to salt water> maybe you should put this in the southend of Halifax and see what the Upper class say. O ya they pay more taxes the us so they don't have to worry about it ever being put near them
1324	2021-11-06 06:28:02	Yes	B3T0E6	Yes	Yes	No	I don't agree that this will be enough
1325	2021-11-06 06:25:14	Yes	B3t0e6	Yes	No		
1328	2021-11-06 04:07:50	No	B3Z1L6	Yes	No		
1329	2021-11-06 03:37:18	No	B3T1S3	No			
1338	2021-11-05 21:06:04	Yes	b3t2c8	Yes	Yes	No	i think we should be doing everything possible to preserve our natural and beautiful environment. any half measure to save a few bucks is typical bs. stop destroying our environment for \$.
1341	2021-11-05 20:44:02	Yes	B3T2E1	No			
1342	2021-11-05 20:02:07	Yes	B3T0C6	Yes	No		
1343	2021-11-05 20:38:33	Yes	B3t0a2	Yes			
1345	2021-11-05 19:50:42	No	B3Z2T4	Yes	No		
1348	2021-11-03 17:59:35	No	B3L3H5	Yes			
1350	2021-11-05 19:02:54	No	B3g1a5				
1351	2021-11-05 18:58:41	No	b0p1p0	Yes			
1353	2021-11-05 18:55:55	Yes	b3t1r5	Yes			
1354	2021-11-05 18:21:58	Yes	B3t1b1				
1355	2021-11-05 17:56:09	No	B3Z2T1	Yes	No		
1356	2021-11-05 18:03:41	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	No	I am concerned with pharmaceuticals entering water supplies
1358	2021-11-05 17:57:20	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes			
1359	2021-11-05 17:50:35	No	b2y2a6	Yes			
1360	2021-11-05 17:36:31	Yes	B3T1V6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1361	2021-11-05 17:30:56	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	No	Again, what mitigation measures are to protect homeowners who live in proximity to the plant?
1362	2021-11-05 17:36:27	No	b3t1s5	Yes			
1363	2021-11-05 17:37:06	Yes	B3t2e1	Yes			
1364	2021-11-05 17:19:16	No	B0J1T0	Yes	Yes	No	lifetime of liner, control systems?
1368	2021-11-05 16:44:20	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	No	The current state of groundwater was not identified therefore how can the current measures be imagined as appropriate when the report does not conclude if they are currently satisfactory
1369	2021-11-05 16:39:39	No	B3Z3A9	Yes	Yes	No	The proximity to Nine Mile River has always been of concern. Leaking membrane cannot be repaired.
1370	2021-11-05 16:51:27	Yes	B3t0j3	Yes	Yes	No	
1371	2021-11-02 15:24:34	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes			
1373	2021-11-05 10:05:15	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1375	2021-11-05 15:39:22	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	This is the primary concern of myself and my family. I would suggest you will not know the impact of the change for many years when properties, wildlife and residents suffer from irreversible harm.
1376	2021-11-05 15:10:16	No	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	Again the FEP and WSF are working properly and doing the job they are suppose to. If you change this you will not know the results of your changes for years and by then it could cause health issue from the water. This is working and should be left alone.
1378	2021-11-05 15:19:43	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	Yes	It addresses my concerns only as pertains to the current operations which include FEP, sorting and Community Monitoring. I do not trust your process is any of these components is removed or changed.
1383	2021-11-05 15:07:44	Yes	B3T2J5	Yes	Yes	No	Keep present agreement in place water issues have been identified
1384	2021-11-05 15:14:54	No	B3z0n9	Yes	Yes	No	A large portion of nearby homes are fed by wells. This solution at not address the impacts this will have on drinking water from those wells.
1385	2021-11-05 15:16:35	Yes	B3N2M3	Yes			
1388	2021-11-05 14:38:48	Yes	B3t0k5	Yes	Yes	No	
1390	2021-11-05 14:01:15	No	B3T1Z5	Yes	Yes	No	leaks happen all the time enviromental concern for sure
1394	2021-11-05 13:27:14	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	With additional unsorted garbage going into the landfill, extreme water/weather events like hurricanes and Nor'easters could have a huge impact on the surrounding areas. The risk is not worth it. Especially when a commitment was made to the community when the landfill was established.
1395	2021-11-05 13:51:01	No	B3N1K1	Yes			
1396	2021-11-05 13:50:29	Yes	B3t1n2	Yes			
1401	2021-11-05 12:12:08	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	Is it anticipated that increased potency of leachate will result from the deactivation of front end sorting?
1402	2021-11-05 12:48:08	No	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1404	2021-11-05 12:05:35	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	What is the lifespan of the liners?
1407	2021-11-05 11:41:29	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes			
1408	2021-11-05 11:18:21	Yes	B3t1r3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1409	2021-11-05 10:54:40	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes		
1410	2021-11-05 10:57:29	Yes	B3t2a4	Yes	Yes	No	Monitor all you want. What are you going to do with people's wells when they are ruined
1412	2021-11-05 10:58:17	No	B3Z1L8	No			
1414	2021-11-05 10:47:26	No	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	No	
1415	2021-11-05 10:51:56	No	B3t2a2	Yes			
1418	2021-11-05 10:08:10	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	
1419	2021-11-05 09:55:00	No	B3t2a2	Yes			
1423	2021-11-05 09:39:03	No	B3T1R4	Yes	No		
1424	2021-11-05 09:35:05	Yes	B3t1n2	Yes	Yes	No	
1426	2021-11-05 08:35:06	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes			
1427	2021-11-05 08:51:54	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	You say there will be no impact, but haven't quantified the basis for your statement
1428	2021-11-05 08:59:17	No	B3T1R3	Yes			
1430	2021-11-05 08:42:36	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	No	Na
1431	2021-11-02 16:08:11	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	Years and years down the road, this will be an issue. Garbage takes decades/centuries to break down will we be catching this leachate next century?
1432	2021-11-05 07:25:01	Yes	B3Z1G5	Yes	Yes	No	There will be garbage that will be blown around.
1434	2021-11-05 07:11:55	No	b3t1x3	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1435	2021-11-05 06:51:27	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	No	The FEP/WSP need to stay activated
1436	2021-11-04 13:34:13	No	B3t1x5	Yes			
1438	2021-11-05 06:55:40	No	B3t1v3	Yes			
1440	2021-11-05 06:39:09	No	B3T2G1	Yes			
1443	2021-11-05 06:14:43	No	B3T1R6	Yes			
1444	2021-11-05 06:08:03	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes			
1445	2021-11-05 05:51:09	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	Yes	No	I am not an expert nor an idiot.Way to confusing
1446	2021-11-05 06:07:56	No	B3Z1H7	No			
1447	2021-11-05 05:49:07	Yes	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	
1449	2021-11-05 05:14:12	Yes	B3T2J5	Yes	Yes	No	What could go wrong?
1452	2021-11-05 03:46:15	No	B3T2E8	Yes	Yes	No	
1454	2021-11-05 00:08:13	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	No	I don't trust it. Have no hood reason now, it just doesn't sit right with me.
1455	2021-11-05 00:09:42	No	B3s1k1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1456	2021-11-05 00:08:07	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes			
1458	2021-11-04 23:49:13	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	
1459	2021-11-04 23:20:51	Yes	B3Z1G6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1462	2021-11-04 22:28:16	Yes	B3Z2L4	Yes			
1463	2021-11-04 22:09:56	No	B4E0N6	Yes	No		
1464	2021-11-04 21:48:00	No	B3t2e9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1465	2021-11-04 21:56:26	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	Yes	No	
1466	2021-11-04 21:34:43	No	B3T0B3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1468	2021-11-04 21:36:36	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes			
1472	2021-11-04 20:31:23	Yes	B3t0c2	Yes	Yes	No	Honor your original agreement.
1474	2021-11-04 20:14:33	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Allowing anything to go into Cells without inspection only increases odds that something that can negatively affect groundwater will get through
1475	2021-11-04 20:05:11	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	No		
1479	2021-11-04 20:26:04	No	B4e3cI	Yes			
1480	2021-11-02 18:49:52	No	B3l2y8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1481	2021-11-04 19:18:30	No	B3T2A2	Yes	Yes	No	See the extra organic added to the leachate adds extra stress to the waste water plant it will be trucked to . Why mitigate when we can prevent
1483	2021-11-04 19:46:06	Yes	B3t1a9	Yes	Yes	No	Thank you for trusting the members of our community to not improperly dispose of high risk items without the sorting staff being able to ensure there was nothing that should not be there.
1485	2021-11-04 19:44:56	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	
1487	2021-11-04 19:34:08	Yes	B3t2e6	Yes			
1490	2021-11-04 19:22:44	No	B3t2l1	Yes			
1492	2021-11-04 18:58:55	Yes	B3t1r5	Yes	Yes	No	Whoever's idea it was to build a dump on a watershed should be flogged. FEP and WSF help ensure the quality of waste thereby reducing potential contaminants to the groundwater which eventually migrates around to all of our wells! Please do not deactivate these services.
1493	2021-11-04 19:10:05	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	
1494	2021-11-04 16:02:33	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	
1496	2021-11-04 18:13:45	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes	Yes	No	
1498	2021-11-04 18:46:19	Yes	B3t2j3	Yes	Yes	No	Please honour the agreement
1500	2021-11-04 18:45:44	No	B3Z1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Everything goes bad

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1503	2021-11-04 16:49:35	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes	Yes	No	Of course I am a concerned resident I live in the area and these issues will always be of concern and the municipality will always have an answer
1505	2021-11-04 18:23:48	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes			
1510	2021-11-04 17:51:19	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Mitigations are not in alignment with the original agreement.
1515	2021-11-04 16:56:50	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
1518	2021-11-04 15:58:32	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	No		
1520	2021-11-04 16:01:04	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1522	2021-11-04 16:04:31	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	Yes	No	
1524	2021-11-04 15:42:58	No	B3z1G1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1529	2021-11-04 15:54:09	No	B3Z2J2	No			
1531	2021-11-04 15:39:35	Yes	b3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1532	2021-11-04 15:23:19	Yes	B3t2j3	Yes	Yes	No	You should not be deactivating
1535	2021-11-04 14:35:55	No	B3T1V7	Yes	No		
1536	2021-11-04 14:43:19	No	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	I have had a concern about the landfill before it was even setup based on conversations with renowned geologists
1537	2021-11-04 13:01:53	No	B3T2G5	Yes			
1538	2021-11-04 14:25:53	Yes	b3t1c9	Yes	No		
1539	2021-11-04 14:32:47	Yes	b3t2j3	Yes	Yes	No	
1541	2021-11-04 13:53:56	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
1544	2021-11-04 13:58:14	No	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes		
1545	2021-11-04 14:01:07	Yes	B3T1S7	Yes	Yes	No	
1547	2021-11-04 13:51:21	No	B3T1X2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1556	2021-11-04 13:12:04	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	Yes	No	This will lead to increased sampling/monitoring by a consultant. Again, I'm not seeing the cost savings!!
1557	2021-11-04 13:21:04	No	B3z0n4	Yes			
1559	2021-11-04 12:44:00	No	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1564	2021-11-04 12:20:24	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	No	Increased organics will potentially affect groundwater
1568	2021-11-04 12:11:04	Yes	B3T1K9	No			
1569	2021-11-04 11:56:31	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
1572	2021-11-04 11:40:17	No	B3t1t5	Yes	Yes	No	Large number of lakes and clay soil in the area is cause for concern
1577	2021-11-04 11:33:38	Yes	b3t1s6	Yes			
1581	2021-11-04 11:20:52	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes			
1582	2021-11-04 11:07:18	No	B3Z1L8	Yes	No		
1583	2021-11-04 11:01:06	No	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	
1584	2021-11-04 10:52:21	No	b3t2l1	Yes	No		
1585	2021-11-04 10:25:11	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1589	2021-11-04 10:48:30	No	B3t0j9	Yes			
1590	2021-11-04 10:43:00	No	B3T2B8	Yes			
1594	2021-11-04 10:19:48	No	B3T1S8	Yes	Yes	No	Always room for accidents, then what? To late then..
1595	2021-11-04 10:30:26	No	B3t2b1	Yes			
1596	2021-11-04 10:22:11	Yes	b3 1a2	No			
1598	2021-11-04 10:08:43	No	B3T2B9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1600	2021-11-04 10:14:05	Yes	B3T0A2	Yes			
1601	2021-11-04 10:04:52	No	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	No	
1603	2021-11-04 09:29:55	Yes	B3T1g&	Yes	Yes	No	
1605	2021-11-04 09:29:27	Yes	B3t1c9	Yes	Yes	No	This is not as effective as the FEP and WSF. This is not worth the risk of our communities health and safety
1609	2021-11-04 09:28:11	Yes	B3T5c4	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1613	2021-11-04 09:22:40	Yes	B3T1K5	No			
1616	2021-11-04 09:18:13	No	B3t1x2	Yes	No		
1620	2021-11-04 09:02:11	Yes	B2T1H6	Yes	No		
1621	2021-11-04 08:29:23	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	No	
1622	2021-11-04 08:36:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	more organic material, greater the risk.
1623	2021-11-04 08:29:53	No	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	No	Again, HRM's word has lost credibility with me.
1624	2021-11-04 09:01:02	No	B3T2L1	No			
1626	2021-11-04 08:57:08	Yes	B3T0C1	Yes	Yes	No	
1629	2021-11-04 08:51:26	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	No		
1631	2021-11-04 08:42:18	No	B3t2b2	Yes	Yes		
1634	2021-11-04 08:42:39	No	B3L2Y8	No			
1636	2021-11-04 08:12:53	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	No	
1637	2021-11-04 08:01:23	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	No	What third reports do we have to back up this claim. What percentage of leachet is not contained and goes into the ground? If we don't have the answers to these questions, how can we make a decision. This survey is bias simply because it comes from the government who is trying to save money. There is nothing wrong with that but we automatically can not trust what is said
1638	2021-11-04 08:26:28	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes			
1639	2021-11-04 08:04:13	Yes	B3t2g3	Yes	Yes	No	I am worried that the current system is not adapted to handling increased contamination caused by the proposed changes.
1641	2021-11-04 08:30:19	Yes	B3T2g8	Yes			
1642	2021-11-04 08:26:05	Yes	B3T0C9	Yes			
1644	2021-11-04 07:54:52	Yes	b3t1t2	Yes	Yes	No	No effects have been identified ??? Of course not, you did the study and you want "no impacts". Burying more organic material will result in an impact - that's how it works....? Your position is nonsensical.
1648	2021-11-04 07:26:29	Yes	B3T2E5	Yes	Yes	No	There is no doubt in my mind that most people will throw away their old batteries in the garbage instead of bringing them to a recycling facility. Electronic garbage are very common and most people won't bother to take the time to do that.
1650	2021-11-04 07:32:25	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1651	2021-11-04 06:58:27	Yes	B3T0H7	Yes	Yes	No	Only with respect to its increase.
1652	2021-11-04 06:54:36	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	and water issues are a concern as we are on a well
1655	2021-11-04 06:50:55	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	Would you like to live close to the site and drink the water in the area?
1656	2021-11-04 07:06:16	No	B3t1w5	Yes			
1658	2021-11-04 06:49:02	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
1659	2021-11-04 06:41:15	No	B4B1N4	Yes			
1660	2021-11-04 06:14:16	No	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1664	2021-11-04 06:23:22	No	B4e3b3	Yes			
1667	2021-11-04 06:05:06	No	B3t1w5	Yes			
1668	2021-11-04 06:06:06	No	B3E1M4	Yes			
1670	2021-11-04 05:27:16	No	B3P0C2	Yes	Yes	No	You name Me a landfill that doesn't have issues over time after those liners start to die. We can't even guarantee that those will be installed correctly. You guys screw stuff up like this all the time. You go to the lowest bidder who may not be the best one to do it and stuff gets messed up and it just gets installed anyway speaking from personal experience on this one guys
1671	2021-11-04 04:55:59	Yes	B3t1c2	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1672	2021-11-03 17:32:26	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1675	2021-11-03 23:41:40	Yes	B3t1b9	Yes	Yes	No	
1677	2021-11-03 22:17:23	Yes	b3t1b2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1679	2021-11-03 22:37:56	No	B3T1V8	Yes	No		
1680	2021-11-03 18:47:54	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	Less processing of waste means more contaminants in the water, which will cause the lectures to be stranger. Liners are liable to rip, equipment will fail. Its better to remove as much contaminates at the front line, rather than rely on equipment to catch them later.
1681	2021-11-03 22:09:54	Yes	b3t1r4	Yes	Yes	No	the dump should be decommissioned. any chance of contamination should be unacceptable. pollute another community.
1682	2021-11-03 22:28:41	Yes	b3t2c8	Yes			
1683	2021-11-03 22:13:15	No	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes	No	This concern is always something that concerns me.
1684	2021-11-03 22:20:02	No	B3t1p7	Yes			
1689	2021-11-03 21:29:15	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	With increased volumes of organic matter being disposed and leaching into a storage tank and potentially stored temporarily in a holding pond, the risk of overflow increases. I have not been provided with information that the current leachate pumping system has capacity for increased volume associated with unprocessed waste.
1691	2021-11-03 21:39:51	Yes	B3T2J2	Yes	Yes	No	
1692	2021-11-03 21:43:06	Yes	B3t2g2	Yes			
1693	2021-11-03 21:38:12	No	b3z1p7	Yes			
1694	2021-11-03 21:18:08	No	B2x2x5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1695	2021-11-03 21:36:37	No	B3T1Z9	Yes			
1696	2021-11-03 21:31:39	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1697	2021-11-03 21:23:39	Yes	B3t1r3	Yes	No		
1699	2021-11-03 21:20:54	No	b4c2n9	Yes			
1703	2021-11-03 20:58:03	No	B4C2Y8	Yes	Yes	No	Lack of sorting could lead to increased disposal volumes, and increased leachate production. Relying on an outside agency to manage treatment of the leachate does not remove HRM's responsibility to minimize leachate production.
1706	2021-11-02 15:35:17	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	
1710	2021-11-03 20:37:25	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	No		
1712	2021-11-03 16:32:19	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes		The original landfill agreement had the cells lined with membrane on bottom and top so they are sealed cells therefor not affected the ground water because they are contained. So the existing system works great
1715	2021-11-03 20:31:53	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1716	2021-11-03 20:30:40	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1718	2021-11-03 19:27:16	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	No	What is the expected lifespan of the leachate and liner system?
1720	2021-11-02 17:26:46	Yes	B3Z4B4	Yes	Yes	No	Composite lines can and do fail. HRM's compositing facility is (also in our community) is currently polluting the nearby watersheds and has been for over six months. HRM seems to have a total disregard for the environment.
1721	2021-11-03 20:09:07	Yes	B3T0C8	Yes	Yes	No	
1722	2021-11-03 20:07:12	Yes	B3H0H3	Yes	Yes	No	Leaks
1726	2021-11-03 19:56:25	No	B3z1l7	Yes			
1728	2021-11-03 19:31:54	Yes	B3T1V1	Yes	Yes	No	These stringent requirements didn't help Harriet's Field for nearly a generation

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1731	2021-11-03 18:58:57	No	B3T1Y8	Yes	Yes	No	What does this statement mean? "As such the landfill disposal area is kept as small as possible (e.g., less than 30 m in width)" - are you saying that the landfill disposal will never exceed 30 m?
1732	2021-11-03 19:14:51	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	If the particles are not treated then the amount of Leachate will have more microbes in it requiring smaller cells and more frequent testing
1734	2021-11-03 19:22:20	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	No		
1735	2021-11-03 18:55:44	No	B3t2I2	Yes	Yes	No	Residents on well systems deserve protection from possible water contamination of any kind. Residents should not be exposed to any potential increase in risk. The original agreement must be respected.
1738	2021-11-03 19:11:22	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	
1740	2021-11-03 19:04:36	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes			
1741	2021-11-03 14:08:13	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	There have been no extreme levels of leachate because the two systems are working. This application is to save HRM money, not to keep the residents' well water safe.
1742	2021-11-03 18:16:24	No	B3t1t1	Yes	Yes	No	One small accident could be a serious risk to drinking water and water bodies
1747	2021-11-03 18:59:00	No	B3T1B1	No			
1748	2021-11-03 08:08:27	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
1749	2021-11-03 08:08:12	Yes	B3t1a7	No			
1750	2021-11-02 19:15:26	No	b3t1X8	No			
1752	2021-11-03 18:35:23	Yes	B3t1c2	Yes	Yes	No	Many residents have wells worry about water quality
1753	2021-11-03 18:02:51	Yes	b3t1a4	Yes	Yes	No	How can not processing garbage result in less leachate? The garbage is going to take longer to decompose so more opportunity to produce leachate and more of it.
1754	2021-11-03 18:22:37	Yes	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	These pieces of equipment were deemed necessary and promised to be utilized for the health and safety of our communities - so removal is unacceptable
1757	2021-11-03 18:23:20	No	B3T1V7	Yes			
1759	2021-11-03 18:11:36	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1761	2021-11-02 15:25:47	No	B3T2L2	Yes			
1763	2021-11-03 18:04:19	Yes	B3T2G8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1764	2021-11-03 17:51:38	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1765	2021-11-03 17:32:12	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	No	
1766	2021-11-03 17:43:23	Yes	B3t2g6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1767	2021-11-03 17:37:50	Yes	B3t1a4	Yes	Yes	No	
1772	2021-11-03 17:18:54	Yes	B3T2E6	Yes	No		
1774	2021-11-03 17:07:51	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	
1775	2021-11-03 17:09:25	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes			
1776	2021-11-03 17:04:04	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	It will still get into our water
1778	2021-11-03 16:37:04	Yes	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	I can't believe how close this site is to lakes and waterways.
1779	2021-11-03 15:56:48	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes		
1781	2021-11-03 16:07:17	No	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	No	We need to mitigate, not guess, hope or pray there won't be an impact
1782	2021-11-03 16:39:43	No	B3T1Y9	Yes	Yes		
1783	2021-11-03 16:40:52	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes			
1785	2021-11-03 16:25:58	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	
1786	2021-11-03 16:22:59	Yes	B3t1v1	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1788	2021-11-03 16:12:11	Yes	B3t0b6	Yes	Yes	No	These measures are taken for current measures at the landfill but without the sorting everything will end up in the landfill causing more issues
1789	2021-11-03 16:15:12	Yes	B3T1K6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1793	2021-11-03 15:27:51	No	B3P2H4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1795	2021-11-03 15:35:32	Yes	B3t1a9	Yes	No		
1797	2021-11-03 15:27:34	Yes	b3t1v8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1800	2021-11-03 15:03:42	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1801	2021-11-03 15:06:54	Yes	B3T2G5	Yes	Yes	No	
1803	2021-11-03 14:49:21	Yes	b3t1r8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1804	2021-11-03 15:04:33	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
1805	2021-11-03 15:00:10	No	B3t1p6	Yes			
1806	2021-11-03 14:46:23	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	No		
1810	2021-11-03 14:36:34	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1811	2021-11-03 14:44:03	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes			
1812	2021-11-03 14:19:31	Yes	B3t1a2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1814	2021-11-03 14:30:20	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	No	Look back at all the meetings and impacts to rivers and waterways before landfill was built. Our communities Omar's tired of being a dumping ground for HRMs waste. It's another community's turn.
1816	2021-11-03 14:01:21	Yes	B3t1t5	Yes	Yes		
1817	2021-11-03 14:19:51	No	b3m0e2	Yes			
1818	2021-11-03 14:13:18	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	Gross. Unacceptable
1819	2021-11-03 13:51:16	No	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1820	2021-11-03 13:51:31	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	No		
1821	2021-11-03 13:18:01	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes		
1822	2021-11-03 11:22:47	No	B3T1X2	Yes	Yes	No	HRM staff are not to be believed. There is too much risk of our groundwater having issues. Removal of FEP & WSF will lead to more putrid rubbish going into Otter Lake.
1823	2021-11-03 13:00:20	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	No	You say there are safeguards in place but yet HRM is trying to eliminate critical safeguards (front-end processor) and Waste Stabilization Facility)
1824	2021-11-03 12:29:44	Yes	B3T1K5	Yes	Yes	No	I went to the last set of public meetings and the experts told us the cells were only built to carry so much weight and back then we had already reached the limit. Those cells will leach into the environment. Our community was promised this site would be closed before snow and the area would be restored to parkland!!!
1825	2021-11-03 10:36:17	No	B3T1S7	Yes	Yes	No	This is, of course, the big concern. We're on wells in this area the groundwater network is interconnected, and any problem in that area would become an expensive catastrophe very quickly. In even a perfect situation groundwater is always a concern.
1826	2021-11-03 11:53:58	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	No		
1827	2021-11-03 11:59:02	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1828	2021-11-03 11:51:31	No	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	No	
1829	2021-11-03 11:21:43	Yes	B3T2G8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1830	2021-11-03 11:24:06	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
1831	2021-11-03 10:57:07	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	No		
1832	2021-11-03 10:54:32	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	No	Further investigation and research should be carried out to determine exact impacts.
1833	2021-11-03 10:56:41	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes	Yes	No	
1834	2021-11-03 10:49:26	No		Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1835	2021-11-03 10:30:15	Yes	B3t1r7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1836	2021-11-03 10:05:16	Yes	B3t1t9	Yes	No		
1837	2021-11-03 10:10:55	No	B3T1W6	Yes			
1838	2021-11-03 10:03:38	No	B3T2A3	Yes	No		
1839	2021-11-03 08:21:24	No	B3T1Z3	Yes	Yes	No	I do not feel you have provided enough information on this and I am unable to ask questions. There are so many lakes in the surrounding areas and I have deep concern.
1840	2021-11-03 08:37:51	No	b3t1v2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1841	2021-11-03 08:17:55	No	B3T2B8	Yes	Yes	No	If something does happen, the impact to residents will be financially staggering for most.
1842	2021-11-03 07:31:46	Yes	B3T0B2	Yes	Yes		
1843	2021-11-03 06:22:06	No	B3T2L2	Yes	No		
1844	2021-10-28 17:17:53	No	B3l2y8	Yes			
1845	2021-11-03 07:02:47	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	
1846	2021-11-03 07:02:39	No	B3t2l2	Yes			
1847	2021-11-03 06:31:24	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	
1848	2021-11-03 06:32:33	No	B3t2k8	Yes	Yes	No	
1849	2021-11-03 06:24:23	No	B3T2L2	Yes			
1850	2021-11-03 05:21:10	Yes	B3Z1L4	Yes	Yes	No	Landfills fail. We agreed to 35 years. No extension was honestly and transparently put to the community. Instead it was planned for expansion and extension. Honour the commitment and decommission this site in 2024. I live downstream on a well like everyone else here. 25 years is what we agreed to. I'm not willing to risk it for longer.
1851	2021-11-03 04:19:44	Yes	B3t2e3	Yes	Yes		
1852	2021-11-03 04:15:58	No	B3t1t4	Yes			
1853	2021-11-02 21:45:01	No	B3T1Z3	Yes	No		
1854	2021-11-02 21:16:52	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes			
1855	2021-11-02 20:56:32	Yes	B3T1V8	Yes	Yes	No	
1856	2021-11-02 20:25:58	No	B3t1t6	Yes	Yes	No	
1857	2021-11-02 19:57:57	No	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1858	2021-11-02 19:49:44	No	B3Z1L4	Yes	Yes	No	Accidents happen.
1859	2021-11-02 19:27:03	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	
1860	2021-11-02 19:00:57	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	This was already an issue when DOE did testing a few years back on sediment in lake water samples resulting from HRM actions. We are on wells. Is HRM going to install city water?? Sewer?? Talk to me then.
1861	2021-11-02 18:55:04	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	No	This must be monitored regularly.
1862	2021-11-02 18:56:23	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	Yes	No	
1863	2021-11-02 18:11:46	No	B3T1T4	Yes			
1864	2021-11-02 18:15:33	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes	No	
1865	2021-11-02 17:30:20	Yes	B3T1Z5	Yes	Yes	No	
1866	2021-11-02 16:40:23	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	With the possibility of dangerous waste entering the site there will be water impacts
1867	2021-11-02 14:34:16	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	Yes	No	How can any professional environmental company give an opinion that no on or off site impacts due to the ground water quality wheel be the same as currently indicated when they don't know what's going into the cell under the truck and dump program.
1868	2021-11-02 15:49:35	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	After 25 years you're to be "deactivated" and closed for good

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1869	2021-11-02 15:14:23	No	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	No	I do not trust your onsite monitoring and testing and believe you will not be stringent in testing. This facility is convenient for you and keeping it operational villolayes your promise to community
1870	2021-11-02 15:23:31	No		Yes			
1871	2021-11-02 15:02:14	No	B3t1v7	Yes			
1872	2021-11-02 14:48:27	No	B3M0P2	Yes	No		
1873	2021-11-02 14:43:09	Yes	B3T1P8	Yes	Yes	No	
1874	2021-11-02 14:11:50	No	B3M0P2	Yes			
1875	2021-11-02 14:38:17	Yes	B3T1r8	Yes	Yes	No	
1876	2021-11-02 14:29:17	No	B4A1P3	Yes	Yes	No	Doesn't seem comprehensive enough
1877	2021-11-02 14:02:42	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	No	I do not trust that the company managing this site does what is required, nor do I think the regulations re monitoring and enforcement are sufficient.
1878	2021-11-02 14:28:39	No	B3T1R5	Yes			
1879	2021-11-02 14:24:25	No	B3T0H6	Yes			
1880	Email/Mail-In	No	B3T2C1	Yes	Yes	No	Groundwater has already been affected by the pumping of water from the site directly into Nine Mile River.
1881	Email/Mail-In	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1882	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	The double composite liner has already been added to IW Hieighth some years ago. The landfill has already surpassed the date at which a new landfill was supposed to be found. What I see coming is an overflow due to the definate increase in volume ask Mr Dillon what happended in Toronto 45 years ago when all those surrounding area's were amalgomated in to the big city. FEP/WSG become even more important as a city grows!
1883	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T0H3	Yes	Yes	No	No liner/leachate system is foolproof. History shows multiple failures - many places more exposure - greater risk.
1884	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3M4M8	Yes	Yes	No	My concerns are a possible failure of your containment facility and the poisoning of the local water table. With very few exceptions the people who current live (and those that may potentially live as the city expands) in the area are serviced by individual wells. The elimination of the WSF will undoubtably result in toxic substances entering the landfill. Once the ground water table is contaminated, they will be not way to rectify the problem.
1885	Email/Mail-In	No	B3Z3T6	Yes	Yes	Yes	As long as these things are kept up regularly and always.
1886	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1	2021-11-08 15:25:09	No	B3H1P7	Yes			
2	2021-12-05 09:24:23	Yes	B3T0I6	Yes			
3	2021-12-04 14:41:55	No	B3T1R9	Yes			
4	2021-12-04 14:23:40	No	B3T1z8	Yes	Yes	No	
7	2021-12-04 11:44:01	No	B3L4B2	Yes	Yes	No	Keep FEP/WSF
12	2021-12-03 22:10:00	No	B3Z2B3	Yes	Yes	No	The mitigation measures are not as effective as maintaining the FEP/WSF. This survey is not actually a balanced survey. It only presents the HRM/Staff/Mirror perspective as evidence and none of the concerns of the Community Monitoring Committee or others.
13	2021-12-03 22:23:07	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	No		
14	2021-12-03 20:49:08	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	Once again the diversion by the FEP/WSF of both toxic materials and organic matter is the best way to avoid issues with run off. Run off from excessive organic matter can cause Eutrophication of waterways.
15	2021-12-03 21:32:54	Yes	B3T2E6	Yes	No		
16	2021-12-03 21:32:46	Yes	B3t2I7	Yes			
17	2021-11-11 16:41:08	No	B3L3H5	Yes	Yes	No	Again, I doubt there will be a problem in the short run, but one could develop in the future.
18	2021-12-03 20:43:54	No	b3t2c8	Yes			
23	2021-12-03 19:10:31	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	
24	2021-12-03 17:39:37	Yes	B3T0E2	Yes	Yes	No	
25	2021-12-03 16:56:45	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	See previous comments
26	2021-12-03 16:22:18	No	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes		
27	2021-12-03 16:14:52	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	Yes	No	
28	2021-11-14 12:30:57	No	B3T1R8	Yes			
29	2021-11-04 13:54:59	No	B3T2L2	Yes		No	As we have seen in BC, we could be subject to unusually high amounts of rain in the future. If more organics get into the land fill, would the existing water handling system be sufficient. I don't think we can expect this won't happen here.
30	2021-11-25 10:10:19	No	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	What are the measures, if any, to be put in place to accommodate the possibility, which with recent very heavy rainfall events are almost certain to occur, in the area of the cells. This area has very little surface absorbent material over bedrock allowing for a large possibility of large runoffs overwhelming the cells.
35	2021-11-07 19:41:27	No	B3T1W9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
36	2021-12-03 11:26:34	No	B3M0P2	Yes	Yes	No	With climate change an ever-increasing 100 year storm events like BC can pose extreme risk to extra runoff
37	2021-11-13 18:39:52	No	B3T1S5	Yes			
38	2021-12-03 11:25:23	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	Yes	No	Sheer volume, you won't be able to handle it.
40	2021-12-03 10:45:24	Yes	B3T1X5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
41	2021-12-03 10:52:22	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	No		
42	2021-12-03 10:24:39	No	B3t1t9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
44	2021-12-03 10:05:40	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	more risk
46	2021-12-03 09:43:58	No	B3T2A6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
47	2021-12-03 09:26:22	Yes	Be32e3	Yes	Yes	No	If you want to change the design significantly then put it to a vote again for the local residents. Provide pros and cons and let us decide. Otherwise be a dictator and do as you will. Forget these platitudes.
48	2021-12-03 09:40:25	Yes	B3T0J8	Yes	No		
50	2021-12-03 08:45:22	No	B3T2E3	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
51	2021-12-03 08:41:31	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	No	THIS IS SO ONE SIDED...I AM GETTING TIRED OF TYPING THE SAME THINGS OVER AND OVER...
52	2021-12-03 07:53:12	No	B3t1s2	Yes			
53	2021-12-03 07:27:56	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	The changes for Otter Lake will lead to increased and longer term accumulation of waste materials, leading to a dump like former Lower Sackville IN THE MIDDLE OF A RESIDENTIAL AREA
54	2021-12-03 06:41:04	Yes	B3T1P8	Yes	Yes	No	see my answer above
55	2021-12-03 06:21:35	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes			
56	2021-12-03 05:59:48	Yes	B3t2e3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
57	2021-11-02 19:50:36	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes			
58	2021-12-03 05:42:11	No	B3T2A4	Yes			
59	2021-12-03 05:14:46	No	B3t1x8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
63	2021-12-02 19:14:47	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	No	
64	2021-12-02 16:48:06	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	No	No	
66	2021-11-21 16:12:36	No	B3t1v3	Yes	No		
67	2021-12-02 13:35:06	Yes	B3t1s9	Yes			
68	2021-12-02 11:42:23	No	B3T1Z9	Yes	Yes	No	Tests are only a guide line
70	2021-12-02 07:57:42	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	
71	2021-12-01 19:13:58	No	B2Y4A6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
72	2021-12-01 18:24:02	No	B3t1v8	Yes			
73	2021-12-01 17:36:29	No	B3T1Y8	Yes	Yes	No	Can be dangerous for animals , plants and humans
75	2021-12-01 16:52:37	No	B3T2G1	Yes	Yes	No	
77	2021-12-01 13:26:19	No	B3T1S7	Yes			
78	2021-12-01 11:03:14	Yes	B3K1K7	Yes	Yes	No	
79	2021-12-01 10:08:17	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes			
81	2021-12-01 05:17:42	No	B3T1Y3	Yes	No		
83	2021-11-30 20:30:42	No	B3T1W1	Yes			
84	2021-11-30 20:19:47	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	No		
85	2021-11-30 19:43:10	No	B3T1Z7	No			
86	2021-11-30 19:28:18	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
87	2021-11-30 18:50:05	Yes	B3z1I4	Yes	No		
89	2021-11-30 16:53:09	Yes	B3z4b6	No			
91	2021-11-30 16:30:32	No	B3T1T6	Yes	Yes	No	Just because they haven't been identified doesn't mean they won't increase. I fully believe after reading it that the study and proposal re one sided and flawed
92	2021-11-30 12:20:04	Yes	B3T0C3	Yes	Yes	No	
93	2021-11-30 11:57:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes			
94	2021-11-30 08:30:28	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	
95	2021-11-30 06:31:37	Yes	B3t0e5	Yes			
96	2021-11-30 05:48:46	Yes	B3t0e2	Yes	Yes	No	
97	2021-11-30 05:11:25	Yes	B3T0C5	Yes	Yes	No	
98	2021-11-30 04:26:12	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	Again, mistrust on every level. We are being fed 'facts' re. Every aspect of the facility to avoid acknowledging that an attempt to break a binding CONTRACT is afoot!
100	2021-11-06 19:45:28	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	
102	2021-11-29 19:03:16	Yes	B3z3w9	Yes	Yes	No	Same as above
103	2021-11-29 15:31:26	No	B3M3G9	Yes	No		
104	2021-11-29 12:49:54	No	b3t1t8	Yes			
105	2021-11-29 12:23:08	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	See my comments under #23. More severe storms, greater run-off but just now with higher concentrations of harmful chemicals.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
106	2021-11-29 12:47:42	No	B3T2L1	Yes			
111	2021-11-29 08:34:14	No		No			
112	2021-11-29 07:44:36	No	B3T1T9	Yes	No		
113	2021-11-28 22:36:07	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	If the FEP/WSF facilities are shut down, then increased frequency of sampling should be considered for at least the first year in order to ensure that there is no increase in surface water contaminants. I have no idea as to what the frequency is now, so cannot offer comment on the adequacy of what is done today.
114	2021-11-25 09:38:50	No	B3H2Y7	Yes	Yes	No	
116	2021-11-28 11:02:50	No	b3t1z4	Yes	Yes	No	untreated organic material deposited directly into the land fill is going to change the current dynamics of the facility.
118	2021-11-28 08:58:19	No	B3T1W7	Yes	Yes	No	Testing is all well and good. Unfortunately, damage from people not sorting will happen before results will be received. We must keep the sorting at the landfill. This is the last opportunity to protect our land, water, and related animals.
122	2021-11-27 17:43:03	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes			
123	2021-11-27 16:20:14	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
124	2021-11-27 16:03:53	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	
125	2021-11-27 10:01:50	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	No	No	Was there a baseline study of the ecology of the Nine Mile River prior to the landfill and if "yes" how has it changed?
126	2021-11-27 10:57:24	No	B3a2l8	Yes	Yes	No	The sampling/testing is helpful but what about times of flooding or heavy rains when the water could pass to other areas before testing has been carried out?
127	2021-11-27 10:26:39	No	b2w2a8	Yes	No		
128	2021-11-27 10:09:45	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes			
129	2021-11-27 08:52:29	No	B3z1e2	No			
133	2021-11-26 14:55:51	No	B2W0H6	Yes			
134	2021-11-26 14:49:36	No	b3m0n1	Yes		Yes	
135	2021-11-26 14:00:24	No	B3T1W7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
136	2021-11-26 13:20:12	No	B2W0L2	Yes	No		
137	2021-11-26 12:19:20	No	B2Y4J5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
138	2021-11-26 10:16:40	No	B2Y3M9	Yes			
139	2021-11-26 09:18:44	No	B3T2A5	Yes			
140	2021-11-26 07:46:03	No	b3a4H4	Yes	No		
141	2021-11-26 06:44:20	No	B4A4J8	No			
142	2021-11-26 05:29:08	No	0	Yes			
143	2021-11-26 04:10:09	No	B3P2S4	Yes	Yes	No	smoke and mirrors
144	2021-11-25 19:23:32	No	b3k1r3	Yes	No		
145	2021-11-25 22:39:53	No	B3B1W2	Yes	No		
146	2021-11-25 22:23:40	Yes	B3t2h6	Yes	Yes	No	
147	2021-11-25 22:05:39	No	B2Y1B3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
148	2021-11-25 22:18:49	No	B2x2e5	No			
149	2021-11-25 21:37:53	No	B3M1V1	Yes	No		
150	2021-11-25 21:37:15	No	B3Z1H4	Yes			
151	2021-11-25 21:18:21	No	B3Z1V9	Yes	No		
152	2021-11-25 21:23:33	No	B3m3b6	No			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
153	2021-11-25 17:57:49	Yes	B3T1T3	Yes	Yes	No	With climate change affecting the amount of severe rainfall events being experienced, the current and proposed systems would be overwhelmed in such an event and the contaminated water would then be carried into the streams and lakes in the area, as well as into the water table which then can affect the water quality which many people in the area tap into with deep well pumps.
154	2021-11-25 18:08:41	Yes	B3T1B6	Yes			
155	2021-11-25 18:02:31	No	B3H4B2	Yes	No		
158	2021-11-25 16:58:07	No	B4b1n7	Yes	No		
159	2021-11-25 16:54:01	No	B2V2J9	No			
160	2021-11-25 15:58:11	No	b3n3j2	No			
162	2021-11-25 14:24:02	No	B3Z1H1	Yes	Yes	No	Additionally after each storm event, all erosion control measures are inspected, and, if found to be damaged, are repaired or replaced as soon as possible. BY THEN IT'S TOO LATE!
163	2021-11-25 13:41:47	No	B3z3v7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
164	2021-11-25 13:13:06	Yes	b3T2E6	Yes	Yes	No	leave front end loader
165	2021-11-25 11:09:27	No	B2X2H6	Yes	Yes	No	Removal of Front End Processing will decrease waste separation, thus increasing landfill volume. With increased volume comes increased surface area, and thus more erosional impacts when (not if) engineering controls fail from a storm event.
166	2021-11-25 11:51:38	No	B3Z1L3	Yes	Yes	No	You only have to visit one of the surface lakes to witness the impact. Deviation from the current system will exacerbate the issue.
167	2021-11-25 11:56:50	No	B3L3H3	Yes	Yes	No	
171	2021-11-25 10:09:06	Yes	B3M3K4	Yes			
173	2021-11-25 09:10:29	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes		Again, not sorting is going to lead to additional garbage not being disposed of properly and again ending up in one of the many lakes, streams, wells and eventually the ocean. People will dump if their garbage needs are not met. That is a growing growing problem for HRM
174	2021-11-25 09:25:03	Yes	B3t1s8	Yes	No		
175	2021-11-24 11:06:59	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
176	2021-11-05 17:13:07	No		Yes			
177	2021-11-25 08:59:48	No	B4B1M7	Yes	No		
178	2021-11-25 07:53:11	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes			
179	2021-11-25 08:41:02	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	Yes	No	RDM site, see previous remark.
180	2021-11-10 11:11:55	Yes	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	No	Nothing is foolproof. Water will be contaminated.
182	2021-11-25 07:32:55	No	B3Z1K3	Yes	Yes	No	Same as 19
183	2021-11-25 07:15:20	No	B4A1J6	Yes			
184	2021-11-25 07:12:12	No	B3T1Z2	Yes	Yes	No	What will be done if change is detected. What is the impact of runoff from extreme weather
186	2021-11-25 07:00:57	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	Yes	No	its a dump, dont make it worse adding organics
188	2021-11-25 06:38:15	No	B3L1J9	Yes			
189	2021-11-25 06:37:18	No	B3Z2N2	No			
190	2021-11-25 05:43:05	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	No		
191	2021-11-25 05:43:56	No	B2W2K3	Yes	No		
193	2021-11-24 21:10:46	No	B3Z1M2	Yes			
194	2021-11-24 18:22:24	Yes	B3T0C2	Yes	Yes	No	
195	2021-11-24 18:31:49	No	B3t1v1	Yes	No		
196	2021-11-17 18:34:09	No	B3T1v1	Yes	No		
197	2021-11-24 16:23:03	No	B3T1R3	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
199	2021-11-24 12:20:19	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes			
200	2021-11-24 07:49:10	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
202	2021-11-24 09:29:56	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	If you do not know what goes into the landfill, you cannot ensure you have all processes needed for safe water.
204	2021-11-05 20:14:01	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
205	2021-11-24 08:12:11	Yes	B3T1W6	Yes	Yes	No	
207	2021-11-24 07:19:53	No	B3Z1L4	Yes	Yes	No	We continue to experience heavier rainfalls and storms. I am not confident that these measures have taken into consideration our climate crisis implications.
208	2021-11-24 06:47:37	Yes	B3T2a5	Yes	No		
209	2021-11-24 06:17:21	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	Again still potential for leakage
212	2021-11-16 20:30:04	No	B3m4k9	Yes			
213	2021-11-23 15:04:58	No	B3T2L6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
214	2021-11-23 17:13:18	No	B3T1X5	Yes	No		
215	2021-11-23 16:32:43	No	B3Z1L7	Yes	No		
220	2021-11-23 14:41:29	Yes	B3t1s6	Yes	Yes	No	Honor the contract!
222	2021-11-14 09:01:40	No	B3T1V4	Yes			
223	2021-11-23 12:35:06	Yes	B3Z0K9	Yes	Yes	No	
225	2021-11-05 18:01:51	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes		
226	2021-11-23 11:34:32	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
227	2021-11-23 11:53:20	No	B3T2B2	Yes	Yes	No	
228	2021-11-23 10:59:54	No	B3T1W1	Yes			
229	2021-11-23 11:00:22	No	B3T1X4	Yes			
230	2021-11-05 06:42:04	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	Yes	No	
231	2021-11-23 09:12:02	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	What about the additional risk of blowing debris?
232	2021-11-23 07:54:02	No	B4g1g3	Yes	Yes	No	We are talking about in advance of the cap. How much did you pay for this report?
233	2021-11-23 08:49:27	No	B3t2c9	Yes			
234	2021-11-23 08:34:21	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes			
235	2021-11-15 06:30:45	No	B3T2H2	Yes	Yes	No	We cannot control the weather so let's not put ourselves in a position where we could have an environmental disaster just to save money. We need to invest in protecting our environment no matter what it costs.
238	2021-11-22 17:35:24	No	B3T1S6	Yes			
240	2021-11-22 16:33:36	Yes	B3t0b2	Yes	Yes	No	
242	2021-11-22 15:15:31	No	B4E2R3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
243	2021-11-22 09:15:10	No		Yes			
244	2021-11-22 15:09:14	No	B3T2B5	No			
247	2021-11-22 14:29:02	Yes	B3N3J3	Yes	Yes	No	
248	2021-11-22 13:25:02	No	B3T1V4	Yes			
249	2021-11-17 07:19:08	No		Yes			
250	2021-11-22 11:58:25	No	b3t1z1	Yes	Yes	No	
251	2021-11-22 10:57:01	No	B3T1X2	Yes	Yes	No	Reduced front end processing will surely result in increased contamination of both surface and groundwater supplies
253	2021-11-22 08:56:13	No	B3T1V8	Yes	No		
254	2021-11-22 09:11:43	No	B3t1w3	Yes	Yes	No	This is not acceptable especially with your proposed changes to the front end processing.
255	2021-11-04 16:24:30	No		Yes	No		
257	2021-11-08 07:34:24	No		Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
258	2021-11-22 07:46:11	No		Yes	No		
259	2021-11-21 19:13:42	No	B3T1Y6	Yes	Yes	No	Again, monitoring will catch things after the fact: "... after each storm event, all erosion control measures are inspected, and, if found to be damaged, are repaired or replaced as soon as possible." And then when problems become evident after the fact, they MAY be addressed "As soon as possible" - does not give me any confidence whatsoever. Stick with minimizing possible damage before it happens!
260	2021-11-21 21:35:36	Yes	B3T2H7	Yes	No		
263	2021-11-21 20:04:16	No	B3T2B3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
264	2021-11-21 20:02:01	No	b3n1t3	No			
265	2021-11-21 19:28:10	No	B3Z3W8	Yes			
266	2021-11-21 10:54:40	No	B3T0B5	Yes	Yes	No	
267	2021-11-21 09:15:00	No	B3T1Z8	Yes			
268	2021-11-21 08:38:26	Yes	B3Z1M9	Yes	Yes	No	I think someone is not telling us the complete story.
269	2021-11-21 08:34:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes			
270	2021-11-21 08:08:50	No	B3T1Y6	Yes			
272	2021-11-21 06:37:30	Yes	B3t1s6				
275	2021-11-20 22:19:47	No	B3Z1C7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
276	2021-11-20 22:13:14	No	B3t2b8	Yes			
277	2021-11-20 21:39:54	No	B3T2C9	Yes	No		
278	2021-11-20 20:55:18	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	No		
279	2021-11-20 18:20:13	No	B3T2L1	Yes	No		
281	2021-11-20 14:33:02	No	B3T1X2	Yes	No		
284	2021-11-20 13:01:56	No	B3T1T8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
285	2021-11-20 09:52:20	No	b3t2a4	Yes	Yes	No	why risk removing fep and wsf. money saving noy worth the risk to our city'water supply
287	2021-11-20 06:22:00	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes			
288	2021-11-20 05:22:39	Yes	B3T2L4	Yes	Yes	No	
289	2021-11-19 22:45:53	No	B3t1w5	Yes	Yes	No	Same answer as previous question. There is no way to control where water goes and how much pollution is contained in it.
292	2021-11-19 19:55:05	Yes	B3t1a5	Yes	No		
293	2021-11-19 20:00:21	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes			
297	2021-11-19 17:40:58	Yes	B3t2l6	Yes	Yes	No	
300	2021-11-19 16:24:10	Yes	B3t2e8	Yes	Yes		
301	2021-11-19 15:30:49	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
302	2021-11-19 14:41:19	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	Yes	what is the plan for extreme weather events and over flowing the system. Each year we see more dramatic changes and what safeguards are in place to mitigate.
304	2021-11-19 13:53:50	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes			
306	2021-11-19 13:17:35	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	
307	2021-11-19 12:52:56	Yes	B3T1S8	Yes	Yes	No	erosion inspections should be completed more often
309	2021-11-19 13:13:25	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	No		
310	2021-11-19 12:09:54	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	
312	2021-11-19 11:21:59	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
313	2021-11-19 12:01:38	Yes	B3t0e2	Yes	No		
314	2021-11-19 11:37:55	Yes	B3T0B3	Yes	No		
315	2021-11-19 11:40:51	No	b4e3j4	No			
316	2021-11-19 11:05:54	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
317	2021-11-19 10:51:58	No	B3t2a4	Yes	Yes	No	Testing surface water is too late. Once found , that means it is already a problem and has run off into other areas. As previously stated, climate change and unpredictable weather impacts will breach your measures.
328	2021-11-19 09:26:04	No	B3t1s4	Yes	No		
329	2021-11-19 09:23:53	No	B3T1T7	Yes	No		
330	2021-11-19 09:18:34	No	B3T2E3	No			
331	2021-11-03 14:14:39	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
332	2021-11-19 09:07:23	No	B3z3x7	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
336	2021-11-19 06:57:40	No	B3t1s7	Yes	No		
340	2021-11-16 06:28:52	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
342	2021-11-18 13:01:08	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste should not be buried in a landfill
343	2021-11-06 11:19:16	Yes	B3T1S3	Yes			
344	2021-11-18 08:13:25	No	B3Z1S1	Yes			
348	2021-11-17 22:58:07	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	No		
349	2021-11-17 22:09:54	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes			
350	2021-11-17 21:58:13	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes			
351	2021-11-17 20:45:05	No	B3T1V4				
352	2021-11-17 16:59:54	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	No	Same concerns as above. Catastrophic rain events will increasingly take place, and could overcome the current system in place. A small portion of the landfill is not capped as it accepts waste and if this waste contains an increased proportion of untreated organic waste, polluted run off could happen in a catastrophic rain event. I live near the Nine Mile river estuary.
353	2021-11-17 18:51:05	No	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
354	2021-11-17 18:35:15	Yes	B3T1T1	Yes	No		
356	2021-11-17 17:37:03	Yes	B3T1Z1	Yes	Yes	No	The new proposal breaks the conditions of the contract with the community.... sidestepping part of the process that helps protect the environment in order to cut costs
358	2021-11-17 17:32:46	No	B3t1p9	Yes	Yes	No	Testing only tells you the water is contaminated. Inspection and Separation of waste keeps it out of the landfill in the first place
359	2021-11-17 16:50:01	No	B3t1z5	Yes			
361	2021-11-17 08:21:13	No	B3T0J9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
362	2021-11-17 16:12:46	Yes	B3T1Z5	Yes	Yes	No	
364	2021-11-17 14:48:48	No	B3T1Z7	Yes	Yes	No	Animals destroy equipment that was meant to monitor and prevent leaks into the surrounding streams and rivers. An ecosystem is a very delicate balance and any amount of runoff can have tragic and long lasting effects on those systems and all systems downstream of those areas.
366	2021-11-17 14:03:17	No	B3T1S3	Yes	Yes	No	See previous answer
368	2021-11-17 12:56:04	No	B3T2A3	Yes	Yes	No	Frankly, if you are willing to break the original community agreement about how the site would function, how can we believe any assurances that it will be run responsibly going forward?
369	2021-11-17 12:39:30	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes	Yes	No	
372	2021-11-17 10:06:34	Yes	B3T2B4	Yes	Yes	No	I'm still concerned with impacts to surface water.
373	2021-11-17 09:17:34	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	See previous response
374	2021-11-17 08:29:21	No	B3L2X9	Yes			
375	2021-11-17 07:34:30	No	B3Z1L7	Yes	No		
378	2021-11-17 02:20:42	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
379	2021-11-16 22:06:39	No	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
380	2021-11-16 21:10:18	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
381	2021-11-16 21:18:47	No	B3t1t8	Yes			
382	2021-11-16 21:09:04	No	B4E0H1	Yes			
384	2021-11-06 11:35:33	No	B3T1S6	Yes			
387	2021-11-16 20:28:47	No	B3T2A8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
388	2021-11-16 20:08:46	No	b4e0c5	Yes	Yes	No	
389	2021-11-16 19:53:05	Yes	b3t1m6	Yes	Yes	No	
391	2021-11-16 19:17:01	Yes	B3t1v6	Yes	Yes	No	What if the ground is impacted what about the families impacted.
392	2021-11-16 17:11:00	Yes	B3t1z6	Yes			
394	2021-11-16 16:57:48	Yes	B3T1K6	Yes	Yes	No	
395	2021-11-16 16:47:46	No	B3T1V3	Yes			
396	2021-11-16 16:26:13	No	b3r1y2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
397	2021-11-16 16:09:29	No	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	No	
398	2021-11-16 14:32:29	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
402	2021-11-16 13:43:14	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	
403	2021-11-16 13:20:21	No	B3T2A5	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
404	2021-11-16 13:04:35	Yes	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
405	2021-11-16 13:08:17	No	B3.2g6	Yes			
407	2021-11-16 12:16:47	Yes	B3T1R9	Yes	Yes	No	components have been in a lengthy state of disrepair before, nine mile river is contaminated due to the practices here, why would I think it would get better? the chain lakes and backup city water supply are less than 15 km away towards the ocean.
409	2021-11-16 12:01:52	No	B3T2A3	Yes	Yes	No	
410	2021-11-16 11:28:33	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	No	
411	2021-11-15 19:57:12	No	B3T1Z6	Yes			
412	2021-11-16 11:13:28	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
413	2021-11-16 10:54:56	No	B3T1R2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
418	2021-11-08 04:39:24	No	B3t2b9	No			
419	2021-11-16 09:20:25	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	
420	2021-11-16 09:14:25	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	
421	2021-11-16 08:16:26	No	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	No	Again, I worry that people get lazy, money gets tight and the measures put in place are not followed as described. It can look good on paper but how is it enforced?
422	2021-11-16 08:02:51	No	B3T2A6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
423	2021-11-16 07:03:38	No	B3T1S3	Yes		Yes	
425	2021-11-16 07:17:23	No	B4B0V3	No			
427	2021-11-16 06:40:08	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	Yes	No	
428	2021-11-16 05:15:53	No	B3t1r8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
429	2021-11-16 03:50:44	No	b3t1r2	Yes			
432	2021-11-15 22:24:58	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes			
433	2021-11-15 21:42:51	No	B3z1k6	Yes	Yes	No	More harmful things will end up in the landfill and impact the surface water. Surface water testing parameters are limited and do not test for every potential contaminate of concern.
436	2021-11-15 21:31:56	No	b3t0h5	Yes			
439	2021-11-15 20:24:57	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
442	2021-11-15 20:01:15	Yes	B3j1b6	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
443	2021-11-15 19:21:38	No	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
444	2021-11-15 19:19:56	Yes	B3T0C5	Yes	Yes	No	
445	2021-11-15 19:23:32	No	B3M2Z8	Yes			
447	2021-11-15 19:20:01	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes			
448	2021-11-15 19:18:50	Yes	B3T0C5	Yes			
449	2021-11-15 18:52:28	No	B3T1S7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
451	2021-11-15 19:07:14	Yes	B3T0C3	Yes	Yes	No	
453	2021-11-09 17:16:13	Yes	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	
454	2021-11-15 18:12:10	No	B3T2E4	Yes			
456	2021-11-15 18:00:43	No	B3m1b3	Yes	Yes	No	
457	2021-11-15 17:07:16	No	B3T1S7	Yes	No		
459	2021-11-15 16:33:39	Yes	b3t1n1	Yes	No		
460	2021-11-15 15:34:49	Yes	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes		WOW, only 400 character answers eh?! I've already had to paraphrase everything up to this. Just nonsense!
463	2021-11-04 13:14:52	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes			
467	2021-11-15 15:05:11	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	No		
468	2021-11-15 14:28:53	No	B3T1T5	Yes	Yes	No	Please see my previous comment.
469	2021-11-15 14:37:16	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	No		
470	2021-11-04 13:58:09	No	B3t2I1	Yes	Yes	No	Our community all lives on well water!!!
472	2021-11-15 13:06:30	Yes	B3T1P4	Yes			
474	2021-11-15 11:55:02	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	Yes	I marked yes but this is dependant upon IF monitoring is done sufficiently and acted upon.
475	2021-11-15 12:15:51	Yes	B3t1t5	Yes	Yes	No	
476	2021-11-15 11:06:37	Yes	B3T1A2	Yes	No		
477	2021-11-15 11:09:54	No	B3t1z9	Yes	No		
478	2021-11-02 15:44:15	No	B3t1z9	Yes	No		
479	2021-11-15 10:58:42	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	No		
482	2021-11-15 10:47:45	No	B3T2L1	Yes	No		
483	2021-11-15 10:38:04	No	B3t1z9	Yes	No		
487	2021-11-15 08:33:12	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	More untreated waste = more contaminants.
488	2021-11-15 09:26:01	No		Yes			
490	2021-11-15 08:50:46	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	No		
491	2021-11-15 08:35:24	No	B4A0G5	Yes	No		
492	2021-11-15 08:16:23	No	B3T1W2	Yes	No		
493	2021-11-15 08:06:45	Yes	B3P2S2	Yes	Yes	No	Keep the FEP/WSF. Do not deactivate.
494	2021-11-15 07:51:27	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	Yes	No	Testing and monitoring is great except it is not proactive.
496	2021-11-15 06:25:23	No	b2y2k5	Yes			
497	2021-11-15 06:30:42	No	b3t1s6	Yes			
498	2021-11-15 06:04:16	No	B4A4G9	Yes			
499	2021-11-15 06:00:23	No	B3T1Z4	Yes			
501	2021-11-15 05:17:48	No	B3T2B6	Yes			
502	2021-11-15 04:23:45	No	B3T2B6	Yes			
503	2021-11-14 22:48:09	Yes	B3T1T3	Yes	No		
504	2021-11-14 23:28:13	Yes	B3t1s4	Yes	No		
505	2021-11-14 21:41:33	No	B3L4E9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
507	2021-11-14 21:08:08	Yes	B3Z2R2	Yes			
508	2021-11-14 18:53:01	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	Yes	No	More of the same vague and evasive language ("repaired or replaced as soon as possible" — gives zero assurance this will be done or any way to hold accountable).

