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## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Background

Harbour Garden Village Inc. is working on a proposal to create a mixed-use development on their 46-acre property (PID 40192528) located in Musquodoboit Harbour, Nova Scotia. Exhibit 1.1 shows the site in red in the context of the surrounding area in Musquodoboit Harbour, Nova Scotia

Exhibit 1.1 - Harbour Garden Village in Musquodoboit Harbour, Nova Scotia


Harbour Garden Village is envisioned as a new community that will explore new approaches to sustainability, society and work. It will include small clustered developments of 12 houses or fewer around a town square with shops to encourage neighborly interaction.

The project will be developed in five phases with the first phase to include a long term care facility with 48 beds called The Birches. Phases 2 through 6 will capture a mixture of land uses that will be refined based on market demand and site conditions. The developer has provided an initial view of these phases that we have captured in this report.

The concept design provided for the proposed development shows an internal road network for the development with primary access from Highway 7 just west of the existing Train Museum. A new road will be created at the northern edge of the property that will connect to Darius Lane to the west which is an existing private road that will be turned over to HRM. Darius Lane connects to Little River Drive which provides access to Highway 7.

Refer to Exhibit 1.2 for a view of the proposed entrance to Harbour Garden Village on Highway 7 and Exhibit 1.3 for a proposed concept design prepared by Englobe.

Exhibit 1.2 - Proposed Harbour Garden Village Entrance on left just west of Train Museum


Exhibit 1.3 - Harbour Garden Village Concept Design


The proposed development is located in Halifax Regional Municipality (HRM) and the surrounding transportation network is owned and operated by Nova Scotia Public Works (NSPW).

JRL consulting met with HRM and submitted our proposed Scope of Work for this Traffic Impact Study which was reviewed by NSPW.

We are pleased to submit this report that assesses the traffic impacts of the proposed Harbour Garden Village development on the surrounding road network and also includes our findings and recommendations.

### 1.2 Study Area

The study area defined for this Traffic Impact Study consists of the transportation network in the immediate vicinity of the proposed Harbour Garden Village development on PID 40192528 including the new connection on Highway 7 along with the following key intersections:

- Highway 7 at Highway 107
- Highway 7 at Little River Drive
- Highway 7 at Tim Hortons/Shell
- Highway 7 at New Site Access

We have set a horizon period of 10 years for this development ( 2028 to full build out plus 5 years to 2033) to allow it to be fully completed and occupied so we can assess future performance including applicable annual background traffic growth in the area.

### 1.3 Objectives

Given the background set out above, the objective of this Traffic Impact Study is to assess the impacts of the proposed development on the surrounding transportation network and to provide recommendations and solutions to allow the traffic generated by the proposed development to be introduced to the existing transportation network safely and efficiently.

Detailed objectives are presented below:

- Complete a Site Review to review road width, lane markings, stopping sight distances, pedestrian facilities, active transportation and roadway signage within the study area
- Complete new AM and PM peak hour manual traffic counts at these intersections:
- Highway 7 at Shell Gas Station/Tim Hortons
- Highway 7 at Little River Drive (eastern intersection)
- Highway 7 at Highway 107
- Analyze the performance (2023) at the following key intersections using Synchro plus SimTraffic Version 11 and the procedures outlined in the Highway Capacity Manual and by the Transportation Association of Canada (TAC)
- Highway 7 at Shell Gas Station/Tim Hortons
- Highway 7 at Little River Drive (eastern intersection)
- Highway 7 at Highway 107
- Review historic 24-hour traffic volumes from NSTIR to estimate annual background traffic growth in the area and apply that to rate to estimate 2033 background traffic volumes in the area.
- Analyze the future background performance (2033) at the following key intersections including site generated traffic using Synchro plus SimTraffic Version 11 and the procedures outlined in the Highway Capacity Manual and by the Transportation Association of Canada (TAC)
- Highway 7 at Shell Gas Station/Tim Hortons
- Highway 7 at Little River Drive (eastern intersection)
- Highway 7 at Highway 107
- Estimate the amount of traffic that will generated by the proposed Harbour Garden Village development using Trip Generation Rates and equations published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition)
- Distribute new site generated traffic to the network based on observed traffic distribution from manual traffic counts
- Analyze the future performance (2033) including site generated traffic at the following key intersections including site generated traffic using Synchro plus SimTraffic Version 11 and the procedures outlined in the Highway Capacity Manual and by the Transportation Association of Canada (TAC)
- Highway 7 at Shell Gas Station/Tim Hortons
- Highway 7 at Little River Drive (eastern intersection)
- Highway 7 at Highway 107
- Highway 7 at New Site Access
- Assess the need for right and left turn auxiliary lanes at all key intersections in the Study Area in Musquodoboit Harbour.
- Complete traffic signal warrants if required at unsignalized intersections in the study area captured above following TAC's Canadian Traffic Signal Warrant Procedure 2005
- Complete an assessment of Stopping Site Distance at the proposed new access to Highway 7 just west of the existing Train Museum and at the connection of the new road on site at the rear of the property to Darius Lane.
- Assess road classification of proposed new road configuration to access development
- Assess the required intersection configurations
- Develop and list existing, potential and future problems or concerns along with recommendations to safely manage site generated traffic


