# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE TI1:

In your opinion, what are the top three issues facing the municipality over the next five years?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
More housing / available housing / build houses	35	47	37	31	34	36	43	35	33	9	36	33	37
Transit – improvement, routes, price, access, encourage use, etc.	30	43	40	24	33	28	47	26	21	10	37	21	32
Affordable housing / rent control	30	30	33	29	30	30	41	24	23	43	39	19	32
Transportation infrastructure / traffic – congestion, management, flow	28	20	25	33	31	28	13	35	35	35	21	33	29
Poverty / homeless / panhandlers	18	15	18	20	17	18	21	16	20	9	35	19	15
Transportation / public transportation (unspecified)	14	13	16	14	14	13	16	15	11	11	11	11	15
Taxes / tax rate	13	7	9	17	15	11	6	14	19	4	9	25	10
Cost of living – affordability / economy / inflation	13	17	16	11	9	17	20	13	9	5	22	15	11
Overpopulation / Overcrowding / Too much population growth / Too much immigration	12	7	9	15	11	13	8	14	15	6	8	18	11
Health / healthcare / hospitals / mental health	10	10	8	10	8	11	8	9	13	16	10	12	9
Climate change infrastructure / climate action / global warming preparedness, reducing emissions	9	15	11	7	8	8	13	9	6	5	7	4	11
Infrastructure renewal / aging infrastructure – maintain, invest in	8	6	6	10	11	7	4	11	10	6	3	11	8
Road infrastructure – road conditions / sidewalks / snow removal	6	4	3	8	5	8	4	5	10	8	8	7	5
Crime / policing / drugs	6	4	3	7	6	7	3	6	9	6	9	8	4
Upgrade roads / highways / road system / roads – widen roads	6	6	5	6	7	5	4	5	7	16	1	5	7
Active transportation – paved routes, walking paths, bike lanes, safe crosswalks	6	8	9	4	6	5	7	7	4	3	2	5	6
Environment / environmental sustainability – green belting / sustainability / green space	5	7	5	4	4	6	4	4	5	14	4	3	5
New building development / regulations / restrictions / zoning	4	4	8	4	5	4	4	4	5	13	4	2	5
Food security – available, affordable, healthy	4	5	5	4	4	4	6	5	2	2	6	4	4
Urban sprawl / urban planning / planning for growth	4	4	7	3	6	2	7	2	2	0	4	5	3
Size of municipality / expenditures / salaries / debt	3	1	1	5	6	1	0	6	4	3	0	9	2
Schools / education	3	4	2	3	2	4	1	6	2	0	2	3	3
Better government service – affordable, less red tape, better communication, accessible	3	3	3	3	5	1	1	3	3	12	0	3	4
Recreation / entertainment opportunities (affordable)	3	2	2	4	3	4	1	5	3	4	2	2	3
Traffic control / enforcement – better safety measures, noise	3	2	3	3	2	4	1	3	3	4	0	4	2
Emergency services – fire / ambulance	3	3	0	3	3	3	2	1	4	0	1	1	3
Emergency preparedness – flooding, hurricanes, wildfires	2	1	2	3	1	3	0	3	1	20	5	1	2
Employment / unemployment / jobs / wages	2	2	3	1	2	2	2	2	3	0	1	2	2

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

Development process – better planning, more consulting / reporting	2	1	0	2	1	2	0	1	2	9	2	2	1
Racism / diversity / inclusion	1	0	1	2	2	1	4	0	0	3	1	1	2
Parking / winter parking	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	10	2	1	1
Senior services	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	2	0	2	1	1
Clean water – lakes, waterways	1	1	2	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1
Economic growth / small business growth / development / support	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Accessibility issues (housing, roads, internet, public washrooms, etc.)	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Downtown development / waterfront	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	1
Waste management – garbage / recycling	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Preserve buildings / historical sites	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
COVID related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Renewable energy – solar, clean, sustainable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Programming for youth / children (affordable)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Defund / reform the police	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Other	4	5	4	3	3	3	4	4	3	0	0	4	4
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

#### TABLE QL1

How would you rate the overall quality of life in the Halifax region?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very good	10	11	6	10	11	9	5	10	13	12	7	9	10
Good	67	68	72	66	70	67	67	64	67	88	64	53	73
Poor	19	18	19	20	17	20	25	20	17	0	28	31	14
Very poor	4	3	4	4	2	4	3	7	2	0	0	7	3
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563
% VERY GOOD/GOOD	77	79	78	76	81	76	72	74	81	100	71	62	83
% POOR/VERY POOR	23	21	22	24	19	24	28	26	19	0	29	38	17

# TABLE QL2:

In the past THREE years, the quality of life in the region has...

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Improved	4	6	11	2	5	4	8	4	2	0	7	2	5
Stayed the same	25	32	18	24	29	22	25	22	25	39	22	21	26
Worsened	71	63	72	74	65	73	67	74	72	61	71	77	69
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE QL3:

[ASK IF 'IMPROVED' IN QL2] What, in your opinion, would you say are the top three (3) most significant things that contributed to [an IMPROVED] quality of life in the region?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Beautification – more green space / better parks	26	41	18	25	24	29	32	11	35	0	34	0	27
Bike lanes / trails	24	56	5	21	32	15	27	21	19	0	0	27	30
Quality of life / civic pride / vibrancy / community	24	7	31	29	4	48	33	21	0	0	34	0	24
Better bus / transit service – routes, lanes	23	61	13	5	36	8	23	25	19	0	0	81	23
Walking / hiking trails / sidewalks	21	27	27	9	34	6	33	0	20	0	66	27	9
Recreation opportunities / recreation facilities	20	5	30	21	20	21	23	3	42	0	66	0	11
Entertainment options – arts / events / festivals / sports	20	0	48	4	35	3	20	17	25	0	66	0	11
Amenities – shops / restaurants	20	20	8	35	17	24	28	7	18	0	0	0	27
Development / growth / construction	14	31	11	5	21	6	10	31	0	0	0	55	13
Better government – communication	14	0	13	27	0	31	0	27	35	0	0	0	19
Housing developments	12	7	21	4	17	5	8	17	15	0	0	19	14
Inclusivity initiative – racial, Indigenous, diversity	10	0	13	14	10	10	0	33	0	0	0	0	13
Downtown development / renewal	10	5	16	6	4	16	14	0	12	0	34	0	5
Waterfront improvements / development	6	0	5	11	8	3	4	5	12	0	0	0	8
Healthcare	5	19	0	0	10	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	7
COVID efforts (online service)	4	0	11	0	8	0	0	15	0	0	0	55	0
Active transportation (unspecified)	4	0	5	5	4	3	7	0	0	0	0	0	5
Population growth / immigration	3	5	0	5	0	6	3	4	0	0	0	0	4
Traffic control	3	0	2	5	0	6	3	3	0	0	0	0	4
Library	2	7	0	0	4	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	3
Improved water and waste – recycling / water quality	1	0	0	4	0	3	0	0	9	0	0	19	0
Better roads / road maintenance / networks / infrastructure	1	0	0	4	0	3	0	0	9	0	0	19	0
Other	15	7	3	35	8	23	22	4	12	0	0	0	20
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	37	10	15	12	20	17	20	11	6	0	7	3	27
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	29	8	10	11	13	16	11	11	7	0	3	3	23

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE QL3:

[ASK IF 'WORSENED' IN QL2] What, in your opinion, would you say are the top three (3) most significant things that contributed to [a WORSENED] quality of life in the region?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Transportation - traffic congestion / problems	44	35	43	47	48	43	33	42	53	63	30	46	47
Housing (crisis) – affordable / available housing	35	54	42	28	32	37	46	34	28	42	27	33	38
Cost of living / inflation / affordability	35	42	37	33	35	34	52	38	20	9	45	38	32
Population growth	24	11	18	29	29	23	17	26	29	22	14	31	24
Homeless / poverty issues	20	17	13	23	21	20	15	17	24	44	27	19	20
Public transportation / transit issues	17	23	17	15	19	15	30	15	9	6	25	8	19
Rental costs / lack of rent controls	13	13	14	12	7	16	17	10	13	0	26	14	10
Healthcare delivery / access to medical care (includes mental health)	11	14	13	10	9	14	11	12	12	0	8	13	11
Taxes	11	6	7	14	18	6	3	13	17	4	9	19	9
Infrastructure issues (bridges, etc.)	10	9	13	10	11	10	8	11	12	10	6	9	11
Construction / development (uncontrolled) / lack of planning	9	5	13	10	9	9	3	8	13	23	8	6	10
Poor government / politics / corruption / transparency / decisions	7	4	3	9	9	5	3	10	8	10	9	7	7
Food security – cost	7	14	14	3	4	9	14	6	4	0	11	5	7
Access to jobs / employment opportunities / wages	7	7	3	7	6	6	12	4	6	0	18	4	5
Crime / feeling unsafe	7	2	4	8	4	8	3	6	7	22	5	9	6
Access to / cost of services	6	2	10	6	8	5	6	5	6	19	9	5	6
Climate change / environmental impacts / Extreme weather events	5	11	8	2	4	5	8	5	1	3	6	1	6
Issues with bike lanes	3	0	3	4	3	3	0	2	6	3	1	1	4
COVID – isolation / lockdown / restrictions (antivax)	3	2	3	3	2	3	1	2	5	0	2	4	2
Road maintenance / includes sidewalks & snow removal	2	1	2	3	2	2	0	4	2	0	5	2	2
Poor social culture – people unhappy / rude	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	1	2	6	0	0	3
Issues with policing (brutality / overstep / not responding)	2	2	1	2	3	1	1	3	1	5	2	1	2
Lack of green space / protection of green space	1	1	0	2	2	1	1	0	4	0	1	0	2
Parking issues – lack of / cost of	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	1
Garbage / recycle / sanitation issues	1	2	2	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
Education / schools	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1
Noise	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0
Destruction of historical neighbourhoods	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Lack of things to do	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
Race relations / discrimination	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Seniors issues	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Other	6	6	6	7	6	6	2	11	5	7	0	8	7
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	592	109	99	381	237	291	168	194	193	22	71	138	372
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	613	121	112	377	178	372	133	256	191	18	50	146	405

4

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE QL4A:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the quality-of-life aspects of the region.

The region as a place to live

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	12	16	12	10	12	13	12	10	14	12	12	11	11
Satisfied	56	56	56	56	61	53	50	57	59	74	43	47	61
Dissatisfied	24	25	24	24	20	27	30	23	20	14	34	29	21
Very dissatisfied	8	4	8	10	7	8	9	10	8	0	11	13	6
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	827	171	138	514	359	395	250	260	263	35	99	179	534
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	67	71	68	66	73	65	61	67	72	86	55	58	73
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	33	29	32	34	27	35	39	33	28	14	45	42	27

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

#### TABLE QL4B:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the quality-of-life aspects of the region.

The region as a place to work

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	6	6	7	5	6	5	4	7	5	10	4	4	6
Satisfied	57	59	48	59	61	57	57	52	62	74	56	53	60
Dissatisfied	27	28	35	24	25	27	28	28	24	16	26	28	26
Very dissatisfied	10	6	11	11	8	10	11	12	8	0	15	15	8
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	774	158	132	481	344	362	240	259	236	23	89	167	504
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	63	65	54	64	67	63	61	59	67	84	59	57	66
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	37	35	46	36	33	37	39	41	33	16	41	43	34

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

#### TABLE QL4C:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the quality-of-life aspects of the region.

The region as a place for recreation and play

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	10	16	9	9	10	11	11	10	11	7	9	10	11
Satisfied	57	58	63	55	56	62	61	53	54	87	61	42	61
Dissatisfied	25	22	21	27	27	21	23	28	26	0	23	35	22
Very dissatisfied	8	4	7	9	7	7	5	9	8	7	6	12	6
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	810	171	138	497	354	383	237	260	261	35	91	175	530
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	67	74	72	64	66	72	72	63	65	93	70	53	72
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	33	26	28	36	34	28	28	37	35	7	30	47	28

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE QL4D:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the quality-of-life aspects of the region.

The region as a place to raise a family

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	10	13	8	10	11	10	8	10	13	10	11	13	10
Satisfied	50	49	52	50	52	52	42	48	56	78	43	44	54
Dissatisfied	28	27	33	27	28	26	33	30	23	12	29	27	27
Very dissatisfied	12	11	8	13	9	12	17	12	8	0	16	16	9
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	691	133	114	441	311	324	192	235	221	30	83	152	443
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	61	62	59	60	63	62	49	58	69	88	55	57	63
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	39	38	41	40	37	38	51	42	31	12	45	43	37

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the quality-of-life aspects of the region.

The region as a place for young people

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	7	8	6	7	8	7	8	5	9	9	10	4	8
Satisfied	41	48	36	40	44	41	43	38	40	64	36	36	44
Dissatisfied	35	31	42	35	34	37	33	38	37	19	35	38	34
Very dissatisfied	17	13	16	18	14	15	17	20	14	9	19	22	14
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	743	157	115	468	336	342	240	234	227	26	89	157	482
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	48	56	42	47	52	48	50	42	49	73	46	40	51
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	52	44	58	53	48	52	50	58	51	27	54	60	49

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

#### TABLE QL4F:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the quality-of-life aspects of the region.

The region as a place to retire

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	9	12	15	7	10	10	6	9	11	9	7	10	9
Satisfied	45	41	46	45	50	43	57	37	45	49	51	36	47
Dissatisfied	29	30	25	29	26	30	18	33	29	40	27	29	29
Very dissatisfied	17	17	14	18	15	17	19	21	15	3	15	25	14
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	688	117	105	463	300	331	155	220	260	35	90	164	420
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	54	53	61	53	59	53	63	46	56	58	58	46	56
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	46	47	39	47	41	47	37	54	44	42	42	54	44

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE QL4A-F TOP 2 BOX SUMMARY

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the quality-of-life aspects of the region.

#### % VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
The region as a place to live	67	71	68	66	73	65	61	67	72	86	55	58	73
The region as a place for recreation and play	67	74	72	64	66	72	72	63	65	93	70	53	72
The region as a place to work	63	65	54	64	67	63	61	59	67	84	59	57	66
The region as a place to raise a family	61	62	59	60	63	62	49	58	69	88	55	57	63
The region as a place to retire	54	53	61	53	59	53	63	46	56	58	58	46	56
The region as a place for young people	48	56	42	47	52	48	50	42	49	73	46	40	51

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

TABLE QL5:

On a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 is not at all likely, and 10 is very likely, how likely would you be to recommend the Halifax region as a place to live?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
10 - Very likely	7	10	7	7	9	6	7	8	8	5	8	4	8
9	6	7	3	7	7	7	7	4	8	2	5	4	7
8	12	16	15	10	12	13	11	13	15	0	1	10	15
7	17	17	19	16	17	18	14	19	14	40	16	12	18
6	16	18	17	14	18	13	18	15	14	14	16	12	16
5	11	9	10	12	10	10	9	10	11	22	12	14	10
4	7	7	3	9	7	7	7	8	8	3	5	9	8
3	8	9	11	7	8	7	11	7	7	6	7	10	8
2	3	2	2	4	4	2	2	3	4	3	3	7	2
1	2	1	5	2	0	4	4	1	2	3	10	1	1
0 - Not at all likely	10	5	8	12	7	12	10	11	10	3	17	17	6
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563
% 9-10 (PROMOTERS)	14	17	10	13	16	13	14	12	16	7	14	9	15
% 7-8 (NEUTRAL)	29	33	34	27	29	31	25	32	29	40	17	22	34
% 0-6 (DETRACTORS)	57	50	56	60	55	55	60	56	55	53	70	69	51
NET PROMOTER SCORE	-44	-33	-46	-47	-39	-42	-46	-43	-39	-46	-56	-60	-35

Net Promoter Score represents the percentage of residents who offered ratings of 9 or 10 (promoters) minus the percentage who offered ratings of 0 to 6 (detractors).

# TABLE VT1:

Thinking about all the programs and services you receive from the municipality, please indicate the value you receive for the property taxes that you currently pay.

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very good value	4	4	3	4	4	3	8	3	2	8	7	2	4
Good value	32	29	33	32	31	36	25	28	38	52	39	23	34
Poor value	43	47	44	42	44	43	42	43	45	32	40	43	44
Very poor value	21	21	20	22	21	18	25	26	15	8	14	33	18
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	637	105	99	429	300	293	124	233	236	30	59	146	420
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	654	113	109	429	227	383	96	297	228	20	48	149	443
% VERY GOOD VALUE/GOOD VALUE	36	33	36	36	35	39	32	31	40	60	46	25	38
% POOR VALUE/VERY POOR VALUE	64	67	64	64	65	61	68	69	60	40	54	75	62

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' and 'Do not pay property tax' have been removed from this table.

## 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE VT2:

When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, it often faces higher costs to continue to provide the same level of service (due to things like inflation and wage increases). Please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	23	14	26	26	23	24	22	28	23	2	29	33	19
Maintain taxes and fees, even if it means reducing some services to maintain others	35	25	32	39	30	39	24	33	44	46	22	38	37
Increase municipal services, even if taxes or fees must increase	42	61	41	35	47	37	53	39	33	52	50	28	45
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

#### TABLE VT3:

If adding services and facilities, or maintaining existing service levels meant an increased cost to provide those services, which would you prefer most as a way to fund this increase?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increases to user fees for those services (for example, bus fares, permits, parking)	32	23	24	37	34	32	19	34	41	29	29	45	29
Increases to property taxes	11	15	7	11	13	8	20	8	8	5	17	3	13
Increases to municipal debt	10	9	14	9	6	14	11	12	9	4	13	10	10
Both user fee and property tax increases	16	19	24	13	24	11	15	16	18	17	16	10	18
A combination of increases to user fees, property tax increases, and debt	30	34	32	29	23	35	36	30	25	44	25	32	31
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	765	161	121	479	343	350	218	250	245	33	78	163	512
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	776	168	138	467	257	448	170	327	238	23	62	164	536

Responses of 'Don't know' have been removed from this table.

#### TABLE VT4

The municipality can borrow money to pay for things like buses, buildings and parks. This borrowing means we can expand infrastructure without raising taxes right away. How comfortable would you be with the municipality borrowing more money now for expanded infrastructure, if it means higher taxes in the future to pay back the debt and interest?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε			EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very comfortable	16	23	14	14	16	14	23	17	9	8	8	13	18
Somewhat comfortable	35	35	36	35	34	38	34	31	39	53	39	32	37
Somewhat uncomfortable	28	24	31	28	26	30	27	25	30	30	33	23	27
Very uncomfortable	21	17	19	24	23	19	16	28	21	9	21	32	18
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	819	169	137	510	363	384	243	260	262	35	100	174	530
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	815	176	147	489	267	477	182	340	251	24	70	176	552
% VERY COMFORTABLE/SOMEWHAT COMFORTABLE	51	59	50	49	51	52	58	48	49	61	46	45	55
% SOMEWHAT UNCOMFORTABLE/VERY UNCOMFORTABLE	49	41	50	51	49	48	42	52	51	39	54	55	45

## 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE ML1A:

For the following questions, please select the response that most closely reflects your opinion.

The municipality is moving in the right direction to ensure a high quality of life for future generations

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	2	0	6	2	3	2	4	2	1	0	4	1	2
Agree	28	34	25	27	35	26	35	25	27	31	23	20	32
Disagree	42	42	42	42	40	43	34	42	47	60	47	44	41
Strongly disagree	27	24	27	28	21	30	27	31	25	8	26	35	25
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	773	164	129	478	339	363	235	249	237	34	96	164	498
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	777	170	140	464	255	451	176	331	230	22	67	169	525
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	31	34	31	29	38	27	39	27	28	31	27	21	35
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	69	66	69	71	62	73	61	73	72	69	73	79	65

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

#### TABLE ML1B:

For the following questions, please select the response that most closely reflects your opinion.

The municipality does a good job of connecting/communicating with the public on key regional (municipal-wide) issues

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	3	2	2	3	5	1	6	2	2	0	6	2	3
Agree	30	35	31	27	31	30	31	31	29	21	32	26	31
Disagree	47	45	51	46	45	50	47	45	47	60	51	42	48
Strongly disagree	21	18	15	23	19	19	17	22	23	19	11	30	19
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	771	155	127	485	340	362	217	247	256	34	87	165	503
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	783	166	140	473	254	461	169	326	249	23	66	174	526
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	32	37	34	30	36	31	37	33	30	21	38	28	33
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	68	63	66	70	64	69	63	67	70	79	62	72	67

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

#### TABLE ML1C:

For the following questions, please select the response that most closely reflects your opinion.

The municipality does a good job of connecting/communicating with the public on key local (community) issues

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	2	2	1	2	3	2	4	1	2	0	5	3	1
Agree	31	34	33	29	32	32	31	31	34	24	32	24	33
Disagree	46	45	50	46	45	48	51	46	41	58	55	47	45
Strongly disagree	21	19	17	22	21	18	15	22	23	18	8	27	20
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	793	161	130	498	347	375	232	252	258	35	91	169	518
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	801	171	144	482	258	472	179	331	251	24	67	176	541
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	33	36	34	31	35	33	34	32	36	24	37	26	35
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	67	64	66	69	65	67	66	68	64	76	63	74	65

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE ML1D:

For the following questions, please select the response that most closely reflects your opinion.

The municipality's public processes encourage participation and help me feel involved in decisions that impact me as a resident

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		ı	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	4	5	7	3	5	3	9	3	2	0	11	3	3
Agree	31	39	35	26	33	30	32	31	28	42	35	20	33
Disagree	43	43	39	45	42	45	43	39	47	43	37	46	44
Strongly disagree	22	13	19	25	20	21	16	27	22	15	16	31	19
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	779	160	127	489	342	368	226	247	255	34	85	170	509
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	781	165	138	475	250	462	174	321	246	23	63	173	529
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	35	44	42	30	38	33	40	34	30	42	46	23	37
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	65	56	58	70	62	67	60	66	70	58	54	77	63

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

## TABLE ML1A-D TOP 2 BOX SUMMARY

For the following questions, please select the response that most closely reflects your opinion.

## % STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
The municipality's public processes encourage participation and help me feel involved in decisions that impact me as a resident	35	44	42	30	38	33	40	34	30	42	46	23	37
The municipality does a good job of connecting/communicating with the public on key local (community) issues	33	36	34	31	35	33	34	32	36	24	37	26	35
The municipality does a good job of connecting/communicating with the public on key regional (municipal-wide) issues	32	37	34	30	36	31	37	33	30	21	38	28	33
The municipality is moving in the right direction to ensure a high quality of life for future generations	31	34	31	29	38	27	39	27	28	31	27	21	35

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE ML2:

Do you use any of the following to get municipal news or information?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Municipal website (halifax.ca)	59	55	59	61	60	57	55	61	62	50	52	55	62
Municipal mobile applications (for example, HFX Recycles, hfxAlert)	46	45	54	44	44	48	41	50	48	32	33	41	49
Facebook (for example, Halifax Regional Municipality, Halifax Regional Police)	44	34	39	48	44	46	30	47	55	29	39	56	41
Councillor social media	36	30	31	40	36	38	29	42	36	44	23	37	38
Instagram (for example, hfxmoments)	25	40	36	17	24	26	56	18	7	0	33	17	28
X/Twitter (for example, @hfxgov, @hfxtransit, @hfxfire)	24	22	26	25	27	21	22	29	23	8	34	26	22
All of the above	8	10	9	6	7	8	6	8	10	0	7	7	8
None of the above	6	3	4	7	6	6	3	5	6	29	8	8	4
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE ML3: Which of the following methods would you like to use to participate in community decision-making?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Online surveys	85	89	94	82	83	88	94	86	81	52	76	85	87
Public open houses hosted by municipal staff (in person)	47	51	43	47	48	45	45	45	51	38	35	43	50
Email feedback	42	38	49	42	41	42	45	45	38	34	44	34	44
Community-led meetings attended by municipal staff	41	43	42	41	41	41	40	37	48	34	27	41	44
Online virtual meetings hosted by municipal staff	37	40	45	33	40	34	40	42	29	33	26	24	43
Social media (for example, X/Twitter, Facebook, Instagram, or LinkedIn)	29	36	28	27	28	32	37	31	25	2	32	31	29
Mail-out surveys	28	27	33	27	25	30	26	29	26	39	22	28	28
One-on-one meetings with municipal staff (in person)	19	23	18	18	22	16	25	17	15	6	16	11	22
Telephone feedback	8	9	9	7	9	7	7	7	9	8	6	6	9
Other	2	1	2	3	2	2	1	2	2	8	1	3	3
None - I would prefer not to participate	2	1	3	2	2	1	2	1	0	11	9	0	1
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

## TABLE SI1:

Overall, how satisfied are you with the delivery of all the services provided by the municipality?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	2	1	1	2	1	3	1	1	2	7	3	1	2
Satisfied	48	53	49	46	49	51	44	47	53	55	45	40	51
Dissatisfied	41	38	44	41	42	39	48	39	36	36	47	42	40
Very dissatisfied	10	7	6	11	9	8	7	12	9	2	5	17	7
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	794	169	126	495	356	367	229	256	258	35	86	175	517
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	798	171	142	481	264	463	177	332	249	24	64	176	541
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	50	55	50	48	50	53	45	49	54	62	48	41	53
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	50	45	50	52	50	47	55	51	46	38	52	59	47

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE SI2A:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

Business support services (for example, zoning regulations, building permits)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	3	5	6	2	5	2	6	4	2	0	9	3	3
Satisfied	38	48	31	36	41	35	46	36	36	7	25	34	42
Dissatisfied	37	26	50	37	35	42	26	36	42	93	39	43	34
Very dissatisfied	22	21	13	24	19	22	22	24	20	0	27	20	21
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	426	81	71	271	221	164	122	136	146	11	46	100	273
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	421	81	75	262	162	219	95	176	132	7	35	100	279
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	41	53	37	39	46	36	52	40	38	7	34	37	45
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	59	47	63	61	54	64	48	60	62	93	66	63	55

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

## 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

## TABLE SI2B:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

Community planning/land use planning and approvals (for example, community planning to guide growth and change)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	3	1	7	3	5	1	6	3	1	0	5	2	3
Satisfied	26	36	27	23	30	23	39	24	21	3	11	21	31
Dissatisfied	43	38	44	44	42	46	32	49	44	71	61	46	39
Very dissatisfied	28	25	22	31	23	30	23	25	34	26	23	31	28
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	668	130	112	423	311	295	189	227	212	24	75	140	443
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	688	135	129	421	232	394	148	296	211	18	59	147	468
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	29	37	34	25	35	24	45	27	22	3	16	23	34
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	71	63	66	75	65	76	55	73	78	97	84	77	66

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

#### TABLE SI2C:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

Economic development (for example, promoting and connecting the municipality to grow and get business, workers and investment)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	3	2	9	2	5	1	6	2	2	0	7	3	2
Satisfied	45	46	34	48	51	41	49	47	42	27	35	45	47
Dissatisfied	34	34	47	31	29	40	27	32	40	66	34	33	35
Very dissatisfied	18	18	10	20	15	18	18	19	17	7	23	20	15
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	512	102	84	322	258	210	147	179	162	15	54	110	342
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	509	103	95	307	184	281	112	226	152	10	41	111	350
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	48	48	43	50	55	43	55	49	44	27	43	47	50
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	52	52	57	50	45	57	45	51	56	73	57	53	50

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE SI2D:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

Accessibility programming (for example, physical/intellectual/emotional access to facilities, services and programs such as inclusion support)

			YEARS	S IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	7	4	17	6	8	6	4	8	9	0	0	5	8
Satisfied	46	48	38	47	51	43	50	46	47	11	74	42	43
Dissatisfied	33	40	33	31	32	36	34	32	31	63	16	40	33
Very dissatisfied	14	9	12	16	9	14	12	15	13	27	10	13	15
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	353	74	53	223	173	139	99	114	123	9	36	86	226
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	343	72	57	211	122	180	72	143	114	6	24	83	231
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	53	51	55	53	60	49	54	53	56	11	74	47	52
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	47	49	45	47	40	51	46	47	44	89	26	53	48

## 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

## TABLE SI2E:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

 $Afford a bility/free \ program/property \ tax \ reduction \ program/property \ tax \ reduction \ program/property \ tax \ reduction \ program/property \ property \$ 

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	7	11	10	5	7	8	7	9	6	0	9	3	8
Satisfied	50	44	40	54	54	48	41	48	60	58	43	47	52
Dissatisfied	24	31	28	20	24	22	22	26	22	33	20	22	25
Very dissatisfied	19	14	22	20	15	22	29	17	13	9	28	28	15
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	462	97	76	287	202	213	130	165	144	15	55	104	294
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	474	101	83	287	156	270	103	213	139	10	38	107	320
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	57	55	50	60	61	56	48	57	66	58	52	50	60
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	43	45	50	40	39	44	52	43	34	42	48	50	40

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

#### TABLE SI2F:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

## Arts and cultural facilities and programs

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	8	7	8	8	10	6	12	8	5	0	4	8	8
Satisfied	69	69	66	70	70	71	68	64	73	80	74	69	68
Dissatisfied	16	18	20	15	13	17	14	20	15	15	16	19	16
Very dissatisfied	7	6	6	7	7	6	6	8	7	5	7	4	8
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	627	136	103	385	293	278	187	200	202	27	65	125	427
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	634	138	110	382	215	363	146	262	197	17	48	128	447
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	77	76	74	78	80	77	81	72	78	80	77	77	77
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	23	24	26	22	20	23	19	28	22	20	23	23	23

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE SI2G:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

# Civic events (for example, Canada Day, Natal Day, event grants)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Έ		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	12	13	20	10	13	12	17	14	9	0	13	7	14
Satisfied	70	71	61	72	73	72	70	63	76	93	77	72	69
Dissatisfied	12	15	12	11	9	13	10	14	12	3	8	15	12
Very dissatisfied	5	1	7	6	5	3	3	9	3	5	2	5	5
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	672	136	99	435	318	306	198	216	223	28	83	146	432
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	667	136	104	424	229	390	146	277	217	19	59	149	447
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	83	84	81	83	86	84	87	77	85	93	90	80	83
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	17	16	19	17	14	16	13	23	15	7	10	20	17

## 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

## TABLE SI2H:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

Cleanliness (for example, litter and graffiti removal)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	5	5	6	4	4	6	6	4	5	2	3	6	4
Satisfied	52	65	52	48	53	53	57	53	43	81	41	41	57
Dissatisfied	29	20	29	32	27	29	25	30	33	13	37	33	26
Very dissatisfied	14	10	12	16	16	12	12	13	19	4	19	20	12
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	784	164	126	491	348	367	237	251	248	31	90	170	510
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	788	170	137	477	256	462	179	326	244	22	65	170	537
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	56	69	59	52	57	59	63	57	48	83	44	47	62
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	44	31	41	48	43	41	37	43	52	17	56	53	38

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

#### TABLE SI2I:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

Community beautification/streetscaping

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	8	9	15	7	9	7	14	6	6	2	12	4	9
Satisfied	49	52	37	52	45	56	50	51	51	37	41	47	52
Dissatisfied	32	30	37	31	35	28	29	28	34	53	38	37	28
Very dissatisfied	11	10	11	11	11	8	7	14	10	7	10	12	10
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	749	158	122	465	345	342	229	241	235	30	87	160	488
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	745	164	128	449	249	434	171	312	227	21	63	162	505
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	58	60	52	58	54	64	64	57	56	39	53	51	61
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	42	40	48	42	46	36	36	43	44	61	47	49	39

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE SI2J:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

Community Standards (for example, by-law enforcement, animal services)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	4	7	5	3	6	3	6	4	3	0	1	3	5
Satisfied	50	57	53	47	47	56	54	49	51	27	54	46	51
Dissatisfied	28	27	28	29	32	25	31	27	26	31	33	30	27
Very dissatisfied	18	9	14	21	15	16	8	19	19	41	12	21	17
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	640	127	95	414	300	290	165	213	227	25	74	139	416
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	634	131	106	393	216	368	124	270	212	18	54	137	430
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	54	64	57	50	53	59	60	54	54	27	55	49	56
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	46	36	43	50	47	41	40	46	46	73	45	51	44

## 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

## TABLE SI2K:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

Diversity and inclusiveness programs (for example, language and culture programming, support for community events)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	11	10	9	12	12	10	12	12	10	0	13	11	10
Satisfied	67	70	67	67	72	69	66	67	70	79	54	68	70
Dissatisfied	13	13	17	12	9	14	13	12	14	13	25	14	11
Very dissatisfied	9	7	7	10	6	7	9	9	7	9	9	7	9
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	485	110	76	296	224	212	143	168	152	15	45	105	330
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	476	105	84	283	162	265	113	208	137	11	37	106	327
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	78	80	76	78	84	79	78	79	80	79	67	79	80
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	22	20	24	22	16	21	22	21	20	21	33	21	20

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

#### TABLE SI2L:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

Efforts to address homelessness/Supporting people who are unhoused

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	3	3	1	4	4	2	2	3	4	11	0	4	3
Satisfied	17	10	16	20	17	17	12	20	20	7	8	15	20
Dissatisfied	35	39	39	33	39	33	29	36	39	48	39	38	34
Very dissatisfied	45	48	44	44	39	48	58	42	37	35	54	43	44
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	765	163	130	468	339	357	236	246	242	27	92	168	491
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	772	167	140	461	250	453	179	321	238	20	66	169	521
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	20	13	17	23	21	19	14	23	24	17	8	19	23
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	80	87	83	77	79	81	86	77	76	83	92	81	77

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE SI2M:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

Efforts to support food security and strengthen the food system

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	4	3	5	4	6	3	4	3	5	0	6	3	4
Satisfied	27	20	18	31	31	24	19	26	36	29	24	30	27
Dissatisfied	41	38	42	43	46	39	42	44	39	34	45	39	42
Very dissatisfied	28	39	34	22	18	34	34	27	21	38	25	28	27
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	584	128	87	366	249	274	194	189	176	14	72	124	378
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	579	132	100	343	180	338	143	248	167	10	52	127	390
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	31	23	24	35	36	26	24	29	41	29	30	33	31
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	69	77	76	65	64	74	76	71	59	71	70	67	69

## 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

## TABLE SI2N:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

## Halifax Public Libraries

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	37	47	46	31	36	38	54	30	26	44	41	21	40
Satisfied	53	45	45	57	54	54	38	58	62	52	55	64	49
Dissatisfied	7	6	7	7	6	6	6	8	7	4	4	9	7
Very dissatisfied	3	2	2	4	4	2	2	5	4	0	0	6	3
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	754	161	128	462	322	367	237	227	243	32	88	148	505
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	755	166	139	447	238	452	179	305	234	22	61	152	527
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	90	92	91	88	90	92	92	87	89	96	96	85	90
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	10	8	9	12	10	8	8	13	11	4	4	15	10

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

## TABLE SI2O:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

#### Parks and greenspaces

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	12	20	20	8	14	11	17	15	6	5	13	8	13
Satisfied	60	59	53	63	60	63	63	61	60	58	70	60	59
Dissatisfied	19	16	17	20	17	18	15	17	21	28	12	20	19
Very dissatisfied	9	5	10	9	9	8	5	8	12	9	5	12	8
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	793	170	131	488	355	368	237	254	254	33	87	167	525
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	802	176	146	476	262	470	185	333	245	23	64	174	548
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	72	79	73	70	74	74	80	76	66	63	83	68	73
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	28	21	27	30	26	26	20	24	34	37	17	32	27

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE SI2P:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

# Playgrounds

			YEARS	S IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	10	12	12	9	8	13	17	8	7	0	17	7	10
Satisfied	68	64	71	68	66	67	66	66	71	59	61	67	69
Dissatisfied	17	20	13	17	21	14	15	18	17	33	19	15	17
Very dissatisfied	5	4	4	6	5	6	2	8	5	8	3	11	4
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	580	120	101	356	273	259	167	200	186	17	60	123	391
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	583	119	106	355	203	333	123	260	178	13	45	129	402
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	78	76	83	77	74	80	83	75	78	59	78	74	79
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	22	24	17	23	26	20	17	25	22	41	22	26	21

## 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

## TABLE SI2Q:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

#### Public washrooms

s Trade-College	Univ
4	3
41	36
42	41
13	20
136	402
135	423
45	39
55	61
	41 42 13 136 135 45

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

## TABLE SI2R:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

# Indoor recreation facilities (for example, community centres, pools)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	10	11	15	8	9	11	10	11	10	3	10	6	11
Satisfied	60	67	52	60	62	60	64	56	60	68	65	62	58
Dissatisfied	22	17	25	22	22	21	22	21	22	14	21	20	22
Very dissatisfied	9	5	8	10	7	9	4	12	7	15	4	12	8
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	677	127	119	427	300	322	187	225	222	28	74	147	445
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	687	132	130	422	220	413	145	295	216	16	55	150	471
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	70	78	67	68	71	70	74	66	70	71	75	68	69
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	30	22	33	32	29	30	26	34	30	29	25	32	31