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
509	2021-11-14 19:24:07	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	
510	2021-11-14 19:06:31	No	B3z2j2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
511	2021-11-14 18:40:50	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	No		
512	2021-11-14 18:24:35	No	B3z1c5	Yes			
513	2021-11-14 17:48:16	Yes	B3t1C4	Yes	Yes	No	
514	2021-11-14 17:24:20	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	No		
515	2021-11-14 17:13:33	No	B3t1z1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
517	2021-11-14 17:03:48	No	B3T2B7	Yes	No		
518	2021-11-14 16:51:46	No	B3t2c8	Yes	Yes	No	More contaminated water for the wildlife to drink.
519	2021-11-14 16:42:02	No	B3T2a3	Yes	Yes	No	AS I already said, the landfill is next to a river that empties into the bay I live on. We don't need mitigation efforts if the contract that enabled the landfill to be built is honored, which HRM is not intending to do.
520	2021-11-14 16:19:13	Yes	B3t1w1	Yes	No		
521	2021-11-14 16:13:51	No	B3t2h8	Yes	No		
522	2021-11-14 15:41:54	No	B3Z1X7	Yes	No		
523	2021-11-06 17:49:33	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes			
524	2021-11-14 15:16:45	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes			
525	2021-11-14 14:28:12	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes			
526	2021-11-14 14:13:29	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes			
527	2021-11-14 12:59:52	No	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	
529	2021-11-14 12:32:35	Yes	B3T1T7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
530	2021-11-14 12:47:29	No	B3t2a8	No			
531	2021-11-14 12:46:53	Yes	b3t1a9	Yes			
532	2021-11-14 12:15:28	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	No		
533	2021-11-14 12:23:24	Yes	b3t1a5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
534	2021-11-14 11:50:10	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	No	See my response to the previous question - same as groundwater.
535	2021-11-14 10:54:56	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes			
537	2021-11-14 10:24:51	No	B3A2Y6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
538	2021-11-08 06:46:30	Yes	b3t3c9	Yes			
539	2021-11-14 09:41:02	No	b3t2b4	Yes	No		
540	2021-11-14 09:23:15	No	B3L1W1	Yes	No		
541	2021-11-14 09:02:04	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	Governor's Lake will be affected
542	2021-11-14 09:01:11	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes	No	
543	2021-11-14 07:47:50	Yes	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	No	Severe wx which is increasing in intensity combined with increased number will pose a risk to water not being contained in heavy rainfall events.
544	2021-11-14 07:20:45	Yes	B3T1A7	Yes	Yes	No	
545	2021-11-13 19:53:48	Yes	B3T0B6	Yes	Yes	No	
548	2021-11-14 07:04:09	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	
549	2021-11-07 15:48:37	Yes	B3t1w1	Yes	Yes	No	
550	2021-11-14 06:41:46	Yes	B3t0b3	Yes	Yes	No	They is nothing stopping you from not doing this. Put this in your back yard
551	2021-11-14 06:26:48	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	
552	2021-11-14 06:22:05	No	B3k1x3	Yes			
553	2021-11-14 04:19:11	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
554	2021-11-14 04:17:16	No	B4B0Y6	Yes			
555	2021-11-14 02:03:54	Yes	B3L0J3	Yes	Yes	No	
556	2021-11-14 01:17:35	Yes	B3T2J3	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
557	2021-11-14 01:10:03	Yes	B3t1k6	Yes	No		
558	2021-11-13 23:39:07	No	B3Z0E7	Yes			
561	2021-11-13 22:03:06	No	B3T2B4	Yes			
562	2021-11-13 21:58:46	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	
563	2021-11-13 21:07:26	No	B3T1V8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
565	2021-11-13 20:50:36	No	B3N1E3				
568	2021-11-13 19:12:12	Yes	B3R1R4	Yes	No		
569	2021-11-13 18:36:52	No	B3T1W7	Yes	Yes	No	Again please refer to previous answer
570	2021-11-13 18:56:22	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes			
573	2021-11-13 09:09:40	No		Yes	Yes	No	Same as before
576	2021-11-13 16:34:10	No	B3T1W2	Yes	Yes	No	The FEP/WSF prevents hazardous materials from entering the landfill. If the FEP/WSF is deactivated, hazardous materials as well as raw, organic material will enter the landfill unfiltered, which eventually will affect surface water. HRM MUST honour the terms of the 1999 contractual agreement with the Halifax Wastewater Resource Society.
577	2021-11-13 16:15:03	Yes	B3Z1E8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
578	2021-11-13 16:12:11	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	No	
579	2021-11-13 14:52:37	Yes	B3T1W9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
580	2021-11-13 15:28:04	No	B3T1S6	Yes	No		
581	2021-11-13 15:35:18	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes			
582	2021-11-13 15:09:51	Yes	B3T0C7	Yes	Yes	No	
584	2021-11-13 14:20:55	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes	Yes	No	
585	2021-11-13 14:05:39	No	B3t1s3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
586	2021-11-13 13:03:09	Yes	B3t1t7	Yes	Yes	No	
587	2021-11-13 12:49:35	Yes	B3t2h9	Yes			
588	2021-11-13 12:12:35	Yes	B3T1W2	Yes	Yes	No	The proposed approach might be consistent with the existing approach but how can it have no impact with more material going in? These descriptions are dismissive of concerns and make untenable extrapolations and inferences without any good evidence. This amounts to simply hoping there will be no impacts to surface water and that is not good enough. We swim and boat in the local surface water.
589	2021-11-13 12:34:33	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	No	
590	2021-11-13 12:34:26	No	B3T1R2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
591	2021-11-12 10:59:07	No	B3T1W3	Yes			
592	2021-11-13 11:45:10	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Trash will leech into our surrounding water bodies
594	2021-11-13 11:35:51	No	B3T2A6	Yes			
595	2021-11-13 11:23:25	No	B3t1s1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
596	2021-11-13 11:27:25	No	B3T1W9	Yes	No		
598	2021-11-13 09:13:42	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	No		
599	2021-11-13 10:52:22	Yes	B3t1v8	Yes			
601	2021-11-04 08:30:06	No	B3t1y6	Yes	Yes	No	Talk is cheap!
602	2021-11-13 09:10:48	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	Again everything assumes only current waste mix will continue to be dumped.
603	2021-11-13 08:49:57	Yes	B3T0H6	Yes			
605	2021-11-08 10:53:05	Yes	B3T1T8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
607	2021-11-13 08:42:24	No	B3T1T9	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
608	2021-11-13 08:43:32	No	B3T1V8	Yes			
609	2021-11-13 08:37:22	No	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
613	2021-11-13 08:01:46	No	B3T1T3	Yes			
614	2021-11-13 07:52:06	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	No		
615	2021-11-13 07:29:43	Yes	B3Z0N9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
616	2021-11-12 10:15:32	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes	No	
617	2021-11-13 07:32:01	No	B3r1z3	Yes	No		
618	2021-11-13 07:12:01	No	B3Z3A5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
619	2021-11-13 07:22:29	No	B3t1y5	Yes			
620	2021-11-13 07:24:17	No	B3T1T8	Yes			
621	2021-11-13 06:57:29	No	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
622	2021-11-13 06:34:34	No	B0J2C0	Yes	Yes	Yes	
623	2021-11-13 06:11:22	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	
624	2021-11-13 05:23:59	No	B3T1Y1	Yes			
625	2021-11-13 04:38:15	No	B3n1g2	Yes			
628	2021-11-12 22:32:48	No	B3T1V2	Yes	No		
630	2021-11-12 21:34:52	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	No		
632	2021-11-12 21:45:13	No	B3t2b8	Yes			
635	2021-11-12 20:55:35	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	The FEP/WSF being in operation was a guarantee to communities, like Hatchet Lake Brookside, that the potential for leachate issues that would contaminate our drinking water downstream of Otter Lake would not manifest. Organic waste should not be buried in the landfill.
636	2021-11-12 20:53:35	Yes	B3t0e1	Yes	No		
637	2021-11-12 20:31:03	No	B3L3W3	Yes			
638	2021-11-12 20:33:57	No	B3Z0N9	Yes			
639	2021-11-12 19:53:27	Yes	B3T2L1	Yes	No		
640	2021-11-12 20:10:10	Yes	B3T2G2	Yes	Yes	No	Please do not deactivate the FEP/WSF.
641	2021-11-12 19:54:56	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	
642	2021-11-12 19:51:56	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	No		
644	2021-11-04 15:18:44	No	B3T1T3	Yes			
645	2021-11-12 19:18:14	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	Organic should should not be put into the landfill
647	2021-11-12 18:58:13	No	B3T1X7	Yes	No		
648	2021-11-12 19:00:02	No	B3R1Z6	Yes			
649	2021-11-12 18:45:16	No	B3T2L1	Yes	No		
650	2021-11-12 18:45:15	No	B3t2a6	Yes			
651	2021-11-12 18:39:00	No	B3T2B2	No			
653	2021-11-09 15:44:21	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
654	2021-11-12 17:58:39	No	B3t1s5	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
655	2021-11-12 18:04:48	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes		
658	2021-11-12 17:20:52	Yes	B3t1r8	Yes			
660	2021-11-12 16:54:50	Yes	B3t1t4	Yes	No		
661	2021-11-12 16:50:26	No	B3t1p7	Yes	Yes	No	This area is literally surrounded by lakes. Every measure must be taken to ensure their safety
662	2021-11-12 16:11:02	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes	Yes	No	Just doesn't seem right that the city can do this to our area. We have beautiful trails, parks, lakes in our area. Why would you put those things at risk?
663	2021-11-12 16:22:48	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes	No	
664	2021-11-05 11:03:02	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
665	2021-11-12 16:13:09	Yes	B3t1a2	Yes	Yes	No	
666	2021-11-12 15:58:30	No	B3T2B9	Yes	Yes	No	
668	2021-11-12 14:45:10	Yes	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	No	
669	2021-11-12 14:09:19	No	B3Z0C8	Yes	Yes	No	It is good that we currently take care of the surface water this way. The issue is not what we are currently doing - but what we intend to put into the cells (unseparated) and how that might effect the surface water - what are you going to do when unseparated material compromises the water - what are you going to do with that!
673	2021-11-12 13:57:18	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	No	
675	2021-11-12 13:46:14	No					
677	2021-11-12 13:27:53	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes		No	This survey is leading and directing a response and does allow for important concerns to be addressed
678	2021-11-12 13:08:59	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	No	More waste needs more methods to control it.
679	2021-11-12 13:23:55	No					
685	2021-11-12 11:19:12	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
687	2021-11-04 13:53:39	No	B3T1T9	Yes			
688	2021-11-12 11:55:18	No	B3T1B8	Yes			
689	2021-11-12 11:21:27	Yes	B3t0k4	Yes	Yes	No	Unclear how this will help
690	2021-11-12 11:36:23	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes			
692	2021-11-12 11:31:12	Yes	B3t0c4	Yes	Yes	No	
693	2021-11-12 11:32:34	Yes	B3t2g2	Yes	Yes	No	
695	2021-11-09 14:58:14	No	B3M3Y5	Yes	Yes	No	The landfill is situated between two watersheds; the Nine Mile River Watershed and the Prospect River Watershed, the risk of contamination is always there. See [REDACTED] letter of November 8, 2021
696	2021-11-12 10:56:26	No	B3T2B3	Yes	No		
698	2021-11-12 10:45:38	No	B3t2b4	Yes			
699	2021-11-05 07:51:24	No		Yes	Yes	No	With de-activation, No off-site studies on water quality will be deemed necessary?
701	2021-11-12 10:20:55	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	More garbage less precautions water more threatened
702	2021-11-12 10:16:59	Yes	B3t1a9	Yes			
704	2021-11-12 09:41:35	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	No	Why are you even considering taking it away???
707	2021-11-12 09:44:13	Yes	B3T0B6	Yes	Yes		Not good enough
708	2021-11-12 09:47:42	No	B3T1R7	Yes			
709	2021-11-12 09:43:26	No	B3t0b2	Yes	Yes	No	
712	2021-11-12 09:41:22	No	B3T1X5	Yes	No		
713	2021-11-12 09:40:17	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes			
715	2021-11-12 09:10:33	No	B3T1W6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
717	2021-11-12 09:26:35	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	Water is bad now.
719	2021-11-12 09:06:45	Yes	B3t2a2	Yes		No	
720	2021-11-12 08:33:02	No	B3Z3X1	Yes	Yes	No	Wild animals, birds and pets drink from puddles and streams. Eventually surface water seeps into the ground making it's way into wells.
721	2021-11-12 08:18:26	No	B3T2A8	Yes		I do not have any concerns	
723	2021-11-12 08:36:35	Yes	B3T2K5	Yes			
725	2021-11-12 08:27:32	Yes	B3T0C2	Yes	No		
726	2021-11-12 08:20:28	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	No		
728	2021-11-12 08:30:51	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
730	2021-11-12 08:11:33	No	B3t2a8	Yes	Yes	No	
732	2021-11-12 07:03:31	Yes	B3t1t5	Yes	No		
733	2021-11-11 22:26:20	Yes	B3t1c3	Yes	Yes	No	
735	2021-11-11 19:08:24	Yes	B3t1k2	Yes	Yes	No	Bird shit
736	2021-11-11 18:30:49	No	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	No	IDENTIFIED? They have not been identified as the deactivation FEP/WSF has not begun. If it has, once again you have broken a community contract and are liable for misrepresenting this decision.
739	2021-11-11 18:00:07	No	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	No	Without regular public access to see that these measures are in fact being taken it is hard to believe
741	2021-11-11 18:03:23	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes			
743	2021-11-11 16:40:58	Yes	B3T1R9	Yes	No		
745	2021-11-11 15:03:16	No	B3T2A4	Yes	Yes	Yes	Please read my previous concerns. I do not want to risk breaking a system that is working very well.
746	2021-11-11 13:12:54	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
747	2021-11-11 15:23:58	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes			
748	2021-11-11 14:02:48	No	B3t1t8	Yes	Yes		
749	2021-11-11 13:16:05	No	B3T2J7	Yes	Yes		
751	2021-11-11 13:09:16	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes			
752	2021-11-11 13:01:23	No	B3T1W3	Yes			
753	2021-11-11 12:02:06	Yes	B3t2b2	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe anything hrm tells me I pay to much tax and I watch you install terrible play structures that waste money and kids hates
757	2021-11-11 11:49:47	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	No		
759	2021-11-11 11:38:35	Yes	B3T1C3	No			
760	2021-11-11 11:30:14	No	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	No	
761	2021-11-11 11:00:27	Yes	B3T1C3	Yes	Yes	No	
762	2021-11-11 10:05:48	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	Concerned still about how the runoff could affect the lakes.
763	2021-11-11 08:28:05	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	I'm still concerned that the runoff will effect our rivers and lakes. We are downhill of the facility and the risk of it affecting our waters is a factor.
767	2021-11-11 09:11:03	No	B3t2a1	Yes	No		
768	2021-11-11 08:46:55	No	B3t1p7	Yes	No		
769	2021-11-11 08:06:36	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	No		
770	2021-11-11 08:17:33	Yes	B3t1r9	Yes	Yes	No	Where is the countering point of view in this survey. This methodology is corrupt.
771	2021-11-11 08:21:43	No	B0J2C0	Yes			
772	2021-11-11 08:18:25	Yes	B3M0J9	Yes	Yes	No	
773	2021-11-11 07:42:15	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	No	If household hazardous waste does not get sorted, it can get in the surface water. It's too late when the river and any surrounding bodies of water become contaminated
774	2021-11-11 07:03:07	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	I have heard the water quality of Indian Lake was reduced and swimming is no longer safe. The measures are not adequate.
775	2021-11-10 14:02:24	Yes	B3t0e4	Yes			
776	2021-11-11 07:05:09	Yes	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
777	2021-11-11 06:46:00	No	B3t1y7	Yes			
778	2021-11-11 06:28:21	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	There is weird algae growing in Indian lake. It was not there before the land fill?
779	2021-11-11 06:43:21	No	B3t2b6	Yes	No		
780	2021-11-11 06:39:32	No	B3T1V3	Yes	No		
781	2021-11-11 06:39:09	Yes	B3T1v3	Yes			
782	2021-11-11 06:38:52	Yes	B3T0C7	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
783	2021-11-11 06:31:00	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	
784	2021-11-11 05:59:37	No	B3Z1L6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
785	2021-11-11 06:00:30	No	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	
788	2021-11-10 23:24:38	Yes	B3T1W2				
789	2021-11-10 22:36:27	Yes	B3T0C7	Yes			
790	2021-11-10 21:14:36	Yes	B3T1C4	No			
795	2021-11-10 18:39:43	No	B3t1w9	Yes	No		
798	2021-11-10 19:03:32	Yes	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	No	Never doing enough to protect our waterways move to a new location
799	2021-11-10 18:54:45	No	B3V1E5	Yes	No		
800	2021-11-10 18:25:49	Yes	B3T2B1	Yes	Yes	Yes	What action will you take if your current system fails
801	2021-11-06 14:25:32	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	No	Fact that you are not separating the waste will have an increased impact on the surface water.
804	2021-11-10 18:01:15	No	B3T1W9	Yes	No		
805	2021-11-10 16:59:47	No	B3t2b8	Yes	No		
806	2021-11-10 17:02:48	Yes	B3t1w6	Yes	Yes	No	
807	2021-11-10 15:00:14	No	B3T1X1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
808	2021-11-10 17:23:49	No	B0N1L0	Yes			
809	2021-11-10 17:14:41	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	Yes	No	Still not adhering to original agreement.
810	2021-11-10 17:10:28	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	
812	2021-11-10 16:45:10	No		No			
814	2021-11-10 15:52:29	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes			
815	2021-11-10 15:06:22	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
816	2021-11-10 15:16:00	No	B3T1S4	Yes			
817	2021-11-10 15:09:41	No	B3T1W6	Yes			
818	2021-11-10 14:33:05	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	No		
819	2021-11-10 14:33:26	Yes	B3T2K8	Yes	No		
820	2021-11-10 14:24:27	No	B3T1S6	Yes			
823	2021-11-10 13:33:49	No	B0J1T0	Yes	Yes	Yes	
826	2021-11-10 12:33:58	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes	No		
827	2021-11-10 11:29:56	No	B3T1V1	Yes	Yes	No	with additional organics directly in the landfill, I believe that the potential to pollute surface water is increased, Nine Mile River is already polluted, and additional pollution is not acceptable
828	2021-11-10 12:07:40	No	B3T1Z7	Yes			
829	2021-11-10 11:47:43	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	No		
830	2021-11-10 11:50:45	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
832	2021-11-04 18:40:19	No	B3T1X3	Yes	Yes	No	
833	2021-11-10 10:27:02	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	there is no mitigation here for the additional volume of waste that will fill the cells faster
834	2021-11-10 10:36:38	Yes	B3T1K5	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
835	2021-11-10 10:51:19	Yes	B3t1z5	Yes			
836	2021-11-04 15:05:28	Yes	b3t1r8	Yes			
837	2021-11-10 09:43:11	No	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
838	2021-11-10 10:01:07	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes	Yes	No	Although honest efforts can be claimed regarding surface water. Regulations are not strong enough in this province. I live near the river below Brunello estates. They followed all such "regulation" yet the river here is essentially "dead".

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
839	2021-11-10 09:38:48	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	There will be a much higher percentage of deteriorated surface water quality with the addition of more unsorted/untreated waste in the landfill
840	2021-11-10 09:18:17	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
841	2021-11-10 08:57:45	No	b3t2l1	Yes	Yes	No	Changing the process of disposal to increase volume and reduce processing without changing the approach to water quality testing is irresponsible.
843	2021-11-09 10:53:27	No	B3T2B5	Yes	No		
844	2021-11-10 08:24:45	No	b3t1z2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
847	2021-11-10 08:23:29	No	B3P2J3	No			
848	2021-11-10 08:21:46	Yes	B3Z0N9	Yes			
849	2021-11-10 07:38:55	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	No	Already untreated water concerns in the Nine Mile River..
850	2021-11-10 07:44:45	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	No		
851	2021-11-10 07:51:24	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	No		
852	2021-11-10 07:46:12	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	Sackville! Why would we trust you know. Leave it alone. Close it!
853	2021-11-10 07:19:40	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Stick to your original agreement
856	2021-11-10 07:17:17	No	B4C4H4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
857	2021-11-10 07:12:40	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	Yes	No	
858	2021-11-10 06:32:23	No	B3t1x2	Yes	No		
861	2021-11-04 10:46:19	Yes	B3t1b8	Yes	Yes	No	
862	2021-11-10 06:39:16	Yes	bek3x4	No			
863	2021-11-10 06:01:29	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	No		
870	2021-11-09 21:16:37	No	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	Is there currently any monitoring of run off or groundwater? What happens to the runoff of toxic waste and chemical breakdown?
876	2021-11-09 20:32:29	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes		No	Your selling a false narrative. We agreed to have the facility for a set time frame and for a set system. Keep your contract with the community.
878	2021-11-09 20:21:20	Yes	B3T1S6	No			
879	2021-11-09 19:57:59	Yes	B3T2G2	Yes	Yes	No	Don't believe a word that is printed in your information - So biased
880	2021-11-09 20:07:42	No	B3T1X5	Yes			
881	2021-11-09 19:46:50	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	in and lives and the environment will pay the price
882	2021-11-09 19:44:51	No	B3T1Y4	Yes	Yes	No	Water will eventually get contaminated
883	2021-11-09 19:26:51	No	B3T1W1	Yes	No		
884	2021-11-09 19:22:19	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
885	2021-11-09 19:33:14	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
887	2021-11-09 19:29:36	No	B3Z4B6	No			
888	2021-11-09 19:17:55	No	B3T2C3	Yes	No		
889	2021-11-09 19:15:21	Yes	B3T2A3	Yes	No		
890	2021-11-08 12:55:59	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes			
892	2021-11-09 18:48:02	No	B3S0G5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
895	2021-11-09 18:19:35	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	Yes	No	
900	2021-11-09 17:14:50	No	B3T1W5	Yes	Yes	No	You committed to having front end processing. That front end keeps the landfill safe. Do what you promised you would do and stop trying to poison our homes, wildlife, nature, and neighborhoods.
901	2021-11-09 17:15:45	Yes	B3t0c8	Yes	Yes	No	It is all going to be fine according to you. Until it is not. And then who's problem will it be? Pretty sure you will be nowhere to be found
905	2021-11-09 16:52:54	No	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
906	2021-11-09 16:41:54	No	B3N2R7	Yes	Yes	No	It will not be sufficient

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
907	2021-11-09 16:30:43	No	B3T1Z2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
908	2021-11-09 16:42:52	Yes	B3T1V4	Yes	No		
911	2021-11-09 16:09:21	No	B3t1z4	Yes	Yes	No	Same as the previous question. Too many rivers and lakes will be impacted.
913	2021-11-09 15:56:25	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	No		
914	2021-11-09 15:44:59	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	How come I was never contacted by a representative?
915	2021-11-09 14:47:57	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	No		
917	2021-11-09 15:33:29	No	B3T1Z1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
918	2021-11-09 15:20:00	No	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	No	This does not address the future. Only past and present.
920	2021-11-09 15:32:45	No	123123	No			
921	2021-11-09 15:16:20	Yes	b3t1n2	Yes	Yes	No	monitoring will not stop spills and the risk is increased with direct landfill dumping
922	2021-11-09 15:17:57	No	B3T1W5	Yes	No		
923	2021-11-09 15:12:54	Yes	B3T0C4	Yes	No		
925	2021-11-09 14:48:21	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
926	2021-11-09 14:50:02	Yes	b3t2j7	Yes	Yes	No	
927	2021-11-09 14:50:10	Yes	B3T0G7	Yes	Yes	No	
928	2021-11-07 16:12:09	No	B3T1W9	Yes	No		
932	2021-11-09 13:51:42	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes	No	
934	2021-11-03 16:19:08	No	B3l2y8	Yes			
935	2021-11-09 08:42:24	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	Lakes and rivers all flow somewhere!
937	2021-11-09 13:43:34	No	B3Z3J2	Yes			
938	2021-11-09 13:30:26	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	No		
939	2021-11-09 13:29:52	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
940	2021-11-09 13:29:51	No		Yes			
942	2021-11-09 13:22:57	Yes	B3t1a4	Yes	No		
943	2021-11-02 20:30:22	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	
945	2021-11-09 12:58:12	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
947	2021-11-09 12:19:14	No	B3T2B1	Yes	Yes	No	Present monitoring has proven existing processing works? Dont change the present processing and monitoring will continue to benchmark how effective present processing works.
949	2021-11-09 12:26:37	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	Yes	No	
951	2021-11-09 12:02:12	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	To much risk no trust monitored properly and proposals safe enough
952	2021-11-09 11:59:04	Yes	B3t0c8	Yes	Yes	No	Next
953	2021-11-09 11:57:23	No	B3T1Y1	Yes	Yes	No	
955	2021-11-09 11:52:04	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	dont trust it will be safe.
960	2021-11-09 11:29:04	Yes	B3t1k9	Yes	Yes	No	Everything to make it sound okay isn't okay .
963	2021-11-09 11:28:38	Yes	B3T1V1	Yes			
968	2021-11-09 10:53:05	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	I think this is about saving money for the city ,what happens if the budget for monitoring is cut
969	2021-11-09 10:51:16	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes	Yes	No	Too late once the damage is done. Climate change is the best example I can think of.
971	2021-11-09 10:53:34	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes			
972	2021-11-09 10:49:14	Yes	B3t1a4	Yes			
974	2021-11-09 09:58:25	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	Yes	No	Surface water quality is always of a concern
976	2021-11-09 09:25:11	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
977	2021-11-09 09:23:40	No	B4A2E6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
979	2021-11-09 08:42:39	No	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
980	2021-11-09 08:01:33	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
981	2021-11-09 08:41:25	No	B3T1Y3	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
982	2021-11-09 08:23:25	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
983	2021-11-09 08:09:01	No	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
984	2021-11-09 08:02:52	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	No		
986	2021-11-09 07:12:32	Yes	B3T2K5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
988	2021-11-09 07:25:51	No	B3T1W3	Yes	Yes	No	It's still very dependant on climate conditions and ability to keep up with maintenance.
994	2021-11-09 06:08:21	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes			
995	2021-11-09 05:54:57	Yes	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
996	2021-11-09 05:38:15	No	B3T2J7	Yes	Yes	No	
997	2021-11-09 04:57:24	No	B3T1W4	Yes	Yes	No	
1001	2021-11-08 22:21:42	No	B3T1S5				
1005	2021-11-08 08:40:32	No	B3t1b4	Yes	Yes	No	
1007	2021-11-08 20:54:32	Yes	B3T2B5	Yes			
1009	2021-11-08 20:37:11	No	B3T2C9	No			
1012	2021-11-08 19:54:39	No	B3T2J8	Yes	No		
1014	2021-11-04 22:00:27	No	B2T1H7	Yes	No		
1016	2021-11-08 19:50:23	Yes	B3T1R4	No			
1017	2021-11-08 19:34:39	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1018	2021-11-08 19:30:52	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	No	
1019	2021-11-08 18:59:19	No	B3z3s9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1020	2021-11-07 15:48:42	No	B3T1Z9	Yes			
1021	2021-11-08 18:58:30	Yes	b3t1v1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1022	2021-11-08 18:26:23	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	Opposed to deactivating the FEP/WSF which has protected this specific item
1024	2021-11-08 18:30:53	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1026	2021-11-08 18:08:04	No		Yes		No	Absolutely do not trust the operators and the politicians in charge. Look at what they have done to deconstruct this "state of the art" landfill!!!!. Folks down the nine mile river have always feared with time the promises would be forgotten and they would have to worry about wells and polluted rivers
1028	2021-11-08 17:55:33	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	Yes	No	
1029	2021-11-08 17:21:04	No	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	
1034	2021-11-08 17:28:05	No	B3R1R4	Yes			
1035	2021-11-04 09:30:06	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes			
1036	2021-11-08 17:13:22	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	
1039	2021-11-08 17:05:47	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1041	2021-11-08 16:47:19	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1042	2021-11-08 16:47:41	No	B3T1W3	Yes	Yes	No	
1045	2021-11-08 16:32:35	No	B3Z1Z6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1046	2021-11-08 16:34:44	No	B3t1z2	Yes	No		
1049	2021-11-08 16:19:31	No	B3t1s7	Yes	No		
1050	2021-11-08 16:14:16	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1051	2021-11-08 15:46:53	Yes	B3t2g5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1054	2021-11-08 11:54:40	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	No		
1055	2021-11-08 15:31:01	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes			
1056	2021-11-08 14:49:55	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
1058	2021-11-08 14:54:19	No	B3T2B2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1060	2021-11-08 14:03:38	Yes	B3T1S3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1061	2021-11-08 14:17:43	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1063	2021-11-08 13:59:50	No	B3T2A2	Yes	Yes	No	My experience with monitoring is not positive, fails with budget cutbacks
1064	2021-11-08 13:13:47	Yes	B3T1R2	Yes	Yes	No	While no on or off-site impacts due to surface water quality have been identified with the proposed deactivation, it does translate to a willingness of the community to chance the risk considering that everyone is using a well for their drinking water.
1065	2021-11-08 13:38:16	No	B3T1ZI	Yes	No		
1066	2021-11-08 13:41:11	No	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	
1067	2021-11-03 18:18:40	No	B3T0E7	Yes			
1069	2021-11-08 12:37:43	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes			
1071	2021-11-08 12:17:34	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	This water runs into the Nine Mile River and there won't be any problems. Not sold on this!!!!
1075	2021-11-08 12:12:12	Yes	B3t2g8	Yes	Yes	No	
1076	2021-11-08 11:56:11	No	B3Z1L6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1077	2021-11-08 11:27:30	Yes	B3t1s5	Yes	Yes	No	See previous answer
1080	2021-11-08 09:34:59	No	a1a2b2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1082	2021-11-08 07:49:18	Yes	B3T1z1	Yes			
1088	2021-11-08 10:35:01	No	B3T1T9	Yes	No		
1089	2021-11-08 10:28:53	No	B3S1K9	Yes	No		
1090	2021-11-08 10:17:42	No	B3t0a2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1092	2021-11-08 09:55:37	No	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1093	2021-11-08 09:42:08	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	No	
1094	2021-11-08 09:24:29	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	
1095	2021-11-08 09:19:28	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	No		
1096	2021-11-08 08:49:35	Yes	B3T1Z9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1097	2021-11-08 08:51:08	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	No		
1098	2021-11-08 09:19:38	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1101	2021-11-08 08:49:26	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	No		
1102	2021-11-08 08:53:00	Yes	B3T3J5	Yes			
1103	2021-11-08 08:48:10	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1104	2021-11-08 08:51:21	No	B3t1w5	No			
1105	2021-11-08 08:20:52	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	I believe that the extra stress on the system will cause ongoing problems.
1107	2021-11-08 08:42:38	Yes	B3T1P7				
1108	2021-11-08 08:42:39	No	B3T1Z6	No			
1109	2021-11-08 08:18:26	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	We dont know what will end up in our water system by removing these systems.
1110	2021-11-08 08:08:00	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	No	Maybe they should inspect the pipes and groundwater erosion measures before a storm and not after the storm. It's a little too late then it's already gone into into the river
1112	2021-11-08 08:28:11	No	B3T2A7				
1113	2021-11-08 08:14:20	No	B3Z2X3	Yes	Yes	No	
1114	2021-11-08 08:16:52	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes			
1115	2021-11-08 07:46:17	Yes	B3t1v4	Yes	Yes	No	What happens is the water becomes contaminated in the future? Current testing is based on the current processing in place but could there be issues following the closure of processing facilities?
1116	2021-11-03 20:51:51	No	B3t1x8	Yes	No		
1117	2021-11-07 20:19:40	No	B4E1P1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1118	2021-11-08 07:52:44	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1119	2021-11-08 07:38:03	Yes	B3t1a8	Yes			
1120	2021-11-08 07:36:04	Yes	B3t1m6	Yes	Yes	No	More garbage and organics will result in more chemicals leaching into the ground water.
1121	2021-11-08 07:45:21	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes			
1122	2021-11-08 07:23:00	No	b3t2a7	Yes	Yes	No	This must be carefully monitored to protect people and wildlife
1123	2021-11-08 07:07:18	Yes	B3t2j5	Yes	Yes	No	
1124	2021-11-08 06:46:08	Yes	B3T154	Yes	Yes	No	as I said when it ruins the water it will take time to correct and our well water will be effected. We will have to wait to have this fixed.
1126	2021-11-08 06:55:22	Yes	B3T1C3	Yes			
1127	2021-11-08 04:58:03	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes	No	It all start from the beginning with the process we have in place with the FSP and the WSF taking out as much of the unwanted material as possible this is the only way to make sure we have clean water
1128	2021-11-08 05:57:54	Yes	B3t1r2	Yes	Yes	No	
1129	2021-11-08 05:45:23	No	B3T2X2	Yes			
1130	2021-11-08 05:32:56	No	B3T2L4	Yes	Yes	No	
1131	2021-11-08 05:12:32	No	B3T1P9	Yes	No		
1132	2021-11-08 04:31:17	Yes	B3T0E7	Yes	No		
1133	2021-11-08 04:33:18	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes			
1134	2021-11-07 21:14:42	Yes	B3t1c9	Yes	Yes	No	
1137	2021-11-07 20:48:19	Yes	B3t1p4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1139	2021-11-07 20:55:15	Yes	B3l1p7	Yes			
1140	2021-11-07 20:25:53	No	b3t1z2	Yes	No		
1142	2021-11-07 20:00:50	Yes	B3T152	Yes			
1143	2021-11-07 19:37:12	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	Yes	No	This will not good at all
1144	2021-11-07 19:34:37	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	No		
1145	2021-11-07 19:37:41	No	B3z1l8	No			
1147	2021-11-07 19:15:47	No	B2T1W4				
1149	2021-11-07 18:11:44	No	B3T2A2	Yes	Yes	No	Ignores the fact that so much is preemptively removed through the FEP/WSF
1151	2021-11-07 18:17:57	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
1152	2021-11-07 18:15:55	No	B3t2k8	Yes	Yes	No	Noone I looking to see what is being dumped
1155	2021-11-07 16:51:42	Yes	b3t1p3	Yes	No		
1157	2021-11-07 17:18:42	No	B3T2B5	Yes	No		
1158	2021-11-07 17:15:21	Yes	B3T0B5	Yes	Yes	No	
1159	2021-11-07 17:13:31	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1160	2021-11-07 17:11:12	No	B3t1r5	Yes			
1161	2021-11-07 16:38:52	No	B0N1Z0	Yes			
1163	2021-11-07 16:19:55	No	B3T1R3	No			
1165	2021-11-07 15:31:58	Yes	B3t1b4	No			
1167	2021-11-07 15:25:21	No	B3z3w9	Yes	No		
1169	2021-11-07 15:06:35	Yes	B3t1z9	Yes	Yes		
1170	2021-11-07 15:00:46	No	B3T1V2	Yes			
1172	2021-11-07 14:52:12	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	
1173	2021-11-07 14:46:22	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
1174	2021-11-07 14:42:21	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	
1175	2021-11-07 14:41:01	No	B3T0H6	Yes			
1176	2021-11-07 14:20:30	No	B3t1s1	Yes	Yes	No	Don't believe it
1178	2021-11-07 14:27:42	Yes	B3T0B4	Yes			
1181	2021-11-07 13:57:16	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	No	
1182	2021-11-07 13:55:59	Yes	B3T2K8	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1183	2021-11-07 13:53:13	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes			
1184	2021-11-07 13:37:11	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1185	2021-11-07 13:27:30	No	B3T0B6	Yes	No		
1187	2021-11-07 12:08:35	Yes	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1188	2021-11-07 12:49:52	No	B3Z3W1	Yes	Yes	No	I have concerns that less front end screening of garbage could allow more hazardous waste to slip into the landfill and that it could eventually contaminate Nine Mile River and its downstream lakes.
1189	2021-11-07 12:44:35	No	B3T1P7	Yes			
1190	2021-11-07 12:35:22	No	B3t2a3	No			
1191	2021-11-07 11:49:44	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1192	2021-11-07 11:56:57	No	B3Z3w8	Yes			
1193	2021-11-07 11:36:13	No	B3T1Z6	Yes			
1194	2021-11-07 11:13:44	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes			
1197	2021-11-07 11:03:59	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	
1198	2021-11-07 11:06:07	No	b3t2a4	Yes			
1199	2021-11-07 10:51:01	No	B3T2B4	Yes	Yes	No	
1200	2021-11-07 10:56:06	No	B3t2a7	Yes			Not sure
1201	2021-11-07 10:24:38	Yes	B3T2A4	Yes	Yes	No	Monitoring will not prevent anything. It is a reactive process. Unacceptable.
1202	2021-11-07 10:37:11	No	B3T1T7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1203	2021-11-07 10:25:31	Yes	B3T2A4	Yes	Yes	No	
1205	2021-11-07 10:05:30	No	B3T2A8	Yes	Yes	No	
1206	2021-11-07 09:39:06	Yes	b3t0j3	Yes	Yes	No	
1208	2021-11-07 09:43:08	No	B3T0A2	Yes			
1211	2021-11-07 09:03:00	No	B3T1W3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1214	2021-11-07 08:26:41	No	B3T1R8	Yes	No		
1215	2021-11-07 08:21:25	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	No		
1216	2021-11-07 08:04:04	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1217	2021-11-07 08:01:00	Yes	B3t1a5	Yes	Yes	No	
1219	2021-11-07 07:22:35	Yes	B3t2h9	Yes	No		
1221	2021-11-07 06:19:51	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1222	2021-11-07 06:59:44	No	b2v2g5	Yes	No		
1223	2021-11-07 06:41:30	No	B3t1y6				
1224	2021-11-07 06:19:20	No	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1225	2021-11-07 06:29:25	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	No		
1229	2021-11-03 23:07:03	Yes	B3t1n1	Yes	Yes	No	
1230	2021-11-07 05:57:59	No	B3T1A4	Yes			
1232	2021-11-07 05:28:05	No	B3T1R6	Yes			
1233	2021-11-06 23:19:15	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	Yes	See previous answer. I do hope this continues however fear that once contaminated, not much can be done to clean/restore water ways
1236	2021-11-06 22:34:36	Yes	B3T1S1	Yes	No		
1239	2021-11-06 21:44:24	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1240	2021-11-06 21:09:24	Yes	B3T1P9	Yes			
1241	2021-11-06 20:51:38	No	B3T2B8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1242	2021-11-06 20:24:42	No	B3T2C9	Yes	Yes	No	Read response in NO 24 as it is inclusive with this point.
1244	2021-11-06 20:44:41	No	B3T0B6	Yes	No		
1246	2021-11-04 18:10:53	Yes	B3S1N5	Yes			
1250	2021-11-06 19:43:28	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1252	2021-11-06 19:10:42	No	B4a3x8	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1253	2021-11-06 19:07:34	No	B3T1Y3	Yes			
1254	2021-11-06 18:59:26	Yes	B3T1P4	Yes	Yes	No	
1258	2021-11-06 18:14:13	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	No		
1259	2021-11-06 18:05:21	No	B3T2B5	Yes	No		
1260	2021-11-06 18:06:15	No	B3T2J1	Yes			
1261	2021-11-06 17:52:36	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	No		
1263	2021-11-06 17:44:19	Yes	B3t1b6	Yes	Yes	No	
1268	2021-11-06 16:26:12	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	No		
1270	2021-11-06 16:08:55	No	b3k3m2	Yes	No		
1271	2021-11-06 16:01:23	Yes	B3T0K1	Yes	No		
1273	2021-11-06 15:49:32	No	B3K3M2	Yes	No		
1274	2021-11-06 15:34:47	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	No	I'm not convinced this would help.
1275	2021-11-06 15:27:16	No	B3T1S8	Yes	No		
1276	2021-11-06 15:16:29	No	B3t0b4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1279	2021-11-06 14:37:38	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes			
1280	2021-11-06 14:03:21	No	B3T1S2	Yes	No		
1281	2021-11-06 13:24:18	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1282	2021-11-06 13:48:43	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	No		
1285	2021-11-06 12:39:43	Yes	B3t1r8	Yes	Yes	No	Leachate can percolate up to surface water
1287	2021-11-06 12:40:43	No	B3T2H3	Yes	No		
1288	2021-11-06 11:29:01	No	b3z1m3	Yes	No		
1289	2021-11-06 11:54:35	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes	Yes	No	There are lot of lakes around this area that may be impacted.
1293	2021-11-06 11:37:59	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	No	trust
1294	2021-11-06 11:47:56	Yes	B3S1S3	No			
1295	2021-11-06 11:35:28	No	B3t2a8	Yes	Yes	No	
1296	2021-11-06 11:30:28	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	No		
1300	2021-11-06 09:27:36	No	B3z2r4	Yes	No		
1301	2021-11-06 09:21:57	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	No	FEP/WSF controls are why and the only reason the community agreed to the landfill. Leave it in place
1302	2021-11-06 09:06:28	Yes	B3T1T8	Yes	Yes	No	Once safeguards such as FEP and WSF are removed the risk to waterways will be threatened and testing will be after the fact
1309	2021-11-06 08:30:33	Yes	B2G1S7	Yes			
1310	2021-11-06 08:28:27	No	B3T1Y8	Yes			
1312	2021-11-06 08:05:22	No	B3T1X3	Yes			
1313	2021-11-06 07:45:16	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	
1314	2021-11-06 07:55:30	No	B3t1w1	Yes			
1315	2021-11-06 07:55:10	Yes	B3t0a3	Yes			
1317	2021-11-06 07:18:33	Yes	B3T0E2	Yes	No		
1318	2021-11-06 06:55:39	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe the information being given to us, and stopping the sorting will only make things worse
1319	2021-11-05 21:39:30	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	No		
1320	2021-11-06 07:02:40	No	B3t1s6	Yes	No		
1322	2021-11-06 06:37:48	Yes	B3T0C9	Yes	No		
1323	2021-11-06 06:08:53	Yes	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	nice pictures, how do we know where they were taken some where the test would be passable
1324	2021-11-06 06:28:02	Yes	B3T0E6	Yes	No		
1325	2021-11-06 06:25:14	Yes	B3t0e6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1328	2021-11-06 04:07:50	No	B3Z1L6	Yes	No		
1329	2021-11-06 03:37:18	No	B3T1S3	No			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1338	2021-11-05 21:06:04	Yes	b3t2c8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1341	2021-11-05 20:44:02	Yes	B3T2E1	No			
1342	2021-11-05 20:02:07	Yes	B3T0C6	Yes	Yes	No	
1343	2021-11-05 20:38:33	Yes	B3t0a2	Yes			
1345	2021-11-05 19:50:42	No	B3Z2T4	Yes	No		
1348	2021-11-03 17:59:35	No	B3L3H5	Yes			
1350	2021-11-05 19:02:54	No	B3g1a5				
1351	2021-11-05 18:58:41	No	b0p1p0	Yes			
1353	2021-11-05 18:55:55	Yes	b3t1r5	Yes			
1354	2021-11-05 18:21:58	Yes	B3t1b1				
1355	2021-11-05 17:56:09	No	B3Z2T1	Yes	No		
1356	2021-11-05 18:03:41	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	No	
1358	2021-11-05 17:57:20	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes			
1359	2021-11-05 17:50:35	No	b2y2a6	Yes			
1360	2021-11-05 17:36:31	Yes	B3T1V6	Yes	No		
1361	2021-11-05 17:30:56	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1362	2021-11-05 17:36:27	No	b3t1s5	Yes			
1363	2021-11-05 17:37:06	Yes	B3t2e1	Yes			
1364	2021-11-05 17:19:16	No	B0J1T0	Yes	Yes	No	Runoff predominantly from cap..." And the rest?
1368	2021-11-05 16:44:20	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1369	2021-11-05 16:39:39	No	B3Z3A9	Yes	No		
1370	2021-11-05 16:51:27	Yes	B3t0j3	Yes	Yes	No	
1371	2021-11-02 15:24:34	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes			
1373	2021-11-05 10:05:15	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes			
1375	2021-11-05 15:39:22	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	Again the thought of becoming the next toxic water supply in hrm is a very real concern. As with the last question, I am not convinced the impact on the environment will be know for many years and after the damage has been done
1376	2021-11-05 15:10:16	No	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	Again you will not know results of changing things for years and it might be to late. Leave alone.
1378	2021-11-05 15:19:43	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	Current mitigation is only working with existing processes, including FEP, which is a strong deterrent to placing inappropriate materials in household waste. Human nature will result in significant increase in organic materials in the waste stream.
1383	2021-11-05 15:07:44	Yes	B3T2J5	Yes	Yes	No	So if bladders work why would use stop?
1384	2021-11-05 15:14:54	No	B3z0n9	Yes	No		
1385	2021-11-05 15:16:35	Yes	B3N2M3	Yes			
1388	2021-11-05 14:38:48	Yes	B3t0k5	Yes	Yes	No	
1390	2021-11-05 14:01:15	No	B3T1Z5	Yes	Yes	No	leaks /spills happen when things are being monitored no guarantee
1394	2021-11-05 13:27:14	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	Extreme rain events like hurricanes and nor'easters could have a huge impact on the areas surrounding the landfill site, the above approach only monitors groundwater and if an issue is found no mitigating environmental restoration is proposed. Hoping an event won't happen isn't a great strategy here.
1395	2021-11-05 13:51:01	No	B3N1K1	Yes			
1396	2021-11-05 13:50:29	Yes	B3t1n2	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1401	2021-11-05 12:12:08	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	This mitigation discussion is focused on erosion control measures which are not at all related to the front end sorting of waste. Please provide surface water mitigation measures that speak to any impacts specific to the deactivation of the equipment
1402	2021-11-05 12:48:08	No	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	No	This should not be entering the lakes and rivers that are used for recreational purposes such as fishing & swimming! Some of the most expensive home paying the highest of taxes are impacted
1404	2021-11-05 12:05:35	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1407	2021-11-05 11:41:29	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes			
1408	2021-11-05 11:18:21	Yes	B3t1r3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1409	2021-11-05 10:54:40	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	improper use of "consistent"
1410	2021-11-05 10:57:29	Yes	B3t2a4	Yes	No		
1412	2021-11-05 10:58:17	No	B3Z1L8	No			
1414	2021-11-05 10:47:26	No	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	No	
1415	2021-11-05 10:51:56	No	B3t2a2	Yes			
1418	2021-11-05 10:08:10	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
1419	2021-11-05 09:55:00	No	B3t2a2	Yes			
1423	2021-11-05 09:39:03	No	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	I SIMPLY DO NOT BELIEVE YOUR PROPAGANDA "ANSWERS" TO YOUR OWN QUESTIONS
1424	2021-11-05 09:35:05	Yes	B3t1n2	Yes	Yes	No	
1426	2021-11-05 08:35:06	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes			
1427	2021-11-05 08:51:54	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Same as groundwater concern. Talk is cheap.
1428	2021-11-05 08:59:17	No	B3T1R3	Yes			
1430	2021-11-05 08:42:36	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1431	2021-11-02 16:08:11	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1432	2021-11-05 07:25:01	Yes	B3Z1G5	Yes	Yes	No	As listed above.
1434	2021-11-05 07:11:55	No	b3t1x3	Yes			
1435	2021-11-05 06:51:27	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	No	The FEP/WSP need to stay activated
1436	2021-11-04 13:34:13	No	B3t1x5	Yes			
1438	2021-11-05 06:55:40	No	B3t1v3	Yes			
1440	2021-11-05 06:39:09	No	B3T2G1	Yes			
1443	2021-11-05 06:14:43	No	B3T1R6	Yes			
1444	2021-11-05 06:08:03	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes			
1445	2021-11-05 05:51:09	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	Yes	No	How many times a month is this carried out?What happens to the employees doing the work?
1446	2021-11-05 06:07:56	No	B3Z1H7	No			
1447	2021-11-05 05:49:07	Yes	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	
1449	2021-11-05 05:14:12	Yes	B3T2J5	Yes	Yes	No	See earlier comment.
1452	2021-11-05 03:46:15	No	B3T2E8	Yes	Yes	No	
1454	2021-11-05 00:08:13	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	No	Still worried as I fish the 9 mile river..
1455	2021-11-05 00:09:42	No	B3s1k1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1456	2021-11-05 00:08:07	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes			
1458	2021-11-04 23:49:13	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	No		
1459	2021-11-04 23:20:51	Yes	B3Z1G6	Yes	No		
1462	2021-11-04 22:28:16	Yes	B3Z2L4	Yes			
1463	2021-11-04 22:09:56	No	B4E0N6	Yes	No		
1464	2021-11-04 21:48:00	No	B3t2e9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1465	2021-11-04 21:56:26	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	Yes	No	
1466	2021-11-04 21:34:43	No	B3T0B3	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1468	2021-11-04 21:36:36	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes			
1472	2021-11-04 20:31:23	Yes	B3t0c2	Yes	Yes	No	Honor your original agreement
1474	2021-11-04 20:14:33	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	Again the more prevalent substances are the more likely they are to get to places they shouldn't be
1475	2021-11-04 20:05:11	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	No		
1479	2021-11-04 20:26:04	No	B4e3c1	Yes			
1480	2021-11-02 18:49:52	No	B3I2y8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1481	2021-11-04 19:18:30	No	B3T2A2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1483	2021-11-04 19:46:06	Yes	B3t1a9	Yes	Yes	No	Please see my previous answer
1485	2021-11-04 19:44:56	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	
1487	2021-11-04 19:34:08	Yes	B3t2e6	Yes			
1490	2021-11-04 19:22:44	No	B3t2I1	Yes			
1492	2021-11-04 18:58:55	Yes	B3t1r5	Yes	Yes	No	Same concerns as in my last comment.
1493	2021-11-04 19:10:05	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	
1494	2021-11-04 16:02:33	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	
1496	2021-11-04 18:13:45	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1498	2021-11-04 18:46:19	Yes	B3t2j3	Yes	Yes	No	Please honour the agreement
1500	2021-11-04 18:45:44	No	B3Z1C4	Yes	Yes	No	I've been there
1503	2021-11-04 16:49:35	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes	Yes	No	You have to look ahead in years to come and how the ecosystem will be impacted,
1505	2021-11-04 18:23:48	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes			
1510	2021-11-04 17:51:19	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Mitigations are not in alignment with the original agreement.
1515	2021-11-04 16:56:50	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
1518	2021-11-04 15:58:32	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	No		
1520	2021-11-04 16:01:04	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	No		
1522	2021-11-04 16:04:31	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	Yes	No	
1524	2021-11-04 15:42:58	No	B3z1G1	Yes	No		
1529	2021-11-04 15:54:09	No	B3Z2J2	No			
1531	2021-11-04 15:39:35	Yes	b3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1532	2021-11-04 15:23:19	Yes	B3t2j3	Yes	Yes	No	You should not be deactivating
1535	2021-11-04 14:35:55	No	B3T1V7	Yes	No		
1536	2021-11-04 14:43:19	No	B3T1S5	Yes	No		
1537	2021-11-04 13:01:53	No	B3T2G5	Yes			
1538	2021-11-04 14:25:53	Yes	b3t1c9	Yes	No		
1539	2021-11-04 14:32:47	Yes	b3t2j3	Yes	Yes	No	
1541	2021-11-04 13:53:56	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
1544	2021-11-04 13:58:14	No	B3T1S5	Yes			
1545	2021-11-04 14:01:07	Yes	B3T1S7	Yes	Yes	No	
1547	2021-11-04 13:51:21	No	B3T1X2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1556	2021-11-04 13:12:04	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	Yes	No	Same as previous.
1557	2021-11-04 13:21:04	No	B3z0n4	Yes			
1559	2021-11-04 12:44:00	No	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1564	2021-11-04 12:20:24	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	No	Increased organics will affect surface water quality
1568	2021-11-04 12:11:04	Yes	B3T1K9	No			
1569	2021-11-04 11:56:31	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
1572	2021-11-04 11:40:17	No	B3t1r5	Yes	Yes	No	Same answer as number 23.
1577	2021-11-04 11:33:38	Yes	b3t1s6	Yes			
1581	2021-11-04 11:20:52	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes			
1582	2021-11-04 11:07:18	No	B3Z1L8	Yes	No		
1583	2021-11-04 11:01:06	No	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	As before.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1584	2021-11-04 10:52:21	No	b3t2l1	Yes	No		
1585	2021-11-04 10:25:11	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	No	As a resident on the Nine Mile River for over 15 years I have personally witnessed a definite decline in resident fish populations. This has also been coupled with enormous algae growth in the whole river system .
1589	2021-11-04 10:48:30	No	B3t0j9	Yes			
1590	2021-11-04 10:43:00	No	B3T2B8	Yes			
1594	2021-11-04 10:19:48	No	B3T1S8	Yes	Yes	No	Looks good on paper, only!!
1595	2021-11-04 10:30:26	No	B3t2b1	Yes			
1596	2021-11-04 10:22:11	Yes	b3 1a2	No			
1598	2021-11-04 10:08:43	No	B3T2B9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1600	2021-11-04 10:14:05	Yes	B3T0A2	Yes			
1601	2021-11-04 10:04:52	No	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	No	
1603	2021-11-04 09:29:55	Yes	B3T1g&	Yes	Yes	No	
1605	2021-11-04 09:29:27	Yes	B3t1c9	Yes	Yes	No	These measures are not worth the risk of our community and our community's peace of mind.
1609	2021-11-04 09:28:11	Yes	B3T5c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1613	2021-11-04 09:22:40	Yes	B3T1K5	No			
1616	2021-11-04 09:18:13	No	B3t1x2	Yes	No		
1620	2021-11-04 09:02:11	Yes	B2T1H6	Yes	No		
1621	2021-11-04 08:29:23	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	No	
1622	2021-11-04 08:36:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	more organics, more risk
1623	2021-11-04 08:29:53	No	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	No	Our water supplies are so close by. And I don't like the idea of "management" ponds.
1624	2021-11-04 09:01:02	No	B3T2L1	No			
1626	2021-11-04 08:57:08	Yes	B3T0C1	Yes	Yes	No	
1629	2021-11-04 08:51:26	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	No		
1631	2021-11-04 08:42:18	No	B3t2b2	Yes	Yes		
1634	2021-11-04 08:42:39	No	B3L2Y8	No			
1636	2021-11-04 08:12:53	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	No	
1637	2021-11-04 08:01:23	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	No	Where are the third party reports to back these claims of no impacts. How do we know there will be no impacts since this has not yet been implemented?
1638	2021-11-04 08:26:28	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes			
1639	2021-11-04 08:04:13	Yes	B3t2g3	Yes	Yes	No	
1641	2021-11-04 08:30:19	Yes	B3T2g8	Yes			
1642	2021-11-04 08:26:05	Yes	B3T0C9	Yes			
1644	2021-11-04 07:54:52	Yes	b3t1t2	Yes	Yes	No	No effects have been identified ??? Of course not, you did the study and you want "no impacts". Burying more organic material will result in an impact - that's how it works.....? Your position is nonsensical.
1648	2021-11-04 07:26:29	Yes	B3T2E5	Yes	Yes	No	Same worries as the previous question.
1650	2021-11-04 07:32:25	No	B3T1T9	Yes	No		
1651	2021-11-04 06:58:27	Yes	B3T0H7	Yes	Yes	Yes	To some extent but not completely .
1652	2021-11-04 06:54:36	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1655	2021-11-04 06:50:55	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	
1656	2021-11-04 07:06:16	No	B3t1w5	Yes			
1658	2021-11-04 06:49:02	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
1659	2021-11-04 06:41:15	No	B4B1N4	Yes			
1660	2021-11-04 06:14:16	No	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1664	2021-11-04 06:23:22	No	B4e3b3	Yes			
1667	2021-11-04 06:05:06	No	B3t1w5	Yes			
1668	2021-11-04 06:06:06	No	B3E1M4	Yes			
1670	2021-11-04 05:27:16	No	B3P0C2	Yes	Yes	No	In large storms which are being more frequent with climate change your systems will fail and then service water will be contaminated. Monitoring service holder is great and important however it does not stop contaminants from getting in there and then what do we do when they are?
1671	2021-11-04 04:55:59	Yes	B3t1c2	Yes		Yes	
1672	2021-11-03 17:32:26	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	I don't think that the water should be pumped directly to the river
1675	2021-11-03 23:41:40	Yes	B3t1b9	Yes	Yes	No	
1677	2021-11-03 22:17:23	Yes	b3t1b2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1679	2021-11-03 22:37:56	No	B3T1V8	Yes	No		
1680	2021-11-03 18:47:54	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1681	2021-11-03 22:09:54	Yes	b3t1r4	Yes	Yes	No	I object to the dump
1682	2021-11-03 22:28:41	Yes	b3t2c8	Yes			
1683	2021-11-03 22:13:15	No	B3T1S2	Yes			
1684	2021-11-03 22:20:02	No	B3t1p7	Yes			
1689	2021-11-03 21:29:15	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	
1691	2021-11-03 21:39:51	Yes	B3T2J2	Yes	Yes	No	
1692	2021-11-03 21:43:06	Yes	B3t2g2	Yes			
1693	2021-11-03 21:38:12	No	b3z1p7	Yes			
1694	2021-11-03 21:18:08	No	B2x2x5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1695	2021-11-03 21:36:37	No	B3T1Z9	Yes			
1696	2021-11-03 21:31:39	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1697	2021-11-03 21:23:39	Yes	B3t1r3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1699	2021-11-03 21:20:54	No	b4c2n9	Yes			
1703	2021-11-03 20:58:03	No	B4C2Y8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1706	2021-11-02 15:35:17	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	
1710	2021-11-03 20:37:25	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	No		
1712	2021-11-03 16:32:19	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	No	Even with the existing measures taken I have fished the nine mile river the river has changed greatly in its vegetation since the landfill was installed so I would not like to see it get worse.
1715	2021-11-03 20:31:53	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	No		
1716	2021-11-03 20:30:40	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	Still concerned
1718	2021-11-03 19:27:16	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1720	2021-11-02 17:26:46	Yes	B3Z4B4	Yes	Yes	No	Ensuring there are NO negative issues with surface water will ONLY happen if ONLY ACCEPTABLE waste is in the Otter Lake Landfill and this requires the FEP and WSF . Unfortunately, HRM has NO alternative system to the FEP and WSF to ensure only acceptable waste enters the Otter Lake Landfill..
1721	2021-11-03 20:09:07	Yes	B3T0C8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1722	2021-11-03 20:07:12	Yes	B3H0H3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1726	2021-11-03 19:56:25	No	B3z1I7	Yes			
1728	2021-11-03 19:31:54	Yes	B3T1V1	Yes	Yes		
1731	2021-11-03 18:58:57	No	B3T1Y8	Yes	Yes	No	More information is needed concerning this statement: "Regular monitoring of site surface water". How often? And how is the general public informed of these tests? What transpires should there be contaminants found in the surface water? You only discuss how the process works when everything is fine.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1732	2021-11-03 19:14:51	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes		No	Are the lakes surrounding the site tested frequently??
1734	2021-11-03 19:22:20	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	No		
1735	2021-11-03 18:55:44	No	B3t2I2	Yes	Yes	No	I don't agree that you can fully predict the effectiveness of your proposed plan, and it was not agreed to in the first place.
1738	2021-11-03 19:11:22	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	
1740	2021-11-03 19:04:36	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes			
1741	2021-11-03 14:08:13	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	Again the FEP and WSF systems are working, we have had no contamination. This is an attempt by HRM to save money not to protect the residents well water. We already have 3 sorting material facilities in our community. Do not mess with something that is working.
1742	2021-11-03 18:16:24	No	B3t1r1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1747	2021-11-03 18:59:00	No	B3T1B1	No			
1748	2021-11-03 08:08:27	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
1749	2021-11-03 08:08:12	Yes	B3t1a7	No			
1750	2021-11-02 19:15:26	No	b3t1X8	No			
1752	2021-11-03 18:35:23	Yes	B3t1c2	Yes	Yes	No	Wells
1753	2021-11-03 18:02:51	Yes	b3t1a4	Yes	Yes	No	Again garbage is sitting around longer and is less processed before it is added to the landfill site. Seems it will produce more nasty run off .
1754	2021-11-03 18:22:37	Yes	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1757	2021-11-03 18:23:20	No	B3T1V7	Yes			
1759	2021-11-03 18:11:36	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	No		
1761	2021-11-02 15:25:47	No	B3T2L2	Yes			
1763	2021-11-03 18:04:19	Yes	B3T2G8	Yes	Yes	No	
1764	2021-11-03 17:51:38	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	No		
1765	2021-11-03 17:32:12	No	B3T2A1	Yes		No	
1766	2021-11-03 17:43:23	Yes	B3t2g6	Yes	No		
1767	2021-11-03 17:37:50	Yes	B3t1a4	Yes	Yes	No	
1772	2021-11-03 17:18:54	Yes	B3T2E6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1774	2021-11-03 17:07:51	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	
1775	2021-11-03 17:09:25	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes			
1776	2021-11-03 17:04:04	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	
1778	2021-11-03 16:37:04	Yes	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	It's too late when the water turns bad
1779	2021-11-03 15:56:48	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes			
1781	2021-11-03 16:07:17	No	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	No	See previous comment
1782	2021-11-03 16:39:43	No	B3T1Y9	Yes	Yes	No	If this water gets contamination. Then it's too late
1783	2021-11-03 16:40:52	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes			
1785	2021-11-03 16:25:58	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	
1786	2021-11-03 16:22:59	Yes	B3t1v1	Yes	Yes	No	
1788	2021-11-03 16:12:11	Yes	B3t0b6	Yes	Yes	No	
1789	2021-11-03 16:15:12	Yes	B3T1K6	Yes	No		
1793	2021-11-03 15:27:51	No	B3P2H4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1795	2021-11-03 15:35:32	Yes	B3t1a9	Yes	Yes	No	I dont think it will work
1797	2021-11-03 15:27:34	Yes	b3t1v8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1800	2021-11-03 15:03:42	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1801	2021-11-03 15:06:54	Yes	B3T2G5	Yes	Yes	No	
1803	2021-11-03 14:49:21	Yes	b3t1r8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1804	2021-11-03 15:04:33	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
1805	2021-11-03 15:00:10	No	B3t1p6	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1806	2021-11-03 14:46:23	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	No		
1810	2021-11-03 14:36:34	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes	No		
1811	2021-11-03 14:44:03	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes			
1812	2021-11-03 14:19:31	Yes	B3t1a2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1814	2021-11-03 14:30:20	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	No	It's not the agreement
1816	2021-11-03 14:01:21	Yes	B3t1t5	Yes	Yes	No	Regular water testing? Entails what protocol?monthly...weekly?? Bi monthly...yearly???
1817	2021-11-03 14:19:51	No	b3m0e2	Yes			
1818	2021-11-03 14:13:18	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	Gross. Unacceptable
1819	2021-11-03 13:51:16	No	B3T1S4	Yes	No		
1820	2021-11-03 13:51:31	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1821	2021-11-03 13:18:01	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes			
1822	2021-11-03 11:22:47	No	B3T1X2	Yes	Yes	No	No increased threats predicted. What about if the unpredictable happens and our surface water is negatively impacted. Not worth the risk.
1823	2021-11-03 13:00:20	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	No	Again, HRM is trying to save money by reducing protections
1824	2021-11-03 12:29:44	Yes	B3T1K5	Yes	Yes	No	See previous comment
1825	2021-11-03 10:36:17	No	B3T1S7	Yes	Yes	No	See previous response. So far, we've been lucky but the increasing acidification of our local waterways is enough to deal with. We don't need any more potential threats.
1826	2021-11-03 11:53:58	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	No		
1827	2021-11-03 11:59:02	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1828	2021-11-03 11:51:31	No	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	No	
1829	2021-11-03 11:21:43	Yes	B3T2G8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1830	2021-11-03 11:24:06	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1831	2021-11-03 10:57:07	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes		I do not have any concerns	
1832	2021-11-03 10:54:32	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1833	2021-11-03 10:56:41	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes	Yes	No	
1834	2021-11-03 10:49:26	No		Yes	Yes	No	
1835	2021-11-03 10:30:15	Yes	B3t1r7	Yes	No		
1836	2021-11-03 10:05:16	Yes	B3t1f9	Yes	No		
1837	2021-11-03 10:10:55	No	B3T1W6	Yes			
1838	2021-11-03 10:03:38	No	B3T2A3	Yes	No		
1839	2021-11-03 08:21:24	No	B3T1Z3	Yes	Yes	No	Monthly inspections are not enough. Not enough information provided. I would like to know potential events and events that have happened. As a community surrounding this facility we agreed to it if you maintain it as it is, not deactivate it.
1840	2021-11-03 08:37:51	No	b3t1v2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1841	2021-11-03 08:17:55	No	B3T2B8	Yes	Yes	No	Somewhat, but if there is an issue what will be done?
1842	2021-11-03 07:31:46	Yes	B3T0B2	Yes	No		
1843	2021-11-03 06:22:06	No	B3T2L2	Yes	No		
1844	2021-10-28 17:17:53	No	B3I2y8	Yes			
1845	2021-11-03 07:02:47	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1846	2021-11-03 07:02:39	No	B3t2I2	Yes			
1847	2021-11-03 06:31:24	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	The change in process from one of prevention to mitigation after the fact puts all of the burden on the communities surrounding Otter Lake. This is a violation of the promise made to host communities in 1999.
1848	2021-11-03 06:32:33	No	B3t2k8	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1849	2021-11-03 06:24:23	No	B3T2L2	Yes			
1850	2021-11-03 05:21:10	Yes	B3Z1L4	Yes	Yes	No	Testing is fine and essential but contamination mitigation does not reverse damage done. Close the landfill in 2024
1851	2021-11-03 04:19:44	Yes	B3t2e3	Yes			
1852	2021-11-03 04:15:58	No	B3t1r4	Yes			
1853	2021-11-02 21:45:01	No	B3T1Z3	Yes	No		
1854	2021-11-02 21:16:52	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes			
1855	2021-11-02 20:56:32	Yes	B3T1V8	Yes	No		
1856	2021-11-02 20:25:58	No	B3t1t6	Yes	Yes	No	
1857	2021-11-02 19:57:57	No	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1858	2021-11-02 19:49:44	No	B3Z1L4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1859	2021-11-02 19:27:03	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes		
1860	2021-11-02 19:00:57	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	Same as in question 27
1861	2021-11-02 18:55:04	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1862	2021-11-02 18:56:23	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	Yes	No	
1863	2021-11-02 18:11:46	No	B3T1T4	Yes			
1864	2021-11-02 18:15:33	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes	No	
1865	2021-11-02 17:30:20	Yes	B3T1Z5	Yes	Yes	No	
1866	2021-11-02 16:40:23	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	Again with the possibility of harmful waste entering the landfill there will be water impacts
1867	2021-11-02 14:34:16	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	Yes	No	Surface water will always be a concern at Otter Lake landfill as long as there is no inspection of residential waste as proposed by the survey.
1868	2021-11-02 15:49:35	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	No		
1869	2021-11-02 15:14:23	No	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	No	My trust in the integrity of your testing and transparency is gone. Beth McGee reported run off and nothing was done
1870	2021-11-02 15:23:31	No		Yes			
1871	2021-11-02 15:02:14	No	B3t1v7	Yes			
1872	2021-11-02 14:48:27	No	B3M0P2	Yes	No		
1873	2021-11-02 14:43:09	Yes	B3T1P8	Yes	Yes	No	
1874	2021-11-02 14:11:50	No	B3M0P2	Yes			
1875	2021-11-02 14:38:17	Yes	B3T1r8	Yes	Yes	No	
1876	2021-11-02 14:29:17	No	B4A1P3	Yes	Yes	No	Seems to only fix issues, doesn't seem to address the root cause
1877	2021-11-02 14:02:42	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	No	again i do not trust the current level of monitoring or protections are being followed
1878	2021-11-02 14:28:39	No	B3T1R5	Yes			
1879	2021-11-02 14:24:25	No	B3T0H6	Yes			
1880	Email/Mail-In	No	B3T2C1	Yes	Yes	No	Definitely does not address our concerns. I became physically ill after being told that this has been happening since day one. The environmental damage this has done to Nine Mile River and Shad Bay is visible to the naked eye. Very large balls of green foam can be seen whenever pumping takes place and the rocks and boulders in river are covered in green slime. Especially when water levels are low in the river as they are in summer. What about the mollusks (mussels) in Shad Bay we haven't been allowed to eat.
1881	Email/Mail-In	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1882	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	Our weather patterns are changing, when it rains it comes in great volume. What use to be snow, with a slow meltdown, is now rain (global warming) 30 years ago when the landfill was designed, I've lived in a difficult environment. Now with increased unchecked garbage, landfill outdated, you can only expect surface runoff to reach farther than ever.
1883	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T0H3	Yes	Yes	No	Again - while we may attempt to control potential events, unlikely that we'll succeed.
1884	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3M4M8	Yes	Yes	No	My fear is that chemicals, paints, acids, toxic and animal waste, to name a few will end up contaminating the ground water during periods of extreme precipitation. Many of these possible contaminants are now being through the sorting process. Once they escape from the landfill, the effect on local ground water, vegetation and animals will be irreversible.
1885	Email/Mail-In	No	B3Z3T6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1886	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1	2021-11-08 15:25:09	No	B3H1P7	Yes			
2	2021-12-05 09:24:23	Yes	B3T0I6	Yes			
3	2021-12-04 14:41:55	No	B3T1R9	Yes			
4	2021-12-04 14:23:40	No	B3T1z8	Yes	Yes	No	
7	2021-12-04 11:44:01	No	B3L4B2	Yes	Yes	No	Keep FEP/WSF - removing it carries too much risk and breaches provincial legislation passed in 2016
12	2021-12-03 22:10:00	No	B3Z2B3	Yes	Yes	No	The information provided is only excuses and obfuscation for proposing to betray the community agreement for the sake of money. Shame! Shame! Shame!
13	2021-12-03 22:23:07	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	No	
14	2021-12-03 20:49:08	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	If the FEP/WSF is removed there will be more unacceptable materials entering the landfill even if it is not to the degree it would have been in the 90's which doesn't meet the spirit of the agreement. Additional this will remove a metric for how much unsuitable material is entering the landfill which means that it can't be concluded you are meeting the Agreement any longer.
15	2021-12-03 21:32:54	Yes	B3T2E6	Yes	Yes	No	Stop breaking promises and stop ignoring the spirit of agreements to do it and standing on the letter of the agreement and arguing semantics.
16	2021-12-03 21:32:46	Yes	B3t2I7	Yes			
17	2021-11-11 16:41:08	No	B3L3H5	Yes	Yes	No	This justification is supposition and closure of the FEP/WSF is not at all in keeping with the spirit or intent of the agreement..
18	2021-12-03 20:43:54	No	b3t2c8	Yes			
23	2021-12-03 19:10:31	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	
24	2021-12-03 17:39:37	Yes	B3T0E2	Yes	Yes	No	
25	2021-12-03 16:56:45	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	I have no knowledge of landfills, those on the CMC do. They do not recommend the strategy that HRM is taking. This committee protects the interests of the surrounding communities and HRM are sidelining them. I trust the volunteers of the committee to represent my interests and fail to understand why HRM is not listening to their recommendations.
26	2021-12-03 16:22:18	No	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes		
27	2021-12-03 16:14:52	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	No		
28	2021-11-14 12:30:57	No	B3T1R8	Yes			
29	2021-11-04 13:54:59	No	B3T2L2	Yes	No		
30	2021-11-25 10:10:19	No	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes		
35	2021-11-07 19:41:27	No	B3T1W9	Yes	Yes	No	
36	2021-12-03 11:26:34	No	B3M0P2	Yes	Yes	No	A promise to the community has already been broken by keeping it open past 2023/5. Breaching the contract by removing FEP/WSF adds to the fire we can never trust government with a promise. New members on council do not honour the promises of the past. Typical politics
37	2021-11-13 18:39:52	No	B3T1S5	Yes			
38	2021-12-03 11:25:23	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	Yes	No	Read the agreement properly instead of trying to lie to and cheat us.
40	2021-12-03 10:45:24	Yes	B3T1X5	Yes	Yes	No	The current in place policy should continue to be adhered to.
41	2021-12-03 10:52:22	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	Yes		
42	2021-12-03 10:24:39	No	B3t1t9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
44	2021-12-03 10:05:40	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	stick to the original agreement and stop putting money first !!!!!!!!!!!!!