## 2 Existing Traffic Conditions

### 2.1 Description

The principal routes affected by the proposed Harbour Garden Village development are Highway 7, Little River Drive and Highway 107.

Exhibit 2.1 summarizes HRM's Characteristics of Street Classes from HRM's Municipal Service Systems Design Guidelines and Exhibit 2.2 captures Transportation Association of Canada's (TAC) Characteristics of Urban Roads.

Exhibit 2.1-HRM's Characteristics of Street Classes

| Characteristic | Arterial Street | Major Collector | Minor Collector | Local Industrial | Local Street |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Traffic Service Function | First Consideration | Traffic movement primary consideration, land access secondary consideration, some parking | Traffic movement of equal importance with land access, parking permitted | Traffic movement secondary consideration with land access primary consideration, parking permitted | Traffic movement secondary consideration with land access primary consideration, parking permitted |
| 2. Land Access Function | Limited Access with no parking |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Range of design traffic average daily volume | $\begin{aligned} & \text { More than } \\ & 20,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12,000 \text { to } \\ & 20,000 \text { or more } \end{aligned}$ | Up to 12,000 | Less than 3,000 | Less than 3,000 |
| 4. Characteristics of traffic flow | Uninterrupted flow except at signals; w/ pedestrian overpass | Uninterrupted flow except at signals and crosswalks | Interrupted flow | Interrupted flow | Interrupted flow |
| 5. Average running speed in off-peak conditions | $50-70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ | 40-60 km/hr | $30-50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ | $15-30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ | $15-30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ |
| 6. Vehicle types | All types | All types but trucks may be limited | All types with truck limitation | All types | Passenger and service vehicles, transit buses; large vehicles restricted |
| 7. Connects to | Expressways, arterials, major collectors, minor collectors | Expressways, arterials, major collectors, minor collectors, some locals | Arterials, major collectors, minor collectors, locals | Some major collectors, minor collectors, locals | Some major collectors, minor collectors, locals |

Exhibit 2.2 - Transportation Association of Canada Characteristics of Urban Roads