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE SI2S:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

# Outdoor recreation facilities (for example, sport fields, tennis courts, pickleball)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		I	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	10	14	14	7	9	11	15	11	5	0	7	8	11
Satisfied	66	65	66	66	63	68	67	64	65	74	73	65	64
Dissatisfied	17	17	15	17	20	15	14	17	20	20	16	17	17
Very dissatisfied	8	5	5	10	8	6	4	8	11	5	4	10	8
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	677	137	118	419	320	306	207	217	217	25	72	147	452
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	668	135	125	404	230	386	155	279	208	15	53	149	458
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	76	79	80	73	72	79	82	75	70	74	80	73	75
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	24	21	20	27	28	21	18	25	30	26	20	27	25

## 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

## TABLE SI2T:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

Recreation programming (for example, dry-land/aquatic programs, day camps)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	11	13	15	10	12	11	19	10	8	0	3	9	12
Satisfied	63	53	59	66	63	63	63	57	69	57	87	59	60
Dissatisfied	18	29	19	15	17	19	13	23	15	21	8	16	20
Very dissatisfied	8	4	6	10	8	8	5	9	8	22	1	15	7
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	502	89	84	327	218	239	121	187	166	19	49	114	330
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	515	90	92	331	161	309	95	240	160	10	33	118	353
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	74	67	75	75	75	73	82	67	77	57	91	69	73
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	26	33	25	25	25	27	18	33	23	43	9	31	27

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

## TABLE SI2U:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

## Fire Services

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	22	29	20	20	25	20	25	22	19	27	20	19	23
Satisfied	67	65	73	67	64	70	64	70	68	63	76	69	65
Dissatisfied	8	6	4	9	10	6	10	4	9	10	3	11	8
Very dissatisfied	3	0	3	4	2	4	1	4	4	0	1	2	4
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	685	127	112	442	320	313	183	220	239	29	86	163	424
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	681	132	121	424	235	395	136	283	228	20	60	163	446
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	89	93	93	87	89	90	88	92	87	90	96	88	88
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	11	7	7	13	11	10	12	8	13	10	4	12	12

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE SI2V:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

# Police Services

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	6	2	5	7	9	3	8	5	6	0	7	8	5
Satisfied	48	36	46	52	48	50	25	48	63	76	52	49	46
Dissatisfied	26	31	24	26	29	24	30	27	23	24	22	24	28
Very dissatisfied	20	31	25	15	13	22	37	20	8	0	19	19	20
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	740	142	122	472	343	328	210	229	251	34	86	162	478
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	732	146	131	451	248	415	159	296	240	22	62	166	489
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	54	38	50	59	58	53	33	53	68	76	59	57	51
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	46	62	50	41	42	47	67	47	32	24	41	43	49

## 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

## TABLE SI2W:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

Emergency management (for example, preparedness, coordination of municipal emergency response, and recovery)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	5	2	7	6	6	4	3	7	5	0	5	4	6
Satisfied	48	48	50	48	54	46	47	47	50	74	55	45	48
Dissatisfied	30	36	29	29	24	34	29	33	27	19	29	25	31
Very dissatisfied	17	14	14	18	16	16	21	13	18	7	11	26	15
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	682	131	115	431	311	311	205	227	216	19	84	153	432
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	679	138	125	412	227	393	151	293	208	13	62	152	452
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	53	50	57	53	60	50	51	54	55	74	60	49	54
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	47	50	43	47	40	50	49	46	45	26	40	51	46

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

## TABLE SI2X:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

Public Engagement (for example, public consultation on municipal projects, surveys, etc.)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	2	1	3	3	3	2	3	2	2	0	6	2	2
Satisfied	42	54	45	37	43	43	54	32	41	50	44	31	45
Dissatisfied	40	34	37	43	40	39	34	48	38	28	40	46	38
Very dissatisfied	16	11	16	18	14	15	9	17	19	22	11	21	15
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	740	161	128	447	337	334	213	238	243	31	82	158	487
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	747	162	139	442	250	428	166	310	235	23	63	161	509
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	44	55	47	39	46	45	57	35	42	50	50	33	47
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	56	45	53	61	54	55	43	65	58	50	50	67	53

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE SI2Y:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

# Sidewalks/pedestrian facilities

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	3	5	3	2	4	3	4	3	3	0	2	4	3
Satisfied	49	42	42	53	52	49	47	46	54	50	44	47	51
Dissatisfied	31	32	34	30	28	33	33	36	26	21	38	30	30
Very dissatisfied	17	20	21	15	17	15	16	15	17	29	16	20	16
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	811	172	136	500	359	380	248	257	258	31	94	172	530
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	808	177	146	481	263	473	186	334	249	23	67	174	551
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	52	47	45	55	55	52	51	49	57	50	46	51	54
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	48	53	55	45	45	48	49	51	43	50	54	49	46

## 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

## TABLE SI2Z:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

## Bike lanes/cycling facilities

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		ı	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	4	2	5	4	3	4	2	6	3	0	0	7	3
Satisfied	22	25	20	21	23	21	26	19	21	21	27	20	22
Dissatisfied	39	38	39	40	37	42	43	41	36	31	43	35	40
Very dissatisfied	35	35	36	35	36	32	28	34	40	48	30	38	35
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	684	148	108	424	327	296	193	216	232	27	70	149	452
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	681	151	119	407	240	381	148	279	220	19	53	147	468
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	25	27	25	25	26	26	29	25	24	21	27	27	25
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	75	73	75	75	74	74	71	75	76	79	73	73	75

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

## TABLE SI2AA:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

## Parking availability (paid parking/permit parking/on-street parking)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	10	17	18	5	13	7	17	11	4	0	6	4	12
Satisfied	34	41	35	32	32	36	42	30	34	14	34	28	36
Dissatisfied	31	23	27	35	30	33	25	32	35	49	36	33	30
Very dissatisfied	25	19	20	28	25	23	16	27	27	37	25	34	21
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	760	145	132	478	336	363	220	242	249	32	95	160	491
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	756	152	140	460	247	448	163	316	239	22	64	162	516
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	44	59	53	37	45	44	59	41	38	14	40	33	48
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	56	41	47	63	55	56	41	59	62	86	60	67	52

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE SI2AB:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

# Public transit - conventional bus

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	3	4	5	2	3	3	3	3	2	14	3	1	3
Satisfied	18	20	10	19	18	19	13	15	25	29	8	21	19
Dissatisfied	40	39	47	39	42	40	37	44	39	57	39	36	42
Very dissatisfied	39	37	38	40	38	38	47	38	35	0	50	42	36
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	677	156	121	397	319	295	234	217	190	24	79	137	449
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	674	161	131	379	235	377	175	284	187	16	54	140	468
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	20	24	15	21	21	22	16	18	26	43	11	22	22
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	80	76	85	79	79	78	84	82	74	57	89	78	78

## 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

## TABLE SI2AC:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

## Public transit - ferry

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	12	18	22	7	14	10	14	15	7	3	11	7	14
Satisfied	53	52	52	54	48	58	48	55	51	91	44	48	56
Dissatisfied	20	16	16	23	20	21	21	17	26	6	32	24	18
Very dissatisfied	15	14	9	17	17	11	17	14	16	0	13	22	13
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	607	142	101	361	282	271	191	202	181	22	61	120	415
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	601	142	112	344	206	340	151	257	168	15	48	116	426
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	65	70	74	60	62	68	63	70	58	94	55	55	69
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	35	30	26	40	38	32	37	30	42	6	45	45	31

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

## TABLE SI2AD:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

## Public transit - Access-A-Bus

		YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		ı	EDUCATION	
OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
8	4	21	6	10	4	20	9	2	0	29	2	7
47	53	26	50	58	42	34	40	59	71	35	59	44
16	17	21	14	12	26	17	11	18	29	10	11	20
30	26	32	30	21	28	30	40	21	0	26	28	30
157	31	27	99	88	51	32	55	63	4	14	45	93
163	31	31	100	66	79	28	72	58	2	11	45	102
55	56	47	56	68	46	53	49	61	71	64	61	51
45	44	53	44	32	54	47	51	39	29	36	39	49
	8 47 16 30 157 163 55	8 4 47 53 16 17 30 26 157 31 163 31 55 56	8         4         21           47         53         26           16         17         21           30         26         32           157         31         27           163         31         31           55         56         47	<10         10-20         More than 20           8         4         21         6           47         53         26         50           16         17         21         14           30         26         32         30           157         31         27         99           163         31         31         100           55         56         47         56	OVERALL %           10-20         More than 20         Man           8         4         21         6         10           47         53         26         50         58           16         17         21         14         12           30         26         32         30         21           157         31         27         99         88           163         31         31         100         66           55         56         47         56         68	OVERALL %           10-20         More than 20         Man         Woman           8         4         21         6         10         4           47         53         26         50         58         42           16         17         21         14         12         26           30         26         32         30         21         28           157         31         27         99         88         51           163         31         31         100         66         79           55         56         47         56         68         46	OVERALL %           Hore than 20         Man         Woman         18-34           8         4         21         6         10         4         20           47         53         26         50         58         42         34           16         17         21         14         12         26         17           30         26         32         30         21         28         30           157         31         27         99         88         51         32           163         31         31         100         66         79         28           55         56         47         56         68         46         53	OVERALL %           Hore than 20         Man         Woman         18-34         35-54           8         4         21         6         10         4         20         9           47         53         26         50         58         42         34         40           16         17         21         14         12         26         17         11           30         26         32         30         21         28         30         40           157         31         27         99         88         51         32         55           163         31         31         100         66         79         28         72           55         56         47         56         68         46         53         49	OVERALL %	OVERALL %           Hore than 20         Man         Woman         18-34         35-54         55-74         75+           8         4         21         6         10         4         20         9         2         0           47         53         26         50         58         42         34         40         59         71           16         17         21         14         12         26         17         11         18         29           30         26         32         30         21         28         30         40         21         0           157         31         27         99         88         51         32         55         63         4           163         31         31         100         66         79         28         72         58         2           55         56         47         56         68         46         53         49         61         71	OVERALL %           Nore than 20         Man         Woman         18-34         35-54         55-74         75+         HS or less           8         4         21         6         10         4         20         9         2         0         29           47         53         26         50         58         42         34         40         59         71         35           16         17         21         14         12         26         17         11         18         29         10           30         26         32         30         21         28         30         40         21         0         26           157         31         27         99         88         51         32         55         63         4         14           163         31         31         100         66         79         28         72         58         2         11           55         56         47         56         68         46         53         49         61         71         64	OVERALL %          10-20         More than 20         Man         Woman         18-34         35-54         55-74         75+         HS or less         Trade-College           8         4         21         6         10         4         20         9         2         0         29         2           47         53         26         50         58         42         34         40         59         71         35         59           16         17         21         14         12         26         17         11         18         29         10         11           30         26         32         30         21         28         30         40         21         0         26         28           157         31         27         99         88         51         32         55         63         4         14         45           163         31         31         100         66         79         28         72         58         2         11         45           55         56         47         56         68         46         53         49         61

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE SI2AE:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

# Overall transit service

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Έ		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	2	2	2	1	2	2	1	3	1	11	3	2	1
Satisfied	24	28	20	23	24	26	17	26	27	38	13	30	24
Dissatisfied	42	39	42	44	45	40	41	43	43	48	44	37	44
Very dissatisfied	32	32	36	32	30	32	41	29	28	3	40	32	30
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	693	158	126	406	320	306	232	226	200	23	84	141	459
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	695	162	135	394	237	390	174	295	198	15	57	146	481
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	26	30	22	25	25	28	18	28	29	49	16	31	25
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	74	70	78	75	75	72	82	72	71	51	84	69	75

## 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

## TABLE SI2AF:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

#### Sidewalk maintenance

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	3	6	3	2	1	4	4	4	1	0	0	2	4
Satisfied	57	53	53	60	61	56	53	57	64	57	45	56	61
Dissatisfied	27	26	32	26	26	27	32	27	21	19	49	27	22
Very dissatisfied	13	16	11	12	12	12	10	12	13	24	6	16	13
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	770	161	132	473	341	364	237	248	243	27	89	160	506
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	768	168	141	455	251	452	179	319	235	20	63	160	529
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	60	59	56	62	63	60	58	61	65	57	45	58	65
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	40	41	44	38	37	40	42	39	35	43	55	42	35

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

## TABLE SI2AG:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

#### Street/road maintenance

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	2	2	1	2	1	2	3	2	0	0	1	1	2
Satisfied	36	44	32	35	37	36	37	36	36	44	27	31	40
Dissatisfied	36	33	39	37	35	38	36	33	41	31	48	41	33
Very dissatisfied	26	21	27	27	26	24	24	29	24	26	24	28	24
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	820	168	137	512	363	386	243	258	265	35	98	177	530
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	818	175	147	492	267	480	182	338	256	24	68	180	553
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	38	46	34	37	39	38	40	38	36	44	28	31	42
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	62	54	66	63	61	62	60	62	64	56	72	69	58

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE SI2AH:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

Traffic management/balancing how street space is assigned to different modes (for example, personal vehicles, transit, walking/rolling, cycling)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	1	0	1	2	1	2	2	2	0	0	0	2	1
Satisfied	17	13	17	18	19	17	15	14	20	18	24	11	17
Dissatisfied	35	42	31	35	33	37	41	33	32	39	27	37	37
Very dissatisfied	47	45	52	46	47	45	41	50	47	43	49	49	45
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	815	171	134	505	360	383	246	261	261	30	96	173	532
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	815	177	143	491	265	478	184	340	252	22	69	177	554
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	18	13	18	19	20	18	17	16	21	18	24	14	19
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	82	87	82	81	80	82	83	84	79	82	76	86	81

## 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

## TABLE SI2AI:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

Road safety (for example, traffic calming, education, crosswalks, signals, and signage for pedestrian, cycling, rolling, vehicles)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	3	2	3	4	4	3	7	3	1	0	6	4	2
Satisfied	28	28	28	27	28	30	22	30	31	44	28	24	30
Dissatisfied	38	39	38	38	39	36	44	35	36	28	40	34	39
Very dissatisfied	31	31	31	31	28	31	27	33	32	28	26	38	29
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	815	168	135	508	360	382	241	261	260	35	96	174	530
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	816	173	146	493	265	478	183	340	251	24	69	178	552
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	31	30	31	31	33	33	29	32	32	44	34	28	32
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	69	70	69	69	67	67	71	68	68	56	66	72	68

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

#### TABLE SI2A

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

Winter maintenance (for example, snow and ice control)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	5	12	3	3	6	3	6	5	3	6	0	3	6
Satisfied	51	53	42	53	51	52	46	49	57	72	39	51	54
Dissatisfied	28	23	33	28	28	27	30	28	26	12	33	26	27
Very dissatisfied	16	13	22	16	16	17	18	18	14	10	27	21	13
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	810	162	134	510	356	382	239	256	263	35	100	175	520
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	809	168	145	492	262	475	177	335	256	23	70	180	542
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	56	64	45	56	57	56	52	53	60	78	40	54	60
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	44	36	55	44	43	44	48	47	40	22	60	46	40

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE SI2AK:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

Climate action (for example, reducing emissions and preparing for climate impacts)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	4	2	5	4	5	3	2	6	4	0	0	4	4
Satisfied	37	29	35	40	44	32	34	36	43	25	41	44	34
Dissatisfied	39	44	38	38	35	46	43	37	34	75	43	31	40
Very dissatisfied	21	25	22	19	17	19	21	22	19	0	16	21	21
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	663	144	106	410	314	287	211	218	202	19	68	140	444
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	654	145	117	388	226	365	158	276	193	14	51	132	459
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	40	31	40	44	48	35	36	41	47	25	41	48	38
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	60	69	60	56	52	65	64	59	53	75	59	52	62

## 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

## TABLE SI2AL:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

Environmental protection and sustainability (for example, water testing, green network, wetland restoration)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	5	3	6	6	7	4	6	7	5	0	9	7	4
Satisfied	45	46	48	44	51	43	43	49	46	38	41	52	44
Dissatisfied	33	34	32	34	29	38	31	31	35	39	32	27	35
Very dissatisfied	16	18	14	16	13	15	20	12	14	23	18	13	17
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	621	133	90	394	294	269	183	202	198	22	63	131	416
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	620	137	105	374	209	353	143	256	191	15	48	131	428
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	51	48	54	50	58	48	48	57	51	38	51	60	48
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	49	52	46	50	42	52	52	43	49	62	49	40	52

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

#### TABLE SI2AM:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

Garbage, recycling, and organics collection

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	22	26	24	21	23	23	25	20	22	25	22	20	23
Satisfied	65	64	70	65	64	67	64	65	67	75	68	66	65
Dissatisfied	9	6	4	11	10	7	10	10	7	0	10	8	9
Very dissatisfied	3	4	2	4	4	3	2	4	4	0	0	6	3
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	809	167	128	510	357	383	237	258	261	35	92	173	529
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	812	174	143	491	264	479	179	340	252	24	67	177	552
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	88	90	94	86	86	90	88	85	89	100	90	86	88
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	12	10	6	14	14	10	12	15	11	0	10	14	12

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE SI2AN:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

Urban forestry (street and park tree planting and maintenance)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	8	7	9	9	9	7	13	8	7	0	9	6	9
Satisfied	56	60	54	55	53	62	56	62	51	50	64	63	53
Dissatisfied	28	27	30	27	31	25	28	22	30	47	22	20	31
Very dissatisfied	8	7	7	9	7	6	4	8	12	3	5	10	8
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	733	159	119	452	337	334	226	236	224	32	82	149	488
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	725	161	129	432	243	420	170	301	217	22	59	146	505
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	64	66	63	64	62	69	69	70	58	50	73	70	61
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	36	34	37	36	38	31	31	30	42	50	27	30	39

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

# TABLE SI2A-AN TOP 2 BOX SUMMARY

Please tell us how satisfied you are with each of the following services provided by the municipality. If you don't know or have not had any experience with the service to provide a rating, please choose 'Don't know / no opinion'.

# % VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E			EDUCATION	1
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Halifax Public Libraries	90	92	91	88	90	92	92	87	89	96	96	85	90
Fire Services	89	93	93	87	89	90	88	92	87	90	96	88	88
Garbage, recycling, and organics collection	88	90	94	86	86	90	88	85	89	100	90	86	88
Civic events (for example, Canada Day, Natal Day, event grants)	83	84	81	83	86	84	87	77	85	93	90	80	83
Diversity and inclusiveness programs (for example, language and culture programming, support for community events)	78	80	76	78	84	79	78	79	80	79	67	79	80
Playgrounds	78	76	83	77	74	80	83	75	78	59	78	74	79
Arts and cultural facilities and programs	77	76	74	78	80	77	81	72	78	80	77	77	77
Outdoor recreation facilities (for example, sport fields, tennis courts, pickleball)	76	79	80	73	72	79	82	75	70	74	80	73	75
Recreation programming (for example, dry-land/aquatic programs, day camps)	74	67	75	75	75	73	82	67	77	57	91	69	73
Parks and greenspaces	72	79	73	70	74	74	80	76	66	63	83	68	73
Indoor recreation facilities (for example, community centres, pools)	70	78	67	68	71	70	74	66	70	71	75	68	69
Public transit – ferry	65	70	74	60	62	68	63	70	58	94	55	55	69
Urban forestry (street and park tree planting and maintenance)	64	66	63	64	62	69	69	70	58	50	73	70	61
Sidewalk maintenance	60	59	56	62	63	60	58	61	65	57	45	58	65
Community beautification/streetscaping	58	60	52	58	54	64	64	57	56	39	53	51	61
Affordability/free programming (for example, Affordable Access Transit Pass/Recreation Funding Access program/property tax reduction program)	57	55	50	60	61	56	48	57	66	58	52	50	60
Cleanliness (for example, litter and graffiti removal)	56	69	59	52	57	59	63	57	48	83	44	47	62
Winter maintenance (for example, snow and ice control)	56	64	45	56	57	56	52	53	60	78	40	54	60
Public transit – Access-A-Bus	55	56	47	56	68	46	53	49	61	71	64	61	51
Community Standards (for example, by- law enforcement, animal services)	54	64	57	50	53	59	60	54	54	27	55	49	56
Police Services	54	38	50	59	58	53	33	53	68	76	59	57	51
Accessibility programming (for example, physical/intellectual/emotional access to facilities, services and programs such as inclusion support)	53	51	55	53	60	49	54	53	56	11	74	47	52
Emergency management (for example, preparedness, coordination of municipal emergency response, and recovery)	53	50	57	53	60	50	51	54	55	74	60	49	54
Sidewalks/pedestrian facilities	52	47	45	55	55	52	51	49	57	50	46	51	54
Environmental protection and sustainability (for example, water testing, green network, wetland restoration)	51	48	54	50	58	48	48	57	51	38	51	60	48

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

Economic development (for example, promoting and connecting the municipality to grow and get business, workers and investment)	48	48	43	50	55	43	55	49	44	27	43	47	50
Public Engagement (for example, public consultation on municipal projects, surveys, etc.)	44	55	47	39	46	45	57	35	42	50	50	33	47
Parking availability (paid parking/permit parking/on-street parking)	44	59	53	37	45	44	59	41	38	14	40	33	48
Business support services (for example, zoning regulations, building permits)	41	53	37	39	46	36	52	40	38	7	34	37	45
Public washrooms	41	43	35	42	41	45	39	45	40	46	47	45	39
Climate action (for example, reducing emissions and preparing for climate impacts)	40	31	40	44	48	35	36	41	47	25	41	48	38
Street/road maintenance	38	46	34	37	39	38	40	38	36	44	28	31	42
Efforts to support food security and strengthen the food system	31	23	24	35	36	26	24	29	41	29	30	33	31
Road safety (for example, traffic calming, education, crosswalks, signals, and signage for pedestrian, cycling, rolling, vehicles)	31	30	31	31	33	33	29	32	32	44	34	28	32
Community planning/land use planning and approvals (for example, community planning to guide growth and change)	29	37	34	25	35	24	45	27	22	3	16	23	34
Overall transit service	26	30	22	25	25	28	18	28	29	49	16	31	25
Bike lanes/cycling facilities	25	27	25	25	26	26	29	25	24	21	27	27	25
Efforts to address homelessness/Supporting people who are unhoused	20	13	17	23	21	19	14	23	24	17	8	19	23
Public transit – conventional bus	20	24	15	21	21	22	16	18	26	43	11	22	22
Traffic management/balancing how street space is assigned to different modes (for example, personal vehicles, transit, walking/rolling, cycling)	18	13	18	19	20	18	17	16	21	18	24	14	19

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

#### TABLE SI3A1

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

Business support services (for example, zoning regulations, building permits)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	22	23	29	20	31	16	26	19	20	36	38	17	20
Maintain service levels	63	65	58	64	54	71	57	67	66	59	55	68	63
Reduce service levels	15	12	14	16	15	13	18	15	14	6	7	15	17
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

## 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE SI3A2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN SI3A1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

Business support services (for example, zoning regulations, building permits)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	17	23	20	14	24	11	25	14	13	19	26	13	17
No	83	77	80	86	76	89	75	86	87	81	74	87	83
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	709	153	119	433	309	346	207	224	231	33	93	152	450
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	704	156	126	419	227	423	152	289	227	22	64	156	469

#### TABLE SI3B1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

Community planning/land use planning and approvals (for example, community planning to guide growth and change)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	47	54	53	43	53	42	55	44	40	59	57	36	48
Maintain service levels	45	43	41	47	39	50	40	47	50	39	38	49	45
Reduce service levels	8	3	7	10	8	8	5	9	11	2	5	15	6
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

#### TABLE SI3B2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN S13B1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

Community planning/land use planning and approvals (for example, community planning to guide growth and change)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	34	43	42	29	42	27	47	32	26	31	39	25	37
No	66	57	58	71	58	73	53	68	74	69	61	75	63
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	766	169	129	464	335	366	238	240	239	35	95	152	505
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	763	174	139	446	247	451	178	313	234	23	67	156	524

# TABLE SI3C1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

Economic development (for example, promoting and connecting the municipality to grow and get business, workers and investment)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	23	29	25	20	32	16	25	26	19	24	18	21	25
Maintain service levels	59	55	59	62	54	66	60	54	65	62	76	63	55
Reduce service levels	17	16	16	18	14	18	15	20	16	14	6	16	20
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

## 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE SI3C2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN SI3C1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

Economic development (for example, promoting and connecting the municipality to grow and get business, workers and investment)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	20	29	16	17	25	14	20	22	17	27	14	18	22
No	80	71	84	83	75	86	80	78	83	73	86	82	78
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	690	147	116	424	313	323	214	210	224	30	94	151	432
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	678	150	124	401	229	395	154	276	217	19	64	153	447

#### TABLE SI3D1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

Accessibility programming (for example, physical/intellectual/emotional access to facilities, services and programs such as inclusion support)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	31	40	40	26	22	37	37	33	25	24	33	24	34
Maintain service levels	58	54	54	61	62	57	53	53	66	72	67	60	56
Reduce service levels	11	6	5	13	16	6	10	14	9	4	0	17	10
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

#### TABLE SI3D2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN SI3D1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

Accessibility programming (for example, physical/intellectual/emotional access to facilities, services and programs such as inclusion support)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	37	53	42	30	32	39	49	38	28	31	31	23	43
No	63	47	58	70	68	61	51	62	72	69	69	77	57
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	746	164	130	449	304	374	227	225	243	34	100	149	483
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	754	168	140	443	226	460	175	304	237	22	69	157	512

# TABLE SI3E1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

Affor dability /free programming (for example, Affor dable Access Transit Pass/Recreation Funding Access program/property tax)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	37	44	47	33	35	36	50	36	31	14	55	30	37
Maintain service levels	53	52	45	55	51	57	41	49	63	86	43	57	53
Reduce service levels	10	4	9	12	14	6	9	15	7	0	2	13	10
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

## 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE SI3E2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN SI3E1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

Affordability/free programming (for example, Affordable Access Transit Pass/Recreation Funding Access program/property tax

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	41	50	42	38	44	38	54	42	31	31	43	24	47
No	59	50	58	62	56	62	46	58	69	69	57	76	53
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	753	168	126	455	313	372	230	223	249	35	97	156	485
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	757	174	135	444	228	461	176	299	242	24	66	163	512

#### TABLE SI3F1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

Arts and cultural facilities and programs

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	22	36	27	16	21	20	31	19	16	26	24	17	23
Maintain service levels	62	55	59	64	61	64	60	63	61	70	70	54	62
Reduce service levels	16	9	15	19	18	15	9	19	22	5	6	29	14
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

#### TABLE SI3F2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN S13F1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

Arts and cultural facilities and programs

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	31	52	31	24	30	29	44	24	24	34	26	26	34
No	69	48	69	76	70	71	56	76	76	66	74	74	66
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	698	158	117	418	298	335	228	213	207	34	94	128	462
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	703	163	126	410	219	419	174	284	208	22	64	132	491

# TABLE SI3G1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

Civic events (for example, Canada Day, Natal Day, event grants)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	8	12	6	7	11	6	11	7	8	0	9	9	8
Maintain service levels	67	62	60	70	70	66	61	67	69	90	82	64	64
Reduce service levels	25	26	34	23	19	28	28	26	23	10	8	27	28
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

## 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE SI3G2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN S13G1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

Civic events (for example, Canada Day, Natal Day, event grants)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	15	19	15	14	18	12	19	9	17	18	13	18	15
No	85	81	85	86	82	88	81	91	83	82	87	82	85
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	623	129	91	399	295	285	181	195	205	32	92	131	389
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	611	125	96	386	213	355	130	253	198	20	63	133	403

## TABLE SI3H1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

Cleanliness (for example, litter and graffiti removal)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	35	31	36	36	41	29	37	32	39	10	54	34	32
Maintain service levels	60	65	57	59	56	64	56	65	56	90	41	60	63
Reduce service levels	5	4	7	5	3	6	7	4	5	0	5	6	5
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

#### TABLE SI3H2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN S13H1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

Cleanliness (for example, litter and graffiti removal)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	31	37	28	31	39	24	41	25	31	23	34	26	33
No	69	63	72	69	61	76	59	75	69	77	66	74	67
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	792	168	128	492	351	371	233	253	254	35	95	168	514
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	794	174	139	477	261	463	174	331	249	24	67	174	536

# TABLE SI3I1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

Community beautification/streetscaping

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	31	36	34	29	43	21	42	27	25	38	33	24	33
Maintain service levels	53	57	54	52	43	62	45	58	57	60	52	56	52
Reduce service levels	15	7	12	19	14	16	13	16	18	2	16	19	14
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

## 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE SI3I2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN S1311] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

Community beautification/streetscaping

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	34	42	33	32	45	25	49	26	27	45	33	23	39
No	66	58	67	68	55	75	51	74	73	55	67	77	61
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	706	162	121	419	315	331	218	221	218	35	84	144	463
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	715	167	129	415	235	420	167	293	219	23	58	148	493

#### TABLE SI3J1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

Community Standards (for example, by-law enforcement, animal services)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	28	22	21	33	32	25	19	27	37	43	22	33	28
Maintain service levels	65	69	71	62	61	70	72	63	61	57	78	59	65
Reduce service levels	7	9	8	5	7	5	9	10	3	0	0	8	7
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

#### TABLE SI3J2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN S13J1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

Community Standards (for example, by-law enforcement, animal services)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	27	25	25	28	31	24	29	23	28	38	27	24	28
No	73	75	75	72	69	76	71	77	72	62	73	76	72
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	779	159	127	490	338	375	230	237	260	35	100	166	500
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	771	162	136	469	249	456	168	312	250	24	69	167	519

# TABLE SI3K1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

Diversity and inclusiveness programs (for example, language and culture programming, support for community events)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	22	30	22	20	17	24	31	22	13	26	17	19	24
Maintain service levels	57	62	60	54	56	59	52	53	64	59	66	50	57
Reduce service levels	21	9	18	27	27	17	16	25	22	15	18	31	19
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

## 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE SI3K2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN SI3K1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

Diversity and inclusiveness programs (for example, language and culture programming, support for community events)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	31	39	26	29	26	31	41	33	20	34	21	20	36
No	69	61	74	71	74	69	59	67	80	66	79	80	64
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	655	160	112	380	266	328	210	196	207	30	82	123	436
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	669	165	126	375	198	410	165	272	200	20	56	137	460

#### TABLE SI3L1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

Efforts to address homelessness/Supporting people who are unhoused

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	65	77	67	61	61	69	78	62	61	49	70	59	66
Maintain service levels	21	12	22	24	22	21	15	21	25	20	24	24	19
Reduce service levels	13	10	10	16	17	11	7	17	14	31	7	16	14
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

#### TABLE SI3L2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN S13L1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

Efforts to address homelessness/Supporting people who are unhoused

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	56	68	54	52	58	54	64	56	49	58	55	39	62
No	44	32	46	48	42	46	36	44	51	42	45	61	38
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	721	157	124	437	301	354	235	217	230	25	93	150	463
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	729	167	136	422	221	441	178	295	223	18	65	154	493

# TABLE SI3M1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

Efforts to support food security and strengthen the food system

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	56	68	62	51	49	62	75	55	46	29	69	47	57
Maintain service levels	36	29	29	40	40	34	22	33	46	63	29	47	33
Reduce service levels	8	4	9	9	12	4	3	12	8	8	2	6	9
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

## 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE SI3M2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN SI3M1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

Efforts to support food security and strengthen the food system

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	52	66	52	48	52	52	68	49	43	39	56	34	58
No	48	34	48	52	48	48	32	51	57	61	44	66	42
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	768	168	126	470	321	382	244	232	246	33	98	168	489
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	772	176	138	454	234	473	185	310	242	21	68	174	515

## TABLE SI3N1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

Halifax Public Libraries

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	33	49	41	25	32	30	50	27	22	29	36	19	37
Maintain service levels	60	46	56	65	57	65	46	61	69	71	61	65	58
Reduce service levels	7	4	4	10	12	4	4	12	8	0	3	16	5
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

#### TABLE SI3N2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN SI3N1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

Halifax Public Libraries

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	46	68	45	39	44	46	61	41	37	51	38	35	51
No	54	32	55	61	56	54	39	59	63	49	62	65	49
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	772	167	133	468	321	378	242	231	244	35	97	150	510
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	781	172	145	460	238	471	184	313	242	24	66	162	536

# TABLE SI3O1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

Parks and greenspaces

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	36	47	38	31	36	35	41	32	34	46	31	25	41
Maintain service levels	59	52	58	62	57	61	55	63	60	54	62	65	57
Reduce service levels	5	1	4	6	7	3	4	5	6	0	7	10	3
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE SI3O2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN S1301] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

#### Parks and greenspaces

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	43	60	43	38	46	40	58	38	35	50	40	27	49
No	57	40	57	62	54	60	42	62	65	50	60	73	51
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	794	173	133	485	340	383	241	249	251	35	93	161	525
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	802	179	144	475	255	476	184	329	247	24	66	169	550

## TABLE SI3P1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

## Playgrounds

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	19	23	25	16	22	18	20	18	20	25	23	18	19
Maintain service levels	74	73	69	76	72	76	76	74	72	75	73	73	75
Reduce service levels	6	4	6	7	6	6	5	8	8	0	4	9	6
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

#### TABLE SI3P2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN S13P1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

#### Playgrounds

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	28	42	30	23	31	24	31	26	27	27	21	26	30
No	72	58	70	77	69	76	69	74	73	73	79	74	70
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	780	167	129	480	341	373	239	242	246	35	96	164	506
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	786	173	138	471	253	466	182	321	242	24	66	170	534

# TABLE SI3Q1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

## Public washrooms

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	43	52	51	37	44	38	49	41	38	33	32	36	46
Maintain service levels	52	46	45	55	49	57	43	53	56	67	67	54	48
Reduce service levels	6	2	4	8	7	5	8	5	6	0	1	11	5
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

## 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE SI3Q2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN S13Q1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

Public washrooms

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	37	47	39	33	42	32	45	36	30	44	28	24	43
No	63	53	61	67	58	68	55	64	70	56	72	76	57
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	785	171	133	477	337	377	232	249	252	35	99	160	511
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	792	177	143	468	249	472	179	326	246	24	69	168	538

#### TABLE SI3R1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

Indoor recreation facilities (for example, community centres, pools)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	36	34	45	34	39	34	36	35	34	42	38	29	38
Maintain service levels	60	63	49	62	57	62	61	58	61	58	61	61	59
Reduce service levels	5	3	6	5	4	5	2	6	5	0	1	10	3
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

#### TABLE SI3R2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN S13R1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

Indoor recreation facilities (for example, community centres, pools)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	42	47	47	39	46	38	45	43	38	42	36	31	46
No	58	53	53	61	54	62	55	57	62	58	64	69	54
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	796	170	130	492	351	377	245	246	254	35	99	162	522
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	796	175	141	476	257	471	186	324	247	24	69	171	541

# TABLE SI3S1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

Outdoor recreation facilities (for example, sport fields, tennis courts, pickleball)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	31	31	32	30	38	26	28	30	34	23	25	28	33
Maintain service levels	63	65	61	63	56	69	68	64	56	77	68	59	63
Reduce service levels	6	4	8	7	6	6	4	6	9	0	7	13	4
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

## 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE SI3S2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN S1351] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

Outdoor recreation facilities (for example, sport fields, tennis courts, pickleball)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	37	44	36	36	43	33	41	37	36	32	27	30	42
No	63	56	64	64	57	67	59	63	64	68	73	70	58
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	782	168	127	483	342	373	241	247	243	35	92	156	519
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	783	172	138	469	255	461	182	324	237	24	65	163	539

#### TABLE SI3T1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

Recreation programming (for example, dry-land/aquatic programs, day camps)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	23	25	24	23	22	25	19	31	21	21	15	21	26
Maintain service levels	67	67	67	66	67	67	71	63	65	79	77	68	64
Reduce service levels	10	8	10	11	11	9	10	6	14	0	8	11	10
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

#### TABLE SI3T2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN S1371] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

Recreation programming (for example, dry-land/aquatic programs, day camps)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	30	36	28	28	31	28	29	33	27	29	20	22	35
No	70	64	72	72	69	72	71	67	73	71	80	78	65
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	751	162	124	461	325	361	225	247	230	35	92	160	486
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	765	167	136	458	246	455	176	322	230	24	65	166	519