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
46	2021-12-03 09:43:58	No	B3T2A6	Yes	Yes	No	An agreement was made in regards to pur communities concerns. It should be kept in place to protect the concerns of residents and businesses.
47	2021-12-03 09:26:22	Yes	Be32e3	Yes	Yes	No	You are telling us that you are complying but not letting us vote on whether we believe your words. Dictatorship works that way.
48	2021-12-03 09:40:25	Yes	B3T0J8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
50	2021-12-03 08:45:22	No	B3T2E3	Yes			
51	2021-12-03 08:41:31	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	No	You made a commitment and the community has told you several times NO...it is now a trust issue!
52	2021-12-03 07:53:12	No	B3t1s2	Yes			
53	2021-12-03 07:27:56	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	The Otter Lake Facility was "sold" to residents by then Councillor Reg Rankin, and was accepted on the understanding that we would NEVER have a Sackville Dump situation. This new plan negates the original commitment, at a time when nearby development is expanding rapidly, and the problems that will be caused by the planned changes are UNACCEPTABLE
54	2021-12-03 06:41:04	Yes	B3T1P8	Yes	Yes	No	Why should any community going forward trust that negotiations are happening in good faith after what has happened here? Since that agreement was signed successive city councils have regularly tried to overturn it. Aside from the betrayal of the existing agreement, good luck establishing other agreements in other communities given how this situation has unfolded over the years.
55	2021-12-03 06:21:35	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes			
56	2021-12-03 05:59:48	Yes	B3t2e3	Yes	Yes	No	
57	2021-11-02 19:50:36	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes			
58	2021-12-03 05:42:11	No	B3T2A4	Yes			
59	2021-12-03 05:14:46	No	B3t1x8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
63	2021-12-02 19:14:47	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	No	
64	2021-12-02 16:48:06	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	These days its better to do more for the environment, in this case its at least the status quo apposed to your amendment to do less for our community.
66	2021-11-21 16:12:36	No	B3t1v3	Yes	No		
67	2021-12-02 13:35:06	Yes	B3t1s9	Yes			
68	2021-12-02 11:42:23	No	B3T1Z9	Yes	Yes	No	
70	2021-12-02 07:57:42	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	I don't think enough people do their job in separating garbage and with the amount of population increase and amount of apartment buildings where there is little separation of waste we cannot get rid of the front end processor and the waste stabilization facility
71	2021-12-01 19:13:58	No	B2Y4A6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
72	2021-12-01 18:24:02	No	B3t1v8	Yes			
73	2021-12-01 17:36:29	No	B3T1Y8	Yes	No		
75	2021-12-01 16:52:37	No	B3T2G1	Yes	Yes	No	
77	2021-12-01 13:26:19	No	B3T1S7	Yes			
78	2021-12-01 11:03:14	Yes	B3K1K7	Yes	Yes	No	Now that we have the Rats, we are being abandoned..and they are everywhere! Shame!!!
79	2021-12-01 10:08:17	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
81	2021-12-01 05:17:42	No	B3T1Y3	Yes	Yes	No	Why are they do have any agreements about anything if the government is just going to do what they want at the end of the day. You can't trust the government obviously. This is an example of going back on your word on an agreement that's really not how we should be living our lives or how things should be managed
83	2021-11-30 20:30:42	No	B3T1W1	Yes			
84	2021-11-30 20:19:47	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	No	Yes, times have changed but not to the extent they could. Remove 100% of black bags to ensure we are truly putting in upward of 100% inert materials. From a household of 4, the black bag is only used when lazy; strictly remove it and proceed.
85	2021-11-30 19:43:10	No	B3T1Z7	No			
86	2021-11-30 19:28:18	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	Change the rules as you go forget about the people who have to live with it
87	2021-11-30 18:50:05	Yes	B3z1l4	Yes	Yes	No	This agreement was put in place for a reason. It is my understanding that this initiative has been voted on in the past multiple times and rejected. This seems like an attempt to save money by the municipality at the cost of local residence comfort and quality of life. This is irresponsible.
89	2021-11-30 16:53:09	Yes	B3z4b6	No			
91	2021-11-30 16:30:32	No	B3T1T6	Yes	Yes	No	Stick to the original agreement and methods used to date
92	2021-11-30 12:20:04	Yes	B3T0C3	Yes	No		
93	2021-11-30 11:57:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes			
94	2021-11-30 08:30:28	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	
95	2021-11-30 06:31:37	Yes	B3t0e5	Yes			
96	2021-11-30 05:48:46	Yes	B3t0e2	Yes	Yes	No	
97	2021-11-30 05:11:25	Yes	B3T0C5	Yes	Yes	No	
98	2021-11-30 04:26:12	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	Any changes to the agreement need to be voiced in a public forum in this community. With the aforementioned CMC and HRM representatives.
100	2021-11-06 19:45:28	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	
102	2021-11-29 19:03:16	Yes	B3z3w9	Yes	Yes	No	This is all legal wrangling to try and not honour the promise made to the communities, as well as not honour the existing contract. Shame on all of you,
103	2021-11-29 15:31:26	No	B3M3G9	Yes	Yes	No	Acceptable waste is defined as having gone through the FEP / WSF. And now landfill has double life cycle. Should honour agreement
104	2021-11-29 12:49:54	No	b3t1t8	Yes			
105	2021-11-29 12:23:08	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	Unacceptable waste will increase in the landfill if not filtered out by FEP facility. Not everyone follows the green cart and recycling rules so without filtering, non-acceptable waste will increase. You can pack a lot of unacceptable waste into one dark bag nothing to pull it out of stream if in a clear bag if you get rid of FEP.
106	2021-11-29 12:47:42	No	B3T2L1	Yes			
111	2021-11-29 08:34:14	No		No			
112	2021-11-29 07:44:36	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	No	FEP is a significant part of why this is best in class facility. As population of Halifax increases and more people are moving into apartment, many apartment buildings do not have a green cart program. The FEP helps with this.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
113	2021-11-28 22:36:07	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	I do not see any analysis that quantifies the claim of 'little if any benefit' from continued operation of the FEP/WSF. There are no measures shown, nor any benchmarking against landfills operated by municipalities that do not have pre-treatment of waste. More information/data is required.
114	2021-11-25 09:38:50	No	B3H2Y7	Yes	Yes	No	
116	2021-11-28 11:02:50	No	b3t1z4	Yes	Yes	No	Contract paragraph 1.01, (i), (ii). 'Acceptable Waste' shall be landfilled. Acceptable Waste is defined as "Inert Materials"; "Stable Materials" (i.e. biostabilized through the FEP/WSF); and "Residual Materials"
118	2021-11-28 08:58:19	No	B3T1W7	Yes	Yes	No	You are trying to shortcut safety mechanisms. If there is so little waste, employ the minimum staff as is safe at the FEP/WSF site. What is the cost of that? Numbers of waste mean nothing on their own. The critical information is the cost required to run FEP/WSF compared to the waste. If you were honest with us, you would give us proper cost and risk information to allow for an informed response.
122	2021-11-27 17:43:03	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes			
123	2021-11-27 16:20:14	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
124	2021-11-27 16:03:53	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	
125	2021-11-27 10:01:50	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	published articles over the years have documented "Goodwood-Beechville site dropped from list as unsuitable", "community monitoring of landfill under assault", "extension of the life of the landfill" and now, "elimination of front-end processing". Any wonder that we are suspicious?
126	2021-11-27 10:57:24	No	B3a2l8	Yes	Yes	No	The spirit in which the agreement was intended still matters, no matter what technicalities the municipality wants to quote to invalidate our concerns. The "education" segment of this survey is very sanctimonious in tone. There must be a better way to allay concerns than to just tell concerned citizens, "actually, you're wrong."
127	2021-11-27 10:26:39	No	b2w2a8	Yes	Yes	No	I ain't reading that, a survey is not the place to be regurgitating rhetoric at me.
128	2021-11-27 10:09:45	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes			
129	2021-11-27 08:52:29	No	B3z1e2	No			
133	2021-11-26 14:55:51	No	B2W0H6	Yes			
134	2021-11-26 14:49:36	No	b3m0n1	Yes	Yes		
135	2021-11-26 14:00:24	No	B3T1W7	Yes	Yes	No	Frankly, past experience has shown that 'town hall' can't be trusted, and further 'cost-cutting' measures will continue as long as the council members themselves are unaffected.
136	2021-11-26 13:20:12	No	B2W0L2	Yes	Yes	No	From what I read above this still goes against the agreement. It will need to be modified and accepted by community residents to proceed then.
137	2021-11-26 12:19:20	No	B2Y4J5	Yes	Yes	No	You have an agreement: a solid waste design that was a critical component of the agreement. Now you want to change it, to reduce it and make it less effective. The model currently in use for that last two decades has worked. Residents of the area accepted the current landfill based on those conditions WITHOUT complaint. Now change. To save someone (the company) money. Read increase profit. WSP?!

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
138	2021-11-26 10:16:40	No	B2Y3M9	Yes			
139	2021-11-26 09:18:44	No	B3T2A5	Yes			
140	2021-11-26 07:46:03	No	b3a4H4	Yes	No		
141	2021-11-26 06:44:20	No	B4A4J8	No			
142	2021-11-26 05:29:08	No	0	Yes			
143	2021-11-26 04:10:09	No	B3P2S4	Yes	Yes	No	turncoats
144	2021-11-25 19:23:32	No	b3k1r3	Yes	No		
145	2021-11-25 22:39:53	No	B3B1W2	Yes	Yes	No	
146	2021-11-25 22:23:40	Yes	B3t2h6	Yes	Yes	No	
147	2021-11-25 22:05:39	No	B2Y1B3	Yes	Yes	No	You said it yourself--most of the waste--that doesn't sound like all waste is residual materials. Unless it's all waste, then it needs to be sorted. Do better
148	2021-11-25 22:18:49	No	B2x2e5	No			
149	2021-11-25 21:37:53	No	B3M1V1	Yes	Yes	No	Otter Lake was brought into the community as a state of art waste processing and disposal facility and the community agreed to have it as such. This change essentially turns a world class facility into a dump. Halifax already has enough old school dumps
150	2021-11-25 21:37:15	No	B3Z1H4	Yes			
151	2021-11-25 21:18:21	No	B3Z1V9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
152	2021-11-25 21:23:33	No	B3m3b6	No			
153	2021-11-25 17:57:49	Yes	B3T1T3	Yes	Yes	No	The agreement was never meant to deal with "most of the waste" but was intended to deal with the residue of the waste that was not inert. That was the agreement and the only reason it was accepted by the committee was with this provision to remove organic material at the site before it went to the landfill.
154	2021-11-25 18:08:41	Yes	B3T1B6	Yes	Yes	No	
155	2021-11-25 18:02:31	No	B3H4B2	Yes	Yes		
158	2021-11-25 16:58:07	No	B4b1n7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
159	2021-11-25 16:54:01	No	B2V2J9	No			
160	2021-11-25 15:58:11	No	b3n3j2	No			
162	2021-11-25 14:24:02	No	B3Z1H1	Yes	Yes	No	We were promised that there would be no food waste in the landfill. PERIOD.
163	2021-11-25 13:41:47	No	B3z3v7	Yes	Yes	No	I am concerned about over capacity and how many cells will be required year after year and the length of time the facility will be in operation
164	2021-11-25 13:13:06	Yes	b3T2E6	Yes	Yes	No	If do not honour original deal, Halifax council words and promises mean nothing.
165	2021-11-25 11:09:27	No	B2X2H6	Yes	Yes	No	Volumes are acceptable only because HRM lacks source separation of organics in multiunit residential, and recently ICI garbage is exported out of HRM to areas where landfill materials are not well separated. Re: GHG emissions prior to collection system activation, I'd activate for a bioreactor to properly collect and burn the methane produced by shredded residual materials before landfilling.
166	2021-11-25 11:51:38	No	B3Z1L3	Yes	Yes	No	Any agreement, even an unjust agreement, can be adhered to with a hard and absolute interpretation. It is not the correct approach. Just because an agreement in law, in theory, cannot be challenged does not preclude it from being overturned should a Court decide that it is now unacceptable in a contemporary setting. What was a legal and social norm in 99 may not be a legal and social norm in 2022
167	2021-11-25 11:56:50	No	B3L3H3	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
171	2021-11-25 10:09:06	Yes	B3M3K4	Yes			
173	2021-11-25 09:10:29	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	The government always finds a way out of accountability
174	2021-11-25 09:25:03	Yes	B3t1s8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
175	2021-11-24 11:06:59	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	I agree with the successful implementation of the green cart program in that almost all food waste is properly diverted beforehand. In my opinion the most important part is the manual process of separating the garbage streams before dumping.
176	2021-11-05 17:13:07	No		Yes			
177	2021-11-25 08:59:48	No	B4B1M7	Yes	Yes	No	If front end processing is removed more people will just dump organically in the regular garbage
178	2021-11-25 07:53:11	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes			
179	2021-11-25 08:41:02	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	Yes	No	Stop looking for loopholes.
180	2021-11-10 11:11:55	Yes	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	No	If the original agreement is ignored, what assurances that these additional mitigation measures listed in this document won't be ignored in the future? Credibility is lost already.
182	2021-11-25 07:32:55	No	B3Z1K3	Yes	No		
183	2021-11-25 07:15:20	No	B4A1J6	Yes			
184	2021-11-25 07:12:12	No	B3T1Z2	Yes	Yes	No	The stopping of the processor seems like a step backward. If there are organically in the waste - it is not acceptable waste
186	2021-11-25 07:00:57	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	Yes	No	you have been denied shutting down front end processor many times, honor you commitment to community or move the dump
188	2021-11-25 06:38:15	No	B3L1J9	Yes			
189	2021-11-25 06:37:18	No	B3Z2N2	No			
190	2021-11-25 05:43:05	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	This is a community agreement and the spirit of that agreement should be honoured instead of playing games with words. The citizen members of the committee did not have the support of teams of city lawyers, politicians and policy experts like HRM when the agreement was signed.
191	2021-11-25 05:43:56	No	B2W2K3	Yes	Yes	No	The agreement says only stabilized biosolids shall be landfilled. These changes go against the agreement.
193	2021-11-24 21:10:46	No	B3Z1M2	Yes			
194	2021-11-24 18:22:24	Yes	B3TOC2	Yes	Yes	No	
195	2021-11-24 18:31:49	No	B3t1v1	Yes	Yes	No	Fear of people not sorting their garbage items properly, so in proper materials could be at the landfill
196	2021-11-17 18:34:09	No	B3T1v1	Yes	Yes	No	Not all materials are correctly sorted at the original source of waste. This many green cart items are realized within the garbage
197	2021-11-24 16:23:03	No	B3T1R3	Yes	No		
199	2021-11-24 12:20:19	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes			
200	2021-11-24 07:49:10	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
202	2021-11-24 09:29:56	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	Your questions continue to be leading so this survey was designed to be biased and that is not a fair approach which concerns me as a citizen.
204	2021-11-05 20:14:01	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes		
205	2021-11-24 08:12:11	Yes	B3T1W6	Yes	Yes	No	Even if the FSE is not specifically mentioned in the agreement, these changes seem to violate the spirit of the agreement.
207	2021-11-24 07:19:53	No	B3Z1L4	Yes	Yes	No	Mistrust is high with government backtracking on community commitments. You cannot tell me that so few people do not divert their green cart waste that we can remove the diversion. Unfortunately.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
208	2021-11-24 06:47:37	Yes	B3T2a5	Yes	Yes	No	bs
209	2021-11-24 06:17:21	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	This was / is a binding agreement signed in good faith by all
212	2021-11-16 20:30:04	No	B3m4k9	Yes			
213	2021-11-23 15:04:58	No	B3T2L6	Yes	Yes		
214	2021-11-23 17:13:18	No	B3T1X5	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste should not be buried in a landfill
215	2021-11-23 16:32:43	No	B3Z1L7	Yes	Yes	No	My understanding is that the proposal does not honour the commitment made to the community
220	2021-11-23 14:41:29	Yes	B3t1s6	Yes	Yes	No	Just honor the contract! This is not a survey!
222	2021-11-14 09:01:40	No	B3T1V4	Yes			
223	2021-11-23 12:35:06	Yes	B3Z0K9	Yes	Yes	No	Government propaganda.
225	2021-11-05 18:01:51	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	No		
226	2021-11-23 11:34:32	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	The language in the agreement is there to prevent organic waste streams from entering the landfill, the terms "acceptable waste, inert and stabilized materials" are included. The sorting equipment forms part of the protections in place to prevent organic or un-stabilized material from entering the landfill. Removing the equipment does not honor the spirit of the agreement with the community.
227	2021-11-23 11:53:20	No	B3T2B2	Yes	Yes	No	
228	2021-11-23 10:59:54	No	B3T1W1	Yes			
229	2021-11-23 11:00:22	No	B3T1X4	Yes			
230	2021-11-05 06:42:04	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	Yes	No	
231	2021-11-23 09:12:02	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	Back tracking with specifics is by no means how you should be supporting a communities wishes in the pursuit of saving a mismanaged budget.
232	2021-11-23 07:54:02	No	B4g1g3	Yes	Yes	No	The agreement included a front end processor. This is not a survey. This is again propaganda.
233	2021-11-23 08:49:27	No	B3t2c9	Yes			
234	2021-11-23 08:34:21	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes			
235	2021-11-15 06:30:45	No	B3T2H2	Yes	Yes	No	You are only changing things to save money, we should be putting more money in to protect our environment. We cannot rely on members of the community to do their part
238	2021-11-22 17:35:24	No	B3T1S6	Yes			
240	2021-11-22 16:33:36	Yes	B3t0b2	Yes	Yes	No	
242	2021-11-22 15:15:31	No	B4E2R3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
243	2021-11-22 09:15:10	No		Yes			
244	2021-11-22 15:09:14	No	B3T2B5	No			
247	2021-11-22 14:29:02	Yes	B3N3J3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
248	2021-11-22 13:25:02	No	B3T1V4	Yes			
249	2021-11-17 07:19:08	No		Yes			
250	2021-11-22 11:58:25	No	b3t1z1	Yes	Yes	No	
251	2021-11-22 10:57:01	No	B3T1X2	Yes	Yes	No	Honor the original community engaged agreement. Your proposal is why nobody trusts government.
253	2021-11-22 08:56:13	No	B3T1V8	Yes	Yes	No	The Otter Lake facility was state of the art in 1999 and has many other landfills modeled after it in other areas. It was agreed upon by the communities surrounding it because of all of the precautions put in place from the beginning including FEP and WFS.
254	2021-11-22 09:11:43	No	B3t1w3	Yes	Yes	No	Not everyone sorts their garbage as it should. You know it, we all know it. We will not accept changes to the current agreement.
255	2021-11-04 16:24:30	No		Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
257	2021-11-08 07:34:24	No		Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
258	2021-11-22 07:46:11	No		Yes		Yes	
259	2021-11-21 19:13:42	No	B3T1Y6	Yes	Yes	No	You are assuming that most people sort their waste properly in accordance with recommendations/rules. I know for a fact that many don't. The FEP/WSF doesn't need to be eliminated, it needs to be improved on re: electricity useage and efficiency etc. Please don't go backwards!
260	2021-11-21 21:35:36	Yes	B3T2H7	Yes	Yes	No	Until the solid waste program is enforced for all residents without exception I do not trust that the community will be responsible enough to reduce incoming organic materials alone.
263	2021-11-21 20:04:16	No	B3T2B3	Yes	Yes	No	
264	2021-11-21 20:02:01	No	b3n1t3	No			
265	2021-11-21 19:28:10	No	B3Z3W8	Yes			
266	2021-11-21 10:54:40	No	B3T0B5	Yes	Yes	No	
267	2021-11-21 09:15:00	No	B3T1Z8	Yes			
268	2021-11-21 08:38:26	Yes	B3Z1M9	Yes	Yes	No	It's not what was agreed to, you are trying to change the agreement.
269	2021-11-21 08:34:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes			
270	2021-11-21 08:08:50	No	B3T1Y6	Yes			
272	2021-11-21 06:37:30	Yes	B3t1s6				
275	2021-11-20 22:19:47	No	B3Z1C7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
276	2021-11-20 22:13:14	No	B3t2b8	Yes			
277	2021-11-20 21:39:54	No	B3T2C9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
278	2021-11-20 20:55:18	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	The HRM has tried to reneig on this agreement for the last time . Read what the Honorable Walter Fitzgerald wrote the last time this was tried . The Province of NS will stop this once and for all . Stay true to your words in 1999 , inert trash.... just wow !
279	2021-11-20 18:20:13	No	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	No	
281	2021-11-20 14:33:02	No	B3T1X2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
284	2021-11-20 13:01:56	No	B3T1T8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
285	2021-11-20 09:52:20	No	b3t2a4	Yes	Yes	No	this agreement does not provide permission to tamper with fep and wsf
287	2021-11-20 06:22:00	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes			
288	2021-11-20 05:22:39	Yes	B3T2L4	Yes	Yes	No	As I stated before. A lot has changed between 1999 and now, we need every measure now more than ever. I know for a fact that we are the only house out of 6 on our private lane that utilizes our green cart, relying on residents to comply and sort their garbage is not enough.
289	2021-11-19 22:45:53	No	B3t1w5	Yes	Yes	No	People invested large sums of money in homes and communities based on the agreements in place. This will negatively impact those investments in my opinion
292	2021-11-19 19:55:05	Yes	B3t1a5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
293	2021-11-19 20:00:21	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes			
297	2021-11-19 17:40:58	Yes	B3t2l6	Yes	Yes	No	If you purchased property with the understanding of no changes at a landfill was to occur and then a proposal was planned otherwise any home owner would agree that it is not acceptable . Why not look for a new location then and stop impacting a small region further . This is unacceptable

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
300	2021-11-19 16:24:10	Yes	B3t2e8	Yes			
301	2021-11-19 15:30:49	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
302	2021-11-19 14:41:19	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	When this facility was constructed there was to be no runoff, no birds, no smells and organics would be removed. This did not happen and how do we reset the guidelines to ensure a more vigorous monitor program
304	2021-11-19 13:53:50	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes			
306	2021-11-19 13:17:35	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	HRM is trying to get out of its obligations to save money. This is very scary for people who live close by.
307	2021-11-19 12:52:56	Yes	B3T1S8	Yes	Yes	No	the FEP/WSF is a key component of ensuring only 'Acceptable Waste' is landfilled
309	2021-11-19 13:13:25	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes	No	The system was downgraded from the initial expectations and at the very least as they have already been told in 2013 and 2018 residents want the two step operation that separates the organic waste from the residential garbage to stay in place.
310	2021-11-19 12:09:54	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	
312	2021-11-19 11:21:59	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
313	2021-11-19 12:01:38	Yes	B3t0e2	Yes	Yes	No	It does not address the fact the agreement is not being honoured
314	2021-11-19 11:37:55	Yes	B3T0B3	Yes	No		
315	2021-11-19 11:40:51	No	b4e3j4	No			
316	2021-11-19 11:05:54	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	No	You're just trying to find loopholes with this excuse. If you ignore studies and pick and choose what you want and ignore the community, how are you listening to people?
317	2021-11-19 10:51:58	No	B3t2a4	Yes	Yes	No	If you see what people throw out with their garbage (not green bin or recyclable materials), you can see that much of it is biological. Not everyone separates properly. Even if you do, there is still biological material in regular garbage that does not qualify under the current recycling program. Bottom line, HRM made a deal with residents and is breaking a promise.
328	2021-11-19 09:26:04	No	B3t1s4	Yes		I do not have any concerns	
329	2021-11-19 09:23:53	No	B3T1T7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
330	2021-11-19 09:18:34	No	B3T2E3	No			
331	2021-11-03 14:14:39	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
332	2021-11-19 09:07:23	No	B3z3x7	Yes	Yes	No	1
336	2021-11-19 06:57:40	No	B3t1s7	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
340	2021-11-16 06:28:52	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
342	2021-11-18 13:01:08	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste should not be buried in a landfill
343	2021-11-06 11:19:16	Yes	B3T1S3	Yes			
344	2021-11-18 08:13:25	No	B3Z1S1	Yes			
348	2021-11-17 22:58:07	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
349	2021-11-17 22:09:54	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes			
350	2021-11-17 21:58:13	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes			
351	2021-11-17 20:45:05	No	B3T1V4				

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
352	2021-11-17 16:59:54	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	No	An ironclad commitment of minimal untreated organic waste in the landfill was key to community support. It may well be that the agreement did not specifically mention FEP/WSF but this was the underlying understanding at the time. The agreement did not state either that "HRM reserves the right to modify the way the landfill functions if it feels that it can save a few bucks and get away with it"
353	2021-11-17 18:51:05	No	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	it would seem from the report that the primary concern which required maintaining the operation of the FEPWSF was the stream coming from ICI generators. These generators can now dispose of their waste outside of HRM due to a change in regulations, not because they are no longer present in HRM
354	2021-11-17 18:35:15	Yes	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	No	You need to honor the original agreement or was it a lie from the beginning????
356	2021-11-17 17:37:03	Yes	B3T1Z1	Yes	Yes	No	EVERY measure should be made to SAFE GUARD the environment in the surrounding community... without cutting corners or costs. the MORE STEPS in place, the better. If you have to err, err on the side of caution
358	2021-11-17 17:32:46	No	B3t1p9	Yes	Yes	No	Apartment buildings and other dumpster renters don't follow the same rules that stuff needs processing
359	2021-11-17 16:50:01	No	B3t1z5	Yes			
361	2021-11-17 08:21:13	No	B3T0J9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
362	2021-11-17 16:12:46	Yes	B3T1Z5	Yes	Yes	No	
364	2021-11-17 14:48:48	No	B3T1Z7	Yes	Yes	No	The local residents begrudgingly agreed to the facility so long as it met a strict set of guidelines and oversight committee. The FEP/WSF was a major part of that agreement. Failure to operate it would invalidate the agreement.
366	2021-11-17 14:03:17	No	B3T1S3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
368	2021-11-17 12:56:04	No	B3T2A3	Yes	Yes	No	And yet the Otter Lake Community Monitoring Committee disputes all your characterizations in this survey.
369	2021-11-17 12:39:30	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes			
372	2021-11-17 10:06:34	Yes	B3T2B4	Yes	Yes	No	The agreement stated "Stable Materials". The FEP/WSF is what was being used to stabilize the materials. If you remove these components, the material is not stable, and does therefore not meet the terms of the agreement.
373	2021-11-17 09:17:34	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	What you're saying is that, "because we are so good at sorting waste on the home front (we've gotten better, yes), that the need for this agreement and the safeguards it's provides us are no longer needed. Not true. The system is needed because we as residents continue to be flawed with waste streaming - we improved on a catastrophe
374	2021-11-17 08:29:21	No	B3L2X9	Yes			
375	2021-11-17 07:34:30	No	B3Z1L7	Yes	Yes		
378	2021-11-17 02:20:42	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	Yes	No	Should honour it period
379	2021-11-16 22:06:39	No	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
380	2021-11-16 21:10:18	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	The original presentation was the unit would function without change until its scheduled closing. Residents in the area only accepted it because a time limit was promised. Originally there was a great deal of opposition because of a previous city dump in the area. The current unit has reached its life expectancy. The city procrastinated in finding an alternate location at the residents expense.
381	2021-11-16 21:18:47	No	B3t1t8	Yes			
382	2021-11-16 21:09:04	No	B4E0H1	Yes			
384	2021-11-06 11:35:33	No	B3T1S6	Yes			
387	2021-11-16 20:28:47	No	B3T2A8	Yes	Yes	No	
388	2021-11-16 20:08:46	No	b4e0c5	Yes	Yes	No	keep operating the FEP/WSF
389	2021-11-16 19:53:05	Yes	b3t1m6	Yes	Yes	No	It is very obvious that the will be more food waste entering the landfill after the shutdown then is entering the landfill now. It was part of the original agreement. You can already smell the dump from across the highway now. Any addition smell would be unacceptable. If you think the landfill does smell, move it to a processing facility in Burnside.
391	2021-11-16 19:17:01	Yes	B3t1v6	Yes	Yes	No	Why can an agreement made for a reason
392	2021-11-16 17:11:00	Yes	B3t1z6	Yes			
394	2021-11-16 16:57:48	Yes	B3T1K6	Yes	Yes	No	
395	2021-11-16 16:47:46	No	B3T1V3	Yes			
396	2021-11-16 16:26:13	No	b3r1y2	Yes	Yes	No	leave the facilities there. don't let Dexter const. control the dialogue here.
397	2021-11-16 16:09:29	No	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	No	
398	2021-11-16 14:32:29	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	The employees of the landfill know what is at large here---please LISTEN to them!
402	2021-11-16 13:43:14	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	
403	2021-11-16 13:20:21	No	B3T2A5	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
404	2021-11-16 13:04:35	Yes	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
405	2021-11-16 13:08:17	No	B3.2g6	Yes			
407	2021-11-16 12:16:47	Yes	B3T1R9	Yes	Yes	No	another chip off the block, like every proposed action here, you say we have made good changes in or collection programs so now we can removed the checks and balances that ensure proper sorting, the responsibility now lies on individuals? i work [redacted] and we sort nothing. everything in the same bag, biohazardous, plastic, medications, liquids all in one bag.
409	2021-11-16 12:01:52	No	B3T2A3	Yes	Yes	No	
410	2021-11-16 11:28:33	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	No	
411	2021-11-15 19:57:12	No	B3T1Z6	Yes			
412	2021-11-16 11:13:28	No	B3T1T4	Yes	No		
413	2021-11-16 10:54:56	No	B3T1R2	Yes	Yes	No	Unacceptable waste gets through
418	2021-11-08 04:39:24	No	B3t2b9	No			
419	2021-11-16 09:20:25	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	
420	2021-11-16 09:14:25	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	
421	2021-11-16 08:16:26	No	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	No	Most of is not enough
422	2021-11-16 08:02:51	No	B3T2A6	Yes	Yes	No	It is hard to accept that materials are biostable when the stench is so bad. This does not make sense to me.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
423	2021-11-16 07:03:38	No	B3T1S3	Yes	Yes	No	They should honour what was in the original agreement that enabled them to put it there in the first place
425	2021-11-16 07:17:23	No	B4B0V3	No			
427	2021-11-16 06:40:08	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	Yes	No	
428	2021-11-16 05:15:53	No	B3t1r8	Yes	Yes	No	I am worried about an increase in residual materials.
429	2021-11-16 03:50:44	No	b3t1r2	Yes			
432	2021-11-15 22:24:58	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes			
433	2021-11-15 21:42:51	No	B3z1k6	Yes	Yes	No	Most of the material is considered inert or residual material but that doesn't mean all material is.
436	2021-11-15 21:31:56	No	b3t0h5	Yes			
439	2021-11-15 20:24:57	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Abandoning this project is not the approach wanted by residents. The community feels the FEP/WSF needs to stay to continue the good quality of life of the community around the plant.
442	2021-11-15 20:01:15	Yes	B3j1b6	Yes			
443	2021-11-15 19:21:38	No	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	You can't guarantee that there won't be any consequences that might compromise the value of property of nearby residents. Back off! Leave the current system in place.
444	2021-11-15 19:19:56	Yes	B3T0C5	Yes	Yes	No	All the community is asking for is to continue operating this successfully as is.
445	2021-11-15 19:23:32	No	B3M2Z8	Yes			
447	2021-11-15 19:20:01	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes			
448	2021-11-15 19:18:50	Yes	B3T0C5	Yes			
449	2021-11-15 18:52:28	No	B3T1S7	Yes	No		
451	2021-11-15 19:07:14	Yes	B3T0C3	Yes	Yes	No	
453	2021-11-09 17:16:13	Yes	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	
454	2021-11-15 18:12:10	No	B3T2E4	Yes			
456	2021-11-15 18:00:43	No	B3m1b3	Yes	Yes	No	
457	2021-11-15 17:07:16	No	B3T1S7	Yes	Yes	No	The nullification of an agreement with the communities in this sensitive areas is of utmost importance to building trust and confidence. Given not honouring the agreement, that sense of trust is lost and the project is destined then to be recognized as a failure from day 1. The consultation process and openness of this project is not deemed best practice
459	2021-11-15 16:33:39	Yes	b3t1n1	Yes	No		
460	2021-11-15 15:34:49	Yes	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	No	Sounds and seems more like hunting for loopholes to me, again, not enough room to write any kind of intelligent response
463	2021-11-04 13:14:52	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes			
467	2021-11-15 15:05:11	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	No	
468	2021-11-15 14:28:53	No	B3T1T5	Yes	Yes	No	This is not how things were explained to the community when the agreement was made. And seriously, could you make this survey any mor complicated and difficult to fill out?
469	2021-11-15 14:37:16	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	No	
470	2021-11-04 13:58:09	No	B3t2l1	Yes	Yes	No	
472	2021-11-15 13:06:30	Yes	B3T1P4	Yes			
474	2021-11-15 11:55:02	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	Despite all of the technical speak here, what we were told back then was that NO organics would be going into this landfill. It's completely unacceptable to be considering this idea to allow more of this into the landfill. Just another promise being broken on this subject.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
475	2021-11-15 12:15:51	Yes	B3t1t5	Yes	Yes	No	I don't think that population growth has been properly taken into account as to how much waste is currently produced.
476	2021-11-15 11:06:37	Yes	B3T1A2	Yes	Yes	No	Some people don't do a great job of using green carts properly, thinking if I don't use my green cart it won't make a difference. at the landfill, it's only 1 green cart not being used. Just look how long this virus is hanging around & how well people are taking heed of precautions in place to stop spread.
477	2021-11-15 11:09:54	No	B3t1z9	Yes	Yes	No	FEP/WSF need to operate for life of landfill. Honor 1999 agreement
478	2021-11-02 15:44:15	No	B3t1z9	Yes	Yes	No	FEP/WSF need to operate for life of landfill/honour agreement
479	2021-11-15 10:58:42	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes		
482	2021-11-15 10:47:45	No	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	No	1999 agreement in place as long as landfill operates
483	2021-11-15 10:38:04	No	B3t1z9	Yes	Yes	No	1999 agreement in place as long as the landfill operates
487	2021-11-15 08:33:12	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	The agreement does not specifically mandate that the FEP/WSF be operated at Otter Lake... wow. Cheap shot. In the 22 years since this agreement was signed you should have learned enough to be better than that. By operating the facility as you have I would argue that you set a standard of expectations within that agreement.
488	2021-11-15 09:26:01	No		Yes			
490	2021-11-15 08:50:46	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	You can legalize the agreement any way you like. I was at the meetings and we were given assurances! Any future agreements by HRM mean nothing!
491	2021-11-15 08:35:24	No	B4A0G5	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
492	2021-11-15 08:16:23	No	B3T1W2	Yes	Yes	No	This format for entering comments is ridiculous. The survey would not accept my last comments as "not in correct format" and gave me NO opportunity to change or edit. HRM does not seem to want real feedback here. What a joke. Bottom line Flow Control should NOT have been removed & crested loss of revenue & ship HRM waste to other jurisdictions!!!
493	2021-11-15 08:06:45	Yes	B3P2S2	Yes	Yes	No	Stick to the Community Agreement. Keep the FEP/WSF. Do not deactivate.
494	2021-11-15 07:51:27	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
496	2021-11-15 06:25:23	No	b2y2k5	Yes			
497	2021-11-15 06:30:42	No	b3t1s6	Yes			
498	2021-11-15 06:04:16	No	B4A4G9	Yes			
499	2021-11-15 06:00:23	No	B3T1Z4	Yes			
501	2021-11-15 05:17:48	No	B3T2B6	Yes			
502	2021-11-15 04:23:45	No	B3T2B6	Yes			
503	2021-11-14 22:48:09	Yes	B3T1T3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
504	2021-11-14 23:28:13	Yes	B3t1s4	Yes	Yes	No	My biggest concern is that my own city councillor is more interested in agreeing with council than with protecting our community and the promises made to us. Shame on you, Patty Cuttelli.
505	2021-11-14 21:41:33	No	B3L4E9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
507	2021-11-14 21:08:08	Yes	B3Z2R2	Yes			
508	2021-11-14 18:53:01	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	Yes	No	Regardless of whether the agreement specially mandated FEP/WSF, it's clear that given it was done that it was deemed part of fulfilling the "Acceptable Waste" aspect of the original agreement. Nitpicking at this level comes across as a dishonest attempt to bypass the original spirit of the agreement.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
509	2021-11-14 19:24:07	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	In a perfect world where everyone composts their food waste and properly separates their garbage, the sorting would not be necessary. But we don't live in that perfect world.
510	2021-11-14 19:06:31	No	B3z2j2	Yes	Yes	No	Then update the agreement so that you are still in compliance.
511	2021-11-14 18:40:50	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	Yes	No	A promise made to the community should only allowed to be amended if improving something for the community or not at all. This is a copp out.
512	2021-11-14 18:24:35	No	B3z1c5	Yes			
513	2021-11-14 17:48:16	Yes	B3t1C4	Yes	Yes	No	
514	2021-11-14 17:24:20	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	No	
515	2021-11-14 17:13:33	No	B3t1z1	Yes	Yes	No	
517	2021-11-14 17:03:48	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
518	2021-11-14 16:51:46	No	B3t2c8	Yes	Yes	No	HRM needs to honour the goddamn deal they made with our community in 1999. We pay almost \$5000 a year in taxes in hatchet lake and we get next to nothing for it. No city water, no sewer, no bus service or etc. Go find another place to save money, I'm sure with the amount of wasted money that is spent daily in the HRM you shouldn't have to look far to find it.
519	2021-11-14 16:42:02	No	B3T2a3	Yes	Yes	No	This is legal weaselry
520	2021-11-14 16:19:13	Yes	B3t1w1	Yes	Yes	No	They didn't honour the fact this landfill was supposed to be closed after 35 yrs now they are extending it and now taking away more safeguards so why would they job or this agreement when they didn't honour the original one I
521	2021-11-14 16:13:51	No	B3t2h8	Yes	Yes	No	Sneaky and underhanded
522	2021-11-14 15:41:54	No	B3Z1X7	Yes	Yes	No	I think you're just trying to find money anywhere you can.
523	2021-11-06 17:49:33	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes			
524	2021-11-14 15:16:45	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes			
525	2021-11-14 14:28:12	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes			
526	2021-11-14 14:13:29	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes			
527	2021-11-14 12:59:52	No	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	
529	2021-11-14 12:32:35	Yes	B3T1T7	Yes	Yes	No	Community was not proactively engaged. So you are not honouring the agreement and trying to push through your agenda.
530	2021-11-14 12:47:29	No	B3t2a8	No			
531	2021-11-14 12:46:53	Yes	b3t1a9	Yes			
532	2021-11-14 12:15:28	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	No		
533	2021-11-14 12:23:24	Yes	b3t1a5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
534	2021-11-14 11:50:10	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	No		
535	2021-11-14 10:54:56	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes			
537	2021-11-14 10:24:51	No	B3A2Y6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
538	2021-11-08 06:46:30	Yes	b3t3c9	Yes			
539	2021-11-14 09:41:02	No	b3t2b4	Yes	Yes	No	
540	2021-11-14 09:23:15	No	B3L1W1	Yes	No		
541	2021-11-14 09:02:04	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	The city is changing the agreement to suit their needs.
542	2021-11-14 09:01:11	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes	No	
543	2021-11-14 07:47:50	Yes	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	No	Not was originally part of the contract, shame on HRM for going back on the contract. Once the thrust is broken it is very difficult to regain that trust. Look at Africville an example of past wrongs only to be addressed later to remediate at a much higher cost financially and community trust.
544	2021-11-14 07:20:45	Yes	B3T1A7	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
545	2021-11-13 19:53:48	Yes	B3T0B6	Yes	No		
548	2021-11-14 07:04:09	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	
549	2021-11-07 15:48:37	Yes	B3t1w1	Yes	Yes	No	
550	2021-11-14 06:41:46	Yes	B3t0b3	Yes	Yes	No	Lies lies lies
551	2021-11-14 06:26:48	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	
552	2021-11-14 06:22:05	No	B3k1x3	Yes			
553	2021-11-14 04:19:11	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
554	2021-11-14 04:17:16	No	B4B0Y6	Yes			
555	2021-11-14 02:03:54	Yes	B3t0J3	Yes	Yes	No	
556	2021-11-14 01:17:35	Yes	B3T2J3	Yes	Yes	No	I would like a tour of the facilities to see. It feels too convenient that we've done such a great job we don't need this anymore. Also, were dependent upon home owner to proper sort the garbage and food waste they put on the curb.
557	2021-11-14 01:10:03	Yes	B3t1k6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
558	2021-11-13 23:39:07	No	B3Z0E7	Yes			
561	2021-11-13 22:03:06	No	B3T2B4	Yes			
562	2021-11-13 21:58:46	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	
563	2021-11-13 21:07:26	No	B3T1V8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
565	2021-11-13 20:50:36	No	B3N1E3				
568	2021-11-13 19:12:12	Yes	B3R1R4	Yes	Yes	No	The agreement in place should be fulfilled. No reason to discontinue with what was proposed/promised. We won't let this go quietly
569	2021-11-13 18:36:52	No	B3T1W7	Yes	Yes	No	Otter Lake must stay in operation, anything otherwise is a lie. HRM is growing, technological waste is changing, apartments don't really sort their organics, no none of the concerns are addressed as well as we can do with the FEP/WSP
570	2021-11-13 18:56:22	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes			
573	2021-11-13 09:09:40	No		Yes	Yes	No	
576	2021-11-13 16:34:10	No	B3T1W2	Yes	Yes	No	HRM is only interested in saving money. The community agreed to house the landfill with specific measures in place, i.e. the FEP/WSF, to protect the community from the hazards of having a landfill in our midst. HRM must respect the community and the citizens that live here and honour their commitment promised in the 1999 contractual agreement. Failure to do so should be illegal.
577	2021-11-13 16:15:03	Yes	B3Z1E8	Yes	Yes	No	There are medium risks associated with the deactivation that cannot at this time be reduced to low. For this reason it is not the right time, coupled with the fact that labour and equipment is scarce in this current environment.
578	2021-11-13 16:12:11	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	No	
579	2021-11-13 14:52:37	Yes	B3T1W9	Yes	Yes	No	Municipal needs to keep the present contract and follow it, for the peace of mind of a clean and safe communities we live in.
580	2021-11-13 15:28:04	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	It is working fine, why change it?
581	2021-11-13 15:35:18	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes			
582	2021-11-13 15:09:51	Yes	B3T0C7	Yes	Yes	No	
584	2021-11-13 14:20:55	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes	Yes	No	
585	2021-11-13 14:05:39	No	B3t1s3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
586	2021-11-13 13:03:09	Yes	B3t1t7	Yes	Yes	No	
587	2021-11-13 12:49:35	Yes	B3t2h9	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
588	2021-11-13 12:12:35	Yes	B3T1W2	Yes	Yes	No	Complete government double speak. Operationalizing the agreement meant putting front end diversion in place. Of course the community will feel the commitment is violated without it. The volumes of material diverted at the front end may have gone down but they aren't eliminated. It's still thousands of tons will enter that a commitment was given to prevent.
589	2021-11-13 12:34:33	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	No	
590	2021-11-13 12:34:26	No	B3T1R2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
591	2021-11-12 10:59:07	No	B3T1W3	Yes			
592	2021-11-13 11:45:10	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	This is 1000000000% not fair and not right. The landfill was placed in my community despite the community's resistance. We were told a certain plan and timeframe and now the government is going back on it. They will go back on all the other promises to fix the issues that are coming up from this plan
594	2021-11-13 11:35:51	No	B3T2A6	Yes			
595	2021-11-13 11:23:25	No	B3t1s1	Yes	Yes	No	
596	2021-11-13 11:27:25	No	B3T1W9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
598	2021-11-13 09:13:42	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	No		
599	2021-11-13 10:52:22	Yes	B3t1v8	Yes			
601	2021-11-04 08:30:06	No	B3t1y6	Yes	Yes	No	Close this site completely! We all see how you bend the rules.
602	2021-11-13 09:10:48	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	What weaselly words
603	2021-11-13 08:49:57	Yes	B3T0H6	Yes			
605	2021-11-08 10:53:05	Yes	B3T1T8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
607	2021-11-13 08:42:24	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	No	
608	2021-11-13 08:43:32	No	B3T1V8	Yes			
609	2021-11-13 08:37:22	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	Contact says processing must be on site
613	2021-11-13 08:01:46	No	B3T1T3	Yes			
614	2021-11-13 07:52:06	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	Yes	No	
615	2021-11-13 07:29:43	Yes	B3Z0N9	Yes	Yes	No	Any benefit to protecting the environment is worth the effort, no matter how "little".
616	2021-11-12 10:15:32	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes	No	
617	2021-11-13 07:32:01	No	B3t1z3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
618	2021-11-13 07:12:01	No	B3Z3A5	Yes	Yes	No	If monitoring is removed, I believe compliance will decrease.
619	2021-11-13 07:22:29	No	B3t1y5	Yes			
620	2021-11-13 07:24:17	No	B3T1T8	Yes			
621	2021-11-13 06:57:29	No	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	No	The loss of jobs will make a huge impact on the community and surrounding areas
622	2021-11-13 06:34:34	No	B0J2C0	Yes	No		
623	2021-11-13 06:11:22	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	The use of subtle semantic changes alter it larger than implied. It's not staying within the original agreement.
624	2021-11-13 05:23:59	No	B3T1Y1	Yes			
625	2021-11-13 04:38:15	No	B3n1g2	Yes			
628	2021-11-12 22:32:48	No	B3T1V2	Yes	No		
630	2021-11-12 21:34:52	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	Yes	No	Likely this FEP is old tech and not current. If waste is being deposited, 1 ton or 1000 tons if should be run through a FEP as it always has. If updating the FEP to current isn't in the cards. Upkeep to the in place should be maintained. The goal shouldn't be to meet the minimum standards set by the province.
632	2021-11-12 21:45:13	No	B3t2b8	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
635	2021-11-12 20:55:35	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	The surrounding community wants the FEP/WSF to remain in operation, especially now that the landfill will be in use for longer than the original agreement. Organics do not belong in the landfill and should not be buried in the landfill.
636	2021-11-12 20:53:35	Yes	B3t0e1	Yes	Yes	No	
637	2021-11-12 20:31:03	No	B3L3W3	Yes			
638	2021-11-12 20:33:57	No	B3Z0N9	Yes			
639	2021-11-12 19:53:27	Yes	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	No	This was the original deal, it is time to move the facility if you want to alter what is working!
640	2021-11-12 20:10:10	Yes	B3T2G2	Yes	Yes	No	Please do not deactivate the FEP/WSF. This is HRM's way of breaking a commitment to the community and now this seems to be just a way to save money without listening to the community.
641	2021-11-12 19:54:56	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	
642	2021-11-12 19:51:56	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	Yes	No	
644	2021-11-04 15:18:44	No	B3T1T3	Yes			
645	2021-11-12 19:18:14	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	The FEP/WES operation is a requirement of the otter lake landfill agreement
647	2021-11-12 18:58:13	No	B3T1X7	Yes	Yes	No	
648	2021-11-12 19:00:02	No	B3R1Z6	Yes			
649	2021-11-12 18:45:16	No	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
650	2021-11-12 18:45:15	No	B3t2a6	Yes			
651	2021-11-12 18:39:00	No	B3T2B2	No			
653	2021-11-09 15:44:21	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	No	We will end up with unstable garbage in our landfill.. we need to keep up our hard work to save the planet ... we also cannot afford to lose jobs in these unpredictable times
654	2021-11-12 17:58:39	No	B3t1s5	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
655	2021-11-12 18:04:48	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes		
658	2021-11-12 17:20:52	Yes	B3t1r8	Yes	Yes		
660	2021-11-12 16:54:50	Yes	B3t1t4	Yes	Yes	No	By not sorting the waste and removing the organic I believe this will cause more rodents and greenhouse gases
661	2021-11-12 16:50:26	No	B3t1p7	Yes	Yes	No	Sounds like the committee is attempting to dishonor the spirit of the original agreement by way of a loosely worded term. Unacceptable
662	2021-11-12 16:11:02	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes	Yes	No	I'm concerned that people will NOT recycle properly and we will end up with garbage going into the landfill that shouldn't be there.
663	2021-11-12 16:22:48	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes	No	
664	2021-11-05 11:03:02	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	The smell of the drop off area can be horrific. The waste is not inert!
665	2021-11-12 16:13:09	Yes	B3t1a2	Yes	Yes	No	
666	2021-11-12 15:58:30	No	B3T2B9	Yes	Yes	No	
668	2021-11-12 14:45:10	Yes	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	No	
669	2021-11-12 14:09:19	No	B3Z0C8	Yes	Yes	No	I wak around our neighbourhood and look at the garbage bags - we are still not doing what we should be doing. So their maybe a reduction in garbage- but it is no where near good enough. I was behind a garbage truck downtown - they picked up greenbins and garbage bins and dumped them in the same truck - so no my eyes tell me there is still to much compositable material in garbage.
673	2021-11-12 13:57:18	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	No	
675	2021-11-12 13:46:14	No					