|  | Public Lanes <br> Residential Commercial | Locals Residential Indust/Comm. | Collectors Residential Indust/Comm. | Minor Arterials Major | Expressways | Freeways |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| traffic service function | traffic movement not a consideration | traffic movement secondary consideration | traffic movement and land access of equal importance | trafficmovementmajorconsideration $\quad$traffic movement <br> primary <br> consideration | traffic movement primary consideration | optimum mobility |
| land service / access | land access only function | land access primary function | traffic movement and land access of equal importance | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { some access } & \begin{array}{c}\text { rigid access } \\ \text { control }\end{array} \\ \text { control }\end{array}$ | no access | no access |
| traffic volume (veh/day) (typical) | <500 <1000 | <1000 <3000 | <8000 1000-12000 | 5000-20000 10000-30 000 | >10 000 | >20 000 |
| flow characteristics | interrupted flow | interrupted flow | interrupted flow | uninterrrupted flow except at signals and crosswalks | uninterrupted flow except at signals | free-flow (grade separated) |
| design speed (km/h) | 30-40 | 30-50 | 50-80 | 50-70 60-100 | 80-110 | 80-120 |
| average running speeds (km/h) (off-peak) | 20-30 | 20-40 | 30-70 | 40-60 50-90 | 60-90 | 70-110 |
| vehicle type | passenger and service all types vehicles | passenger and service vehicles $\quad$ all types | passenger and service vehicles $\quad$ all types | all types $\begin{gathered}\text { all types up to } 20 \% \\ \text { trucks }\end{gathered}$ | all types up to 20\% trucks | all types up to 20\% trucks |
| desirable connections | public lanes, locals | public lanes, locals, collectors | locals, collectors, arterials | collectors, arterials, expressways, freeways | arterials, expressways, freeways | arterials, expressways, freeways |
| transit service | not permitted | generally avoided | permitted | express and local buses permitted | express buses only | express buses only |
| accommodation of cyclists | no restrictions or special facilities | no restrictions or special facilities | no restrictions or special facilities | lane widening or separate facilities desirable | prohibited | prohibited |
| accommodation of pedestrians | pedestrians permitted, no special facilities | sidewalks sidewalks <br> normally on provided <br> one or both where <br> sides required | sidewalks sidewalks <br> provided <br> provided  <br> both sides where <br> required  | sidewalks may be provided, separation for traffic lanes preferred | pedestrians prohibited | pedestrians prohibited |
| parking (typically) | some restrictions | no restrictions or restrictions one side only | few restrictions other than peak hour | peak hour prohibited or peak <br> restrictions <br> hour restrictions | prohibited | prohibited |
| min. intersection spacing ${ }^{1}$ (m) | as needed | 60 | 60 | 200400 | 800 | $\begin{gathered} 1600 \\ \text { (between } \\ \text { interchanges) } \end{gathered}$ |
| right-of-way width ( m ) (typically) | 6-10 | 15-22 | 20-24 | $20^{2}-45^{3}$ | $>45^{3}$ | $>60^{3}$ |

Highway 7 (Marine Drive) is a two-lane arterial road that runs in an east-west direction from downtown Dartmouth to the Eastern Shore. It provides access to homes, businesses, schools, a hospital and many other land uses in the study area. The posted speed limit is $50 \mathrm{Km} / \mathrm{hr}$ east of Little River Drive and $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ to the west of Little River Drive. There are no sidewalks in the study area but there is a graveled shoulder that is used by pedestrians. A marked crosswalk is located with on Highway 7 just west of its intersection with East Petpeswick Road.

Highway 107 is two-lane provincial highway that runs in a general east-west direction from Dartmouth to the Eastern Shore parallel to Highway 7. It has controlled access from its terminus at Highway 7 in Musquodoboit Harbour to its connection back with Highway 7 near Preston. The posted speed limit is $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$.

Little River Drive is a short, local asphalt road in a crescent configuration with two connections to Highway 7. It provides access to residential homes and connects to the existing private graveled Darius Lane. There are no sidewalks and the posted speed limit is $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$.

Refer to Exhibit 2.3 for photos of the Study Area.