# TABLE SI3U1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

#### Fire Services

	OVERALL %	YEARS IN HRM			GENDER		AGE				EDUCATION		
		<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	29	25	26	31	29	28	23	29	33	32	31	32	27
Maintain service levels	70	73	74	67	68	71	75	69	66	68	69	66	71
Reduce service levels	2	2	0	2	3	1	2	2	2	0	0	2	2
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE SI3U2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN S13U1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

#### Fire Services

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	45	51	43	44	40	48	45	43	47	47	49	39	46
No	55	49	57	56	60	52	55	57	53	53	51	61	54
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	820	172	138	507	353	394	246	258	263	35	100	176	530
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	819	178	149	488	256	489	185	337	255	24	70	181	551

# TABLE SI3V1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

#### Police Services

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	28	21	27	31	30	29	11	30	40	40	36	46	21
Maintain service levels	50	42	49	53	50	51	47	48	54	60	52	47	50
Reduce service levels	22	37	24	16	20	20	42	22	6	0	12	7	29
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

#### TABLE SI3V2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN S13V1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

#### Police Services

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	35	31	29	38	38	34	16	33	45	56	36	40	33
No	65	69	71	62	62	66	84	67	55	44	64	60	67
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	653	110	105	434	293	316	146	205	251	35	88	166	385
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	643	114	108	417	210	388	97	265	242	24	58	170	399

# TABLE SI3W1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

Emergency management (for example, preparedness, coordination of municipal emergency response, and recovery)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	49	48	47	49	43	51	51	46	52	31	61	48	46
Maintain service levels	50	51	53	49	54	48	48	52	47	69	39	50	52
Reduce service levels	1	1	0	2	2	0	0	3	1	0	0	2	1
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

37

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE SI3W2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN S13W1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

Emergency management (for example, preparedness, coordination of municipal emergency response, and recovery)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	49	56	50	46	49	48	55	44	49	42	56	45	49
No	51	44	50	54	51	52	45	56	51	58	44	55	51
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	822	173	138	507	356	395	250	256	263	35	100	175	532
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	822	179	149	490	261	489	188	336	256	24	70	179	556

#### TABLE SI3X1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

Public Engagement (for example, public consultation on municipal projects, surveys, etc.)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	44	45	46	43	42	45	40	44	46	53	49	51	40
Maintain service levels	50	47	50	51	50	50	54	46	49	47	45	41	53
Reduce service levels	6	7	4	7	8	5	7	9	4	0	6	7	6
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

#### TABLE SI3X2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN S13X1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

Public Engagement (for example, public consultation on municipal projects, surveys, etc.)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	20	30	23	15	21	16	25	19	15	25	20	14	22
No	80	70	77	85	79	84	75	81	85	75	80	86	78
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	781	163	132	483	335	378	235	238	255	35	94	166	506
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	784	171	141	468	249	467	178	316	248	24	67	172	528

# TABLE SI3Y1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

Sidewalks/pedestrian facilities

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	47	61	54	40	49	43	62	41	36	47	60	38	47
Maintain service levels	49	37	44	55	44	55	35	53	59	53	39	57	49
Reduce service levels	4	2	2	6	7	2	3	6	4	0	0	6	5
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE SI3Y2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN SI3Y1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

#### Sidewalks/pedestrian facilities

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	45	61	53	37	50	39	64	40	31	48	53	33	47
No	55	39	47	63	50	61	36	60	69	52	47	67	53
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	798	172	135	487	339	388	244	247	255	35	100	169	514
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	802	178	146	474	252	479	186	325	250	24	69	174	542

# TABLE SI3Z1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

# Bike lanes/cycling facilities

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	41	65	49	31	47	34	62	41	25	25	40	26	47
Maintain service levels	24	20	26	25	18	31	24	19	26	41	26	22	24
Reduce service levels	35	15	25	44	36	35	14	40	49	34	34	52	29
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

#### TABLE SI3Z2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN S13Z1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

# Bike lanes/cycling facilities

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	55	68	55	49	67	42	66	53	44	36	56	43	57
No	45	32	45	51	33	58	34	47	56	64	44	57	43
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	545	149	103	290	235	256	217	158	137	23	66	87	385
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	545	152	110	280	172	319	165	214	141	15	44	93	400

# TABLE SI3AA1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

# Parking availability (paid parking/permit parking/on-street parking)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	30	20	27	34	28	32	17	35	35	56	35	37	27
Maintain service levels	46	47	45	46	41	50	45	44	49	35	41	52	45
Reduce service levels	24	33	28	20	31	17	38	21	16	9	24	11	29
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE SI3AA2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN SI3AA1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

Parking availability (paid parking/permit parking/on-street parking)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	17	17	19	16	16	18	15	17	15	43	20	14	17
No	83	83	81	84	84	82	85	83	85	57	80	86	83
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	633	117	99	413	253	327	155	206	225	32	76	159	384
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	642	124	109	406	192	397	120	271	216	21	54	165	409

# TABLE SI3AB1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

Public transit - conventional bus

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	70	81	86	62	71	67	86	74	53	52	76	56	73
Maintain service levels	26	18	12	33	25	30	12	21	42	48	22	39	24
Reduce service levels	4	1	2	5	4	3	2	5	5	0	1	5	4
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

#### TABLE SI3AB2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN SI3AB1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

Public transit - conventional bus

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	54	70	65	46	56	52	73	50	42	51	64	33	60
No	46	30	35	54	44	48	27	50	58	49	36	67	40
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	804	173	136	492	349	386	247	250	254	35	99	170	520
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	808	179	147	478	256	482	186	330	251	24	69	176	546

# TABLE SI3AC1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

Public transit - ferry

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	44	56	46	39	48	41	60	41	36	24	53	31	47
Maintain service levels	52	43	53	55	46	57	39	52	60	70	45	63	49
Reduce service levels	4	1	1	6	6	3	0	7	4	7	2	6	4
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE SI3AC2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN SI3AC1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

#### Public transit - ferry

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	42	58	45	35	46	37	56	37	32	42	43	22	48
No	58	42	55	65	54	63	44	63	68	58	57	78	52
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	799	174	136	486	343	386	250	244	255	33	98	168	518
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	805	180	147	474	252	482	188	324	252	23	69	173	546

# TABLE SI3AD1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

#### Public transit - Access-A-Bus

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	35	43	41	30	30	37	41	38	27	25	40	21	38
Maintain service levels	60	53	55	65	62	61	51	58	70	75	60	75	56
Reduce service levels	5	4	4	5	8	2	8	5	3	0	0	4	6
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

#### TABLE SI3AD2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN SI3AD1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

#### Public transit - Access-A-Bus

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	39	54	39	35	41	37	52	38	31	35	40	20	46
No	61	46	61	65	59	63	48	62	69	65	60	80	54
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	794	167	133	490	334	389	232	250	259	35	100	172	507
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	801	174	144	479	247	483	177	329	253	24	70	178	536

# TABLE SI3AE1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

# Overall transit service

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	70	83	82	63	72	67	87	70	56	59	80	56	73
Maintain service levels	26	16	17	32	24	31	11	26	39	41	19	39	24
Reduce service levels	3	1	2	5	5	2	2	4	5	0	1	5	3
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE SI3AE2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN SI3AE1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

Overall transit service

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	55	71	64	47	58	52	74	48	43	56	62	36	60
No	45	29	36	53	42	48	26	52	57	44	38	64	40
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	805	173	136	493	347	387	247	251	253	35	99	170	521
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	811	179	147	481	255	485	186	331	252	24	69	176	549

# TABLE SI3AF1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

#### Sidewalk maintenance

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	33	39	46	27	32	30	44	29	22	35	52	25	31
Maintain service levels	63	60	51	68	61	67	52	66	73	65	48	71	64
Reduce service levels	4	1	4	5	6	2	3	4	5	0	0	4	5
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

#### TABLE SI3AF2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN SI3AF1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

#### Sidewalk maintenance

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	34	42	43	30	37	31	45	31	25	51	46	22	36
No	66	58	57	70	63	69	55	69	75	49	54	78	64
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	800	172	133	490	340	387	243	251	254	35	100	172	513
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	802	177	143	478	253	477	185	329	248	24	69	177	539

# TABLE SI3AG1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

#### Street/road maintenance

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	52	45	55	53	55	49	54	51	53	44	71	53	48
Maintain service levels	44	52	40	43	40	49	42	43	45	56	27	46	47
Reduce service levels	4	3	5	4	6	2	5	5	2	0	2	0	5
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

42

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE SI3AG2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN SI3AG1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

Street/road maintenance

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	40	36	47	40	46	36	44	37	38	57	53	36	39
No	60	64	53	60	54	64	56	63	62	43	47	64	61
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	802	170	131	497	343	388	239	249	261	35	98	178	511
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	802	173	143	482	254	477	180	327	253	24	67	183	535

# TABLE SI3AH1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

Traffic management/balancing how street space is assigned to different modes (for example, personal vehicles, transit, walking/rolling, cycling)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	57	73	67	50	60	54	77	59	40	40	68	39	62
Maintain service levels	29	22	23	33	26	33	19	25	39	50	29	40	24
Reduce service levels	14	5	10	18	14	13	4	15	21	10	3	21	14
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

#### TABLE SI3AH2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN SI3AH1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

Traffic management/balancing how street space is assigned to different modes (for example, personal vehicles, transit, walking/rolling, cycling)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	51	61	56	46	60	42	64	52	37	44	57	29	57
No	49	39	44	54	40	58	36	48	63	56	43	71	43
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	719	165	125	426	312	345	241	222	211	32	97	142	466
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	721	171	135	411	229	429	181	295	211	20	67	149	490

# TABLE SI3AI1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

Road safety (for example, traffic calming, education, crosswalks, signals, and signage for pedestrian, cycling, rolling, vehicles)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	45	61	51	38	47	42	55	46	35	52	44	31	50
Maintain service levels	41	31	42	44	35	48	36	36	50	40	50	45	37
Reduce service levels	14	8	7	18	18	10	8	18	15	8	6	24	12
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE SI3AI2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN SI3Al1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

Road safety (for example, traffic calming, education, crosswalks, signals, and signage for pedestrian, cycling, rolling, vehicles)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	46	60	47	41	53	38	57	46	32	52	49	30	50
No	54	40	53	59	47	62	43	54	68	48	51	70	50
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	719	161	128	425	297	356	230	215	226	33	94	137	474
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	719	165	136	414	219	435	175	288	220	21	61	148	496

#### TABLE SI3AJ1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

Winter maintenance (for example, snow and ice control)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	36	30	48	34	32	39	42	38	30	20	58	38	30
Maintain service levels	62	66	50	64	65	60	57	58	69	80	41	61	67
Reduce service levels	2	3	2	2	3	1	0	5	1	0	1	1	3
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

#### TABLE SI3AJ2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN SI3AJ1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

Winter maintenance (for example, snow and ice control)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	37	43	43	33	36	37	44	36	30	47	50	28	36
No	63	57	57	67	64	63	56	64	70	53	50	72	64
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	816	169	135	508	353	391	250	251	263	35	99	177	525
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	815	173	147	491	259	484	187	331	256	24	69	181	548

# TABLE SI3AK1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

Climate action (for example, reducing emissions and preparing for climate impacts)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	50	66	52	45	48	50	64	46	41	59	51	30	58
Maintain service levels	35	27	37	36	33	39	28	31	44	33	40	42	30
Reduce service levels	15	8	11	19	19	11	8	22	15	9	9	28	12
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE SI3AK2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN SI3AK1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

Climate action (for example, reducing emissions and preparing for climate impacts)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	54	65	58	50	58	50	66	53	42	67	47	31	63
No	46	35	42	50	42	50	34	47	58	33	53	69	37
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	708	162	123	420	293	353	231	204	227	32	91	129	475
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	708	166	130	409	210	436	175	280	218	22	61	138	494

#### TABLE SI3AL1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

Environmental protection and sustainability (for example, water testing, green network, wetland restoration)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	44	61	40	39	38	46	55	41	36	47	30	27	52
Maintain service levels	48	35	53	52	51	49	40	49	57	46	65	66	39
Reduce service levels	8	3	7	9	11	5	5	10	7	7	5	7	8
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

# TABLE SI3AL2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN SI3AL1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

Environmental protection and sustainability (for example, water testing, green network, wetland restoration)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	47	60	44	44	45	49	59	41	42	52	38	28	56
No	53	40	56	56	55	51	41	59	58	48	62	72	44
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	770	169	128	469	323	378	238	235	248	33	95	166	494
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	770	173	138	455	235	466	180	311	240	23	66	170	517

# TABLE SI3AM1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

Garbage, recycling, and organics collection

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	10	9	7	12	11	9	9	11	12	3	7	16	9
Maintain service levels	88	90	89	86	86	89	91	86	85	97	93	83	88
Reduce service levels	2	1	4	2	2	2	1	3	3	0	0	2	3
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE SI3AM2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN SI3AM1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

Garbage, recycling, and organics collection

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	26	26	27	26	26	26	30	21	25	30	18	28	27
No	74	74	73	74	74	74	70	79	75	70	82	72	73
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	817	173	132	508	356	387	250	255	259	35	100	176	526
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	819	177	144	494	262	483	187	336	254	24	69	181	552

# TABLE SI3AN1:

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

Urban forestry (street and park tree planting and maintenance)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Increase service levels	33	46	31	30	38	28	42	29	30	32	33	21	38
Maintain service levels	53	49	61	53	46	60	50	56	53	61	53	56	53
Reduce service levels	13	5	8	17	16	12	8	15	17	7	14	23	9
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

#### TABLE SI3AN2:

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN SI3AN1] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

Urban forestry (street and park tree planting and maintenance)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	41	58	37	36	47	34	53	35	36	38	37	34	44
No	59	42	63	64	53	66	47	65	64	62	63	66	56
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	724	166	126	428	306	350	231	224	221	33	86	137	489
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	732	170	135	423	232	432	177	299	218	23	60	146	513

# TABLE SI3A1-AN1 TOP 2 BOX SUMMARY

For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase the level of service, maintain the level of service, or reduce the level of service.

# % INCREASE/MAINTAIN LEVEL

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Emergency management (for example, preparedness, coordination of municipal emergency response, and recovery)	99	99	100	98	98	100	100	97	99	100	100	98	99
Fire Services	98	98	100	98	97	99	98	98	98	100	100	98	98
Winter maintenance (for example, snow and ice control)	98	97	98	98	97	99	100	95	99	100	99	99	97
Garbage, recycling, and organics collection	98	99	96	98	98	98	99	97	97	100	100	98	97
Overall transit service	97	99	98	95	95	98	98	96	95	100	99	95	97
Sidewalks/pedestrian facilities	96	98	98	94	93	98	97	94	96	100	100	94	95
Public transit – conventional bus	96	99	98	95	96	97	98	95	95	100	99	95	96
Public transit – ferry	96	99	99	94	94	97	100	93	96	93	98	94	96
Street/road maintenance	96	97	95	96	94	98	95	95	98	100	98	100	95
Sidewalk maintenance	96	99	96	95	94	98	97	96	95	100	100	96	95

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

Cleanliness (for example, litter and graffiti removal)	95	96	93	95	97	94	93	96	95	100	95	94	95
Parks and greenspaces	95	99	96	94	93	97	96	95	94	100	93	90	97
Indoor recreation facilities (for example, community centres, pools)	95	97	94	95	96	95	98	94	95	100	99	90	97
Public transit – Access-A-Bus	95	96	96	95	92	98	92	95	97	100	100	96	94
Playgrounds	94	96	94	93	94	94	95	92	92	100	96	91	94
Public washrooms	94	98	96	92	93	95	92	95	94	100	99	89	95
Outdoor recreation facilities (for example, sport fields, tennis courts, pickleball)	94	96	92	93	94	94	96	94	91	100	93	87	96
Public Engagement (for example, public consultation on municipal projects, surveys, etc.)	94	93	96	93	92	95	93	91	96	100	94	93	94
Community Standards (for example, by- law enforcement, animal services)	93	91	92	95	93	95	91	90	97	100	100	92	93
Halifax Public Libraries	93	96	96	90	88	96	96	88	92	100	97	84	95
Community planning/land use planning and approvals (for example, community planning to guide growth and change)	92	97	93	90	92	92	95	91	89	98	95	85	94
Efforts to support food security and strengthen the food system	92	96	91	91	88	96	97	88	92	92	98	94	91
Environmental protection and sustainability (for example, water testing, green network, wetland restoration)	92	97	93	91	89	95	95	90	93	93	95	93	92
Recreation programming (for example, dry-land/aquatic programs, day camps)	90	92	90	89	89	91	90	94	86	100	92	89	90
Affordability/free programming (for example, Affordable Access Transit Pass/Recreation Funding Access program/property tax reduction program)	90	96	91	88	86	94	91	85	93	100	98	87	90
Accessibility programming (for example, physical/intellectual/emotional access to facilities, services and programs such as inclusion support)	89	94	95	87	84	94	90	86	91	96	100	83	90
Efforts to address homelessness/Supporting people who are unhoused	87	90	90	84	83	89	93	83	86	69	93	84	86
Urban forestry (street and park tree planting and maintenance)	87	95	92	83	84	88	92	85	83	93	86	77	91
Traffic management/balancing how street space is assigned to different modes (for example, personal vehicles, transit, walking/rolling, cycling)	86	95	90	82	86	87	96	85	79	90	97	79	86
Road safety (for example, traffic calming, education, crosswalks, signals, and signage for pedestrian, cycling, rolling, vehicles)	86	92	93	82	82	90	92	82	85	92	94	76	88
Business support services (for example, zoning regulations, building permits)	85	88	86	84	85	87	82	85	86	94	93	85	83
Community beautification/streetscaping	85	93	88	81	86	84	87	84	82	98	84	81	86
Climate action (for example, reducing emissions and preparing for climate impacts)	85	92	89	81	81	89	92	78	85	91	91	72	88
Arts and cultural facilities and programs	84	91	85	81	82	85	91	81	78	95	94	71	86

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

Economic development (for example, promoting and connecting the municipality to grow and get business, workers and investment)	83	84	84	82	86	82	85	80	84	86	94	84	80
Diversity and inclusiveness programs (for example, language and culture programming, support for community events)	79	91	82	73	73	83	84	75	78	85	82	69	81
Police Services	78	63	76	84	80	80	58	78	94	100	88	93	71
Civic events (for example, Canada Day, Natal Day, event grants)	75	74	66	77	81	72	72	74	77	90	92	73	72
Parking availability (paid parking/permit parking/on-street parking)	76	67	72	80	69	83	62	79	84	91	76	89	71
Bike lanes/cycling facilities	65	85	75	56	64	65	86	60	51	66	66	48	71

# TABLE SI3A2-AN2 TOP 2 BOX SUMMARY

[ASK IF 'INCREASE' OR 'MAINTAIN' IN S13] For each of the following service areas, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay additional tax to improve the service.

# % YES

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Efforts to address homelessness/Supporting people who are unhoused	56	68	54	52	58	54	64	56	49	58	55	39	62
Bike lanes/cycling facilities	55	68	55	49	67	42	66	53	44	36	56	43	57
Overall transit service	55	71	64	47	58	52	74	48	43	56	62	36	60
Public transit – conventional bus	54	70	65	46	56	52	73	50	42	51	64	33	60
Climate action (for example, reducing emissions and preparing for climate mpacts)	54	65	58	50	58	50	66	53	42	67	47	31	63
Efforts to support food security and strengthen the food system	52	66	52	48	52	52	68	49	43	39	56	34	58
Traffic management/balancing how street space is assigned to different modes (for example, personal vehicles, transit, walking/rolling, cycling)	51	61	56	46	60	42	64	52	37	44	57	29	57
Emergency management (for example, preparedness, coordination of municipal emergency response, and recovery)	49	56	50	46	49	48	55	44	49	42	56	45	49
Environmental protection and sustainability (for example, water testing, green network, wetland restoration)	47	60	44	44	45	49	59	41	42	52	38	28	56
Halifax Public Libraries	46	68	45	39	44	46	61	41	37	51	38	35	51
Road safety (for example, traffic calming, education, crosswalks, signals, and signage for pedestrian, cycling, rolling, vehicles)	46	60	47	41	53	38	57	46	32	52	49	30	50
Fire Services	45	51	43	44	40	48	45	43	47	47	49	39	46
Sidewalks/pedestrian facilities	45	61	53	37	50	39	64	40	31	48	53	33	47
Parks and greenspaces	43	60	43	38	46	40	58	38	35	50	40	27	49
Indoor recreation facilities (for example, community centres, pools)	42	47	47	39	46	38	45	43	38	42	36	31	46
Public transit – ferry	42	58	45	35	46	37	56	37	32	42	43	22	48
Affordability/free programming (for example, Affordable Access Transit Pass/Recreation Funding Access orogram/property tax reduction program)	41	50	42	38	44	38	54	42	31	31	43	24	47

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

Urban forestry (street and park tree planting and maintenance)	41	58	37	36	47	34	53	35	36	38	37	34	44
Street/road maintenance	40	36	47	40	46	36	44	37	38	57	53	36	39
Public transit – Access-A-Bus	39	54	39	35	41	37	52	38	31	35	40	20	46
Accessibility programming (for example, physical/intellectual/emotional access to facilities, services and programs such as inclusion support)	37	53	42	30	32	39	49	38	28	31	31	23	43
Public washrooms	37	47	39	33	42	32	45	36	30	44	28	24	43
Outdoor recreation facilities (for example, sport fields, tennis courts, pickleball)	37	44	36	36	43	33	41	37	36	32	27	30	42
Winter maintenance (for example, snow and ice control)	37	43	43	33	36	37	44	36	30	47	50	28	36
Police Services	35	31	29	38	38	34	16	33	45	56	36	40	33
Community planning/land use planning and approvals (for example, community planning to guide growth and change)	34	43	42	29	42	27	47	32	26	31	39	25	37
Community beautification/streetscaping	34	42	33	32	45	25	49	26	27	45	33	23	39
Sidewalk maintenance	34	42	43	30	37	31	45	31	25	51	46	22	36
Arts and cultural facilities and programs	31	52	31	24	30	29	44	24	24	34	26	26	34
Cleanliness (for example, litter and graffiti removal)	31	37	28	31	39	24	41	25	31	23	34	26	33
Diversity and inclusiveness programs (for example, language and culture programming, support for community events)	31	39	26	29	26	31	41	33	20	34	21	20	36
Recreation programming (for example, dry-land/aquatic programs, day camps)	30	36	28	28	31	28	29	33	27	29	20	22	35
Playgrounds	28	42	30	23	31	24	31	26	27	27	21	26	30
Community Standards (for example, by- law enforcement, animal services)	27	25	25	28	31	24	29	23	28	38	27	24	28
Garbage, recycling, and organics collection	26	26	27	26	26	26	30	21	25	30	18	28	27
Economic development (for example, promoting and connecting the municipality to grow and get business, workers and investment)	20	29	16	17	25	14	20	22	17	27	14	18	22
Public Engagement (for example, public consultation on municipal projects, surveys, etc.)	20	30	23	15	21	16	25	19	15	25	20	14	22
Business support services (for example, zoning regulations, building permits)	17	23	20	14	24	11	25	14	13	19	26	13	17
Parking availability (paid parking/permit parking/on-street parking)	17	17	19	16	16	18	15	17	15	43	20	14	17
Civic events (for example, Canada Day, Natal Day, event grants)	15	19	15	14	18	12	19	9	17	18	13	18	15

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

# TABLE CP1A:

Please rate the various elements of Council's priorities in terms of their importance to you.

# Reducing barriers for business

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very important	22	24	19	21	26	19	24	22	20	22	29	23	20
Important	45	31	56	46	44	47	38	46	49	54	39	46	45
Not very important	27	36	18	26	21	29	28	26	26	25	30	23	27
Not at all important	7	9	7	6	8	5	10	6	6	0	2	8	7
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563
% VERY IMPORTANT/IMPORTANT	66	55	75	68	71	66	62	68	69	75	68	69	65
% NOT VERY/NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	34	45	25	32	29	34	38	32	31	25	32	31	35

# TABLE CP1B:

Please rate the various elements of Council's priorities in terms of their importance to you.

# Supporting local economies

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very important	35	40	33	34	32	39	45	32	31	29	50	35	32
Important	54	53	56	53	57	51	46	58	56	49	40	55	56
Not very important	9	4	11	10	9	9	9	7	10	21	10	7	10
Not at all important	2	2	0	2	3	1	0	3	3	0	0	3	2
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563
% VERY IMPORTANT/IMPORTANT	89	94	88	87	88	90	91	90	87	79	90	90	88
% NOT VERY/NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	11	6	12	13	12	10	9	10	13	21	10	10	12

# TABLE CP1C:

Please rate the various elements of Council's priorities in terms of their importance to you.

# Promoting Halifax globally

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very important	12	15	13	10	19	6	15	13	9	3	11	16	11
Important	29	24	26	31	27	32	23	25	38	29	43	28	26
Not very important	37	32	39	38	32	41	35	36	38	45	37	35	38
Not at all important	23	29	21	21	22	21	27	26	15	23	9	22	25
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563
% VERY IMPORTANT/IMPORTANT	40	39	39	41	46	38	38	38	48	32	54	44	37
% NOT VERY/NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	60	61	61	59	54	62	62	62	52	68	46	56	63

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE CP1D:

Please rate the various elements of Council's priorities in terms of their importance to you.

Directing housing growth to strategic areas across the region

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very important	46	57	48	42	45	47	59	42	39	48	56	37	47
Important	42	35	47	44	42	44	33	47	45	40	39	43	43
Not very important	9	6	5	11	10	8	8	8	10	12	5	16	8
Not at all important	3	2	0	3	3	2	0	3	5	0	1	4	2
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563
% VERY IMPORTANT/IMPORTANT	88	92	95	86	86	91	92	89	85	88	94	80	90
% NOT VERY/NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	12	8	5	14	14	9	8	11	15	12	6	20	10

# TABLE CP1E:

Please rate the various elements of Council's priorities in terms of their importance to you.

Directing employment growth to strategic areas across the region

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very important	26	27	25	25	25	28	29	23	26	28	42	18	25
Important	50	53	57	48	52	51	51	52	49	47	46	53	51
Not very important	20	16	16	22	18	19	13	22	20	25	12	22	20
Not at all important	4	3	2	5	6	3	6	3	5	0	0	7	4
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563
% VERY IMPORTANT/IMPORTANT	76	81	82	73	76	78	80	75	75	75	88	70	76
% NOT VERY/NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	24	19	18	27	24	22	20	25	25	25	12	30	24

# TABLE CP1F:

Please rate the various elements of Council's priorities in terms of their importance to you.

Working with partners to improve housing affordability

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very important	64	75	73	57	55	71	80	60	54	51	80	52	64
Important	29	21	26	33	35	23	17	30	39	27	17	37	29
Not very important	5	3	2	7	6	4	1	7	5	23	4	8	5
Not at all important	2	1	0	3	4	1	1	3	3	0	0	2	3
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563
% VERY IMPORTANT/IMPORTANT	93	96	98	90	90	95	98	90	93	77	96	90	93
% NOT VERY/NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	7	4	2	10	10	5	2	10	7	23	4	10	7

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE CP1G:

Please rate the various elements of Council's priorities in terms of their importance to you.

# Addressing the negative impacts of homelessness

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very important	57	70	64	51	50	64	72	56	49	37	68	48	58
Important	32	24	32	36	36	28	22	31	41	40	26	40	31
Not very important	7	5	3	9	9	6	5	8	8	8	2	10	7
Not at all important	3	1	1	4	5	2	1	4	2	15	3	1	4
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563
% VERY IMPORTANT/IMPORTANT	90	94	96	86	86	92	94	88	90	77	95	89	89
% NOT VERY/NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	10	6	4	14	14	8	6	12	10	23	5	11	11

# TABLE CP1H:

Please rate the various elements of Council's priorities in terms of their importance to you.

# Attracting, retaining and developing labour/workers

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very important	32	36	33	30	34	31	40	29	28	42	41	31	31
Important	49	46	53	50	46	52	42	48	57	50	50	50	49
Not very important	15	14	13	16	15	14	16	18	11	4	8	14	16
Not at all important	3	3	0	4	4	2	1	5	4	3	1	5	3
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563
% VERY IMPORTANT/IMPORTANT	81	82	86	80	80	84	83	77	84	92	91	81	80
% NOT VERY/NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	19	18	14	20	20	16	17	23	16	8	9	19	20

# TABLE CP1I:

Please rate the various elements of Council's priorities in terms of their importance to you.

# Providing access to affordable programs and services

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very important	33	49	38	27	26	39	43	36	24	25	37	25	36
Important	52	41	51	56	55	51	47	48	61	55	53	54	51
Not very important	11	8	11	13	14	8	7	14	13	19	10	16	10
Not at all important	3	3	0	4	4	2	4	3	3	0	0	4	3
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563
% VERY IMPORTANT/IMPORTANT	86	89	89	83	81	90	90	83	84	81	90	80	87
% NOT VERY/NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	14	11	11	17	19	10	10	17	16	19	10	20	13

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE CP1J:

Please rate the various elements of Council's priorities in terms of their importance to you.

Fostering partnerships to support affordable food options

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		ı	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very important	42	62	47	33	34	47	60	42	28	24	56	32	42
Important	42	29	38	48	43	44	30	40	56	42	35	48	42
Not very important	11	7	11	13	16	7	6	12	12	34	8	14	11
Not at all important	5	2	4	6	7	2	4	7	4	0	1	6	5
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563
% VERY IMPORTANT/IMPORTANT	84	91	85	81	77	90	90	81	85	66	91	80	84
% NOT VERY/NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	16	9	15	19	23	10	10	19	15	34	9	20	16

# TABLE CP1K:

Please rate the various elements of Council's priorities in terms of their importance to you.

Collaborate with the community to remove barriers to equity, access and inclusion

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very important	30	45	30	25	23	34	39	32	21	32	27	22	33
Important	44	41	52	42	45	44	45	39	47	41	53	40	43
Not very important	17	10	15	20	20	16	10	16	24	25	16	22	15
Not at all important	9	4	3	13	13	5	6	13	8	2	3	16	8
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563
% VERY IMPORTANT/IMPORTANT	74	86	82	68	67	78	84	71	68	73	80	62	77
% NOT VERY/NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	26	14	18	32	33	22	16	29	32	27	20	38	23

# TABLE CP1L:

Please rate the various elements of Council's priorities in terms of their importance to you.

Providing opportunities for recreation

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very important	31	37	36	27	30	32	36	32	27	19	21	23	35
Important	51	46	50	54	52	50	45	52	55	64	50	50	52
Not very important	15	16	13	16	15	16	18	13	15	17	29	22	10
Not at all important	3	1	2	3	3	2	2	3	3	0	0	5	2
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563
% VERY IMPORTANT/IMPORTANT	82	83	86	81	82	82	80	84	82	83	71	73	88
% NOT VERY/NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	18	17	14	19	18	18	20	16	18	17	29	27	12

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE CP1M:

Please rate the various elements of Council's priorities in terms of their importance to you.

Providing spaces and resources to support community safety

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very important	27	43	30	21	23	30	35	24	25	20	26	27	28
Important	57	45	61	59	55	60	54	59	58	58	61	57	56
Not very important	13	10	8	16	18	10	10	13	15	22	13	14	13
Not at all important	3	2	1	4	4	0	1	5	2	0	0	2	3
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563
% VERY IMPORTANT/IMPORTANT	84	88	92	81	78	90	89	82	83	78	87	84	84
% NOT VERY/NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	16	12	8	19	22	10	11	18	17	22	13	16	16

# TABLE CP1N:

Please rate the various elements of Council's priorities in terms of their importance to you.

Investing in proactive community safety services (for example, emergency planning, education, inspections)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very important	29	40	31	25	26	30	31	29	28	32	23	26	31
Important	59	49	57	63	59	60	59	58	59	58	69	60	57
Not very important	11	9	12	12	14	9	9	13	12	10	8	13	11
Not at all important	1	2	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	1
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563
% VERY IMPORTANT/IMPORTANT	88	89	88	87	85	90	90	87	87	90	92	86	88
% NOT VERY/NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	12	11	12	13	15	10	10	13	13	10	8	14	12

# TABLE CP10:

Please rate the various elements of Council's priorities in terms of their importance to you.

Investing in responsive community safety services (for example, fire and police response)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very important	37	35	29	39	36	40	25	38	47	34	44	48	31
Important	54	52	60	53	56	50	60	53	47	59	46	48	57
Not very important	8	11	9	7	7	8	13	7	5	7	8	3	10
Not at all important	2	2	1	2	1	2	2	3	1	0	2	0	2
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563
% VERY IMPORTANT/IMPORTANT	90	87	89	92	92	91	85	91	94	93	91	96	88
% NOT VERY/NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	10	13	11	8	8	9	15	9	6	7	9	4	12

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE CP1P:

Please rate the various elements of Council's priorities in terms of their importance to you.

Ensuring the transportation network allows easy movement around the region

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very important	67	79	71	61	63	69	80	69	55	52	78	56	68
Important	29	18	28	33	31	29	18	27	40	33	20	39	27
Not very important	3	2	1	4	4	3	2	3	4	7	2	4	3
Not at all important	1	1	0	2	2	0	0	1	1	8	0	1	2
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563
% VERY IMPORTANT/IMPORTANT	96	97	99	94	94	97	98	96	95	85	98	95	95
% NOT VERY/NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	4	3	1	6	6	3	2	4	5	15	2	5	5

# TABLE CP1Q:

Please rate the various elements of Council's priorities in terms of their importance to you.

Ensuring municipal infrastructure is well maintained

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very important	53	59	52	51	54	51	63	51	44	57	75	37	53
Important	45	40	46	47	44	47	36	47	53	43	22	60	45
Not very important	1	1	2	1	0	2	1	1	2	0	2	2	1
Not at all important	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563
% VERY IMPORTANT/IMPORTANT	98	98	98	98	98	98	99	98	97	100	98	97	98
% NOT VERY/NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	3	0	2	3	2

# TABLE CP1R:

Please rate the various elements of Council's priorities in terms of their importance to you.

Providing safe, barrier-free transportation options

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very important	51	66	59	43	45	53	72	48	35	33	70	36	52
Important	36	25	33	40	34	39	22	35	48	40	25	44	35
Not very important	12	8	7	14	17	7	6	13	15	27	5	18	11
Not at all important	2	2	0	3	4	1	0	4	2	0	0	3	2
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563
% VERY IMPORTANT/IMPORTANT	86	91	92	83	79	92	94	83	83	73	95	79	87
% NOT VERY/NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	14	9	8	17	21	8	6	17	17	27	5	21	13

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE CP1S:

Please rate the various elements of Council's priorities in terms of their importance to you.

Achieving net zero emissions for municipal operations

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very important	28	42	30	21	26	27	42	22	20	28	32	13	32
Important	36	36	32	38	33	41	36	33	39	40	34	32	38
Not very important	24	13	31	26	24	25	16	25	29	32	30	34	19
Not at all important	12	9	7	14	17	7	6	20	12	0	4	21	11
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563
% VERY IMPORTANT/IMPORTANT	64	78	62	60	59	68	78	55	59	68	66	45	70
% NOT VERY/NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	36	22	38	40	41	32	22	45	41	32	34	55	30

# TABLE CP1T:

Please rate the various elements of Council's priorities in terms of their importance to you.

Supporting community-wide emissions reductions

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very important	32	48	36	26	29	33	50	26	23	28	33	17	38
Important	39	34	34	42	38	42	32	39	45	44	35	34	42
Not very important	18	11	23	19	18	18	13	17	22	28	27	28	12
Not at all important	10	6	7	13	15	6	5	17	11	0	5	20	8
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563
% VERY IMPORTANT/IMPORTANT	72	83	70	68	67	76	82	66	68	72	68	51	79
% NOT VERY/NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	28	17	30	32	33	24	18	34	32	28	32	49	21

# TABLE CP1U:

Please rate the various elements of Council's priorities in terms of their importance to you.

Preparing to withstand and recover from climate impacts

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very important	49	68	54	41	48	49	67	45	39	38	48	32	56
Important	35	24	30	39	32	39	23	35	44	45	26	47	32
Not very important	10	3	14	11	10	9	9	10	8	17	24	9	7
Not at all important	7	5	2	9	10	3	1	11	9	0	2	12	6
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563
% VERY IMPORTANT/IMPORTANT	84	92	84	81	80	88	90	79	83	83	74	79	88
% NOT VERY/NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	16	8	16	19	20	12	10	21	17	17	26	21	12

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

# TABLE CP1V:

Please rate the various elements of Council's priorities in terms of their importance to you.