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
677	2021-11-12 13:27:53	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	No	This survey is leading and directing a response and does allow for important concerns to be addressed
678	2021-11-12 13:08:59	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	No	There are a lot more people moving to the community and also being built in closer proximity to the landfill. Not very appealing to live in the area if it's going to have this heaping pile of extra stinky garbage and critters running around.
679	2021-11-12 13:23:55	No					
685	2021-11-12 11:19:12	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	Yes	No	
687	2021-11-04 13:53:39	No	B3T1T9	Yes			
688	2021-11-12 11:55:18	No	B3T1B8	Yes			
689	2021-11-12 11:21:27	Yes	B3t0k4	Yes	Yes	No	People do not sort their garbage properly and more food waste will end up in the landfill
690	2021-11-12 11:36:23	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes			
692	2021-11-12 11:31:12	Yes	B3t0c4	Yes	Yes	No	
693	2021-11-12 11:32:34	Yes	B3t2g2	Yes	Yes	No	
695	2021-11-09 14:58:14	No	B3M3Y5	Yes	Yes	No	HRM has never lived up too any agreement regarding this site, Council secretly extended the life of the site, nothing more needs to be said, they cannot be trusted!
696	2021-11-12 10:56:26	No	B3T2B3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
698	2021-11-12 10:45:38	No	B3t2b4	Yes			
699	2021-11-05 07:51:24	No		Yes	Yes	No	Composition of waste and quantity has changed, since 1990 Please show convincing evidence on HOW this has changed. Dont just expect the HRM public to swallow these words without EVIDENCE.
701	2021-11-12 10:20:55	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	Keep to original agreement
702	2021-11-12 10:16:59	Yes	B3t1a9	Yes			
704	2021-11-12 09:41:35	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	No	hy are you even considering taking it away??? HRM made a promise to keep these measures in place! They were state of the art at the time they were installed and over the past two decades, you can see how much of a difference they have made compared to landfills in Nova Scotia that don't have these measures in place, for example the Guysborough dump.
707	2021-11-12 09:44:13	Yes	B3T0B6	Yes	Yes	No	Not good enough. If what is going on now works. Why are we changing it?
708	2021-11-12 09:47:42	No	B3T1R7	Yes			
709	2021-11-12 09:43:26	No	B3t0b2	Yes	Yes	No	
712	2021-11-12 09:41:22	No	B3T1X5	Yes	Yes		
713	2021-11-12 09:40:17	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes			
715	2021-11-12 09:10:33	No	B3T1W6	Yes	Yes	No	If our process is working so we'll now, why change it. Do what was agreed upon!!!!
717	2021-11-12 09:26:35	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	
719	2021-11-12 09:06:45	Yes	B3t2a2	Yes	Yes	No	You are already changing the agreement! snd it's more about money than safety
720	2021-11-12 08:33:02	No	B3Z3X1	Yes	Yes	No	If these procedures are part of the original agreement they should stay in place. Honour your commitment to the residents and businesses in the vicinity of the landfill to keep odors and leachate under control or out of the picture all together. If this place is so "fresh and clean" why did you not put it on the Commons?
721	2021-11-12 08:18:26	No	B3T2A8	Yes	Yes	No	the comments cover food wastes , but there is still concern with other wastes that should not enter the land fill
723	2021-11-12 08:36:35	Yes	B3T2K5	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
725	2021-11-12 08:27:32	Yes	B3T0C2	Yes	Yes	No	
726	2021-11-12 08:20:28	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	The agreement is outdated and should be renegotiated with the affected areas.
728	2021-11-12 08:30:51	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes			
730	2021-11-12 08:11:33	No	B3t2a8	Yes	Yes	No	
732	2021-11-12 07:03:31	Yes	B3t1t5	Yes	No		
733	2021-11-11 22:26:20	Yes	B3t1c3	Yes	Yes	No	
735	2021-11-11 19:08:24	Yes	B3t1k2	Yes	Yes	No	Do not think we will not fight to keep this from happening
736	2021-11-11 18:30:49	No	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	No	Mess with the FEP/WSF and the Community agreement and "your heads will be on a pike". This Community will not tolerate your mismanaged approach to cost savings.
739	2021-11-11 18:00:07	No	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	No	Not honoring agreements is never a good thing and does not bode well for the future.
741	2021-11-11 18:03:23	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes			
743	2021-11-11 16:40:58	Yes	B3T1R9	Yes	Yes	No	
745	2021-11-11 15:03:16	No	B3T2A4	Yes	Yes	No	Pardon me if this comes across as rude, but my main issue with the proposed changes is that they fall under the heading of "bait and switch." My secondary concern is that we do not know what will be the outcome of the changes. If HRM does indeed value any one citizen's opinion, mine is this: honour the original agreement; keep the FEP and the WSF.
746	2021-11-11 13:12:54	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes		
747	2021-11-11 15:23:58	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes			
748	2021-11-11 14:02:48	No	B3t1t8	Yes	Yes	No	No faith in the city's diversion program.
749	2021-11-11 13:16:05	No	B3T2J7	Yes			
751	2021-11-11 13:09:16	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes			
752	2021-11-11 13:01:23	No	B3T1W3	Yes			
753	2021-11-11 12:02:06	Yes	B3t2b2	Yes	Yes	No	You are liars and have continued to lie and change bylaws to pad your coffers and waste OUR money. Prospect has not been given our recreational taxes to help our volunteers maintain our assets!!!
757	2021-11-11 11:49:47	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	Yes	No	Many more people moving to HRM. This will be needed to way it is.
759	2021-11-11 11:38:35	Yes	B3T1C3	No			
760	2021-11-11 11:30:14	No	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	No	
761	2021-11-11 11:00:27	Yes	B3T1C3	Yes	Yes	No	
762	2021-11-11 10:05:48	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	Yes, you are breaking the agreement.
763	2021-11-11 08:28:05	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	HRM has a history of going back on its mandates and agreements - this is one more example of that.
767	2021-11-11 09:11:03	No	B3t2a1	Yes	No		
768	2021-11-11 08:46:55	No	B3t1p7	Yes	Yes	No	Even if the food waste removal is not as necessary as in 1999, I bet there is more electronics disposal than in 99, so I think thd sorting should continued to be done and be focus on what's the most harmful items that should be prevented from ending in the landfill
769	2021-11-11 08:06:36	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	Not every detail could be added. Its the heart of the operation. Its obvious to any informed person! This a shameful proposal!
770	2021-11-11 08:17:33	Yes	B3t1r9	Yes	Yes	No	When HRM sets out to locate another facility in another community the precedent of dishonor will have been set, making it impossible for other communities to trust or accommodate.
771	2021-11-11 08:21:43	No	B0J2C0	Yes			
772	2021-11-11 08:18:25	Yes	B3M0J9	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
773	2021-11-11 07:42:15	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	No	Any and every additional effort should be made to ensure that garbage is sorted properly and the FEB/WSF helps ensure that.
774	2021-11-11 07:03:07	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	Composting does not occur without the pre-processing.
775	2021-11-10 14:02:24	Yes	B3t0e4	Yes			
776	2021-11-11 07:05:09	Yes	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	There are still numerous houses that do not recycle or compost.
777	2021-11-11 06:46:00	No	B3t1y7	Yes			
778	2021-11-11 06:28:21	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	The original agreement was for 20years! Now extended to 2024? No one is listening to the community or following the agreement. Develop a new site, that was part of the agreement too.
779	2021-11-11 06:43:21	No	B3t2b6	Yes	Yes	No	The sorting facility makes sense. Removing it puts more things in the dump that shouldn't be there. Slippery slope. And I don't trust government at all.
780	2021-11-11 06:39:32	No	B3T1V3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
781	2021-11-11 06:39:09	Yes	B3T1v3	Yes			
782	2021-11-11 06:38:52	Yes	B3T0C7	Yes			
783	2021-11-11 06:31:00	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	
784	2021-11-11 05:59:37	No	B321L6	Yes	Yes	No	I see this as a way to save money and that it will not be started again if needed
785	2021-11-11 06:00:30	No	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	
788	2021-11-10 23:24:38	Yes	B3T1W2				
789	2021-11-10 22:36:27	Yes	B3T0C7	Yes			
790	2021-11-10 21:14:36	Yes	B3T1C4	No			
795	2021-11-10 18:39:43	No	B3t1w9	Yes	Yes	Yes	It fails to take into account what is coming from apartments buildings in Halifax, Dartmouth and Sacville. These sites contribute a huge amount of wet smelly waste and the measures originally discussed to make the landfill dry, we're never implemented effectively. And this area has been plagued by the terrible smell on route to Halifax since.
798	2021-11-10 19:03:32	Yes	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	No	Broken promises! Move to a new community
799	2021-11-10 18:54:45	No	B3V1E5	Yes	Yes	No	Who will sort the unacceptable waste from the acceptable waste?
800	2021-11-10 18:25:49	Yes	B3T2B1	Yes	Yes	No	This proposal is to save money and we all know that if this goes through the chances if it reverting back if it fails will not happen I have no faith in our political parties it all about money if they lived close to the dump, smell and traffic this subject would never have happened
801	2021-11-06 14:25:32	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	No	This agreement that was agreed upon in 1999 and should still be binding and remain in place. There was a lot of time and effort put into this agreement by all stakeholders and the decisions should remain in place today. How can you trust future agreements if it can be changed so easily? You are going to lose public trust by breaking this agreement. The cost savings do not warrant the risk.
804	2021-11-10 18:01:15	No	B3T1W9	Yes	Yes	No	Just because the measures may be up to a different standard now than the 90s, the FEP/WSF enhance the waste protocols at Otter Lake and make them a better site than other HRM landfills. Why do we need to go back and change an agreement made in the 90s when it is not doing any harm and has satisfied the community that the landfill impacts the most?
805	2021-11-10 16:59:47	No	B3t2b8	Yes	Yes	No	Stay the course of what was proposed to the people of affected communities.
806	2021-11-10 17:02:48	Yes	B3t1w6	Yes	Yes		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
807	2021-11-10 15:00:14	No	B3T1X1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
808	2021-11-10 17:23:49	No	B0N1L0	Yes			
809	2021-11-10 17:14:41	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	Yes	No	See original agreement.
810	2021-11-10 17:10:28	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	
812	2021-11-10 16:45:10	No		No			
814	2021-11-10 15:52:29	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes			
815	2021-11-10 15:06:22	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	Yes	No	I do not trust the municipality to provide accurate information. I am much more inclined to trust the CMC. They are concerned with this change and I share their concern
816	2021-11-10 15:16:00	No	B3T1S4	Yes			
817	2021-11-10 15:09:41	No	B3T1W6	Yes			
818	2021-11-10 14:33:05	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	Yes	No	Why does the monitoring committee not agree?
819	2021-11-10 14:33:26	Yes	B3T2K8	Yes	Yes	No	
820	2021-11-10 14:24:27	No	B3T1S6	Yes			
823	2021-11-10 13:33:49	No	B0J1T0	Yes	Yes	No	Many residents of the nearby area disagree
826	2021-11-10 12:33:58	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes	Yes	No	
827	2021-11-10 11:29:56	No	B3T1V1	Yes	Yes	No	Landfill & composting site off the Prospect Rd were sold to the residents as one thing, and now you want to change it to another. Prospect compost site now handles all of HRM's compost, Dart site was closed. Residents didn't agree to this. Now you want to change landfill terms & are asking us to trust the consultant's report. Impact. How much waste must one community accept.
828	2021-11-10 12:07:40	No	B3T1Z7	Yes			
829	2021-11-10 11:47:43	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	Yes	No	You made an agreement with the community and I believe you want out because of the cost not concern of the people in this neighborhoods that pay huge property taxes.
830	2021-11-10 11:50:45	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	There is no guarantee that home owners will continue to divert food waste from the landfill and the cost to restart this system will be enormous to the taxpayers .
832	2021-11-04 18:40:19	No	B3T1X3	Yes	Yes	No	
833	2021-11-10 10:27:02	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	Here is where you begin to lose trust of the community. When you start making changes to what was proposed to gain the agreement I am worried that you will also make changes to number of cells and cell heights and life span. 10% seems like a lot of additional waste when the cells are already filling up quickly.
834	2021-11-10 10:36:38	Yes	B3T1K5	Yes	Yes	No	We have grown as a community and no collection system is fully effective to divert.
835	2021-11-10 10:51:19	Yes	B3t1z5	Yes			
836	2021-11-04 15:05:28	Yes	b3t1r8	Yes			
837	2021-11-10 09:43:11	No	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	
838	2021-11-10 10:01:07	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes	Yes	No	Although my family works diligently at separating our waste. I can say they I work for a large corporation with a large number of employees in the HRM area. Perhaps half of my co-workers take recycling seriously.
839	2021-11-10 09:38:48	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	I would need to see statistics on the decrease in food waste numbers, and find this hard to believe as the population of this area has grown exponentially over the past 22 years.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
840	2021-11-10 09:18:17	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	No	To break an agreement to save a measly 2 million dollars is shameful. If the company will go broke if the changes are not approved then I suggest HRM and /or the Province share the 2 million dollar costs to maintain the current system.
841	2021-11-10 08:57:45	No	b3t2l1	Yes	Yes	No	If the nature of waste had changed that much, then a new facility should be considered to accommodate that. Honor the agreement the community signed up for.
843	2021-11-09 10:53:27	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	No	What you have in place is working. DO NOT change.
844	2021-11-10 08:24:45	No	b3t1z2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
847	2021-11-10 08:23:29	No	B3P2J3	No			
848	2021-11-10 08:21:46	Yes	B3Z0N9	Yes			
849	2021-11-10 07:38:55	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	No	More people means more garbage. People do not sort!
850	2021-11-10 07:44:45	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	The original agreement talked about closing the landfill, when is that going to happen. I do not believe anything that is said about honouring the agreement because of the landfill not closing as promised.
851	2021-11-10 07:51:24	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	No		
852	2021-11-10 07:46:12	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	Agreement was for 20 years. This ended in 2019!
853	2021-11-10 07:19:40	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Stop changing the agreement to make your profits larger
856	2021-11-10 07:17:17	No	B4C4H4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
857	2021-11-10 07:12:40	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	Yes	No	People may throw out materials that still need stabilization
858	2021-11-10 06:32:23	No	B3t1x2	Yes	Yes	No	I would like to statistics that show how much inert material vs organics are actually arriving at the landfill before trusting the above statement
861	2021-11-04 10:46:19	Yes	B3t1b8	Yes	Yes	No	I want them to honour the original agreement
862	2021-11-10 06:39:16	Yes	bek3x4	No			
863	2021-11-10 06:01:29	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	Yes	No	
870	2021-11-09 21:16:37	No	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	
876	2021-11-09 20:32:29	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes	Yes	No	Because your playing games with words. The community expected it to stay and it should. We have had our share of smells and rodents since this arrived, not your trying to work around the promise. We won't stand by quietly and let you roll over us again.
878	2021-11-09 20:21:20	Yes	B3T1S6	No			
879	2021-11-09 19:57:59	Yes	B3T2G2	Yes	Yes	No	Unacceptable - "acceptable waste" can only be identified is bags are open. Hospital waste and instruments have been found frequently and do not belong in this landfill
880	2021-11-09 20:07:42	No	B3T1X5	Yes			
881	2021-11-09 19:46:50	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	in and lives and the environment will pay the price
882	2021-11-09 19:44:51	No	B3T1Y4	Yes	Yes	No	We as tax payers do not want a landfill in our backyard
883	2021-11-09 19:26:51	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
884	2021-11-09 19:22:19	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	Yes	No	It was never the intent within the community no matter how a legal team worded a document
885	2021-11-09 19:33:14	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
887	2021-11-09 19:29:36	No	B3Z4B6	No			
888	2021-11-09 19:17:55	No	B3T2C3	Yes	Yes		
889	2021-11-09 19:15:21	Yes	B3T2A3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
890	2021-11-08 12:55:59	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes			
892	2021-11-09 18:48:02	No	B3S0G5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
895	2021-11-09 18:19:35	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
900	2021-11-09 17:14:50	No	B3T1W5	Yes	Yes	No	The green cart program doesn't even apply to apartment buildings. Most people make a good effort to recycle and do the green carts but a lot of stuff still goes to the landfill. The front end processing was put in place for a reason, not just for fun. Please honor your agreement and keep this in place.
901	2021-11-09 17:15:45	Yes	B3t0c8	Yes	Yes	No	What is the compliance level of sorting waste? And how long before you try to tell us that even organic waste will be fine too? Honour the agreement that YOU signed
905	2021-11-09 16:52:54	No	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
906	2021-11-09 16:41:54	No	B3N2R7	Yes	Yes	No	As previously outlined. Please leave the current processing system in place.
907	2021-11-09 16:30:43	No	B3T1Z2	Yes	Yes	No	This is still a broken promise.
908	2021-11-09 16:42:52	Yes	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	
911	2021-11-09 16:09:21	No	B3t1z4	Yes	Yes	No	I trust the original understanding
913	2021-11-09 15:56:25	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
914	2021-11-09 15:44:59	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	They made a promise they SHOULD KEEP IT. If you go through with this they'll eventually turn it into a full on dump
915	2021-11-09 14:47:57	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	The definition of honouring any agreement is subjective. Come to visit and and see the rat bait boxes and smell the air on a cold still night or sultry hot summer evening and then someone can provide proof of honouring any agreement.
917	2021-11-09 15:33:29	No	B3T1Z1	Yes	Yes	No	This system has been recognized worldwide as a great process so why would it be changed at all?
918	2021-11-09 15:20:00	No	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	No	The issue here is purely financial. The system needs to be operated as it was originally designed.
920	2021-11-09 15:32:45	No	123123	No			
921	2021-11-07 16:12:09	Yes	b3t1n2	Yes	Yes	No	
922	2021-11-09 15:17:57	No	B3T1W5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
923	2021-11-09 15:12:54	Yes	B3T0C4	Yes	Yes	No	
925	2021-11-09 14:48:21	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
926	2021-11-09 14:50:02	Yes	b3t2j7	Yes	Yes	No	That is a biased and convenient interpretation of the agreement on the part of government. It does not honour the intent of the agreement in good faith.
927	2021-11-09 14:50:10	Yes	B3T0G7	Yes	Yes	No	
928	2021-11-07 16:12:09	No	B3T1W9	Yes	Yes	No	Do not trust HRM to honor the agreement
932	2021-11-09 13:51:42	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes	No	
934	2021-11-03 16:19:08	No	B3l2y8	Yes			
935	2021-11-09 08:42:24	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	Any attempt to process the waste less than is currently happening is a concern
937	2021-11-09 13:43:34	No	B3Z3J2	Yes			
938	2021-11-09 13:30:26	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	Yes	No	I think this is a lot of bull. You are not honouring the agreement at all!
939	2021-11-09 13:29:52	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	Yes		I feel the original agreement should be honoured.
940	2021-11-09 13:29:51	No		Yes			
942	2021-11-09 13:22:57	Yes	B3t1a4	Yes	Yes	No	Commercial waste and apartment waste are still being dumped.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
943	2021-11-02 20:30:22	Yes	B3T155	Yes	Yes	No	Why is it necessary to remove components that is protecting our community? For those who are making these decisions if you lived in this community we do still feel the same way if so perhaps maybe we can move this facility to your community. I mean no disrespect but I am sadly disappointed in those elected officials who are supposed to be supporting our community where are you now?
945	2021-11-09 12:58:12	Yes	B3T017	Yes	Yes	Yes	
947	2021-11-09 12:19:14	No	B3T2B1	Yes	Yes	No	We said stuff to the kind folks that greeted us when we first discovered this place along time ago. We do not want this dump in our community, move it to Dartmouth.
949	2021-11-09 12:26:37	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	Yes	No	
951	2021-11-09 12:02:12	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	Community was told it would never be a landfill. Govt no up holding that promise.
952	2021-11-09 11:59:04	Yes	B3t0c8	Yes		No	Broke. Promoses
953	2021-11-09 11:57:23	No	B3T1Y1	Yes	Yes	No	
955	2021-11-09 11:52:04	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	it was never going to be landfill
960	2021-11-09 11:29:04	Yes	B3t1k9	Yes	Yes	No	
963	2021-11-09 11:28:38	Yes	B3T1V1	Yes			
968	2021-11-09 10:53:05	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	The original agreement should be preserved and again your relying on the public to follow all rules not going to happen as there are some people that don't know where their trash goes or really cares
969	2021-11-09 10:51:16	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes	Yes	No	Fancy words, lots of tap dancing.
971	2021-11-09 10:53:34	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes			
972	2021-11-09 10:49:14	Yes	B3t1a4	Yes			
974	2021-11-09 09:58:25	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	Yes	No	Maintain the agreement. Anytime changes are made to a facility such as this there is a risk of additional problems
976	2021-11-09 09:25:11	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	No	Please do what's best for everyone involved
977	2021-11-09 09:23:40	No	B4A2E6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
979	2021-11-09 08:42:39	No	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
980	2021-11-09 08:01:33	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	Opening up a can of worms and I have zero trust in the people running all this and our government.
981	2021-11-09 08:41:25	No	B3T1Y3	Yes			
982	2021-11-09 08:23:25	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
983	2021-11-09 08:09:01	No	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	No	This clearly violates the spirit of the agreement. Arguing a technicality is insulting.
984	2021-11-09 08:02:52	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	No	Honour the agreement. That was the deal.
986	2021-11-09 07:12:32	Yes	B3T2K5	Yes	Yes	No	My concern is the part the operator is playing in this change. If Mirror is able to force this change because they want to protect their own profits, and are using a consultant report they commissioned then maybe it's time to find a new operator. This is a facility that's paid for by our taxes and residents should be determining what goes on there and whether a corporation can force this change.
988	2021-11-09 07:25:51	No	B3T1W3	Yes	Yes	No	
994	2021-11-09 06:08:21	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes			
995	2021-11-09 05:54:57	Yes	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	No	Regardless of the letter of the agreement, the spirit of the agreement is what needs to be respected. The facility has lived up to the spirit of the agreement until now. These changes feel like a change to that "spirit" in the name of minor financial savings.
996	2021-11-09 05:38:15	No	B3T2J7	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
997	2021-11-09 04:57:24	No	B3T1W4	Yes	Yes	No	
1001	2021-11-08 22:21:42	No	B3T1S5				
1005	2021-11-08 08:40:32	No	B3t1b4	Yes	No		
1007	2021-11-08 20:54:32	Yes	B3T2B5	Yes			
1009	2021-11-08 20:37:11	No	B3T2C9	No			
1012	2021-11-08 19:54:39	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	No	
1014	2021-11-04 22:00:27	No	B2T1H7	Yes	Yes		
1016	2021-11-08 19:50:23	Yes	B3T1R4	No			
1017	2021-11-08 19:34:39	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1018	2021-11-08 19:30:52	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	No	Your agreement should be honoured. Period!!!
1019	2021-11-08 18:59:19	No	B3z3s9	Yes	No		
1020	2021-11-07 15:48:42	No	B3T1Z9	Yes			
1021	2021-11-08 18:58:30	Yes	b3t1v1	Yes	Yes	No	This is not a reasonable way to interpret this language. The term "acceptable waste" was defined and it does not reflect a scenario where unstabilized putrescible waste was considered acceptable at this landfill. Stating it is otherwise is disingenuous.
1022	2021-11-08 18:26:23	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	Many condos and apartments continue to have marginal composting. The FEP/WSF needs to continue
1024	2021-11-08 18:30:53	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes		
1026	2021-11-08 18:08:04	No		Yes	Yes	No	PROBE predicted this would happen. Bureaucrats called them NIMBY's. Shameful
1028	2021-11-08 17:55:33	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	Yes	No	
1029	2021-11-08 17:21:04	No	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	If it wants to have any credibility at all, HRM needs to live up to the agreements it makes.
1034	2021-11-08 17:28:05	No	B3R1R4	Yes			
1035	2021-11-04 09:30:06	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes			
1036	2021-11-08 17:13:22	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	
1039	2021-11-08 17:05:47	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1041	2021-11-08 16:47:19	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	No	My husband worked for [REDACTED] Trust me I want nothing to do with putrescible waste that hasn't been stabilized.
1042	2021-11-08 16:47:41	No	B3T1W3	Yes	Yes	No	The original plan also included an incinerator. The only thing that was supposed to go into the cells was ash. The site was also supposed to be deactivated years ago.
1045	2021-11-08 16:32:35	No	B3Z1Z6	Yes	Yes	No	If the current system has been effective, I am reluctant to see it change
1046	2021-11-08 16:34:44	No	B3t1z2	Yes	Yes	No	
1049	2021-11-08 16:19:31	No	B3t1s7	Yes	Yes	No	Should not be able to break previous agreements. Sets a bad precedent
1050	2021-11-08 16:14:16	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1051	2021-11-08 15:46:53	Yes	B3t2g5	Yes	Yes		
1054	2021-11-08 11:54:40	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	Yes	No	the facility has been operating for many years with minimal issues to the community. These changes are a downgrade and that can no other affect than a negative to the community. This a not a positive change whatsoever for the community.
1055	2021-11-08 15:31:01	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes			
1056	2021-11-08 14:49:55	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	I don't have confidence that residents will continue to sort their waste well enough to ensure that inert and stable materials will continue to make up most of the waste stream to the site. I believe there is still potential for significant quantities of mixed waste to make its way to the site,s to

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1058	2021-11-08 14:54:19	No	B3T2B2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1060	2021-11-08 14:03:38	Yes	B3T1S3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1061	2021-11-08 14:17:43	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes	Yes	No	This goes against the intent of the agreement, even if not stated specifically in the wording.
1063	2021-11-08 13:59:50	No	B3T2A2	Yes	Yes	No	Agreement not being honoured leading to lack of trust. Effect on my family indirect, we are drive boys vs nearby residents. Disappointed in chane
1064	2021-11-08 13:13:47	Yes	B3T1R2	Yes	Yes	No	The community entered into an agreement with HRM in good faith with the expectation that the city would honour its agreement. It has been trying to find a loophole for the past decade despite being repeatedly told by the community that we will not change the agreement.
1065	2021-11-08 13:38:16	No	B3T1Z1	Yes	Yes	No	Still will be receiving organics from non compliant businesses and residents..
1066	2021-11-08 13:41:11	No	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	
1067	2021-11-03 18:18:40	No	B3T0E7	Yes			
1069	2021-11-08 12:37:43	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes			
1071	2021-11-08 12:17:34	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	If there was an original agreement, there should have been some public meetings with the different communities to discuss this further. If seems just as usual the HRM makes their decision without any public input.
1075	2021-11-08 12:12:12	Yes	B3t2g8	Yes	Yes	No	
1076	2021-11-08 11:56:11	No	B3Z1L6	Yes	Yes	No	We were supposed to close this facility a number of years ago as per the agreement but it remains open. Now you want to remove a safeguard to protect our community?
1077	2021-11-08 11:27:30	Yes	B3t1s5	Yes	Yes	No	A politician told me that with this world class process, a golf course and homes could be developed on the land once it was closed. Don't change the process It is money driven
1080	2021-11-08 09:34:59	No	a1a2b2	Yes	Yes		
1082	2021-11-08 07:49:18	Yes	B3T1z1	Yes			
1088	2021-11-08 10:35:01	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1089	2021-11-08 10:28:53	No	B3S1K9	Yes	Yes	No	Continued use of "weasel words" looks like something is being hidden. No data on effect of properly implemented FEP/WSF. If this agreement is going to be broken, what others will be broken?
1090	2021-11-08 10:17:42	No	B3t0a2	Yes	Yes	No	
1092	2021-11-08 09:55:37	No	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	People throw food in the one black bag/bin allowed. I have seen restaurants take the sorted garbage and put it all in the same dumpster.
1093	2021-11-08 09:42:08	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	No	
1094	2021-11-08 09:24:29	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	This whole project is horseshit. This will have a negative impact on my community and my family. HRM is moving forward with this to save \$2 million, but you've spent hundreds of millions on fucking bike lanes and bus lanes that absolutely no one uses. Just look at Bayer's Rd. The bus spends about 4 minutes on Bayer's Rd every 60 minutes. The rest of the time it is empty. This is absolute horseshit.
1095	2021-11-08 09:19:28	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes	No	Between the RAT problem and smell, this will become a bigger issue

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1096	2021-11-08 08:49:35	Yes	B3T1Z9	Yes	Yes	No	As the FEP/WSF are working they should remain active. The suggested savings are unknown and there are costs to place the facilities in a stand by state. There is no way to demonstrate the cost would outweigh the benefit. Further, as tax/rate payers we support the 1999 agreement.
1097	2021-11-08 08:51:08	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1098	2021-11-08 09:19:38	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1101	2021-11-08 08:49:26	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	
1102	2021-11-08 08:53:00	Yes	B3T3J5	Yes			
1103	2021-11-08 08:48:10	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1104	2021-11-08 08:51:21	No	B3t1w5	No			
1105	2021-11-08 08:20:52	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	I believe that this change would cause more than minor quantities of putrescible waste to go directly into the landfill and that contravenes the agreement.
1107	2021-11-08 08:42:38	Yes	B3T1P7				
1108	2021-11-08 08:42:39	No	B3T1Z6	No			
1109	2021-11-08 08:18:26	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	By removing these systems, the safeguards which we have to remove the hospital/commercial or industrial waste will be eliminated which seems to be like not honoring the original agreement.
1110	2021-11-08 08:08:00	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes		
1112	2021-11-08 08:28:11	No	B3T2A7				
1113	2021-11-08 08:14:20	No	B3Z2X3	Yes	Yes	No	There were promises that there would not be issues affecting the community regarding smell. That has been a problem since the dump was built along with the increase in rats
1114	2021-11-08 08:16:52	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes			
1115	2021-11-08 07:46:17	Yes	B3t1v4	Yes	Yes	No	Instead of relying solely of public to sort waste, greater processing should be in place so the standard of "acceptable waste" becomes even higher. Everything that is proposed currently is a step in the wrong direction.
1116	2021-11-03 20:51:51	No	B3t1x8	Yes	Yes	No	This should not be approved
1117	2021-11-07 20:19:40	No	B4E1P1	Yes			
1118	2021-11-08 07:52:44	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes			
1119	2021-11-08 07:38:03	Yes	B3t1a8	Yes			
1120	2021-11-08 07:36:04	Yes	B3t1m6	Yes	Yes	No	A huge amount of taxpayer money was spent at the start and at consultations throughout. Shouldn't the money be put into green energy and supporting current systems rather than removing current measures and trying to come up with new mitigation strategies? This whole process seems to be politically motivated rather than the best practices.
1121	2021-11-08 07:45:21	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes			
1122	2021-11-08 07:23:00	No	b3t2a7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1123	2021-11-08 07:07:18	Yes	B3t2j5	Yes	Yes	No	This is a sleazy back door attempt at backing out of an agreement that costs too much in the city's opinion. It is unethical and in this day and age an absolute disgusting backwards step in environmental protection.
1124	2021-11-08 06:46:08	Yes	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	If uou are planning on people to sort better to keep our waters safe, people are not reliable and some lazy and some just don't care. We know the people working at the site are doing a terrific job doing this.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1126	2021-11-08 06:55:22	Yes	B3T1C3	Yes			
1127	2021-11-08 04:58:03	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes	No	No matter how you spin it the FSP /WS the people in the surrounding areas of Otter lake
1128	2021-11-08 05:57:54	Yes	B3t1r2	Yes	Yes	No	
1129	2021-11-08 05:45:23	No	B3T2X2	Yes			
1130	2021-11-08 05:32:56	No	B3T2L4	Yes	Yes	No	
1131	2021-11-08 05:12:32	No	B3T1P9	Yes	Yes	No	Stick to original plan
1132	2021-11-08 04:31:17	Yes	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	The spirit of the term acceptable waste meant treated waste when the agreement was made.
1133	2021-11-08 04:33:18	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes			
1134	2021-11-07 21:14:42	Yes	B3t1c9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1137	2021-11-07 20:48:19	Yes	B3t1p4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1139	2021-11-07 20:55:15	Yes	B3l1p7	Yes			
1140	2021-11-07 20:25:53	No	b3t1z2	Yes	Yes	No	the agreement was made with this community to allow the landfill to be constructed here in return HRM would maintain a FEP/WSF, its as simple as that. Live up to your word.
1142	2021-11-07 20:00:50	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes			
1143	2021-11-07 19:37:12	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	Yes	No	This need to be in other part of the municipality not in the route where tourists going
1144	2021-11-07 19:34:37	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	No		
1145	2021-11-07 19:37:41	No	B3z1l8	No			
1147	2021-11-07 19:15:47	No	B2T1W4				
1149	2021-11-07 18:11:44	No	B3T2A2	Yes	Yes	No	Simplistic. Lots of other benefits from keeping FEP/WSF. Just look at all the other dangerous and toxic waste they uncover in this process
1151	2021-11-07 18:17:57	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1152	2021-11-07 18:15:55	No	B3t2k8	Yes	No		
1155	2021-11-07 16:51:42	Yes	b3t1p3	Yes	Yes	No	If the agreement says Stable Materials are allowed and you are removing the part of the facility that stabilizes that material then to me that says you are not honoring the agreement.
1157	2021-11-07 17:18:42	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1158	2021-11-07 17:15:21	Yes	B3T0B5	Yes	Yes	No	
1159	2021-11-07 17:13:31	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1160	2021-11-07 17:11:12	No	B3t1r5	Yes			
1161	2021-11-07 16:38:52	No	B0N1Z0	Yes			
1163	2021-11-07 16:19:55	No	B3T1R3	No			
1165	2021-11-07 15:31:58	Yes	B3t1b4	No			
1167	2021-11-07 15:25:21	No	B3z3w9	Yes	Yes	No	
1169	2021-11-07 15:06:35	Yes	B3t1z9	Yes	Yes		
1170	2021-11-07 15:00:46	No	B3T1V2	Yes			
1172	2021-11-07 14:52:12	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	FEP/WSF were implemented in the first place as best practice eliminating to save money is wrong
1173	2021-11-07 14:46:22	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
1174	2021-11-07 14:42:21	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	
1175	2021-11-07 14:41:01	No	B3T0H6	Yes			
1176	2021-11-07 14:20:30	No	B3t1s1	Yes	Yes	No	If you can spend millions on Cogswell interchange this is pennies comparatively
1178	2021-11-07 14:27:42	Yes	B3T0B4	Yes			
1181	2021-11-07 13:57:16	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	No	
1182	2021-11-07 13:55:59	Yes	B3T2K8	Yes			
1183	2021-11-07 13:53:13	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1184	2021-11-07 13:37:11	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1185	2021-11-07 13:27:30	No	B3T0B6	Yes	Yes		
1187	2021-11-07 12:08:35	Yes	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	No	Amendment to the original Community agreement will lead to other future amendments, thus resulting in the relaxation of the stringent policies put in place to operate the Otter Lake Waste facility.
1188	2021-11-07 12:49:52	No	B3Z3W1	Yes	No		
1189	2021-11-07 12:44:35	No	B3T1P7	Yes			
1190	2021-11-07 12:35:22	No	B3t2a3	No			
1191	2021-11-07 11:49:44	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1192	2021-11-07 11:56:57	No	B3Z3w8	Yes			
1193	2021-11-07 11:36:13	No	B3T1Z6	Yes			
1194	2021-11-07 11:13:44	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes			
1197	2021-11-07 11:03:59	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	
1198	2021-11-07 11:06:07	No	b3t2a4	Yes			
1199	2021-11-07 10:51:01	No	B3T2B4	Yes	Yes	No	"Does not need to be biostabilized" how confident are you that statement is accurate?
1200	2021-11-07 10:56:06	No	B3t2a7	Yes			
1201	2021-11-07 10:24:38	Yes	B3T2A4	Yes	Yes	No	You are not living up to your commitments to our community and through this survey manipulating our resolve for a sustainable future. Live up to your commitments.
1202	2021-11-07 10:37:11	No	B3T1T7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1203	2021-11-07 10:25:31	Yes	B3T2A4	Yes	Yes	No	Let the existing system continue to do its work without changing it.
1205	2021-11-07 10:05:30	No	B3T2A8	Yes	Yes	No	
1206	2021-11-07 09:39:06	Yes	b3t0j3	Yes	Yes	No	
1208	2021-11-07 09:43:08	No	B3T0A2	Yes			
1211	2021-11-07 09:03:00	No	B3T1W3	Yes	No		
1214	2021-11-07 08:26:41	No	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	No	
1215	2021-11-07 08:21:25	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	It was the industry leading state-of-the-art approach to waste collection and should remain as such
1216	2021-11-07 08:04:04	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1217	2021-11-07 08:01:00	Yes	B3t1a5	Yes	No		
1219	2021-11-07 07:22:35	Yes	B3t2h9	Yes	Yes	No	
1221	2021-11-07 06:19:51	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	Yes	No	The original agreement for HRM to place a dump at Otter Lake met the Province's requirements. The City of Halifax continues to try to amend the agreement as a cost saving strategy.
1222	2021-11-07 06:59:44	No	b2v2g5	Yes	No		
1223	2021-11-07 06:41:30	No	B3t1y6				
1224	2021-11-07 06:19:20	No	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	No	The "Community Agreement" is being ignored. There is a due process with those responsible for overseeing this agreement. The Halifax Waste Resource Society is now trying to get around that original agreement to get their own way.
1225	2021-11-07 06:29:25	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	Yes	No	
1229	2021-11-03 23:07:03	Yes	B3t1n1	Yes	Yes	No	Bra use I know so many people that do not use the green for their food waste!
1230	2021-11-07 05:57:59	No	B3T1A4	Yes			
1232	2021-11-07 05:28:05	No	B3T1R6	Yes			
1233	2021-11-06 23:19:15	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	No	It says what the agreement it but not what your plan to change said agreement would be. This answers nothing of my concerns.
1236	2021-11-06 22:34:36	Yes	B3T1S1	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1239	2021-11-06 21:44:24	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1240	2021-11-06 21:09:24	Yes	B3T1P9	Yes			
1241	2021-11-06 20:51:38	No	B3T2B8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1242	2021-11-06 20:24:42	No	B3T2C9	Yes	Yes	No	Continue to sort and inspect what is going in the dump, Inspect the material so you know what is there. if the waste is not being sorted residents and the contractors with not be as diligent as they are now.
1244	2021-11-06 20:44:41	No	B3T0B6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1246	2021-11-04 18:10:53	Yes	B3S1N5	Yes			
1250	2021-11-06 19:43:28	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	
1252	2021-11-06 19:10:42	No	B4a3x8	Yes	Yes	No	While the agreement may not specifically mandate operating the FEP/WSF, that seems like it was in the spirit of the agreement. Closing it is not being fair to the area residents.
1253	2021-11-06 19:07:34	No	B3T1Y3	Yes			
1254	2021-11-06 18:59:26	Yes	B3T1P4	Yes	Yes	No	
1258	2021-11-06 18:14:13	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	No	
1259	2021-11-06 18:05:21	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1260	2021-11-06 18:06:15	No	B3T2J1	Yes			
1261	2021-11-06 17:52:36	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	No	Seems like a loophole
1263	2021-11-06 17:44:19	Yes	B3t1b6	Yes	Yes	No	
1268	2021-11-06 16:26:12	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes		
1270	2021-11-06 16:08:55	No	b3k3m2	Yes	Yes	No	- the explanation above seems like a "word-smith" way out of the original agreement
1271	2021-11-06 16:01:23	Yes	B3T0K1	Yes	Yes	No	There is insufficient transparency and types of wastes and claimed success for food waste diversion via Green cart program.
1273	2021-11-06 15:49:32	No	B3K3M2	Yes	Yes	No	
1274	2021-11-06 15:34:47	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	No	This completely relies on successful sorting. I am not convinced that we no longer need the FEP/WSF and it's shitty that the municipality is taking them away against the advice of experts and against the wants of the community.
1275	2021-11-06 15:27:16	No	B3T1S8	Yes	Yes	No	The word MOST
1276	2021-11-06 15:16:29	No	B3t0b4	Yes	Yes	No	
1279	2021-11-06 14:37:38	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes			
1280	2021-11-06 14:03:21	No	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1281	2021-11-06 13:24:18	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1282	2021-11-06 13:48:43	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	Deal is not being honoured
1285	2021-11-06 12:39:43	Yes	B3t1r8	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste is not inert and should be separated
1287	2021-11-06 12:40:43	No	B3T2H3	Yes	No		
1288	2021-11-06 11:29:01	No	b3z1m3	Yes	Yes	No	The agreement was only accepted if the front-end processor was operational
1289	2021-11-06 11:54:35	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes		No	The City should not removed the FEP and WSF.
1293	2021-11-06 11:37:59	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	No	Community was lied to.
1294	2021-11-06 11:47:56	Yes	B3S1S3	No			
1295	2021-11-06 11:35:28	No	B3t2a8	Yes	No		
1296	2021-11-06 11:30:28	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	
1300	2021-11-06 09:27:36	No	B3z2r4	Yes	Yes	No	You cannot trust the public to set their waste properly.
1301	2021-11-06 09:21:57	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	No	FEP/WSF controls are why and the only reason the community agreed to the landfill. Leave it in place

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1302	2021-11-06 09:06:28	Yes	B3T1T8	Yes	Yes	No	What about diapers, medical waste disposed of in household garbage as well as those people in small apartments who can't or won't separate their garbage?
1309	2021-11-06 08:30:33	Yes	B2G1S7	Yes			
1310	2021-11-06 08:28:27	No	B3T1Y8	Yes			
1312	2021-11-06 08:05:22	No	B3T1X3	Yes			
1313	2021-11-06 07:45:16	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	The FEP and WSF should be upgraded or replaced with something better; but never should they be retired. Regardless of what is pointed out in the original agreement, it would be in bad faith to the spirit of the agreement and to the surrounding communities to effect these changes
1314	2021-11-06 07:55:30	No	B3t1w1	Yes			
1315	2021-11-06 07:55:10	Yes	B3t0a3	Yes			
1317	2021-11-06 07:18:33	Yes	B3T0E2	Yes	Yes	No	HRM doesn't care about our community they only care about reducing cost. We need to deal with our waste and protect our environment. Not try to cut corners and save a buck!
1318	2021-11-06 06:55:39	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	This community is being lied to and the other councillors will go along with it because they don't want it in their backyard. The timberlea area has seen nothing in return for hosting this landfill, except broken promises
1319	2021-11-05 21:39:30	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	No		
1320	2021-11-06 07:02:40	No	B3t1s6	Yes	Yes	No	Just a bunch of words
1322	2021-11-06 06:37:48	Yes	B3T0C9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1323	2021-11-06 06:08:53	Yes	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	A contract is a contract you sold us on this and now want to change it so you can pay for your raises in your pay
1324	2021-11-06 06:28:02	Yes	B3T0E6	Yes	Yes	No	I don't agree that we as a community living this close to this should have to have these changes now.
1325	2021-11-06 06:25:14	Yes	B3t0e6	Yes	No		
1328	2021-11-06 04:07:50	No	B3Z1L6	Yes	Yes	No	Reliability in government is important to me. The measures agreed to represent a commitment between the city and communities outlying the landfill. If government were to be allowed to back on deals, where is the trust?
1329	2021-11-06 03:37:18	No	B3T1S3	No			
1338	2021-11-05 21:06:04	Yes	b3t2c8	Yes	Yes	No	why is it this survey is written in a way to persuade the participants that a particular decision is favourable? there's no substitute to honouring the original agreement. it's clear \$ is a motivating factor here and the environment and nearby residents will pay the price
1341	2021-11-05 20:44:02	Yes	B3T2E1	No			
1342	2021-11-05 20:02:07	Yes	B3T0C6	Yes	Yes	No	The CMC has nothing to gain from it staying as it currently is. HRM stands to save 2 million dollars. I am inclined to believe the facts presented by CMC over the 'studies' you said you've done. Little environmental benefit is better than none. We need all of the little helps any where we can.
1343	2021-11-05 20:38:33	Yes	B3t0a2	Yes			
1345	2021-11-05 19:50:42	No	B3Z2T4	Yes	Yes	No	Most of the waste is inert - so not all of it.
1348	2021-11-03 17:59:35	No	B3L3H5	Yes			
1350	2021-11-05 19:02:54	No	B3g1a5				
1351	2021-11-05 18:58:41	No	b0p1p0	Yes			
1353	2021-11-05 18:55:55	Yes	b3t1r5	Yes			
1354	2021-11-05 18:21:58	Yes	B3t1b1				

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1355	2021-11-05 17:56:09	No	B3Z2T1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	Most food waste' sounds pretty vague. Why mess with a working system to save a few dollars.
1356	2021-11-05 18:03:41	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	No	
1358	2021-11-05 17:57:20	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes			
1359	2021-11-05 17:50:35	No	b2y2a6	Yes			
1360	2021-11-05 17:36:31	Yes	B3T1V6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1361	2021-11-05 17:30:56	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	No	Stop manipulating words. The community did not sign on for this when the plant was originally proposed.
1362	2021-11-05 17:36:27	No	b3t1s5	Yes			
1363	2021-11-05 17:37:06	Yes	B3t2e1	Yes			
1364	2021-11-05 17:19:16	No	B0J1T0	Yes	Yes	No	Don't see how the arguments relate to honouring an agreement. If you wish to change the terms of an agreement it would seem necessary to get the agreement of all parties. I do not believe this to be the case.
1368	2021-11-05 16:44:20	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1369	2021-11-05 16:39:39	No	B3Z3A9	Yes	Yes	No	Systemic racism is blatantly evident by ignoring the social contract with the Black and poor communities nearby.
1370	2021-11-05 16:51:27	Yes	B3t0j3	Yes	Yes	No	
1371	2021-11-02 15:24:34	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes			
1373	2021-11-05 10:05:15	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes			
1375	2021-11-05 15:39:22	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	I don't agree with this interpretation. Why stop this now? Who is benefitting?
1376	2021-11-05 15:10:16	No	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	Again, this system is working and will continue to work will if people and government will let it. Their is no good reason to change things and there has been no problems with it the way it is.
1378	2021-11-05 15:19:43	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	Because I, and most rural citizens, do not trust a governing body that tries to change a long-standing agreement. The desire to not honour the agreement as at it has functioned since this landfill was reluctantly accepted by these communities betrays each and every one of us. It shows our reluctance and mistrust of municipal government is absolutely justified.
1383	2021-11-05 15:07:44	Yes	B3T2J5	Yes	Yes	No	Bull fucking shit keep agreement in place province has guaranteed no change to operating process or go find another location
1384	2021-11-05 15:14:54	No	B3z0n9	Yes	Yes	No	I feel like this is being sneaky and by-passing the original intent with careful wording.
1385	2021-11-05 15:16:35	Yes	B3N2M3	Yes			
1388	2021-11-05 14:38:48	Yes	B3t0k5	Yes	Yes	No	
1390	2021-11-05 14:01:15	No	B3T1Z5	Yes	Yes	No	the agreement was established for a reason ..no enough information has been presented to the public for this change to take place
1394	2021-11-05 13:27:14	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	Hoping people sort their garbage correctly is not a good strategy.... lots of poorly sorted garbage still makes it to the site. How can a community in Nova Scotia or elsewhere ever trust the government to honour their commitments in the future if the government breaches the trust and the intent of the agreement this time.
1395	2021-11-05 13:51:01	No	B3N1K1	Yes			
1396	2021-11-05 13:50:29	Yes	B3t1n2	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1401	2021-11-05 12:12:08	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	The landfill was designed to be operated using the FEP/WSF equipment and the municipality committed to the community that the facility operating the facility as designed. You're now changing the design, by removing equipment meant to prevent unwanted waste streams from entering the facility. This goes against the intent and spirit of previous commitments.
1402	2021-11-05 12:48:08	No	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe the Agreement is being honoured
1404	2021-11-05 12:05:35	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	I am concerned that the bottom line (financially) comes before the safety of the community.
1407	2021-11-05 11:41:29	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes			
1408	2021-11-05 11:18:21	Yes	B3t1r3	Yes	Yes	No	Garbage bags won't be checked
1409	2021-11-05 10:54:40	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	most is half plus 1, not even close to "all"
1410	2021-11-05 10:57:29	Yes	B3t2a4	Yes	Yes	No	Not true
1412	2021-11-05 10:58:17	No	B3Z1L8	No			
1414	2021-11-05 10:47:26	No	B3T1S1	Yes	No		
1415	2021-11-05 10:51:56	No	B3t2a2	Yes			
1418	2021-11-05 10:08:10	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	The city needs to uphold their end of the agreement. No one wants a dump in their own backyard, so if we must live with it, the city needs to continue to protect the surrounding community. This is a 'bait & switch' scenario and the residents will bear the impacts of this decision.
1419	2021-11-05 09:55:00	No	B3t2a2	Yes			
1423	2021-11-05 09:39:03	No	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	However, the IWRMS was clear that the material to be disposed had to meet several important conditions before it was deemed acceptable for disposal. The material must not include: recyclable material hazardous waste organic material that has not been stabilized.
1424	2021-11-05 09:35:05	Yes	B3t1n2	Yes	Yes	No	You are trying to find loopholes. Also households are still allowed to have one "privacy" bag of garbage so those bags likely contain food waste that is not being diverted to compost.
1426	2021-11-05 08:35:06	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes			
1427	2021-11-05 08:51:54	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Love the word "Most". "Most" of the waste is considered "inert". How do you propose to monitor that it continues to be the case if there is no FEP to ferret out the non-inert waste? As I understand it will be a free for all with no checks and balances. Have at 'er boys, dump away!
1428	2021-11-05 08:59:17	No	B3T1R3	Yes			
1430	2021-11-05 08:42:36	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	No	N
1431	2021-11-02 16:08:11	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	Why is the facility continuing to operate, wasn't it only for 20 years. Take your garbage to a new community!!!!
1432	2021-11-05 07:25:01	Yes	B3Z1G5	Yes	Yes	No	It to me would be a broken contract.
1434	2021-11-05 07:11:55	No	b3t1x3	Yes			
1435	2021-11-05 06:51:27	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	No	The FEP/WSP need to stay activated. There are some things you can't put in the green bin anymore.
1436	2021-11-04 13:34:13	No	B3t1x5	Yes			
1438	2021-11-05 06:55:40	No	B3t1v3	Yes			
1440	2021-11-05 06:39:09	No	B3T2G1	Yes			
1443	2021-11-05 06:14:43	No	B3T1R6	Yes			
1444	2021-11-05 06:08:03	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes			
1445	2021-11-05 05:51:09	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1446	2021-11-05 06:07:56	No	B3Z1H7	No			
1447	2021-11-05 05:49:07	Yes	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	Review and up update agreement with neighbours
1449	2021-11-05 05:14:12	Yes	B3T2J5	Yes	Yes	No	It is arguing semantics. Does this amendment truly reflect the spirit of the original document? This is not bargaining in good faith.
1452	2021-11-05 03:46:15	No	B3T2E8	Yes	Yes	No	
1454	2021-11-05 00:08:13	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	No	Sounds like you are saying "well there probably won't be much unacceptable waste so it doesn't really matter 6 to one, half a dozen to another"
1455	2021-11-05 00:09:42	No	B3s1k1	Yes	Yes	No	
1456	2021-11-05 00:08:07	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes			
1458	2021-11-04 23:49:13	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	
1459	2021-11-04 23:20:51	Yes	B3Z1G6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1462	2021-11-04 22:28:16	Yes	B3Z2L4	Yes			
1463	2021-11-04 22:09:56	No	B4E0N6	Yes	Yes	No	There was an understanding that environmental safeguards would be in place. When the landfill was opened, it was state-of-the-art. Officials from all over the world wanted to see this facility. Now we are told it doesn't work. Think about that for a while.
1464	2021-11-04 21:48:00	No	B3t2e9	Yes	Yes	No	This addresses some concerns, but the point isn't to only remain compliant to the original agreement, but also to ensure there is action in good faith of the intended nature of the agreement.
1465	2021-11-04 21:56:26	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	Yes	No	
1466	2021-11-04 21:34:43	No	B3T0B3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1468	2021-11-04 21:36:36	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes			
1472	2021-11-04 20:31:23	Yes	B3t0c2	Yes	Yes	No	The life of this facility should be closed by 2024 as originally agreed. The community does not want it here.
1474	2021-11-04 20:14:33	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	Having been around for the original enforcing of the Landfill on the Timberlea/Prospect Community it was clearly stated that the Landfill will always have access to the FEP and WSF, that at least was stated by many HRM Concillors and Staff, this assurance did minorly calm those living near the Landfill, if this promise is broken it's breaking word and contract and should be fought from all angles
1475	2021-11-04 20:05:11	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	No	Not fully if the materials are not sorted as they are currently more unacceptable waste will end up in the cells.
1479	2021-11-04 20:26:04	No	B4e3c1	Yes			
1480	2021-11-02 18:49:52	No	B3l2y8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1481	2021-11-04 19:18:30	No	B3T2A2	Yes	Yes	No	I was present at the community consultations. The insinuation was that the MOST amount of organic material possible was going to be kept out of the landfill . A two tier system , source separation and an FEP was to accomplish this . The spirit of the promise is not being honoured
1483	2021-11-04 19:46:06	Yes	B3t1a9	Yes	Yes	No	Who determined what is acceptable? People vote for ones they can trust.
1485	2021-11-04 19:44:56	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	
1487	2021-11-04 19:34:08	Yes	B3t2e6	Yes			
1490	2021-11-04 19:22:44	No	B3t2l1	Yes			
1492	2021-11-04 18:58:55	Yes	B3t1r5	Yes	Yes	No	I believe to honor the spirit of the agreement requires performing due diligence to ensure that waste is as safe as possible. I do not believe this can be achieved with the deactivation of the FEP and WSF.
1493	2021-11-04 19:10:05	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1494	2021-11-04 16:02:33	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes		
1496	2021-11-04 18:13:45	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes	Yes	No	
1498	2021-11-04 18:46:19	Yes	B3t2j3	Yes	Yes	No	The community believes the agreement does mean the FEP/WSF is in operation. Please honour that social contract. Do not deactivate Dexter and the municipal group dump the most
1500	2021-11-04 18:45:44	No	B3Z1C4	Yes	Yes	No	HONOR THE AGREEMENT THAT WAS SIGNED WITH RESIDENTS OF THE AREA. HONOUR THE AGREEMENT PERIOD!
1503	2021-11-04 16:49:35	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes	Yes	No	
1505	2021-11-04 18:23:48	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes			
1510	2021-11-04 17:51:19	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Mitigations are not in alignment with the original agreement.
1515	2021-11-04 16:56:50	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
1518	2021-11-04 15:58:32	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	
1520	2021-11-04 16:01:04	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	Why have an agreement if it is going to continuously be modified?
1522	2021-11-04 16:04:31	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	Yes	No	
1524	2021-11-04 15:42:58	No	B3z1G1	Yes	Yes	No	Don't trust them not being held accountable
1529	2021-11-04 15:54:09	No	B3Z2J2	No			
1531	2021-11-04 15:39:35	Yes	b3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1532	2021-11-04 15:23:19	Yes	B3t2j3	Yes	Yes	No	You are going back on an agreement by proposing this deactivation. Honor the commitment that was made. This is yet another example of poor management at HRM. Taxpayers money would be better spent by removing inept bureaucrats and hiring competent people.
1535	2021-11-04 14:35:55	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	No	How do you know it is acceptable without sorting it as was agreed by the Municipality and the residents impacted by the existence of Otter Lake.
1536	2021-11-04 14:43:19	No	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	I think that green carts do not capture all of the food waste - especially from restaurants, and without FEP/WSF, food waste will get in the land fill
1537	2021-11-04 13:01:53	No	B3T2G5	Yes			
1538	2021-11-04 14:25:53	Yes	b3t1c9	Yes	Yes	No	Relying on the public to do their part is always a dangerous assumption
1539	2021-11-04 14:32:47	Yes	b3t2j3	Yes	Yes	No	This was sold to the community under the idea of a FEP/WSF. Now the city is trying to lawyer the people who said yes in good faith.
1541	2021-11-04 13:53:56	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	The conclusion reached is actually an assumption based upon the statement the residual material being minor. I have not seen any supporting data that supports the conclusion from a statistical analysis perspective
1544	2021-11-04 13:58:14	No	B3T1S5	Yes			
1545	2021-11-04 14:01:07	Yes	B3T1S7	Yes	Yes	No	
1547	2021-11-04 13:51:21	No	B3T1X2	Yes	No		
1556	2021-11-04 13:12:04	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1557	2021-11-04 13:21:04	No	B3z0n4	Yes			
1559	2021-11-04 12:44:00	No	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1564	2021-11-04 12:20:24	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	No	HRM needs to start a siting process for a new landfill
1568	2021-11-04 12:11:04	Yes	B3T1K9	No			
1569	2021-11-04 11:56:31	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
1572	2021-11-04 11:40:17	No	B3t1t5	Yes	Yes		
1577	2021-11-04 11:33:38	Yes	b3t1s6	Yes			
1581	2021-11-04 11:20:52	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes			
1582	2021-11-04 11:07:18	No	B3Z1L8	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1583	2021-11-04 11:01:06	No	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	Stop trying to make it seem like this is ok. It's not ok. There is an agreement in place that should be maintained. Clearly HRM councils word counts for nothing and the way they are trying to sneak this through makes me all the angrier.
1584	2021-11-04 10:52:21	No	b3t2l1	Yes	Yes	No	honour your agreement!
1585	2021-11-04 10:25:11	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1589	2021-11-04 10:48:30	No	B3t0j9	Yes			
1590	2021-11-04 10:43:00	No	B3T2B8	Yes			
1594	2021-11-04 10:19:48	No	B3T1S8	Yes	Yes	No	That is a joke!! Not realistic.
1595	2021-11-04 10:30:26	No	B3t2b1	Yes			
1596	2021-11-04 10:22:11	Yes	b3 1a2	No			
1598	2021-11-04 10:08:43	No	B3T2B9	Yes	Yes	No	
1600	2021-11-04 10:14:05	Yes	B3T0A2	Yes			
1601	2021-11-04 10:04:52	No	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	No	
1603	2021-11-04 09:29:55	Yes	B3T1g&	Yes	Yes	No	The original agreement was to end the usage of facility by 2024, now safety measures are being removed and the use has been extended breaking the original agreement with the community with little to zero compensation being delivered from even the original agreement to do so.
1605	2021-11-04 09:29:27	Yes	B3t1c9	Yes	Yes	No	Most of the waste is not good enough and this is not guaranteed. Our environment and safety is worth 2 million dollars.
1609	2021-11-04 09:28:11	Yes	B3T5c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1613	2021-11-04 09:22:40	Yes	B3T1K5	No			
1616	2021-11-04 09:18:13	No	B3t1x2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1620	2021-11-04 09:02:11	Yes	B2T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	This mitigation process was outlined in agreement and was what was understood by the community. The fact remains that food waste still enters the system through black bag composition. Enough over time will increase the need to continue operations of the system.
1621	2021-11-04 08:29:23	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	No	
1622	2021-11-04 08:36:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	comment is not being honored as discrimination
1623	2021-11-04 08:29:53	No	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe HRM's statement that most putrescible waste has been diverted. There are strong odours from the site.
1624	2021-11-04 09:01:02	No	B3T2L1	No			
1626	2021-11-04 08:57:08	Yes	B3T0C1	Yes	Yes	No	
1629	2021-11-04 08:51:26	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	
1631	2021-11-04 08:42:18	No	B3t2b2	Yes			
1634	2021-11-04 08:42:39	No	B3L2Y8	No			
1636	2021-11-04 08:12:53	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	No	
1637	2021-11-04 08:01:23	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	No	There is no mention above of hospital, industrial and commercial waste above. If so it wasn't clear. If it's not mentioned, this is a red flag. This survey is pretty bias and misleading, or at the very least, confusing and provides minimal information for decision making. I will be sharing this with my networks to push for more transparency.
1638	2021-11-04 08:26:28	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes			
1639	2021-11-04 08:04:13	Yes	B3t2g3	Yes	Yes	No	I would be much more comfortable if the Monitoring Committee was on board with these changes. Their role is to « monitor » and I trust that they are knowledgeable in these matters. If they don't agree, I don't either.
1641	2021-11-04 08:30:19	Yes	B3T2g8	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1642	2021-11-04 08:26:05	Yes	B3T0C9	Yes			
1644	2021-11-04 07:54:52	Yes	b3t1t2	Yes	Yes	No	If what you say above it true, then propose changes instead of 100% screwing of the community. If the monitoring committee is against what you are proposing, then it's a bad idea. Work with them to come up with a joint proposal supported by both. Everyone would then buy into it as it would clearly be balanced (as opposed to dictated)
1648	2021-11-04 07:26:29	Yes	B3T2E5	Yes	Yes	No	Sorting is a safeguard to making sure all wastes are at the right place. This change is solely for the sake of saving money and we are the ones who will have to pay the price when it fails. And it does, good luck for the next time your try to make an agreement with another community.
1650	2021-11-04 07:32:25	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1651	2021-11-04 06:58:27	Yes	B3T0H7	Yes	Yes	No	Is it then that my understanding is that food waste ie green cart will not be placed directly into the landfill.
1652	2021-11-04 06:54:36	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	because untreated organics will be put in landfill
1655	2021-11-04 06:50:55	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	This whole survey is extremely frustrating. The proposed changes are not in keeping with the original agreement. Period. I again ask-would those proposing the changes live in the area? How many do?
1656	2021-11-04 07:06:16	No	B3t1w5	Yes			
1658	2021-11-04 06:49:02	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
1659	2021-11-04 06:41:15	No	B4B1N4	Yes			
1660	2021-11-04 06:14:16	No	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	No	
1664	2021-11-04 06:23:22	No	B4e3b3	Yes			
1667	2021-11-04 06:05:06	No	B3t1w5	Yes			
1668	2021-11-04 06:06:06	No	B3E1M4	Yes			
1670	2021-11-04 05:27:16	No	B3P0C2	Yes	Yes	No	A lot of people have purchased in this area for prices that are extremely inflated right now. This is all been done within the last 2 years and I guarantee a lot of them do not know about the expansion of this landfill. There's an agreement with the community the agreement should be honored otherwise why do we bother doing them
1671	2021-11-04 04:55:59	Yes	B3t1c2	Yes	Yes	No	The agreement was that we would have the world class system. You can't change the rules now because it is inconvenient for you. Shameful.
1672	2021-11-03 17:32:26	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	I believe shutting these down will result in too much unnecessary materials going into the facility
1675	2021-11-03 23:41:40	Yes	B3t1b9	Yes	Yes	No	
1677	2021-11-03 22:17:23	Yes	b3t1b2	Yes	Yes	No	More information required. How much "Stable Materials" is still arriving in comparison to financial and environmental costs? Based on current tonnages and risk of increased waste how compliant would HRM be without FEP/WSF?
1679	2021-11-03 22:37:56	No	B3T1V8	Yes	Yes	No	Council wanting to change this agreement
1680	2021-11-03 18:47:54	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	Although the composting/green been program is successful, There are still many "old school" residents in HRM who do not compost. Therefore the FEP should stay in place. I understand removing the FEP& WSF will save money, however no price can be put on the environment, its health and the health of everything that lives in it!