Exhibit 2.3 - Study Area Photos


Proposed Entrance to Harbour Garden Village on Highway 7 looking north


Highway 7 looking east at proposed entrance to Harbour Garden Village on left


Highway 7 looking west at proposed entrance to Harbour Garden Village on right


Tim Hortons/Shell Gas Station/Convenience Store on Highway 7


Highway 7 at Tim Hortons/Shell Gas Station/Convenience Store looking west


Highway 7 at Tim Hortons/Shell Gas Station/Convenience Store looking east


Little River Drive at Highway 7 looking south


Highway 7 at Little River Drive looking west


Highway 7 at Little River Drive looking east


Little River Drive at Highway 7 looking north


Harbour Garden Village Rear Access Road at Darius Lane looking east


Darius Lane at Harbour Garden Village Rear Access Road looking north


Darius Lane at Harbour Garden Village Rear Access Road looking south


Darius Lane at Little River Drive looking north


Little River Drive at Darius Lane looking east


Little River Drive at Darius Lane looking west


Highway 7 at Highway 107 looking west


Highway 7 at Highway 107 looking east


Highway 7 at Highway 107 looking south


Highway 7 at Highway 107 looking north


Highway 7 at Scots Lake Road looking west

### 2.2 Existing Traffic Volumes

We completed AM peak hour (7:00am to 9:00am) and PM peak hour (4:00pm to 6:00pm) manual turning movement counts on Wednesday October $18^{\text {th }}$ and Thursday October $19^{\text {th }}$ at the following intersections:

- Highway 7 at Shell Gas Station/Tim Hortons
- Highway 7 at Little River Drive (eastern intersection)
- Highway 7 at Highway 107

We observed the following peak hours at these intersections:

| INTERSECTION | AM PEAK | PM PEAK |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Highway 7 at Shell Gas Station/Tim Hortons | 7:00am to 8:00am | 5:00pm to 6:00pm |
| Highway 7 at Little River Drive | 7:15am to 8:15am | 4:00pm to 5:00pm |
| Highway 7 at Highway 107 | 7:15am to 8:15am | 4:00pm to 5:00pm |

Refer to the following exhibits for existing traffic volumes in the study area.

Exhibit 2.4 - Highway 7 at Tim Hortons/Shell Gas Station/Convenience Store Existing Traffic 2023


Exhibit 2.5 - Highway 7 at Little River Drive Existing Traffic 2023


Exhibit 2.6 - Highway 7 at Highway 107 Existing Traffic 2023


### 2.3 Existing Trip Distribution

Our new traffic counts in the Study Area in Musquodoboit Harbour provide an indication of trip distribution in the area and we expect that traffic generated by the proposed Harbour Garden Village development will follow the same patterns. The majority of vehicles in the AM peak hour are heading west on Highway 7 towards Halifax and Dartmouth (64\%) and this reverses in the PM peak as people return home eastbound (61\%).

### 2.4 Background Changes in Traffic Conditions

We reviewed historical 24-hour Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) counts provided by NSPW that were completed on Highway 7 approximately 1km east of Highway 107. There was no clear pattern of background traffic growth over the past 11 years as shown in Exhibit 2.7.

Exhibit 2.7 - NSPW AADT Traffic Counts in Musquodoboit Harbour, Nova Scotia

| Year | AADT |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | 6670 |
| 2015 | 7450 |
| 2016 | 7580 |
| 2017 | 6450 |
| 2018 | 7160 |
| 2020 | 7730 |
| 2021 | 6180 |
| 2022 | 8270 |

The average annual increase in daily traffic from 2013 to 2022 at this location was $2.42 \%$, however there were anomalies in 2017 and 2021 (which was likely impacted by the pandemic).

For this study, we applied an annual background traffic volume growth rate of $2 \%$ to observed 2023 traffic volumes as outlined in Section 2.2 to establish baseline traffic volumes in 2033 for analysis. Refer to Exhibits 2.8, 2.9 and 2.10 for estimated 2033 background traffic volumes at all key intersections.

Exhibit 2.8 - Highway 7 at Tim Hortons/Shell/Convenience Store Background Traffic 2033


Exhibit 2.9 - Highway 7 at Little River Drive Background Traffic 2033


Exhibit 2.10 - Highway 7 at Highway 107 Background Traffic 2033


### 2.5 Transit, Pedestrians and Active Transportation

There are no transit services in the area near the proposed development, however, MusGo Rider is a community-based transportation service that offers accessible, reliable, affordable door-todoor transportation in the area and throughout HRM. MusGo Rider seeks to provide safe and affordable rural transportation to help clients get to where they need to go while helping to combat rural isolation and improve the quality of life for residents. It's a pre-booked service.