# Protecting our ecosystems

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very important	50	70	50	43	43	54	61	49	41	39	45	40	54
Important	40	26	43	45	43	39	28	42	49	52	42	51	37
Not very important	7	3	6	9	11	5	10	4	7	9	13	7	6
Not at all important	2	1	1	3	3	1	0	5	2	0	0	2	3
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563
% VERY IMPORTANT/IMPORTANT	90	95	93	88	86	94	89	91	90	91	87	91	91
% NOT VERY/NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	10	5	7	12	14	6	11	9	10	9	13	9	9

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

# TABLE CP1A-V TOP 2 BOX SUMMARY

Please rate the various elements of Council's priorities in terms of their importance to you. \\

# % VERY IMPORTANT/IMPORTANT

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Ensuring municipal infrastructure is well maintained	98	98	98	98	98	98	99	98	97	100	98	97	98
Ensuring the transportation network allows easy movement around the region	96	97	99	94	94	97	98	96	95	85	98	95	95
Working with partners to improve housing affordability	93	96	98	90	90	95	98	90	93	77	96	90	93
Addressing the negative impacts of homelessness	90	94	96	86	86	92	94	88	90	77	95	89	89
Protecting our ecosystems	90	95	93	88	86	94	89	91	90	91	87	91	91
Investing in responsive community safety services (for example, fire and police response)	90	87	89	92	92	91	85	91	94	93	91	96	88
Supporting local economies	89	94	88	87	88	90	91	90	87	79	90	90	88
Directing housing growth to strategic areas across the region	88	92	95	86	86	91	92	89	85	88	94	80	90
Investing in proactive community safety services (for example, emergency planning, education, inspections)	88	89	88	87	85	90	90	87	87	90	92	86	88
Providing access to affordable programs and services	86	89	89	83	81	90	90	83	84	81	90	80	87
Providing safe, barrier-free transportation options	86	91	92	83	79	92	94	83	83	73	95	79	87
Fostering partnerships to support affordable food options	84	91	85	81	77	90	90	81	85	66	91	80	84
Providing spaces and resources to support community safety	84	88	92	81	78	90	89	82	83	78	87	84	84
Preparing to withstand and recover from climate impacts	84	92	84	81	80	88	90	79	83	83	74	79	88
Providing opportunities for recreation	82	83	86	81	82	82	80	84	82	83	71	73	88
Attracting, retaining and developing labour/workers	81	82	86	80	80	84	83	77	84	92	91	81	80
Directing employment growth to strategic areas across the region	76	81	82	73	76	78	80	75	75	75	88	70	76
Collaborate with the community to remove barriers to equity, access and inclusion	74	86	82	68	67	78	84	71	68	73	80	62	77
Supporting community-wide emissions reductions	72	83	70	68	67	76	82	66	68	72	68	51	79
Reducing barriers for business	66	55	75	68	71	66	62	68	69	75	68	69	65
Achieving net zero emissions for municipal operations	64	78	62	60	59	68	78	55	59	68	66	45	70
Promoting Halifax globally	40	39	39	41	46	38	38	38	48	32	54	44	37

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE CP2 FIRST MENTION:

The municipality spends a portion of its annual budget on infrastructure to meet both growth requirements and community expectations. Please select what you believe are the five most important infrastructure projects for the municipality to invest in over the next five years, placing a 1 beside the single most important, a 2 beside the second most important, and so forth.

infrastructure projects for the municipality to		iext fiv		lacing a 1 beside th S IN HRM		most impo	rtant, a 2	beside th		most ii		o forth. EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Housing – additional support/tools to support creation of affordable and deeply affordable housing	36	40	41	33	32	39	51	29	29	36	56	32	33
Climate change mitigation and protection (for example, mitigating flooding, emergency planning, protecting critical infrastructure)	9	7	10	10	5	12	6	8	13	9	12	3	11
Transit - more buses on existing routes	7	11	8	6	9	5	16	5	3	3	5	3	9
Emergency egresses from neighbourhoods/communities (additional exits from neighbourhoods)	7	4	5	8	5	9	0	8	9	14	8	9	6
Road widening – to provide additional capacity for all modes of transportation	6	4	6	7	8	4	4	7	8	0	6	8	5
Access to municipal water	5	3	6	6	7	4	2	7	7	0	3	10	4
Streets/roads - maintenance (for example, fill potholes, patching, crack sealing)	5	3	2	6	6	3	5	5	5	0	4	8	4
Transit - more buses so that service can be expanded to new areas	3	7	4	2	3	4	4	4	3	5	2	3	4
Bikeways – new/repaired (includes local street bikeways, multi-use pathways)	3	3	5	3	3	3	3	3	4	0	0	3	4
Fire stations – new/repaired	2	0	0	3	2	1	0	2	3	0	2	5	1
Road safety improvements (includes traffic calming, pedestrian safety)	2	2	3	1	2	2	1	2	1	8	1	1	2
Outdoor recreation facilities (for example, playgrounds, skate parks, sport fields, courts, outdoor pools and splash pads) - new/repaired	1	0	1	2	2	1	0	1	3	0	0	2	2
Food – additional community gardens/space and infrastructure for growing food, markets, food services and programs, etc.	1	1	1	1	0	2	1	2	1	0	0	2	1
Parkland acquisition (acquire and preserve parkland)	1	1	1	1	2	1	0	2	1	7	0	1	2
Indoor recreation facilities/community centres – new/repaired	1	1	0	2	0	2	0	3	1	0	0	2	1
Accessibility improvements to municipal facilities	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	2	1	3	0	1	1
Transit facilities - improved/additional transit terminals, bus stops, Park & Rides	1	2	2	1	2	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	1
Fire Apparatus (for example, fire trucks/aerial trucks, tankers)	1	3	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1
Ferry - expand the harbour ferry service	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	2	0	0	1
Urban parks and green network	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	2	0
Sidewalks – new/repaired	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1
Arts and cultural facilities	1	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	1
Libraries - new/refurbished community branches	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	3	2	0	1
Public washrooms	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

Technology - to improve and support service delivery / municipal operations, customer service, and accessibility (for example, online services, mobile services, etc.)	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Energy efficiency - making existing municipal facilities and buildings more energy efficient (may result in long term savings)	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Arenas/ice surfaces	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Walkways/trails – new/repaired	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Municipal vehicles – making municipal fleet more environmentally friendly	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wastewater treatment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	1	1	0	2	2	1	0	3	1	3	0	1	2
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

# TABLE CP2 TOTAL MENTIONS:

The municipality spends a portion of its annual budget on infrastructure to meet both growth requirements and community expectations. Please select what you believe are the five most important infrastructure projects for the municipality to invest in over the next five years, placing a 1 beside the single most important, a 2 beside the second most important, and so forth.

infrastructure projects for the municipality to				IN HRM		NDER		AG				DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Housing – additional support/tools to support creation of affordable and deeply affordable housing	65	70	74	61	59	70	75	63	60	60	78	61	65
Climate change mitigation and protection (for example, mitigating flooding, emergency planning, protecting critical infrastructure)	38	43	35	38	35	42	44	33	40	28	42	22	43
Transit - more buses so that service can be expanded to new areas	34	42	44	29	30	36	45	39	21	30	40	31	34
Transit - more buses on existing routes	33	53	38	25	34	31	55	32	17	13	34	22	37
Streets/roads - maintenance (for example, fill potholes, patching, crack sealing)	31	19	26	36	31	33	22	35	36	36	37	45	25
Food – additional community gardens/space and infrastructure for growing food, markets, food services and programs, etc.	26	33	25	23	21	30	33	23	24	10	38	31	22
Emergency egresses from neighbourhoods/communities (additional exits from neighbourhoods)	25	14	20	31	21	30	10	27	37	34	31	36	21
Road widening – to provide additional capacity for all modes of transportation	24	16	24	26	30	21	21	25	28	2	10	38	21
Bikeways – new/repaired (includes local street bikeways, multi-use pathways)	18	28	18	16	23	14	30	16	12	7	19	10	21
Road safety improvements (includes traffic calming, pedestrian safety)	17	14	20	18	17	18	17	15	18	24	16	17	17
Transit facilities - improved/additional transit terminals, bus stops, Park & Rides	15	16	23	13	22	10	24	15	8	15	26	11	15
Access to municipal water	14	6	12	18	17	13	7	16	19	13	9	20	13
Ferry - expand the harbour ferry service	14	16	17	12	17	11	17	14	13	2	15	8	16
Fire Apparatus (for example, fire trucks/aerial trucks, tankers)	13	6	8	17	15	13	3	11	25	26	13	26	9
Parkland acquisition (acquire and preserve parkland)	12	9	6	15	12	13	7	13	15	21	3	15	13

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

Urban parks and green network	11	14	10	10	9	12	11	10	11	17	5	7	14
Outdoor recreation facilities (for example, playgrounds, skate parks, sport fields, courts, outdoor pools and splash pads) - new/repaired	11	7	6	13	13	9	4	13	15	12	4	10	13
Libraries - new/refurbished community branches	11	11	10	10	7	13	12	8	11	16	4	7	13
Energy efficiency - making existing municipal facilities and buildings more energy efficient (may result in long term savings)	10	14	7	10	11	11	13	7	10	16	15	5	12
Fire stations – new/repaired	10	6	4	14	13	9	2	9	19	25	14	19	7
Indoor recreation facilities/community centres – new/repaired	10	7	10	11	8	12	6	11	10	25	5	9	11
Technology - to improve and support service delivery / municipal operations, customer service, and accessibility (for example, online services, mobile services, etc.)	7	8	10	6	8	6	7	9	5	6	4	6	7
Sidewalks – new/repaired	7	11	6	5	6	7	4	8	6	16	5	6	7
Public washrooms	6	8	10	5	5	7	7	5	7	13	7	2	8
Wastewater treatment	6	3	6	7	5	7	4	9	5	3	3	8	6
Walkways/trails – new/repaired	5	6	7	5	6	4	3	6	7	0	6	6	5
Arts and cultural facilities	5	8	4	4	4	4	5	3	5	8	3	2	6
Accessibility improvements to municipal facilities	4	3	5	5	3	5	4	3	6	6	3	2	5
Arenas/ice surfaces	4	2	7	3	3	4	3	5	3	0	6	4	3
Municipal vehicles – making municipal fleet more environmentally friendly	1	1	0	2	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1
Other	6	5	2	7	8	3	7	6	4	8	0	5	7
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

# TABLE CP3:

If forced to choose, which of the following would you rather see the municipality focus on with respect to spending on facilities, assets (for example, vehicles, technology, traffic and streetlights) and infrastructure (for example, roads, sidewalks, bridges)?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Focus on new facilities, assets, and infrastructure (which will increase operating costs and may result in existing infrastructure declining in condition)	24	31	26	21	32	18	30	28	17	15	26	27	23
Focus on fixing and maintaining the existing facilities, assets, and infrastructure (which may extend the useful life of existing assets but result in fewer new assets)	76	69	74	79	68	82	70	72	83	85	74	73	77
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	779	162	127	487	350	360	233	246	252	31	95	168	502
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	772	164	139	465	259	444	174	319	242	20	65	170	522

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE SQ1:

Have you interacted with or contacted the municipality over the last year (for example, called 311, contacted a municipal employee or an elected representative, used an online service, paid a fee, or used library services)?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	81	83	78	82	77	83	82	84	79	69	59	84	84
No	19	17	22	18	23	17	18	16	21	31	41	16	16
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE SQ2:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN SQ1] Over the past year, how did you interact with the municipality?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
By telephone (311/direct to staff or Councillor)	63	55	65	65	67	60	50	72	66	67	64	68	61
Online (via halifax.ca)	51	54	61	47	56	47	58	53	42	33	52	46	53
Email	46	40	42	48	51	40	36	47	53	39	45	45	46
In-person	40	48	37	38	35	41	54	35	30	40	27	28	46
Social media (Facebook/Twitter (X)/Instagram)	24	25	25	24	21	28	32	21	21	10	34	23	23
Mail	6	7	9	5	3	7	8	5	3	5	9	1	7
Other	3	3	4	2	1	4	3	2	2	4	1	2	4
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	677	145	108	423	278	330	205	219	210	25	59	150	454
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	703	153	125	423	206	429	161	292	213	19	51	156	480

# TABLE SQ3:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN SQ1] Generally speaking, how satisfied were you with your interaction(s)?

			YEARS	S IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	24	31	26	22	26	25	23	27	23	26	18	20	27
Satisfied	59	49	63	61	57	61	65	55	60	47	67	60	58
Dissatisfied	12	16	9	12	12	11	9	11	15	23	13	14	11
Very dissatisfied	4	4	2	5	4	3	2	6	3	5	1	5	4
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	677	145	108	423	278	330	205	219	210	25	59	150	454
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	703	153	125	423	206	429	161	292	213	19	51	156	480
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	83	81	89	83	83	86	89	82	82	73	85	81	84
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	17	19	11	17	17	14	11	18	18	27	15	19	16

#### TABLE SQ4A:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN SQ1] Thinking generally about your interaction(s) with the municipality in the past 12 months that involved interacting with a person, please indicate your opinion in the following areas:

# Municipal staff were knowledgeable

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Έ		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	30	40	26	28	32	30	35	29	26	38	31	22	32
Agree	57	48	59	60	55	59	57	53	64	34	57	67	54
Disagree	9	10	13	8	10	8	6	12	7	22	11	5	10
Strongly disagree	4	2	3	5	3	4	1	6	4	5	0	6	4
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	629	135	105	388	270	296	195	206	189	24	55	138	425
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	650	142	121	385	197	390	154	271	192	18	49	144	444
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	87	88	84	87	87	89	93	82	90	73	89	89	86
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	13	12	16	13	13	11	7	18	10	27	11	11	14

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

# TABLE SQ4B:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN SQ1] Thinking generally about your interaction(s) with the municipality in the past 12 months that involved interacting with a person, please indicate your opinion in the following areas:

I was treated in a friendly, courteous and attentive manner

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	40	53	39	36	48	34	50	36	33	53	47	28	43
Agree	53	42	58	56	46	60	46	54	61	44	50	63	51
Disagree	4	3	3	5	4	4	2	7	3	3	3	5	4
Strongly disagree	2	2	1	3	3	2	2	3	2	0	0	3	2
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	643	135	106	401	268	310	197	210	195	25	59	138	434
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	669	146	123	398	198	406	156	277	200	19	51	148	456
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	94	95	96	92	94	95	96	90	94	97	97	92	94
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	6	5	4	8	6	5	4	10	6	3	3	8	6

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE SQ4C:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN SQ1] Thinking generally about your interaction(s) with the municipality in the past 12 months that involved interacting with a person, please indicate your opinion in the following areas:

#### I was treated fairly

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	32	43	24	31	34	33	37	33	27	32	28	25	36
Agree	59	53	69	59	56	62	59	56	64	61	69	64	57
Disagree	5	2	4	7	7	4	3	7	6	8	3	8	5
Strongly disagree	3	2	2	3	3	2	2	4	2	0	0	3	3
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	643	136	105	401	273	307	200	208	195	25	59	141	432
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	659	143	120	394	200	395	157	271	196	19	51	145	450
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	92	96	94	90	90	94	96	89	92	92	97	89	92
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	8	4	6	10	10	6	4	11	8	8	3	11	8

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE SQ4D:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN SQ1] Thinking generally about your interaction(s) with the municipality in the past 12 months that involved interacting with a person, please indicate your opinion in the following areas:

I was satisfied with the amount of time it took to get the service/complete my transaction

		YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		ı	EDUCATION	
OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
29	41	26	26	30	30	32	30	25	34	34	18	32
49	43	53	51	48	51	52	48	52	24	34	59	48
15	12	16	15	15	13	11	14	16	37	28	15	14
7	4	6	8	6	6	5	8	6	5	4	8	6
638	135	104	397	272	303	192	211	194	24	54	144	428
664	143	121	398	199	402	153	279	197	18	49	149	452
78	84	79	77	78	81	83	78	78	57	68	77	80
22	16	21	23	22	19	17	22	22	43	32	23	20
	29 49 15 7 <b>638</b> <b>664</b> 78	29 41 49 43 15 12 7 4 638 135 664 143 78 84	OVERALL %     <10     10-20       29     41     26       49     43     53       15     12     16       7     4     6       638     135     104       664     143     121       78     84     79	<10         10-20         More than 20           29         41         26         26           49         43         53         51           15         12         16         15           7         4         6         8           638         135         104         397           664         143         121         398           78         84         79         77	OVERALL %           Ho-20         More than 20         Man           29         41         26         26         30           49         43         53         51         48           15         12         16         15         15           7         4         6         8         6           638         135         104         397         272           664         143         121         398         199           78         84         79         77         78	OVERALL %           Hore than 20         Man         Woman           29         41         26         26         30         30           49         43         53         51         48         51           15         12         16         15         15         13           7         4         6         8         6         6           638         135         104         397         272         303           664         143         121         398         199         402           78         84         79         77         78         81	OVERALL %           Ho-20         More than 20         Man         Woman         18-34           29         41         26         26         30         30         32           49         43         53         51         48         51         52           15         12         16         15         15         13         11           7         4         6         8         6         6         5           638         135         104         397         272         303         192           664         143         121         398         199         402         153           78         84         79         77         78         81         83	OVERALL %           More than 20         Man         Woman         18-34         35-54           29         41         26         26         30         30         32         30           49         43         53         51         48         51         52         48           15         12         16         15         15         13         11         14           7         4         6         8         6         6         5         8           638         135         104         397         272         303         192         211           664         143         121         398         199         402         153         279           78         84         79         77         78         81         83         78	OVERALL %          10-20         More than 20         Man         Woman         18-34         35-54         55-74           29         41         26         26         30         30         32         30         25           49         43         53         51         48         51         52         48         52           15         12         16         15         15         13         11         14         16           7         4         6         8         6         6         5         8         6           638         135         104         397         272         303         192         211         194           664         143         121         398         199         402         153         279         197           78         84         79         77         78         81         83         78         78	OVERALL %           More than 20         Man         Woman         18-34         35-54         55-74         75+           29         41         26         26         30         30         32         30         25         34           49         43         53         51         48         51         52         48         52         24           15         12         16         15         15         13         11         14         16         37           7         4         6         8         6         6         5         8         6         5           638         135         104         397         272         303         192         211         194         24           664         143         121         398         199         402         153         279         197         18           78         84         79         77         78         81         83         78         78         57	OVERALL %          10-20         More than 20         Man         Woman         18-34         35-54         55-74         75+         HS or less           29         41         26         26         30         30         32         30         25         34         34           49         43         53         51         48         51         52         48         52         24         34           15         12         16         15         15         13         11         14         16         37         28           7         4         6         8         6         6         5         8         6         5         4           638         135         104         397         272         303         192         211         194         24         54           664         143         121         398         199         402         153         279         197         18         49           78         84         79         77         78         81         83         78         78         57         68	OVERALL %          10-20         More than 20         Man         Woman         18-34         35-54         55-74         75+         HS or less         Trade-College           29         41         26         26         30         30         32         30         25         34         34         18           49         43         53         51         48         51         52         48         52         24         34         59           15         12         16         15         15         13         11         14         16         37         28         15           7         4         6         8         6         6         5         8         6         5         4         8           638         135         104         397         272         303         192         211         194         24         54         144           664         143         121         398         199         402         153         279         197         18         49         149           78         84         79         77         78         81         83         78

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

# TABLE SQ4E:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN SQ1] Thinking generally about your interaction(s) with the municipality in the past 12 months that involved interacting with a person, please indicate your opinion in the following areas:

If the person I contacted was unable to assist me, I was directed to the right person

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		ı	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	27	34	24	25	28	26	35	26	21	17	36	12	30
Agree	53	38	57	57	53	57	48	53	62	42	46	69	50
Disagree	13	17	16	11	13	11	11	13	13	35	18	12	12
Strongly disagree	7	10	4	7	7	6	6	9	5	5	0	7	7
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	477	98	83	294	200	224	144	164	142	14	41	108	318
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	501	109	93	297	147	301	118	216	144	10	39	116	334
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	80	73	80	83	81	83	84	79	83	59	82	81	80
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	20	27	20	17	19	17	16	21	17	41	18	19	20

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE SQ4F:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN SQ1] Thinking generally about your interaction(s) with the municipality in the past 12 months that involved interacting with a person, please indicate your opinion in the following areas:

I was satisfied with the outcome of my interaction

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	25	31	23	24	28	25	31	24	22	27	33	17	28
Agree	50	45	53	52	49	53	52	48	55	24	44	55	50
Disagree	16	17	18	15	13	16	12	15	16	39	20	17	15
Strongly disagree	8	7	5	10	9	7	5	12	6	9	3	12	7
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	644	138	104	400	273	306	195	212	195	25	55	144	431
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	669	147	120	401	200	404	155	280	197	19	50	150	454
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	76	76	77	76	78	78	83	73	78	51	77	71	78
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	24	24	23	24	22	22	17	27	22	49	23	29	22

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE SQ4G:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN SQ1] Thinking generally about your interaction(s) with the municipality in the past 12 months that involved interacting with a person, please indicate your opinion in the following areas:

I was able to conduct my interaction in my preferred language

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	55	60	49	55	60	53	61	56	50	62	63	48	57
Agree	43	36	51	43	38	45	37	43	48	38	37	52	41
Disagree	1	4	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	0	0	0	2
Strongly disagree	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	1
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	634	128	103	403	264	308	193	207	196	24	59	142	422
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	662	142	119	400	195	405	153	278	198	18	51	151	448
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	98	96	99	99	98	99	98	98	98	100	100	99	97
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	2	4	1	1	2	1	2	2	2	0	0	1	3

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

# TABLE SQ4A-G TOP 2 BOX SUMMARY

[ASK IF 'YES' IN SQ1] Thinking generally about your interaction(s) with the municipality in the past 12 months that involved interacting with a person, please indicate your opinion in the following

# % STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
I was able to conduct my interaction in my preferred language	98	96	99	99	98	99	98	98	98	100	100	99	97
I was treated in a friendly, courteous and attentive manner	94	95	96	92	94	95	96	90	94	97	97	92	94
I was treated fairly	92	96	94	90	90	94	96	89	92	92	97	89	92
Municipal staff were knowledgeable	87	88	84	87	87	89	93	82	90	73	89	89	86
If the person I contacted was unable to assist me, I was directed to the right person	80	73	80	83	81	83	84	79	83	59	82	81	80
I was satisfied with the amount of time it took to get the service/complete my transaction	78	84	79	77	78	81	83	78	78	57	68	77	80
I was satisfied with the outcome of my interaction	76	76	77	76	78	78	83	73	78	51	77	71	78

Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

[ASK IF 'YES' IN SQ1] If you used one of the municipality's online services over the past 12 months, please indicate your opinion in the following aspects of your transaction / interaction:

# It was easy to navigate the site

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		I	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	15	20	18	12	13	16	9	21	12	33	13	11	16
Agree	59	60	52	62	60	61	62	53	66	39	68	70	56
Disagree	20	14	25	21	21	17	23	20	19	15	15	18	22
Strongly disagree	5	6	5	5	5	5	5	6	3	13	4	1	7
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	547	128	96	322	235	258	177	180	158	17	47	118	372
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	563	130	108	323	170	339	140	233	162	12	40	118	391
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	74	80	70	73	73	78	72	74	78	72	81	82	71
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	26	20	30	27	27	22	28	26	22	28	19	18	29

Responses of 'Don't know/Not applicable' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE SQ5B:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN SQ1] If you used one of the municipality's online services over the past 12 months, please indicate your opinion in the following aspects of your transaction / interaction:

# I was able to find the information for which I was searching

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	20	28	20	16	21	20	19	26	13	28	29	16	20
Agree	62	56	61	65	65	61	66	56	67	46	66	68	60
Disagree	15	11	17	15	13	15	12	15	15	21	5	13	16
Strongly disagree	4	5	2	4	2	5	4	3	5	5	1	3	5
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	562	126	98	337	239	266	181	184	163	18	47	120	386
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	577	129	110	336	170	350	140	241	167	13	40	120	404
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	81	84	81	81	86	81	85	82	81	74	94	84	79
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	19	16	19	19	14	19	15	18	19	26	6	16	21

Responses of 'Don't know/Not applicable' have been removed from this table.

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE SQ5C:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN SQ1] If you used one of the municipality's online services over the past 12 months, please indicate your opinion in the following aspects of your transaction / interaction:

It was easy to complete my transaction/interaction

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	20	30	20	17	20	21	17	26	14	45	22	13	22
Agree	64	57	64	67	67	64	70	59	70	31	70	72	62
Disagree	12	10	15	13	11	13	10	14	12	18	7	12	13
Strongly disagree	3	3	2	4	2	3	2	1	5	5	1	3	3
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	549	124	96	328	233	259	177	179	161	16	46	113	380
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	561	129	107	323	166	338	137	232	164	11	39	115	394
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	84	87	83	84	88	85	88	85	84	76	92	85	84
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	16	13	17	16	12	15	12	15	16	24	8	15	16

Responses of 'Don't know/Not applicable' have been removed from this table.

#### TABLE SQ5D:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN SQ1] If you used one of the municipality's online services over the past 12 months, please indicate your opinion in the following aspects of your transaction / interaction:

I was satisfied with the amount of time it took to complete my transaction/interaction

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	21	34	19	16	22	20	20	25	16	41	16	17	22
Agree	64	57	64	67	67	63	65	61	70	34	66	70	63
Disagree	12	7	15	12	9	13	12	12	10	19	17	9	12
Strongly disagree	4	2	2	5	2	4	3	2	4	5	1	4	4
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	557	126	97	333	238	260	181	180	161	18	46	119	382
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	566	129	108	327	169	339	139	234	163	13	39	117	397
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	85	91	83	83	89	84	85	85	86	76	82	87	85
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	15	9	17	17	11	16	15	15	14	24	18	13	15

Responses of 'Don't know/Not applicable' have been removed from this table.

#### TABLE SQ5E:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN SQ1] If you used one of the municipality's online services over the past 12 months, please indicate your opinion in the following aspects of your transaction / interaction:

I was satisfied with the outcome of my interaction

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	23	34	18	20	26	22	27	26	16	28	27	15	25
Agree	60	51	66	61	62	59	61	60	65	12	53	69	58
Disagree	12	12	12	12	9	13	11	8	14	43	19	12	11
Strongly disagree	6	4	4	7	4	6	2	6	6	17	1	5	6
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	554	129	95	329	240	258	179	182	160	18	46	117	381
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	568	133	107	326	171	340	137	237	164	13	39	117	398
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	82	85	84	81	87	81	88	86	80	40	80	83	83
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	18	15	16	19	13	19	12	14	20	60	20	17	17

Responses of 'Don't know/Not applicable' have been removed from this table.

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE SQ5F:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN SQ1] If you used one of the municipality's online services over the past 12 months, please indicate your opinion in the following aspects of your transaction / interaction:

I would use this service online as my preferred way to do this again in the future

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	25	35	29	19	28	23	28	31	14	30	31	19	26
Agree	59	54	57	62	59	60	63	55	64	38	56	64	59
Disagree	13	9	11	15	11	15	8	10	18	27	11	16	12
Strongly disagree	3	2	2	4	2	2	1	3	4	5	2	2	3
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	530	126	93	310	234	244	171	176	152	16	46	112	362
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	546	128	105	311	165	328	131	230	158	12	39	113	381
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	84	89	86	81	87	83	91	87	78	68	87	82	85
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	16	11	14	19	13	17	9	13	22	32	13	18	15

Responses of 'Don't know/Not applicable' have been removed from this table.

#### TABLE SQ5A-F TOP 2 BOX SUMMARY

[ASK IF 'YES' IN SQ1] If you used one of the municipality's online services over the past 12 months, please indicate your opinion in the following aspects of your transaction / interaction:

# % STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E			EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
I was satisfied with the amount of time it took to complete my transaction/interaction	85	91	83	83	89	84	85	85	86	76	82	87	85
It was easy to complete my transaction/interaction	84	87	83	84	88	85	88	85	84	76	92	85	84
I would use this service online as my preferred way to do this again in the future	84	89	86	81	87	83	91	87	78	68	87	82	85
I was satisfied with the outcome of my interaction	82	85	84	81	87	81	88	86	80	40	80	83	83
I was able to find the information for which I was searching	81	84	81	81	86	81	85	82	81	74	94	84	79
It was easy to navigate the site	74	80	70	73	73	78	72	74	78	72	81	82	71

Responses of 'Don't know/Not applicable' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE D1:

How many years have you lived in the Halifax region? (Please include years prior to amalgamation)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Relocated this year	2	7	0	0	2	1	2	2	1	0	1	1	2
1 - 4 years	9	45	0	0	9	9	19	7	4	8	2	6	12
5 - 9 years	10	48	0	0	9	11	18	10	3	6	7	6	12
10 – 14 years	9	0	55	0	10	7	12	15	2	3	6	7	10
15 - 20 years	8	0	45	0	6	9	7	11	6	2	15	5	7
More than 20 years	62	0	0	100	64	63	42	56	84	81	69	76	56
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	830	175	138	518	361	396	251	261	265	35	100	178	537
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	830	181	149	500	266	491	189	343	257	24	70	183	560

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE D2:

# How old are you?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ			EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
18 – 24	11	16	11	10	12	11	36	0	0	0	37	5	9
25 – 34	20	41	23	11	19	18	64	0	0	0	11	10	25
35 – 44	16	19	33	11	16	16	0	51	0	0	9	17	17
45 - 54	16	9	16	18	15	15	0	49	0	0	5	17	18
55 – 64	17	10	9	21	17	17	0	0	52	0	9	28	14
65 - 74	16	2	7	23	15	18	0	0	48	0	22	23	12
75+	4	3	1	6	4	5	0	0	0	100	6	1	5
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	816	173	135	506	362	390	251	263	267	35	99	178	530
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	816	179	146	488	266	486	189	344	259	24	69	183	553

Responses of 'Prefer not to say' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE D3:

# What is your gender?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Man	46	42	46	47	100	0	46	47	46	47	36	53	46
Woman	50	50	49	51	0	100	46	50	53	53	61	42	50
Non-Binary	3	7	5	1	0	0	7	2	1	0	2	3	3
Another gender not listed here	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	2	1
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	791	167	129	493	364	396	246	247	256	34	98	169	515
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	791	173	140	475	268	492	184	328	248	23	68	174	538

Responses of 'Prefer not to say/Not sure' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE D4:

# What is your current employment status?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Employed full time	57	72	65	50	63	51	69	83	28	0	22	52	65
Employed part time	8	7	8	9	5	9	12	4	9	4	18	9	6
Unemployed and currently looking for work	2	5	1	1	2	2	2	3	1	0	2	2	2
Unemployed and not currently looking for work	1	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	1
Student	8	10	11	6	7	8	24	1	0	0	26	1	7
Retired	23	7	10	32	21	27	0	0	57	96	32	29	18
Homemaker/caregiver	2	2	2	2	0	3	1	2	2	0	3	2	1
Self-employed	6	5	5	7	9	4	5	8	7	4	7	6	6
Unable to work	2	0	0	2	0	3	0	3	2	0	1	3	1
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	817	170	136	508	360	393	251	260	264	35	100	178	529
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	815	176	147	489	264	487	189	342	254	24	70	183	551

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE D5:

# What is the highest level of education you have completed?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Less than high school completion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Secondary (high) school diploma or equivalency certificate	12	6	15	13	10	15	18	5	12	19	98	0	0
Apprenticeship or trades certificate or diploma	5	3	2	6	7	2	0	4	10	0	0	21	0
College, CEGEP or other non-university certificate or diploma	17	10	13	21	17	17	10	19	25	3	0	79	0
University graduate (bachelor's degree)	32	42	36	28	32	32	39	38	21	17	0	0	49
University certificate, diploma, or degree above bachelor level	34	39	33	32	33	35	31	33	32	62	0	0	51
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	819	173	136	506	361	390	251	261	260	35	100	179	540
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	817	179	146	488	265	484	189	340	253	23	70	184	563

TABLE D6:

What was your 2023 total household income, before taxes?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Less than \$30,000	5	8	5	3	3	5	9	2	5	0	11	6	3
\$30,000 to less than \$50,000	11	8	10	11	7	14	12	6	12	21	23	14	7
\$50,000 to less than \$75,000	17	22	11	16	13	20	20	11	19	23	24	19	15
\$75,000 to less than \$100,000	17	15	17	18	18	16	20	13	18	28	12	16	19
\$100,000 to less than \$125,000	12	15	9	12	13	12	10	15	13	17	6	9	15
\$125,000 to less than \$150,000	11	8	14	11	13	9	10	10	14	0	5	14	11
\$150,000 or more	27	23	35	27	34	23	19	44	19	12	19	22	31
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	737	161	129	445	332	350	240	246	219	28	93	160	477
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	738	168	139	428	244	439	183	323	210	18	63	161	506

Responses of 'Prefer not to say' have been removed from this table.

TABLE D7:

Do you own or rent your home?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Own home (with or without mortgage)	68	51	68	74	69	70	34	85	82	75	46	78	69
Rent	25	48	23	17	23	22	45	14	17	25	28	18	26
Live in parent's/relative's home	7	0	9	9	8	7	21	1	1	0	26	3	5
Unhoused	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	824	172	137	512	360	395	249	259	266	35	100	179	533
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	822	177	148	494	264	489	187	340	257	24	70	184	555

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE D8:

Including yourself, how many people live in your household?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
1	14	18	11	14	12	16	14	12	16	27	12	17	14
2	45	50	38	45	46	43	40	31	60	68	36	40	48
3	20	18	31	18	18	22	29	19	15	5	41	18	17
4	16	13	16	17	19	14	14	31	6	0	11	19	16
5	3	1	3	3	3	2	3	4	2	0	0	4	3
6 or more	2	1	1	2	2	2	1	5	1	0	1	3	2
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	833	175	138	517	363	396	251	262	267	35	100	179	539
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563

#### TABLE D9:

Are there children (under the age of 18) or seniors (age 65+, including yourself) living in your household?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Children	21	19	28	21	23	21	13	49	5	0	11	25	23
Seniors	21	5	11	29	19	24	0	5	49	69	25	27	18
Neither	59	76	62	52	58	57	87	47	48	31	66	52	60
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	831	174	135	518	363	395	250	263	264	35	100	178	537
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	831	180	147	500	267	491	188	344	257	24	70	183	561

Responses of 'No answer' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE D10:

How much was your most recent annual property tax bill?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Less than \$1,000	1	1	0	2	1	2	0	1	2	0	2	2	1
Between \$1,000 and \$2,000	11	6	6	13	10	12	5	8	17	14	10	13	10
Between \$2,000 and \$3,000	20	11	18	24	20	20	14	22	22	22	28	24	17
Between \$3,000 and \$4,000	18	12	26	19	19	17	10	22	21	20	11	21	18
Between \$4,000 and \$5,000	11	9	15	11	12	11	7	17	10	8	3	11	12
Over \$5,000	12	14	6	12	14	11	6	15	13	11	1	9	15
Don't pay property tax	27	48	28	19	24	27	58	14	14	26	45	20	27
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	742	168	110	461	333	349	209	239	249	35	65	168	499
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	757	173	132	449	249	448	171	313	240	23	56	171	520

Responses of 'Don't know' and 'Prefer not to say' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE D11:

Do you consider yourself to be a person with a disability?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ	•	E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	19	22	19	19	18	18	19	21	18	17	27	20	18
No	81	78	81	81	82	82	81	79	82	83	73	80	82
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	796	166	129	498	351	389	239	250	261	35	97	174	515
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	797	172	139	483	259	481	182	330	251	24	68	177	540

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE D12:

Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes - Acadian	6	3	4	7	3	7	2	8	7	0	3	8	6
Yes – Francophone	3	7	3	2	2	4	4	3	3	0	1	1	4
No	91	90	93	91	95	90	94	90	90	100	96	91	90
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	811	171	134	504	357	393	251	254	262	35	98	176	526
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	808	176	144	485	261	486	189	334	252	24	68	180	547

Responses of 'Prefer not to say' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE D13:

Do you identify as being a member of the 2SLGBTQ+ community?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	17	35	26	9	11	17	38	14	2	7	25	9	19
No	83	65	74	91	89	83	62	86	98	93	75	91	81
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	797	167	133	494	354	389	249	248	258	33	97	174	515
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	792	172	143	474	258	480	186	326	248	23	68	179	532

Responses of 'Prefer not to say' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE D14:

Which racial identity best describes you?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Caucasian/White	93	86	94	96	94	94	94	92	93	100	99	95	91
Black	2	3	0	1	0	3	3	1	1	0	1	3	1
First Nations/Indigenous	3	2	2	4	2	4	7	1	1	0	18	1	1
Asian (including South Asian)	4	9	3	2	5	3	6	4	2	0	0	2	5
Middle Eastern	1	2	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	4	0	1	1
My racial identity is not listed above	2	3	2	2	2	2	0	4	3	0	0	0	3
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	783	169	127	484	343	387	242	244	253	35	92	174	507
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	782	175	139	465	251	479	182	325	243	24	67	179	525

Responses of 'Prefer not to say' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE D15:

Are you an employee of the Halifax Regional Municipality or Halifax Water?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes – Halifax Regional Municipality	11	10	13	11	10	11	16	14	6	0	9	13	11
Yes – Halifax Water	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
No	89	89	87	89	90	89	84	86	94	100	91	87	89
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	810	169	134	503	353	389	248	251	259	35	99	176	520
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	810	175	144	487	261	481	185	332	253	24	69	181	543

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE HH1:

Please select the statement that best matches your opinion about the municipality's role in supporting affordable and deeply affordable housing.