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1681	2021-11-03 22:09:54	Yes	b3t1r4	Yes	Yes	No	in favour of keeping the system we have in accordance with the CMC. If you don't want to abide by the agreement, move the dump elsewhere because we don't want all of HRMs garbage polluting and stinking up our community.
1682	2021-11-03 22:28:41	Yes	b3t2c8	Yes			
1683	2021-11-03 22:13:15	No	B3T1S2	Yes			
1684	2021-11-03 22:20:02	No	B3t1p7	Yes			
1689	2021-11-03 21:29:15	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	"Most" of the waste? Not all of the waste. Previous interventions made by the city to reduce organic material with green bins and clear bags have led to significant improvements. Why is ok to stop now? Continue to process until organic material is reduced even further.
1691	2021-11-03 21:39:51	Yes	B3T2J2	Yes	Yes	No	
1692	2021-11-03 21:43:06	Yes	B3t2g2	Yes			
1693	2021-11-03 21:38:12	No	b3z1p7	Yes			
1694	2021-11-03 21:18:08	No	B2x2x5	Yes	Yes		
1695	2021-11-03 21:36:37	No	B3T1Z9	Yes			
1696	2021-11-03 21:31:39	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1697	2021-11-03 21:23:39	Yes	B3t1r3	Yes	Yes	No	
1699	2021-11-03 21:20:54	No	b4c2n9	Yes			
1703	2021-11-03 20:58:03	No	B4C2Y8	Yes	No		
1706	2021-11-02 15:35:17	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	Listen to the monitoring Committee. They have been around longer than I have as well as most of you on council.
1710	2021-11-03 20:37:25	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	
1712	2021-11-03 16:32:19	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	No	I don't agree if that was the proposal from the beginning, no one would have agreed to not having FEP/WSF in place in the original proposal. It was put in place as a tool and to ensure there would be less pollution and harm done to the community and ecosystems. Now money and politics is more important than sacrificing a agreement and environment.
1715	2021-11-03 20:31:53	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	Given the community monitoring committee went to the trouble to send a flyer to share their concerns, and given they understand the issue more than us, we do not support this change nor trust any decisions are being made for the community and not the bottom line
1716	2021-11-03 20:30:40	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	This breaks the agreement
1718	2021-11-03 19:27:16	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	No	Our citizens in the adjacent areas were promised that there would be no preventable issues from this landfill, I do not want another site like the decommissioned Sackville Landfill site
1720	2021-11-02 17:26:46	Yes	B3Z4B4	Yes	Yes	No	HRM HAS NO ALTERNATIVE TO ENSURE ONLY ACCEPTABLE WASTE ENTERS THE LANDFILL. PLEASE HONOUR THE AGREEMENT. HRM HAS A LEGAL AND MORAL OBLIGATION TO OUR COMMUNITY!
1721	2021-11-03 20:09:07	Yes	B3T0C8	Yes	Yes	No	
1722	2021-11-03 20:07:12	Yes	B3H0H3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1726	2021-11-03 19:56:25	No	B3z1l7	Yes			
1728	2021-11-03 19:31:54	Yes	B3T1V1	Yes	Yes	No	The agreement is with the community, if the community has not agreed to alter that agreement, it's a breach of the agreement
1731	2021-11-03 18:58:57	No	B3T1Y8	Yes	No		
1732	2021-11-03 19:14:51	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	
1734	2021-11-03 19:22:20	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1735	2021-11-03 18:55:44	No	B3t2l2	Yes	Yes	No	Clearly the fep and the wsf have had positive effects on the success of this project. It is wonderful that residents have stepped up and we hope they continue to do their part. If demand is less as a result then I would expect the costs of the fep would be gradually reducing, there should be no reason to eliminate these worthwhile services
1738	2021-11-03 19:11:22	Yes	B3T156	Yes	Yes	No	
1740	2021-11-03 19:04:36	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes			
1741	2021-11-03 14:08:13	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	HRM has been growing at a very fast rate. All those people create massive amounts of waste. It makes no sense to disband something that costs \$\$\$\$ when our waste production will be growing very quickly.
1742	2021-11-03 18:16:24	No	B3t1t1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Stick to the promise or move it to someone else's backyard.
1747	2021-11-03 18:59:00	No	B3T1B1	No			
1748	2021-11-03 08:08:27	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
1749	2021-11-03 08:08:12	Yes	B3t1a7	No			
1750	2021-11-02 19:15:26	No	b3t1X8	No			
1752	2021-11-03 18:35:23	Yes	B3t1c2	Yes	Yes	No	Spirit of agreement
1753	2021-11-03 18:02:51	Yes	b3t1a4	Yes	Yes	No	The report that was commissioned was inconclusive so how can you claim that removing the FEP/WSF will have no impact? Saving 2 million dollars can be more important than caring for the community and /or the environment. I am very cynical about the motivation behind this proposed change .Owls Head has not been protected.How is this any different ?
1754	2021-11-03 18:22:37	Yes	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	This is not accurate.
1757	2021-11-03 18:23:20	No	B3T1V7	Yes			
1759	2021-11-03 18:11:36	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1761	2021-11-02 15:25:47	No	B3T2L2	Yes			
1763	2021-11-03 18:04:19	Yes	B3T2G8	Yes	No		
1764	2021-11-03 17:51:38	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	I do not see any benefits to changing the agreement other than cost. Removing the separation takes away the ability to monitor what is going into the landfill. This smacks of corruption
1765	2021-11-03 17:32:12	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	No	Cut backs are never a good thing
1766	2021-11-03 17:43:23	Yes	B3t2g6	Yes	Yes	No	I do not trust your response
1767	2021-11-03 17:37:50	Yes	B3t1a4	Yes	Yes	No	
1772	2021-11-03 17:18:54	Yes	B3T2E6	Yes	No		
1774	2021-11-03 17:07:51	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	
1775	2021-11-03 17:09:25	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes			
1776	2021-11-03 17:04:04	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	Agreement not met
1778	2021-11-03 16:37:04	Yes	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	The community took on this landfill bases on signed commitments, if you go back on your word, your word is not worth anything.
1779	2021-11-03 15:56:48	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes			
1781	2021-11-03 16:07:17	No	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	No	It wasn't acceptable then, it is not acceptable now
1782	2021-11-03 16:39:43	No	B3T1Y9	Yes	No		
1783	2021-11-03 16:40:52	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes			
1785	2021-11-03 16:25:58	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	
1786	2021-11-03 16:22:59	Yes	B3t1v1	Yes	Yes	No	
1788	2021-11-03 16:12:11	Yes	B3t0b6	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1789	2021-11-03 16:15:12	Yes	B3T1K6	Yes	Yes	No	I feel like this isn't statistically true. There's no way you're going to be able to reduce your load by cutting corners and reducing things. What you have now isn't working ..
1793	2021-11-03 15:27:51	No	B3P2H4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1795	2021-11-03 15:35:32	Yes	B3t1a9	Yes	No		
1797	2021-11-03 15:27:34	Yes	b3t1v8	Yes	Yes		
1800	2021-11-03 15:03:42	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	No	
1801	2021-11-03 15:06:54	Yes	B3T2G5	Yes	Yes	No	
1803	2021-11-03 14:49:21	Yes	b3t1r8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1804	2021-11-03 15:04:33	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
1805	2021-11-03 15:00:10	No	B3t1p6	Yes			
1806	2021-11-03 14:46:23	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	No	I would like to know how much waste is currently being put through the Fep
1810	2021-11-03 14:36:34	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes	Yes	No	This interpretation is splitting hairs and is not in the spirit of what was intended by the agreement.
1811	2021-11-03 14:44:03	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes			
1812	2021-11-03 14:19:31	Yes	B3t1a2	Yes	Yes	Yes	Saying that the original agreement "does not specifically mention" is a sneaky way of getting around breaking the agreement.
1814	2021-11-03 14:30:20	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	No	What about it's the right thing to do not the most cost effective.
1816	2021-11-03 14:01:21	Yes	B3t1t5	Yes	Yes	No	1999? It's almost 2022...and significant changes in environmental issues are present...the agreement and the council and appointment to the CMC is old
1817	2021-11-03 14:19:51	No	b3m0e2	Yes			
1818	2021-11-03 14:13:18	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	These changes represent the govt going back on a deal with the community. Its time to move the site somewhere else. This is completely unacceptable!
1819	2021-11-03 13:51:16	No	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	Honour the agreement. Especially, listen to the monitoring committee.
1820	2021-11-03 13:51:31	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1821	2021-11-03 13:18:01	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes			
1822	2021-11-03 11:22:47	No	B3T1X2	Yes	Yes	No	Bullshit! Honour the agreement. Improve the systems. DO NOT REMOVE!!!
1823	2021-11-03 13:00:20	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	No	HRM is trying to back out of an agreement with the community and is refusing to recognize legitimate concerns
1824	2021-11-03 12:29:44	Yes	B3T1K5	Yes	Yes	No	Promises have been broken. We have taken our turn now it is time for another community to take it on. Waiting for the promised parkland
1825	2021-11-03 10:36:17	No	B3T1S7	Yes	Yes	No	I have now tried twice to answer this question and been told my response is 'invalid'. If you didn't want my answer why did you waste my time by asking me?
1826	2021-11-03 11:53:58	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	No	All semantics
1827	2021-11-03 11:59:02	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1828	2021-11-03 11:51:31	No	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	No	This facility has exceeded its original agreed lifespan and due to the ongoing issues and attempts by HRM to undermine the communities wishes, it should be shut down and a new facility constructed elsewhere.
1829	2021-11-03 11:21:43	Yes	B3T2G8	Yes	Yes	No	Your information does not address how the Society feels about this change. You're only presenting one side of the issue - I remain concerned.
1830	2021-11-03 11:24:06	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1831	2021-11-03 10:57:07	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	The key phrase is " based on current tonnages ". At present the tonnages are reduced as HRM is sending institutional and apartment buildings waste to facilities outside of HRM . What happens if those facilities refuse to accept HRM waste.
1832	2021-11-03 10:54:32	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	No	The original agreement was put in place for a reason. It seems that nothing has changed. Leave it as it was agreed.
1833	2021-11-03 10:56:41	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes	Yes	No	
1834	2021-11-03 10:49:26	No		Yes	Yes	No	
1835	2021-11-03 10:30:15	Yes	B3t1r7	Yes	Yes	No	Food waist will still end up there and hrm needs to stick to the original agreement and do everything in its power when the environment is concerned.
1836	2021-11-03 10:05:16	Yes	B3t1t9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1837	2021-11-03 10:10:55	No	B3T1W6	Yes			
1838	2021-11-03 10:03:38	No	B3T2A3	Yes	No		
1839	2021-11-03 08:21:24	No	B3T1Z3	Yes	Yes	No	Hold a public information session. A survey with "canned" responses is not enough!
1840	2021-11-03 08:37:51	No	b3t1v2	Yes	Yes	No	landfill is already long past its agreed lifespan date - as many protections as possible must remain
1841	2021-11-03 08:17:55	No	B3T2B8	Yes	Yes	No	I do not believe that FEP/WSF was not included in the agreement. Regardless, the agreement was minimal impact to the community.
1842	2021-11-03 07:31:46	Yes	B3T0B2	Yes	Yes	No	
1843	2021-11-03 06:22:06	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	This is a violation of the 1999 Agreement and a betrayal of HRM's commitment to host communities. The agreement clearly stipulates that only 'acceptable waste' as defined May be landfilled for the life of the landfill. Some process to achieve the definition of acceptable waste must be in place.
1844	2021-10-28 17:17:53	No	B3I2y8	Yes			
1845	2021-11-03 07:02:47	No	B3T2L2	Yes			
1846	2021-11-03 07:02:39	No	B3t2I2	Yes			
1847	2021-11-03 06:31:24	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	This is a misrepresentation of of the terms of the agreement. 4000 tons of unacceptable waste was processed in 2019 through FEP/WSF.
1848	2021-11-03 06:32:33	No	B3t2k8	Yes	Yes	No	
1849	2021-11-03 06:24:23	No	B3T2L2	Yes			
1850	2021-11-03 05:21:10	Yes	B3Z1L4	Yes	Yes	No	The commitment was 25 years. Decommission in 2024. Find another community to house this. Keep breaking agreements and this will be even more challenging. We've agreed to 25 years. Decommission in 2024
1851	2021-11-03 04:19:44	Yes	B3t2e3	Yes			
1852	2021-11-03 04:15:58	No	B3t1r4	Yes			
1853	2021-11-02 21:45:01	No	B3T1Z3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1854	2021-11-02 21:16:52	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes			
1855	2021-11-02 20:56:32	Yes	B3T1V8	Yes	Yes	No	
1856	2021-11-02 20:25:58	No	B3t1t6	Yes	Yes	No	Agreement was for landfill to operate for a 25-year period only.
1857	2021-11-02 19:57:57	No	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	No	There needs to be a greater debate
1858	2021-11-02 19:49:44	No	B3Z1L4	Yes	Yes	No	The community relies on these agreements. What is the point in signing one, for an agreed amount of time, what is the point in creating future agreements if the government is going to change in before the expiry.
1859	2021-11-02 19:27:03	No	B3T1R6	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1860	2021-11-02 19:00:57	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	The agreement meant this was only ever agreed to bc you quacks said it would be 25yrs. Now you disrespect us and are trying to force this on us for longer.
1861	2021-11-02 18:55:04	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1862	2021-11-02 18:56:23	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	Yes	No	
1863	2021-11-02 18:11:46	No	B3T1T4	Yes			
1864	2021-11-02 18:15:33	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes	No	
1865	2021-11-02 17:30:20	Yes	B3T1Z5	Yes	Yes	No	
1866	2021-11-02 16:40:23	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	This site was supposed to be a 25 year agreement and that is long surpassed also the measures in place have been working as best they can, PEOPLE will not sort properly they already don't, some people don't sort at all
1867	2021-11-02 14:34:16	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	Yes	No	Without inspection of all residential waste at the tipping floor, who knows what will be in the black bags. Sorting of residential waste is imperative to stabilize a cell under current directions from HRM's Staff. We don't need to fix something that's not broken
1868	2021-11-02 15:49:35	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes		
1869	2021-11-02 15:14:23	No	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	No	We went through this already. You spent tens of thousands to do a community engagement process and you heard clearly the community did not want the agreement dishonoured. This is typical beaurocracy and the community is tired of being under serviced by the municipality.
1870	2021-11-02 15:23:31	No		Yes			
1871	2021-11-02 15:02:14	No	B3t1v7	Yes			
1872	2021-11-02 14:48:27	No	B3M0P2	Yes	Yes	No	You already broke the promise to close in 2023. Stop breaking promises and honour the agreement.
1873	2021-11-02 14:43:09	Yes	B3T1P8	Yes	Yes	No	This commitment is not being honoured
1874	2021-11-02 14:11:50	No	B3M0P2	Yes			
1875	2021-11-02 14:38:17	Yes	B3T1r8	Yes	Yes	No	
1876	2021-11-02 14:29:17	No	B4A1P3	Yes	Yes		
1877	2021-11-02 14:02:42	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1878	2021-11-02 14:28:39	No	B3T1R5	Yes			
1879	2021-11-02 14:24:25	No	B3T0H6	Yes			
1880	Email/Mail-In	No	B3T2C1	Yes	Yes	No	Pumping so called clean water from the stormwater management ponds has been a well kept secret. This is a disgrace. The entire CMC should be turfed on their ears.
1881	Email/Mail-In	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	No	Organic bioactive material in this landfill is a regressive primitive and unacceptable method of waste processing.
1882	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	Basing your views on current tonnage is not responsible. There will be increased tonnage as you stated. The soeed of growth in HRM should only determine that the FEP/WSF need to be improved to handle more. I know for a fact that separation by the resident is not always done. This means at the FEP/WSF, the food waste, chemicals, metals, etc. still need to be separated. By shutting down the FEP/WSF we will be facing a toxic site in 20 years to come such as the Sackville landfill witch has to payout surrounding residents for contamination. More separation! Not lies!
1883	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T0H3	Yes	Yes	No	Cherry picking to weasel out of an agreement.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1884	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3M4M8	Yes	Yes	No	Although the Green Bin Program is effective, a great deal of food waste still ends up in the trash. Have you conducted a survey of exactly how much of the waste arriving at the site is indeed waste that should have been "Green Bin'd" but wasn't, and if so would you be willing make that information public?
1885	Email/Mail-In	No	B3Z3T6	Yes	Yes	No	What happens if things change and more waste is making its way to the landfill which shouldn't be there is the situation going to keep being monitored?
1886	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1	2021-11-08 15:25:09	No	B3H1P7	Yes			
2	2021-12-05 09:24:23	Yes	B3T0I6	Yes			
3	2021-12-04 14:41:55	No	B3T1R9	Yes			
4	2021-12-04 14:23:40	No	B3T1z8	Yes	Yes	No	
7	2021-12-04 11:44:01	No	B3L4B2	Yes	Yes	No	HRM is conceding the risk by keeping this as an option through reactivation, so might as well as maintain the current approach.
12	2021-12-03 22:10:00	No	B3Z2B3	Yes	Yes	No	HRM is not trustworthy if it has deactivated the systems to just maintain all the equipment.
13	2021-12-03 22:23:07	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	No	
14	2021-12-03 20:49:08	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	All of the items mentioned above are obvious things that will be done. I have no doubt that it can be reactivated, but I also have no doubt that it will cost more to do so. There is the cost of rehiring and inspections for operation is different then the cost for standby for example. Also, the proposal is to maintain machinery that won't be used. I can't imagine that continuing very long.
15	2021-12-03 21:32:54	Yes	B3T2E6	Yes	No		
16	2021-12-03 21:32:46	Yes	B3t2I7	Yes			
17	2021-11-11 16:41:08	No	B3L3H5	Yes	Yes	No	It all comes down to the whims of HRM council. If the equipment is maintained I have no confidence it will remain in that state for very long. No costs or timeline have been given.
18	2021-12-03 20:43:54	No	b3t2c8	Yes			
23	2021-12-03 19:10:31	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	No		
24	2021-12-03 17:39:37	Yes	B3T0E2	Yes	No		
25	2021-12-03 16:56:45	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	See previous comments
26	2021-12-03 16:22:18	No	B3T1S5	Yes			
27	2021-12-03 16:14:52	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	Yes	No	
28	2021-11-14 12:30:57	No	B3T1R8	Yes			
29	2021-11-04 13:54:59	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	As with most things, if they are taken away they never get back. All of that equipment could be out dated when it's required to bring it back on line. Thus creating another expense.
30	2021-11-25 10:10:19	No	B3T1R7	Yes			
35	2021-11-07 19:41:27	No	B3T1W9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
36	2021-12-03 11:26:34	No	B3M0P2	Yes	Yes	No	You are in a dream land to think restarting 25 year old technology after 5-10 years after is mothballed is fiscally possible. You have no intent of ever reactivating it. Dont even pretend
37	2021-11-13 18:39:52	No	B3T1S5	Yes			
38	2021-12-03 11:25:23	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	Yes	No	If it's necessary then you were wrong all along so the point is moot.
40	2021-12-03 10:45:24	Yes	B3T1X5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
41	2021-12-03 10:52:22	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes			
42	2021-12-03 10:24:39	No	B3t1f9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
44	2021-12-03 10:05:40	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	equipment deteriorates with lack of use
46	2021-12-03 09:43:58	No	B3T2A6	Yes	No		
47	2021-12-03 09:26:22	Yes	Be32e3	Yes	No		
48	2021-12-03 09:40:25	Yes	B3T0J8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
50	2021-12-03 08:45:22	No	B3T2E3	Yes			
51	2021-12-03 08:41:31	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	No	If you proceed and break the commitment why should I have any trust that you will ever reactivate or that the information you even provide is the truthg.
52	2021-12-03 07:53:12	No	B3t1s2	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
53	2021-12-03 07:27:56	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Once mothballed, I do not believe the FEP/WSF will ever be reactivated as it will be claimed to be too expensive by operators
54	2021-12-03 06:41:04	Yes	B3T1P8	Yes	Yes	No	Once a government program is cut it is historically nearly impossible to get it back. I'm also very concerned about the parameters that would be established for re-activation given that standards to stop it are being tinkered with to suit the proposed cost cutting.
55	2021-12-03 06:21:35	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes			
56	2021-12-03 05:59:48	Yes	B3t2e3	Yes	Yes	No	
57	2021-11-02 19:50:36	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes			
58	2021-12-03 05:42:11	No	B3T2A4	Yes			
59	2021-12-03 05:14:46	No	B3t1x8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
63	2021-12-02 19:14:47	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	No	
64	2021-12-02 16:48:06	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	These days its better to do more for the environment, in this case its at least the status quo apposed to your amendment to do less for our community.
66	2021-11-21 16:12:36	No	B3t1v3	Yes	No		
67	2021-12-02 13:35:06	Yes	B3t1s9	Yes			
68	2021-12-02 11:42:23	No	B3T1Z9	Yes	Yes	No	USE THE DAMN EQUIPMENT AS INTENDED up grade it as needed it should NEVER be dormant
70	2021-12-02 07:57:42	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	Your keeping it as a backup or fail safe to go back to because in the end it is still required,
71	2021-12-01 19:13:58	No	B2Y4A6	Yes	No		
72	2021-12-01 18:24:02	No	B3t1v8	Yes			
73	2021-12-01 17:36:29	No	B3T1Y8	Yes	Yes	No	
75	2021-12-01 16:52:37	No	B3T2G1	Yes	Yes	No	NO comments
77	2021-12-01 13:26:19	No	B3T1S7	Yes			
78	2021-12-01 11:03:14	Yes	B3K1K7	Yes	No		
79	2021-12-01 10:08:17	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes			
81	2021-12-01 05:17:42	No	B3T1Y3	Yes	No		
83	2021-11-30 20:30:42	No	B3T1W1	Yes			
84	2021-11-30 20:19:47	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	No		
85	2021-11-30 19:43:10	No	B3T1Z7	No			
86	2021-11-30 19:28:18	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	You will do what you want not what the people want
87	2021-11-30 18:50:05	Yes	B3z1J4	Yes	No		
89	2021-11-30 16:53:09	Yes	B3z4b6	No			
91	2021-11-30 16:30:32	No	B3T1T6	Yes	Yes	No	I do not having any faith that they would be reinstated. We will just be fed more excuses
92	2021-11-30 12:20:04	Yes	B3T0C3	Yes	No		
93	2021-11-30 11:57:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes			
94	2021-11-30 08:30:28	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	
95	2021-11-30 06:31:37	Yes	B3t0e5	Yes			
96	2021-11-30 05:48:46	Yes	B3t0e2	Yes	Yes	No	
97	2021-11-30 05:11:25	Yes	B3T0C5	Yes	Yes	No	
98	2021-11-30 04:26:12	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes		Now you are saying that the FEP/WSF could be reactivated after addressing every concern implying that it won't be necessary!
100	2021-11-06 19:45:28	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	
102	2021-11-29 19:03:16	Yes	B3z3w9	Yes	Yes	No	I don't trust what you are telling the community.
103	2021-11-29 15:31:26	No	B3M3G9	Yes	Yes	No	Typically reactivation is problematic. And what about the cost. More predictable and reliable to leave FEP / WSF in operation.
104	2021-11-29 12:49:54	No	b3t1t8	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
105	2021-11-29 12:23:08	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	If suddenly needed, it is not like reactivating it would be a quick process.
106	2021-11-29 12:47:42	No	B3T2L1	Yes			
111	2021-11-29 08:34:14	No		No			
112	2021-11-29 07:44:36	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
113	2021-11-28 22:36:07	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	Keeping rotating machinery in an operable state while not being used daily, requires occasional operation of the motors/gears. The plan mentioned only suggests that this may happen, not that it will.
114	2021-11-25 09:38:50	No	B3H2Y7	Yes	Yes	No	
116	2021-11-28 11:02:50	No	b3t1z4	Yes	No		
118	2021-11-28 08:58:19	No	B3T1W7	Yes	Yes	No	Plans are nice. I have seen many, many instances where excellent plans aren't followed. I do not trust you to follow through. The lack of critical information and the extremely poorly written survey do not encourage trust in your ability to follow through on these plans.
122	2021-11-27 17:43:03	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes			
123	2021-11-27 16:20:14	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
124	2021-11-27 16:03:53	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	
125	2021-11-27 10:01:50	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
126	2021-11-27 10:57:24	No	B3a2l8	Yes	Yes	No	I think once it's deactivated there will be decision making inertia/stalling that prevents it from being promptly reactivated. I am less concerned about the actual mechanics of reactivation being difficult.
127	2021-11-27 10:26:39	No	b2w2a8	Yes	Yes	No	Still ain't reading that.
128	2021-11-27 10:09:45	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes			
129	2021-11-27 08:52:29	No	B3z1e2	No			
133	2021-11-26 14:55:51	No	B2W0H6	Yes			
134	2021-11-26 14:49:36	No	b3m0n1	Yes			
135	2021-11-26 14:00:24	No	B3T1W7	Yes	Yes	No	I know how the documentation was maintained, so I'm not confident of this much more complicated maintenance.
136	2021-11-26 13:20:12	No	B2W0L2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
137	2021-11-26 12:19:20	No	B2Y4I5	Yes	Yes	No	You can't shut down mechanical/electrical shipment for years and expect to flip a switch and have it back to normal. Ask the navy about its submarines
138	2021-11-26 10:16:40	No	B2Y3M9	Yes			
139	2021-11-26 09:18:44	No	B3T2A5	Yes			
140	2021-11-26 07:46:03	No	b3a4H4	Yes	No		
141	2021-11-26 06:44:20	No	B4A4J8	No			
142	2021-11-26 05:29:08	No	0	Yes			
143	2021-11-26 04:10:09	No	B3P2S4	Yes	Yes	No	shouldn't be shut down PERIOD!
144	2021-11-25 19:23:32	No	b3k1r3	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
145	2021-11-25 22:39:53	No	B3B1W2	Yes	Yes	No	
146	2021-11-25 22:23:40	Yes	B3t2h6	Yes	Yes	No	
147	2021-11-25 22:05:39	No	B2Y1B3	Yes	No		
148	2021-11-25 22:18:49	No	B2x2e5	No			
149	2021-11-25 21:37:53	No	B3M1V1	Yes	No		
150	2021-11-25 21:37:15	No	B3Z1H4	Yes			
151	2021-11-25 21:18:21	No	B3Z1V9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
152	2021-11-25 21:23:33	No	B3m3b6	No			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
153	2021-11-25 17:57:49	Yes	B3T1T3	Yes	Yes	No	Once the horse is out of the barn, there will be no going back. Once a system is mothballed, the staff removed and the equipment left in disuse, it will not be easily or practically brought back to function. As well, who is going to say when there is a need to restart this operation?
154	2021-11-25 18:08:41	Yes	B3T1B6	Yes			
155	2021-11-25 18:02:31	No	B3H4B2	Yes			
158	2021-11-25 16:58:07	No	B4b1n7	Yes	No		
159	2021-11-25 16:54:01	No	B2V2J9	No			
160	2021-11-25 15:58:11	No	b3n3j2	No			
162	2021-11-25 14:24:02	No	B3Z1H1	Yes	Yes	No	I'm sure that we would be treated to all kinds of excuses as to why it wouldn't be worth the dollar cost to reactivate it. What about the environmental costs of deactivating it. Lot's of promises, but most involve mitigation of problems, not elimination of them. And, since the initial promise appears to be inconvenient, thus subject to change, promises mean nothing.
163	2021-11-25 13:41:47	No	B3z3v7	Yes	No		
164	2021-11-25 13:13:06	Yes	b3T2E6	Yes	Yes	No	Honour original deal.
165	2021-11-25 11:09:27	No	B2X2H6	Yes	Yes	No	You address only technical issues that could prevent reactivation. Budgetary and political issues are much bigger factors that will prevent reactivation.
166	2021-11-25 11:51:38	No	B3Z1L3	Yes	Yes	No	You argue the FEP is unnecessary. Why even mothball the unit then? I suspect you know instinctively the answer to this. It will have to be reactivated. By then the damage to the environment and your reputations may be irreversibly harmed.
167	2021-11-25 11:56:50	No	B3L3H3	Yes	Yes	No	
171	2021-11-25 10:09:06	Yes	B3M3K4	Yes			
173	2021-11-25 09:10:29	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	This operation is needed so don't shut it down in the first place!
174	2021-11-25 09:25:03	Yes	B3t1s8	Yes	No		
175	2021-11-24 11:06:59	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	I'm not worried about the ability to restart the machinery but I do think it will be nearly impossible to get the government to make the correct, proactive decisions should things not go according to the plan. We need to get this right, right now.
176	2021-11-05 17:13:07	No		Yes			
177	2021-11-25 08:59:48	No	B4B1M7	Yes	Yes	No	Once the process is changed it will be twice the fight to get it back
178	2021-11-25 07:53:11	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes			
179	2021-11-25 08:41:02	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	Yes	No	Lets be serious, no matter what happened this would not happen. First of all it would take numerous wasted council meetings to even get to a vote that would be useless.
180	2021-11-10 11:11:55	Yes	B3T0B4	Yes	No		
182	2021-11-25 07:32:55	No	B3Z1K3	Yes	Yes	Yes	If the system can be re-activated if needed, i do not have concerns, provided monitoring is adequate.
183	2021-11-25 07:15:20	No	B4A1J6	Yes			
184	2021-11-25 07:12:12	No	B3T1Z2	Yes	Yes	No	Sounds good but wonder what trigger will cause the restart. That needs to be crystal clear
186	2021-11-25 07:00:57	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	Yes		once allowed to stop you will never start again...all about making more money for mirror
188	2021-11-25 06:38:15	No	B3L1J9	Yes			
189	2021-11-25 06:37:18	No	B3Z2N2	No			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
190	2021-11-25 05:43:05	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Equipment exposed to conditions like in the FEP/WSF is difficult to maintain when not operational for long periods. As well, maintenance workers would be focussed on ensuring needed equipment is operating as opposed to wasting effort on something that is shut down. Finally, what guarantee exists that this maintenance will continue or what situation would lead to re-opening if deemed required.
191	2021-11-25 05:43:56	No	B2W2K3	Yes	No		
193	2021-11-24 21:10:46	No	B3Z1M2	Yes			
194	2021-11-24 18:22:24	Yes	B3T0C2	Yes	Yes	No	
195	2021-11-24 18:31:49	No	B3T1V1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
196	2021-11-17 18:34:09	No	B3T1V1	Yes	Yes	Yes	No, because it does not address the political barriers for reinstatement
197	2021-11-24 16:23:03	No	B3T1R3	Yes	No		
199	2021-11-24 12:20:19	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes			
200	2021-11-24 07:49:10	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
202	2021-11-24 09:29:56	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	What is said and what is done is not always as expected. If shut down, I do not expect cooperation to reinstate if needed. Please do not shut this process down in the first place.
204	2021-11-05 20:14:01	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes		
205	2021-11-24 08:12:11	Yes	B3T1W6	Yes	Yes	No	The reality is that when mechanical facilities are mothballed, systems deteriorate and reactivation becomes more expensive than anticipated. This deactivation plan will be a first step to permanent shutdown
207	2021-11-24 07:19:53	No	B3Z1L4	Yes	Yes	No	It won't happen. This is about money, and it should be about doing the right thing. Government wastes an incredible amount of taxpayer money funding unnecessary projects such as the Peggys Cove upgrades. This is actually important to the residents. Nobody asked the residents about the Peggys Cove project, and if you survey the wider community about that, you'll see that. It was a waste of money
208	2021-11-24 06:47:37	Yes	B3T2a5	Yes	No		
209	2021-11-24 06:17:21	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	
212	2021-11-16 20:30:04	No	B3m4k9	Yes			
213	2021-11-23 15:04:58	No	B3T2L6	Yes			
214	2021-11-23 17:13:18	No	B3T1X5	Yes	Yes	No	
215	2021-11-23 16:32:43	No	B3Z1L7	Yes	No		
220	2021-11-23 14:41:29	Yes	B3t1s6	Yes	Yes	No	How many times to you need to hear? HONOR THE CONTRACT!
222	2021-11-14 09:01:40	No	B3T1V4	Yes			
223	2021-11-23 12:35:06	Yes	B3Z0K9	Yes	Yes	No	
225	2021-11-05 18:01:51	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	No	Keep running as is let's face it you all just want to spend the money elsewhere so you shut it down it will never get turned back on.
226	2021-11-23 11:34:32	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	It doesn't seem to make a lot of sense to spend the money to fully maintain the system but not use it. Is there a timeline associated with the maintenance programs noted above?
227	2021-11-23 11:53:20	No	B3T2B2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
228	2021-11-23 10:59:54	No	B3T1W1	Yes			
229	2021-11-23 11:00:22	No	B3T1X4	Yes			
230	2021-11-05 06:42:04	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
231	2021-11-23 09:12:02	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	No		
232	2021-11-23 07:54:02	No	B4g1g3	Yes	Yes	No	That's a loaded question. It should not be shit down.
233	2021-11-23 08:49:27	No	B3t2c9	Yes			
234	2021-11-23 08:34:21	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes			
235	2021-11-15 06:30:45	No	B3T2H2	Yes	Yes	No	If you have to maintain the equipment anyhow, we should keep it in operation. I fully oppose this deactivation
238	2021-11-22 17:35:24	No	B3T156	Yes			
240	2021-11-22 16:33:36	Yes	B3t0b2	Yes	Yes	No	
242	2021-11-22 15:15:31	No	B4E2R3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
243	2021-11-22 09:15:10	No		Yes			
244	2021-11-22 15:09:14	No	B3T2B5	No			
247	2021-11-22 14:29:02	Yes	B3N3J3	Yes	Yes	No	
248	2021-11-22 13:25:02	No	B3T1V4	Yes			
249	2021-11-17 07:19:08	No		Yes			
250	2021-11-22 11:58:25	No	b3t1z1	Yes	Yes	No	
251	2021-11-22 10:57:01	No	B3T1X2	Yes	Yes	No	The likley hood of reinstatement of processes once cancelled is virtually NIL in my opinion
253	2021-11-22 08:56:13	No	B3T1V8	Yes	Yes	No	Once equipment is deactivated it will deteriorate from lack of use, parts will likely be cannabalized for other machines on site and could eventually be removed without public input.
254	2021-11-22 09:11:43	No	B3t1w3	Yes	Yes	Yes	Once budget cuts are made it is near impossible to get the funding back. We ask that NO changes be made to the site.
255	2021-11-04 16:24:30	No		Yes			
257	2021-11-08 07:34:24	No		Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
258	2021-11-22 07:46:11	No		Yes	Yes	Yes	
259	2021-11-21 19:13:42	No	B3T1Y6	Yes	Yes	No	Once you stop using something that has been fought for by so many, it's much more difficult to start from scratch to argue that it needs to be used again.
260	2021-11-21 21:35:36	Yes	B3T2H7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
263	2021-11-21 20:04:16	No	B3T2B3	Yes	Yes	No	
264	2021-11-21 20:02:01	No	b3n1t3	No			
265	2021-11-21 19:28:10	No	B3Z3W8	Yes			
266	2021-11-21 10:54:40	No	B3T0B5	Yes	Yes	No	Any equipment is subject to corrosion and decay the longer it remains unused. The cost of reactivation will be more then expected
267	2021-11-21 09:15:00	No	B3T1Z8	Yes			
268	2021-11-21 08:38:26	Yes	B3Z1M9	Yes	Yes	No	It seems that someone is just blowing smoke up my ass. It may feel good but it's not
269	2021-11-21 08:34:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes			
270	2021-11-21 08:08:50	No	B3T1Y6	Yes			
272	2021-11-21 06:37:30	Yes	B3t1s6				
275	2021-11-20 22:19:47	No	B3Z1C7	Yes	No		
276	2021-11-20 22:13:14	No	B3t2b8	Yes			
277	2021-11-20 21:39:54	No	B3T2C9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
278	2021-11-20 20:55:18	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	No		
279	2021-11-20 18:20:13	No	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	No	
281	2021-11-20 14:33:02	No	B3T1X2	Yes	No		
284	2021-11-20 13:01:56	No	B3T1T8	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
285	2021-11-20 09:52:20	No	b3t2a4	Yes	Yes	No	damage occurs may be irreparable . prevention the key.system works should not tamper with it. we have more and more condos and appartments in hrm . the population of both canadian and international immigrants will increase in next 5-10 years . not familiar how to recycle . preserve all safe guards one incident too risky for small financial return. we risk growing city's water supply .
287	2021-11-20 06:22:00	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes			
288	2021-11-20 05:22:39	Yes	B3T2L4	Yes	No		
289	2021-11-19 22:45:53	No	B3t1w5	Yes	Yes	No	Once the plant is stopped, getting it re-started, and upgraded will be a time consuming and costly prospect. Equipment that sits idle never re-starts without issues.
292	2021-11-19 19:55:05	Yes	B3t1a5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
293	2021-11-19 20:00:21	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes			
297	2021-11-19 17:40:58	Yes	B3t2l6	Yes	Yes	No	If you stop it it won't be restarted
300	2021-11-19 16:24:10	Yes	B3t2e8	Yes			
301	2021-11-19 15:30:49	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes	No		
302	2021-11-19 14:41:19	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	it is a loophole for when the problem of too much organic arises we can simply put on the old hat and say oops but, we are back sorting now. damage is done
304	2021-11-19 13:53:50	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes			
306	2021-11-19 13:17:35	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	
307	2021-11-19 12:52:56	Yes	B3T1S8	Yes	Yes	No	How long will the FEP/WSF will be maintained
309	2021-11-19 13:13:25	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes	No	Once the system is deactivated, the public will have to fight to have the company turn it back on. It will become an issue and for the environment it should remain active.
310	2021-11-19 12:09:54	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Once gone it will be a huge task to get it back
312	2021-11-19 11:21:59	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
313	2021-11-19 12:01:38	Yes	B3t0e2	Yes	No		
314	2021-11-19 11:37:55	Yes	B3T0B3	Yes	No		
315	2021-11-19 11:40:51	No	b4e3j4	No			
316	2021-11-19 11:05:54	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
317	2021-11-19 10:51:58	No	B3t2a4	Yes	Yes	No	This is just another promise, such as the original agreement, that will be broken!
328	2021-11-19 09:26:04	No	B3t1s4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
329	2021-11-19 09:23:53	No	B3T1T7	Yes	No		
330	2021-11-19 09:18:34	No	B3T2E3	No			
331	2021-11-03 14:14:39	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
332	2021-11-19 09:07:23	No	B3z3x7	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
336	2021-11-19 06:57:40	No	B3t1s7	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
340	2021-11-16 06:28:52	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes		
342	2021-11-18 13:01:08	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste should not be buried in a landfill, this area is bursting with new population of people and we require this service to keep it green
343	2021-11-06 11:19:16	Yes	B3T1S3	Yes			
344	2021-11-18 08:13:25	No	B3Z1S1	Yes			
348	2021-11-17 22:58:07	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
349	2021-11-17 22:09:54	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes			
350	2021-11-17 21:58:13	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
351	2021-11-17 20:45:05	No	B3T1V4				
352	2021-11-17 16:59:54	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	No	The first certainty here is that the facilities will face reactivation problems (equipment seizures, corrosion, etc) if they are mothballed for any length of time.. The second certainty is that these problems will then be used to explain that "we can't reactivate the FEP/WSF facilities. It would be too expensive"
353	2021-11-17 18:51:05	No	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	mechanically, it can be put into an inactive condition from which it can be reactivated somewhat painlessly. Issue is with bringing staff back and budgets. I would anticipate the preference over reactivation if required would be to conduct another risk assessment and come up with mitigations
354	2021-11-17 18:35:15	Yes	B3T1T1	Yes	No		
356	2021-11-17 17:37:03	Yes	B3T1Z1	Yes	Yes	No	This violates the contract agreement... and removes a step in the process. Modify if necessary but don't remove
358	2021-11-17 17:32:46	No	B3t1p9	Yes	No		
359	2021-11-17 16:50:01	No	B3t1z5	Yes			
361	2021-11-17 08:21:13	No	B3T0J9	Yes	Yes	No	
362	2021-11-17 16:12:46	Yes	B3T1Z5	Yes	Yes	No	
364	2021-11-17 14:48:48	No	B3T1Z7	Yes	Yes	No	Deactivation of any such plant always has drawbacks. When reactivation is attempted, there are always problems that in turn have extremely expensive costs to resurrect and they then go to a vote and get shot down because of cost overruns. Keeping the facility running and maintained is the cheapest solution.
366	2021-11-17 14:03:17	No	B3T1S3	Yes	No		
368	2021-11-17 12:56:04	No	B3T2A3	Yes	Yes	No	Isn't it hugely more expensive to undo a groundbreaking, industry-leading approach to waste management, and then redo it later once the harm has been done, rather than just be proud of how Nova Scotia has been at the forefront of this environmental issue? This is penny-wise, pound-foolish.
369	2021-11-17 12:39:30	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes			
372	2021-11-17 10:06:34	Yes	B3T2B4	Yes	Yes	No	Who is to say that there will not be an excuse or delay if the government says it is to be turned back on? There will be excuses and it may not happen.
373	2021-11-17 09:17:34	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	No		
374	2021-11-17 08:29:21	No	B3L2X9	Yes			
375	2021-11-17 07:34:30	No	B3Z1L7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
378	2021-11-17 02:20:42	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	Yes	No	
379	2021-11-16 22:06:39	No	B3T2C8	Yes	No		
380	2021-11-16 21:10:18	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	Shut it down as originally promised. Area concessions were not made based on an extended operation or possible reactivation. Find another location.
381	2021-11-16 21:18:47	No	B3t1t8	Yes			
382	2021-11-16 21:09:04	No	B4E0H1	Yes			
384	2021-11-06 11:35:33	No	B3T1S6	Yes			
387	2021-11-16 20:28:47	No	B3T2A8	Yes	Yes	No	
388	2021-11-16 20:08:46	No	b4e0c5	Yes	Yes	No	
389	2021-11-16 19:53:05	Yes	b3t1m6	Yes	No		
391	2021-11-16 19:17:01	Yes	B3t1v6	Yes	No		
392	2021-11-16 17:11:00	Yes	B3t1z6	Yes			
394	2021-11-16 16:57:48	Yes	B3T1K6	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
395	2021-11-16 16:47:46	No	B3T1V3	Yes			
396	2021-11-16 16:26:13	No	b3r1y2	Yes	Yes	No	leave it running and operating.
397	2021-11-16 16:09:29	No	B3T1P7	Yes	No		
398	2021-11-16 14:32:29	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	WHY were these facilities put there in the first place: since these were started no odours---which we used to have to put up with---if this this legislation is passed by the Province, please sale or buy my house NOW!
402	2021-11-16 13:43:14	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes		
403	2021-11-16 13:20:21	No	B3T2A5	Yes	No		
404	2021-11-16 13:04:35	Yes	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
405	2021-11-16 13:08:17	No	B3.2g6	Yes			
407	2021-11-16 12:16:47	Yes	B3T1R9	Yes	Yes	No	initial program to decommission seems ok but it will rot and not be maintained, like all pneumatic and hydrolic equipment it needs operation to maintain good function.
409	2021-11-16 12:01:52	No	B3T2A3	Yes	Yes	No	
410	2021-11-16 11:28:33	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
411	2021-11-15 19:57:12	No	B3T1Z6	Yes			
412	2021-11-16 11:13:28	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
413	2021-11-16 10:54:56	No	B3T1R2	Yes	No		
418	2021-11-08 04:39:24	No	B3t2b9	No			
419	2021-11-16 09:20:25	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	
420	2021-11-16 09:14:25	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	
421	2021-11-16 08:16:26	No	B3T1R1	Yes	No		
422	2021-11-16 08:02:51	No	B3T2A6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
423	2021-11-16 07:03:38	No	B3T1S3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
425	2021-11-16 07:17:23	No	B4B0V3	No			
427	2021-11-16 06:40:08	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	No		
428	2021-11-16 05:15:53	No	B3t1r8	Yes	Yes	Yes	But if you're going to do all this, why not just keep it running, maybe less frequently
429	2021-11-16 03:50:44	No	b3t1r2	Yes			
432	2021-11-15 22:24:58	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes			
433	2021-11-15 21:42:51	No	B3z1k6	Yes	Yes	No	If you are worried about making sure it could still be used in the future then why would you stop using it in the first place. If you are 100% confident this is the best idea then why have the ability to go back to it?
436	2021-11-15 21:31:56	No	b3t0h5	Yes			
439	2021-11-15 20:24:57	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
442	2021-11-15 20:01:15	Yes	B3j1b6	Yes			
443	2021-11-15 19:21:38	No	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	Once shut down it will take a lot of pressure from local and provincial government to get it going again. This will incur a cost and generate push back from the company. No way. Leave it be.
444	2021-11-15 19:19:56	Yes	B3T0C5	Yes	Yes	No	
445	2021-11-15 19:23:32	No	B3M2Z8	Yes			
447	2021-11-15 19:20:01	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes			
448	2021-11-15 19:18:50	Yes	B3T0C5	Yes			
449	2021-11-15 18:52:28	No	B3T1S7	Yes	No		
451	2021-11-15 19:07:14	Yes	B3T0C3	Yes	Yes	No	
453	2021-11-09 17:16:13	Yes	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	
454	2021-11-15 18:12:10	No	B3T2E4	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
456	2021-11-15 18:00:43	No	B3m1b3	Yes	Yes	No	
457	2021-11-15 17:07:16	No	B3T1S7	Yes	Yes	No	Maintenance and repair of abandoned equipment will quickly be a secondary budget priority to many other pressing issues. The concern is lack of trust that all the measures will be put in place long term
459	2021-11-15 16:33:39	Yes	b3t1n1	Yes	No		
460	2021-11-15 15:34:49	Yes	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	No	What if the GRAND plan fails... Then we backpedal?
463	2021-11-04 13:14:52	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes			
467	2021-11-15 15:05:11	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	No		
468	2021-11-15 14:28:53	No	B3T1T5	Yes	Yes	No	It wouldn't need to be reactivated if it's just kept in place and used as promised to the community.
469	2021-11-15 14:37:16	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	No	
470	2021-11-04 13:58:09	No	B3t2L1	Yes	Yes	No	
472	2021-11-15 13:06:30	Yes	B3T1P4	Yes			
474	2021-11-15 11:55:02	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe it will be maintained properly and I also believe that, after a year or two, you'll just say "we're not using it anymore" and get rid of the equipment because it costs money to maintain.
475	2021-11-15 12:15:51	Yes	B3t1t5	Yes	No		
476	2021-11-15 11:06:37	Yes	B3T1A2	Yes	No		
477	2021-11-15 11:09:54	No	B3t1z9	Yes	Yes	No	Cost of maintaining equipment not giving/ aging equipment. Honor 1999 agreement
478	2021-11-02 15:44:15	No	B3t1z9	Yes	Yes	No	Cost of maintaining equipment not given/aging equipment/honour 1999 agreement
479	2021-11-15 10:58:42	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes			
482	2021-11-15 10:47:45	No	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	No	Cost of maintaining
483	2021-11-15 10:38:04	No	B3t1z9	Yes	Yes	No	Cost of maintaining and star up of fep/wsf no qualified.. aging equipment
487	2021-11-15 08:33:12	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	The bottom line here is money. Getting budget to reactivate this after it's gone... I highly doubt that will happen. You'll spend lots of money to try to 'fix' something that wasn't broken, but I do not believe you'll turn back the clock. Shame on you since you should be trying to do BETTER and not go backwards.
488	2021-11-15 09:26:01	No		Yes			
490	2021-11-15 08:50:46	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	Why shut it off in the 1st place if you "may" need it again? Please indicate how much money you save by deactivation, and then we may get to the truth.
491	2021-11-15 08:35:24	No	B4A0G5	Yes	Yes	No	Once this system is turned down, I will be surprised the PRIVATE operator will take the opportunity to sell this equipment to a different region. Once gone, you won't be able to reactivate this process.
492	2021-11-15 08:16:23	No	B3T1W2	Yes	No		
493	2021-11-15 08:06:45	Yes	B3P2S2	Yes	Yes	No	If it ain't broke, don't fix it. Keep the FEP/WSF. Do not deactivate. I have a hard time believing that the FEP/WSF equipment would be properly maintained if deactivated.
494	2021-11-15 07:51:27	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	No		
496	2021-11-15 06:25:23	No	b2y2k5	Yes			
497	2021-11-15 06:30:42	No	b3t1s6	Yes			
498	2021-11-15 06:04:16	No	B4A4G9	Yes			
499	2021-11-15 06:00:23	No	B3T1Z4	Yes			
501	2021-11-15 05:17:48	No	B3T2B6	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
502	2021-11-15 04:23:45	No	B3T2B6	Yes			
503	2021-11-14 22:48:09	Yes	B3T1T3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
504	2021-11-14 23:28:13	Yes	B3t1s4	Yes	Yes	No	
505	2021-11-14 21:41:33	No	B3L4E9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
507	2021-11-14 21:08:08	Yes	B3Z2R2	Yes			
508	2021-11-14 18:53:01	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	Yes	No	Once no longer in use, it will be a big target for cost reduction to just do away entirely with the fall back option. I don't for a second believe it will survive more than a few years.
509	2021-11-14 19:24:07	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	Should not even consider how it is to be reactivated, because it should never be shut down.
510	2021-11-14 19:06:31	No	B3z2j2	Yes	Yes	No	Once something is decommissioned it will be difficult to convince all of its necessity again.
511	2021-11-14 18:40:50	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	No		
512	2021-11-14 18:24:35	No	B3z1c5	Yes			
513	2021-11-14 17:48:16	Yes	B3t1C4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
514	2021-11-14 17:24:20	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
515	2021-11-14 17:13:33	No	B3t1z1	Yes	Yes	No	
517	2021-11-14 17:03:48	No	B3T2B7	Yes	No		
518	2021-11-14 16:51:46	No	B3t2c8	Yes	No		
519	2021-11-14 16:42:02	No	B3T2a3	Yes	Yes	No	Halifax will never greenlight the money to put it back in place.
520	2021-11-14 16:19:13	Yes	B3t1w1	Yes	Yes	No	
521	2021-11-14 16:13:51	No	B3t2h8	Yes	No		
522	2021-11-14 15:41:54	No	B3Z1X7	Yes	No		
523	2021-11-06 17:49:33	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes			
524	2021-11-14 15:16:45	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes			
525	2021-11-14 14:28:12	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes			
526	2021-11-14 14:13:29	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes			
527	2021-11-14 12:59:52	No	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	
529	2021-11-14 12:32:35	Yes	B3T1T7	Yes	Yes	No	How much damage will be done and how long and how many studies would it take to re-instate the controls if eliminated?
530	2021-11-14 12:47:29	No	B3t2a8	No			
531	2021-11-14 12:46:53	Yes	b3t1a9	Yes			
532	2021-11-14 12:15:28	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
533	2021-11-14 12:23:24	Yes	b3t1a5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
534	2021-11-14 11:50:10	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
535	2021-11-14 10:54:56	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes			
537	2021-11-14 10:24:51	No	B3A2Y6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
538	2021-11-08 06:46:30	Yes	b3t3c9	Yes			
539	2021-11-14 09:41:02	No	b3t2b4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
540	2021-11-14 09:23:15	No	B3L1W1	Yes	No		
541	2021-11-14 09:02:04	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
542	2021-11-14 09:01:11	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes	No	
543	2021-11-14 07:47:50	Yes	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes		Having experience in [redacted] (plus yrs) it is costly and time consuming to re-activate any piece of machinery as well as retrain personnel to operate the FEL.
544	2021-11-14 07:20:45	Yes	B3T1A7	Yes	Yes	No	Why are you explaining what is happening now when the plan is to change what you're going to be doing in the future.
545	2021-11-13 19:53:48	Yes	B3T0B6	Yes	Yes	No	
548	2021-11-14 07:04:09	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
549	2021-11-07 15:48:37	Yes	B3t1w1	Yes	Yes	No	
550	2021-11-14 06:41:46	Yes	B3t0b3	Yes	Yes	No	Would like to see the small print please
551	2021-11-14 06:26:48	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	
552	2021-11-14 06:22:05	No	B3k1x3	Yes			
553	2021-11-14 04:19:11	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
554	2021-11-14 04:17:16	No	B4B0Y6	Yes			
555	2021-11-14 02:03:54	Yes	B3t0J3	Yes	Yes	No	
556	2021-11-14 01:17:35	Yes	B3T2J3	Yes	No		
557	2021-11-14 01:10:03	Yes	B3t1k6	Yes	No		
558	2021-11-13 23:39:07	No	B3Z0E7	Yes			
561	2021-11-13 22:03:06	No	B3T2B4	Yes			
562	2021-11-13 21:58:46	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes		No	
563	2021-11-13 21:07:26	No	B3T1V8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
565	2021-11-13 20:50:36	No	B3N1E3				
568	2021-11-13 19:12:12	Yes	B3R1R4	Yes	Yes	No	I believe once the decision to remove it will be the final answer with no real intent to reactivate.
569	2021-11-13 18:36:52	No	B3T1W7	Yes	Yes	No	Mothballed & forgotten is how the council would leave it, any activation would be after the fact of further damage and promise breaking
570	2021-11-13 18:56:22	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes			
573	2021-11-13 09:09:40	No		Yes	Yes	No	
576	2021-11-13 16:34:10	No	B3T1W2	Yes	Yes	No	The FEP/WSF should not need to be reactivated at all, because it should NEVER be turned off.
577	2021-11-13 16:15:03	Yes	B3Z1E8	Yes	Yes	No	Has this been done elsewhere with no issues if reactivating is required?
578	2021-11-13 16:12:11	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
579	2021-11-13 14:52:37	Yes	B3T1W9	Yes	Yes	No	It would cost more for the "shut" down process and maintenance than keeping it running.
580	2021-11-13 15:28:04	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	If you are going to do all this, why not just leave it running.
581	2021-11-13 15:35:18	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes			
582	2021-11-13 15:09:51	Yes	B3T0C7	Yes	Yes	No	Keep it in place if it is working! How we handle garbage is such an important part of how this city works. Who cares how much it costs, it's not a cost to be cut!!
584	2021-11-13 14:20:55	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes	Yes	No	
585	2021-11-13 14:05:39	No	B3t1s3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
586	2021-11-13 13:03:09	Yes	B3t1t7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
587	2021-11-13 12:49:35	Yes	B3t2h9	Yes			
588	2021-11-13 12:12:35	Yes	B3T1W2	Yes	Yes	No	Once it's gone, I have zero confidence it will ever come back. Whatever agenda is driving the decommissioning (HRM staff who obviously don't live in the area) have filled this survey and all the materials with platitudes. No confidence has been inspired that this is a good move, nor that the mitigations will be as effective as what we have. Zero trust or confidence inspired.
589	2021-11-13 12:34:33	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
590	2021-11-13 12:34:26	No	B3T1R2	Yes	No		
591	2021-11-12 10:59:07	No	B3T1W3	Yes			
592	2021-11-13 11:45:10	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	When things are shut down they often don't restart
594	2021-11-13 11:35:51	No	B3T2A6	Yes			
595	2021-11-13 11:23:25	No	B3t1s1	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
596	2021-11-13 11:27:25	No	B3T1W9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
598	2021-11-13 09:13:42	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	Yes	No	Once removed, believe eventual cost to re-establish will be too great as costs will inevitably increase over time
599	2021-11-13 10:52:22	Yes	B3t1v8	Yes			
601	2021-11-04 08:30:06	No	B3t1y6	Yes	Yes	No	Come on, why would it be able to be reactivated if it doesn't help....according to the powers that be?????
602	2021-11-13 09:10:48	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	Because this never happens. Remove these protections and they will not be replaced. Other 'mitigation's' will be proposed to resolve our 'perceived' concerns.
603	2021-11-13 08:49:57	Yes	B3T0H6	Yes			
605	2021-11-08 10:53:05	Yes	B3T1T8	Yes	Yes	No	If it is working why remove it.
607	2021-11-13 08:42:24	No	B3T1T9	Yes	No		
608	2021-11-13 08:43:32	No	B3T1V8	Yes			
609	2021-11-13 08:37:22	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	Equipment never comes back online with out issues after prolonged downtime
613	2021-11-13 08:01:46	No	B3T1T3	Yes			
614	2021-11-13 07:52:06	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	No		
615	2021-11-13 07:29:43	Yes	B3Z0N9	Yes	Yes	No	If you are so sure that the operation is not necessary, why maintain it at taxpayers expense?
616	2021-11-12 10:15:32	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes	No	
617	2021-11-13 07:32:01	No	B3t1z3	Yes	No		
618	2021-11-13 07:12:01	No	B3Z3A5	Yes	Yes	No	I do not have confidence that these maintenance measures will be performed completely and continually, nor that they would be sufficient to ensure easy reactivation.
619	2021-11-13 07:22:29	No	B3t1y5	Yes			
620	2021-11-13 07:24:17	No	B3T1T8	Yes			
621	2021-11-13 06:57:29	No	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
622	2021-11-13 06:34:34	No	B0J2C0	Yes	No		
623	2021-11-13 06:11:22	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	
624	2021-11-13 05:23:59	No	B3T1Y1	Yes			
625	2021-11-13 04:38:15	No	B3n1g2	Yes			
628	2021-11-12 22:32:48	No	B3T1V2	Yes	No		
630	2021-11-12 21:34:52	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
632	2021-11-12 21:45:13	No	B3t2b8	Yes			
635	2021-11-12 20:55:35	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	If the FEP/WSF is going to be maintained regardless, then keep it in operation.
636	2021-11-12 20:53:35	Yes	B3t0e1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
637	2021-11-12 20:31:03	No	B3L3W3	Yes			
638	2021-11-12 20:33:57	No	B3Z0N9	Yes			
639	2021-11-12 19:53:27	Yes	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	No	Afraid the the saving are so great the will be no incentive to start the process back up!
640	2021-11-12 20:10:10	Yes	B3T2G2	Yes	Yes	No	Please do not deactivate the FEP/WSF. Once a process is removed and the budget is removed, it would be very difficult to restart and likely would cause a tax increase when there will likely be not a tax reduction. Please honour the commitment to the community.
641	2021-11-12 19:54:56	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	
642	2021-11-12 19:51:56	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	No		
644	2021-11-04 15:18:44	No	B3T1T3	Yes			
645	2021-11-12 19:18:14	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	The FEP/WES operation is a requirement of the otter lake landfill agreement