We observed a number of school buses in the AM and PM peaks hour during our manual traffic counts servicing schools in the area including Eastern Shore District High School located near the intersection of Highway 7 and West Petpeswick Road

There are no sidewalks in the area so pedestrians use the graveled shoulder on Highway 7. A marked crosswalk is located on Highway 7 approximately 165 meters east of the proposed new access to Harbour Garden Village.

In our manual traffic counts completed on Wednesday October $18^{\text {th }}$ and Thursday October 19th we observed a very limited amount of pedestrians in the AM and PM peak hours and none were observed crossing Highway 7 at any intersection that we counted.

For the detailed analysis in this report we have modeled 10 persons per hour for all intersection approaches in the study area which is consistent with HRM's guidelines of 10 persons an hour in a suburban area.

## 3 Site Generated Traffic

### 3.1 Trip Generation

Harbour Garden Village is envisioned as a new community that will explore new approaches to sustainability, society and work. It will include small clustered developments of 12 houses or fewer around a town square with shops to encourage neighbourly interaction.

The project will be developed in five phases with the first phase to include a long term care facility with 48 beds called The Birches. Phases 2 through 6 will capture a mixture of land uses that will be refined based on market demand and site conditions. The developer has provided an initial view of these phases that we have captured to estimate new vehicle trips.

A total of 6 enclaves are planned with 12 single family homes in each enclave ( 72 total single family units). Four sets of Row Houses are planned with 6 units in each block ( 24 total townhouse units). An area with small shops and apartments will have four buildings and each building will have 6 apartments ( 600 sqft each and a total of 24 apartments) and 6 small shops ( 600 sqft each for a total of 14,400 sqft of retail). Village buildings will include a 2,500 sqft Farm Market, a 24-room boutique hotel along with a Botanical Garden and Woodshop. A self-storage barn with 110 storage units is planned and raised deck parking for 100 vehicles is envisioned to provide on-site parking. We have added the total area of the small shops to the Farm Market to capture a total retail area of 16,900 sqft and we assessed this space collectively as a Retail Plaza.

We reviewed Institute for Transportation Engineer's Trip Generation Manual $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition to determine appropriate Land Use Codes to estimate site generated traffic for the development.

## - ITE Land Use 620 Nursing Home

"A nursing home is a facility whose primary function is to provide care for persons who are unable to care for themselves. Examples include rest homes, chronic care, and convalescent homes. Skilled nurses and nursing aides are present 24 hours a day at these sites. Residents often require treatment from a registered healthcare professional for ongoing medical issues. A nursing home resident is not capable of operating a vehicle. Traffic is generated by employees, visitors, and deliveries." The unit of measurement for average vehicle trip ends is beds.

- ITE Land Use 210 Single Family Detached Housing
"Single-family detached housing includes all single-family detached homes on individual lots. A typical site surveyed is a suburban subdivision." The unit of measurement for average vehicle trip ends is dwelling units.
- ITE Land Use 221 Multifamily Housing (Mid-Rise)
"Mid-rise multifamily housing includes apartments and condominiums located within the same building that has between four and 10 floors of living space. Access to individual dwelling units is through an outside building entrance, a lobby, elevator, and a set if hallways. " The unit of measurement for average vehicle trip ends is dwelling units.


## - ITE Land Use 822 Retail Plaza (<40K)

"A strip retail plaza is an integrated group of commercial establishments that is planned, developed, owned, and managed as a unit. Each study site in this land use has less than 40,000 square feet of gross leasable area (GLA). No shopping plaza with a supermarket as its anchor is smaller than 40,000 square feet GLA." The unit of measurement for average vehicle trip ends is 1,000 Square Feet Gross Floor Area.

## - ITE Land Use 220 Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise)

"Low-rise multifamily housing includes apartments, townhouses, and condominiums located within the same building with at least three other dwelling units and that have two or three floors (levels). Various configurations fit this description, including walkup apartment, mansion apartment, and stacked townhouse." The unit of measurement for average vehicle trip ends is dwelling units.

## - ITE Land Use 310 Hotel

"Are places of lodging that provide sleeping accommodations and supporting facilities such as restaurants, cocktail lounges, meeting and banquet rooms or convention facilities, limited recreational facilities (pool, fitness room), and/or other retail and service shops. " The unit of measurement for average vehicle trip ends is rooms.