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
The municipality should do more to support affordable housing	72	86	86	63	65	78	87	67	61	64	87	58	74
The municipality is doing enough to support affordable housing	17	9	7	22	19	14	8	19	24	11	8	25	15
The municipality should do less to support affordable housing	6	4	5	7	8	5	5	8	5	16	3	7	7
The municipality should not at all support affordable housing	5	1	2	8	8	3	1	5	9	8	2	10	4
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	586	137	98	350	261	266	199	193	164	14	72	126	377
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	594	143	107	343	195	340	149	251	167	11	49	128	404

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table

# TABLE HH2A:

To what extent do you agree with the following statements?

The municipality should allocate additional resources to address the creation of more housing in general

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	48	62	59	39	48	47	76	41	27	25	75	34	47
Agree	29	23	31	30	28	28	15	33	37	32	21	35	28
Disagree	16	10	8	21	13	19	8	16	24	15	0	19	17
Strongly disagree	8	6	2	11	10	6	1	11	11	28	4	11	8
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	590	138	98	353	258	270	195	195	169	16	73	126	381
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	595	144	107	343	192	341	144	252	171	12	49	127	406
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	76	85	90	69	76	76	90	74	64	57	96	69	75
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	24	15	10	31	24	24	10	26	36	43	4	31	25

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/Not applicable' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE HH2B:

To what extent do you agree with the following statements?

The municipality should allocate additional resources to address homelessness

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ē		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	47	62	55	38	42	51	65	43	29	60	72	31	47
Agree	27	25	26	27	26	25	21	26	33	0	17	29	27
Disagree	16	6	13	20	15	16	2	18	28	33	9	22	15
Strongly disagree	11	6	6	15	16	7	12	13	9	7	2	17	11
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	587	134	98	354	259	267	199	190	168	16	72	126	379
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	592	139	107	345	195	336	148	245	171	12	48	127	404
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	73	87	81	65	68	76	86	69	63	60	90	61	74
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	27	13	19	35	32	24	14	31	37	40	10	39	26

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/Not applicable' have been removed from this table.

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

### TABLE HH2A-B TOP 2 BOX SUMMARY

To what extent do you agree with the following statements?

# % STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
The municipality should allocate additional resources to address the creation of more housing in general	76	85	90	69	76	76	90	74	64	57	96	69	75
The municipality should allocate additional resources to address homelessness	73	87	81	65	68	76	86	69	63	60	90	61	74

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/Not applicable' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE HH3:

To what extent does the current housing and homelessness situation affect you personally?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Significantly affected (have lost housing/at risk of losing housing)	6	9	6	4	2	7	8	5	4	0	8	7	4
Somewhat affected (changes to housing costs, and lifestyle to accommodate, but not yet at risk of losing housing, and/or	31	37	30	29	27	32	40	30	21	5	42	29	30
Not significantly affected (some adjustments to spending/lifestyle, but acceptable)	30	34	32	27	31	30	36	32	22	7	30	28	30
Not at all affected (no impact on housing/spending/lifestyle)	34	20	33	39	41	32	16	32	54	88	21	36	36
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	588	136	99	352	257	269	198	191	171	13	73	129	377
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	595	142	109	343	193	341	147	249	174	10	50	131	404
% SIGNIFICANTLY/SOMEWHAT AFFECTED	37	46	36	33	29	39	48	35	25	5	49	36	34
% NOT SIGNIFICANTLY/NOT AT ALL AFFECTED	63	54	64	67	71	61	52	65	75	95	51	64	66

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE HH4:

If the municipality is to provide allowed (designated) locations for people to sleep rough (shelter outside), where should these locations be situated?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Any locations close to services/amenities (e.g., bathrooms, transit, etc.)	40	44	37	39	46	36	48	35	36	44	41	39	40
Away from public parks/recreation facilities/community centres	20	14	15	23	28	12	21	16	24	16	23	17	20
Nowhere, there should be no locations provided by the municipality for people to shelter outside (sleep rough)	16	12	9	18	12	19	8	18	19	0	11	18	15
Away from schools/children/playgrounds	14	12	8	17	12	19	8	21	15	6	20	23	11
Away from high traffic areas (e.g., tourists, people, business centres, etc.)	14	12	5	18	19	11	15	14	14	19	23	12	14
Indoor shelters (e.g., temporary housing, unused buildings, etc.)	13	13	22	11	11	15	10	16	12	17	8	10	16
Any location should be regulated/supervised/have police presence for safety	12	14	13	12	10	16	12	14	11	6	8	8	15
In public parks/green spaces/campgrounds	9	10	11	9	11	7	13	8	9	0	14	6	10
On municipally owned land that is not a formal park space	9	6	6	10	9	8	5	10	8	37	6	6	10
Away from residential areas/neighbourhoods	9	9	8	9	10	8	3	12	9	19	2	10	9
Anywhere, as long as there is a place for people to sleep	8	12	13	5	4	10	8	11	5	0	7	4	9
Outside of the downtown areas of Halifax and Dartmouth	7	12	3	7	11	5	10	6	5	22	1	8	8
Within the Regional Centre, but not the current allowed locations	6	5	3	8	6	7	9	5	5	0	8	5	6
Outside of the Regional Centre (Peninsula Halifax, and Dartmouth inside the circumferential highway)	3	6	1	3	5	2	3	4	4	0	0	3	4
Within current allowed locations only	2	3	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	0	1	0	2
In rural areas/locations	2	2	0	2	2	1	1	0	4	0	0	5	1
Away from hospitals	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	3	0	1	1	1
Within current allowed locations, and within newly proposed locations only	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Other	14	16	18	11	12	17	19	12	10	0	13	11	15
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	468	101	75	291	215	205	152	156	136	11	49	107	306
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	483	109	83	290	163	272	112	204	146	9	37	111	327

This question was optional. Responses of 'Don't know' have been removed from this table.

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE HH5:

If the municipality is to provide indoor shelter serviced/supportive housing locations for people, where would you like to see these located?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Anywhere, as long as there is a place for people to sleep indoors	60	69	68	53	51	65	72	55	52	45	80	43	61
Anywhere, except the downtown areas of Halifax and Dartmouth	11	10	15	10	10	12	10	9	13	19	6	12	11
Anywhere, except within the Regional Centre (Peninsula Halifax and Dartmouth inside the circumferential highway)	8	15	1	8	13	5	7	9	11	6	2	13	8
Nowhere, there should be no locations provided by the municipality for people to shelter indoors	10	1	5	15	13	7	7	13	7	22	6	17	8
Alternative locations	12	4	11	15	14	11	4	14	17	8	6	15	11
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	552	131	94	326	243	250	191	176	154	16	69	115	362
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	553	135	102	315	180	314	142	225	159	12	45	116	385

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE HH5ALT:

If the municipality is to provide indoor shelter serviced/supportive housing locations for people, where would you like to see these located? - ALTERNATIVE LOCATIONS SPECIFIED

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Any locations close to services/amenities (e.g., bathrooms, transit, etc.)	39	78	32	35	37	44	70	40	27	100	67	28	40
Away from public parks/recreation facilities/community centres	21	22	13	23	22	22	19	20	28	0	0	29	20
Downtown/In the city core	20	64	33	11	19	22	84	15	8	0	38	17	19
Away from schools/children/playgrounds	16	15	13	16	11	23	19	13	12	0	0	34	9
Away from residential areas/neighbourhoods	14	7	14	16	8	19	0	11	13	100	0	8	19
Unused/vacant public land/buildings	13	7	35	9	17	9	0	23	7	0	12	9	15
Halifax Forum or other indoor temporary shelters	7	15	0	8	3	14	0	10	9	0	0	11	7
Any location should be regulated/supervised/have police presence for safety	7	7	25	3	9	5	0	11	7	0	0	9	7
Public/subsidized housing	7	0	11	7	5	9	0	8	9	0	0	5	8
Shannon Park	4	0	7	4	2	7	0	5	6	0	0	9	3
Industrial/business parks	4	0	3	5	6	3	10	3	4	0	0	0	6
Dartmouth Crossing	4	0	15	2	7	0	10	7	0	0	0	9	2
Bayers Lake	3	0	0	4	3	0	10	0	4	0	0	6	2
Burnside	3	0	15	0	5	0	0	7	0	0	0	9	0
Other	26	28	15	28	28	25	0	34	31	0	37	27	24
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	63	6	10	46	33	28	8	23	26	1	4	18	41
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	67	7	12	48	25	40	8	28	26	1	5	19	43

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE HH6A:

Please indicate the extent to which you agree with the following strategies the municipality is using to address homelessness.

The municipality should support individuals experiencing homelessness that have no option but to shelter outside (sleep rough)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	42	58	55	33	38	46	63	41	24	32	73	22	43
Agree	39	29	34	44	40	37	29	37	52	21	14	48	40
Disagree	10	6	9	13	12	10	6	9	16	19	6	21	8
Strongly disagree	9	6	2	11	10	6	2	13	8	28	7	8	9
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	577	134	95	347	253	266	192	187	166	16	71	126	371
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	580	138	104	337	189	332	142	240	170	12	47	129	394
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	81	87	89	76	77	84	92	78	76	53	87	71	83
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	19	13	11	24	23	16	8	22	24	47	13	29	17

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/Not applicable' have been removed from this table.

#### TABLE HH6B:

Please indicate the extent to which you agree with the following strategies the municipality is using to address homelessness.

The municipality should support the existing homelessness efforts of other levels of government and service providers

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	47	64	55	38	42	50	57	47	35	54	55	34	49
Agree	41	32	37	46	43	40	32	41	53	24	31	49	41
Disagree	7	3	6	8	10	3	6	7	7	0	9	8	6
Strongly disagree	5	2	1	8	4	6	4	5	5	22	5	8	4
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	574	131	94	347	252	261	190	184	168	16	72	126	366
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	576	136	103	336	187	328	141	237	170	12	48	125	392
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	88	96	93	84	85	91	90	88	88	78	86	83	90
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	12	4	7	16	15	9	10	12	12	22	14	17	10

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of "Don't know/Not applicable' have been removed from this table.

## TABLE HH6C:

Please indicate the extent to which you agree with the following strategies the municipality is using to address homelessness.

The municipality should conduct public education about the issues of homelessness and how they can help

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	29	44	36	22	26	33	39	28	22	32	42	20	30
Agree	40	31	41	43	37	43	40	33	46	33	37	43	39
Disagree	20	16	20	22	26	16	15	25	24	0	16	28	19
Strongly disagree	10	9	4	12	11	9	7	14	8	35	5	9	12
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	564	128	92	344	245	260	190	180	166	13	73	124	358
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	564	131	101	331	181	324	140	231	168	10	49	122	382
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	69	75	76	65	63	76	79	61	68	65	79	63	69
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	31	25	24	35	37	24	21	39	32	35	21	37	31

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

### TABLE HH6D:

Please indicate the extent to which you agree with the following strategies the municipality is using to address homelessness.

The municipality should continue to support the development of homes people can afford based on their income

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	52	69	68	42	48	57	72	50	36	26	73	33	55
Agree	36	28	25	42	39	33	23	35	50	48	23	46	35
Disagree	7	3	6	9	9	6	2	8	11	10	0	15	6
Strongly disagree	5	1	1	7	4	4	2	7	4	15	4	6	4
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	586	136	97	352	256	270	195	189	170	16	73	127	376
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	589	141	105	342	190	339	144	245	172	12	50	128	399
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	89	96	93	84	87	90	95	85	86	74	96	79	90
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	11	4	7	16	13	10	5	15	14	26	4	21	10

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/Not applicable' have been removed from this table.

### TABLE HH6A-D TOP 2 BOX SUMMARY

Please indicate the extent to which you agree with the following strategies the municipality is using to address homelessness.

# % STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
The municipality should continue to support the development of homes people can afford based on their income	89	96	93	84	87	90	95	85	86	74	96	79	90
The municipality should support the existing homelessness efforts of other levels of government and service providers	88	96	93	84	85	91	90	88	88	78	86	83	90
The municipality should support individuals experiencing homelessness that have no option but to shelter outside (sleep rough)	81	87	89	76	77	84	92	78	76	53	87	71	83
The municipality should conduct public education about the issues of homelessness and how they can help	69	75	76	65	63	76	79	61	68	65	79	63	69

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE FS1:

What are the greatest barriers to you always being able to get the food you need to be healthy and active? Please select up to three items below, choosing those most important to you.

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Food is not affordable enough	49	58	59	42	40	54	72	49	26	8	69	50	45
I am always able to get the food I need to be healthy and active/I do not face any barriers	46	36	39	51	54	42	25	45	65	92	30	46	49
Lack of nearby food outlets (for example, grocery, markets, stores, etc.)	12	18	12	10	10	13	16	13	9	0	5	8	15
Lack of transportation options (for example, public transit, bike paths)	11	20	10	7	11	7	16	8	8	0	9	7	12
Specific food needs are unavailable (for example, culturally preferred, diet specific, fresh food)	4	8	2	2	3	3	4	5	2	0	2	3	4
Food outlets are not accessible (for example, due to physical, cognitive, and/or emotional disabilities or otherwise)	1	4	2	0	1	1	3	1	1	0	3	2	1
Lack of access to infrastructure for preparing and/or storing food (for example, stove, freezer, safe storage)	1	3	1	1	1	1	3	0	0	0	1	1	1
Lack of knowledge on how to access, prepare, and/or store food	1	3	1	0	1	1	2	1	0	0	4	1	1
Other	3	2	2	3	4	2	2	4	2	0	0	1	4
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	594	139	98	356	258	275	194	194	174	16	73	130	380
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	607	144	107	355	197	349	148	253	178	12	50	132	412

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE FS2:

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Food access (for example, farmers markets, social supermarkets, mobile food markets)	56	66	50	54	55	57	64	56	50	24	54	54	57
Food production (for example, community gardens, urban farms, growing spaces)	39	42	40	38	33	45	48	35	34	40	43	27	42
Food processing, supply, and distribution (for example, local food procurement, community and regional food hubs, short supply chains)	34	43	33	31	31	36	43	36	25	8	36	33	34
Food waste reduction (for example, composting, redirecting food waste)	33	37	40	29	33	31	41	30	28	36	29	31	35
Preparing our food system to handle climate change or emergencies (for example, distributing food to communities impacte	28	41	29	22	20	32	34	29	18	28	29	18	30
The municipality should not be investing in food actions	15	10	18	17	21	11	9	15	21	27	16	16	15
Community food literacy (for example, cooking classes)	11	7	10	13	11	12	5	12	16	16	5	11	12
Price control (for example, allowing more competition, capping profits, lower tax on prices, etc.)	2	1	2	3	3	1	3	3	1	0	7	1	2
Other	2	2	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	0	0	2	2
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	594	139	98	356	258	275	194	194	174	16	73	130	380
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	607	144	107	355	197	349	148	253	178	12	50	132	412

UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#) 607 144 107 355 197 349 148 253 178 12 50

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section.

## TABLE EV1:

Are you aware of HalifACT, the municipality's climate action plan?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	58	67	57	55	63	54	63	64	51	41	39	49	66
No	42	33	43	45	37	46	37	36	49	59	61	51	34
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	606	142	99	364	262	282	204	195	175	16	74	134	387
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	621	149	108	363	199	361	156	257	180	12	51	139	418

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section.

# TABLE EV2A1:

Overall, how satisfied are you with the municipality's efforts on preparing for the following effects of climate change?

## Wildfires

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	1	2	0	1	2	1	1	2	0	0	1	2	1
Satisfied	33	36	45	29	34	33	33	30	37	56	28	32	36
Dissatisfied	51	51	39	54	51	51	52	47	53	44	61	44	50
Very dissatisfied	15	12	16	15	13	15	13	20	10	0	10	22	13
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	493	106	82	304	214	225	153	168	146	12	58	116	308
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	499	111	90	297	160	285	115	218	144	8	42	117	327
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	34	37	45	31	36	35	35	33	37	56	29	34	37
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	66	63	55	69	64	65	65	67	63	44	71	66	63

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE EV2A2:

Overall, how satisfied are you with the municipality's efforts on preparing for the following effects of climate change?

Coastal flooding (from ocean)

			YEARS	S IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	2	3	3	2	3	3	2	4	1	0	0	3	2
Satisfied	42	37	49	43	52	33	35	47	45	51	40	44	43
Dissatisfied	44	51	32	44	36	53	50	36	48	40	48	42	43
Very dissatisfied	11	9	16	11	9	10	12	13	6	10	12	10	11
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	389	83	56	249	188	155	109	140	119	10	40	93	248
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	395	88	67	239	138	211	89	174	114	8	32	93	260
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	45	40	52	45	55	36	38	51	46	51	40	47	46
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	55	60	48	55	45	64	62	49	54	49	60	53	54

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE EV2A3:

Overall, how satisfied are you with the municipality's efforts on preparing for the following effects of climate change?

Overland flooding (from rivers or rain)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	2	4	0	1	2	2	2	3	0	0	0	1	2
Satisfied	34	27	42	34	41	28	24	38	38	48	19	40	35
Dissatisfied	50	56	45	50	43	57	52	43	56	52	68	47	47
Very dissatisfied	15	13	14	15	15	13	22	16	5	0	13	12	16
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	427	89	67	270	194	186	122	154	128	10	50	103	264
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	432	94	82	255	143	242	96	195	122	7	37	102	282
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	35	31	42	35	43	30	26	41	38	48	19	41	37
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	65	69	58	65	57	70	74	59	62	52	81	59	63

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE EV2A4:

Overall, how satisfied are you with the municipality's efforts on preparing for the following effects of climate change?

Heat waves

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	5	7	9	3	5	6	8	4	3	0	12	8	3
Satisfied	50	33	45	59	56	51	34	51	68	86	34	56	52
Dissatisfied	36	53	32	30	32	36	46	36	25	14	44	30	36
Very dissatisfied	9	8	14	7	7	7	11	8	5	0	10	7	9
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	431	105	73	253	197	187	145	150	118	8	43	97	283
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	430	104	79	246	143	240	114	189	112	6	31	97	293
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	55	40	54	63	61	56	42	55	71	86	46	64	55
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	45	60	46	37	39	44	58	45	29	14	54	36	45

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

### TABLE EV2A5:

Overall, how satisfied are you with the municipality's efforts on preparing for the following effects of climate change?

# Drought

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	2	4	0	2	3	2	2	4	2	0	0	4	2
Satisfied	53	50	53	55	57	53	46	51	62	75	45	58	54
Dissatisfied	36	39	36	36	32	39	41	35	35	25	48	35	34
Very dissatisfied	8	7	11	7	7	6	11	10	2	0	7	3	10
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	323	74	53	195	153	128	86	119	99	8	32	83	201
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	318	75	59	183	112	165	70	144	88	5	25	78	207
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	55	54	53	57	60	55	49	55	64	75	45	61	56
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	45	46	47	43	40	45	51	45	36	25	55	39	44

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

### TABLE EV2A6:

Overall, how satisfied are you with the municipality's efforts on preparing for the following effects of climate change?

Invasive species such as Japanese beetles

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	3	4	2	4	5	2	6	4	1	0	9	2	3
Satisfied	29	31	34	28	36	26	25	31	32	38	28	28	31
Dissatisfied	45	50	37	46	38	53	48	39	50	48	47	49	42
Very dissatisfied	22	16	27	22	21	19	21	26	17	15	16	21	24
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	362	78	56	227	167	155	98	136	112	8	43	90	222
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	387	85	67	234	127	219	85	175	112	7	36	88	254
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	33	34	36	31	41	28	31	35	33	38	37	30	34
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	67	66	64	69	59	72	69	65	67	62	63	70	66

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of "Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

## TABLE EV2A7:

Overall, how satisfied are you with the municipality's efforts on preparing for the following effects of climate change?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	3	5	4	2	4	3	3	6	1	0	1	5	3
Satisfied	59	60	57	60	62	60	58	56	65	85	66	58	59
Dissatisfied	30	29	28	31	27	31	31	28	29	15	24	28	31
Very dissatisfied	8	6	11	7	7	6	8	10	5	0	10	10	6
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	482	106	77	298	207	223	154	162	143	13	56	112	305
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	492	111	87	293	154	285	118	209	145	9	40	113	327
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	62	65	60	62	66	63	61	61	66	85	66	63	63
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	38	35	40	38	34	37	39	39	34	15	34	37	37

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

### TABLE EV2A8:

Overall, how satisfied are you with the municipality's efforts on preparing for the following effects of climate change?

#### Winter storms

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	5	5	5	5	7	3	8	6	1	0	6	5	5
Satisfied	59	65	54	59	64	57	52	56	69	86	37	55	66
Dissatisfied	28	24	30	30	23	34	31	26	28	14	49	32	22
Very dissatisfied	8	7	11	7	7	5	9	12	2	0	8	8	7
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	507	108	86	312	218	237	158	171	153	14	63	117	319
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	523	112	97	313	168	302	124	224	154	10	46	121	345
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	64	70	59	63	70	61	60	62	70	86	43	60	70
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	36	30	41	37	30	39	40	38	30	14	57	40	30

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

### TABLE EV2A1-8 TOP 2 BOX SUMMARY

Overall, how satisfied are you with the municipality's efforts on preparing for the following effects of climate change?

# % VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Winter storms	64	70	59	63	70	61	60	62	70	86	43	60	70
Hurricanes	62	65	60	62	66	63	61	61	66	85	66	63	63
Heat waves	55	40	54	63	61	56	42	55	71	86	46	64	55
Drought	55	54	53	57	60	55	49	55	64	75	45	61	56
Coastal flooding (from ocean)	45	40	52	45	55	36	38	51	46	51	40	47	46
Overland flooding (from rivers or rain)	35	31	42	35	43	30	26	41	38	48	19	41	37
Wildfires	34	37	45	31	36	35	35	33	37	56	29	34	37
Invasive species such as Japanese beetles	33	34	36	31	41	28	31	35	33	38	37	30	34

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of "Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

## TABLE EV2B:

Overall, how satisfied are you with the municipality's efforts on the following environment actions?

# Reducing greenhouse gas emissions

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	5	7	5	4	6	4	6	5	4	0	2	6	5
Satisfied	47	39	47	51	50	48	39	48	53	84	46	62	43
Dissatisfied	32	36	33	29	28	35	39	22	37	16	42	24	32
Very dissatisfied	17	18	16	16	16	14	16	25	7	0	9	8	20
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	389	104	61	222	196	147	138	132	104	8	44	71	266
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	385	104	69	211	143	196	107	159	106	5	33	72	273
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	52	46	52	55	56	52	45	53	57	84	48	68	48
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	48	54	48	45	44	48	55	47	43	16	52	32	52

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

### TABLE EV2C:

Overall, how satisfied are you with the municipality's efforts on the following environment actions?

Managing lakes and rivers

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		ı	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	6	5	2	7	6	7	9	4	3	23	29	2	4
Satisfied	60	63	72	57	64	60	55	62	68	58	42	69	61
Dissatisfied	25	25	17	28	22	27	28	25	22	19	16	23	27
Very dissatisfied	9	7	9	9	9	6	8	10	7	0	13	7	8
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	382	92	60	230	180	162	122	123	118	10	32	80	263
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	390	99	67	223	135	215	96	160	119	7	29	79	274
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	66	67	74	64	70	67	64	66	71	81	71	70	65
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	34	33	26	36	30	33	36	34	29	19	29	30	35

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

### TABLE EV2D:

Overall, how satisfied are you with the municipality's efforts on the following environment actions?

Protecting land for food production

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	4	2	0	6	5	3	6	2	3	0	12	1	3
Satisfied	43	47	45	42	50	38	34	49	45	100	28	56	43
Dissatisfied	38	31	41	40	32	44	37	35	46	0	49	36	36
Very dissatisfied	15	20	14	12	13	15	23	14	5	0	12	8	17
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	294	71	42	180	147	117	97	102	81	8	35	66	189
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	296	72	49	174	107	159	73	125	86	5	26	68	197
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	47	49	45	47	55	41	40	51	49	100	39	57	46
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	53	51	55	53	45	59	60	49	51	0	61	43	54

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

## TABLE EV2E:

Overall, how satisfied are you with the municipality's efforts on the following environment actions?

Reducing waste

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	6	7	7	5	8	5	5	8	5	0	5	12	4
Satisfied	58	49	53	62	63	57	52	57	63	76	60	56	58
Dissatisfied	26	30	36	22	19	29	28	25	28	0	21	28	26
Very dissatisfied	10	14	5	10	10	9	15	10	4	24	14	5	11
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	446	96	70	279	204	195	143	145	139	9	57	98	282
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	449	98	79	271	148	254	107	187	138	7	40	95	305
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	64	56	60	68	71	62	57	65	68	76	65	67	63
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	36	44	40	32	29	38	43	35	32	24	35	33	37

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE EV2F:

Overall, how satisfied are you with the municipality's efforts on the following environment actions?

Water testing to support lifeguarded beaches

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	9	7	13	9	6	13	15	9	5	0	13	6	10
Satisfied	77	75	79	77	76	77	70	77	82	94	76	85	75
Dissatisfied	10	10	8	10	12	7	8	11	10	6	9	6	10
Very dissatisfied	4	8	0	4	5	3	7	3	3	0	2	4	5
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	449	89	75	284	200	204	136	151	139	13	47	97	299
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	462	97	81	283	147	271	104	198	141	9	36	101	318
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	86	82	92	86	83	91	86	86	87	94	89	90	85
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	14	18	8	14	17	9	14	14	13	6	11	10	15

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

### TABLE EV2G:

Overall, how satisfied are you with the municipality's efforts on the following environment actions?

Protecting nature (conservation/protecting from development)

			YEARS	S IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	4	1	3	5	4	4	2	5	4	0	0	2	5
Satisfied	46	45	54	43	58	37	45	49	45	43	60	55	41
Dissatisfied	35	35	26	37	26	44	36	29	38	36	37	28	36
Very dissatisfied	16	18	17	15	12	15	16	17	13	22	2	15	18
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	449	101	81	265	198	202	145	152	129	12	44	89	309
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	462	108	88	265	155	258	117	191	134	10	34	94	326
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	49	47	57	48	62	40	48	54	49	43	60	57	46
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	51	53	43	52	38	60	52	46	51	57	40	43	54
			ĺ				ĺ						

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of "Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

## TABLE EV2H:

Overall, how satisfied are you with the municipality's efforts on the following environment actions?

Naturalization of parks (that is, allow more natural plant species to grow)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	5	4	3	6	7	4	6	5	4	0	9	3	5
Satisfied	68	66	69	69	70	67	62	70	73	81	53	74	69
Dissatisfied	20	23	18	19	17	24	24	18	17	19	33	16	19
Very dissatisfied	7	7	10	6	6	5	8	7	6	0	4	8	7
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	402	97	69	235	190	169	138	141	108	6	44	77	277
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	407	98	76	232	140	224	108	175	110	5	32	77	293
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	73	70	72	75	77	71	68	75	77	81	63	77	74
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	27	30	28	25	23	29	32	25	23	19	37	23	26

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

### TABLE EV2I:

Overall, how satisfied are you with the municipality's efforts on the following environment actions?

Communicating city efforts on environment and climate change

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	4	2	1	5	5	2	4	5	2	0	8	3	3
Satisfied	42	42	39	43	51	34	39	41	50	18	31	45	44
Dissatisfied	41	39	50	40	36	46	41	40	42	52	47	36	41
Very dissatisfied	13	17	10	12	8	17	16	15	6	30	14	17	12
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	452	108	76	267	211	195	164	148	120	11	48	82	314
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	449	111	82	255	153	250	122	187	121	9	33	80	328
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	45	44	40	48	56	37	43	46	52	18	39	47	47
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	55	56	60	52	44	63	57	54	48	82	61	53	53

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

### TABLE EV2J:

Overall, how satisfied are you with the municipality's efforts on the following environment actions?

Preparing for weather-related emergencies

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	4	0	7	4	3	5	4	3	4	0	9	2	3
Satisfied	53	53	55	53	61	49	49	49	63	84	42	60	53
Dissatisfied	32	36	26	33	25	38	36	33	27	16	40	19	35
Very dissatisfied	11	11	12	10	11	8	11	15	6	0	8	18	9
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	493	109	86	296	223	221	166	166	142	8	56	112	320
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	493	116	91	285	164	280	123	213	140	6	37	111	338
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	57	53	62	57	64	53	53	53	67	84	51	62	57
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	43	47	38	43	36	47	47	47	33	16	49	38	43

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of "Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

## TABLE EV2K:

Overall, how satisfied are you with the municipality's efforts on the following environment actions?

Responding to weather-related emergencies

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	4	2	9	3	3	5	5	4	2	0	9	1	4
Satisfied	59	60	62	58	64	57	55	58	68	70	47	60	62
Dissatisfied	30	27	20	34	27	32	29	30	27	30	38	30	27
Very dissatisfied	7	11	9	5	6	6	11	8	3	0	7	9	7
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	519	114	89	315	231	237	172	174	153	8	59	119	334
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	523	118	95	309	172	300	129	223	153	6	41	118	355
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	63	62	71	61	67	62	60	62	70	70	55	61	66
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	37	38	29	39	33	38	40	38	30	30	45	39	34

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

### TABLE EV2L:

Overall, how satisfied are you with the municipality's efforts on the following environment actions?

### Solar City Program

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		ı	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	11	9	15	11	8	17	14	11	8	0	9	6	13
Satisfied	56	54	65	55	60	55	57	55	59	72	61	56	57
Dissatisfied	23	27	17	22	21	21	21	23	22	28	29	29	19
Very dissatisfied	10	9	3	11	11	8	8	11	10	0	0	8	11
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	251	62	33	156	132	93	82	95	65	4	23	53	172
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	243	62	36	145	92	125	59	115	62	3	19	45	175
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	68	63	80	67	68	71	71	66	67	72	71	62	70
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	32	37	20	33	32	29	29	34	33	28	29	38	30

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

### TABLE EV2M:

Overall, how satisfied are you with the municipality's efforts on the following environment actions?

### LakeWatchers program

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	11	11	9	11	8	18	18	8	8	0	27	6	12
Satisfied	64	60	84	62	64	60	45	73	67	100	46	64	65
Dissatisfied	14	12	6	15	12	15	17	11	16	0	26	25	9
Very dissatisfied	11	17	0	11	16	7	19	7	9	0	0	6	14
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	170	43	18	110	86	67	53	68	44	3	7	42	120
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	168	48	22	98	62	89	42	82	39	2	9	35	121
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	75	71	94	73	72	78	63	82	75	100	74	70	77
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	25	29	6	27	28	22	37	18	25	0	26	30	23

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of "Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

## TABLE EV2N:

Overall, how satisfied are you with the municipality's efforts on the following environment actions?

# Canines for Clean Water Campaign

			YEARS	S IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	12	6	19	14	12	16	19	6	17	0	0	4	18
Satisfied	58	63	57	57	59	61	47	65	59	100	59	64	56
Dissatisfied	13	8	14	15	10	10	12	13	13	0	9	17	11
Very dissatisfied	16	23	9	14	18	13	22	16	11	0	32	15	15
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	120	28	11	82	66	41	34	54	29	1	9	34	76
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	115	29	14	72	48	54	26	61	25	1	9	31	74
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	71	69	76	71	72	77	66	71	76	100	59	68	74
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	29	31	24	29	28	23	34	29	24	0	41	32	26

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE EV2O:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN EV1] Overall, how satisfied are you with the municipality's efforts on the following environment actions?

# HalifACT - climate plan

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	10	15	6	8	9	11	16	7	5	0	25	6	9
Satisfied	60	54	56	63	55	66	62	56	61	72	63	56	60
Dissatisfied	19	20	32	16	19	19	17	15	26	28	9	15	21
Very dissatisfied	12	12	6	13	17	4	5	21	8	0	3	23	10
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	300	80	41	179	142	129	117	98	76	4	26	56	217
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	302	81	49	171	107	166	93	128	73	3	19	52	228
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	69	69	62	72	64	77	78	64	66	72	88	63	69
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	31	31	38	28	36	23	22	36	34	28	12	37	31

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

### TABLE EV2B-O TOP 2 BOX SUMMARY

Overall, how satisfied are you with the municipality's efforts on the following environment actions?

# % VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Water testing to support lifeguarded beaches	86	82	92	86	83	91	86	86	87	94	89	90	85
LakeWatchers program	75	71	94	73	72	78	63	82	75	100	74	70	77
Naturalization of parks (that is, allow more natural plant species to grow)	73	70	72	75	77	71	68	75	77	81	63	77	74
Canines for Clean Water Campaign	71	69	76	71	72	77	66	71	76	100	59	68	74
[ASK IF 'YES' IN EV1] HalifACT – climate plan	69	69	62	72	64	77	78	64	66	72	88	63	69
Solar City Program	68	63	80	67	68	71	71	66	67	72	71	62	70
Managing lakes and rivers	66	67	74	64	70	67	64	66	71	81	71	70	65
Reducing waste	64	56	60	68	71	62	57	65	68	76	65	67	63
Responding to weather-related emergencies	63	62	71	61	67	62	60	62	70	70	55	61	66
Preparing for weather-related emergencies	57	53	62	57	64	53	53	53	67	84	51	62	57
Reducing greenhouse gas emissions	52	46	52	55	56	52	45	53	57	84	48	68	48
Protecting nature (conservation/protecting from development)	49	47	57	48	62	40	48	54	49	43	60	57	46
Protecting land for food production	47	49	45	47	55	41	40	51	49	100	39	57	46
Communicating city efforts on environment and climate change	45	44	40	48	56	37	43	46	52	18	39	47	47

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

### TABLE EV3 FIRST MENTION:

What do you believe are the three most important areas of focus regarding environmental and climate change action.

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		l	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Food security	11	11	11	12	6	16	12	13	11	0	15	19	8
Renewable energy generation – Grid	10	19	11	6	13	9	18	8	3	27	24	3	10
Emergency preparedness	10	7	8	12	7	11	8	11	13	8	11	13	9
Creating more resilient infrastructure to climate impacts	10	14	14	7	15	6	13	10	7	0	7	6	12
Extreme weather and emergency management	10	14	5	9	8	12	9	9	10	0	1	5	13
Water quality	9	1	7	12	10	8	2	12	13	5	2	12	9
Protecting watersheds and improving lake health	5	3	1	7	7	4	2	6	6	7	1	10	4
Protection of infrastructure	4	1	2	5	3	5	1	3	7	21	6	9	1
Reducing energy use in homes and buildings	4	3	6	3	4	4	4	3	5	0	3	3	4
Sea level rise and coastal preparedness	4	0	6	4	4	3	4	1	6	0	5	3	3
Assessing and reducing flood risks (coastal and overland)	3	1	1	5	2	5	4	3	4	0	4	5	3
Adding more land for environmental and biodiversity protection and conservation	3	3	1	4	2	4	1	4	4	12	1	3	3
Biodiversity and natural areas	3	4	5	2	1	4	5	4	1	0	0	1	4
Energy efficiency	3	2	5	3	3	2	4	2	3	0	1	2	4
Renewable energy generation – Personal	2	3	2	2	4	1	3	3	1	0	1	2	3
Electrifying transportation	2	1	5	2	3	1	3	2	1	0	7	2	2
Green infrastructure (for example, rain gardens, bioswales, naturalization and living shorelines)	2	3	2	1	1	3	2	2	2	5	0	0	3
Growing the green economy and workforce (Green jobs)	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Other	2	5	1	2	3	1	2	2	3	8	1	0	3
There are no priority areas	3	2	7	2	2	4	3	3	1	8	9	2	2
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	594	140	97	356	259	275	198	192	172	16	72	130	381
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	606	146	106	353	196	350	150	252	176	12	49	134	410

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

### TABLE EV3 TOTAL MENTIONS:

What do you believe are the three most important areas of focus regarding environmental and climate change action.