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
647	2021-11-12 18:58:13	No	B3T1X7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
648	2021-11-12 19:00:02	No	B3R1Z6	Yes			
649	2021-11-12 18:45:16	No	B3T2L1	Yes	No		
650	2021-11-12 18:45:15	No	B3t2a6	Yes			
651	2021-11-12 18:39:00	No	B3T2B2	No			
653	2021-11-09 15:44:21	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	No	Once the government steps in and removes part of the process it will be impossible to get the money back to reinstate it
654	2021-11-12 17:58:39	No	B3t1s5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
655	2021-11-12 18:04:48	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
658	2021-11-12 17:20:52	Yes	B3t1r8	Yes			
660	2021-11-12 16:54:50	Yes	B3t1t4	Yes	Yes	No	Once something is closed it would be very expensive to restart
661	2021-11-12 16:50:26	No	B3t1p7	Yes	Yes	No	What will happen to the people currently employed there
662	2021-11-12 16:11:02	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes	Yes	No	If the numbers were more transparent, I'd say it would cost more to reactivate the machine then keeping them going.
663	2021-11-12 16:22:48	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes	Yes	No	
664	2021-11-05 11:03:02	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	BS you will not restart. I don't believe you at all. Not even a little bit.
665	2021-11-12 16:13:09	Yes	B3t1a2	Yes	Yes	No	
666	2021-11-12 15:58:30	No	B3T2B9	Yes	Yes	No	That will never happen
668	2021-11-12 14:45:10	Yes	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	No	Once it is gone the government I'll not bring it back.
669	2021-11-12 14:09:19	No	B3Z0C8	Yes	Yes	No	Any equipment unused a sustained period cannot just be turned back on. HRM is current actions - If the equipment was requested to be returned to service - there would be excuses that the equipment is too old, it will cost to much etc. It will never be returned to service, time for HRM to be honest. HRM might as well sell it for scrap - it will never be turned on.
673	2021-11-12 13:57:18	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	No	
675	2021-11-12 13:46:14	No					
677	2021-11-12 13:27:53	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	No	This survey is leading and directing a response and does allow for important concerns to be addressed
678	2021-11-12 13:08:59	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
679	2021-11-12 13:23:55	No					
685	2021-11-12 11:19:12	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
687	2021-11-04 13:53:39	No	B3T1T9	Yes			
688	2021-11-12 11:55:18	No	B3T1B8	Yes			
689	2021-11-12 11:21:27	Yes	B3t0k4	Yes	Yes	No	Unclear how this applies to the issue
690	2021-11-12 11:36:23	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes			
692	2021-11-12 11:31:12	Yes	B3t0c4	Yes	Yes	No	
693	2021-11-12 11:32:34	Yes	B3t2g2	Yes	Yes	No	
695	2021-11-09 14:58:14	No	B3M3Y5	Yes	Yes	No	Once these systems are removed they will never be reinstated. HRM Council are simply puppets to the Operator of the site!
696	2021-11-12 10:56:26	No	B3T2B3	Yes	No		
698	2021-11-12 10:45:38	No	B3t2b4	Yes			
699	2021-11-05 07:51:24	No		Yes	No		
701	2021-11-12 10:20:55	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	Inadequate
702	2021-11-12 10:16:59	Yes	B3t1a9	Yes			
704	2021-11-12 09:41:35	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	No	hy are you even considering taking it away???
707	2021-11-12 09:44:13	Yes	B3T0B6	Yes	Yes	No	Let's not kid ourselves. Once this is removed. It's not coming back
708	2021-11-12 09:47:42	No	B3T1R7	Yes			
709	2021-11-12 09:43:26	No	B3t0b2	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
712	2021-11-12 09:41:22	No	B3T1X5	Yes	Yes		
713	2021-11-12 09:40:17	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes			
715	2021-11-12 09:10:33	No	B3T1W6	Yes	Yes	No	Just leave it!!
717	2021-11-12 09:26:35	No	B3T1S6	Yes	No		
719	2021-11-12 09:06:45	Yes	B3t2a2	Yes			
720	2021-11-12 08:33:02	No	B3Z3X1	Yes			
721	2021-11-12 08:18:26	No	B3T2A8	Yes	Yes	No	who will be accountable to the citizens of HRM that the procedures listed above will be completed to ensure quick restart if need be
723	2021-11-12 08:36:35	Yes	B3T2K5	Yes			
725	2021-11-12 08:27:32	Yes	B3T0C2	Yes	Yes	No	
726	2021-11-12 08:20:28	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	No		
728	2021-11-12 08:30:51	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes			
730	2021-11-12 08:11:33	No	B3t2a8	Yes	Yes	No	
732	2021-11-12 07:03:31	Yes	B3t1t5	Yes	No		
733	2021-11-11 22:26:20	Yes	B3t1c3	Yes	Yes	No	
735	2021-11-11 19:08:24	Yes	B3t1k2	Yes	Yes	No	That comes with a price that HRM will not pay in the future - fucked again
736	2021-11-11 18:30:49	No	B3T1V2	Yes	No		
739	2021-11-11 18:00:07	No	B3T1V2	Yes	No		
741	2021-11-11 18:03:23	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes			
743	2021-11-11 16:40:58	Yes	B3T1R9	Yes	Yes	No	Why not use it instead of paying to keep it in working order and increasing other programs because of shut down to mitigate other issues
745	2021-11-11 15:03:16	No	B3T2A4	Yes	Yes	No	If ceasing operation of the FEP and WSF can be left to the whims (opinions? wants?) of just over a dozen councillors, so too can their resumption. Please do not point to the fact that an agreement will be in place...
746	2021-11-11 13:12:54	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes		
747	2021-11-11 15:23:58	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes			
748	2021-11-11 14:02:48	No	B3t1t8	Yes	Yes	No	
749	2021-11-11 13:16:05	No	B3T2J7	Yes			
751	2021-11-11 13:09:16	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes			
752	2021-11-11 13:01:23	No	B3T1W3	Yes			
753	2021-11-11 12:02:06	Yes	B3t2b2	Yes	Yes	No	Not enough action and too much talk
757	2021-11-11 11:49:47	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	Yes	No	Does not address for how long.
759	2021-11-11 11:38:35	Yes	B3T1C3	No			
760	2021-11-11 11:30:14	No	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	No	
761	2021-11-11 11:00:27	Yes	B3T1C3	Yes	Yes	No	
762	2021-11-11 10:05:48	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	Why deactivate if you're going to keep up the maintenance?
763	2021-11-11 08:28:05	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	I have never known a government entity to make a decision to save money, only to 'reactivate' and reverse a decision later, of which will inevitably cost MORE money than if it had of just been kept in the first place.
767	2021-11-11 09:11:03	No	B3t2a1	Yes	No		
768	2021-11-11 08:46:55	No	B3t1p7	Yes	Yes	No	There is no mention of how much money will be allocated on a yearly basis to be saved for re-installing the sorting facility
769	2021-11-11 08:06:36	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	This facility must remain operational not standing by in case the city makes an error in judgement by moving ahead with proposed. Bad idea. Keep it operating.
770	2021-11-11 08:17:33	Yes	B3t1r9	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
771	2021-11-11 08:21:43	No	B0J2C0	Yes			
772	2021-11-11 08:18:25	Yes	B3M0J9	Yes	No		
773	2021-11-11 07:42:15	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	No		
774	2021-11-11 07:03:07	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	As demonstrated by this survey, future councils can change plans. First the cell heights were increased, then the pre-processing decommissioned. Why stop if the site will be decommissioned in 2024, less than three years?
775	2021-11-10 14:02:24	Yes	B3t0e4	Yes			
776	2021-11-11 07:05:09	Yes	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	How much money has been allocated to do everything required to keep the equipment maintained if shut down.
777	2021-11-11 06:46:00	No	B3t1y7	Yes			
778	2021-11-11 06:28:21	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	No more sorting? Not good
779	2021-11-11 06:43:21	No	B3t2b6	Yes	No		
780	2021-11-11 06:39:32	No	B3T1V3	Yes	No		
781	2021-11-11 06:39:09	Yes	B3T1v3	Yes			
782	2021-11-11 06:38:52	Yes	B3T0C7	Yes			
783	2021-11-11 06:31:00	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	No	
784	2021-11-11 05:59:37	No	B3Z1L6	Yes	Yes		
785	2021-11-11 06:00:30	No	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	
788	2021-11-10 23:24:38	Yes	B3T1W2				
789	2021-11-10 22:36:27	Yes	B3T0C7	Yes			
790	2021-11-10 21:14:36	Yes	B3T1C4	No			
795	2021-11-10 18:39:43	No	B3t1w9	Yes	Yes	No	To community had to fight for this so it is not a easy process to start it back up. Measures that were implemented should be continued.
798	2021-11-10 19:03:32	Yes	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	No	Keep protection in place
799	2021-11-10 18:54:45	No	B3V1E5	Yes	No		
800	2021-11-10 18:25:49	Yes	B3T2B1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Once done it will never change back money will secure this deal
801	2021-11-06 14:25:32	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe you do this anyway!
804	2021-11-10 18:01:15	No	B3T1W9	Yes	Yes	No	The FEP:WSF seem to have been a giant fight to implement by our community and as such, the removal of this does concern me. I can appreciate the steps you're trying to take, but given the difficulty in having these established, I do fear that once they are removed they will not be reinstated easily even if merited.
805	2021-11-10 16:59:47	No	B3t2b8	Yes	Yes	No	I concerned about the cost to start-up a facility if it is mothballed.
806	2021-11-10 17:02:48	Yes	B3t1w6	Yes			
807	2021-11-10 15:00:14	No	B3T1X1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
808	2021-11-10 17:23:49	No	B0N1L0	Yes			
809	2021-11-10 17:14:41	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	Yes	No	Don't believe the above will be followed.
810	2021-11-10 17:10:28	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	
812	2021-11-10 16:45:10	No		No			
814	2021-11-10 15:52:29	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes			
815	2021-11-10 15:06:22	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	Yes	No	I do not trust HRM to properly "mothball" and maintain this facility. Also, in my experience, once closed the facility will deteriorate and will never be reopened no matter how great the need.
816	2021-11-10 15:16:00	No	B3T1S4	Yes			
817	2021-11-10 15:09:41	No	B3T1W6	Yes			
818	2021-11-10 14:33:05	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	Yes	No	What guarantees do we have that if things do not work out, the original system will be reactivated.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
819	2021-11-10 14:33:26	Yes	B3T2K8	Yes	Yes	No	Again, this system is working for the surrounding communities. We all know that there will be one hell of a fight to reintroduce processes once they are reduced/deactivated!
820	2021-11-10 14:24:27	No	B3T1S6	Yes			
823	2021-11-10 13:33:49	No	B0J1T0	Yes	Yes	Yes	
826	2021-11-10 12:33:58	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes	No		
827	2021-11-10 11:29:56	No	B3T1V1	Yes	No		
828	2021-11-10 12:07:40	No	B3T1Z7	Yes			
829	2021-11-10 11:47:43	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	Yes	No	Once the city has saved the money, there will never be an incentive to decommission the equipment.
830	2021-11-10 11:50:45	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	
832	2021-11-04 18:40:19	No	B3T1X3	Yes	Yes	No	
833	2021-11-10 10:27:02	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
834	2021-11-10 10:36:38	Yes	B3T1K5	Yes	Yes	No	I do not trust that ongoing pressure to cost cut, will do this monitoring.
835	2021-11-10 10:51:19	Yes	B3t1z5	Yes			
836	2021-11-04 15:05:28	Yes	b3t1r8	Yes			
837	2021-11-10 09:43:11	No	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
838	2021-11-10 10:01:07	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes	Yes	No	Any "mothballed" equipment "must" be maintained to working condition to be readily reactivated. In my previous experience the "expense" of such maintenance is often affected by "cost cutting" over time.
839	2021-11-10 09:38:48	No	B3T1R6	Yes	No		
840	2021-11-10 09:18:17	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	No	May never work again...Northern Pulp!
841	2021-11-10 08:57:45	No	b3t2l1	Yes	Yes	No	
843	2021-11-09 10:53:27	No	B3T2B5	Yes	No		
844	2021-11-10 08:24:45	No	b3t1z2	Yes	No		
847	2021-11-10 08:23:29	No	B3P2J3	No			
848	2021-11-10 08:21:46	Yes	B3Z0N9	Yes			
849	2021-11-10 07:38:55	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	No	Costly depreciation.
850	2021-11-10 07:44:45	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	No		
851	2021-11-10 07:51:24	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	No		
852	2021-11-10 07:46:12	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	No	Leave it alone!
853	2021-11-10 07:19:40	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Should not be stopped to start with.
856	2021-11-10 07:17:17	No	B4C4H4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
857	2021-11-10 07:12:40	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
858	2021-11-10 06:32:23	No	B3t1x2	Yes	Yes	No	Once a system is deactivated it rarely would be reactivated especially with costs being a huge factor.
861	2021-11-04 10:46:19	Yes	B3t1b8	Yes	Yes	No	
862	2021-11-10 06:39:16	Yes	bek3x4	No			
863	2021-11-10 06:01:29	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	Yes	No	If it's working why change it
870	2021-11-09 21:16:37	No	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	
876	2021-11-09 20:32:29	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes	No		
878	2021-11-09 20:21:20	Yes	B3T1S6	No			
879	2021-11-09 19:57:59	Yes	B3T2G2	Yes	Yes	No	You have no idea the extra cost it might take to reactivate this facility at some point in the future - it could cost more to reactivate that to keep open.
880	2021-11-09 20:07:42	No	B3T1X5	Yes			
881	2021-11-09 19:46:50	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	in and lives and the environment will pay the price

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
882	2021-11-09 19:44:51	No	B3T1Y4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
883	2021-11-09 19:26:51	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
884	2021-11-09 19:22:19	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	Yes	No	Equipment can't sit forever and be able to turn back online.
885	2021-11-09 19:33:14	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
887	2021-11-09 19:29:36	No	B3Z4B6	No			
888	2021-11-09 19:17:55	No	B3T2C3	Yes	Yes		
889	2021-11-09 19:15:21	Yes	B3T2A3	Yes	No		
890	2021-11-08 12:55:59	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes			
892	2021-11-09 18:48:02	No	B3S0G5	Yes	No		
895	2021-11-09 18:19:35	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	No		
900	2021-11-09 17:14:50	No	B3T1W5	Yes	Yes	No	Once this is removed, HRM will never agree to spend the money to put it back in place. They will have spent it on the Cogswell Interchange project by then. We all know that once this program is gone, we will be told we just have to live with it. Rather than pay someone to create these surveys, why not spend some money keeping the area clean and presentable since it already fails in those areas.
901	2021-11-09 17:15:45	Yes	B3t0c8	Yes	Yes	No	
905	2021-11-09 16:52:54	No	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
906	2021-11-09 16:41:54	No	B3N2R7	Yes	Yes	No	Changing back will likely not happen.
907	2021-11-09 16:30:43	No	B3T1Z2	Yes	Yes	No	So you are getting rid of it to save money and energy, but you are still going to spend money to maintain it just in case you end up being wrong?
908	2021-11-09 16:42:52	Yes	B3T1V4	Yes	No		
911	2021-11-09 16:09:21	No	B3t1z4	Yes	Yes	No	There will be no 'going back'
913	2021-11-09 15:56:25	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
914	2021-11-09 15:44:59	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	You and I both know that's BS
915	2021-11-09 14:47:57	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes		Once money is reduced it is always difficult to catch up.
917	2021-11-09 15:33:29	No	B3T1Z1	Yes	Yes	No	Having come from a mechanical background any time equipment is 'mothballed' for a lengthy period it deteriorates and becomes very expensive to restart.
918	2021-11-09 15:20:00	No	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	No	Idle mechanical and electrical equipment will ultimately fail in an unheated environment.
920	2021-11-09 15:32:45	No	l23l23	No			
921	2021-11-09 15:16:20	Yes	b3t1n2	Yes	Yes	No	once paused/shut off, it wont be turned back on. Govt will find another reason to keep it off and go back on their work, again
922	2021-11-09 15:17:57	No	B3T1W5	Yes	Yes	No	
923	2021-11-09 15:12:54	Yes	B3T0C4	Yes	No		
925	2021-11-09 14:48:21	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
926	2021-11-09 14:50:02	Yes	b3t2j7	Yes	Yes	No	It is unlikely that there will be political will to re-start this program once it is stopped.
927	2021-11-09 14:50:10	Yes	B3T0G7	Yes	Yes		
928	2021-11-07 16:12:09	No	B3T1W9	Yes	No		
932	2021-11-09 13:51:42	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes	No	
934	2021-11-03 16:19:08	No	B3l2y8	Yes			
935	2021-11-09 08:42:24	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	No if the the preventative measures are taken then how long will it take to re fill the fuels that were removed and all other stuff brought back up to operational requirements.
937	2021-11-09 13:43:34	No	B3Z3J2	Yes			
938	2021-11-09 13:30:26	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
939	2021-11-09 13:29:52	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	Yes	No	
940	2021-11-09 13:29:51	No		Yes			
942	2021-11-09 13:22:57	Yes	B3t1a4	Yes	No		
943	2021-11-02 20:30:22	Yes	B3T155	Yes	Yes	No	It has been my experience that once something is eliminated from a budget and removed it doesn't get back in. Do the right thing please
945	2021-11-09 12:58:12	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes		Yes	
947	2021-11-09 12:19:14	No	B3T2B1	Yes	Yes	No	would you be concerned about ability to reactivate something you didnt want removed?
949	2021-11-09 12:26:37	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	Yes	No	dont close it
951	2021-11-09 12:02:12	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	Trust is broken with in the community
952	2021-11-09 11:59:04	Yes	B3t0c8	Yes	Yes	No	
953	2021-11-09 11:57:23	No	B3T1Y1	Yes	No		
955	2021-11-09 11:52:04	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	No	
960	2021-11-09 11:29:04	Yes	B3t1k9	Yes	Yes	No	
963	2021-11-09 11:28:38	Yes	B3T1V1	Yes			
968	2021-11-09 10:53:05	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	If it was put in place to start with then leave it alone , once something is put into mothballs we all know it will never be brought back stop trying to BS us
969	2021-11-09 10:51:16	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes		No	Just like the temporary income tax...there never will be an opportunity to do this. I am not a lawyer or an engineer. I am a constituent who has had their faith in elected officials to do right by me and my neighbours.
971	2021-11-09 10:53:34	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes			
972	2021-11-09 10:49:14	Yes	B3t1a4	Yes			
974	2021-11-09 09:58:25	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	Yes	No	Once a process or facility is mothballed it seems to never have the financial resources to start back up in a timely fashion without costs the taxpayers
976	2021-11-09 09:25:11	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	No	Please do what's best for everyone involved
977	2021-11-09 09:23:40	No	B4A2E6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
979	2021-11-09 08:42:39	No	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
980	2021-11-09 08:01:33	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes		
981	2021-11-09 08:41:25	No	B3T1Y3	Yes			
982	2021-11-09 08:23:25	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
983	2021-11-09 08:09:01	No	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	No	This equipment will be neglected and left inoperable. If deactivated it is clear it will never be reactivated (there's always an excuse)
984	2021-11-09 08:02:52	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	No	It looks to be a major expense to get it going once it stops; it would end up among any number of competing priorities should it need to be reactivated. Just leave it be.
986	2021-11-09 07:12:32	Yes	B3T2K5	Yes	Yes	No	If it's shut down, what's going to be your line to start it back up again?
988	2021-11-09 07:25:51	No	B3T1W3	Yes	Yes	No	
994	2021-11-09 06:08:21	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes			
995	2021-11-09 05:54:57	Yes	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	No	How much does this cost?
996	2021-11-09 05:38:15	No	B3T2J7	Yes	Yes	No	
997	2021-11-09 04:57:24	No	B3T1W4	Yes	No		
1001	2021-11-08 22:21:42	No	B3T155				
1005	2021-11-08 08:40:32	No	B3t1b4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1007	2021-11-08 20:54:32	Yes	B3T2B5	Yes			
1009	2021-11-08 20:37:11	No	B3T2C9	No			
1012	2021-11-08 19:54:39	No	B3T2J8	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1014	2021-11-04 22:00:27	No	B2T1H7	Yes			
1016	2021-11-08 19:50:23	Yes	B3T1R4	No			
1017	2021-11-08 19:34:39	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1018	2021-11-08 19:30:52	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	No	
1019	2021-11-08 18:59:19	No	B3z3s9	Yes	No		
1020	2021-11-07 15:48:42	No	B3T1Z9	Yes			
1021	2021-11-08 18:58:30	Yes	b3t1v1	Yes	Yes	No	You have given no timeline for how long these facilities will be maintained.
1022	2021-11-08 18:26:23	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	No	We need to everything we can for our environment and climate change. Money saved will be allocated and very difficult to reinstate
1024	2021-11-08 18:30:53	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes			
1026	2021-11-08 18:08:04	No		Yes	Yes	No	Sure.. Let's brake it down so we can put it together again>... can this get more bizarre????
1028	2021-11-08 17:55:33	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	Yes	No	
1029	2021-11-08 17:21:04	No	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	I don't trust that the maintenance will be carried out in the long term.
1034	2021-11-08 17:28:05	No	B3R1R4	Yes			
1035	2021-11-04 09:30:06	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes			
1036	2021-11-08 17:13:22	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	No		
1039	2021-11-08 17:05:47	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	No		
1041	2021-11-08 16:47:19	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1042	2021-11-08 16:47:41	No	B3T1W3	Yes	No		
1045	2021-11-08 16:32:35	No	B3Z1Z6	Yes	Yes	No	I want to see the front end processing resume as soon as possible, so why take it away? Just money? The question format here is generated by interested parties.
1046	2021-11-08 16:34:44	No	B3t1z2	Yes	No		
1049	2021-11-08 16:19:31	No	B3t1s7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1050	2021-11-08 16:14:16	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1051	2021-11-08 15:46:53	Yes	B3t2g5	Yes			
1054	2021-11-08 11:54:40	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	Yes	No	The reality is that once it's deactivated it may be possible to start up again but in the real world it's unlikely.
1055	2021-11-08 15:31:01	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes			
1056	2021-11-08 14:49:55	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	The fact they are investing in the capacity to reactivate the FEP/WSF systems tells me there is uncertainty around the impacts of the proposed closure.
1058	2021-11-08 14:54:19	No	B3T2B2	Yes	No		
1060	2021-11-08 14:03:38	Yes	B3T1S3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1061	2021-11-08 14:17:43	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes	Yes	No	I don't have confidence that this would return once it is eliminated.
1063	2021-11-08 13:59:50	No	B3T2A2	Yes	Yes	No	Lack of trust based on experience
1064	2021-11-08 13:13:47	Yes	B3T1R2	Yes	Yes	No	HRM is already renegeing on the original agreement. There's no way in hell I'm going to trust a secondary commitment.
1065	2021-11-08 13:38:16	No	B3T1Z1	Yes	No		
1066	2021-11-08 13:41:11	No	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	
1067	2021-11-03 18:18:40	No	B3T0E7	Yes			
1069	2021-11-08 12:37:43	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes			
1071	2021-11-08 12:17:34	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	No	I feel that there will be no "going back" to the original system. Once again, the HRM has made up their minds and there won't be any going back!!!
1075	2021-11-08 12:12:12	Yes	B3t2g8	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1076	2021-11-08 11:56:11	No	B3Z1L6	Yes	Yes	No	I do not trust the equipment will be maintained. Money will be diverted to other things as soon as we turn our focus to something else
1077	2021-11-08 11:27:30	Yes	B3r1s5	Yes	Yes	No	Keep your promises of a world class process. Don't moth ball it just encase.
1080	2021-11-08 09:34:59	No	a1a2b2	Yes			
1082	2021-11-08 07:49:18	Yes	B3T1z1	Yes			
1088	2021-11-08 10:35:01	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	No	Why would you maintain the facility if it is considered to be of no use. This adds long term costs to the proposal, or there is uncertainty in the success of the proposal.
1089	2021-11-08 10:28:53	No	B3S1K9	Yes	Yes	No	No mention of training program to keep employee certification up to date - would it be possible to reopen without certifications?
1090	2021-11-08 10:17:42	No	B3t0a2	Yes	Yes	No	
1092	2021-11-08 09:55:37	No	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1093	2021-11-08 09:42:08	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1094	2021-11-08 09:24:29	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	
1095	2021-11-08 09:19:28	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes	No	
1096	2021-11-08 08:49:35	Yes	B3T1Z9	Yes	Yes	No	If the facility isn't in use, the maintenance programs will slowly be put on the back burner. The facilities should be kept working as is.
1097	2021-11-08 08:51:08	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	No	Will staffing remain in place? Who will be responsible for overseeing operations and monitoring after deactivation?
1098	2021-11-08 09:19:38	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No		
1101	2021-11-08 08:49:26	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	No	
1102	2021-11-08 08:53:00	Yes	B3T3J5	Yes			
1103	2021-11-08 08:48:10	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1104	2021-11-08 08:51:21	No	B3t1w5	No			
1105	2021-11-08 08:20:52	No	B3T2L2	Yes	No		
1107	2021-11-08 08:42:38	Yes	B3T1P7				
1108	2021-11-08 08:42:39	No	B3T1Z6	No			
1109	2021-11-08 08:18:26	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	Why do we remove a system which has been effective so far and then try to reactivate if something goes bad.
1110	2021-11-08 08:08:00	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes			
1112	2021-11-08 08:28:11	No	B3T2A7				
1113	2021-11-08 08:14:20	No	B3Z2X3	Yes	No		
1114	2021-11-08 08:16:52	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes			
1115	2021-11-08 07:46:17	Yes	B3t1v4	Yes	Yes	No	Equipment that is out of use inevitably becomes harder to restart. And if there is a thought that we may need this again in the future, why are we shutting it down in the first place? Instead, we should look to expand processing and eliminate even more from going into the landfill unnecessarily.
1116	2021-11-03 20:51:51	No	B3t1x8	Yes	Yes	No	No
1117	2021-11-07 20:19:40	No	B4E1P1	Yes			
1118	2021-11-08 07:52:44	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes			
1119	2021-11-08 07:38:03	Yes	B3t1a8	Yes			
1120	2021-11-08 07:36:04	Yes	B3t1m6	Yes	Yes	No	The decision to reactivate will be made at the political level - influenced by political agendas. The impacted communities will go through more consultations, perhaps if we're lucky, and a greater waste of money.
1121	2021-11-08 07:45:21	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes			
1122	2021-11-08 07:23:00	No	b3t2a7	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1123	2021-11-08 07:07:18	Yes	B3t2j5	Yes	Yes	No	You are already attempting a shitty reversal of an environmental protection for this space. I have zero belief you would all do the right thing should it need to be restarted. Zero!
1124	2021-11-08 06:46:08	Yes	B3T154	Yes	Yes	No	who's overseeing this. Where is the money saving coming from. How much ma power is going to be laid off.
1126	2021-11-08 06:55:22	Yes	B3T1C3	Yes			
1127	2021-11-08 04:58:03	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes	No	Any time a company shuts something down to save money their is no way it will ever come back . Money not the health and welfare of the people of this community money that's what's it about sad day for our community
1128	2021-11-08 05:57:54	Yes	B3t1r2	Yes	No		
1129	2021-11-08 05:45:23	No	B3T2X2	Yes			
1130	2021-11-08 05:32:56	No	B3T2L4	Yes	No		
1131	2021-11-08 05:12:32	No	B3T1P9	Yes	No		
1132	2021-11-08 04:31:17	Yes	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1133	2021-11-08 04:33:18	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes			
1134	2021-11-07 21:14:42	Yes	B3t1c9	Yes	Yes	No	
1137	2021-11-07 20:48:19	Yes	B3t1p4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1139	2021-11-07 20:55:15	Yes	B3l1p7	Yes			
1140	2021-11-07 20:25:53	No	b3t1z2	Yes	Yes	No	It's old equipment. Im sure if it needs to be restarted you'll come up with an excuse like "we can't get parts" "its too expensive to run" and it'll never be started again
1142	2021-11-07 20:00:50	Yes	B3T152	Yes			
1143	2021-11-07 19:37:12	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	Yes	No	The facility needs to be moved somewhere else
1144	2021-11-07 19:34:37	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	No		
1145	2021-11-07 19:37:41	No	B3z1l8	No			
1147	2021-11-07 19:15:47	No	B2T1W4				
1149	2021-11-07 18:11:44	No	B3T2A2	Yes	Yes	No	Sounds good but talk is cheap
1151	2021-11-07 18:17:57	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
1152	2021-11-07 18:15:55	No	B3t2k8	Yes	No		
1155	2021-11-07 16:51:42	Yes	b3t1p3	Yes	No		
1157	2021-11-07 17:18:42	No	B3T2B5	Yes	No		
1158	2021-11-07 17:15:21	Yes	B3T0B5	Yes	Yes	No	
1159	2021-11-07 17:13:31	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1160	2021-11-07 17:11:12	No	B3t1r5	Yes			
1161	2021-11-07 16:38:52	No	B0N1Z0	Yes			
1163	2021-11-07 16:19:55	No	B3T1R3	No			
1165	2021-11-07 15:31:58	Yes	B3t1b4	No			
1167	2021-11-07 15:25:21	No	B3z3w9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1169	2021-11-07 15:06:35	Yes	B3t1z9	Yes	Yes		
1170	2021-11-07 15:00:46	No	B3T1V2	Yes			
1172	2021-11-07 14:52:12	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	once removed very hard to reintroduce too little to late and no funds most likely the response
1173	2021-11-07 14:46:22	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
1174	2021-11-07 14:42:21	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	No		
1175	2021-11-07 14:41:01	No	B3T0H6	Yes			
1176	2021-11-07 14:20:30	No	B3t1s1	Yes	Yes	No	We need to save our planet not destroy it
1178	2021-11-07 14:27:42	Yes	B3T0B4	Yes			
1181	2021-11-07 13:57:16	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1182	2021-11-07 13:55:59	Yes	B3T2K8	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1183	2021-11-07 13:53:13	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes			
1184	2021-11-07 13:37:11	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1185	2021-11-07 13:27:30	No	B3T0B6	Yes			
1187	2021-11-07 12:08:35	Yes	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1188	2021-11-07 12:49:52	No	B3Z3W1	Yes	Yes	No	Equipment that is not used often ceases to function. Try not driving your car for a few years and see how well it then works.
1189	2021-11-07 12:44:35	No	B3T1P7	Yes			
1190	2021-11-07 12:35:22	No	B3t2a3	No			
1191	2021-11-07 11:49:44	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1192	2021-11-07 11:56:57	No	B3Z3w8	Yes			
1193	2021-11-07 11:36:13	No	B3T1Z6	Yes			
1194	2021-11-07 11:13:44	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes			
1197	2021-11-07 11:03:59	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	
1198	2021-11-07 11:06:07	No	b3t2a4	Yes			
1199	2021-11-07 10:51:01	No	B3T2B4	Yes	Yes	No	The building should be put to use, not just sit there for people to maintain with no purpose
1200	2021-11-07 10:56:06	No	B3t2a7	Yes			
1201	2021-11-07 10:24:38	Yes	B3T2A4	Yes	Yes	No	Just keep it running and then none of this is necessary
1202	2021-11-07 10:37:11	No	B3T1T7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1203	2021-11-07 10:25:31	Yes	B3T2A4	Yes	Yes	No	
1205	2021-11-07 10:05:30	No	B3T2A8	Yes	Yes	No	
1206	2021-11-07 09:39:06	Yes	b3t0j3	Yes	No		
1208	2021-11-07 09:43:08	No	B3T0A2	Yes			
1211	2021-11-07 09:03:00	No	B3T1W3	Yes	No		
1214	2021-11-07 08:26:41	No	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1215	2021-11-07 08:21:25	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	timing and costs of potential re-activation unknown
1216	2021-11-07 08:04:04	No	B3T1W1	Yes	No		
1217	2021-11-07 08:01:00	Yes	B3t1a5	Yes	Yes	No	If needed is subjective
1219	2021-11-07 07:22:35	Yes	B3t2h9	Yes	No		
1221	2021-11-07 06:19:51	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	Yes	No	No information on length of time required for re-activation to full operational capacity, if needed
1222	2021-11-07 06:59:44	No	b2v2g5	Yes	No		
1223	2021-11-07 06:41:30	No	B3t1y6				
1224	2021-11-07 06:19:20	No	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	No	If the "research" shows that these systems are no longer truly needed, why the need for maintaining them "in case" needed in the future. This smells of placating the public.
1225	2021-11-07 06:29:25	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	Yes	No	
1229	2021-11-03 23:07:03	Yes	B3t1n1	Yes	Yes	No	
1230	2021-11-07 05:57:59	No	B3T1A4	Yes			
1232	2021-11-07 05:28:05	No	B3T1R6	Yes			
1233	2021-11-06 23:19:15	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	No	Again, that's all great but once your allowed to get away with changing the rules you are not going to go back. It's business.
1236	2021-11-06 22:34:36	Yes	B3T1S1	Yes	No		
1239	2021-11-06 21:44:24	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1240	2021-11-06 21:09:24	Yes	B3T1P9	Yes			
1241	2021-11-06 20:51:38	No	B3T2B8	Yes	No		
1242	2021-11-06 20:24:42	No	B3T2C9	Yes	Yes	No	We have a model system currently. Use it. stop trying to save a few bucks . We are proud of our model system.
1244	2021-11-06 20:44:41	No	B3T0B6	Yes	No		
1246	2021-11-04 18:10:53	Yes	B3S1N5	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1250	2021-11-06 19:43:28	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	
1252	2021-11-06 19:10:42	No	B4a3x8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1253	2021-11-06 19:07:34	No	B3T1Y3	Yes			
1254	2021-11-06 18:59:26	Yes	B3T1P4	Yes	Yes	No	
1258	2021-11-06 18:14:13	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	No		
1259	2021-11-06 18:05:21	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1260	2021-11-06 18:06:15	No	B3T2J1	Yes			
1261	2021-11-06 17:52:36	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	No		
1263	2021-11-06 17:44:19	Yes	B3t1b6	Yes	Yes	No	
1268	2021-11-06 16:26:12	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes			
1270	2021-11-06 16:08:55	No	b3k3m2	Yes	Yes		- the information above did not provide any details on the costs and timeline for re-activation. Provided there was a 10 year period where the system could be rapidly (< 1 months) re-activated as needed I would be much more comfortable.
1271	2021-11-06 16:01:23	Yes	B3T0K1	Yes	Yes	No	No comment on loss of jobs for workers currently employed at this facility
1273	2021-11-06 15:49:32	No	B3K3M2	Yes	No		
1274	2021-11-06 15:34:47	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	No	If the municipality deactivates, I can't imagine any circumstances that they will reactivate and it's deceitful to pretend that you might.
1275	2021-11-06 15:27:16	No	B3T1S8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1276	2021-11-06 15:16:29	No	B3t0b4	Yes	No		
1279	2021-11-06 14:37:38	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes			
1280	2021-11-06 14:03:21	No	B3T1S2	Yes	No		
1281	2021-11-06 13:24:18	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1282	2021-11-06 13:48:43	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	restart of machinery not constantly maintained over time become increasingly costly and less effective.
1285	2021-11-06 12:39:43	Yes	B3t1r8	Yes	Yes	No	Unused equipment is seldom maintained as promised
1287	2021-11-06 12:40:43	No	B3T2H3	Yes	Yes	No	If you shut it down, you might just as well sell the equipment, from experience i don't believe it will be able to be reactivated
1288	2021-11-06 11:29:01	No	b3z1m3	Yes	Yes	No	The front end processor is being shut down to save money, will there be money available to operate the processor again ? I think not.
1289	2021-11-06 11:54:35	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes		I do not have any concerns	
1293	2021-11-06 11:37:59	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1294	2021-11-06 11:47:56	Yes	B3S1S3	No			
1295	2021-11-06 11:35:28	No	B3t2a8	Yes	No		
1296	2021-11-06 11:30:28	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	
1300	2021-11-06 09:27:36	No	B3z2r4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1301	2021-11-06 09:21:57	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	No	We agreed to the landfill with the measures in place, now you want to remove them. Against the community wishes, not stupid enough to believe it will be reinstated. Your words are worth nothing. FEP/WSF controls are why and the only reason the community agreed to the landfill. Let it in place
1302	2021-11-06 09:06:28	Yes	B3T1T8	Yes	Yes		Once removed, safeguards are seldom returned.
1309	2021-11-06 08:30:33	Yes	B2G1S7	Yes			
1310	2021-11-06 08:28:27	No	B3T1Y8	Yes			
1312	2021-11-06 08:05:22	No	B3T1X3	Yes			
1313	2021-11-06 07:45:16	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	
1314	2021-11-06 07:55:30	No	B3t1w1	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1315	2021-11-06 07:55:10	Yes	B3t0a3	Yes			
1317	2021-11-06 07:18:33	Yes	B3T0E2	Yes	Yes	No	Once HRM shuts it down and safe money for other projects. They will never turn it back on. This isn't about protecting our community and saving the environment it is about money!
1318	2021-11-06 06:55:39	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	Sorry, don't believe any of it
1319	2021-11-05 21:39:30	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1320	2021-11-06 07:02:40	No	B3r1s6	Yes	Yes	No	Money will dictate
1322	2021-11-06 06:37:48	Yes	B3T0C9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1323	2021-11-06 06:08:53	Yes	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	Don't shut it down!
1324	2021-11-06 06:28:02	Yes	B3T0E6	Yes	Yes	No	Once you make cost saving changes, you will never go back.
1325	2021-11-06 06:25:14	Yes	B3t0e6	Yes	Yes	No	I simply do not trust that the public will be informed whether it needs to be turned back on.
1328	2021-11-06 04:07:50	No	B3Z1L6	Yes	Yes	No	It is clear that the decisions to reactivate in the public interests would not be in the best interest of the public. Having this agreement was a safety net to help ensure the communities around the landfill were protected. Making the decision to reactivate in the hands of the administration, and not one agreed upon from the beginning is sketchy at best.
1329	2021-11-06 03:37:18	No	B3T1S3	No			
1338	2021-11-05 21:06:04	Yes	b3t2c8	Yes	Yes	No	it's obvious "we'll keep the facilities around on standby" is a straw man to talk opponents down. it's another empty promise that's easy to break. if you shut it down to save \$, there's no way you're going to go back on the choice and reactive the facility. we're not that dumb, sorry
1341	2021-11-05 20:44:02	Yes	B3T2E1	No			
1342	2021-11-05 20:02:07	Yes	B3T0C6	Yes	Yes	No	Costs. Inability to maintain / afford talent to run the machinery in the future. Advising turn it back on due to egotistic issues, i.e save face on a poor decisions.
1343	2021-11-05 20:38:33	Yes	B3t0a2	Yes			
1345	2021-11-05 19:50:42	No	B3Z2T4	Yes	No		
1348	2021-11-03 17:59:35	No	B3L3H5	Yes			
1350	2021-11-05 19:02:54	No	B3g1a5				
1351	2021-11-05 18:58:41	No	b0p1p0	Yes			
1353	2021-11-05 18:55:55	Yes	b3t1r5	Yes			
1354	2021-11-05 18:21:58	Yes	B3t1b1				
1355	2021-11-05 17:56:09	No	B3Z2T1	Yes	Yes	No	No estimate of the cost of reactivity or for maintaining deactivated equipment is given.
1356	2021-11-05 18:03:41	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1358	2021-11-05 17:57:20	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes			
1359	2021-11-05 17:50:35	No	b2y2a6	Yes			
1360	2021-11-05 17:36:31	Yes	B3T1V6	Yes	No		
1361	2021-11-05 17:30:56	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1362	2021-11-05 17:36:27	No	b3t1s5	Yes			
1363	2021-11-05 17:37:06	Yes	B3t2e1	Yes			
1364	2021-11-05 17:19:16	No	B0J1T0	Yes	No		
1368	2021-11-05 16:44:20	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	No		
1369	2021-11-05 16:39:39	No	B3Z3A9	Yes	Yes	No	Costing???
1370	2021-11-05 16:51:27	Yes	B3t0j3	Yes	Yes		If this is kept in place "just in case" there must be a potential probability of there being an issue with it being shut down. For the marginal cost, why not just keep it running?

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1371	2021-11-02 15:24:34	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes			
1373	2021-11-05 10:05:15	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes			
1375	2021-11-05 15:39:22	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	No	The fact that this is even a question...
1376	2021-11-05 15:10:16	No	B3T1S4	Yes	No		
1378	2021-11-05 15:19:43	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	No	FEP should NOT be stopped at all.
1383	2021-11-05 15:07:44	Yes	B3T2J5	Yes	Yes	No	Will be no need to restart as it will not stop
1384	2021-11-05 15:14:54	No	B3z0n9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1385	2021-11-05 15:16:35	Yes	B3N2M3	Yes			
1388	2021-11-05 14:38:48	Yes	B3t0k5	Yes	Yes	No	
1390	2021-11-05 14:01:15	No	B3T1Z5	Yes	Yes	No	who will decide if it needs to go back into operation , what about costs that will be incurred as a result .. nothing if free
1394	2021-11-05 13:27:14	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	The facilities themselves would be in a dormant state and will need to be maintained over the long term at a significant cost which is a waste of resources. More importantly, once the government become accustomed to the lower costs of breaching the commitment, it would be extremely difficult to get the will of the HRM councilors back to do the right thing and honour the original commitment.
1395	2021-11-05 13:51:01	No	B3N1K1	Yes			
1396	2021-11-05 13:50:29	Yes	B3t1n2	Yes			
1401	2021-11-05 12:12:08	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	No		
1402	2021-11-05 12:48:08	No	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	No	Just keep them running
1404	2021-11-05 12:05:35	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	No		
1407	2021-11-05 11:41:29	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes			
1408	2021-11-05 11:18:21	Yes	B3t1r3	Yes	Yes	No	
1409	2021-11-05 10:54:40	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	this is unbelievably stupid. Maintaining in case of need will cost as much as leaving in place.
1410	2021-11-05 10:57:29	Yes	B3t2a4	Yes	Yes	No	Cannot be done
1412	2021-11-05 10:58:17	No	B3Z1L8	No			
1414	2021-11-05 10:47:26	No	B3T1S1	Yes	No		
1415	2021-11-05 10:51:56	No	B3t2a2	Yes			
1418	2021-11-05 10:08:10	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	If the city will continue to maintain the equipment, they should keep it operational.
1419	2021-11-05 09:55:00	No	B3t2a2	Yes			
1423	2021-11-05 09:39:03	No	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	IT COSTS A LOT OF MONEY TO KEEP A FACILITY IN HOT IDLE. IF YOU ARE GOING TO DO AWAY WITH IT, DO NOT KEEP IT AROUND "JUST IN CASE" YOU WERE ***WRONG*** THAT IT WASN'T NEEDED! IT ***IS*** NEEDED.
1424	2021-11-05 09:35:05	Yes	B3t1n2	Yes	Yes	No	Since you are trying to dishonour the original agreement, it is very unlikely you will reinstate the FEP once you decommission it.
1426	2021-11-05 08:35:06	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes			
1427	2021-11-05 08:51:54	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	It's not the technical ability to reactivate that concerns me...it's the political lack of will to do so.
1428	2021-11-05 08:59:17	No	B3T1R3	Yes			
1430	2021-11-05 08:42:36	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1431	2021-11-02 16:08:11	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1432	2021-11-05 07:25:01	Yes	B3Z1G5	Yes	Yes	No	
1434	2021-11-05 07:11:55	No	b3t1x3	Yes			
1435	2021-11-05 06:51:27	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	No	The FEP/WSP need to stay activated
1436	2021-11-04 13:34:13	No	B3t1x5	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1438	2021-11-05 06:55:40	No	B3t1v3	Yes			
1440	2021-11-05 06:39:09	No	B3T2G1	Yes			
1443	2021-11-05 06:14:43	No	B3T1R6	Yes			
1444	2021-11-05 06:08:03	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes			
1445	2021-11-05 05:51:09	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes		No	First I heard of any of this!
1446	2021-11-05 06:07:56	No	B3Z1H7	No			
1447	2021-11-05 05:49:07	Yes	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	No	
1449	2021-11-05 05:14:12	Yes	B3T2J5	Yes	Yes	No	Based on other examples machines will break down etc. when it comes to reactivate there will be many factors that impact such. How can we trust the city when they have already ignored their original promise and are now justified how they did not. The same approach will be used in this circumstance.
1452	2021-11-05 03:46:15	No	B3T2E8	Yes	No		
1454	2021-11-05 00:08:13	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes		I do not have any concerns	
1455	2021-11-05 00:09:42	No	B3s1k1	Yes	No		
1456	2021-11-05 00:08:07	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes			
1458	2021-11-04 23:49:13	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	No		
1459	2021-11-04 23:20:51	Yes	B3Z1G6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1462	2021-11-04 22:28:16	Yes	B3Z2L4	Yes			
1463	2021-11-04 22:09:56	No	B4E0N6	Yes	No		
1464	2021-11-04 21:48:00	No	B3t2e9	Yes	Yes	No	What is the process to have it deactivated if the community sees it necessary? How much red tape will we have to go through to have this option considered?
1465	2021-11-04 21:56:26	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	Yes	No	
1466	2021-11-04 21:34:43	No	B3T0B3	Yes	Yes	No	
1468	2021-11-04 21:36:36	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes			
1472	2021-11-04 20:31:23	Yes	B3t0c2	Yes	Yes	No	If you keep it you don't need to be concerned about this. Regardless you should honor the original agreement and close the facility as originally committed. The community doesn't want it.
1474	2021-11-04 20:14:33	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	Without usage all machinery decays and therefore becomes more expensive to repair and reactivate. This cost will always discourage persons overseeing the decision to reactivate FEP/WSF. This cost of reactivation or building of WSF/FEB basically negates it ever being reactivated
1475	2021-11-04 20:05:11	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1479	2021-11-04 20:26:04	No	B4e3c1	Yes			
1480	2021-11-02 18:49:52	No	B3l2y8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1481	2021-11-04 19:18:30	No	B3T2A2	Yes	Yes	No	The issue of restarting the FEP has more to do with profit margins , priorities of environmental principles and political will more than mechanical maintenance
1483	2021-11-04 19:46:06	Yes	B3t1a9	Yes	No		
1485	2021-11-04 19:44:56	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	
1487	2021-11-04 19:34:08	Yes	B3t2e6	Yes			
1490	2021-11-04 19:22:44	No	B3t2l1	Yes			
1492	2021-11-04 18:58:55	Yes	B3t1r5	Yes	Yes	No	Complex mechanical systems deteriorate over time. Besides, didn't you say the these systems would not be using any electricity? From the description about it sounds like energy consumption will still continue.
1493	2021-11-04 19:10:05	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1494	2021-11-04 16:02:33	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes		
1496	2021-11-04 18:13:45	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes	Yes	No	
1498	2021-11-04 18:46:19	Yes	B3t2j3	Yes	Yes	No	We all know this equipment will not be properly maintained. Over time any protocols put in place will lapse. Please honour the agreement
1500	2021-11-04 18:45:44	No	B3Z1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Fire hazard
1503	2021-11-04 16:49:35	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes	Yes	No	The City isn't going to want to spend money to bring it back into operation if it is taken out of commission because it will cost money which the city won't want to spend once it has been defunct.
1505	2021-11-04 18:23:48	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes			
1510	2021-11-04 17:51:19	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	Mitigations are not in alignment with the original agreement.
1515	2021-11-04 16:56:50	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
1518	2021-11-04 15:58:32	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	No		
1520	2021-11-04 16:01:04	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	No		
1522	2021-11-04 16:04:31	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	Yes	No	
1524	2021-11-04 15:42:58	No	B3z1G1	Yes	Yes	No	Near impossible to ever turn things back
1529	2021-11-04 15:54:09	No	B3Z2J2	No			
1531	2021-11-04 15:39:35	Yes	b3t1c4	Yes	Yes	No	
1532	2021-11-04 15:23:19	Yes	B3t2j3	Yes	Yes	No	You should not be deactivating
1535	2021-11-04 14:35:55	No	B3T1V7	Yes		No	I cannot trust the Municipality. They have demonstrated that they do not abide by their agreements with the residents impacted by this change. Furthermore, new councillors don't seem to agree that contracts made before they were elected are valid.
1536	2021-11-04 14:43:19	No	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	IF you don't use it, you lose it. What about the manpower/knowledge of making this stuff work? Close it down, that knowledge base goes elsewhere
1537	2021-11-04 13:01:53	No	B3T2G5	Yes			
1538	2021-11-04 14:25:53	Yes	b3r1c9	Yes	No		
1539	2021-11-04 14:32:47	Yes	b3t2j3	Yes	No		
1541	2021-11-04 13:53:56	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	No	I do not know if the equipment will be maintained indefinitely suck that it can be reactivated.
1544	2021-11-04 13:58:14	No	B3T1S5	Yes			
1545	2021-11-04 14:01:07	Yes	B3T1S7	Yes	Yes	No	
1547	2021-11-04 13:51:21	No	B3T1X2	Yes	No		
1556	2021-11-04 13:12:04	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	No		
1557	2021-11-04 13:21:04	No	B3z0n4	Yes			
1559	2021-11-04 12:44:00	No	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1564	2021-11-04 12:20:24	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	No	
1568	2021-11-04 12:11:04	Yes	B3T1K9	No			
1569	2021-11-04 11:56:31	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
1572	2021-11-04 11:40:17	No	B3t1t5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1577	2021-11-04 11:33:38	Yes	b3r1s6	Yes			
1581	2021-11-04 11:20:52	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes			
1582	2021-11-04 11:07:18	No	B3Z1L8	Yes	No		
1583	2021-11-04 11:01:06	No	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	No	If it's going to be so easy to turn it back on, why not just fix it so that it's working properly and keep it on.
1584	2021-11-04 10:52:21	No	b3t2l1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1585	2021-11-04 10:25:11	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	No	Once it's changed to allow additional waste streams to enter Otter Lake it will never be changed back, only allowing Mirror to make more money.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1589	2021-11-04 10:48:30	No	B3t0j9	Yes			
1590	2021-11-04 10:43:00	No	B3T2B8	Yes			
1594	2021-11-04 10:19:48	No	B3T1S8	Yes	Yes	No	The damage will already to done.
1595	2021-11-04 10:30:26	No	B3t2b1	Yes			
1596	2021-11-04 10:22:11	Yes	b3 1a2	No			
1598	2021-11-04 10:08:43	No	B3T2B9	Yes	Yes	No	
1600	2021-11-04 10:14:05	Yes	B3T0A2	Yes			
1601	2021-11-04 10:04:52	No	B3T1S1	Yes	No		
1603	2021-11-04 09:29:55	Yes	B3T1g&	Yes	Yes	No	
1605	2021-11-04 09:29:27	Yes	B3t1c9	Yes	Yes	No	
1609	2021-11-04 09:28:11	Yes	B3T5c4	Yes	No		
1613	2021-11-04 09:22:40	Yes	B3T1K5	No			
1616	2021-11-04 09:18:13	No	B3t1x2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1620	2021-11-04 09:02:11	Yes	B2T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	Still takes time and money and more time to reduce to acceptable levels
1621	2021-11-04 08:29:23	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	No	
1622	2021-11-04 08:36:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	deactivation is not the correct direction
1623	2021-11-04 08:29:53	No	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	No	I doubt HRM's ability, and its will to do this.
1624	2021-11-04 09:01:02	No	B3T2L1	No			
1626	2021-11-04 08:57:08	Yes	B3T0C1	Yes	Yes	No	
1629	2021-11-04 08:51:26	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1631	2021-11-04 08:42:18	No	B3t2b2	Yes			
1634	2021-11-04 08:42:39	No	B3L2Y8	No			
1636	2021-11-04 08:12:53	No	B3T1V7	Yes	No		
1637	2021-11-04 08:01:23	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	No	Why would this be maintained if nit used. Of course it won't be maintained. What evidence do we have that it will.
1638	2021-11-04 08:26:28	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes			
1639	2021-11-04 08:04:13	Yes	B3t2g3	Yes	Yes	No	No frequency or timeline has been provided for these measures for maintaining equipment. It's only a matter of time before this maintenance is deemed too costly and not necessary. If the negative impacts are long term, it may be too late and very difficult to « restart ». There is also often little political Will to restart something that has been stopped.
1641	2021-11-04 08:30:19	Yes	B3T2g8	Yes			
1642	2021-11-04 08:26:05	Yes	B3T0C9	Yes			
1644	2021-11-04 07:54:52	Yes	b3t1t2	Yes	Yes	No	As with every answer so far - That's all great info you supply, but it supports only your position. How about the other side? How can this survey be considered balanced? why not an equal area given to the monitoring committee to provide their counter views? This is shameful - I expect better.
1648	2021-11-04 07:26:29	Yes	B3T2E5	Yes	Yes	No	I am not worried about the possibility to reactivate the sorting process. I am worried that the municipality will be reluctant to trigger to the reactivation because of the cost. They will say "it 's only a little smell once in while..." while none of those making the decision actually have to live it.
1650	2021-11-04 07:32:25	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1651	2021-11-04 06:58:27	Yes	B3T0H7	Yes	No		
1652	2021-11-04 06:54:36	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	once shut down, next step will be to dismantle
1655	2021-11-04 06:50:55	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	No		
1656	2021-11-04 07:06:16	No	B3t1w5	Yes			

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1658	2021-11-04 06:49:02	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
1659	2021-11-04 06:41:15	No	B4B1N4	Yes			
1660	2021-11-04 06:14:16	No	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1664	2021-11-04 06:23:22	No	B4e3b3	Yes			
1667	2021-11-04 06:05:06	No	B3t1w5	Yes			
1668	2021-11-04 06:06:06	No	B3E1M4	Yes			
1670	2021-11-04 05:27:16	No	B3POC2	Yes	No		
1671	2021-11-04 04:55:59	Yes	B3t1c2	Yes	Yes	No	Equipment not used will break upon reactivation and you will not be able to afford to fix it
1672	2021-11-03 17:32:26	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	It seems that shutting down will be just as costly as keeping it open. All the extra precautions and scheduled maintenance programs, it might as well stay open. Regular use will ensure that all safety guidelines are maintained as well as properly heated and ventilated areas will ensure that condensation and seizing of machinery and electrical wouldn't be an issue. Better off to keep them open
1675	2021-11-03 23:41:40	Yes	B3t1b9	Yes	Yes	No	
1677	2021-11-03 22:17:23	Yes	b3t1b2	Yes	Yes	No	How quickly would it take to get said systems up and running, even with politics involved? How quickly will staff be called in to work with machinery should things need to get up and running again?
1679	2021-11-03 22:37:56	No	B3T1V8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1680	2021-11-03 18:47:54	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	Once something is taken away, it is always difficult to get back! Do not remove it at all!
1681	2021-11-03 22:09:54	Yes	b3t1r4	Yes	Yes	No	keep in place, chances are slim of reactivation if deactivated
1682	2021-11-03 22:28:41	Yes	b3t2c8	Yes			
1683	2021-11-03 22:13:15	No	B3T1S2	Yes			
1684	2021-11-03 22:20:02	No	B3t1p7	Yes			
1689	2021-11-03 21:29:15	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	My concerns are related to what the threshold is whereby a decision to reactivate the system would be made. What are those circumstances?
1691	2021-11-03 21:39:51	Yes	B3T2J2	Yes	Yes	No	Once they are removed, no one will allow putting the measures back in place
1692	2021-11-03 21:43:06	Yes	B3t2g2	Yes			
1693	2021-11-03 21:38:12	No	b3z1p7	Yes			
1694	2021-11-03 21:18:08	No	B2x2x5	Yes			
1695	2021-11-03 21:36:37	No	B3T1Z9	Yes			
1696	2021-11-03 21:31:39	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1697	2021-11-03 21:23:39	Yes	B3t1r3	Yes			
1699	2021-11-03 21:20:54	No	b4c2n9	Yes			
1703	2021-11-03 20:58:03	No	B4C2Y8	Yes	No		
1706	2021-11-02 15:35:17	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	No	
1710	2021-11-03 20:37:25	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1712	2021-11-03 16:32:19	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	No	NO
1715	2021-11-03 20:31:53	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	Once a service is taken away, despite promises, it is rarely reinstated
1716	2021-11-03 20:30:40	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	No	Should not be stopped
1718	2021-11-03 19:27:16	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	No	I do not want to store these facilities, I want them to remain in use.
1720	2021-11-02 17:26:46	Yes	B3Z4B4	Yes	Yes	No	MOTHBALLING Facilities DOES NOT WORK! IF HRM removes the FEP WSF PLEASE SHUT DOWN OTTER LAKE LANDFILL!!!
1721	2021-11-03 20:09:07	Yes	B3T0C8	Yes	Yes	No	
1722	2021-11-03 20:07:12	Yes	B3H0H3	Yes	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1726	2021-11-03 19:56:25	No	B3z1I7	Yes			
1728	2021-11-03 19:31:54	Yes	B3T1V1	Yes	Yes	No	For these to be implemented it will take time which is another way of saying there will be delays between problem and solution. During that delay period the community suffers
1731	2021-11-03 18:58:57	No	B3T1Y8	Yes	Yes	No	Define what this means and how the public will be notified about the results: "facilities could be put back into operation if needed".
1732	2021-11-03 19:14:51	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	No	
1734	2021-11-03 19:22:20	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	No		
1735	2021-11-03 18:55:44	No	B3t2I2	Yes	Yes	No	It should not be closed, period.
1738	2021-11-03 19:11:22	Yes	B3T156	Yes	Yes	No	
1740	2021-11-03 19:04:36	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes			
1741	2021-11-03 14:08:13	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	No	I do not believe that HRM will spend that money to maintain something that is not being used. After a few years it will be let go into disrepair.
1742	2021-11-03 18:16:24	No	B3t1t1	Yes	No		
1747	2021-11-03 18:59:00	No	B3T1B1	No			
1748	2021-11-03 08:08:27	Yes	B3T1B1	No			
1749	2021-11-03 08:08:12	Yes	B3t1a7	No			
1750	2021-11-02 19:15:26	No	b3t1X8	No			
1752	2021-11-03 18:35:23	Yes	B3t1c2	Yes	No		
1753	2021-11-03 18:02:51	Yes	b3t1a4	Yes	Yes	No	Saving money is often more important than keeping promises
1754	2021-11-03 18:22:37	Yes	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	No	I have indicated why in earlier answers and support the otter lake committee in their knowledgeable opposition to councils removal of these items
1757	2021-11-03 18:23:20	No	B3T1V7	Yes			
1759	2021-11-03 18:11:36	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1761	2021-11-02 15:25:47	No	B3T2L2	Yes			
1763	2021-11-03 18:04:19	Yes	B3T2G8	Yes	Yes	No	Shouldn't be removed because the city wants to save money. We've already taken a cut to collection of recyclables which is ridiculous.
1764	2021-11-03 17:51:38	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	No		
1765	2021-11-03 17:32:12	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	No	
1766	2021-11-03 17:43:23	Yes	B3t2g6	Yes	No		
1767	2021-11-03 17:37:50	Yes	B3t1a4	Yes	Yes	No	
1772	2021-11-03 17:18:54	Yes	B3T2E6	Yes	No		
1774	2021-11-03 17:07:51	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	No	Nothing is ever put back once taken away
1775	2021-11-03 17:09:25	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes			
1776	2021-11-03 17:04:04	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	No	Saving money at our expense
1778	2021-11-03 16:37:04	Yes	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe your word since you want to break your commitment.
1779	2021-11-03 15:56:48	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes			
1781	2021-11-03 16:07:17	No	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	No	We all know Murphys Law.. in addition, the measures to monitor and maintain the deactivated equipment will inherently involve costs will those outway the cost to keep it active?
1782	2021-11-03 16:39:43	No	B3T1Y9	Yes	Yes	No	
1783	2021-11-03 16:40:52	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes			
1785	2021-11-03 16:25:58	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	No	
1786	2021-11-03 16:22:59	Yes	B3t1v1	Yes	Yes	No	
1788	2021-11-03 16:12:11	Yes	B3t0b6	Yes	Yes	No	
1789	2021-11-03 16:15:12	Yes	B3T1K6	Yes	Yes	No	
1793	2021-11-03 15:27:51	No	B3P2H4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1795	2021-11-03 15:35:32	Yes	B3t1a9	Yes	Yes	No	I dont think u care enough

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1797	2021-11-03 15:27:34	Yes	b3t1v8	Yes			
1800	2021-11-03 15:03:42	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	No	
1801	2021-11-03 15:06:54	Yes	B3T2G5	Yes	No		
1803	2021-11-03 14:49:21	Yes	b3t1r8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1804	2021-11-03 15:04:33	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes			
1805	2021-11-03 15:00:10	No	B3t1p6	Yes			
1806	2021-11-03 14:46:23	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	No	If you have to reactivate it's too late. Rates and stink. This is in close proximity to a beautiful community and golf course
1810	2021-11-03 14:36:34	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes	No		
1811	2021-11-03 14:44:03	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes			
1812	2021-11-03 14:19:31	Yes	B3t1a2	Yes	Yes	No	This is costly and unnecessary
1814	2021-11-03 14:30:20	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	No	It needs to be closed. End of story
1816	2021-11-03 14:01:21	Yes	B3t1t5	Yes	Yes		Could be put back ??? Let's be clear...once you get what you want in deactivation...the process to reactivate will require more red tape and turning the clock back after its affects you cannot do!!!
1817	2021-11-03 14:19:51	No	b3m0e2	Yes			
1818	2021-11-03 14:13:18	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	No	Once the change is made i am not confident govt would ever decide to reberse it. This new plan is completely unacceptable
1819	2021-11-03 13:51:16	No	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	No	Realistically, the city will never agree to re-activate the WEP/FEP once they have got rid of it. Fake reassurances here.
1820	2021-11-03 13:51:31	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1821	2021-11-03 13:18:01	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes			
1822	2021-11-03 11:22:47	No	B3T1X2	Yes	Yes	No	Bullshit! Mothballing 20 odd year old equipment and then reinitiating it if there is an issue is totally unacceptable. The damage will be done by then. Plus how do you expect old equipment to be put back into service after sitting idle. This will cost more than what you are trying to save by renege on the agreement with community!
1823	2021-11-03 13:00:20	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	No	HRM should do the honourable thing and keep things as they are
1824	2021-11-03 12:29:44	Yes	B3T1K5	Yes	Yes	No	I just don't believe the promises. People making decisions aren't looking at all the facts
1825	2021-11-03 10:36:17	No	B3T1S7	Yes	Yes	No	Leave it running.
1826	2021-11-03 11:53:58	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	No	Always difficult to find money to reinstate once it is taken away
1827	2021-11-03 11:59:02	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	No		
1828	2021-11-03 11:51:31	No	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	No	No faith that the maintenance of the units will be maintained if the operators don't even want them.
1829	2021-11-03 11:21:43	Yes	B3T2G8	Yes	Yes	No	It's always more difficult for govt to restart something than it is to suspend it - I remain concerned.
1830	2021-11-03 11:24:06	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No		
1831	2021-11-03 10:57:07	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	There is no undertaking by HRM to establish a " dedicated reserve of funds " so that if the need arises to reactivate the FEP/WSF processes, the funds are readily available to make that happen without the necessity of going through the regular HRM budgetary process.
1832	2021-11-03 10:54:32	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	No	By the time damage is done, the cost of re-introducing measures will be more costly.
1833	2021-11-03 10:56:41	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes	Yes	No	
1834	2021-11-03 10:49:26	No		Yes	Yes	No	
1835	2021-11-03 10:30:15	Yes	B3t1r7	Yes	No		
1836	2021-11-03 10:05:16	Yes	B3t1t9	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1837	2021-11-03 10:10:55	No	B3T1W6	Yes			
1838	2021-11-03 10:03:38	No	B3T2A3	Yes	No		
1839	2021-11-03 08:21:24	No	B3T1Z3	Yes	Yes	No	Any piece of equipment sitting idle is never guaranteed to work properly again at startup. Again not enough information. Public session needed. What is the cost of all this maintenance while sitting idle. Does it require more employees? Are you not restarting the machine on a regular schedule? Too much left out in your canned responses.
1840	2021-11-03 08:37:51	No	b3t1v2	Yes	Yes	No	Reactivation decision comes down to money, not readiness.
1841	2021-11-03 08:17:55	No	B3T2B8	Yes	Yes	No	I am sure there will be additional costs, which will not be approved, so even if it is "easy" to turn back on, damage will already be done, and council will find it cost prohibitive to turn back on.
1842	2021-11-03 07:31:46	Yes	B3T0B2	Yes	Yes	No	
1843	2021-11-03 06:22:06	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	This is aging equipment. There has been no costing of keeping assets idle, no costing of deactivation of aging equipment if it is even possible. FEP/WSF is probably dated technology after 23 years. Where is the investigation of more modern efficient methods? Poor use of taxpayer dollars and a betrayal of trust to communities.
1844	2021-10-28 17:17:53	No	B3I2y8	Yes			
1845	2021-11-03 07:02:47	No	B3T2L2	Yes			
1846	2021-11-03 07:02:39	No	B3t2I2	Yes			
1847	2021-11-03 06:31:24	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	This a 23 year old process. The ability to reactivate at reasonable cost is questionable. What is the cost of 'idling'? What are the costs of reactivating. What about new technology? Surely more efficient means are available 23 years later. means
1848	2021-11-03 06:32:33	No	B3t2k8	Yes	Yes	No	
1849	2021-11-03 06:24:23	No	B3T2L2	Yes			
1850	2021-11-03 05:21:10	Yes	B3Z1L4	Yes	No		
1851	2021-11-03 04:19:44	Yes	B3t2e3	Yes			
1852	2021-11-03 04:15:58	No	B3t1t4	Yes			
1853	2021-11-02 21:45:01	No	B3T1Z3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1854	2021-11-02 21:16:52	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes			
1855	2021-11-02 20:56:32	Yes	B3T1V8	Yes	Yes	No	
1856	2021-11-02 20:25:58	No	B3t1t6	Yes	Yes	No	
1857	2021-11-02 19:57:57	No	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1858	2021-11-02 19:49:44	No	B3Z1L4	Yes	Yes	No	In a perfect world it would all go tickety boo.
1859	2021-11-02 19:27:03	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1860	2021-11-02 19:00:57	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	No	They'll never reactivate it re: this is all about the almighty dollar, not our health, not our safety, not the environment.
1861	2021-11-02 18:55:04	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	No	The strategies cited to be Putin place are well needed, but how will they compare with costs of the previous system.
1862	2021-11-02 18:56:23	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	Yes	No	
1863	2021-11-02 18:11:46	No	B3T1T4	Yes			
1864	2021-11-02 18:15:33	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes	No	
1865	2021-11-02 17:30:20	Yes	B3T1Z5	Yes	Yes	No	
1866	2021-11-02 16:40:23	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	No	Once it's off it won't be turned back on it never is