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Extreme weather and emergency management	31	31	20	33	26	34	26	31	38	13	24	34	31
Emergency preparedness	26	21	20	30	24	27	14	29	36	29	23	39	22
Food security	25	25	27	24	18	29	30	28	18	6	33	34	20
Renewable energy generation – Grid	24	36	23	20	28	22	37	20	15	27	34	13	26
Creating more resilient infrastructure to climate impacts	24	29	39	18	28	22	31	24	19	8	35	14	26
Water quality	19	7	15	25	19	21	8	25	26	5	23	27	16
Protection of infrastructure	16	16	14	17	23	9	12	15	23	26	9	28	13
Protecting watersheds and improving lake health	16	5	6	22	19	14	7	20	19	33	8	25	14
Assessing and reducing flood risks (coastal and overland)	13	7	9	16	8	17	11	10	18	6	14	16	11
Sea level rise and coastal preparedness	13	14	10	13	12	13	12	10	16	0	10	12	13
Reducing energy use in homes and buildings	12	12	19	10	14	10	13	12	13	0	7	11	14
Electrifying transportation	12	10	20	10	16	9	19	8	7	27	22	7	12
Adding more land for environmental and biodiversity protection and conservation	12	16	9	10	8	14	11	12	8	34	3	7	15
Biodiversity and natural areas	11	12	12	11	11	11	15	13	5	20	11	4	14
Energy efficiency	11	8	13	11	11	10	13	9	10	12	6	8	13
Green infrastructure (for example, rain gardens, bioswales, naturalization and living shorelines)	9	18	9	6	6	13	16	7	5	5	2	3	13
Renewable energy generation – Personal	7	5	5	9	9	5	7	7	8	8	4	10	7
Growing the green economy and workforce (Green jobs)	5	9	5	4	5	5	5	6	6	0	3	3	6
Other	4	7	2	3	5	3	3	5	4	8	3	1	5
There are no priority areas	3	2	7	2	2	4	3	3	1	8	9	2	2
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	594	140	97	356	259	275	198	192	172	16	72	130	381
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	606	146	106	353	196	350	150	252	176	12	49	134	410

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No answer' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE PO1:

Have you had a direct interaction with the police in the last two years?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes – Halifax Regional Police (HRP)	24	23	31	22	21	24	21	27	24	19	18	19	26
Yes – Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP)	6	2	6	8	6	6	4	5	10	0	3	8	6
Yes – Both	10	10	4	11	15	6	7	12	12	0	2	19	8
Yes – Unsure which police organization	2	5	1	2	1	4	5	2	0	0	2	4	2
No	58	60	58	57	57	61	63	54	54	81	74	51	58
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	602	140	97	364	264	278	204	193	173	16	73	131	387
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	612	146	106	359	197	354	151	253	180	12	51	135	413

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section.

## TABLE PO2:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN PO1] What was the general nature of your interaction(s) with the police?

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
I reported a crime/incident by telephone – non-emergency number	29	26	39	27	24	34	27	38	19	30	11	32	29
I needed an administrative service (for example, fingerprints, criminal records check)	21	20	15	24	21	24	32	20	15	30	5	23	23
I was supporting a victim/witness/suspect	18	18	17	18	19	15	25	15	16	0	22	18	16
I was involved in a motor vehicle accident	17	15	15	17	24	13	19	11	23	0	0	16	19
I was a driver/passenger in a traffic stop	17	5	14	22	23	13	9	18	25	0	23	23	14
I reported a crime/incident by telephone – 911	17	14	33	14	11	22	17	23	9	30	24	21	15
I was a witness to a crime	12	13	14	11	10	13	12	19	2	30	2	13	13
I made a complaint	12	16	13	10	12	12	11	11	10	30	16	9	11
I was a victim of crime	10	11	12	9	11	7	9	10	8	40	13	5	11
I gave compliments	6	5	10	6	11	2	1	5	11	0	2	6	6
I dealt with property which was lost/found/required destruction	5	7	1	5	4	5	13	3	1	0	12	4	5
I reported a crime/incident online	4	7	9	2	4	5	10	4	0	0	12	5	4
I reported a crime/incident in-person	2	0	6	2	1	3	1	3	1	0	2	0	3
I was required to testify at court	2	2	2	1	3	1	0	4	0	0	0	2	2
Work related interaction	1	3	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	1
I was a suspect in a criminal investigation	1	3	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1
Wellness check	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
I am in the media and requested information	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	8	11	7	7	6	7	6	10	6	30	14	6	8
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	252	55	41	155	113	108	75	89	80	3	19	63	163
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	258	58	45	154	84	143	60	113	76	3	18	57	175

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section.

# TABLE PO3A:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN PO1] Based on your interaction(s) with your local police in the past two years, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

The police treated me with respect

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	31	29	32	31	35	29	20	42	29	30	40	27	32
Agree	39	15	31	49	42	44	35	27	56	70	26	45	38
Neither agree nor disagree	11	18	17	8	9	12	11	14	9	0	13	8	12
Disagree	12	28	12	6	7	13	17	11	6	0	12	12	12
Strongly disagree	7	10	9	6	8	2	16	5	1	0	10	8	7
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	252	55	41	155	113	108	75	89	80	3	19	63	163
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	258	58	45	154	84	143	60	113	76	3	18	57	175
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	70	44	63	81	77	73	56	69	85	100	65	72	70
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	19	37	21	11	15	15	33	16	7	0	22	19	18

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE PO3B:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN PO1] Based on your interaction(s) with your local police in the past two years, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

The police took the time to understand my situation

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	31	20	33	35	34	31	30	38	25	30	38	24	34
Agree	32	16	34	37	34	37	19	31	44	70	12	46	27
Neither agree nor disagree	19	33	18	15	17	18	20	18	21	0	23	15	21
Disagree	9	19	3	7	6	9	13	7	7	0	5	7	10
Strongly disagree	9	12	12	6	8	5	17	6	2	0	22	8	7
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	252	55	41	155	113	108	75	89	80	3	19	63	163
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	258	58	45	154	84	143	60	113	76	3	18	57	175
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	63	36	67	72	68	68	49	69	70	100	50	70	62
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	18	31	15	14	14	14	31	14	9	0	27	15	17

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section.

### TABLE PO3C:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN PO1] Based on your interaction(s) with your local police in the past two years, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

I was provided with all the required information by the police

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	29	20	32	32	34	27	26	36	26	30	24	21	34
Agree	38	29	30	43	38	43	29	36	49	70	39	51	33
Neither agree nor disagree	15	27	15	10	12	16	20	12	13	0	11	13	16
Disagree	9	10	12	7	7	9	7	10	9	0	5	7	9
Strongly disagree	9	14	11	7	9	4	19	6	3	0	22	9	8
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	252	55	41	155	113	108	75	89	80	3	19	63	163
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	258	58	45	154	84	143	60	113	76	3	18	57	175
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	68	49	62	75	72	70	55	72	75	100	63	72	67
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	18	24	23	14	16	14	25	16	12	0	27	16	17

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section.

# TABLE PO3D:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN PO1] Based on your interaction(s) with your local police in the past two years, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

My situation was dealt with efficiently by the police

			YEARS	S IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	24	16	22	28	26	23	15	30	27	30	31	18	26
Agree	29	15	29	34	34	30	21	26	40	40	24	37	26
Neither agree nor disagree	17	23	23	13	12	20	17	17	19	0	23	10	19
Disagree	16	23	7	17	12	19	25	15	9	0	0	22	16
Strongly disagree	13	22	20	9	16	7	24	12	5	30	22	13	13
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	252	55	41	155	113	108	75	89	80	3	19	63	163
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	258	58	45	154	84	143	60	113	76	3	18	57	175
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	53	31	51	62	60	54	35	56	67	70	55	55	52
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	30	45	26	25	28	26	48	27	14	30	22	35	28

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section.

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

# TABLE PO3E:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN PO1] Based on your interaction(s) with your local police in the past two years, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

There were no accessibility barriers in my interaction(s) (for example, able to communicate in first or chosen language, and by my preferred communication method, buildings adapted to accommodate any disabilities, etc.)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	41	32	40	44	43	41	48	44	31	30	41	36	43
Agree	41	34	41	43	40	45	27	41	54	70	41	44	38
Neither agree nor disagree	12	19	12	9	10	10	13	10	11	0	5	13	12
Disagree	5	14	0	3	6	3	6	5	4	0	12	3	5
Strongly disagree	2	2	8	1	1	1	7	0	0	0	0	3	2
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	252	55	41	155	113	108	75	89	80	3	19	63	163
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	258	58	45	154	84	143	60	113	76	3	18	57	175
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	81	65	81	87	83	86	75	85	84	100	82	81	81
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	7	15	8	4	7	4	13	5	5	0	12	6	7

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section.

#### TABLE PO3F:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN PO1] Based on your interaction(s) with your local police in the past two years, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Overall, the police treated me fairly

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	35	29	28	39	41	31	33	40	32	30	42	30	37
Agree	34	17	42	37	36	39	14	35	50	70	27	36	33
Neither agree nor disagree	13	22	8	12	7	17	24	8	9	0	9	17	13
Disagree	10	16	13	7	9	10	11	10	9	0	0	12	10
Strongly disagree	8	17	9	5	7	3	19	5	1	0	22	5	8
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	252	55	41	155	113	108	75	89	80	3	19	63	163
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	258	58	45	154	84	143	60	113	76	3	18	57	175
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	69	46	70	76	76	70	47	76	82	100	69	67	69
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	18	32	22	12	16	13	29	16	10	0	22	17	18

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section.

# TABLE PO3A-F TOP 2 BOX SUMMARY

[ASK IF 'YES' IN PO1] Based on your interaction(s) with your local police in the past two years, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

# % STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
There were no accessibility barriers in my interaction(s) (for example, able to communicate in first or chosen language, and by my preferred communication method, buildings adapted to accommodate any disabilities, etc.)	81	65	81	87	83	86	75	85	84	100	82	81	81
The police treated me with respect	70	44	63	81	77	73	56	69	85	100	65	72	70
Overall, the police treated me fairly	69	46	70	76	76	70	47	76	82	100	69	67	69
I was provided with all the required information by the police	68	49	62	75	72	70	55	72	75	100	63	72	67
The police took the time to understand my situation	63	36	67	72	68	68	49	69	70	100	50	70	62
My situation was dealt with efficiently by the police	53	31	51	62	60	54	35	56	67	70	55	55	52

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section.

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

### TABLE PO4:

[ASK IF 'NO' IN PO1] Having not had any direct interaction with the police in the last two years, what is your opinion of the Halifax-area police based on?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Information in the media	73	78	87	67	77	69	86	70	60	53	79	59	76
Direct observation of police activity	55	57	60	53	62	48	58	64	43	48	53	50	56
Accounts from other people	44	53	58	37	43	43	64	38	27	20	55	34	45
Academic literature	16	22	19	12	17	14	27	13	2	8	12	2	20
I do not have an opinion on the police	13	10	7	16	10	16	8	10	22	19	13	20	12
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	348	84	56	207	150	168	129	104	93	13	55	66	223
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	351	88	61	202	113	208	91	139	103	9	33	76	237

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section.

# TABLE PO5A:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN PO1] To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

I would call 911 if I needed police assistance in an emergency

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	66	48	70	71	66	71	56	66	76	86	76	70	63
Agree	26	34	23	24	28	22	30	25	23	14	15	23	29
Neither agree nor disagree	4	9	4	3	4	4	8	4	0	0	2	4	5
Disagree	3	7	3	1	2	3	5	4	1	0	5	2	3
Strongly disagree	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	2	1
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	600	140	97	362	264	275	204	193	172	16	73	130	387
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	608	146	106	355	197	350	151	252	178	12	50	133	412
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	92	83	93	95	94	94	86	92	99	100	91	93	92
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	4	8	3	2	3	3	6	4	1	0	7	3	4

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section.

# TABLE PO5B:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN PO1] To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

I would call the non-emergency number if I needed police assistance in a non-emergency

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	44	34	41	49	41	51	39	46	48	56	51	39	44
Agree	39	34	45	40	43	37	34	41	44	36	36	45	38
Neither agree nor disagree	8	20	5	4	8	6	14	4	5	8	6	9	8
Disagree	5	9	4	4	5	3	8	6	1	0	3	3	6
Strongly disagree	4	2	6	4	4	2	5	3	2	0	3	3	4
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	600	140	97	362	264	275	204	193	172	16	73	130	387
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	608	146	106	355	197	350	151	252	178	12	50	133	412
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	83	68	86	89	84	88	73	87	92	92	88	85	82
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	9	11	9	7	9	5	13	9	3	0	6	6	10

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

### TABLE PO5C:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN PO1] To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

If I were a victim or witness in a crime, I would report it to the police

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	49	40	50	53	52	52	41	50	60	64	58	53	47
Agree	33	33	33	33	33	34	31	33	35	29	28	29	35
Neither agree nor disagree	13	20	14	10	11	11	22	11	3	8	8	12	14
Disagree	3	5	3	3	3	3	4	5	1	0	4	3	3
Strongly disagree	1	2	1	1	1	0	2	1	1	0	3	2	1
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	600	140	97	362	264	275	204	193	172	16	73	130	387
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	608	146	106	355	197	350	151	252	178	12	50	133	412
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	82	72	83	86	85	85	71	83	95	92	86	82	82
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	5	8	3	4	4	4	6	6	2	0	7	5	4

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section.

### TABLE PO5D:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN PO1] To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

I would cooperate with the police if they asked me to

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	46	31	53	50	44	52	40	43	56	67	55	51	43
Agree	36	40	27	37	39	34	33	40	37	21	34	37	37
Neither agree nor disagree	13	20	18	10	14	10	19	14	6	12	4	9	16
Disagree	1	4	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	0	5	0	1
Strongly disagree	3	6	2	1	3	2	6	1	1	0	1	3	3
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	600	140	97	362	264	275	204	193	172	16	73	130	387
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	608	146	106	355	197	350	151	252	178	12	50	133	412
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	83	71	80	88	83	86	72	84	93	88	89	87	80
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	4	10	2	2	3	4	9	2	1	0	6	3	4

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section.

# TABLE PO5E:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN PO1] To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

If I felt it were necessary, I would make a complaint against police

			YEARS	S IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	42	47	52	38	46	40	53	40	35	21	55	32	43
Agree	44	34	33	51	46	44	35	45	53	50	34	53	44
Neither agree nor disagree	10	16	9	9	5	13	7	12	9	30	6	11	10
Disagree	2	3	5	1	1	3	4	2	1	0	3	1	3
Strongly disagree	1	0	1	2	2	0	1	1	2	0	2	3	1
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	600	140	97	362	264	275	204	193	172	16	73	130	387
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	608	146	106	355	197	350	151	252	178	12	50	133	412
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	86	81	85	88	92	84	88	85	88	70	89	85	87
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	4	3	6	3	3	3	5	3	3	0	5	4	3

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section.

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

### TABLE PO5F:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN PO1] To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Police information is readily available (for example, contact information on websites, media news releases, online crime prevention advice)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	15	13	30	12	19	14	13	21	16	0	30	12	14
Agree	42	36	36	45	45	40	35	40	51	54	33	53	40
Neither agree nor disagree	28	32	23	27	22	32	29	27	24	39	29	20	30
Disagree	12	15	6	12	9	13	16	11	8	6	9	14	11
Strongly disagree	4	3	5	3	5	1	7	2	1	0	0	2	5
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	600	140	97	362	264	275	204	193	172	16	73	130	387
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	608	146	106	355	197	350	151	252	178	12	50	133	412
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	57	50	66	58	64	54	48	61	67	54	63	64	54
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	15	18	12	15	14	14	23	12	9	6	9	16	16

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section.

### TABLE PO5A-F TOP 2 BOX SUMMARY

[ASK IF 'YES' IN PO1] To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

### % STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
I would call 911 if I needed police assistance in an emergency	92	83	93	95	94	94	86	92	99	100	91	93	92
If I felt it were necessary, I would make a complaint against police	86	81	85	88	92	84	88	85	88	70	89	85	87
I would call the non-emergency number if I needed police assistance in a non-emergency	83	68	86	89	84	88	73	87	92	92	88	85	82
I would cooperate with the police if they asked me to	83	71	80	88	83	86	72	84	93	88	89	87	80
If I were a victim or witness in a crime, I would report it to the police	82	72	83	86	85	85	71	83	95	92	86	82	82
Police information is readily available (for example, contact information on websites, media news releases, online crime prevention advice)	57	50	66	58	64	54	48	61	67	54	63	64	54

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section.

## TABLE PO6:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN PO1] Taking everything into account, how good a job do you think the police in the municipality are doing?

			YEARS	S IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Excellent	9	5	5	11	11	8	7	8	12	21	4	13	8
Good	38	24	44	42	39	42	26	38	54	47	49	41	36
Average	30	32	31	30	28	32	33	30	25	32	33	32	29
Poor	15	25	13	11	15	11	22	14	8	0	7	9	18
Very poor	8	13	8	6	7	7	12	10	2	0	8	6	9
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	600	140	97	362	264	275	204	193	172	16	73	130	387
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	608	146	106	355	197	350	151	252	178	12	50	133	412
% EXCELLENT/GOOD	47	30	48	53	50	50	33	45	66	68	53	54	44
% POOR/VERY POOR	23	39	20	17	22	18	34	25	10	0	14	15	27

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section.

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE PS1A:

For the following questions, please indicate the extent to which you feel safe or unsafe.

How safe from crime do you feel walking alone in your neighbourhood during the day?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very safe	61	67	70	56	69	56	62	63	59	61	51	49	67
Reasonably safe	34	32	26	36	26	39	33	30	36	39	39	39	30
Somewhat unsafe	4	1	4	5	3	4	3	5	4	0	7	8	2
Very unsafe	2	0	0	3	2	2	2	2	2	0	2	4	1
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	603	138	98	366	267	274	205	193	174	16	73	130	390
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	612	144	108	359	199	351	152	253	179	12	50	133	416
% VERY/REASONABLY SAFE	94	99	96	92	95	95	95	93	95	100	91	88	97
% SOMEWHAT/VERY UNSAFE	6	1	4	8	5	5	5	7	5	0	9	12	3

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/Not applicable' have been removed from this table

# TABLE PS1B:

For the following questions, please indicate the extent to which you feel safe or unsafe.

How safe from crime do you feel walking alone in your neighbourhood after dark?

			YEARS	S IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very safe	30	28	43	28	42	21	29	37	30	0	27	25	34
Reasonably safe	42	47	33	42	41	45	38	38	47	71	38	43	42
Somewhat unsafe	20	21	18	20	14	21	25	18	16	23	20	20	20
Very unsafe	8	5	6	9	3	13	9	7	8	6	15	11	5
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	601	138	97	365	267	272	205	192	174	16	73	130	388
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	610	144	107	358	199	349	152	252	179	12	50	133	415
% VERY/REASONABLY SAFE	73	75	77	71	83	66	67	75	77	71	65	69	75
% SOMEWHAT/VERY UNSAFE	27	25	23	29	17	34	33	25	23	29	35	31	25

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/Not applicable' have been removed from this table.

## TABLE PS1C:

For the following questions, please indicate the extent to which you feel safe or unsafe.

How safe from crime would you feel walking alone at night in downtown Halifax?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very safe	8	9	12	7	13	5	14	8	4	0	7	3	11
Reasonably safe	33	50	37	25	41	26	35	37	30	8	28	26	37
Somewhat unsafe	32	28	30	34	29	35	31	30	34	47	29	32	33
Very unsafe	26	12	21	33	17	35	20	25	32	45	36	39	20
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	593	137	97	357	263	267	203	189	170	16	73	128	382
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	601	143	106	351	196	343	150	249	175	12	50	131	408
% VERY/REASONABLY SAFE	42	60	49	33	54	30	50	45	34	8	36	28	48
% SOMEWHAT/VERY UNSAFE	58	40	51	67	46	70	50	55	66	92	64	72	52

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE PS1D:

For the following questions, please indicate the extent to which you feel safe or unsafe.

How safe from crime would you feel walking alone at night in downtown Dartmouth?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very safe	9	15	12	6	14	4	14	6	7	0	9	4	10
Reasonably safe	27	34	31	23	37	19	25	31	26	8	18	26	29
Somewhat unsafe	33	27	31	36	32	33	31	29	37	53	30	27	35
Very unsafe	32	24	26	36	17	44	29	34	30	39	43	43	26
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	525	112	84	328	228	244	173	174	151	15	61	114	343
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	533	120	94	318	171	309	128	226	156	11	44	115	364
% VERY/REASONABLY SAFE	36	49	43	29	51	23	40	37	33	8	27	30	39
% SOMEWHAT/VERY UNSAFE	64	51	57	71	49	77	60	63	67	92	73	70	61

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/Not applicable' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE PS1A-D TOP 2 BOX SUMMARY

For the following questions, please indicate the extent to which you feel safe or unsafe.

# % VERY/REASONABLY SAFE

			YEARS	S IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
How safe from crime do you feel walking alone in your neighbourhood during the day?	94	99	96	92	95	95	95	93	95	100	91	88	97
How safe from crime do you feel walking alone in your neighbourhood after dark?	73	75	77	71	83	66	67	75	77	71	65	69	75
How safe from crime would you feel walking alone at night in downtown Halifax?	42	60	49	33	54	30	50	45	34	8	36	28	48
How safe from crime would you feel walking alone at night in downtown Dartmouth?	36	49	43	29	51	23	40	37	33	8	27	30	39

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/Not applicable' have been removed from this table.

## TABLE PS2A:

In general, how safe do you feel when using the following municipal services?

## Transit services

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very safe	15	20	22	11	20	12	16	14	17	21	13	6	19
Reasonably safe	54	61	51	52	56	52	56	52	53	62	40	53	57
Somewhat unsafe	24	16	26	28	17	30	27	25	19	17	39	28	20
Very unsafe	6	2	2	9	6	6	1	9	11	0	8	14	4
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	493	122	87	283	222	218	189	164	116	11	68	93	324
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	493	125	95	272	163	277	140	211	121	8	43	101	340
% VERY/REASONABLY SAFE	69	81	72	63	77	64	72	66	70	83	53	59	76
% SOMEWHAT/VERY UNSAFE	31	19	28	37	23	36	28	34	30	17	47	41	24

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE PS2B:

In general, how safe do you feel when using the following municipal services?

#### Parks

			YEARS	S IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very safe	28	35	41	22	33	24	34	29	22	25	28	20	31
Reasonably safe	60	55	51	64	59	62	58	58	62	68	58	58	60
Somewhat unsafe	10	9	6	12	7	13	7	11	13	7	12	17	8
Very unsafe	2	1	2	2	1	1	1	2	3	0	2	4	1
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	569	134	97	338	253	259	196	182	162	13	57	124	378
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	586	141	106	338	192	336	150	243	166	11	42	125	407
% VERY/REASONABLY SAFE	88	90	92	86	92	86	92	87	84	93	86	79	91
% SOMEWHAT/VERY UNSAFE	12	10	8	14	8	14	8	13	16	7	14	21	9

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/Not applicable' have been removed from this table

# TABLE PS2C:

In general, how safe do you feel when using the following municipal services?

Outdoor recreation (for example, ball fields, sports fields, etc.)

			YEARS	S IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very safe	42	43	48	40	45	40	49	37	40	71	41	33	45
Reasonably safe	54	54	51	55	53	53	49	58	54	29	49	59	52
Somewhat unsafe	3	3	1	5	2	5	2	4	4	0	7	6	2
Very unsafe	1	1	0	1	0	2	0	1	2	0	3	2	0
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	453	109	84	260	221	189	163	156	122	3	42	99	305
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	470	111	90	268	165	261	126	205	127	2	31	103	327
% VERY/REASONABLY SAFE	96	97	99	94	98	93	98	95	94	100	90	92	98
% SOMEWHAT/VERY UNSAFE	4	3	1	6	2	7	2	5	6	0	10	8	2

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/Not applicable' have been removed from this table.

## TABLE PS2D:

In general, how safe do you feel when using the following municipal services?

Indoor recreation (for example, pools, rec centres, etc.)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very safe	58	65	62	54	66	54	71	51	56	64	52	48	63
Reasonably safe	39	32	38	41	31	44	26	46	40	36	41	48	35
Somewhat unsafe	2	3	0	2	1	1	2	1	4	0	8	4	1
Very unsafe	1	0	0	2	2	0	1	2	1	0	0	1	1
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	440	101	79	260	197	200	145	155	123	7	35	96	303
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	462	105	85	272	150	269	117	202	130	3	26	99	331
% VERY/REASONABLY SAFE	97	97	100	96	97	98	97	97	96	100	92	95	98
% SOMEWHAT/VERY UNSAFE	3	3	0	4	3	2	3	3	4	0	8	5	2

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE PS2E:

In general, how safe do you feel when using the following municipal services?

#### Libraries

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very safe	61	61	60	62	71	55	60	59	68	56	42	57	66
Reasonably safe	33	32	36	32	24	41	34	33	27	44	52	33	28
Somewhat unsafe	5	8	5	4	3	4	5	6	4	0	5	7	4
Very unsafe	2	0	0	3	3	0	1	3	1	0	0	4	1
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	535	128	94	312	226	251	185	170	150	15	62	113	350
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	555	134	105	315	173	324	143	229	157	11	44	117	382
% VERY/REASONABLY SAFE	94	92	95	94	94	95	94	91	95	100	95	90	95
% SOMEWHAT/VERY UNSAFE	6	8	5	6	6	5	6	9	5	0	5	10	5

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/Not applicable' have been removed from this table

# TABLE PS2F:

In general, how safe do you feel when using the following municipal services?

# Active transit - Bike lanes

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very safe	8	6	4	10	10	8	8	9	6	0	6	10	7
Reasonably safe	28	23	30	30	34	22	24	28	34	0	32	33	26
Somewhat unsafe	38	39	32	41	42	35	42	39	33	100	39	36	39
Very unsafe	26	32	33	20	15	36	26	24	26	0	23	21	27
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	313	90	58	163	156	118	122	109	72	1	31	50	228
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	323	93	63	166	121	164	96	141	77	1	21	60	238
% VERY/REASONABLY SAFE	36	29	35	40	43	30	32	38	41	0	39	43	34
% SOMEWHAT/VERY UNSAFE	64	71	65	60	57	70	68	62	59	100	61	57	66

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/Not applicable' have been removed from this table.

## TABLE PS2G:

In general, how safe do you feel when using the following municipal services?

# Active transit – sidewalks, walkways

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very safe	20	19	16	22	22	20	19	20	20	39	15	18	22
Reasonably safe	57	51	68	57	57	60	54	60	58	45	66	58	54
Somewhat unsafe	17	23	10	17	15	16	21	14	19	16	18	19	17
Very unsafe	5	7	6	4	6	4	6	6	4	0	2	5	6
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	562	135	96	330	254	251	194	183	156	15	72	120	361
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	578	141	105	331	190	331	146	244	163	11	49	125	393
% VERY/REASONABLY SAFE	77	69	84	79	79	80	73	80	78	84	81	76	77
% SOMEWHAT/VERY UNSAFE	23	31	16	21	21	20	27	20	22	16	19	24	23

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

### TABLE PS2A-G TOP 2 BOX SUMMARY

In general, how safe do you feel when using the following municipal services?

### % VERY/REASONABLY SAFE

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Indoor recreation (for example, pools, rec centres, etc.)	97	97	100	96	97	98	97	97	96	100	92	95	98
Outdoor recreation (for example, ball fields, sports fields, etc.)	96	97	99	94	98	93	98	95	94	100	90	92	98
Libraries	94	92	95	94	94	95	94	91	95	100	95	90	95
Parks	88	90	92	86	92	86	92	87	84	93	86	79	91
Active transit – sidewalks, walkways	77	69	84	79	79	80	73	80	78	84	81	76	77
Transit services	69	81	72	63	77	64	72	66	70	83	53	59	76
Active transit – Bike lanes	36	29	35	40	43	30	32	38	41	0	39	43	34

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/Not applicable' have been removed from this table.

### TABLE PS3:

How confident are you that Halifax Regional Fire & Emergency (HRFE) will respond to emergency calls in a timely manner?

			YEARS	S IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Completely confident	25	26	27	25	28	23	22	23	30	53	22	27	26
Confident	61	63	62	60	62	61	60	61	62	47	64	58	61
Not very confident	11	10	7	12	7	14	15	11	6	0	14	10	10
Not at all confident	3	1	4	3	3	2	3	4	2	0	0	5	3
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	572	125	97	349	262	255	189	186	168	13	72	128	362
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	583	131	105	346	194	333	139	245	172	11	49	131	390
% VERY CONFIDENT/CONFIDENT	86	89	89	85	91	84	82	85	92	100	86	85	87
% NOT VERY/NOT AT ALL CONFIDENT	14	11	11	15	9	16	18	15	8	0	14	15	13

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE PS4:

Over the past year, have you or a member of your household had any interaction with HRFE members as they performed their services (for example, fighting fires, car rescue, medical response, fire prevention, investigation)?

prevention, investigation).													
			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	16	14	21	15	16	15	12	20	14	21	8	22	15
No	84	86	79	85	84	85	88	80	86	79	92	78	85
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	602	138	98	365	267	275	205	192	174	16	73	130	389
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	612	144	108	359	199	352	152	253	179	12	50	134	415

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE PS5A:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN PS4] Since you or one of your household members had an interaction with HRFE in the past year, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

The HRFE members(s) displayed professionalism in performing their duties

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		ı	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	63	58	81	57	57	63	54	61	70	100	100	48	67
Agree	34	42	19	38	37	37	46	32	30	0	0	52	28
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Strongly disagree	3	0	0	5	6	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	5
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	95	19	21	55	42	42	25	39	24	3	6	29	59
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	107	25	25	56	33	62	23	53	24	3	7	26	71
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	97	100	100	95	94	100	100	93	100	100	100	100	95
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	3	0	0	5	6	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	5
			l				l	l	l				

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

#### TABLE PS5B:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN PS4] Since you or one of your household members had an interaction with HRFE in the past year, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

The HRFE member(s) treated me and/or my family with compassion/care/respect

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		Е	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Strongly agree	58	48	73	55	53	63	43	61	66	100	82	45	63
Agree	37	52	20	38	39	33	57	29	30	0	18	52	30
Disagree	3	0	7	2	2	4	0	4	4	0	0	3	3
Strongly disagree	3	0	0	5	6	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	5
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	95	19	21	55	42	42	25	39	24	3	6	29	59
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	107	25	25	56	33	62	23	53	24	3	7	26	71
% STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE	95	100	93	93	91	96	100	89	96	100	100	97	93
% DISAGREE/STRONGLY DISAGREE	5	0	7	7	9	4	0	11	4	0	0	3	7

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

## TABLE PS5A-B TOP 2 BOX SUMMARY

[ASK IF 'YES' IN PS4] Since you or one of your household members had an interaction with HRFE in the past year, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

# % STRONGLY AGREE/AGREE

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
The HRFE members(s) displayed professionalism in performing their duties	97	100	100	95	94	100	100	93	100	100	100	100	95
The HRFE member(s) treated me and/or my family with compassion/care/respect	95	100	93	93	91	96	100	89	96	100	100	97	93

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

## TABLE RL1:

Have you registered for or participated in a municipally-run recreation program over the past 12 months?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	27	20	34	29	22	32	16	38	28	15	8	25	32
No	73	80	66	71	78	68	84	62	72	85	92	75	68
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	598	141	98	359	259	277	196	196	175	16	73	132	383
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	611	147	107	356	198	351	149	255	179	12	50	135	413

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE RL2:

Do you find it difficult to access any municipal facilities or participate in any recreation programs or services run by the municipality?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	20	22	20	18	15	21	18	26	14	12	14	19	21
No	80	78	80	82	85	79	82	74	86	88	86	81	79
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	598	141	98	359	259	277	196	196	175	16	73	132	383
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	611	147	107	356	198	351	149	255	179	12	50	135	413

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section.

TABLE RL3:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN RL2] What, if any, are reasons why you (or your children/family) find it difficult to access municipal facilities or recreation and leisure programs run by the municipality?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Programs/facilities are full or booked	48	60	37	45	63	42	50	61	23	0	17	40	55
No desired facilities or programs of interest are within a reasonable distance from my home/takes too long to get there	45	36	45	48	53	42	31	50	52	0	18	48	48
Not convenient (for example, time/day of registration)	40	51	41	33	43	35	48	44	21	0	39	43	39
Costs too much to use the municipal facility/fees are too high	30	38	22	29	18	34	36	22	39	0	33	41	26
No transit service to local facilities	28	40	24	24	25	22	33	29	26	0	22	37	26
Facilities are not open during times I can use them	21	33	22	14	30	12	29	25	3	0	12	9	26
Registration/user fees are too high compared to other recreation service providers or athletic associations (non-municip	17	11	13	21	12	19	9	14	35	0	12	23	16
No recreation programming of interest to me	17	10	19	18	18	11	16	13	18	0	38	15	15
Not able to access the online services (for example, registration/booking)	11	11	10	10	13	9	12	15	0	0	0	17	11
No applicable services for my age group	10	3	16	10	12	9	7	6	21	0	7	14	9
Lack of programming available for individuals requiring inclusion support	7	1	8	9	4	4	6	12	0	0	13	25	1
I do not feel safe accessing municipal facilities or recreation programs and/or services	5	3	4	6	2	6	5	2	12	0	12	6	3
Recreation facilities are not accessible to me (not disabled-friendly)	5	1	6	6	5	6	2	5	3	62	4	7	3
Other	4	1	3	5	2	6	2	5	0	38	0	0	5
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	116	31	19	64	38	57	35	51	24	2	10	25	80
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	136	35	25	75	33	82	37	66	27	2	14	32	89

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

### TABLE PR1A:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following park assets/park services provided by the municipality.

### Splash pads

			YEARS	S IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		I	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	19	12	32	18	13	26	24	20	16	0	23	16	19
Satisfied	69	75	65	68	78	62	65	66	75	100	54	65	73
Dissatisfied	10	9	3	13	9	8	9	11	9	0	23	14	7
Very dissatisfied	2	5	0	2	0	4	2	3	0	0	0	4	1
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	176	33	31	112	78	82	41	85	44	2	18	42	115
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	197	36	39	122	67	114	37	104	51	1	17	47	132
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	88	87	97	86	91	88	89	86	91	100	77	81	92
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	12	13	3	14	9	12	11	14	9	0	23	19	8

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Haven't used' have been removed from this table.

### TABLE PR1B:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following park assets/park services provided by the municipality.

### Outdoor pools

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	25	29	38	18	19	28	35	21	20	0	27	16	27
Satisfied	58	58	55	60	71	49	57	64	51	0	57	57	59
Dissatisfied	12	6	3	17	8	17	5	8	22	100	12	19	10
Very dissatisfied	5	6	4	5	2	6	3	6	7	0	4	8	4
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	196	43	39	114	81	92	61	83	46	2	19	41	135
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	221	50	45	126	66	132	54	106	56	1	14	47	158
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	83	88	93	78	90	77	92	86	72	0	84	73	86
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	17	12	7	22	10	23	8	14	28	100	16	27	14

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Haven't used' have been removed from this table.

## TABLE PR1C:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following park assets/park services provided by the municipality.

# Multi-use paths

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		I	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	17	19	15	17	18	17	19	18	15	0	8	18	18
Satisfied	70	68	69	70	66	73	63	70	75	89	80	73	67
Dissatisfied	11	9	13	10	12	8	14	9	9	11	12	6	12
Very dissatisfied	3	4	2	2	4	2	3	3	2	0	0	4	3
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	533	114	87	331	238	238	181	176	151	11	62	113	348
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	540	125	94	320	179	304	137	227	153	9	41	113	375
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	87	87	85	87	84	90	82	88	89	89	88	91	85
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	13	13	15	13	16	10	18	12	11	11	12	9	15

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

### TABLE PR1D:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following park assets/park services provided by the municipality.

#### Wilderness trails

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	21	24	27	18	28	17	26	22	16	0	30	17	20
Satisfied	74	73	68	76	65	80	69	74	78	87	64	76	75
Dissatisfied	4	2	5	5	5	3	4	3	5	13	4	6	4
Very dissatisfied	1	1	0	1	2	0	1	1	1	0	2	0	1
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	513	116	85	310	222	239	174	172	143	10	57	105	341
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	520	122	91	306	170	298	129	225	146	7	40	109	359
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	95	97	95	94	93	97	94	96	94	87	93	94	95
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	5	3	5	6	7	3	6	4	6	13	7	6	5

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Haven't used' have been removed from this table.

Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following park assets/park services provided by the municipality.