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1867	2021-11-02 14:34:16	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	Yes	No	It looks like most if not all concerns regarding the deactivation of the FEP and WSF equipment is OK however no cost has been given by the survey as to what the cost would be to restart the FEP&WSF and in how many years would the deactivation take place.
1868	2021-11-02 15:49:35	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes			
1869	2021-11-02 15:14:23	No	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	No	Who decides if it's necessary? Clearly HRM does not value the input of its citizens and you are trying to undermine/undervalue the Community Monitoring Committee. I know about the facility because of their regular communication. I am comforted knowing people who understand this stuff more than I do are working alongside govt to ensure our needs are met and concerns heard.
1870	2021-11-02 15:23:31	No		Yes			
1871	2021-11-02 15:02:14	No	B3t1v7	Yes			
1872	2021-11-02 14:48:27	No	B3M0P2	Yes	Yes	No	No need to deactivate that you keep operational doing the job. Leave it alone
1873	2021-11-02 14:43:09	Yes	B3T1P8	Yes	Yes	No	
1874	2021-11-02 14:11:50	No	B3M0P2	Yes			
1875	2021-11-02 14:38:17	Yes	B3T1r8	Yes	Yes		
1876	2021-11-02 14:29:17	No	B4A1P3	Yes	Yes	No	
1877	2021-11-02 14:02:42	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1878	2021-11-02 14:28:39	No	B3T1R5	Yes			
1879	2021-11-02 14:24:25	No	B3T0H6	Yes			
1880	Email/Mail-In	No	B3T2C1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1881	Email/Mail-In	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	No	If this system is being maintained it should be used. We (HRM taxpayers) are paying for it - it should be kept in place and used.
1882	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	No	Equipment is meant to be used, the longer it sits the more foreseeable problems. After all, that's why you spent on maintenance. Due to the expected increase in volume, at some point if it is decided to reactivate, the system will not be sufficient to handle the new work load. By keeping it in operating (FEP, WSF) it can be improved as we grow.
1883	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T0H3	Yes	Yes	No	The longer equipment is mothballed, the greater the likelihood of neglect to facilities - as time passes - easier ways of developing things will take place - facilities will deteriorate.
1884	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3M4M8	Yes	Yes	No	Once shut-down, moth-balled or removed, should the need to reactivate or replace equipment will be far more than the cost of normal operation and maintenance not to mention the delay if getting funding and approval. In the meantime, the environment and health of people and animals in the vicinity of the landfill will be endangered. Is the cost savings of removing the WSF worth that?
1885	Email/Mail-In	No	B3Z3T6	Yes			
1886	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF

Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1	2021-11-08 15:25:09	No	B3H1P7	Yes		
2	2021-12-05 09:24:23	Yes	B3T0I6	Yes		
3	2021-12-04 14:41:55	No	B3T1R9	Yes		
4	2021-12-04 14:23:40	No	B3T1z8	Yes	No	
7	2021-12-04 11:44:01	No	B3L4B2	Yes	Yes	Communities will be less trusting of governments if HRM and the provincial government go back on their commitments to the communities affected.
12	2021-12-03 22:10:00	No	B3Z2B3	Yes	Yes	HRM betrayed the original community committe that developed the 1995 Strategy by not implimenting it either in full or even in principal. Now HRM proposes to betray the common understanding of the several agreements it made with the local community and all of HRM by using weasal words like "acceptable" to twist to their own purposes. This is dishonorable! Do not proceed!
13	2021-12-03 22:23:07	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	
14	2021-12-03 20:49:08	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	Specifically I am concerned with why there is discussion about shutting down the FEP/WSF which mitgates many of the issues with a landfill in a consolidated and efficient fashion in order to funnel less effecient methods when there is a facility already built and staffed. Also, the FEW/WSF is recognized as a gold standard for landfill processing and our city deserves this.
15	2021-12-03 21:32:54	Yes	B3T2E6	Yes	Yes	I'm just tired of HRM saying one thing and doing another. You say your going to do something do it. Put some actual work on stuff like this. Why was the letter in the mail the first I heard of this? Why wasn't the information part of the mail out. Why wasn't it on social media? It feels sneaky
16	2021-12-03 21:32:46	Yes	B3t2I7	Yes		
17	2021-11-11 16:41:08	No	B3L3H5	Yes	Yes	Motivation! This is a poorly justified move by HRM and Mirror NS that is highly suspect.
18	2021-12-03 20:43:54	No	b3t2c8	Yes		
23	2021-12-03 19:10:31	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	No	
24	2021-12-03 17:39:37	Yes	B3T0E2	Yes	No	
25	2021-12-03 16:56:45	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	See comments re HRM not accepting recommendations from the community members of the CMC. Keep your promise to our communities.
26	2021-12-03 16:22:18	No	B3T1S5	Yes		
27	2021-12-03 16:14:52	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	Yes	Attraction of bears and other rodents to the community
28	2021-11-14 12:30:57	No	B3T1R8	Yes		
29	2021-11-04 13:54:59	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	I fear that the site might be pushed beyond its initial expectations. The city should be looking for alternative sites now.
30	2021-11-25 10:10:19	No	B3T1R7	Yes		
35	2021-11-07 19:41:27	No	B3T1W9	Yes	No	
36	2021-12-03 11:26:34	No	B3M0P2	Yes	Yes	LEAVE OTTER LAKE ALONE. What about all the other banned substances like plastic, metals, household hazardous waste. Possible return of ICI sector. Paint, bateries, and so much more. What about the possibility of murder victims that far to often are documented to be disposed in dumpsters. You have found bodies in your FEP in the past How will you find them now.
37	2021-11-13 18:39:52	No	B3T1S5	Yes		
38	2021-12-03 11:25:23	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	Yes	Lack of trust going forward with anything that HRM proposes or guarantees. Just read the questions in this survey and anyone can see that it is designed to find the answers that HRM requires.
40	2021-12-03 10:45:24	Yes	B3T1X5	Yes	No	
41	2021-12-03 10:52:22	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes		
42	2021-12-03 10:24:39	No	B3t1t9	Yes	No	
44	2021-12-03 10:05:40	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	all of the previous points, more garbage more odur, rodents, risk of water contamination
46	2021-12-03 09:43:58	No	B3T2A6	Yes	Yes	Smell, increased traffic, the potential of materials changing that requires FEP/WSP procedures,potential for less maintenance or enforcements

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF

Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
47	2021-12-03 09:26:22	Yes	Be32e3	Yes	Yes	If we continue to have leaders go back on their word and deny the obvious then there can be no trust. Why vote when this reoccurring pattern is not being stopped. Do what you say? Dont play word games with the people. Its embarrassing for everyone as you make up stories and we pretend to listen.
48	2021-12-03 09:40:25	Yes	B3T0J8	Yes	No	
50	2021-12-03 08:45:22	No	B3T2E3	Yes		
51	2021-12-03 08:41:31	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	Based on this information provided in this survey, it becomes clear that intent is to close the sorting regardless. In doing so you will be creating a big question mark around any information or data that you provide and the confidence that you can be trusted will be gone. The confidence in the science/information being provided is lost when we can longer trust the source.
52	2021-12-03 07:53:12	No	B3t1s2	Yes		
53	2021-12-03 07:27:56	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	As time elapses, the WMF will become excessively filled, like Sackville, problems-known & unknown-will increase and there will be NO ADEQUATE FIX for these problems at the end of life for this facility
54	2021-12-03 06:41:04	Yes	B3T1P8	Yes	Yes	I find it disingenuous that there are no questions in this survey about property values or tourism. When we bought this home we knew about the proximity to Otter Lake but given the FEP/WSF it seemed acceptable. If these cost-cutting measures go ahead I can't imagine it won't make people feel differently about moving to this part of HRM.
55	2021-12-03 06:21:35	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes		
56	2021-12-03 05:59:48	Yes	B3t2e3	Yes	No	
57	2021-11-02 19:50:36	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes		
58	2021-12-03 05:42:11	No	B3T2A4	Yes		
59	2021-12-03 05:14:46	No	B3t1x8	Yes	No	
63	2021-12-02 19:14:47	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes	No	
64	2021-12-02 16:48:06	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	These days its better to do more for the environment, in this case its at least the status quo apposed to your amendment to do less for our community.
66	2021-11-21 16:12:36	No	B3t1v3	Yes	Yes	The traffic and trucks dangerously cut people off on the road and cause traffic.
67	2021-12-02 13:35:06	Yes	B3t1s9	Yes		
68	2021-12-02 11:42:23	No	B3T1Z9	Yes	Yes	Rats
70	2021-12-02 07:57:42	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	I'm concerned in general, this is just a cost saving move to take away something that works
71	2021-12-01 19:13:58	No	B2Y4A6	Yes	No	
72	2021-12-01 18:24:02	No	B3t1v8	Yes		
73	2021-12-01 17:36:29	No	B3T1Y8	Yes	No	
75	2021-12-01 16:52:37	No	B3T2G1	Yes	No	
77	2021-12-01 13:26:19	No	B3T1S7	Yes		
78	2021-12-01 11:03:14	Yes	B3K1K7	Yes	No	
79	2021-12-01 10:08:17	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes		
81	2021-12-01 05:17:42	No	B3T1Y3	Yes	No	
83	2021-11-30 20:30:42	No	B3T1W1	Yes		
84	2021-11-30 20:19:47	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	No	
85	2021-11-30 19:43:10	No	B3T1Z7	No		
86	2021-11-30 19:28:18	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	
87	2021-11-30 18:50:05	Yes	B3z1l4	Yes	No	
89	2021-11-30 16:53:09	Yes	B3z4b6	No		
91	2021-11-30 16:30:32	No	B3T1T6	Yes	No	
92	2021-11-30 12:20:04	Yes	B3T0C3	Yes	No	
93	2021-11-30 11:57:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes		
94	2021-11-30 08:30:28	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	Yes	How does this change the expected lifespan of the landfill?
95	2021-11-30 06:31:37	Yes	B3r0e5	Yes		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF

Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
96	2021-11-30 05:48:46	Yes	B3t0e2	Yes	No	
97	2021-11-30 05:11:25	Yes	B3T0C5	Yes	No	
98	2021-11-30 04:26:12	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	No	
100	2021-11-06 19:45:28	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	
102	2021-11-29 19:03:16	Yes	B3z3w9	Yes	Yes	The health and safety of the community should come first. Look for other ways to save \$\$.
103	2021-11-29 15:31:26	No	B3M3G9	Yes	Yes	Once the environment is compromised it is too late. Shame on all of you that go along with this change. Shame, shame, shame! We have trusted you as representatives of the people you elected. Apparently our trust is not important.
104	2021-11-29 12:49:54	No	b3t1t8	Yes		HRM media coverage seems targeted at CMC not the landfill operation. It is very beneficial to have an engaged community group for this urban landfill. HRM should leverage this relationship instead of destroying it.
105	2021-11-29 12:23:08	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	While finding technicalities in the agreement to try to shut down FEP, the agreement as understood by all of us in the surrounding communities was the FEP would be part of us accepting the landfill in the first place. Honor your commitments and don't hide behind technicalities for this or even the agreed to life span of the landfill.
106	2021-11-29 12:47:42	No	B3T2L1	Yes		
111	2021-11-29 08:34:14	No		No		
112	2021-11-29 07:44:36	No	B3T1T9	Yes	No	
113	2021-11-28 22:36:07	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	One of the main assumptions in the deactivation plan is that pop. growth continues at 1% annually, while the NS Dept. of Finance has published a rate of 2% per year. Life span for the landfill is projected based on tonnage, while the cells fill by volume. The stabilized rate has a higher compaction factor than untreated waste. This has not been considered in the reports.
114	2021-11-25 09:38:50	No	B3H2Y7	Yes	No	
116	2021-11-28 11:02:50	No	b3t1z4	Yes	No	
118	2021-11-28 08:58:19	No	B3T1W7	Yes	Yes	If one step is all that is being changed, why ask about staff safety or increased traffic? You're removing hazards, not increasing them. You have not provided cost-risk-benefit in a useful way. Any time a government wants to reduce environmental protections in an obfuscated way, my concern increases. If you want me to believe you, do better on the report and the survey first.
122	2021-11-27 17:43:03	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes		
123	2021-11-27 16:20:14	Yes	B3T1B1	No		
124	2021-11-27 16:03:53	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	
125	2021-11-27 10:01:50	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	No	
126	2021-11-27 10:57:24	No	B3a2l8	Yes	Yes	I am concerned that this survey is biased.
127	2021-11-27 10:26:39	No	b2w2a8	Yes	Yes	Deactivating waste sorting is the exact opposite of what we should be doing with regards to climate change. Just be honest about this being a naked cost-cutting measure.
128	2021-11-27 10:09:45	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes		
129	2021-11-27 08:52:29	No	B3z1e2	No		
133	2021-11-26 14:55:51	No	B2W0H6	Yes		
134	2021-11-26 14:49:36	No	b3m0n1	Yes		
135	2021-11-26 14:00:24	No	B3T1W7	Yes	Yes	Main concern is that 'saving money' will be used to justify continuing to lower the standards, and increase risk to the nearby community and waters.
136	2021-11-26 13:20:12	No	B2W0L2	Yes	No	
137	2021-11-26 12:19:20	No	B2Y4J5	Yes	Yes	Why is the same company (Dillon) that handled this for Mirror also doing it for HRM? Why would anyone expect a different outcome from the first? There's the real stink. As the old saying goes, follow the money. Timing is good now, memory of the original agreement is fading. In the next 20 years, tens of \$millions to be made by breaking your word.
138	2021-11-26 10:16:40	No	B2Y3M9	Yes		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF

Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
139	2021-11-26 09:18:44	No	B3T2A5	Yes		
140	2021-11-26 07:46:03	No	b3a4H4	Yes	No	
141	2021-11-26 06:44:20	No	B4A4J8	No		
142	2021-11-26 05:29:08	No	0	Yes		
143	2021-11-26 04:10:09	No	B3P2S4	Yes	Yes	what's next?
144	2021-11-25 19:23:32	No	b3k1r3	Yes	Yes	
145	2021-11-25 22:39:53	No	B3B1W2	Yes	No	
146	2021-11-25 22:23:40	Yes	B3t2h6	Yes	Yes	
147	2021-11-25 22:05:39	No	B2Y1B3	Yes	Yes	If you truly care about the environment, you'll look into more and more automation. We're in the 21st century and technology is a powerful tool. Use it.
148	2021-11-25 22:18:49	No	B2x2e5	No		
149	2021-11-25 21:37:53	No	B3M1V1	Yes	No	
150	2021-11-25 21:37:15	No	B3Z1H4	Yes		
151	2021-11-25 21:18:21	No	B3Z1V9	Yes	No	
152	2021-11-25 21:23:33	No	B3m3b6	No		
153	2021-11-25 17:57:49	Yes	B3T1T3	Yes	Yes	As Halifax builds more and more apartment buildings where little or no monitoring of waste occurs, there is even more need for the Otter Lake FEP/WSP operation. It is ridiculous that this is even being proposed at this time when Halifax is increasing its high density living communities.
154	2021-11-25 18:08:41	Yes	B3T1B6	Yes		
155	2021-11-25 18:02:31	No	B3H4B2	Yes		
158	2021-11-25 16:58:07	No	B4b1n7	Yes	Yes	Taxes going up
159	2021-11-25 16:54:01	No	B2V2J9	No		
160	2021-11-25 15:58:11	No	b3n3j2	No		
162	2021-11-25 14:24:02	No	B3Z1H1	Yes	No	
163	2021-11-25 13:41:47	No	B3z3v7	Yes		
164	2021-11-25 13:13:06	Yes	b3T2E6	Yes	Yes	Honour original deal. The loader was a reason I moved to beechville. We were suppose to be a role model for the world as dumps go. Why make deals if you do not choose to adhere to them. If I knew you would get rid of the loader I would not have moved in current location.
165	2021-11-25 11:09:27	No	B2X2H6	Yes	Yes	Alternatives should have been considered besides "status quo" or "deactivation". I'd strongly consider addition of a bioreactor to deal with early methane generation from stabilized waste - quantities are tiny, right? So it should be no problem to build a big enough bioreactor. Waste processing should be continually improved, not just giving up. Also 400 char limit is nonsense.
166	2021-11-25 11:51:38	No	B3Z1L3	Yes	Yes	I am a realist with respect to human nature. History proves me correct. The FEP is a sufficient deterrent to the citizenry of HRM to adhere to WM rules. If you remove the deterrent, the behaviour will return in some form or fashion.
167	2021-11-25 11:56:50	No	B3L3H3	Yes	Yes	
171	2021-11-25 10:09:06	Yes	B3M3K4	Yes		
173	2021-11-25 09:10:29	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	Do you live here? Then how can you tell me what is working for myself and my neighbors. Furthermore I have huge concerns with all the additional illegal dumping that will happen. This is a growing concern for all of HRM. Have you seen the side of Prospect Rd? It's only going to get worse. So I guess Prospect Road is now just a dump. Open your eyes. Absolutely unacceptable.
174	2021-11-25 09:25:03	Yes	B3t1s8	Yes	No	
175	2021-11-24 11:06:59	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	People are simply not good at separating their own garbage into the appropriate glass, plastic, metal streams. If the manual inspection of waste is removed everything goes into the dirt. This facility sits atop the water system that drains into Shad Bay and it's critical that care be taken here.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF

Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
176	2021-11-05 17:13:07	No		Yes		
177	2021-11-25 08:59:48	No	B4B1M7	Yes	No	
178	2021-11-25 07:53:11	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes		
179	2021-11-25 08:41:02	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	Yes	
180	2021-11-10 11:11:55	Yes	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	With all of these proposed mitigation measures, given that the original agreement is being dismissed there are no assurances that these new measures will be maintained. Trust is lost now.
182	2021-11-25 07:32:55	No	B3Z1K3	Yes	No	
183	2021-11-25 07:15:20	No	B4A1J6	Yes		
184	2021-11-25 07:12:12	No	B3T1Z2	Yes	No	
186	2021-11-25 07:00:57	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	Yes	it was part of the agreement to locate it there....man up
188	2021-11-25 06:38:15	No	B3L1J9	Yes		
189	2021-11-25 06:37:18	No	B3Z2N2	No		
190	2021-11-25 05:43:05	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	No	
191	2021-11-25 05:43:56	No	B2W2K3	Yes	No	
193	2021-11-24 21:10:46	No	B3Z1M2	Yes		
194	2021-11-24 18:22:24	Yes	B3T0C2	Yes	Yes	
195	2021-11-24 18:31:49	No	B3t1v1	Yes	No	
196	2021-11-17 18:34:09	No	B3T1v1	Yes	No	
197	2021-11-24 16:23:03	No	B3T1R3	Yes	No	
199	2021-11-24 12:20:19	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes		
200	2021-11-24 07:49:10	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	Just concerns
202	2021-11-24 09:29:56	No	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	Monitoring what is put in the landfill affects the safety of water, animals and people in the area, it affects the air quality in the community on route to Peggys Cove. Continue the full process used at the landfill and protect the area as expected by the public...
204	2021-11-05 20:14:01	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	
205	2021-11-24 08:12:11	Yes	B3T1W6	Yes	No	
207	2021-11-24 07:19:53	No	B3Z1L4	Yes	Yes	If you are gathering this information from residents, then share the aggregate results of the survey back to the community.
208	2021-11-24 06:47:37	Yes	B3T2a5	Yes	No	
209	2021-11-24 06:17:21	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	No	
212	2021-11-16 20:30:04	No	B3m4k9	Yes		
213	2021-11-23 15:04:58	No	B3T2L6	Yes		
214	2021-11-23 17:13:18	No	B3T1X5	Yes	No	
215	2021-11-23 16:32:43	No	B3Z1L7	Yes	No	
220	2021-11-23 14:41:29	Yes	B3t1s6	Yes	Yes	This is all government Bullshit!
222	2021-11-14 09:01:40	No	B3T1V4	Yes		
223	2021-11-23 12:35:06	Yes	B3Z0K9	Yes	Yes	Property value.
225	2021-11-05 18:01:51	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	We have a great system so leave it alone.
226	2021-11-23 11:34:32	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	Our community has hosted this facility, HRM's compost facility and HRM's newly proposed replacement compost facility. There are mornings, particularly in the summer months when odours from these facilities impact the community. At a minimum HRM should operate the facilities in accordance with their intended designs and commitments.
227	2021-11-23 11:53:20	No	B3T2B2	Yes	Yes	Deactivation is just the first step in lowering environmental protection measures at Otter Lake. More will follow if the Province relaxes current regulations.
228	2021-11-23 10:59:54	No	B3T1W1	Yes		
229	2021-11-23 11:00:22	No	B3T1X4	Yes		
230	2021-11-05 06:42:04	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	Yes	
231	2021-11-23 09:12:02	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	No	
232	2021-11-23 07:54:02	No	B4g1g3	Yes	Yes	All of them.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF

Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
233	2021-11-23 08:49:27	No	B3t2c9	Yes		
234	2021-11-23 08:34:21	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes		
235	2021-11-15 06:30:45	No	B3T2H2	Yes	Yes	We can't rely on members of our community to do their part in sorting waste. I wish I could say we could but the more people you have coming to HRM the more waste we are going to get and their will be more people who do not comply with rules and regulations.
238	2021-11-22 17:35:24	No	B3T1S6	Yes		
240	2021-11-22 16:33:36	Yes	B3r0b2	Yes	Yes	
242	2021-11-22 15:15:31	No	B4E2R3	Yes	Yes	
243	2021-11-22 09:15:10	No		Yes		
244	2021-11-22 15:09:14	No	B3T2B5	No		
247	2021-11-22 14:29:02	Yes	B3N3J3	Yes	Yes	
248	2021-11-22 13:25:02	No	B3T1V4	Yes		
249	2021-11-17 07:19:08	No		Yes		
250	2021-11-22 11:58:25	No	b3t1z1	Yes	No	
251	2021-11-22 10:57:01	No	B3T1X2	Yes	Yes	Honour the original community engaged agreement. This will help mitigate the current community opinion of local government as low life scum balls
253	2021-11-22 08:56:13	No	B3T1V8	Yes	No	
254	2021-11-22 09:11:43	No	B3t1w3	Yes	Yes	Move the site outside of HRM far away from any community. See what the trucking charges would be! They would be much more than leaving the site as is! We do not agree to any reduction to services at the site!
255	2021-11-04 16:24:30	No		Yes		
257	2021-11-08 07:34:24	No		Yes	Yes	
258	2021-11-22 07:46:11	No		Yes	No	
259	2021-11-21 19:13:42	No	B3T1Y6	Yes	Yes	Extreme lack of objective information here. This is supposed to be a survey and not promotional material to convince people they should vote in favour of stopping use of the FEP/WSF.
260	2021-11-21 21:35:36	Yes	B3T2H7	Yes	Yes	Will this shut down be putting anyone out of work?
263	2021-11-21 20:04:16	No	B3T2B3	Yes	No	
264	2021-11-21 20:02:01	No	b3n1t3	No		
265	2021-11-21 19:28:10	No	B3Z3W8	Yes		
266	2021-11-21 10:54:40	No	B3T0B5	Yes	No	
267	2021-11-21 09:15:00	No	B3T1Z8	Yes		
268	2021-11-21 08:38:26	Yes	B3Z1M9	Yes	Yes	It's all bullshit, very angry.
269	2021-11-21 08:34:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes		
270	2021-11-21 08:08:50	No	B3T1Y6	Yes		
272	2021-11-21 06:37:30	Yes	B3t1s6			
275	2021-11-20 22:19:47	No	B3Z1C7	Yes	No	
276	2021-11-20 22:13:14	No	B3t2b8	Yes		
277	2021-11-20 21:39:54	No	B3T2C9	Yes	Yes	Loss of jobs
278	2021-11-20 20:55:18	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	No	
279	2021-11-20 18:20:13	No	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	
281	2021-11-20 14:33:02	No	B3T1X2	Yes	No	
284	2021-11-20 13:01:56	No	B3T1T8	Yes	Yes	I just hope that everything which you say you are going to do happens and goes well.
285	2021-11-20 09:52:20	No	b3t2a4	Yes	Yes	whole process was difficult to achieve with the confidence of prospect area residents . we trust this process of recycling this system has done everything we were promised it would do. any amount of savings not worth the risk too much of the growing city needs constant monitoring . many people do not comply many new people to the area can make serious mistakes
287	2021-11-20 06:22:00	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes		
288	2021-11-20 05:22:39	Yes	B3T2L4	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF

Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
289	2021-11-19 22:45:53	No	B3t1w5	Yes	No	
292	2021-11-19 19:55:05	Yes	B3t1a5	Yes		
293	2021-11-19 20:00:21	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes		
297	2021-11-19 17:40:58	Yes	B3t2l6	Yes	Yes	This survey is set up in a way that does not provide the population that will be affected to give our real input.
300	2021-11-19 16:24:10	Yes	B3t2e8	Yes		
301	2021-11-19 15:30:49	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes	No	
302	2021-11-19 14:41:19	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	Future monitoring of the facility and what we have left behind. Business operators will move on leaving the tax payer to address the issue that arise. Is there a liability that the operators have in place for 25 years from now when we are in a bad situation
304	2021-11-19 13:53:50	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes		
306	2021-11-19 13:17:35	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	No	
307	2021-11-19 12:52:56	Yes	B3T1S8	Yes	Yes	when something works like the FEP/WSF, why gamble with removing it
309	2021-11-19 13:13:25	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	No	
310	2021-11-19 12:09:54	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	When issues do arise with rodents birds & odors property values will decrease in this area.
312	2021-11-19 11:21:59	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No	
313	2021-11-19 12:01:38	Yes	B3t0e2	Yes	No	
314	2021-11-19 11:37:55	Yes	B3T0B3	Yes	No	
315	2021-11-19 11:40:51	No	b4e3j4	No		
316	2021-11-19 11:05:54	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	You have ignored how much these things catch when people are notoriously bad at sorting. Especially given the influx of residents from other provinces where recycling and compost are handled differently, as well as the high number of apartment and condo buildings going in where residents are notoriously bad at sorting their waste.
317	2021-11-19 10:51:58	No	B3t2a4	Yes	Yes	Other areas of the province need to be looked at instead of Otter Lake. Additionally, there are other systems implemented around the world for disposing of garbage including ways that generate electricity and heating for homes.
328	2021-11-19 09:26:04	No	B3t1s4	Yes	No	
329	2021-11-19 09:23:53	No	B3T1T7	Yes	No	
330	2021-11-19 09:18:34	No	B3T2E3	No		
331	2021-11-03 14:14:39	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes		
332	2021-11-19 09:07:23	No	B3z3x7	Yes	No	
336	2021-11-19 06:57:40	No	B3t1s7	Yes	No	
340	2021-11-16 06:28:52	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes		
342	2021-11-18 13:01:08	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	Organic waste should not be buried in a landfill
343	2021-11-06 11:19:16	Yes	B3T1S3	Yes		
344	2021-11-18 08:13:25	No	B3Z1S1	Yes		
348	2021-11-17 22:58:07	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes	How long does it take to re-start the system?
349	2021-11-17 22:09:54	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes		
350	2021-11-17 21:58:13	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes		
351	2021-11-17 20:45:05	No	B3T1V4			
352	2021-11-17 16:59:54	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	If HRM proceeds with its plan despite its original commitment and citizen's opposition, it will be unable to convince any other community to host a landfill in the future, when this one is closed. HRM would have demonstrated that any commitment they make are not worth much. It might cost HRM a lot in the future for the sake of "saving" a couple million now.
353	2021-11-17 18:51:05	No	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	If the waste stream now contains low levels of material for which the FEP/WSF was initially commissioned, I would prefered to see it geared to divert more material from disposal and to recycling. This effort to eliminate some operating cost is based on new interpretation of the initial permitting of the facility and assumptions of ICI waste continuing to be diverted outside of HRM
354	2021-11-17 18:35:15	Yes	B3T1T1	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF

Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
356	2021-11-17 17:37:03	Yes	B3T1Z1	Yes	No	
358	2021-11-17 17:32:46	No	B3t1p9	Yes	No	
359	2021-11-17 16:50:01	No	B3t1z5	Yes		
361	2021-11-17 08:21:13	No	B3T0J9	Yes	No	
362	2021-11-17 16:12:46	Yes	B3T1Z5	Yes	No	
364	2021-11-17 14:48:48	No	B3T1Z7	Yes	Yes	What happens next, first the FEP/WSF, then maintenance of the monitoring equipment, then cancellation of proper shut down procedures, then the fields are built into the sky like mountains. and all those employees loose jobs. It's a no win situation.
366	2021-11-17 14:03:17	No	B3T153	Yes	No	
368	2021-11-17 12:56:04	No	B3T2A3	Yes	Yes	I am concerned that no community will ever be able to trust HRM's word again about anything, seeing how you have treated this agreement over the course of the last decade. The public has repeatedly opposed these maneuvers, but you seem determined to wear us down so that you can break your promises for the most short-term and ephemeral profits.
369	2021-11-17 12:39:30	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes		
372	2021-11-17 10:06:34	Yes	B3T2B4	Yes	Yes	Aside from breaking the agreement, HRM is not making any effort to increase public awareness or compliance with diversion of organics. The existing rate of diversion is too low.
373	2021-11-17 09:17:34	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	we are no where near the society that can be trusted not to lapse, I'm not saying this is on government - there are programs out the to educate its citizens buy we are not even close.
374	2021-11-17 08:29:21	No	B3L2X9	Yes		
375	2021-11-17 07:34:30	No	B3Z1L7	Yes	Yes	Sorting materials is essential for maintaining less odors, less rodents and birds, less debris and dust and flying litter. Keep our processing plant at its best for our community, our water and the air we breathe. Please.
378	2021-11-17 02:20:42	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	No	
379	2021-11-16 22:06:39	No	B3T2C8	Yes	No	
380	2021-11-16 21:10:18	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	I am concerned that the city will keep finding excuses to weaken the criteria and make excuses to continue operation or reactivation.
381	2021-11-16 21:18:47	No	B3t1t8	Yes		
382	2021-11-16 21:09:04	No	B4E0H1	Yes		
384	2021-11-06 11:35:33	No	B3T156	Yes		
387	2021-11-16 20:28:47	No	B3T2A8	Yes	No	
388	2021-11-16 20:08:46	No	b4e0c5	Yes	No	
389	2021-11-16 19:53:05	Yes	b3t1m6	Yes	Yes	More food equals more stink, put it in your backyard if you don't think so.
391	2021-11-16 19:17:01	Yes	B3t1v6	Yes	No	
392	2021-11-16 17:11:00	Yes	B3t1z6	Yes		
394	2021-11-16 16:57:48	Yes	B3T1K6	Yes	Yes	It's bullshit. Plain and simple. Respect the original agreement you Fucking lying pieces of shit! Let's have an excuse/answer for everything ffs.
395	2021-11-16 16:47:46	No	B3T1V3	Yes		
396	2021-11-16 16:26:13	No	b3r1y2	Yes	Yes	DON'T CLOSE THIS FACILITY AND KEEP YOUR PROMISES AS LAID OUT IN 99.
397	2021-11-16 16:09:29	No	B3T1P7	Yes	Yes	
398	2021-11-16 14:32:29	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	I will say this again---WE DO NOT WANT ANOTHER Sackville incident---It seems to be working now with this equipment in place---Please do not interfere with your original promise.
402	2021-11-16 13:43:14	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	
403	2021-11-16 13:20:21	No	B3T2A5	Yes	No	
404	2021-11-16 13:04:35	Yes	B3T1T1	Yes	No	
405	2021-11-16 13:08:17	No	B3.2g6	Yes		
407	2021-11-16 12:16:47	Yes	B3T1R9	Yes	Yes	more doing things that the government historically promised they wouldn't do, where does it end? the government need to engineer and set-up recycling facilities and waste product streams to be processed back into usable goods.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF

Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
409	2021-11-16 12:01:52	No	B3T2A3	Yes	Yes	
410	2021-11-16 11:28:33	No	B3T2B7	Yes	No	
411	2021-11-15 19:57:12	No	B3T1Z6	Yes		
412	2021-11-16 11:13:28	No	B3T1T4	Yes		
413	2021-11-16 10:54:56	No	B3T1R2	Yes	No	
418	2021-11-08 04:39:24	No	B3t2b9	No		
419	2021-11-16 09:20:25	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	No	
420	2021-11-16 09:14:25	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	No	
421	2021-11-16 08:16:26	No	B3T1R1	Yes	No	
422	2021-11-16 08:02:51	No	B3T2A6	Yes	No	
423	2021-11-16 07:03:38	No	B3T1S3	Yes	Yes	Green cart pick up should be increased to weekly otherwise more product will end up at the facility
425	2021-11-16 07:17:23	No	B4B0V3	No		
427	2021-11-16 06:40:08	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	No	
428	2021-11-16 05:15:53	No	B3t1r8	Yes	No	
429	2021-11-16 03:50:44	No	b3t1r2	Yes		
432	2021-11-15 22:24:58	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes		
433	2021-11-15 21:42:51	No	B3z1k6	Yes	No	
436	2021-11-15 21:31:56	No	b3t0h5	Yes		
439	2021-11-15 20:24:57	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No	
442	2021-11-15 20:01:15	Yes	B3j1b6	Yes		
443	2021-11-15 19:21:38	No	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	A lot of scared home owners and environmentalists. Let's not move backwards. Not everyone recycles. There is still food and organic waste in "garbage". Leave it alone.
444	2021-11-15 19:19:56	Yes	B3T0C5	Yes	Yes	Leave it alone and respect the host community. If you need to save \$2M from a \$1B there are a lot better places to look.....starting within the public service....
445	2021-11-15 19:23:32	No	B3M2Z8	Yes		
447	2021-11-15 19:20:01	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes		
448	2021-11-15 19:18:50	Yes	B3T0C5	Yes		
449	2021-11-15 18:52:28	No	B3T1S7	Yes	No	
451	2021-11-15 19:07:14	Yes	B3T0C3	Yes	Yes	
453	2021-11-09 17:16:13	Yes	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	
454	2021-11-15 18:12:10	No	B3T2E4	Yes		
456	2021-11-15 18:00:43	No	B3m1b3	Yes	No	
457	2021-11-15 17:07:16	No	B3T1S7	Yes	No	
459	2021-11-15 16:33:39	Yes	b3t1n1	Yes	Yes	
460	2021-11-15 15:34:49	Yes	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	Again, 400 characters, really??? Can't even begin
463	2021-11-04 13:14:52	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes		
467	2021-11-15 15:05:11	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	
468	2021-11-15 14:28:53	No	B3T1T5	Yes	No	
469	2021-11-15 14:37:16	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	No	
470	2021-11-04 13:58:09	No	B3t2I1	Yes	Yes	
472	2021-11-15 13:06:30	Yes	B3T1P4	Yes		
474	2021-11-15 11:55:02	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	400 character limit? A joke: We've hosted this dump for longer than promised & now city wants to break more promises. Lower income areas near this site are being treated like we don't matter. Time for this dump to go-maybe to the south end or mayor's neighbourhood.
475	2021-11-15 12:15:51	Yes	B3t1t5	Yes	No	
476	2021-11-15 11:06:37	Yes	B3T1A2	Yes	Yes	The cost of keeping the FEP/WSF in good repair & then having to reactivate it if necessary. Who decides if it's required to reactivate & how bad must things get for local residents before a decision is made?
477	2021-11-15 11:09:54	No	B3t1z9	Yes	Yes	Honor 1999 agreement

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF

Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
478	2021-11-02 15:44:15	No	B3t1z9	Yes	Yes	Honour 1999 agreement
479	2021-11-15 10:58:42	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes		
482	2021-11-15 10:47:45	No	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	Honour 1999
483	2021-11-15 10:38:04	No	B3t1z9	Yes	Yes	Honor 1999 agreement
487	2021-11-15 08:33:12	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	It can't escape my attention that this facility is in proximity to 2 historically black communities. It shouldn't escape your attention either. This is 2021. In the 22 years since this community agreed to allow the facility to move forward we have learned much. We've done very well, considering. Going backwards is simply the wrong thing to do.
488	2021-11-15 09:26:01	No		Yes		
490	2021-11-15 08:50:46	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	You gave us promises and assurances when you put this in our neighborhood! Obviously, that doesn't mean a damn thing!
491	2021-11-15 08:35:24	No	B4A0G5	Yes	Yes	These types of systems should not be operated by PRIVATE operators. They should be municipal employees as they do not have any stake in the profitability of the system and will be more invested in the long term sustainability for the community. If the PRIVATE operator is too expensive, send it out to tender for a new operator.
492	2021-11-15 08:16:23	No	B3T1W2	Yes	Yes	This comment format is BS. Grrrr. This proposal is all about saving \$ not about looking after our future environment. Curb side Diversion has been great, but not everyone complies. 2/3 of our waste is now "out of sight" due to loss of Flow Control (and thus revenue). That waste is just being buried so we moved that "problem" out of HRM geographically but it still exists in NS.
493	2021-11-15 08:06:45	Yes	B3P2S2	Yes	Yes	Keep the FEP/WSF. Do not deactivate.
494	2021-11-15 07:51:27	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	No	
496	2021-11-15 06:25:23	No	b2y2k5	Yes		
497	2021-11-15 06:30:42	No	b3t1s6	Yes		
498	2021-11-15 06:04:16	No	B4A4G9	Yes		
499	2021-11-15 06:00:23	No	B3T1Z4	Yes		
501	2021-11-15 05:17:48	No	B3T2B6	Yes		
502	2021-11-15 04:23:45	No	B3T2B6	Yes		
503	2021-11-14 22:48:09	Yes	B3T1T3	Yes	No	
504	2021-11-14 23:28:13	Yes	B3t1s4	Yes	No	
505	2021-11-14 21:41:33	No	B3L4E9	Yes	No	
507	2021-11-14 21:08:08	Yes	B3Z2R2	Yes		
508	2021-11-14 18:53:01	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	No	
509	2021-11-14 19:24:07	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	Your survey focuses on organics that shouldn't be in the waste stream to begin with, but fails to consider all the other other harmful things that would go into the ground unchecked if the FEP is shut down.
510	2021-11-14 19:06:31	No	B3z2j2	Yes	No	
511	2021-11-14 18:40:50	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	No	
512	2021-11-14 18:24:35	No	B3z1c5	Yes		
513	2021-11-14 17:48:16	Yes	B3t1C4	Yes	Yes	
514	2021-11-14 17:24:20	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	No	
515	2021-11-14 17:13:33	No	B3t1z1	Yes	No	
517	2021-11-14 17:03:48	No	B3T2B7	Yes	No	
518	2021-11-14 16:51:46	No	B3t2c8	Yes	No	
519	2021-11-14 16:42:02	No	B3T2a3	Yes	No	
520	2021-11-14 16:19:13	Yes	B3t1w1	Yes	No	
521	2021-11-14 16:13:51	No	B3t2h8	Yes	Yes	Residents will not be able to properly sort waste to the level that this new landfill would require..
522	2021-11-14 15:41:54	No	B3Z1X7	Yes	No	
523	2021-11-06 17:49:33	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF

Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
524	2021-11-14 15:16:45	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes		
525	2021-11-14 14:28:12	Yes	B3T1A4	Yes		
526	2021-11-14 14:13:29	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes		
527	2021-11-14 12:59:52	No	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	
529	2021-11-14 12:32:35	Yes	B3T1T7	Yes	No	
530	2021-11-14 12:47:29	No	B3t2a8	No		
531	2021-11-14 12:46:53	Yes	b3t1a9	Yes		
532	2021-11-14 12:15:28	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	
533	2021-11-14 12:23:24	Yes	b3t1a5	Yes	Yes	
534	2021-11-14 11:50:10	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	No	
535	2021-11-14 10:54:56	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes		
537	2021-11-14 10:24:51	No	B3A2Y6	Yes	Yes	
538	2021-11-08 06:46:30	Yes	b3t3c9	Yes		
539	2021-11-14 09:41:02	No	b3t2b4	Yes	No	
540	2021-11-14 09:23:15	No	B3L1W1	Yes	No	
541	2021-11-14 09:02:04	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	I'm concerned about the RATS.
542	2021-11-14 09:01:11	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes		
543	2021-11-14 07:47:50	Yes	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	Not adhering to the approved and agreed contract rules and regulations
544	2021-11-14 07:20:45	Yes	B3T1A7	Yes	Yes	Tired of being told this is how things will be then, when it is no longer convenient or cost affective- changes are made. We are told what changes are expected to be made but not told of what will actually happen. This change is not best for our environment
545	2021-11-13 19:53:48	Yes	B3T0B6	Yes	Yes	
548	2021-11-14 07:04:09	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	Keep the eye process as is.
549	2021-11-07 15:48:37	Yes	B3t1w1	Yes	No	
550	2021-11-14 06:41:46	Yes	B3t0b3	Yes	Yes	Everything concerns us. You guys promise and never hold up your end. Our councillors is not working for us
551	2021-11-14 06:26:48	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	
552	2021-11-14 06:22:05	No	B3k1x3	Yes		
553	2021-11-14 04:19:11	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	No	
554	2021-11-14 04:17:16	No	B4B0Y6	Yes		
555	2021-11-14 02:03:54	Yes	B3t0J3	Yes	No	
556	2021-11-14 01:17:35	Yes	B3T2J3	Yes	No	
557	2021-11-14 01:10:03	Yes	B3t1k6	Yes	No	
558	2021-11-13 23:39:07	No	B3Z0E7	Yes		
561	2021-11-13 22:03:06	No	B3T2B4	Yes		
562	2021-11-13 21:58:46	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	No	
563	2021-11-13 21:07:26	No	B3T1V8	Yes	Yes	I am concerned at the fact it has been a state of the art facility for many years and a model for numerous facilities around the world and it should remain open to keep things clean and people employed.
565	2021-11-13 20:50:36	No	B3N1E3			
568	2021-11-13 19:12:12	Yes	B3R1R4	Yes	No	
569	2021-11-13 18:36:52	No	B3T1W7	Yes	Yes	Closing Burnside, more trucks, more waste
570	2021-11-13 18:56:22	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes		
573	2021-11-13 09:09:40	No		Yes	Yes	If you pollute my property, you will be sorry
576	2021-11-13 16:34:10	No	B3T1W2	Yes	Yes	If the FEP/WSF is deactivated, the Prospect Community will be very vocal about how HRM has broken their promises made to the community and breached the contract they entered in 1999. With all the focus on climate in 2021, it is disgraceful that this municipal government has chosen this route.
577	2021-11-13 16:15:03	Yes	B3Z1E8	Yes	No	
578	2021-11-13 16:12:11	No	B3T1V7	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF

Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
579	2021-11-13 14:52:37	Yes	B3T1W9	Yes	Yes	The Municipality wants to break a contract that was agreed to by the community to allow the landfill. This agreement is required to keep our community clean and safe of odours, rodents etc. Honour the contract!
580	2021-11-13 15:28:04	No	B3T1S6	Yes	No	
581	2021-11-13 15:35:18	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes		
582	2021-11-13 15:09:51	Yes	B3TOC7	Yes	Yes	Property values for the close area!
584	2021-11-13 14:20:55	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes	No	
585	2021-11-13 14:05:39	No	B3t1s3	Yes	Yes	
586	2021-11-13 13:03:09	Yes	B3t1t7	Yes	Yes	
587	2021-11-13 12:49:35	Yes	B3t2h9	Yes		
588	2021-11-13 12:12:35	Yes	B3T1W2	Yes	Yes	The material being diverted has gone down but it has not been eliminated. The front end processing serves an important function to minimize the amount of material going into landfill. None of the proposed mitigations actually address this issue at all.
589	2021-11-13 12:34:33	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	No	
590	2021-11-13 12:34:26	No	B3T1R2	Yes	No	
591	2021-11-12 10:59:07	No	B3T1W3	Yes		
592	2021-11-13 11:45:10	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	Transparency and truth. Equity and fairness!! Safety and smell
594	2021-11-13 11:35:51	No	B3T2A6	Yes		
595	2021-11-13 11:23:25	No	B3t1s1	Yes	No	
596	2021-11-13 11:27:25	No	B3T1W9	Yes	No	
598	2021-11-13 09:13:42	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	No	
599	2021-11-13 10:52:22	Yes	B3t1v8	Yes		
601	2021-11-04 08:30:06	No	B3t1y6	Yes	Yes	This was never to be an option!
602	2021-11-13 09:10:48	No	B3T1R6	Yes	No	
603	2021-11-13 08:49:57	Yes	B3T0H6	Yes		
605	2021-11-08 10:53:05	Yes	B3T1T8	Yes	No	
607	2021-11-13 08:42:24	No	B3T1T9	Yes	No	
608	2021-11-13 08:43:32	No	B3T1V8	Yes		
609	2021-11-13 08:37:22	No	B3T1R5	Yes	No	
613	2021-11-13 08:01:46	No	B3T1T3	Yes		
614	2021-11-13 07:52:06	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	No	
615	2021-11-13 07:29:43	Yes	B3Z0N9	Yes	Yes	
616	2021-11-12 10:15:32	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	Yes	
617	2021-11-13 07:32:01	No	B3t1z3	Yes	No	
618	2021-11-13 07:12:01	No	B3Z3A5	Yes	Yes	The history of landfills in Halifax and elsewhere is replete with broken promises and angry residents.
619	2021-11-13 07:22:29	No	B3t1y5	Yes		
620	2021-11-13 07:24:17	No	B3T1T8	Yes		
621	2021-11-13 06:57:29	No	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	Loss of jobs
622	2021-11-13 06:34:34	No	B0J2C0	Yes	No	
623	2021-11-13 06:11:22	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	Removing employment of workers affecting their livelihood.
624	2021-11-13 05:23:59	No	B3T1Y1	Yes		
625	2021-11-13 04:38:15	No	B3n1g2	Yes		
628	2021-11-12 22:32:48	No	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	I don't like the fact that you are relying on the public to sort out organics and biodegradables. Once again, I witness on a daily basis the complete lack of sorting in an industrial setting. So I see how much organics need to be sorted out. And that is just one industry.
630	2021-11-12 21:34:52	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	No	
632	2021-11-12 21:45:13	No	B3t2b8	Yes		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF

Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
635	2021-11-12 20:55:35	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	The additional mitigations listed throughout the survey would not hardly be required if it was an inert landfill. Organics do not belong in the landfill. The FEP/WSF needs to remain in operation.
636	2021-11-12 20:53:35	Yes	B3t0e1	Yes	Yes	
637	2021-11-12 20:31:03	No	B3L3W3	Yes		
638	2021-11-12 20:33:57	No	B3Z0N9	Yes		
639	2021-11-12 19:53:27	Yes	B3T2L1	Yes	Yes	Why are we changing what is work, I don't like this experiment in our community!
640	2021-11-12 20:10:10	Yes	B3T2G2	Yes	Yes	Please do not deactivate the FEP/WSF. I am concerned how HRM keeps trying to break their commitment to the community.
641	2021-11-12 19:54:56	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	No	
642	2021-11-12 19:51:56	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	No	
644	2021-11-04 15:18:44	No	B3T1T3	Yes		
645	2021-11-12 19:18:14	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	The FEP/WSF operation is a requirement of the otter lake landfill agreement. It is the stop gap to ensure organics a do not go in the landfill. It is the stopgap. To stop it is equivalent to stopping medication because you feel better. In 2021 it is negligent to even consider removing an environmental process.
647	2021-11-12 18:58:13	No	B3T1X7	Yes	No	
648	2021-11-12 19:00:02	No	B3R1Z6	Yes		
649	2021-11-12 18:45:16	No	B3T2L1	Yes		
650	2021-11-12 18:45:15	No	B3t2a6	Yes		
651	2021-11-12 18:39:00	No	B3T2B2	No		
653	2021-11-09 15:44:21	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	We need to preserve jobs and not change what Nova Scotians are proud of ... others learn from Our facility ... we need to power on
654	2021-11-12 17:58:39	No	B3t1s5	Yes	No	
655	2021-11-12 18:04:48	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	No	
658	2021-11-12 17:20:52	Yes	B3t1r8	Yes		
660	2021-11-12 16:54:50	Yes	B3t1t4	Yes	No	
661	2021-11-12 16:50:26	No	B3t1p7	Yes	No	
662	2021-11-12 16:11:02	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes	Yes	Our property values will go down. Why would any of us want to have this happen? We purchased a home here as it was a nice place to live. If these machines are shut down, we will have seagulls, rodents and bears in the area. We will also have a "dump" smell and garbage flying everywhere. Stop this NOW!!!!
663	2021-11-12 16:22:48	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes	No	
664	2021-11-05 11:03:02	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	
665	2021-11-12 16:13:09	Yes	B3t1a2	Yes	No	
666	2021-11-12 15:58:30	No	B3T2B9	Yes	Yes	
668	2021-11-12 14:45:10	Yes	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	As I stated before you paid for the consultant so the answers will be in your favour and not the resident
669	2021-11-12 14:09:19	No	B3Z0C8	Yes	Yes	HRM was leading waste management. It had community support. Otter Lake was accepted as a location because of promises about how the landfill would be managed. HRM keep eroding the intent and the agreement with community - we should be looking to do new a better things re waste management not falling back to old "dump" and run. Very disappointing and dishonest.
673	2021-11-12 13:57:18	Yes	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	
675	2021-11-12 13:46:14	No				
677	2021-11-12 13:27:53	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	This survey is leading and directing a response and does allow for important concerns to be addressed
678	2021-11-12 13:08:59	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	No	
679	2021-11-12 13:23:55	No				
685	2021-11-12 11:19:12	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF

Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
687	2021-11-04 13:53:39	No	B3T1T9	Yes		
688	2021-11-12 11:55:18	No	B3T1B8	Yes		
689	2021-11-12 11:21:27	Yes	B3t0k4	Yes	Yes	This survey is not user friendly and does provide sufficient information regarding the mitigation strategies
690	2021-11-12 11:36:23	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes		
692	2021-11-12 11:31:12	Yes	B3t0c4	Yes	Yes	
693	2021-11-12 11:32:34	Yes	B3t2g2	Yes	Yes	
695	2021-11-09 14:58:14	No	B3M3Y5	Yes	Yes	Too many to list, no confidence in HRM to listen. This survey is a joke, only being done to say they consulted, then they will ignore any and all concerns. They operate under the Steve Adams rules" If we listen to the people we would get nothing done. They all should be ashamed!!!!!"
696	2021-11-12 10:56:26	No	B3T2B3	Yes	No	
698	2021-11-12 10:45:38	No	B3t2b4	Yes		
699	2021-11-05 07:51:24	No		Yes	Yes	The survey has been composed in such a way as to deter many people from wading through such a convoluted , badly structured, misleading survey designed to , instead of having great public response, will at least discourage many from responding. But isn't THAT what you want???
701	2021-11-12 10:20:55	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	Will decrease property values
702	2021-11-12 10:16:59	Yes	B3t1a9	Yes		
704	2021-11-12 09:41:35	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes	Yes	hy are you even considering taking it away???
707	2021-11-12 09:44:13	Yes	B3T0B6	Yes	Yes	"If it ain't broke, why fix it?"
708	2021-11-12 09:47:42	No	B3T1R7	Yes		
709	2021-11-12 09:43:26	No	B3t0b2	Yes	Yes	
712	2021-11-12 09:41:22	No	B3T1X5	Yes	No	
713	2021-11-12 09:40:17	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes		
715	2021-11-12 09:10:33	No	B3T1W6	Yes	No	
717	2021-11-12 09:26:35	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	Lower property value. More stink than usual. Not going to help the community.
719	2021-11-12 09:06:45	Yes	B3t2a2	Yes	Yes	
720	2021-11-12 08:33:02	No	B3Z3X1	Yes		
721	2021-11-12 08:18:26	No	B3T2A8	Yes	Yes	with the present system in place and working as designed , we know WHAT is going to the landfill , the the FEPWSF shut down , we will not know
723	2021-11-12 08:36:35	Yes	B3T2K5	Yes		
725	2021-11-12 08:27:32	Yes	B3T0C2	Yes	No	
726	2021-11-12 08:20:28	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	No	
728	2021-11-12 08:30:51	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes		
730	2021-11-12 08:11:33	No	B3t2a8	Yes	No	
732	2021-11-12 07:03:31	Yes	B3t1t5	Yes	No	
733	2021-11-11 22:26:20	Yes	B3t1c3	Yes	Yes	
735	2021-11-11 19:08:24	Yes	B3t1k2	Yes	No	
736	2021-11-11 18:30:49	No	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	I'm calling my Councillor. And more, standing up for my community! Thanks for your incredibly biased survey format that I paid for. Well done.
739	2021-11-11 18:00:07	No	B3T1V2	Yes	Yes	I recently returned to HRM after living away for [redacted] years and was shocked at the state of things government corruption, no obvious plan in place for controlling the growth in population, the unlimited building with no changes to the infrastructure to accommodate this unprecedented growth. I was shocked by the racism in the police/RCMP. So disappointed in what Nova Scotia has become
741	2021-11-11 18:03:23	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes		
743	2021-11-11 16:40:58	Yes	B3T1R9	Yes	Yes	Just wondering how many jobs will be lost to the deactivation?

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF

Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
745	2021-11-11 15:03:16	No	B3T2A4	Yes	Yes	Waste management is critically important to our environment. We have come quite far from what I remember about the "dumps" of my childhood (I am [REDACTED] I would need to see much, much more research done before feeling comfortable with changes at Otter Lake
746	2021-11-11 13:12:54	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	Why break something that works! People are human and if sorting is removed we are in trouble!
747	2021-11-11 15:23:58	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes		
748	2021-11-11 14:02:48	No	B3t1t8	Yes	No	
749	2021-11-11 13:16:05	No	B3T2J7	Yes		
751	2021-11-11 13:09:16	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes		
752	2021-11-11 13:01:23	No	B3T1W3	Yes		
753	2021-11-11 12:02:06	Yes	B3t2b2	Yes	Yes	Don't trust council that don't do a good job representing their people
757	2021-11-11 11:49:47	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	No	
759	2021-11-11 11:38:35	Yes	B3T1C3	No		
760	2021-11-11 11:30:14	No	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	Significant waste of money to not use the FEP/WSF after we've paid for it especially since there will be unexpected and unknown problems to come
761	2021-11-11 11:00:27	Yes	B3T1C3	Yes	Yes	Why would anyone agree to putting a "dump" so close to a lake in the first place. Did they think HRM would keep their word?
762	2021-11-11 10:05:48	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	No	
763	2021-11-11 08:28:05	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	No	
767	2021-11-11 09:11:03	No	B3t2a1	Yes	No	
768	2021-11-11 08:46:55	No	B3t1p7	Yes	No	
769	2021-11-11 08:06:36	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	My house depreciating. The end of enjoying being outdoors in my community. Not smelling fresh air on my property or in my home.
770	2021-11-11 08:17:33	Yes	B3t1r9	Yes	Yes	This survey is corrupt. It's not a survey it's a one sided carefully crafted propaganda machine
771	2021-11-11 08:21:43	No	B0J2C0	Yes		
772	2021-11-11 08:18:25	Yes	B3M0J9	Yes	No	
773	2021-11-11 07:42:15	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes		The environment should be protected at all costs! Also, there is always garbage at the start of the Prospect Road, a gateway to our beloved Peggy's Cove. This is a huge tourist attraction. Every effort should be made to ensure this garbage laying along our roads doesn't happen. We put up with this landfill in our community at least honour the agreement.
774	2021-11-11 07:03:07	No	B3T1V4	Yes	No	
775	2021-11-10 14:02:24	Yes	B3t0e4	Yes		
776	2021-11-11 07:05:09	Yes	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	Too many families are still not sorting garbage as required by law.
777	2021-11-11 06:46:00	No	B3t1y7	Yes		
778	2021-11-11 06:28:21	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	
779	2021-11-11 06:43:21	No	B3t2b6	Yes	No	
780	2021-11-11 06:39:32	No	B3T1V3	Yes	No	
781	2021-11-11 06:39:09	Yes	B3T1v3	Yes		
782	2021-11-11 06:38:52	Yes	B3T0C7	Yes		
783	2021-11-11 06:31:00	No	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	There is absolutely no reason to change how the process is being done. Saving \$\$\$ is not a valid reason.
784	2021-11-11 05:59:37	No	B3Z1L6	Yes	No	
785	2021-11-11 06:00:30	No	B3T2C8	Yes	No	
788	2021-11-10 23:24:38	Yes	B3T1W2			
789	2021-11-10 22:36:27	Yes	B3T0C7	Yes		
790	2021-11-10 21:14:36	Yes	B3T1C4	No		
795	2021-11-10 18:39:43	No	B3t1w9	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF

Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
798	2021-11-10 19:03:32	Yes	B3T151	Yes	Yes	It's time to relocate this landfill the cells are full it's time to move on, you sit next to a protected wilderness area, many lakes and you think it's ok to remove protection! No acceptable!
799	2021-11-10 18:54:45	No	B3V1E5	Yes	No	
800	2021-11-10 18:25:49	Yes	B3T2B1	Yes	No	
801	2021-11-06 14:25:32	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	Broken trust.
804	2021-11-10 18:01:15	No	B3T1W9	Yes	No	
805	2021-11-10 16:59:47	No	B3t2b8	Yes	Yes	Im concerned that this deactivation will have a significant impact on the future of our communities.
806	2021-11-10 17:02:48	Yes	B3t1w6	Yes		
807	2021-11-10 15:00:14	No	B3T1X1	Yes	No	
808	2021-11-10 17:23:49	No	B0N1L0	Yes		
809	2021-11-10 17:14:41	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	Yes	Follow original agreement.
810	2021-11-10 17:10:28	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	No	
812	2021-11-10 16:45:10	No		No		
814	2021-11-10 15:52:29	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes		
815	2021-11-10 15:06:22	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	No	
816	2021-11-10 15:16:00	No	B3T1S4	Yes		
817	2021-11-10 15:09:41	No	B3T1W6	Yes		
818	2021-11-10 14:33:05	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	No	
819	2021-11-10 14:33:26	Yes	B3T2K8	Yes	No	
820	2021-11-10 14:24:27	No	B3T1S6	Yes		
823	2021-11-10 13:33:49	No	B0J1T0	Yes	No	
826	2021-11-10 12:33:58	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes	No	
827	2021-11-10 11:29:56	No	B3T1V1	Yes	Yes	I have no faith in the Facility's management or HRM Council to look after the interests of my area. By adding organics material to the landfill, you are reducing the useful life of the site. How long do you intend to operate this landfill, 40 years like the Sackville landfill?
828	2021-11-10 12:07:40	No	B3T1Z7	Yes		
829	2021-11-10 11:47:43	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	No	
830	2021-11-10 11:50:45	No	B3T1S6	Yes	No	
832	2021-11-04 18:40:19	No	B3T1X3	Yes	No	
833	2021-11-10 10:27:02	No	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	I am concerned about the increase in volume of waste being disposed and the impacts that will have on site capacity and life span.
834	2021-11-10 10:36:38	Yes	B3T1K5	Yes	Yes	We place trust and now we see things which could significantly change many of the vital aspects of keeping groundwater and safety operation and wild area protections. I see the savings as being done to affect those living close in many ways. Air and excess of certain wildlife looking for food.
835	2021-11-10 10:51:19	Yes	B3t1z5	Yes		
836	2021-11-04 15:05:28	Yes	b3t1r8	Yes		
837	2021-11-10 09:43:11	No	B3T1S5	Yes	No	
838	2021-11-10 10:01:07	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes	No	
839	2021-11-10 09:38:48	No	B3T1R6	Yes	No	
840	2021-11-10 09:18:17	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	Excess flies, maggots, other gross insects etc
841	2021-11-10 08:57:45	No	b3t2l1	Yes	Yes	What is the alternative? Is there a new location being investigated when this site reaches it's agreement date? Has there been any future planning for the next site? It seems HRM just wants to convert this site to save the fight for a new site for a later date.
843	2021-11-09 10:53:27	No	B3T2B5	Yes	No	
844	2021-11-10 08:24:45	No	b3t1z2	Yes	No	
847	2021-11-10 08:23:29	No	B3P2J3	No		
848	2021-11-10 08:21:46	Yes	B3Z0N9	Yes		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF

Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
849	2021-11-10 07:38:55	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	Illegal dumping, pollution
850	2021-11-10 07:44:45	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	This goes against the community and the agreement that was made to close the landfill. It feels a lot like environmental racism and systemic racism in the first place. We live here and it smells and we do not feel respected with this again being pushed forward.
851	2021-11-10 07:51:24	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	No	
852	2021-11-10 07:46:12	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	Yes	It is a world class environmental standard, why would you want to save a small million or so on a billion dollar budget. This is so small it is rounding.
853	2021-11-10 07:19:40	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	
856	2021-11-10 07:17:17	No	B4C4H4	Yes	Yes	
857	2021-11-10 07:12:40	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	Yes	
858	2021-11-10 06:32:23	No	B3t1x2	Yes	Yes	I believe all organics should be captured and processed before hitting our landfills
861	2021-11-04 10:46:19	Yes	B3t1b8	Yes	Yes	
862	2021-11-10 06:39:16	Yes	bek3x4	No		
863	2021-11-10 06:01:29	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	No	
870	2021-11-09 21:16:37	No	B3T1P3	Yes	No	
876	2021-11-09 20:32:29	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes	Yes	It should stay. I don't care if the rate of "Other waste" is 2 % of what it once was. A deal is a deal. We expect the company to co tunue with the front facility until the Landfill is closed.
878	2021-11-09 20:21:20	Yes	B3T1S6	No		
879	2021-11-09 19:57:59	Yes	B3T2G2	Yes	Yes	There has not been an appropriate forum for community consultation. This survey is not sufficient and is not driven by a third party making it and the content extremely biased. Completely unacceptable to the community impacted.
880	2021-11-09 20:07:42	No	B3T1X5	Yes		
881	2021-11-09 19:46:50	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	This proposal is everything the previous government said it wouldn't do. Even more so than the fact that the government said it would be closed by now, they protective measures they put in place are being removed because the cost is prohibitive.
882	2021-11-09 19:44:51	No	B3T1Y4	Yes	Yes	Just don't to live close to a landfill
883	2021-11-09 19:26:51	No	B3T1W1	Yes	No	
884	2021-11-09 19:22:19	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	No	
885	2021-11-09 19:33:14	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	
887	2021-11-09 19:29:36	No	B3Z4B6	No		
888	2021-11-09 19:17:55	No	B3T2C3	Yes	No	
889	2021-11-09 19:15:21	Yes	B3T2A3	Yes	Yes	It's going to Pollute our lakes and rivers it will be bad for the environment
890	2021-11-08 12:55:59	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes		
892	2021-11-09 18:48:02	No	B3S0G5	Yes	No	
895	2021-11-09 18:19:35	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	No	
900	2021-11-09 17:14:50	No	B3T1W5	Yes	Yes	I moved to the 'former county' to get away from garbage, pollution, rats and smells. Having a landfill in my area brings your city stuff to my backdoor. Please respect nature, and the people who want to live near it by keeping or even adding more front end processing to this landfill.
901	2021-11-09 17:15:45	Yes	B3t0c8	Yes	No	
905	2021-11-09 16:52:54	No	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	Won't this take jobs away from people that are working there if you deactivate this location. With the way our economy is now we don't need to be taking away jobs from people.
906	2021-11-09 16:41:54	No	B3N2R7	Yes	No	
907	2021-11-09 16:30:43	No	B3T1Z2	Yes	Yes	I am concerned with how this is not being discussed everywhere. This decision effects all of HRM but most people who have heard about this survey are residents that live near the area. This should be advertised on public radio, at local schools and universities, grocery stores, etc.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF

Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
908	2021-11-09 16:42:52	Yes	B3T1V4	Yes	Yes	I believe that we should still have secondary waste sorting as we cannot rely on people to do this in their homes. People do not sort, clean, and place items in their proper places and if we wish to continue to have less waste in the landfill then we need to continue with the sorting.
911	2021-11-09 16:09:21	No	B3t1z4	Yes	Yes	The report is considered inconclusive by experts. At the very least, further research is required
913	2021-11-09 15:56:25	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes	No	
914	2021-11-09 15:44:59	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	Yes	I WANT THEM TO KEEP THEIR PROMISE AND MY GOVERNMENT TO BE HONEST FOR ONCE IN ITS EXISTENCE
915	2021-11-09 14:47:57	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	All of my reasoning is based on already published evidence.
917	2021-11-09 15:33:29	No	B3T1Z1	Yes	No	
918	2021-11-09 15:20:00	No	B3T1T1	Yes	No	
920	2021-11-09 15:32:45	No	123123	No		
921	2021-11-09 15:16:20	Yes	b3t1n2	Yes	Yes	
922	2021-11-09 15:17:57	No	B3T1W5	Yes	Yes	
923	2021-11-09 15:12:54	Yes	B3T0C4	Yes	No	
925	2021-11-09 14:48:21	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes	No	
926	2021-11-09 14:50:02	Yes	b3t2j7	Yes	No	
927	2021-11-09 14:50:10	Yes	B3T0G7	Yes		
928	2021-11-07 16:12:09	No	B3T1W9	Yes	No	
932	2021-11-09 13:51:42	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes	
934	2021-11-03 16:19:08	No	B3l2y8	Yes		
935	2021-11-09 08:42:24	Yes	B3T1R5	Yes	Yes	Loss of jobs. if the shut down the equipment then what will the workers be doing. It's call unemployment!!!
937	2021-11-09 13:43:34	No	B3Z3J2	Yes		
938	2021-11-09 13:30:26	Yes	B3T2J6	Yes	Yes	I am concerned with increased bird, insect, rodent activity as well as the horrible smell that will be coming from the facility if you go ahead with these changes
939	2021-11-09 13:29:52	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	Yes	Location of future disposal site
940	2021-11-09 13:29:51	No		Yes		
942	2021-11-09 13:22:57	Yes	B3r1a4	Yes	No	
943	2021-11-02 20:30:22	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	
945	2021-11-09 12:58:12	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes		
947	2021-11-09 12:19:14	No	B3T2B1	Yes	Yes	if you told us deactivation was happening eventually and by force, i guess we should just sit down and shut up.
949	2021-11-09 12:26:37	Yes	B3T1B3	Yes	Yes	honor the original agreement
951	2021-11-09 12:02:12	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	
952	2021-11-09 11:59:04	Yes	B3t0c8	Yes	Yes	I am concerned with lies and propaganda by government when they ignore agreements in place. When they lie to tax paying constituents
953	2021-11-09 11:57:23	No	B3T1Y1	Yes	No	
955	2021-11-09 11:52:04	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	everything trust has been broken
960	2021-11-09 11:29:04	Yes	B3t1k9	Yes	Yes	Pack up and move it elsewhere if you can't go or the agreement
963	2021-11-09 11:28:38	Yes	B3T1V1	Yes		
968	2021-11-09 10:53:05	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	Why are you addressing this issue to save a few million dollars over the environment, what is the true benefit to me for you to do this
969	2021-11-09 10:51:16	Yes	B3T2H5	Yes	Yes	Betrayal is the biggest concern. This infrastructure was a good plan and remains so, but for a few pieces of silver, the council is willing to shut it down.
971	2021-11-09 10:53:34	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes		
972	2021-11-09 10:49:14	Yes	B3t1a4	Yes		
974	2021-11-09 09:58:25	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes	No	
976	2021-11-09 09:25:11	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	Please do what's best for everyone involved. What would the Otters do?