### Community gardens

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	21	25	14	20	20	23	29	16	15	58	42	8	21
Satisfied	64	56	69	66	69	60	53	69	72	42	39	77	63
Dissatisfied	14	16	16	13	11	14	18	12	11	0	18	15	14
Very dissatisfied	1	3	2	0	0	3	0	3	1	0	1	0	1
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	270	73	44	153	121	120	93	87	76	6	32	58	172
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	274	70	53	151	94	151	70	117	76	3	26	58	181
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	84	81	83	86	89	83	82	85	88	100	81	85	85
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	16	19	17	14	11	17	18	15	12	0	19	15	15

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Haven't used' have been removed from this table.

## TABLE PR1F:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following park assets/park services provided by the municipality.

# Grass sport fields

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	15	24	15	12	18	14	19	17	9	0	29	13	14
Satisfied	71	71	74	71	68	73	73	66	75	76	67	70	73
Dissatisfied	10	2	10	12	10	10	7	11	12	24	4	13	9
Very dissatisfied	4	3	0	5	4	3	1	7	5	0	0	4	4
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	325	79	54	192	165	131	131	113	74	3	32	62	227
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	330	75	60	195	122	180	97	146	81	2	24	67	235
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	87	95	90	83	86	87	92	83	84	76	96	83	87
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	13	5	10	17	14	13	8	17	16	24	4	17	13

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

### TABLE PR1G:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following park assets/park services provided by the municipality.

### All-weather sports fields

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	17	25	13	16	18	18	19	20	12	0	11	13	20
Satisfied	72	64	80	73	71	75	78	66	72	100	81	67	73
Dissatisfied	8	7	7	8	9	3	2	7	15	0	8	16	5
Very dissatisfied	3	4	0	3	2	4	0	6	1	0	0	4	3
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	256	53	39	163	136	97	93	95	60	2	26	49	177
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	252	50	43	159	99	130	62	119	65	1	20	53	175
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	90	89	93	89	89	93	98	87	84	100	92	81	92
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	10	11	7	11	11	7	2	13	16	0	8	19	8

This section of the survey was optional, only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Haven't used' have been removed from this table.

### TABLE PR1H:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following park assets/park services provided by the municipality.

### Ball diamonds

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	14	23	9	12	16	13	21	10	12	0	29	17	11
Satisfied	69	62	81	69	66	69	65	63	84	100	69	52	75
Dissatisfied	12	8	11	13	14	11	12	17	3	0	2	22	10
Very dissatisfied	5	7	0	6	4	6	3	9	1	0	0	8	4
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	197	42	31	124	98	79	70	82	38	2	17	45	133
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	205	45	33	127	77	108	55	101	44	1	14	47	141
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	83	85	89	81	82	83	86	74	96	100	98	69	86
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	17	15	11	19	18	17	14	26	4	0	2	31	14

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Haven't used' have been removed from this table.

## TABLE PR1I:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following park assets/park services provided by the municipality.

# Basketball courts

			YEARS	S IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	12	14	8	13	12	13	17	12	5	0	31	8	9
Satisfied	73	75	90	67	74	70	74	68	81	0	64	67	77
Dissatisfied	10	7	1	14	10	11	9	10	11	0	5	19	8
Very dissatisfied	5	4	0	6	4	6	0	9	3	0	0	6	5
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	165	35	31	99	93	56	57	75	31	0	22	36	105
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	169	37	29	103	71	82	42	88	36	0	17	36	114
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	85	89	99	80	86	83	91	81	86		95	75	87
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	15	11	1	20	14	17	9	19	14		5	25	13

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE PR1J:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following park assets/park services provided by the municipality.

#### Pickleball courts

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	14	15	24	11	11	19	28	16	2	0	21	7	15
Satisfied	66	73	65	64	74	58	56	74	64	100	45	75	65
Dissatisfied	14	10	11	16	9	16	13	8	19	0	23	13	14
Very dissatisfied	6	2	0	9	6	8	2	2	14	0	11	5	6
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	150	33	19	98	70	64	40	51	52	2	15	40	92
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	153	33	21	99	59	78	30	65	52	1	14	34	102
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	80	88	89	75	85	77	85	90	67	100	66	81	81
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	20	12	11	25	15	23	15	10	33	0	34	19	19

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Haven't used' have been removed from this table.

### TABLE PR1K:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following park assets/park services provided by the municipality.

### Tennis courts

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	13	20	9	12	10	19	20	11	7	0	4	9	15
Satisfied	77	71	86	76	82	70	71	76	86	0	95	79	73
Dissatisfied	8	3	5	10	7	7	9	8	5	0	0	8	9
Very dissatisfied	2	6	0	2	1	4	0	5	3	0	2	4	2
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	208	45	32	132	116	72	75	73	54	0	23	37	143
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	204	43	33	128	82	102	53	89	56	0	18	38	143
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	90	91	95	88	92	89	91	87	93		98	88	89
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	10	9	5	12	8	11	9	13	7		2	12	11

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Haven't used' have been removed from this table.

## TABLE PR1L:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following park assets/park services provided by the municipality.

# Volleyball courts

			YEARS	S IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	16	36	16	9	16	14	27	14	4	0	5	16	18
Satisfied	75	49	77	84	81	69	67	73	92	0	81	76	74
Dissatisfied	5	9	4	4	1	8	0	8	4	0	5	9	3
Very dissatisfied	4	6	4	3	2	8	5	6	0	0	9	0	5
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	100	24	10	66	61	27	35	39	21	0	16	23	60
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	93	21	12	60	43	38	22	45	22	0	13	23	56
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	91	85	92	93	97	83	95	87	96		86	91	92
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	9	15	8	7	3	17	5	13	4		14	9	8

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

### TABLE PR1M:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following park assets/park services provided by the municipality.

#### Skate parks

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	14	24	26	8	12	18	26	14	3	0	20	12	12
Satisfied	76	66	66	81	80	71	59	83	85	0	59	79	82
Dissatisfied	10	10	8	10	7	10	15	2	13	0	19	9	6
Very dissatisfied	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	138	30	20	88	69	52	43	52	39	0	29	35	73
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	144	32	23	89	53	74	34	67	39	0	21	34	88
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	90	90	92	90	93	89	85	97	87		79	91	94
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	10	10	8	10	7	11	15	3	13		21	9	6

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Haven't used' have been removed from this table.

Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following park assets/park services provided by the municipality.

### Bicycle pump tracks

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	13	26	15	8	14	11	19	16	2	0	0	14	14
Satisfied	72	62	63	76	71	71	56	77	82	0	61	82	71
Dissatisfied	13	12	22	11	12	17	25	2	16	0	36	4	12
Very dissatisfied	3	0	0	4	3	1	0	6	0	0	2	0	4
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	121	23	16	81	79	30	33	52	33	0	16	30	74
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	111	21	18	72	55	44	24	55	30	0	13	26	71
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	85	88	78	85	84	82	75	92	84		61	96	85
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	15	12	22	15	16	18	25	8	16		39	4	15

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Haven't used' have been removed from this table.

## TABLE PR10:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following park assets/park services provided by the municipality.

# Lawn bowling

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	16	17	23	14	18	12	21	22	8	0	0	18	18
Satisfied	77	68	73	81	80	74	79	71	83	0	89	82	72
Dissatisfied	3	0	4	4	2	2	0	0	5	0	0	0	6
Very dissatisfied	4	14	0	1	0	13	0	7	4	0	11	0	4
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	68	16	9	43	35	21	17	25	22	0	11	20	37
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	68	15	10	43	31	26	13	30	22	0	9	17	41
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	93	86	96	95	98	86	100	93	92		89	100	90
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	7	14	4	5	2	14	0	7	8		11	0	10

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

### TABLE PR1P:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following park assets/park services provided by the municipality.

### Outdoor fitness equipment

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	13	18	8	11	16	10	19	14	3	0	6	14	13
Satisfied	63	62	53	66	67	58	49	63	78	100	51	69	63
Dissatisfied	19	16	32	16	8	29	25	18	12	0	30	6	21
Very dissatisfied	6	3	6	6	8	3	7	5	6	0	13	11	3
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	149	40	26	82	66	59	48	58	36	2	17	26	104
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	155	36	27	92	53	79	38	71	41	1	15	25	113
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	75	80	61	77	83	69	69	77	82	100	57	84	76
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	25	20	39	23	17	31	31	23	18	0	43	16	24

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Haven't used' have been removed from this table.

### TABLE PR1Q:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following park assets/park services provided by the municipality.

### Public art

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	12	17	16	8	9	14	14	14	6	0	9	7	13
Satisfied	74	70	68	78	77	76	73	72	80	100	78	80	73
Dissatisfied	11	11	13	10	9	8	9	11	12	0	7	12	11
Very dissatisfied	4	2	3	5	5	2	5	3	2	0	7	1	4
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	395	108	65	221	169	179	155	124	96	10	50	60	279
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	410	110	76	223	130	233	121	168	104	8	35	67	300
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	86	87	84	86	86	90	86	85	86	100	86	87	85
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	14	13	16	14	14	10	14	15	14	0	14	13	15

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Haven't used' have been removed from this table.

## TABLE PR1R:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following park assets/park services provided by the municipality.

# Off-leash dog parks

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	9	15	11	7	11	7	13	11	3	0	0	5	12
Satisfied	61	57	65	62	59	65	59	58	68	100	77	63	59
Dissatisfied	21	20	17	22	20	21	19	23	20	0	11	21	21
Very dissatisfied	9	8	7	10	9	7	9	9	9	0	12	11	8
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	269	65	43	161	126	118	95	96	70	4	29	58	178
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	271	63	50	158	94	152	68	125	71	3	19	58	190
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	71	72	76	69	70	72	72	68	71	100	77	68	71
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	29	28	24	31	30	28	28	32	29	0	23	32	29

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE PR1S:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following park assets/park services provided by the municipality.

#### Municipal beaches/waterfront areas

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	16	22	23	11	12	19	22	17	6	19	14	9	18
Satisfied	71	63	65	75	77	69	64	72	77	81	72	70	71
Dissatisfied	11	12	11	10	8	8	9	10	14	0	8	16	9
Very dissatisfied	3	3	1	4	2	4	5	1	3	0	6	5	2
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	518	118	88	310	224	239	182	173	141	9	59	113	337
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	523	122	95	305	168	300	133	227	143	7	41	115	357
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	86	85	89	86	89	87	87	89	83	100	86	80	89
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	14	15	11	14	11	13	13	11	17	0	14	20	11

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Haven't used' have been removed from this table.

### TABLE PR1T:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following park assets/park services provided by the municipality.

### Condition of public washrooms located in a park

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	5	8	8	3	6	4	6	7	2	0	0	4	6
Satisfied	47	57	41	45	49	47	43	49	48	80	30	48	50
Dissatisfied	35	23	42	38	32	38	37	33	37	20	54	29	34
Very dissatisfied	13	13	9	15	13	11	15	11	13	0	16	19	11
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	418	102	76	240	173	191	148	152	102	6	45	88	278
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	437	106	86	244	142	241	116	196	111	4	32	94	303
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	52	64	49	48	55	51	48	56	50	80	30	53	55
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	48	36	51	52	45	49	52	44	50	20	70	47	45

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Haven't used' have been removed from this table.

### TABLE PR1U:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following park assets/park services provided by the municipality.

# Availability of public washrooms located in a park

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	2	3	2	1	2	1	3	1	0	0	0	2	1
Satisfied	38	42	32	39	41	38	34	43	35	80	33	46	38
Dissatisfied	44	40	49	45	39	49	40	45	51	20	37	42	46
Very dissatisfied	16	15	17	16	17	13	23	10	13	0	30	10	15
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	453	107	81	264	191	205	167	156	113	6	52	92	301
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	465	113	87	264	150	258	126	202	122	4	36	98	323
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	40	45	34	40	43	38	37	45	36	80	33	48	39
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	60	55	66	60	57	62	63	55	64	20	67	52	61

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE PR1V:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following park assets/park services provided by the municipality.

Availability or ease of booking municipal park assets

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	5	3	8	4	6	3	2	10	0	0	0	3	6
Satisfied	74	83	69	73	79	66	74	71	86	0	57	79	76
Dissatisfied	16	14	16	17	13	19	17	15	13	100	25	14	16
Very dissatisfied	5	0	8	6	1	12	6	4	2	0	18	4	2
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	130	33	31	66	78	37	37	56	31	2	12	30	86
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	133	31	35	67	62	55	30	67	31	1	10	32	88
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	79	86	77	77	86	69	77	81	86	0	57	82	82
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	21	14	23	23	14	31	23	19	14	100	43	18	18

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Haven't used' have been removed from this table.

### TABLE PR1W:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following park assets/park services provided by the municipality.

The municipality's grass cutting service

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	12	17	18	10	13	12	24	11	4	11	10	8	14
Satisfied	64	71	68	61	61	69	71	56	65	71	79	55	65
Dissatisfied	12	7	10	15	9	14	2	20	14	17	11	18	10
Very dissatisfied	11	6	5	14	18	5	4	13	17	0	1	18	10
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	417	85	69	263	201	177	124	145	127	11	47	97	266
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	435	91	73	271	152	244	97	188	132	8	35	100	291
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	77	87	85	71	73	81	95	67	69	83	89	64	80
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	23	13	15	29	27	19	5	33	31	17	11	36	20

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Haven't used' have been removed from this table.

### TABLE PR1X:

Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following park assets/park services provided by the municipality.

Your overall park experience

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	10	15	16	7	11	10	15	10	6	0	16	9	10
Satisfied	79	76	73	82	78	83	79	79	80	90	73	77	81
Dissatisfied	9	6	10	9	10	5	5	8	14	10	11	12	7
Very dissatisfied	2	3	1	1	1	2	1	3	1	0	1	2	2
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	543	132	88	322	237	249	192	175	150	11	59	107	368
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	553	137	96	319	180	316	145	230	154	9	40	110	392
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	89	91	89	89	89	93	94	89	85	90	89	86	91
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	11	9	11	11	11	7	6	11	15	10	11	14	9

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

### TABLE PR1A-X TOP 2 BOX SUMMARY

Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following park assets/park services provided by the municipality.

# % VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Wilderness trails	95	97	95	94	93	97	94	96	94	87	93	94	95
Lawn bowling	93	86	96	95	98	86	100	93	92		89	100	90
Volleyball courts	91	85	92	93	97	83	95	87	96		86	91	92
All-weather sports fields	90	89	93	89	89	93	98	87	84	100	92	81	92
Tennis courts	90	91	95	88	92	89	91	87	93		98	88	89
Skate parks	90	90	92	90	93	89	85	97	87		79	91	94
Your overall park experience	89	91	89	89	89	93	94	89	85	90	89	86	91
Splash pads	88	87	97	86	91	88	89	86	91	100	77	81	92
Multi-use paths	87	87	85	87	84	90	82	88	89	89	88	91	85
Grass sport fields	87	95	90	83	86	87	92	83	84	76	96	83	87
Public art	86	87	84	86	86	90	86	85	86	100	86	87	85
Municipal beaches/waterfront areas	86	85	89	86	89	87	87	89	83	100	86	80	89
Basketball courts	85	89	99	80	86	83	91	81	86		95	75	87
Bicycle pump tracks	85	88	78	85	84	82	75	92	84		61	96	85
Community gardens	84	81	83	86	89	83	82	85	88	100	81	85	85
Outdoor pools	83	88	93	78	90	77	92	86	72	0	84	73	86
Ball diamonds	83	85	89	81	82	83	86	74	96	100	98	69	86
Pickleball courts	80	88	89	75	85	77	85	90	67	100	66	81	81
Availability or ease of booking municipal park assets	79	86	77	77	86	69	77	81	86	0	57	82	82
The municipality's grass cutting service	77	87	85	71	73	81	95	67	69	83	89	64	80
Outdoor fitness equipment	75	80	61	77	83	69	69	77	82	100	57	84	76
Off-leash dog parks	71	72	76	69	70	72	72	68	71	100	77	68	71
Condition of public washrooms located in a park	52	64	49	48	55	51	48	56	50	80	30	53	55
Availability of public washrooms located in a park	40	45	34	40	43	38	37	45	36	80	33	48	39

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Haven't used' have been removed from this table.

# TABLE PR2A:

[ASK IF 'DISSATISFIED' OR 'VERY DISSATISFIED' IN PR1A] Among the things you mentioned you were dissatisfied with, please explain why you were dissatisfied.

# Splash pads

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Έ		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Lacking/Not enough around	47	0	100	43	20	53	28	43	58	0	100	28	62
Too far away/None locally	36	0	0	42	60	29	49	46	0	0	0	38	37
Limited availability (i.e., schedule, hours of operation)	27	100	100	14	20	18	23	41	0	0	0	22	33
Broken/Poor quality/condition/Neglected	13	0	0	16	20	12	28	12	0	0	0	28	0
Too busy/crowded/Overbooked	12	0	0	14	0	20	0	23	0	0	0	25	0
Small/Not big enough	7	0	0	8	0	12	28	0	0	0	0	15	0
Not well monitored/regulated/No rule enforcement	6	0	0	7	0	10	0	0	42	0	0	12	0
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	10	0	1	8	3	6	3	5	1	0	0	5	5
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	14	1	1	12	4	9	3	7	3	0	1	7	6

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE PR2B:

[ASK IF 'DISSATISFIED' OR 'VERY DISSATISFIED' IN PR1B] Among the things you mentioned you were dissatisfied with, please explain why you were dissatisfied.

### **Outdoor pools**

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Lacking/Not enough around	69	84	100	63	66	70	100	52	67	100	100	75	60
Limited availability (i.e., schedule, hours of operation)	20	0	0	25	0	28	0	23	0	100	0	0	39
Too far away/None locally	14	0	18	15	0	19	0	12	21	0	0	13	16
Unsafe/Safety concerns	5	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	13	0
Broken/Poor quality/condition/Neglected	4	0	0	6	34	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	9
Dirty/Poorly maintained/cleaned	4	0	0	6	34	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	9
Too busy/crowded/Overbooked	2	16	0	0	0	2	0	5	0	0	0	0	3
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	19	2	2	15	3	14	2	7	9	2	1	8	10
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	23	4	3	16	3	17	2	10	10	1	2	10	11

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No answer' have been removed from this table. Up to

#### TABLE PR2C

[ASK IF 'DISSATISFIED' OR 'VERY DISSATISFIED' IN PR1C] Among the things you mentioned you were dissatisfied with, please explain why you were dissatisfied.

# Multi-use paths

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε			EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Lacking/Not enough around	39	44	48	35	42	45	49	39	25	0	83	16	38
Unsafe/Safety concerns	24	44	17	19	11	41	11	30	36	0	17	16	26
Broken/Poor quality/condition/Neglected	13	20	40	4	2	29	12	7	19	0	0	7	15
Dirty/Poorly maintained/cleaned	13	10	35	8	6	6	4	10	29	0	17	28	10
Small/Not big enough	12	16	31	5	11	11	12	17	5	0	0	0	15
Not easy to get to/Limited access	8	7	30	3	5	19	15	0	8	0	0	0	11
Too busy/crowded/Overbooked	4	0	13	4	4	8	5	7	0	0	0	0	6
Not well monitored/regulated/No rule enforcement	4	10	0	3	0	6	4	5	0	0	17	0	3
Not accessible/Not disability-friendly	2	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	3
Noisy/disruptive to the community	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	16	0
Other	30	17	4	42	43	11	32	50	0	0	0	33	34
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	50	10	9	31	30	14	22	16	10	1	5	6	39
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	46	11	10	25	19	20	14	18	12	1	2	6	37

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

### TABLE PR2D:

[ASK IF 'DISSATISFIED' OR 'VERY DISSATISFIED' IN PR1D] Among the things you mentioned you were dissatisfied with, please explain why you were dissatisfied.

#### Wilderness trails

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Lacking/Not enough around	38	50	0	44	43	27	16	81	42	0	100	45	26
Too far away/None locally	22	100	0	16	15	36	31	0	29	0	51	55	8
Dirty/Poorly maintained/cleaned	21	0	0	28	24	15	27	10	24	0	0	23	24
Broken/Poor quality/condition/Neglected	16	0	66	8	25	0	50	0	0	0	0	0	24
Not well monitored/regulated/No rule enforcement	10	0	0	14	15	0	18	0	10	0	0	0	15
Not easy to get to/Limited access	10	0	20	9	0	30	19	20	0	0	0	0	15
Not accessible/Not disability-friendly	7	0	0	9	10	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	10
Unsafe/Safety concerns	6	0	20	3	0	17	10	0	6	0	0	0	8
Other	2	0	14	0	0	6	0	11	0	0	0	0	3
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	18	2	3	13	12	6	6	3	8	1	2	4	12
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	21	2	3	16	11	10	6	6	8	1	3	3	15

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No answer' have been removed from this table. Up to

#### TARI F PR2F

[ASK IF 'DISSATISFIED' OR 'VERY DISSATISFIED' IN PR1E] Among the things you mentioned you were dissatisfied with, please explain why you were dissatisfied.

### Community gardens

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε			EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Lacking/Not enough around	67	37	64	92	100	46	48	89	70	0	100	68	64
Broken/Poor quality/condition/Neglected	11	17	13	6	0	9	21	0	10	0	0	32	7
Too far away/None locally	10	10	26	0	0	14	16	0	13	0	0	16	10
Not accessible/Not disability-friendly	8	24	0	0	0	16	18	0	0	0	0	0	11
Uninformed/Don't know where to find/Don't know much about it	5	16	0	0	0	4	8	0	7	0	0	16	3
Limited availability (i.e., schedule, hours of operation)	3	0	0	8	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	16	0
Other	8	18	10	0	0	17	6	11	10	0	0	0	8
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	30	10	8	13	10	15	13	9	8	0	3	6	20
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	30	10	7	13	8	17	10	10	9	0	3	6	20

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE PR2F:

[ASK IF 'DISSATISFIED' OR 'VERY DISSATISFIED' IN PR1F] Among the things you mentioned you were dissatisfied with, please explain why you were dissatisfied.

Grass sport fields

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Broken/Poor quality/condition/Neglected	84	100	87	83	92	73	100	78	87	0	0	76	86
Dirty/Poorly maintained/cleaned	25	0	56	17	39	17	0	30	35	100	0	8	27
Unsafe/Safety concerns	18	0	12	20	5	26	30	22	0	0	0	26	16
Limited availability (i.e., schedule, hours of operation)	6	0	0	8	0	13	0	13	0	0	0	0	7
Not accessible/Not disability-friendly	6	0	19	2	9	4	15	4	0	0	0	0	7
Not easy to get to/Limited access	4	0	19	0	9	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	5
Lacking/Not enough around	3	0	0	5	8	0	0	0	13	0	0	24	0
Lack of washroom facilities nearby	2	59	0	0	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	16	0
Not well monitored/regulated/No rule enforcement	2	0	0	3	0	4	0	5	0	0	0	0	2
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	26	1	6	20	12	12	7	11	7	1	0	4	22
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	27	2	7	18	8	16	7	13	6	1	0	5	22

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No answer' have been removed from this table. Up to

# TABLE PR2G:

[ASK IF 'DISSATISFIED' OR 'VERY DISSATISFIED' IN PR1G] Among the things you mentioned you were dissatisfied with, please explain why you were dissatisfied.

All-weather sports fields

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Expensive/High rates/fees	26	0	0	37	44	0	0	33	29	0	0	0	49
Broken/Poor quality/condition/Neglected	23	0	79	23	7	42	0	27	14	0	100	43	4
Limited availability (i.e., schedule, hours of operation)	21	29	0	21	35	0	100	33	0	0	0	0	40
Lacking/Not enough around	19	17	0	22	26	17	0	17	29	0	0	9	29
Too far away/None locally	9	17	0	9	10	17	0	21	0	0	0	9	12
Not well monitored/regulated/No rule enforcement	6	0	0	9	0	32	0	14	0	0	0	17	0
Not necessary/Useless	5	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	15	0
Dirty/Poorly maintained/cleaned	5	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Small/Not big enough	5	0	0	7	9	0	0	0	13	0	0	14	0
Unsafe/Safety concerns	4	0	0	5	0	19	0	0	10	0	0	0	7
Not accessible/Not disability-friendly	2	0	0	3	0	12	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
Other	13	0	21	16	13	29	0	4	29	0	0	20	11
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	18	4	2	13	11	4	1	8	7	0	1	7	9
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	18	4	3	11	8	6	1	9	6	0	1	7	9

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE PR2H:

[ASK IF 'DISSATISFIED' OR 'VERY DISSATISFIED' IN PR1H] Among the things you mentioned you were dissatisfied with, please explain why you were dissatisfied.

#### **Ball diamonds**

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Broken/Poor quality/condition/Neglected	62	61	90	54	60	59	100	44	0	0	0	33	91
Lacking/Not enough around	24	0	0	37	40	0	0	38	0	0	0	47	0
Taken over by homeless people/encampments	7	0	10	8	0	20	0	7	100	0	0	14	0
Limited availability (i.e., schedule, hours of operation)	7	22	0	4	0	19	0	11	0	0	0	5	9
Unsafe/Safety concerns	6	19	0	3	0	16	11	3	0	0	0	4	8
Lack of washroom facilities nearby	3	17	0	0	0	9	0	5	0	0	0	6	0
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	19	4	3	12	11	7	6	12	1	0	0	10	9
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	19	5	3	11	7	11	5	13	1	0	0	10	9

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No answer' have been removed from this table. Up to

#### TABLE PR2I:

[ASK IF 'DISSATISFIED' OR 'VERY DISSATISFIED' IN PR1I] Among the things you mentioned you were dissatisfied with, please explain why you were dissatisfied.

#### Basketball courts

			YEARS	S IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Lacking/Not enough around	33	40	0	33	53	0	100	0	33	0	0	19	38
Broken/Poor quality/condition/Neglected	32	0	100	36	21	44	0	45	44	0	0	45	28
Not necessary/Useless	14	0	0	16	27	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	19
Too far away/None locally	11	60	0	4	0	30	0	20	0	0	0	0	15
Not well monitored/regulated/No rule enforcement	10	0	0	11	0	26	0	11	23	0	0	35	0
Dirty/Poorly maintained/cleaned	6	0	0	7	0	16	0	11	0	0	0	22	0
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	19	2	0	16	10	7	5	11	3	0	0	5	14
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	16	2	1	13	4	10	1	11	4	0	0	6	10

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No answer' have been removed from this table. Up to

### TABLE PR2J:

[ASK IF 'DISSATISFIED' OR 'VERY DISSATISFIED' IN PR1J] Among the things you mentioned you were dissatisfied with, please explain why you were dissatisfied.

# Pickleball courts

			YEARS	S IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Lacking/Not enough around	78	100	0	76	100	62	100	0	80	0	100	26	92
Too far away/None locally	16	0	0	17	0	28	0	0	17	0	0	63	3
Broken/Poor quality/condition/Neglected	8	0	0	8	0	13	0	100	5	0	0	11	8
Uninformed/Don't know where to find/Don't know much about it	5	0	0	6	13	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	8
Limited availability (i.e., schedule, hours of operation)	5	0	0	5	12	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	8
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	16	1	0	14	7	9	1	1	14	0	2	3	10
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	18	2	0	16	6	12	1	1	16	0	2	3	13

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE PR2K:

[ASK IF 'DISSATISFIED' OR 'VERY DISSATISFIED' IN PR1K] Among the things you mentioned you were dissatisfied with, please explain why you were dissatisfied.

#### Tennis courts

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Broken/Poor quality/condition/Neglected	44	54	100	33	21	73	12	65	60	0	0	100	38
Too busy/crowded/Overbooked	34	0	0	50	68	0	88	0	0	0	0	0	38
Lacking/Not enough around	22	31	100	8	24	27	0	49	0	0	0	0	24
Too far away/None locally	10	46	0	0	0	27	0	22	0	0	0	0	11
Limited availability (i.e., schedule, hours of operation)	7	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	40	0	0	0	7
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	15	3	1	11	8	6	6	7	2	0	0	1	14
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	13	3	1	9	4	7	2	7	4	0	0	3	10

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No answer' have been removed from this table. Up to

[ASK IF 'DISSATISFIED' OR 'VERY DISSATISFIED' IN PR1L] Among the things you mentioned you were dissatisfied with, please explain why you were dissatisfied.

# Volleyball courts

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Lacking/Not enough around	68	100	100	52	39	75	52	82	0	0	72	100	60
Too far away/None locally	41	0	100	34	61	48	48	34	0	0	0	100	55
Uninformed/Don't know where to find/Don't know much about it	9	0	0	14	0	25	0	18	0	0	28	0	0
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	4	1	1	3	2	2	2	2	0	0	1	0	2
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	7	1	2	4	2	4	2	5	0	0	2	1	4

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No answer' have been removed from this table. Up to

# TABLE PR2M:

[ASK IF 'DISSATISFIED' OR 'VERY DISSATISFIED' IN PR1M] Among the things you mentioned you were dissatisfied with, please explain why you were dissatisfied.

# Skate parks

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Lacking/Not enough around	41	43	75	30	56	52	63	38	39	0	100	35	28
Not necessary/Useless	24	57	0	19	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	30	25
Broken/Poor quality/condition/Neglected	15	0	25	17	44	8	37	0	9	0	0	0	31
Not well monitored/regulated/No rule enforcement	8	0	0	14	0	16	30	0	0	0	0	21	0
Small/Not big enough	8	0	0	13	0	15	0	0	17	0	0	21	0
Taken over by homeless people/encampments	8	0	0	12	0	14	0	62	0	0	0	0	16
Unsafe/Safety concerns	8	0	0	12	0	14	0	62	0	0	0	0	16
Too far away/None locally	5	0	0	9	0	10	0	0	11	0	0	14	0
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	8	2	1	5	2	4	2	1	4	0	1	3	4
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	12	2	2	8	2	8	3	2	6	0	2	5	5

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE PR2N:

[ASK IF 'DISSATISFIED' OR 'VERY DISSATISFIED' IN PR1N] Among the things you mentioned you were dissatisfied with, please explain why you were dissatisfied.

### Bicycle pump tracks

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Broken/Poor quality/condition/Neglected	34	0	30	40	48	0	33	88	0	0	0	0	41
Lacking/Not enough around	23	72	70	0	22	0	17	0	30	0	100	0	10
Small/Not big enough	21	0	0	31	30	0	50	0	0	0	0	0	25
Uninformed/Don't know where to find/Don't know much about it	7	72	0	0	10	0	17	0	0	0	48	0	0
Too far away/None locally	6	28	0	5	0	27	0	12	12	0	0	100	3
Other	16	0	0	24	0	73	0	0	58	0	0	0	20
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	13	1	3	9	9	3	5	3	4	0	2	0	11
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	10	2	3	5	6	3	4	2	3	0	2	1	7

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No answer' have been removed from this table. Up to

# TABLE PR20:

[ASK IF 'DISSATISFIED' OR 'VERY DISSATISFIED' IN PR10] Among the things you mentioned you were dissatisfied with, please explain why you were dissatisfied.

# Lawn bowling

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Too far away/None locally	53	65	0	49	0	49	0	80	0	0	0	0	71
Small/Not big enough	17	35	0	0	0	27	0	0	44	0	68	0	0
Uninformed/Don't know where to find/Don't know much about it	14	0	0	33	100	0	0	0	36	0	0	0	19
Lacking/Not enough around	8	0	0	19	0	13	0	20	0	0	32	0	0
Not accessible/Not disability-friendly	8	0	100	0	0	12	0	0	20	0	0	0	10
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	5	2	0	2	1	3	0	2	2	0	1	0	4
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	6	2	1	3	1	4	0	2	3	0	2	0	4

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No answer' have been removed from this table. Up to

# TABLE PR2P:

[ASK IF 'DISSATISFIED' OR 'VERY DISSATISFIED' IN PR1P] Among the things you mentioned you were dissatisfied with, please explain why you were dissatisfied.

# Outdoor fitness equipment

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Lacking/Not enough around	36	60	39	28	54	22	28	24	59	0	55	55	28
Broken/Poor quality/condition/Neglected	29	20	31	30	23	26	37	34	13	0	16	0	37
Uninformed/Don't know where to find/Don't know much about it	22	28	30	14	0	40	44	4	20	0	45	0	19
Not necessary/Useless	13	0	0	24	17	13	0	33	0	0	0	45	10
Not accessible/Not disability-friendly	6	0	4	9	0	11	13	4	0	0	0	0	9
Expensive/High rates/fees	5	0	0	10	17	0	0	14	0	0	0	45	0
Dirty/Poorly maintained/cleaned	5	0	0	9	0	9	0	0	21	0	0	0	7
Boring/Basic/Underwhelming	4	12	0	4	6	3	5	5	0	0	0	0	5
Limited availability (i.e., schedule, hours of operation)	2	12	0	0	0	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	3
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	27	4	9	14	8	14	10	10	6	0	5	3	19
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	31	7	9	15	9	18	8	15	7	0	4	3	24

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE PR2Q:

[ASK IF 'DISSATISFIED' OR 'VERY DISSATISFIED' IN PR1Q] Among the things you mentioned you were dissatisfied with, please explain why you were dissatisfied.

#### Public art

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Lacking/Not enough around	57	39	80	53	43	70	47	64	62	0	25	94	53
Not necessary/Useless	18	0	15	25	26	5	24	18	14	0	0	0	25
Boring/Basic/Underwhelming	10	0	20	7	4	11	10	3	15	0	0	0	14
Uninformed/Don't know where to find/Don't know much about it	5	0	0	8	0	8	0	3	9	0	0	6	5
Small/Not big enough	1	0	8	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	9	0
Too far away/None locally	1	0	0	2	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	1
Other	18	61	0	12	31	11	29	13	10	0	75	0	14
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	39	6	7	25	18	12	16	13	7	0	4	6	28
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	43	7	9	26	16	18	12	18	10	0	2	6	33

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No answer' have been removed from this table. Up to

### TABLE PR2R:

[ASK IF 'DISSATISFIED' OR 'VERY DISSATISFIED' IN PR1R] Among the things you mentioned you were dissatisfied with, please explain why you were dissatisfied.

# Off-leash dog parks

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Lacking/Not enough around	54	60	29	58	53	57	51	59	53	0	15	45	59
Not well monitored/regulated/No rule enforcement	18	19	18	17	19	10	6	20	30	0	15	31	13
Too far away/None locally	17	3	52	13	12	26	8	24	18	0	0	32	14
Unsafe/Safety concerns	13	27	25	3	10	19	20	18	0	0	0	7	18
Not necessary/Useless	10	9	0	13	24	0	23	6	0	0	85	0	6
Small/Not big enough	8	16	11	4	5	9	18	6	0	0	0	4	11
Broken/Poor quality/condition/Neglected	7	15	11	3	8	9	13	5	5	0	0	0	11
Dirty/Poorly maintained/cleaned	6	6	0	8	8	6	5	4	10	0	0	8	6
Not accessible/Not disability-friendly	5	0	0	9	12	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	7
Too busy/crowded/Overbooked	4	10	5	0	2	2	6	2	3	0	0	0	5
Limited availability (i.e., schedule, hours of operation)	2	0	15	0	0	5	8	0	0	0	0	0	4
Not easy to get to/Limited access	2	0	0	3	0	4	0	5	0	0	0	0	3
Other	3	5	0	3	0	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	5
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	54	15	9	31	22	26	17	21	16	0	4	13	36
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	64	17	11	36	17	40	17	26	20	0	2	16	44

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE PR2S:

[ASK IF 'DISSATISFIED' OR 'VERY DISSATISFIED' IN PR1S] Among the things you mentioned you were dissatisfied with, please explain why you were dissatisfied.

### Municipal beaches/waterfront areas

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Dirty/Poorly maintained/cleaned	43	45	34	45	30	49	38	79	34	0	9	54	44
Broken/Poor quality/condition/Neglected	32	21	0	45	44	34	51	21	10	0	35	36	30
Not easy to get to/Limited access	22	15	43	20	34	16	24	24	19	0	35	16	22
Lack of washroom facilities nearby	10	6	16	10	23	3	4	0	23	0	0	29	3
Too far away/None locally	10	6	0	13	0	18	4	5	19	0	16	22	3
Too busy/crowded/Overbooked	9	8	33	4	0	4	5	13	12	0	0	8	11
Lacking/Not enough around	7	8	0	8	18	0	5	0	6	0	0	0	12
Unsafe/Safety concerns	6	0	0	10	8	7	5	0	10	0	53	0	0
Limited availability (i.e., schedule, hours of operation)	5	8	0	4	0	0	5	13	0	0	0	0	8
Small/Not big enough	4	8	0	2	0	2	0	0	10	0	0	8	2
Not well monitored/regulated/No rule enforcement	3	6	0	2	0	5	4	7	0	0	0	0	5
Other	8	14	16	4	9	7	11	5	8	0	22	0	10
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	43	12	6	25	11	23	18	8	16	0	5	12	26
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	44	13	9	22	8	27	15	12	16	0	5	8	30

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No answer' have been removed from this table. Up to

### TABLE PR2T:

[ASK IF 'DISSATISFIED' OR 'VERY DISSATISFIED' IN PR1T] Among the things you mentioned you were dissatisfied with, please explain why you were dissatisfied.

Condition of public washrooms located in a park

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	βE		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Dirty/Poorly maintained/cleaned	71	69	76	71	68	79	77	72	62	100	91	63	68
Broken/Poor quality/condition/Neglected	34	43	29	32	39	31	27	38	42	0	9	36	42
Lacking/Not enough around	7	4	5	9	12	5	0	8	19	0	3	13	7
Limited availability (i.e., schedule, hours of operation)	6	14	5	4	3	4	7	5	5	0	3	10	5
Unsafe/Safety concerns	6	3	2	8	7	5	2	6	13	0	5	6	6
Taken over by homeless people/encampments	3	5	2	3	7	1	1	8	2	0	0	10	3
Uninformed/Don't know where to find/Don't know much about it	2	0	3	3	0	1	2	2	1	0	0	4	2
Not accessible/Not disability-friendly	1	0	0	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	4	0
Not necessary/Useless	0	0	3	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Not well monitored/regulated/No rule enforcement	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	0
Other	1	0	0	2	1	0	2	1	0	0	3	0	1
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	148	30	29	88	56	72	59	49	35	1	29	26	91
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	147	35	29	82	49	78	43	64	34	1	16	27	100

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE PR2U:

[ASK IF 'DISSATISFIED' OR 'VERY DISSATISFIED' IN PR1U] Among the things you mentioned you were dissatisfied with, please explain why you were dissatisfied.

Availability of public washrooms located in a park

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Lacking/Not enough around	74	65	86	73	75	74	74	79	67	0	74	76	73
Limited availability (i.e., schedule, hours of operation)	19	18	9	23	14	19	18	11	29	0	10	26	18
Not easy to get to/Limited access	10	11	6	10	7	13	16	5	3	100	21	4	9
Dirty/Poorly maintained/cleaned	7	13	13	2	8	8	6	9	8	0	8	3	8
Broken/Poor quality/condition/Neglected	7	15	6	4	9	5	2	12	10	0	0	4	10
Uninformed/Don't know where to find/Don't know much about it	2	2	4	2	3	3	2	5	0	0	3	7	1
Taken over by homeless people/encampments	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Too busy/crowded/Overbooked	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Unsafe/Safety concerns	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	3	0
Not accessible/Not disability-friendly	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	188	48	41	98	70	91	72	64	44	1	29	27	127
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	199	52	39	107	61	111	62	84	46	1	19	33	143

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No answer' have been removed from this table. Up to

# TABLE PR2V:

[ASK IF 'DISSATISFIED' OR 'VERY DISSATISFIED' IN PR1V] Among the things you mentioned you were dissatisfied with, please explain why you were dissatisfied.

Availability or ease of booking municipal park assets

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		ı	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Limited availability (i.e., schedule, hours of operation)	49	0	16	79	59	59	12	52	100	100	0	100	58
Complex process/Overcomplicated	42	68	16	46	42	15	34	32	100	0	0	35	50
Too busy/crowded/Overbooked	27	34	0	37	17	36	17	24	0	100	0	65	29
Uninformed/Don't know where to find/Don't know much about it	22	32	49	8	21	35	54	13	0	0	100	0	7
Other	5	0	20	0	11	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	8
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	18	3	5	10	8	7	6	6	3	2	3	2	11
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	17	3	4	10	8	6	5	8	2	1	2	2	12

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE PR2W:

[ASK IF 'DISSATISFIED' OR 'VERY DISSATISFIED' IN PR1W] Among the things you mentioned you were dissatisfied with, please explain why you were dissatisfied.

The municipality's grass cutting service

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Lacking/Not enough around	68	58	68	70	61	76	71	75	59	100	50	65	72
Broken/Poor quality/condition/Neglected	48	5	65	51	52	38	29	43	56	0	100	48	44
Dirty/Poorly maintained/cleaned	9	0	0	12	10	10	0	0	15	61	0	0	16
Unsafe/Safety concerns	8	5	11	8	4	17	0	9	10	0	81	3	7
Boring/Basic/Underwhelming	4	26	0	3	4	5	0	6	3	0	0	5	5
Too far away/None locally	2	0	16	0	0	6	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
Not well monitored/regulated/No rule enforcement	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	2
Not necessary/Useless	1	0	0	2	0	4	0	0	3	0	0	0	2
Uninformed/Don't know where to find/Don't know much about it	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Other	8	12	0	9	9	8	58	0	13	0	0	3	12
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	74	7	9	57	42	26	3	36	31	2	3	27	41
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	71	9	8	54	28	37	3	39	25	2	3	21	42

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No answer' have been removed from this table. Up to

### TABLE PR2X:

[ASK IF 'DISSATISFIED' OR 'VERY DISSATISFIED' IN PR1X] Among the things you mentioned you were dissatisfied with, please explain why you were dissatisfied.

Your overall park experience

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Broken/Poor quality/condition/Neglected	30	15	51	26	30	40	51	18	31	0	67	30	25
Dirty/Poorly maintained/cleaned	28	32	16	32	15	43	0	40	26	100	67	26	24
Lacking/Not enough around	21	18	30	18	28	21	53	17	12	0	0	9	28
Boring/Basic/Underwhelming	19	0	46	14	26	0	56	0	17	0	0	16	23
Not well monitored/regulated/No rule enforcement	12	36	0	10	0	11	14	7	16	0	0	16	12
Not easy to get to/Limited access	12	0	24	10	0	19	0	7	21	0	67	24	0
Lack of washroom facilities nearby	7	0	24	3	8	8	0	12	8	0	0	23	2
Taken over by homeless people/encampments	6	18	0	5	6	8	16	9	0	0	0	12	5
Unsafe/Safety concerns	3	0	0	5	6	0	0	9	0	0	0	12	0
Too busy/crowded/Overbooked	2	0	8	0	0	5	0	7	0	0	0	8	0
Noisy/disruptive to the community	2	0	0	3	0	5	0	7	0	0	0	0	3
Uninformed/Don't know where to find/Don't know much about it	1	0	0	3	0	4	0	5	0	0	0	0	2
Other	24	21	8	31	32	15	0	22	37	0	33	9	28
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	27	5	6	16	12	11	5	8	12	1	2	6	18
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	28	5	7	16	11	13	5	11	11	1	2	9	17

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

### TABLE LIB1:

Have you used the services provided by the Halifax Public Libraries in the past 12 months in a branch, in the community or online?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	71	84	84	62	60	78	74	68	66	94	65	52	78
No	29	16	16	38	40	22	26	32	34	6	35	48	22
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	608	140	98	369	269	278	208	196	173	16	72	133	393
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	615	146	108	360	201	353	153	256	178	12	49	137	416

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section.

### TABLE LIB2A:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN LIB1] Please rate your satisfaction with the programs and services provided by the Halifax Public Libraries you or your household have used in the past 12 months.

Overall satisfaction with Halifax Public Libraries

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		ı	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	63	74	70	56	63	66	71	64	55	74	66	55	66
Satisfied	32	23	28	39	31	32	26	30	41	26	32	41	30
Dissatisfied	2	1	2	2	2	1	2	3	2	0	2	1	2
Very dissatisfied	2	1	0	4	4	0	1	3	3	0	0	3	2
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	429	117	83	228	161	216	154	133	113	15	46	68	304
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	456	121	97	238	128	276	128	184	119	11	36	75	335
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	96	97	98	94	94	98	97	94	95	100	98	96	96
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	4	3	2	6	6	2	3	6	5	0	2	4	4

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

### TABLE LIB2B:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN LIB1] Please rate your satisfaction with the programs and services provided by the Halifax Public Libraries you or your household have used in the past 12 months.

# Library facilities

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	45	56	51	37	50	42	55	47	34	36	48	42	47
Satisfied	51	42	48	57	45	56	43	48	61	64	50	55	50
Dissatisfied	2	1	1	3	2	1	1	2	2	0	3	2	2
Very dissatisfied	2	1	0	3	3	0	0	3	2	0	0	2	2
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	413	117	82	214	159	202	142	132	110	15	39	65	300
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	444	121	96	227	126	266	122	181	116	11	34	71	329
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	96	98	99	94	95	98	99	95	96	100	97	96	96
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	4	2	1	6	5	2	1	5	4	0	3	4	4

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE LIB2C:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN LIB1] Please rate your satisfaction with the programs and services provided by the Halifax Public Libraries you or your household have used in the past 12 months.

Library materials (books, CDs, DVDs, ebooks, etc.)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	46	57	44	41	47	47	57	40	40	69	61	43	45
Satisfied	49	35	52	54	47	50	39	51	57	31	39	54	48
Dissatisfied	3	6	4	2	3	2	4	5	1	0	0	1	4
Very dissatisfied	2	2	0	3	3	1	0	4	2	0	0	2	2
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	403	112	79	211	151	203	140	125	109	15	44	64	286
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	426	115	94	217	118	259	114	173	114	11	33	69	315
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	95	93	96	95	95	97	96	92	97	100	100	97	94
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	5	7	4	5	5	3	4	8	3	0	0	3	6

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

### TABLE LIB2D:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN LIB1] Please rate your satisfaction with the programs and services provided by the Halifax Public Libraries you or your household have used in the past 12 months.

Public technology (Wi-Fi, computers, iPads, printers, gaming, etc.)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	52	63	55	42	54	51	60	50	39	68	51	59	51
Satisfied	45	36	43	51	41	47	38	47	55	32	49	40	45
Dissatisfied	2	1	2	3	2	2	2	0	5	0	0	0	3
Very dissatisfied	2	0	0	4	3	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	2
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	288	88	64	137	125	132	114	93	63	11	31	43	210
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	295	85	70	140	94	169	93	123	64	8	27	48	215
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	96	99	98	94	95	98	98	97	94	100	100	99	96
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	4	1	2	6	5	2	2	3	6	0	0	1	4

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of "Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

### TABLE LIB2E:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN LIB1] Please rate your satisfaction with the programs and services provided by the Halifax Public Libraries you or your household have used in the past 12 months.

Children's programs (ages 0-13)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	44	55	53	31	43	44	46	45	39	0	69	57	39
Satisfied	48	35	43	59	46	48	46	46	50	100	10	34	53
Dissatisfied	5	10	3	3	5	6	8	4	5	0	21	9	4
Very dissatisfied	4	0	0	8	6	2	0	5	6	0	0	0	5
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	104	35	22	48	44	53	28	57	16	2	4	20	80
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	118	37	27	54	35	76	27	76	14	1	5	25	88
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	91	90	97	90	89	92	92	91	89	100	79	91	92
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	9	10	3	10	11	8	8	9	11	0	21	9	8

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE LIB2F:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN LIB1] Please rate your satisfaction with the programs and services provided by the Halifax Public Libraries you or your household have used in the past 12 months.

Youth programs (ages 14-18)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	38	50	33	27	40	37	56	32	34	0	0	38	40
Satisfied	45	46	50	42	45	49	31	52	44	0	0	32	50
Dissatisfied	11	4	17	17	3	14	13	6	22	0	100	29	4
Very dissatisfied	5	0	0	13	12	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	7
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	51	22	9	20	23	22	12	27	12	0	2	8	41
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	58	24	11	23	18	34	14	36	8	0	2	10	46
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	83	96	83	69	86	86	87	84	78		0	71	90
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	17	4	17	31	14	14	13	16	22		100	29	10

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

### TABLE LIB2G:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN LIB1] Please rate your satisfaction with the programs and services provided by the Halifax Public Libraries you or your household have used in the past 12 months.

#### Adult programs

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	40	50	34	36	36	41	56	36	35	25	34	37	42
Satisfied	51	45	57	54	55	54	36	56	59	75	58	59	49
Dissatisfied	7	5	9	7	4	5	8	3	6	0	8	3	8
Very dissatisfied	1	0	0	3	4	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	2
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	185	59	28	98	67	96	53	57	57	11	22	32	130
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	196	59	35	102	50	124	44	81	56	8	20	33	141
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	92	95	91	90	92	95	92	92	94	100	92	97	90
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	8	5	9	10	8	5	8	8	6	0	8	3	10

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

### TABLE LIB2H:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN LIB1] Please rate your satisfaction with the programs and services provided by the Halifax Public Libraries you or your household have used in the past 12 months.

# Programs for seniors

			YEARS	S IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	41	67	29	32	43	39	69	27	37	69	35	53	40
Satisfied	45	28	59	49	47	46	31	54	59	0	54	44	43
Dissatisfied	10	4	12	12	0	14	0	4	3	31	11	4	12
Very dissatisfied	4	0	0	6	10	1	0	15	1	0	0	0	6
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	79	20	8	51	26	44	9	18	38	10	11	12	55
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	82	22	12	48	19	54	10	26	36	7	10	15	56
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	86	96	88	81	90	85	100	82	96	69	89	96	83
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	14	4	12	19	10	15	0	18	4	31	11	4	17

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE LIB2I:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN LIB1] Please rate your satisfaction with the programs and services provided by the Halifax Public Libraries you or your household have used in the past 12 months.

#### Programs for newcomers

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	44	48	45	38	48	43	58	40	27	24	22	52	43
Satisfied	43	41	33	49	33	49	35	29	71	76	67	35	43
Dissatisfied	8	9	14	4	10	8	7	13	3	0	10	13	7
Very dissatisfied	6	3	8	9	9	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	7
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	79	35	13	30	29	41	30	27	17	3	4	9	65
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	78	33	15	30	20	49	25	33	16	2	4	11	62
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	86	89	78	87	81	92	93	69	97	100	90	87	86
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	14	11	22	13	19	8	7	31	3	0	10	13	14

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

### TABLE LIB2J:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN LIB1] Please rate your satisfaction with the programs and services provided by the Halifax Public Libraries you or your household have used in the past 12 months.

### Home delivery

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	49	66	27	43	41	57	90	32	40	100	79	75	35
Satisfied	40	27	73	41	45	34	10	49	51	0	0	25	53
Dissatisfied	4	7	0	2	0	9	0	2	9	0	21	0	0
Very dissatisfied	8	0	0	14	15	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	12
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	34	12	3	19	18	13	6	16	9	2	6	6	22
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	32	12	4	16	14	15	5	20	6	1	4	6	22
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	89	93	100	84	85	91	100	81	91	100	79	100	88
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	11	7	0	16	15	9	0	19	9	0	21	0	12

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of "Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

### TABLE LIB2K:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN LIB1] Please rate your satisfaction with the programs and services provided by the Halifax Public Libraries you or your household have used in the past 12 months.

# Borrow by mail services

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	41	47	39	36	32	49	82	31	26	100	0	71	35
Satisfied	52	50	61	50	58	46	18	56	74	0	78	24	57
Dissatisfied	2	2	0	2	0	6	0	3	0	0	22	5	0
Very dissatisfied	6	0	0	12	10	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	7
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	47	19	7	22	26	15	10	27	9	1	2	8	37
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	51	20	9	22	19	25	9	31	9	1	3	11	36
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	93	98	100	86	90	94	100	87	100	100	78	95	93
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	7	2	0	14	10	6	0	13	0	0	22	5	7

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

### TABLE LIB2L:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN LIB1] Please rate your satisfaction with the programs and services provided by the Halifax Public Libraries you or your household have used in the past 12 months.

#### Open hours

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	31	39	28	28	33	31	37	24	34	36	42	30	31
Satisfied	57	53	58	59	53	61	47	65	59	64	51	56	58
Dissatisfied	10	7	13	11	11	8	15	8	6	0	7	15	10
Very dissatisfied	2	1	0	3	3	0	1	2	2	0	0	0	2
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	393	113	80	199	152	194	140	126	101	15	36	61	290
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	426	116	93	217	122	257	120	175	108	11	31	69	319
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	88	92	87	87	86	92	84	90	93	100	93	85	89
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	12	8	13	13	14	8	16	10	7	0	7	15	11

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

### TABLE LIB2A-L TOP 2 BOX SUMMARY

[ASK IF 'YES' IN LIB1] Please rate your satisfaction with the programs and services provided by the Halifax Public Libraries you or your household have used in the past 12 months.

# % VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Overall satisfaction with Halifax Public Libraries	96	97	98	94	94	98	97	94	95	100	98	96	96
Library facilities	96	98	99	94	95	98	99	95	96	100	97	96	96
Public technology (Wi-Fi, computers, iPads, printers, gaming, etc.)	96	99	98	94	95	98	98	97	94	100	100	99	96
Library materials (books, CDs, DVDs, ebooks, etc.)	95	93	96	95	95	97	96	92	97	100	100	97	94
Borrow by mail services	93	98	100	86	90	94	100	87	100	100	78	95	93
Adult programs	92	95	91	90	92	95	92	92	94	100	92	97	90
Children's programs (ages 0-13)	91	90	97	90	89	92	92	91	89	100	79	91	92
Home delivery	89	93	100	84	85	91	100	81	91	100	79	100	88
Open hours	88	92	87	87	86	92	84	90	93	100	93	85	89
Programs for newcomers	86	89	78	87	81	92	93	69	97	100	90	87	86
Programs for seniors	86	96	88	81	90	85	100	82	96	69	89	96	83
Youth programs (ages 14-18)	83	96	83	69	86	86	87	84	78		0	71	90

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE LIB3:

What, if anything, prevents you from using the Halifax Public Libraries more often?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Wait times are too long for the books/e-books I want	14	15	19	12	10	17	18	12	12	0	19	9	15
Too noisy/crowded	10	17	6	9	9	11	16	6	7	13	6	9	12
No programs/services/books I want	10	8	14	10	8	10	8	11	11	0	5	14	9
Inconvenient open hours	10	15	13	7	8	10	14	10	5	0	7	14	9
Transportation issues	6	10	3	5	5	6	10	3	5	0	5	4	7
Inconvenient locations	4	5	4	4	1	8	5	4	5	0	2	2	6
Safety concerns/Too many homeless people there	3	6	1	3	4	3	3	5	1	5	0	6	3
Not enough computers	2	6	1	1	2	2	4	1	1	0	1	5	1
Accessibility issues	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	0	0	0	2	0	1
Other	7	6	4	9	10	5	3	11	9	8	3	8	8
Nothing - I like the library the way it is	56	48	58	58	56	55	53	54	60	82	65	52	55
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	602	140	98	363	263	278	208	195	168	16	72	127	393
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	613	146	108	358	199	353	153	255	177	12	49	135	416

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section.

# TABLE MT1:

Do you commute to work or school?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	66	68	75	62	70	62	84	78	37	0	68	61	67
No	34	32	25	38	30	38	16	22	63	100	32	39	33
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	606	139	98	368	267	277	205	196	174	16	72	131	392
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	612	145	108	358	199	351	151	256	177	12	49	135	415

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section.

# TABLE MT2:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN MT1] What is your main mode of travel to get to work/school (that is, the mode used for the longest distance)?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Drive myself by car, truck, van or motorcycle	53	37	43	63	60	49	32	68	71	0	23	73	52
Public transit (including bus or Access-A-Bus)	26	33	33	20	18	31	42	15	11	0	55	19	23
Walk or use a mobility device	8	15	9	4	5	10	10	5	7	0	11	5	7
Bicycle	8	8	9	7	11	4	9	7	6	0	0	0	11
Am a passenger in a car, truck, van, or on a motorcycle	3	6	3	3	5	2	6	1	2	0	10	1	3
Ferry	2	1	3	3	1	3	2	3	1	0	0	1	3
Taxi	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	398	94	73	229	187	171	172	153	64	0	49	80	263
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	396	97	83	215	132	224	122	201	65	0	29	80	281

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section.

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE MT3:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN MT1] If you use more than one mode of travel to get to work/school, what is the second most frequently used option that you use?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
I don't use a second mode to travel	36	22	32	44	36	39	19	49	53	0	8	53	37
Public transit (including bus or Access-A-Bus)	14	22	17	9	12	15	15	14	9	0	8	7	16
Walk or use a mobility device	14	17	14	12	16	8	22	8	7	0	28	16	11
Drive myself by car, truck, van or motorcycle	10	9	12	10	6	14	13	8	5	0	31	4	8
Ferry	8	13	3	8	9	7	11	7	3	0	3	8	10
Bicycle	8	6	5	10	14	3	11	3	13	0	6	11	8
Am a passenger in a car, truck, van, or on a motorcycle	7	9	5	7	6	10	5	8	8	0	3	1	9
Taxi	2	1	9	0	0	4	4	1	1	0	12	1	1
Rural transit (for example, MusGo Rider)	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	398	94	73	229	187	171	172	153	64	0	49	80	263
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	396	97	83	215	132	224	122	201	65	0	29	80	281

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section.

# TABLE MT2/MT3 COMBINED:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN MT1] What is your main mode of travel to get to work/school (that is, the mode used for the longest distance)?

[ASK IF 'YES' IN MT1] If you use more than one mode of travel to get to work/school, what is the second most frequently used option that you use?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Drive myself by car, truck, van or motorcycle	63	45	54	73	66	63	45	76	76	0	54	77	60
Public transit (including bus or Access-A-Bus)	39	56	50	30	30	46	56	29	20	0	63	26	38
Walk or use a mobility device	21	32	24	16	21	17	31	14	14	0	40	21	18
Bicycle	16	15	14	17	25	7	20	10	20	0	6	11	19
Ferry	11	14	7	10	10	10	13	10	4	0	3	9	13
Am a passenger in a car, truck, van, or on a motorcycle	10	15	8	9	10	12	11	10	11	0	13	2	12
Taxi	3	1	9	1	1	5	4	2	3	0	12	1	1
Rural transit (for example, MusGo Rider)	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	398	94	73	229	187	171	172	153	64	0	49	80	263
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	396	97	83	215	132	224	122	201	65	0	29	80	281

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section.

# TABLE MT4:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN MT1] What is your typical commute time from home to work/school?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
15 minutes or less	15	18	15	14	19	14	17	12	19	0	20	13	15
16 to 30 minutes	36	46	36	32	33	38	37	39	23	0	25	33	39
31 to 45 minutes	26	23	19	30	30	19	20	28	38	0	24	35	24
45 to 60 minutes	15	6	24	16	15	17	16	12	18	0	13	12	16
More than an hour	8	8	6	8	4	12	9	10	2	0	18	7	6
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	398	94	73	229	187	171	172	153	64	0	49	80	263
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	396	97	83	215	132	224	122	201	65	0	29	80	281

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section.

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

### TABLE MT5A:

Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the various mobility options.

The ease of getting around by car

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	8	15	11	5	10	6	13	7	4	0	3	4	10
Satisfied	40	40	42	40	39	43	44	37	39	67	52	36	40
Dissatisfied	33	32	31	34	30	37	35	29	38	25	42	37	30
Very dissatisfied	19	13	16	21	20	14	8	27	18	8	3	23	20
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	569	121	92	355	258	256	186	186	169	15	66	127	367
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	571	129	99	342	192	323	136	240	170	11	44	128	388
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	48	55	53	44	49	49	57	44	43	67	55	40	50
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	52	45	47	56	51	51	43	56	57	33	45	60	50

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

#### TABLE MT5B:

Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the various mobility options.

The ease of getting around by walking

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	14	12	22	13	19	11	15	10	16	35	9	12	16
Satisfied	58	47	54	63	55	60	49	62	66	56	74	64	53
Dissatisfied	21	31	20	18	17	24	26	20	15	9	15	18	22
Very dissatisfied	7	10	4	7	10	4	10	7	3	0	2	5	8
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	578	136	93	348	260	260	199	187	164	13	71	124	373
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	579	141	103	334	192	329	147	242	164	10	47	126	394
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	72	59	77	76	74	72	64	72	83	91	83	76	69
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	28	41	23	24	26	28	36	28	17	9	17	24	31

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the various mobility options.

The ease of getting around by bicycle

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	Ε		ı	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	4	4	1	5	6	1	3	5	3	0	0	10	3
Satisfied	24	12	21	30	28	21	17	31	29	0	17	33	23
Dissatisfied	41	49	41	37	38	45	42	39	42	77	34	39	42
Very dissatisfied	32	35	37	28	29	33	39	25	27	23	50	18	33
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	339	89	53	195	183	114	137	111	78	5	36	64	234
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	328	92	61	174	131	155	102	136	79	3	26	57	240
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	28	16	23	35	34	22	20	36	32	0	17	43	25
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	72	84	77	65	66	78	80	64	68	100	83	57	75

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

#### TABLE MT5D:

Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the various mobility options.

The ease of getting around by transit

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		ı	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	2	3	0	2	3	0	2	2	0	0	0	6	1
Satisfied	17	18	13	17	17	17	9	21	21	42	14	20	17
Dissatisfied	41	36	42	43	37	47	43	35	46	48	47	29	43
Very dissatisfied	41	44	45	38	42	36	46	41	32	10	39	45	40
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	483	126	84	272	219	210	185	168	109	11	65	86	324
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	489	129	94	265	164	271	138	213	120	8	40	98	342
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	18	20	13	19	20	18	11	24	21	42	14	26	18
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	82	80	87	81	80	82	89	76	79	58	86	74	82

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

### TABLE MT5E:

Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the various mobility options.

The ease of getting around by mobility device (for example, wheelchair, motorized scooter)

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Very satisfied	16	2	24	20	18	16	21	9	15	20	32	9	14
Satisfied	26	39	19	22	36	19	26	23	32	27	14	46	21
Dissatisfied	32	31	24	35	21	42	25	39	34	0	36	28	31
Very dissatisfied	26	28	34	23	25	24	28	28	19	53	17	18	34
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	121	36	22	63	59	47	39	45	31	4	16	33	68
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	125	39	23	63	46	64	29	59	32	4	9	34	76
% VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED	42	41	43	42	53	34	46	32	47	47	47	54	35
% DISSATISFIED/VERY DISSATISFIED	58	59	57	58	47	66	54	68	53	53	53	46	65

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'Don't know/No opinion' have been removed from this table.

### TABLE MT5A-E TOP 2 BOX SUMMARY

Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the various mobility options.

# % VERY SATISFIED/SATISFIED

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		ı	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
The ease of getting around by walking	72	59	77	76	74	72	64	72	83	91	83	76	69
The ease of getting around by car	48	55	53	44	49	49	57	44	43	67	55	40	50
The ease of getting around by mobility device (for example, wheelchair, motorized scooter)	42	41	43	42	53	34	46	32	47	47	47	54	35
The ease of getting around by bicycle	28	16	23	35	34	22	20	36	32	0	17	43	25
The ease of getting around by transit	18	20	13	19	20	18	11	24	21	42	14	26	18

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

TABLE MT6:

Have you used Halifax Transit (bus, ferry, Access-A-Bus) in the past 12 months?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		E	DUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
Yes	68	81	80	59	63	69	83	66	52	57	78	48	72
No	32	19	20	41	37	31	17	34	48	43	22	52	28
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	604	139	98	366	267	275	203	196	174	16	72	131	390
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	611	145	108	357	199	350	150	256	177	12	49	135	414

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section.

TABLE MT7:

[ASK IF 'YES' IN MT6] How frequently do you use Halifax Transit?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	E		I	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
5 or more days a week	16	21	20	12	17	12	26	13	5	0	26	27	12
3 – 4 days a week	16	18	16	16	10	23	23	16	7	0	23	8	18
1 – 2 days a week	15	16	16	15	16	14	16	10	22	10	22	8	16
1 – 2 days a month	20	24	20	18	24	18	18	22	23	35	11	15	23
Several times a year or less	31	21	27	39	33	33	17	39	44	55	18	42	31
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	406	113	78	213	169	189	169	130	88	9	56	64	280
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	407	115	82	209	128	231	129	168	94	6	36	75	290

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section.

TABLE MT8:

What would encourage you to use public transit services more often than you do now?

			YEARS	IN HRM	GE	NDER		AG	iΕ		E	EDUCATION	
	OVERALL %	<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ
More frequent service	53	68	67	43	54	49	74	54	33	19	65	32	58
Shorter travel time	51	68	66	41	53	49	74	51	31	0	63	36	55
More reliable service	51	66	59	42	48	50	74	49	30	0	70	35	52
More direct routes	47	55	58	41	45	50	59	47	36	17	50	36	50
Fewer transfers	27	32	32	23	25	28	36	28	16	5	30	18	28
Added service to rural areas	24	29	33	20	23	24	21	27	23	15	30	24	23
Improved communications about service disruptions/changes	23	37	24	17	19	25	36	20	9	21	29	14	24
Additional bus shelters	18	24	24	13	19	15	30	14	7	13	28	13	17
Stops closer to home or work	17	25	15	15	16	19	18	21	14	0	11	14	20
More fare payment options	17	19	23	15	16	18	25	14	13	0	19	13	18
Increased safety on transit vehicles/at transit facilities	17	22	12	16	11	19	21	17	10	6	23	21	13
Improved access to schedule information	14	22	15	10	13	13	17	10	11	35	16	11	14
Additional Park & Ride lots	11	9	11	12	10	13	7	12	15	15	7	8	13
More accessible bus stops/terminals	7	9	14	4	6	8	10	6	3	13	19	4	5
Other	6	7	7	6	9	5	7	6	7	5	13	0	7
Nothing - I don't plan to use transit	15	2	8	21	16	14	4	16	26	18	8	29	11
Nothing - I already use transit all the time	1	0	1	1	1	1	2	1	0	0	1	0	1
Not applicable	3	4	0	4	3	4	1	2	7	13	6	6	2
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	599	139	98	360	264	272	200	195	172	16	72	131	385
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	608	145	108	354	198	348	149	255	176	12	49	134	412

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section.

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

### TABLE MT9A:

How would you rate the condition of the following:

The overall condition of roads in the municipality

	OVERALL %	YEARS IN HRM			GENDER			AG	Ε		EDUCATION			
		<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ	
Very good	5	4	6	5	5	4	7	4	3	0	3	1	6	
Good	23	30	27	19	23	24	28	22	18	28	17	19	25	
Average	40	41	43	39	39	41	37	37	45	51	51	41	38	
Poor	24	19	21	27	26	22	21	26	27	14	20	28	23	
Very poor	9	6	3	11	6	9	8	10	7	7	9	12	7	
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	594	137	97	359	263	270	200	192	171	16	72	130	382	
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	603	143	106	353	197	345	149	251	175	12	49	133	408	
% VERY GOOD/GOOD	27	34	33	23	28	28	35	27	21	28	20	19	32	
% POOR/VERY POOR	33	25	24	38	32	31	29	36	34	21	29	39	30	

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section.

# TABLE MT9B:

How would you rate the condition of the following:

The condition of roads and streets in your neighbourhood

	OVERALL %	YEARS IN HRM			GENDER			AG	Ε		EDUCATION			
		<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ	
Very good	10	14	15	7	11	8	16	10	5	0	5	3	13	
Good	32	34	39	29	33	36	30	32	31	79	30	29	34	
Average	37	36	33	39	37	37	37	36	42	14	50	38	35	
Poor	16	11	11	19	14	14	15	16	15	7	14	22	14	
Very poor	5	6	2	5	4	5	3	6	7	0	1	8	4	
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	594	137	97	359	263	270	200	192	171	16	72	130	382	
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	603	143	106	353	197	345	149	251	175	12	49	133	408	
% VERY GOOD/GOOD	42	48	54	37	45	44	45	42	35	79	35	32	47	
% POOR/VERY POOR	21	17	13	24	18	19	17	22	22	7	15	30	18	

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section.

### TABLE MT9C:

How would you rate the condition of the following:

The overall condition of existing sidewalks/walkways in the municipality

	OVERALL %	YEARS IN HRM			GENDER			AG	E		EDUCATION			
		<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ	
Very good	5	6	5	5	6	5	8	4	3	15	1	2	8	
Good	36	33	53	33	39	36	39	35	36	39	47	30	37	
Average	40	43	23	44	38	43	38	41	43	31	45	49	37	
Poor	13	13	15	12	13	11	13	13	12	8	5	15	14	
Very poor	5	6	4	5	4	5	2	7	5	7	2	5	5	
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	594	137	97	359	263	270	200	192	171	16	72	130	382	
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	603	143	106	353	197	345	149	251	175	12	49	133	408	
% VERY GOOD/GOOD	42	39	58	39	45	41	47	39	39	54	48	31	45	
% POOR/VERY POOR	18	18	19	17	17	16	16	20	18	15	7	20	19	

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section.

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

### TABLE MT9A-C TOP 2 BOX SUMMARY

How would you rate the condition of the following:

# % VERY GOOD/GOOD

	OVERALL %	YEARS IN HRM			GENDER			AG	E		EDUCATION			
		<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ	
The condition of roads and streets in your neighbourhood	42	48	54	37	45	44	45	42	35	79	35	32	47	
The overall condition of existing sidewalks/walkways in the municipality	42	39	58	39	45	41	47	39	39	54	48	31	45	
The overall condition of roads in the municipality	27	34	33	23	28	28	35	27	21	28	20	19	32	

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section.

# TABLE MT10:

How would you rate the condition of existing sidewalks/walkways in your neighbourhood?

	OVERALL %	YEARS IN HRM			GENDER			AG	Ε		EDUCATION			
		<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ	
Very good	13	12	18	12	13	13	12	13	17	0	13	7	15	
Good	42	39	50	42	49	39	39	43	45	72	44	36	44	
Average	31	34	24	33	24	38	37	30	28	8	31	44	29	
Poor	9	11	6	8	9	7	9	10	6	10	11	9	8	
Very poor	4	4	3	5	5	3	3	5	5	10	1	5	4	
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	451	117	79	254	205	201	189	137	104	12	61	80	306	
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	446	120	82	243	151	250	141	177	110	9	36	84	319	
% VERY GOOD/GOOD	56	51	68	54	63	52	52	56	62	72	57	43	59	
% POOR/VERY POOR	13	15	9	13	14	10	12	15	10	20	12	14	12	

This section of the survey was optional; only those who completed the entire section were included in the tabulation of this section. Responses of 'My neighbourhood does not have sidewalks' have been removed

# 2024 Resident Study - Open Link Tables: Set 2

# TABLE District:

# What area of HRM do you live in?

	OVERALL %	YEARS IN HRM			GENDER			AG	E		EDUCATION			
		<10	10-20	More than 20	Man	Woman	18-34	35-54	55-74	75+	HS or less	Trade-College	Univ	
District 1 – Waverley – Fall River – Musquodoboit Valley	4	0	3	6	4	6	0	4	8	11	4	6	4	
District 2 – Lawrencetown – The Lakes – Chezzetcook – Eastern Shore	6	7	4	6	8	5	2	4	10	16	5	5	6	
District 3 – Dartmouth South – Woodside – Eastern Passage	7	3	4	8	6	7	4	7	9	5	3	10	6	
District 4 – Cole Harbour – Preston – Westphal – Cherry Brook	5	1	3	7	5	6	8	5	4	0	8	5	5	
District 5 – Dartmouth Centre	7	9	8	6	5	7	9	6	6	14	3	5	8	
District 6 – Dartmouth East – Burnside	5	5	8	5	5	7	6	8	4	0	6	6	5	
District 7 – Halifax South-Downtown	7	12	7	5	7	6	13	3	4	14	2	2	10	
District 8 – Halifax Peninsula North	7	18	11	3	6	7	15	5	4	0	7	6	8	
District 9 – Halifax West – Armdale	7	10	7	6	8	5	11	5	7	3	12	3	8	
District 10 – Halifax – Bedford Basin West	7	7	5	7	8	5	5	4	9	6	8	3	7	
District 11 – Spryfield – Sambro Loop	6	5	11	6	6	7	5	9	5	3	2	10	6	
District 12 – Timberlea – Beechville – Clayton Park – Wedgewood	6	8	6	6	6	8	7	7	5	9	11	8	5	
District 13 – Prospect Road – St. Margarets	7	5	7	7	6	6	2	8	10	4	4	10	6	
District 14 – Hammonds Plains – Upper Hammonds Plains – Lucasville – Middle & Upper Sackville	6	3	7	6	8	4	3	8	6	2	5	6	5	
District 15 – Lower Sackville – Beaver Bank	5	2	2	7	3	6	9	4	3	0	11	7	3	
District 16 – Bedford – Wentworth	8	5	9	8	8	8	4	13	5	13	8	6	9	
Don't know	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
WEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	175	138	518	364	396	251	263	267	35	100	179	540	
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZE (#)	834	181	149	500	268	492	189	344	259	24	70	184	563	