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF

Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
977	2021-11-09 09:23:40	No	B4A2E6	Yes	No	
979	2021-11-09 08:42:39	No	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	
980	2021-11-09 08:01:33	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes		
981	2021-11-09 08:41:25	No	B3T1Y3	Yes		
982	2021-11-09 08:23:25	Yes	B3T1B1	No		
983	2021-11-09 08:09:01	No	B3T1T1	Yes	No	
984	2021-11-09 08:02:52	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	No	
986	2021-11-09 07:12:32	Yes	B3T2K5	Yes	Yes	FEP keeps dangerous goods out of our environment. If it weren't for FEP, a lot of hazardous waste will be ending up in the ground rather than having been sorted and redirected as appropriate. Look outside on collection day & see that residents are not following the guidelines in place already. FEP protects us and the environment. Halifax wants to be better on the environment, this isn't the way.
988	2021-11-09 07:25:51	No	B3T1W3	Yes	No	
994	2021-11-09 06:08:21	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes		
995	2021-11-09 05:54:57	Yes	B3T2L1	Yes	No	
996	2021-11-09 05:38:15	No	B3T2J7	Yes	Yes	
997	2021-11-09 04:57:24	No	B3T1W4	Yes	No	
1001	2021-11-08 22:21:42	No	B3T1S5			
1005	2021-11-08 08:40:32	No	B3t1b4	Yes	Yes	
1007	2021-11-08 20:54:32	Yes	B3T2B5	Yes		
1009	2021-11-08 20:37:11	No	B3T2C9	No		
1012	2021-11-08 19:54:39	No	B3T2J8	Yes	No	
1014	2021-11-04 22:00:27	No	B2T1H7	Yes		
1016	2021-11-08 19:50:23	Yes	B3T1R4	No		
1017	2021-11-08 19:34:39	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	There are rats now in this area, I hope you can Guarantee this will not worsen
1018	2021-11-08 19:30:52	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	Why.
1019	2021-11-08 18:59:19	No	B3z3s9	Yes	No	
1020	2021-11-07 15:48:42	No	B3T1Z9	Yes		
1021	2021-11-08 18:58:30	Yes	b3t1v1	Yes	No	
1022	2021-11-08 18:26:23	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	No	
1024	2021-11-08 18:30:53	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes		
1026	2021-11-08 18:08:04	No		Yes		This is not only a total betrayal of the original assurances given to the surrounding communities, but it is also a betrayal of the public trust in their elected officials. Moral of the story is, that a promise is only as good as the current politician is still elected. All bets are off when the future generation of politicians does not feel the need to honour their predecessors commitments.
1028	2021-11-08 17:55:33	Yes	B3T2L6	Yes	No	
1029	2021-11-08 17:21:04	No	B3T1R4	Yes		
1034	2021-11-08 17:28:05	No	B3R1R4	Yes		
1035	2021-11-04 09:30:06	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes		
1036	2021-11-08 17:13:22	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	No	
1039	2021-11-08 17:05:47	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	No	
1041	2021-11-08 16:47:19	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No	
1042	2021-11-08 16:47:41	No	B3T1W3	Yes	No	
1045	2021-11-08 16:32:35	No	B3Z1Z6	Yes	Yes	I don't want to see waste sorting revert to individuals who then have to transport various categories of waste to various locales.
1046	2021-11-08 16:34:44	No	B3t1z2	Yes	No	
1049	2021-11-08 16:19:31	No	B3t1s7	Yes	No	
1050	2021-11-08 16:14:16	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	
1051	2021-11-08 15:46:53	Yes	B3t2g5	Yes		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF

Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1054	2021-11-08 11:54:40	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	Yes	This is a negative change for the local community. There are zero positives for the local residents. Aside for the environmental issues it will have an impact on local property values
1055	2021-11-08 15:31:01	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes		
1056	2021-11-08 14:49:55	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No	
1058	2021-11-08 14:54:19	No	B3T2B2	Yes	No	
1060	2021-11-08 14:03:38	Yes	B3T153	Yes	Yes	
1061	2021-11-08 14:17:43	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes	Yes	The agreement made with the community should not be dismissed. This process was agreed upon in good faith and any changes made should be approved by the community affected.
1063	2021-11-08 13:59:50	No	B3T2A2	Yes	Yes	Really, the issue is one of lack of trust. Breaking agreements
1064	2021-11-08 13:13:47	Yes	B3T1R2	Yes	Yes	Otter Lake is directly across from Long Lake Park and on a major tourism route the province invested heavily in with renovations at Peggy's Cove. Why do you not have a bigger and clearer vision?
1065	2021-11-08 13:38:16	No	B3T1Z1	Yes	No	
1066	2021-11-08 13:41:11	No	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	
1067	2021-11-03 18:18:40	No	B3T0E7	Yes		
1069	2021-11-08 12:37:43	Yes	B3T1C9	Yes		
1071	2021-11-08 12:17:34	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	Who knows at this point if there will be any additional items of concern. We won't know until this happens.
1075	2021-11-08 12:12:12	Yes	B3t2g8	Yes	Yes	
1076	2021-11-08 11:56:11	No	B3Z1L6	Yes	No	
1077	2021-11-08 11:27:30	Yes	B3t1s5	Yes	Yes	That the true results of the changes will not be made public and hidden. We were not supposed to know there was a compost facility in our area. Well I know it from late spring to early fall. My complaints fall on deaf ears.
1080	2021-11-08 09:34:59	No	a1a2b2	Yes		
1082	2021-11-08 07:49:18	Yes	B3T1z1	Yes		
1088	2021-11-08 10:35:01	No	B3T1T9	Yes	No	
1089	2021-11-08 10:28:53	No	B3S1K9	Yes	Yes	If this agreement with the community is going to be broken, what other agreements are planned to be broken?
1090	2021-11-08 10:17:42	No	B3t0a2	Yes	No	
1092	2021-11-08 09:55:37	No	B3t1c4	Yes	No	
1093	2021-11-08 09:42:08	No	B3T2J8	Yes	No	
1094	2021-11-08 09:24:29	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	I'm concerned that regional council is full of fucking jackasses that only think about fucking bike lanes and don't give a shit about anybody outside of the peninsula.
1095	2021-11-08 09:19:28	Yes	B3T1K9	Yes	No	
1096	2021-11-08 08:49:35	Yes	B3T1Z9	Yes	Yes	Why would HRM want to slowly decommission this word class facility. I'm sure it's not the \$2 million estimated savings.
1097	2021-11-08 08:51:08	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	Yes	The facility seems to be working fine, so I question the need to deactivate. Cost savings seems to be the primary reason and given the potential for impact on a growing community - that's not good enough reason. Timberlea has grown significantly in the last 5 years. Has that growth been taken into consideration? I'm not seeing that in the survey or the reports available.
1098	2021-11-08 09:19:38	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	
1101	2021-11-08 08:49:26	Yes	B3T0J4	Yes	No	
1102	2021-11-08 08:53:00	Yes	B3T3J5	Yes		
1103	2021-11-08 08:48:10	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes	Yes	
1104	2021-11-08 08:51:21	No	B3t1w5	No		
1105	2021-11-08 08:20:52	No	B3T2L2	Yes	No	
1107	2021-11-08 08:42:38	Yes	B3T1P7			
1108	2021-11-08 08:42:39	No	B3T1Z6	No		
1109	2021-11-08 08:18:26	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF

Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1110	2021-11-08 08:08:00	Yes	B3T1P7	Yes		
1112	2021-11-08 08:28:11	No	B3T2A7			
1113	2021-11-08 08:14:20	No	B3Z2X3	Yes	No	
1114	2021-11-08 08:16:52	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes		
1115	2021-11-08 07:46:17	Yes	B3t1v4	Yes	Yes	Again, this seems like the easiest/cheapest solution as opposed to actually increasing standards. As opposed to saving a few dollars (which is really not a significant amount of money when compared to the full city budget), we should be looking for opportunities to better process waste and improve the standards applied to what goes into the landfill.
1116	2021-11-03 20:51:51	No	B3t1x8	Yes	No	
1117	2021-11-07 20:19:40	No	B4E1P1	Yes		
1118	2021-11-08 07:52:44	Yes	B3t1p7	Yes		
1119	2021-11-08 07:38:03	Yes	B3t1a8	Yes		
1120	2021-11-08 07:36:04	Yes	B3t1m6	Yes	Yes	The money being wasted in this consultation process could be much better used. Why break a system that's working rather than support and make it better?
1121	2021-11-08 07:45:21	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes		
1122	2021-11-08 07:23:00	No	b3t2a7	Yes	No	
1123	2021-11-08 07:07:18	Yes	B3t2j5	Yes	Yes	This survey was terribly written and slimey in the extreme. HRM is attempting to back out of an agreement and in ADDITION to that is trying to convince the residents in this area that backtracking on environmental protections is somehow good for us and in our best interest. It is not. Stop trying to convince us it is. It is in YOUR best interest. who's monitoring the facility, how many manpower is being laid off, how long if there is a problem will it take to fix and why is this a problem when it is a world class facility. Just once can we take care of the environment. now and not try to fix our mistakes later.
1124	2021-11-08 06:46:08	Yes	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	
1126	2021-11-08 06:55:22	Yes	B3T1C3	Yes		
1127	2021-11-08 04:58:03	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes	That the Mayor and this council would even let this happen shame on them
1128	2021-11-08 05:57:54	Yes	B3t1r2	Yes	No	
1129	2021-11-08 05:45:23	No	B3T2X2	Yes		
1130	2021-11-08 05:32:56	No	B3T2L4	Yes	No	
1131	2021-11-08 05:12:32	No	B3T1P9	Yes	No	
1132	2021-11-08 04:31:17	Yes	B3T0E7	Yes	No	
1133	2021-11-08 04:33:18	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes		
1134	2021-11-07 21:14:42	Yes	B3t1c9	Yes	No	
1137	2021-11-07 20:48:19	Yes	B3t1p4	Yes	Yes	
1139	2021-11-07 20:55:15	Yes	B3l1p7	Yes		
1140	2021-11-07 20:25:53	No	b3t1z2	Yes	No	
1142	2021-11-07 20:00:50	Yes	B3T1S2	Yes		
1143	2021-11-07 19:37:12	Yes	B3T1S9	Yes	Yes	This facility must be move somewhere else not in this community.
1144	2021-11-07 19:34:37	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	No	
1145	2021-11-07 19:37:41	No	B3z1l8	No		
1147	2021-11-07 19:15:47	No	B2T1W4			
1149	2021-11-07 18:11:44	No	B3T2A2	Yes		
1151	2021-11-07 18:17:57	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No	
1152	2021-11-07 18:15:55	No	B3t2k8	Yes	No	
1155	2021-11-07 16:51:42	Yes	b3t1p3	Yes	No	
1157	2021-11-07 17:18:42	No	B3T2B5	Yes	No	
1158	2021-11-07 17:15:21	Yes	B3T0B5	Yes	Yes	
1159	2021-11-07 17:13:31	Yes	b3t2e1	Yes	No	
1160	2021-11-07 17:11:12	No	B3t1r5	Yes		
1161	2021-11-07 16:38:52	No	B0N1Z0	Yes		
1163	2021-11-07 16:19:55	No	B3T1R3	No		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF

Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1165	2021-11-07 15:31:58	Yes	B3t1b4	No		
1167	2021-11-07 15:25:21	No	B3z3w9	Yes	No	
1169	2021-11-07 15:06:35	Yes	B3t1z9	Yes	Yes	
1170	2021-11-07 15:00:46	No	B3T1V2	Yes		
1172	2021-11-07 14:52:12	No	B3T1R5	Yes	No	
1173	2021-11-07 14:46:22	Yes	B3T1B1	No		
1174	2021-11-07 14:42:21	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	No	
1175	2021-11-07 14:41:01	No	B3T0H6	Yes		
1176	2021-11-07 14:20:30	No	B3t1s1	Yes	Yes	Anything and everything to do with this
1178	2021-11-07 14:27:42	Yes	B3T0B4	Yes		
1181	2021-11-07 13:57:16	No	B3T2A1	Yes	No	
1182	2021-11-07 13:55:59	Yes	B3T2K8	Yes		
1183	2021-11-07 13:53:13	Yes	B3T1R3	Yes		
1184	2021-11-07 13:37:11	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	
1185	2021-11-07 13:27:30	No	B3T0B6	Yes		
1187	2021-11-07 12:08:35	Yes	B3T2B5	Yes	No	
1188	2021-11-07 12:49:52	No	B3Z3W1	Yes	No	
1189	2021-11-07 12:44:35	No	B3T1P7	Yes		
1190	2021-11-07 12:35:22	No	B3t2a3	No		
1191	2021-11-07 11:49:44	No	B3T1R6	Yes	No	
1192	2021-11-07 11:56:57	No	B3Z3w8	Yes		
1193	2021-11-07 11:36:13	No	B3T1Z6	Yes		
1194	2021-11-07 11:13:44	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes		
1197	2021-11-07 11:03:59	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No	
1198	2021-11-07 11:06:07	No	b3t2a4	Yes		
1199	2021-11-07 10:51:01	No	B3T2B4	Yes	No	
1200	2021-11-07 10:56:06	No	B3t2a7	Yes		
1201	2021-11-07 10:24:38	Yes	B3T2A4	Yes	Yes	This survey is so disappointing. You are try to predict our concerns and then try to convince us it is not a problem. The issue is that you are trying to save <1% of your city budget by removing a system that should be considered a minimum for sustainable practice. Halifax has the highest municipal taxes in the country. We pay over \$6000/year.
1202	2021-11-07 10:37:11	No	B3T1T7	Yes	Yes	
1203	2021-11-07 10:25:31	Yes	B3T2A4	Yes	Yes	Why is this change/removal necessary? Answer: It's Not!
1205	2021-11-07 10:05:30	No	B3T2A8	Yes	No	
1206	2021-11-07 09:39:06	Yes	b3t0j3	Yes	No	
1208	2021-11-07 09:43:08	No	B3T0A2	Yes		
1211	2021-11-07 09:03:00	No	B3T1W3	Yes	Yes	
1214	2021-11-07 08:26:41	No	B3T1R8	Yes	No	
1215	2021-11-07 08:21:25	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	No	
1216	2021-11-07 08:04:04	No	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	I am concerned about discarded hazardous items that won't be sorted out.
1217	2021-11-07 08:01:00	Yes	B3t1a5	Yes	No	
1219	2021-11-07 07:22:35	Yes	B3t2h9	Yes	No	
1221	2021-11-07 06:19:51	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	Yes	The City of Halifax is experiencing tremendous growth in residential builds. I have read nothing in the information provided about the anticipated impacts of increased refuse generated by the population of Halifax.
1222	2021-11-07 06:59:44	No	b2v2g5	Yes	Yes	I think it is working and should be left in place to continue working
1223	2021-11-07 06:41:30	No	B3t1y6			
1224	2021-11-07 06:19:20	No	B3T2B6	Yes	No	
1225	2021-11-07 06:29:25	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	No	
1229	2021-11-03 23:07:03	Yes	B3t1n1	Yes	Yes	Kelp to the original

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF

Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1230	2021-11-07 05:57:59	No	B3T1A4	Yes		
1232	2021-11-07 05:28:05	No	B3T1R6	Yes		
1233	2021-11-06 23:19:15	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	How will you handle the excess waste piling up? Won't that limit the life span of the dump, will you take over larger areas? I feel the decision to deactivate the FEP/WSF is strictly about getting Maximum profit for minimal work and leaving sustainability out of the equation.
1236	2021-11-06 22:34:36	Yes	B3T1S1	Yes	No	
1239	2021-11-06 21:44:24	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	No	
1240	2021-11-06 21:09:24	Yes	B3T1P9	Yes		
1241	2021-11-06 20:51:38	No	B3T2B8	Yes	Yes	Illegal dumping
1242	2021-11-06 20:24:42	No	B3T2C9	Yes	Yes	The credibility of the council and HRM staff to make ethical and environmentally sound decisions nil in my opinion
1244	2021-11-06 20:44:41	No	B3T0B6	Yes	No	
1246	2021-11-04 18:10:53	Yes	B3S1N5	Yes		
1250	2021-11-06 19:43:28	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	
1252	2021-11-06 19:10:42	No	B4a3x8	Yes	No	
1253	2021-11-06 19:07:34	No	B3T1Y3	Yes		
1254	2021-11-06 18:59:26	Yes	B3T1P4	Yes	No	
1258	2021-11-06 18:14:13	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	No	
1259	2021-11-06 18:05:21	No	B3T2B5	Yes	No	
1260	2021-11-06 18:06:15	No	B3T2J1	Yes		
1261	2021-11-06 17:52:36	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	No	
1263	2021-11-06 17:44:19	Yes	B3t1b6	Yes	No	
1268	2021-11-06 16:26:12	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes		
1270	2021-11-06 16:08:55	No	b3k3m2	Yes	No	
1271	2021-11-06 16:01:23	Yes	B3T0K1	Yes	No	
1273	2021-11-06 15:49:32	No	B3K3M2	Yes	No	
1274	2021-11-06 15:34:47	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	Causing mistrust in the government. Treating certain members of the municipality as if they're worth less than others. An extremely biased survey like this shows how little you care about us.
1275	2021-11-06 15:27:16	No	B3T1S8	Yes	No	
1276	2021-11-06 15:16:29	No	B3t0b4	Yes	No	
1279	2021-11-06 14:37:38	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes		
1280	2021-11-06 14:03:21	No	B3T1S2	Yes	No	
1281	2021-11-06 13:24:18	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	No	
1282	2021-11-06 13:48:43	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	overall procedure is not listening to the general people surrounding the areas
1285	2021-11-06 12:39:43	Yes	B3t1r8	Yes	Yes	Elimination of employment positions of those staff working in FEP/WSF
1287	2021-11-06 12:40:43	No	B3T2H3	Yes	Yes	It seems like a set backwards to save a little budget money
1288	2021-11-06 11:29:01	No	b3z1m3	Yes	Yes	HRM needs to honour it's commitment made to the people on route 333. The people in good faith agreed to allow this landfill in their area and now HRM want's to rewrite the terms to save money-not because it's a better idea-but to save money.
1289	2021-11-06 11:54:35	Yes	B3T0E3	Yes	Yes	I don't believe residents sort their waste to the degree necessary and the environment and climate change should be the worlds number one concern, especially Halifax. We should be trying to improve not make things worse.
1293	2021-11-06 11:37:59	No	B3T2A1	Yes	Yes	
1294	2021-11-06 11:47:56	Yes	B3S1S3	No		
1295	2021-11-06 11:35:28	No	B3t2a8	Yes	No	
1296	2021-11-06 11:30:28	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	No	
1300	2021-11-06 09:27:36	No	B3z2r4	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF

Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1301	2021-11-06 09:21:57	Yes	B3T1B2	Yes	Yes	FEP/WSF controls are why and the only reason the community agreed to the landfill. Now after we are stuck with a dump that already smells and has rat issues. You want to remove our existing measures and make things worse. Leave it alone.
1302	2021-11-06 09:06:28	Yes	B3T1T8	Yes	Yes	Methane, nearby wells
1309	2021-11-06 08:30:33	Yes	B2G1S7	Yes		
1310	2021-11-06 08:28:27	No	B3T1Y8	Yes		
1312	2021-11-06 08:05:22	No	B3T1X3	Yes		
1313	2021-11-06 07:45:16	Yes	B3T2C8	Yes	No	
1314	2021-11-06 07:55:30	No	B3t1w1	Yes		
1315	2021-11-06 07:55:10	Yes	B3t0a3	Yes		
1317	2021-11-06 07:18:33	Yes	B3T0E2	Yes	Yes	HRM needs to deal with our waste and start caring about the environment!
1318	2021-11-06 06:55:39	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	Yes	Very angry and disappointed that we are being walked over in this community. I also feel this survey is probably a waste of time as council has probably made up its mind and nothing we say will make a difference
1319	2021-11-05 21:39:30	Yes	B3t2h5	Yes	No	
1320	2021-11-06 07:02:40	No	B3t1s6	Yes	No	
1322	2021-11-06 06:37:48	Yes	B3T0C9	Yes	No	
1323	2021-11-06 06:08:53	Yes	B3T1W1	Yes	Yes	Our taxes in the area should reflect the smell and rodents , water run off and air quality , birds / crows noise
1324	2021-11-06 06:28:02	Yes	B3T0E6	Yes	No	
1325	2021-11-06 06:25:14	Yes	B3t0e6	Yes	Yes	I would like to know how the money saved through deactivation will be spent. Will it be reinvested into other public areas, saved for improvements/repairs to the Otter Lake site, or be considered as a reduction of waste management costs to the public.
1328	2021-11-06 04:07:50	No	B3Z1L6	Yes	Yes	Clearly government oversight and control is what is at stake here. When agreements are made, it is vital that the public is able to trust that the process will carry on. The FEP WSF a we're important when proposing the landfill in the first place. It should continue.
1329	2021-11-06 03:37:18	No	B3T1S3	No		
1338	2021-11-05 21:06:04	Yes	b3t2c8	Yes	Yes	im concerned this survey was written by proponents of the deactivation of fep/wsf in attempt to dissuade the public from protecting the environment and their best interests. there's no substitute for doing the job the right way.
1341	2021-11-05 20:44:02	Yes	B3T2E1	No		
1342	2021-11-05 20:02:07	Yes	B3T0C6	Yes	Yes	Reputational damage to the community, i.e people wanting to avoid moving here for fear of the aforementioned issues, and HRM's huge leap backwards. Also, what kind of ridiculous survey is this that I need to spend 30 minutes justifying my dissatisfaction with your 3 paragraph explanations on how everything will be fine? It's by design this is difficult and time consuming.
1343	2021-11-05 20:38:33	Yes	B3t0a2	Yes		
1345	2021-11-05 19:50:42	No	B3Z2T4	Yes	No	
1348	2021-11-03 17:59:35	No	B3L3H5	Yes		
1350	2021-11-05 19:02:54	No	B3g1a5			
1351	2021-11-05 18:58:41	No	b0p1p0	Yes		
1353	2021-11-05 18:55:55	Yes	b3t1r5	Yes		
1354	2021-11-05 18:21:58	Yes	B3t1b1			
1355	2021-11-05 17:56:09	No	B3Z2T1	Yes	No	
1356	2021-11-05 18:03:41	No	B3T2B5	Yes	No	
1358	2021-11-05 17:57:20	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes		
1359	2021-11-05 17:50:35	No	b2y2a6	Yes		
1360	2021-11-05 17:36:31	Yes	B3T1V6	Yes	No	
1361	2021-11-05 17:30:56	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	No	
1362	2021-11-05 17:36:27	No	b3t1s5	Yes		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF

Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1363	2021-11-05 17:37:06	Yes	B3t2e1	Yes		
1364	2021-11-05 17:19:16	No	B0J1T0	Yes	Yes	Remember the public uproar when Sackville was closed? If the currently high standards of Otter Lake are eroded then civic authorities may see a repeat when it becomes time to pick a new site.
1368	2021-11-05 16:44:20	Yes	B3T1T2	Yes	Yes	I don't believe the significant increase in residential housing has been accounted for and that the WSF/FEP will cost money to shut down only to be restarted again in a few years for an even higher cost than if it had continued operating.
1369	2021-11-05 16:39:39	No	B3Z3A9	Yes	Yes	The study only focused on engineering issues. The social issue of systemic racism is never mentioned which indicated the natural racist attitudes of HRM. Environmental racism was at the forefront with the original agreement whether it was recognized or not.
1370	2021-11-05 16:51:27	Yes	B3t0j3	Yes	Yes	
1371	2021-11-02 15:24:34	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes		
1373	2021-11-05 10:05:15	Yes	B3T1R6	Yes		
1375	2021-11-05 15:39:22	No	B3T1R6	Yes	Yes	It is time to look for another landfill area. This community has more than held up its end of the bargain. Where and when will this site be closed ?
1376	2021-11-05 15:10:16	No	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	People will not sort waste to the level needed; safeguards that ensure removal of hospital, industrial and commercial waste will be gone; contaminated pollutions may easily leach into nearby watersheds; odours will increase ..
1378	2021-11-05 15:19:43	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	Trust! After the fiasco of the disgraceful Sackville landfill/dump, no community anywhere wanted to host a new landfill, which was entirely understandable. The very things you are now proposing to remove (FEP, WSF and community monitoring) were the essential, minimum requirements for community acceptance. They continue to be just that!
1383	2021-11-05 15:07:44	Yes	B3T2J5	Yes	Yes	Local community being thrown under the bus keep present process or move the dump have had to live with the stench when bladders were reopened and recent fire at dump indicates something has changed recently to cause issues. If you can't keep agreement with community find another location to dump your trash.
1384	2021-11-05 15:14:54	No	B3z0n9	Yes	No	
1385	2021-11-05 15:16:35	Yes	B3N2M3	Yes		
1388	2021-11-05 14:38:48	Yes	B3t0k5	Yes	Yes	
1390	2021-11-05 14:01:15	No	B3T1Z5	Yes	Yes	no information presented to the public except this survey there should be a well publicized meeting taking place in all effected areas of HRM ie Lakeside , Timberlea, Prospect residents should be able to express concerns and ask more detailed questions ..
1394	2021-11-05 13:27:14	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	The intent of the original agreement was clear. The FEP/WSF was the reason the community agreed to the location of the landfill. Changing the terms of the agreement now could leave the HRM exposed to significant legal and financial liability. This could easily offset the savings by shutting down the FEP/WSF. The big picture is easy to see here. Hopefully honour and common sense will win out.
1395	2021-11-05 13:51:01	No	B3N1K1	Yes		
1396	2021-11-05 13:50:29	Yes	B3t1n2	Yes		
1401	2021-11-05 12:12:08	No	B3T1Z4	Yes	Yes	This community is home to the otter lake facility, as well as the ragged lake compost facility. At the an absolute minimum the municipality should be operating both facilities with the intent to reduce impacts to surrounding communities being top priority. This doesn't appear to be the case given this proposal.
1402	2021-11-05 12:48:08	No	B3T2B6	Yes	Yes	I have no faith that the run-off, smell, rodents, blowing garbage will be improved
1404	2021-11-05 12:05:35	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	No	
1407	2021-11-05 11:41:29	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes		
1408	2021-11-05 11:18:21	Yes	B3t1r3	Yes	Yes	
1409	2021-11-05 10:54:40	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	The entire proposal is ridiculous and flawed in every aspect. Did somebody get paid for this travesty?
1410	2021-11-05 10:57:29	Yes	B3t2a4	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF

Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1412	2021-11-05 10:58:17	No	B3Z1L8	No		
1414	2021-11-05 10:47:26	No	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	What safeguards will be in place to ensure hospital and commercial waste will not enter this facility?
1415	2021-11-05 10:51:56	No	B3t2a2	Yes		
1418	2021-11-05 10:08:10	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No	
1419	2021-11-05 09:55:00	No	B3t2a2	Yes		
1423	2021-11-05 09:39:03	No	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	IT DOES NOT RESPECT THE ENVIRONMENT AND IT WILL NEVER EVER SAVE ANYONE A DIME. WHAT NONSENSE TO THINK IT WOULD.
1424	2021-11-05 09:35:05	Yes	B3t1n2	Yes	Yes	
1426	2021-11-05 08:35:06	Yes	B3T1R1	Yes		
1427	2021-11-05 08:51:54	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	This is all about money...it has nothing to do with environmental concerns, or concern for the surrounding communities. Politics trumps again
1428	2021-11-05 08:59:17	No	B3T1R3	Yes		
1430	2021-11-05 08:42:36	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	Na
1431	2021-11-02 16:08:11	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	It's time for another community to deal with HRM's garbage. We deal with household waste at Otter Lake and green bin waste in Goodwood and construction waste in Goodwood. Take it somewhere else! Otter Lake wasnt supposed to be used for this long.
1432	2021-11-05 07:25:01	Yes	B3Z1G5	Yes	Yes	An increase in methane gas.
1434	2021-11-05 07:11:55	No	b3t1x3	Yes		
1435	2021-11-05 06:51:27	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	The FEP/WSP need to stay activated period.
1436	2021-11-04 13:34:13	No	B3t1x5	Yes		
1438	2021-11-05 06:55:40	No	B3t1v3	Yes		
1440	2021-11-05 06:39:09	No	B3T2G1	Yes		
1443	2021-11-05 06:14:43	No	B3T1R6	Yes		
1444	2021-11-05 06:08:03	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes		
1445	2021-11-05 05:51:09	Yes	B3T1N2	Yes	Yes	
1446	2021-11-05 06:07:56	No	B3Z1H7	No		
1447	2021-11-05 05:49:07	Yes	B3T0E7	Yes	Yes	Devaluing our properties and community.
1449	2021-11-05 05:14:12	Yes	B3T2J5	Yes	Yes	Negative impact on q of land home value.
1452	2021-11-05 03:46:15	No	B3T2E8	Yes	Yes	I haven't written any further comments here in this survey as I'm recovering from a concussion. Wading through the information provided in this survey is enough for my brain at this time. I do not feel comfortable with the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF and as a homeowner in Beechville, I strongly think the FEP/WSF should remain in place.
1454	2021-11-05 00:08:13	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	No	
1455	2021-11-05 00:09:42	No	B3s1k1	Yes	No	
1456	2021-11-05 00:08:07	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes		
1458	2021-11-04 23:49:13	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	No	
1459	2021-11-04 23:20:51	Yes	B3Z1G6	Yes	No	
1462	2021-11-04 22:28:16	Yes	B3Z2L4	Yes		
1463	2021-11-04 22:09:56	No	B4E0N6	Yes	Yes	If deactivated, no other safe guards will be put in place
1464	2021-11-04 21:48:00	No	B3t2e9	Yes	No	
1465	2021-11-04 21:56:26	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes	Yes	
1466	2021-11-04 21:34:43	No	B3T0B3	Yes	No	
1468	2021-11-04 21:36:36	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes		
1472	2021-11-04 20:31:23	Yes	B3t0c2	Yes	Yes	Honor your original agreement.
1474	2021-11-04 20:14:33	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	Yes	If City Staff can unilaterally decide to shut down safety and aesthetic measures designed to aid one area of the City then they can do it anywhere in the City. Once City Staff unilaterally gets their way on this part of the Landfill they will be emboldened to cut costs elsewhere.
1475	2021-11-04 20:05:11	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF

Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1479	2021-11-04 20:26:04	No	B4e3c1	Yes		
1480	2021-11-02 18:49:52	No	B3l2y8	Yes	Yes	
1481	2021-11-04 19:18:30	No	B3T2A2	Yes	Yes	The Nine Mile river has much recreational potential. Increasing the amount of organic material will do nothing to help .
1483	2021-11-04 19:46:06	Yes	B3t1a9	Yes	No	
1485	2021-11-04 19:44:56	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	No	
1487	2021-11-04 19:34:08	Yes	B3t2e6	Yes		
1490	2021-11-04 19:22:44	No	B3t2l1	Yes		
1492	2021-11-04 18:58:55	Yes	B3t1r5	Yes	Yes	Loss of jobs for employees during a global pandemic.
1493	2021-11-04 19:10:05	No	B3T1R5	Yes	No	
1494	2021-11-04 16:02:33	No	B3T1T4	Yes	Yes	
1496	2021-11-04 18:13:45	Yes	B3T0E1	Yes	No	
1498	2021-11-04 18:46:19	Yes	B3t2j3	Yes	Yes	I'm concerned that HRM is ignoring the social contract with this community by making this proposal. Please honour the agreement. We have a responsibility to protect our planet and our community. It is short sighted and disrespectful for elected officials to support this. To say it's easy to reactivate shows a lack of understanding of what will really happen if the FEP/WSF is deactivated.
1500	2021-11-04 18:45:44	No	B3Z1C4	Yes	Yes	Too much shit in there
1503	2021-11-04 16:49:35	Yes	B3T2G7	Yes	Yes	Property values, state of our communities, environmental impact, just honour the original agreement that has been in place please.
1505	2021-11-04 18:23:48	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes		
1510	2021-11-04 17:51:19	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	Mitigations are not in alignment with the original agreement.
1515	2021-11-04 16:56:50	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes		
1518	2021-11-04 15:58:32	Yes	B3T2H6	Yes	No	
1520	2021-11-04 16:01:04	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	No	
1522	2021-11-04 16:04:31	Yes	B3T0K4	Yes	No	
1524	2021-11-04 15:42:58	No	B3z1G1	Yes	Yes	Just don't trust the process
1529	2021-11-04 15:54:09	No	B3Z2J2	No		
1531	2021-11-04 15:39:35	Yes	b3t1c4	Yes	Yes	
1532	2021-11-04 15:23:19	Yes	B3t2j3	Yes	Yes	I'm very concerned that an important decision is being made based on biased and misleading information. HRM staff are highly compensated but clearly unskilled If this survey is any indication of the competency of the people making this recommendation. Honor the commitment made
1535	2021-11-04 14:35:55	No	B3T1V7	Yes	Yes	We do not have the support of our councillor so we won't have a chance getting the municipality to reactivate the FEP/WSP.
1536	2021-11-04 14:43:19	No	B3T1S5	Yes	No	
1537	2021-11-04 13:01:53	No	B3T2G5	Yes		
1538	2021-11-04 14:25:53	Yes	b3t1c9	Yes	No	
1539	2021-11-04 14:32:47	Yes	b3t2j3	Yes	No	
1541	2021-11-04 13:53:56	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	Yes	Just like with the previous landfill prior to OtterLake, land/home values may be significantly affected. If that is the case will HRM top-up diminished sale prices? Will other areas of HRM have any trust in future agreements they may reach with HRM. It's my opinion that HRM is attempting to renege on the intent of the original agreement with the population within the 3-5 km area.
1544	2021-11-04 13:58:14	No	B3T1S5	Yes		
1545	2021-11-04 14:01:07	Yes	B3T1S7	Yes	No	
1547	2021-11-04 13:51:21	No	B3T1X2	Yes	No	
1556	2021-11-04 13:12:04	Yes	B3T2H8	Yes	Yes	Landfilling unnecessary waste
1557	2021-11-04 13:21:04	No	B3z0n4	Yes		
1559	2021-11-04 12:44:00	No	B3T0B4	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF

Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1564	2021-11-04 12:20:24	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes	Yes	It is time for HRM to start siting a new landfill facility and get it out of our community. We graciously hosted the site based on the agreement which HRM IS NOW RENEGING ON!!!
1568	2021-11-04 12:11:04	Yes	B3T1K9	No		
1569	2021-11-04 11:56:31	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes		
1572	2021-11-04 11:40:17	No	B3t1t5	Yes	No	
1577	2021-11-04 11:33:38	Yes	b3t1s6	Yes		
1581	2021-11-04 11:20:52	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes		
1582	2021-11-04 11:07:18	No	B3Z1L8	Yes		
1583	2021-11-04 11:01:06	No	B3T2C8	Yes	Yes	Aside from the environmental aspects, it's pretty concerning that our elected officials have proven themselves to be completely untrustworthy and unable to keep their word. Quite frankly, I'm disgusted.
1584	2021-11-04 10:52:21	No	b3t2l1	Yes	No	
1585	2021-11-04 10:25:11	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	As a resident on the 333 we already deal with the increased traffic daily from the C & D site and New Era Farms, this is coupled with the stench from New Era and the constant noise and dust generated from the increased traffic and machinery in use. Now there will be an additional 7800 trucks and various other vehicles in the area that residents will have to deal with.
1589	2021-11-04 10:48:30	No	B3t0j9	Yes		
1590	2021-11-04 10:43:00	No	B3T2B8	Yes		
1594	2021-11-04 10:19:48	No	B3T1S8	Yes	Yes	Honor the original plan. Period!!
1595	2021-11-04 10:30:26	No	B3t2b1	Yes		
1596	2021-11-04 10:22:11	Yes	b3 1a2	No		
1598	2021-11-04 10:08:43	No	B3T2B9	Yes	Yes	Traffic , rodents , odor
1600	2021-11-04 10:14:05	Yes	B3T0A2	Yes		
1601	2021-11-04 10:04:52	No	B3T1S1	Yes	Yes	
1603	2021-11-04 09:29:55	Yes	B3T1g&	Yes	Yes	Estimated cost is far less than the reality of cost to restart. cost will be pushed onto the taxpayers who fought against it being shut down to begin with.
1605	2021-11-04 09:29:27	Yes	B3t1c9	Yes	Yes	Finding loopholes in agreements from over 20 years ago does not mean that HRM should renege on their agreement to keep our community safe. A safe and healthy environment is important for my family, my children and one of the reasons we chose to live in this community was due to that promise.
1609	2021-11-04 09:28:11	Yes	B3T5c4	Yes		
1613	2021-11-04 09:22:40	Yes	B3T1K5	No		
1616	2021-11-04 09:18:13	No	B3t1x2	Yes	No	
1620	2021-11-04 09:02:11	Yes	B2T1H6	Yes	No	
1621	2021-11-04 08:29:23	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	No	
1622	2021-11-04 08:36:00	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	the FEP/WSF was created back in the conception years to perform a specific tasks, those tasks are still required, and need to continue to perform as developed
1623	2021-11-04 08:29:53	No	B3T1T1	Yes	Yes	HRM has kept the issue exceedingly quiet. My perception is that it would prefer to limit discussion on the topic.
1624	2021-11-04 09:01:02	No	B3T2L1	No		
1626	2021-11-04 08:57:08	Yes	B3T0C1	Yes	No	
1629	2021-11-04 08:51:26	Yes	B3T1B8	Yes	Yes	
1631	2021-11-04 08:42:18	No	B3t2b2	Yes		
1634	2021-11-04 08:42:39	No	B3L2Y8	No		
1636	2021-11-04 08:12:53	No	B3T1V7	Yes	No	
1637	2021-11-04 08:01:23	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes	Yes	This survey has little to no reporting to back up the claims. It is a bias survey because it is delivered by the those who gain from the removal of the FEP. The facility operates at a high level now. We do not wish to see the operation quality diminished.
1638	2021-11-04 08:26:28	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes		

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF

Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1639	2021-11-04 08:04:13	Yes	B3t2g3	Yes	Yes	I am concerned that the municipality is renegeing on an agreement that was made at the start of the process. It does not instill confidence in the government or the process. Residents will no longer believe that the government will hold up its commitments.
1641	2021-11-04 08:30:19	Yes	B3T2g8	Yes		
1642	2021-11-04 08:26:05	Yes	B3T0C9	Yes		
1644	2021-11-04 07:54:52	Yes	b3t1t2	Yes	Yes	I still can't put my full comment in here - hopefully there is a field that will take meaningful comments before the end of this survey. Super short version - Google defines agreement as "a negotiated and typically legally binding arrangement between parties as to a course of action." Enough said. Stop it.
1648	2021-11-04 07:26:29	Yes	B3T2E5	Yes	Yes	Having a landfill nearby is not pleasant but I realize it has to be somewhere. The truth is that the great majority of the time, we don't even know that the landfill is nearby. Because the actual system works. People will say : "not in our backyard". Well, it is in our backyard. You made a deal with our community. You are supposed to represent us. Be respectful.
1650	2021-11-04 07:32:25	No	B3T1T9	Yes	No	
1651	2021-11-04 06:58:27	Yes	B3T0H7	Yes	Yes	Not sure of the nature of other items.
1652	2021-11-04 06:54:36	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	Concernrd as to how many times the life of the facility will be extended past initial agreement as well as jobs for workers at FEP/WSF
1655	2021-11-04 06:50:55	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	No	
1656	2021-11-04 07:06:16	No	B3t1w5	Yes		
1658	2021-11-04 06:49:02	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes		
1659	2021-11-04 06:41:15	No	B4B1N4	Yes		
1660	2021-11-04 06:14:16	No	B3T0B4	Yes	Yes	
1664	2021-11-04 06:23:22	No	B4e3b3	Yes		
1667	2021-11-04 06:05:06	No	B3t1w5	Yes		
1668	2021-11-04 06:06:06	No	B3E1M4	Yes		
1670	2021-11-04 05:27:16	No	B3P0C2	Yes	No	
1671	2021-11-04 04:55:59	Yes	B3t1c2	Yes	Yes	You are breaking the agreement made with the communities that generously offered to host the dump with specific requirements. We had a deal with you, when no other community would have you and for very good reason.
1672	2021-11-03 17:32:26	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	No	
1675	2021-11-03 23:41:40	Yes	B3t1b9	Yes	Yes	
1677	2021-11-03 22:17:23	Yes	b3t1b2	Yes	Yes	More information required for understanding what counts for medium risks and reasons of deactivation FEP/WSF
1679	2021-11-03 22:37:56	No	B3T1V8	Yes	Yes	Loss of jobs... City council not following through with commitments to communities surrounding Otter Lake Landfill and having issues with pests and water etc in these communities
1680	2021-11-03 18:47:54	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	No	
1681	2021-11-03 22:09:54	Yes	b3t1r4	Yes	Yes	SMELL, it is rancid. I gag every drive home.
1682	2021-11-03 22:28:41	Yes	b3t2c8	Yes		
1683	2021-11-03 22:13:15	No	B3T1S2	Yes		
1684	2021-11-03 22:20:02	No	B3t1p7	Yes		
1689	2021-11-03 21:29:15	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	No	
1691	2021-11-03 21:39:51	Yes	B3T2J2	Yes	No	
1692	2021-11-03 21:43:06	Yes	B3t2g2	Yes		
1693	2021-11-03 21:38:12	No	b3z1p7	Yes		
1694	2021-11-03 21:18:08	No	B2x2x5	Yes		
1695	2021-11-03 21:36:37	No	B3T1Z9	Yes		
1696	2021-11-03 21:31:39	Yes	B3t1b1	Yes	Yes	The dozens of people that have been working there for 23 years since the place opened are going to be without a job over a test trial
1697	2021-11-03 21:23:39	Yes	B3t1r3	Yes	Yes	Quietly not honouring agreements and changing the rules is criminal.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF

Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1699	2021-11-03 21:20:54	No	b4c2n9	Yes		
1703	2021-11-03 20:58:03	No	B4C2Y8	Yes	Yes	The front end processing at Otter Lake is a critical part of HRM's waste management process, it should not be removed without a clearly documented replacement plan. To remove FEP without negative environment impact HRM would be relying solely upon the general public's understanding of waste sorting and disposal, investment into public education must occur if FEP is to be considered for removal.
1706	2021-11-02 15:35:17	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes	Yes	
1710	2021-11-03 20:37:25	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	No	
1712	2021-11-03 16:32:19	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	When the Landfill was proposed it was only supposed to be there for 20 years with all the systems put in place, under the current council and mayor, more land was expropriated land fill life was extended PAST originally agreed upon. Why make a agreement if the current Government is just going to break promises.
1715	2021-11-03 20:31:53	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes	No	
1716	2021-11-03 20:30:40	Yes	B3T1A5	Yes	Yes	This is letting the community down
1718	2021-11-03 19:27:16	No	B3T2B5	Yes	Yes	The proposed savings don't justify the regression of the existing plan.
1720	2021-11-02 17:26:46	Yes	B3Z4B4	Yes	Yes	Property values will decrease, Offensive orders will result, organic material will attract rats, scavenger birds, vermin that carry disease and destruction, ICI material will end up in the landfill.
1721	2021-11-03 20:09:07	Yes	B3T0C8	Yes	Yes	
1722	2021-11-03 20:07:12	Yes	B3HQH3	Yes	No	
1726	2021-11-03 19:56:25	No	B3z1l7	Yes		
1728	2021-11-03 19:31:54	Yes	B3T1V1	Yes	No	
1731	2021-11-03 18:58:57	No	B3T1Y8	Yes	Yes	So little specific information is contained in this survey that it is difficult to articulate other concerns.
1732	2021-11-03 19:14:51	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes		
1734	2021-11-03 19:22:20	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	No	
1735	2021-11-03 18:55:44	No	B3t2l2	Yes	No	
1738	2021-11-03 19:11:22	Yes	B3T1S6	Yes	Yes	
1740	2021-11-03 19:04:36	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes		
1741	2021-11-03 14:08:13	Yes	B3T1R7	Yes	Yes	I do not trust the promises being made here. In a few years a new party will be elected and blame past government for the previous bad decisions made and we the people, taxpayers, will be left with the waste!!!
1742	2021-11-03 18:16:24	No	B3t1t1	Yes	No	
1747	2021-11-03 18:59:00	No	B3T1B1	No		
1748	2021-11-03 08:08:27	Yes	B3T1B1	No		
1749	2021-11-03 08:08:12	Yes	B3t1a7	No		
1750	2021-11-02 19:15:26	No	b3t1X8	No		
1752	2021-11-03 18:35:23	Yes	B3t1c2	Yes	Yes	
1753	2021-11-03 18:02:51	Yes	b3t1a4	Yes	Yes	This is a world class facility. It has gained international acclaim. Why change something that is a shining example of excellent waste management ?
1754	2021-11-03 18:22:37	Yes	B3T1W1	Yes	No	
1757	2021-11-03 18:23:20	No	B3T1V7	Yes		
1759	2021-11-03 18:11:36	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	No	
1761	2021-11-02 15:25:47	No	B3T2L2	Yes		
1763	2021-11-03 18:04:19	Yes	B3T2G8	Yes	Yes	Property value is a major concern.
1764	2021-11-03 17:51:38	Yes	B3T1T4	Yes	No	
1765	2021-11-03 17:32:12	No	B3T2A1	Yes	No	
1766	2021-11-03 17:43:23	Yes	B3t2g6	Yes	No	
1767	2021-11-03 17:37:50	Yes	B3t1a4	Yes	Yes	
1772	2021-11-03 17:18:54	Yes	B3T2E6	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF

Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1774	2021-11-03 17:07:51	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes	Yes	
1775	2021-11-03 17:09:25	Yes	B3T1A8	Yes		
1776	2021-11-03 17:04:04	Yes	B3T1B1	Yes	Yes	
1778	2021-11-03 16:37:04	Yes	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	Your breaking your commitment to the community, if it aint broken, etc. A few million dollars of savings is nothing if we lose our environment.
1779	2021-11-03 15:56:48	Yes	B3T2L8	Yes		
1781	2021-11-03 16:07:17	No	B3T1T2	Yes	No	
1782	2021-11-03 16:39:43	No	B3T1Y9	Yes	Yes	Not a good idea to just dump garbage , it was once a concern at the old landfill and your just going to cause the same issue .
1783	2021-11-03 16:40:52	Yes	B3T1A9	Yes		
1785	2021-11-03 16:25:58	Yes	B3T1B4	Yes	No	
1786	2021-11-03 16:22:59	Yes	B3t1v1	Yes	No	
1788	2021-11-03 16:12:11	Yes	B3t0b6	Yes	Yes	All garbage will end up being put in to the ground and that's not how the landfill was setup to run in the first place, and it break the guidelines to which the is to be operated under by the province
1789	2021-11-03 16:15:12	Yes	B3T1K6	Yes	Yes	Direct impact on wild life, full impact. Complete reason for wanting to do this? What you have in place now barely works.
1793	2021-11-03 15:27:51	No	B3P2H4	Yes	Yes	Extension of the life of the landfill, lack of trust that the FEP/WSF do not provide extra protection
1795	2021-11-03 15:35:32	Yes	B3t1a9	Yes	No	
1797	2021-11-03 15:27:34	Yes	b3t1v8	Yes		
1800	2021-11-03 15:03:42	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	No	
1801	2021-11-03 15:06:54	Yes	B3T2G5	Yes	No	
1803	2021-11-03 14:49:21	Yes	b3t1r8	Yes	Yes	After something has gone wrong it's too late.
1804	2021-11-03 15:04:33	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes		
1805	2021-11-03 15:00:10	No	B3t1p6	Yes		
1806	2021-11-03 14:46:23	Yes	B3T0J3	Yes	Yes	The very fact this agreement is even up for discussion has affected real estate in the area. If you moved from Alberta here you would be deterred from purchasing a home in Brunello because of these potential changes. Once rats infest an area it's too late. An agreement is meant to be honoured. Do not experiment at the expense of our community.
1810	2021-11-03 14:36:34	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes	Yes	I can't emphasize enough how this will most likely negatively impact the quality of life (due to odours, rodents, etc.) for the area. Property value dropping is also a massive concern. This proposed deactivation is unacceptable.
1811	2021-11-03 14:44:03	Yes	B3t1c4	Yes		
1812	2021-11-03 14:19:31	Yes	B3t1a2	Yes	Yes	All of it.
1814	2021-11-03 14:30:20	Yes	B3t1p6	Yes	Yes	All of it
1816	2021-11-03 14:01:21	Yes	B3t1t5	Yes	Yes	The timeframe of the landfills existence in this community!!!!
1817	2021-11-03 14:19:51	No	b3m0e2	Yes		
1818	2021-11-03 14:13:18	Yes	B3T1C2	Yes	No	
1819	2021-11-03 13:51:16	No	B3T1S4	Yes	Yes	I am concerned that this is the beginning of erosion of environmental protection and community control. Most particularly, that when the landfill is due for deactivation and must be re-located, other communities will not be willing to accept a landfill and the community that willingly welcomed a landfill under stringent conditions will be stuck with it permanently.
1820	2021-11-03 13:51:31	Yes	B3T2E1	Yes	No	
1821	2021-11-03 13:18:01	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes		
1822	2021-11-03 11:22:47	No	B3T1X2	Yes	Yes	The lack of respect shown to the community by Council in opening up this same conversation yet again to save a couple of million \$'s. We want the FEP & WSF bought up to date, refreshed and improved and kept in place for the LIFE of the facility.

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF

Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1823	2021-11-03 13:00:20	No	B3T2B7	Yes	Yes	It is a sad statement that citizens cannot count on government to live up to its stated commitment to maintaining a world-class facility.
1824	2021-11-03 12:29:44	Yes	B3T1K5	Yes	No	
1825	2021-11-03 10:36:17	No	B3T1S7	Yes	Yes	For me, it's the lack of honouring the original agreement, which, BTW, is inaccessible from your link. It doesn't work. When political expediency is allowed to override commitments entered into in good faith for the sake of an (estimated) sum of easily dissipated money, it stinks.
1826	2021-11-03 11:53:58	Yes	B3T1N1	Yes	Yes	People become less concerned with sorting their household waste
1827	2021-11-03 11:59:02	Yes	B3T0J7	Yes	No	
1828	2021-11-03 11:51:31	No	B3T0B4	Yes	No	
1829	2021-11-03 11:21:43	Yes	B3T2G8	Yes	No	
1830	2021-11-03 11:24:06	Yes	B3T1C4	Yes	No	
1831	2021-11-03 10:57:07	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	This survey monkey process - skewed so as to promote the idea that removal of the FEP/WSF processes is a reasonable option for the adjacent communities. Survey lacks balance, for example much script dedicated to promoting the positive aspects of the proposed deactivation but limit put on number of words in the response sections of the survey.
1832	2021-11-03 10:54:32	No	B3T1T9	Yes	Yes	Eroding environmental protection is not an option. Increasing protection is.
1833	2021-11-03 10:56:41	Yes	B3T2J4	Yes	Yes	My property value.
1834	2021-11-03 10:49:26	No		Yes	Yes	
1835	2021-11-03 10:30:15	Yes	B3t1r7	Yes	No	
1836	2021-11-03 10:05:16	Yes	B3t1t9	Yes	No	
1837	2021-11-03 10:10:55	No	B3T1W6	Yes		
1838	2021-11-03 10:03:38	No	B3T2A3	Yes	Yes	
1839	2021-11-03 08:21:24	No	B3T1Z3	Yes	Yes	Hold a public meeting so we can ask questions. Your canned responses and precise comments and questions do not calm concerns.
1840	2021-11-03 08:37:51	No	b3t1v2	Yes	Yes	
1841	2021-11-03 08:17:55	No	B3T2B8	Yes	No	
1842	2021-11-03 07:31:46	Yes	B3T0B2	Yes	No	
1843	2021-11-03 06:22:06	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	Ability to handle ICI should it return to Otter Lake. Dated technology being maintained at unspecified cost with no acknowledgement of the risk associated with failed startup.
1844	2021-10-28 17:17:53	No	B3I2y8	Yes		
1845	2021-11-03 07:02:47	No	B3T2L2	Yes		
1846	2021-11-03 07:02:39	No	B3t2I2	Yes		
1847	2021-11-03 06:31:24	No	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	Violates 1999 agreement/commitment to communities. Puts 'unacceptable waste' in landfill. Instead of precautionary preventive approach deals with poor outcomes after the fact. Medium risk far too great. A complete betrayal of trust on the part of HRM.
1848	2021-11-03 06:32:33	No	B3t2k8	Yes	Yes	Keep your promises to our community. This is bullshit.
1849	2021-11-03 06:24:23	No	B3T2L2	Yes		
1850	2021-11-03 05:21:10	Yes	B3Z1L4	Yes	No	
1851	2021-11-03 04:19:44	Yes	B3t2e3	Yes		
1852	2021-11-03 04:15:58	No	B3t1r4	Yes		
1853	2021-11-02 21:45:01	No	B3T1Z3	Yes	No	
1854	2021-11-02 21:16:52	Yes	B3T1P6	Yes		
1855	2021-11-02 20:56:32	Yes	B3T1V8	Yes	Yes	A contract is a contract and revisiting this every few years is not warranted. I feel council keeps trying this hoping people will finally give up and let them have what they want.
1856	2021-11-02 20:25:58	No	B3t1t6	Yes	No	
1857	2021-11-02 19:57:57	No	B3t1r6	Yes	Yes	To the average lay person much of this documentation is beyond our scope of knowledge. How can we be sure council has made the right decision?
1858	2021-11-02 19:49:44	No	B3Z1L4	Yes	No	

Respondents outside of 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF

Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1859	2021-11-02 19:27:03	No	B3T1R6	Yes	No	
1860	2021-11-02 19:00:57	Yes	B3T2L2	Yes	Yes	It's immoral. My taxes alone could fund this thing. I'd like to move Patty Cuttells hous to the land cap and see what she thinks of living there, the traitor.
1861	2021-11-02 18:55:04	No	B3T2B7	Yes	No	
1862	2021-11-02 18:56:23	Yes	B3T2E3	Yes	No	
1863	2021-11-02 18:11:46	No	B3T1T4	Yes		
1864	2021-11-02 18:15:33	Yes	B3t2b5	Yes	Yes	
1865	2021-11-02 17:30:20	Yes	B3T1Z5	Yes		
1866	2021-11-02 16:40:23	Yes	B3T1S5	Yes	Yes	There are MANY people who don't sort their garbage at all this will add materials to this site that shouldn't be there the FEP/WSF have kept the site as positive as possible and without them the community will suffer
1867	2021-11-02 14:34:16	Yes	B3T0J6	Yes	Yes	How do you said bye certain parties at HRM's but the deactivation of the FAPNWSF will save over \$2 million annually. This is very misleading. This whole survey backs up what HRM staff recommended to our counsellors and does not give other important facts that would refute all or most of their findings.
1868	2021-11-02 15:49:35	Yes	B3T1R4	Yes		
1869	2021-11-02 15:14:23	No	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	Beaurocracy, transparency and accountability
1870	2021-11-02 15:23:31	No		Yes		
1871	2021-11-02 15:02:14	No	B3t1v7	Yes		
1872	2021-11-02 14:48:27	No	B3M0P2	Yes	Yes	With our FEP/WSF how do you keep banned materials from entering the landfill. What should I separate if you are not doing your part. Leave it alone. Do your job.
1873	2021-11-02 14:43:09	Yes	B3T1P8	Yes	Yes	
1874	2021-11-02 14:11:50	No	B3M0P2	Yes		
1875	2021-11-02 14:38:17	Yes	B3T1r8	Yes		
1876	2021-11-02 14:29:17	No	B4A1P3	Yes	No	
1877	2021-11-02 14:02:42	Yes	B3T1R8	Yes	Yes	
1878	2021-11-02 14:28:39	No	B3T1R5	Yes		
1879	2021-11-02 14:24:25	No	B3T0H6	Yes		
1880	Email/Mail-In	No	B3T2C1	Yes	Yes	The entire opreation should be shut down adn relocated elsewhere. Area residents were promised from day one that the site would be closed in 25 yrs. Times up. Start looking for a new site NOW.
1881	Email/Mail-In	No	B3T2J8	Yes	Yes	This initiative represent a completely dismissive distain for the surrounding neighbourhood.
1882	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T1P3	Yes	Yes	This was a big deal several years ago. At that point we could have public meetings. Today you want people to go on a computer. For those we do not have computers, they are at a disadvantage. Throughout this survey, not once has it been layed out just what the FEP/WSF has done or the importance of it, it appears it all comes down to costs of running, and thats what you really want to deter.
1883	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T0H3	Yes	Yes	Item is ? stated that a saving of 2 million in a budget of 2 billions ≈ 0.002% miniscule. However, it's likely that a contract of some sort will be planned to eat that small saving.
1884	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3M4M8	Yes		
1885	Email/Mail-In	No	B3Z3T6	Yes		
1886	Email/Mail-In	Yes	B3T1B9	Yes		