## - ITE Land Use 151 Mini-Warehouse

"A mini-warehouse is a building in which a number of storage units or vaults are rented for the storage of goods. They are typically referred to as "self-storage" facilities. Each unit is physically separated from other units, and access is usually provided through an overhead door or other common access point." The unit of measurement for average vehicle trip ends is 1,000 Square Feet Gross Floor Area.

Exhibit 3.1 - Estimated Site Generated Traffic Volumes for Harbour Garden Village

| LAND USE | QUANTITY | AM PEAK |  |  | PM PEAK |  |  | WEEKDAY |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TOTAL | ENTER | EXIT | TOTAL | ENTER | EXIT | TOTAL | ENTER | EXIT |
| Nursing Home <br> Land Use 620 | 48 | 10 | 72\% | 28\% | 9 | 33\% | 67\% | 147 | 50\% | 50\% |
|  |  |  | 7 | 3 |  | 3 | 6 |  | 73 | 73 |
| Single Family <br> Land Use 210 | 72 | 55 | 25\% | 75\% | 73 | 63\% | 37\% | 746 | 50\% | 50\% |
|  |  |  | 14 | 41 |  | 46 | 27 |  | 373 | 373 |
| Apartments <br> Land Use 221 | 24 | 9 | 23\% | 77\% | 9 | 61\% | 39\% | 109 | 50\% | 50\% |
|  |  |  | 2 | 7 |  | 6 | 4 |  | 54 | 54 |
| Retail Land Use 822 | 16,900 sqft | 41 | 60\% | 40\% | 113 | 50\% | 50\% | 943 | 50\% | 50\% |
|  |  |  | 24 | 16 |  | 57 | 57 |  | 471 | 417 |
| Row Houses <br> Land Use 220 | 24 | 30 | 24\% | 76\% | 31 | 63\% | 37\% | 229 | 50\% | 50\% |
|  |  |  | 7 | 23 |  | 19 | 11 |  | 114 | 114 |
| Boutique Hotel <br> Land Use 310 | 24 | 11 | 56\% | 44\% | 14 | 51\% | 49\% | 192 | 50\% | 50\% |
|  |  |  | 6 | 5 |  | 7 | 7 |  | 96 | 96 |
| Self-Storage <br> Land Use 151 | 110 | 1 | 51\% | 49\% | 2 | 50\% | 50\% | 20 | 50\% | 50\% |
|  |  |  | 1 | 44 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 10 | 10 |
| TOTAL |  | 158 | 62 | 96 | 251 | 139 | 113 | 2385 | 1192 | 1192 |

We estimate that the proposed development will generate net new traffic volumes of $\mathbf{1 5 8}$ vehicles in the AM peak hour, $\mathbf{2 5 1}$ vehicles in the PM peak hour and $\mathbf{2 3 8 5}$ vehicles on a weekday.

### 3.2 Trip Distribution and Assignment

We distributed and assigned the site-generated trips to the transportation network by analyzing our manual traffic counts and associated trip distribution in Musquodoboit Harbour.

The concept plan for Harbour Green Village in Exhibit 1.3 shows five phases of the proposed development with the first phase, The Birches Long Term Care Facility, centered on the property. The location of the different land uses has not been finalized at this stage of the development and will be influenced by site conditions and market demands.

We reviewed the proposed road layout as well as the transportation network in the area and we expect that the majority of site generated traffic will use the proposed new connection to Highway 7 just west of the existing train museum as this area offers other services and is a direct connection to the main route in the area (Highway 7).

Phase 4 of the proposed development is located on the western edge of the property with access to the road at the rear of the property that connects to Darius Lane and Little River Drive which provides access to Highway 7.

For this analysis we have allocated $75 \%$ of all site generated traffic to the new connection to Highway 7 planned for Harbour Garden Village and the remaining $25 \%$ to the road at the rear of the property which will funnel site generated traffic to and from Highway 7 through Little River Road. We have also loaded all new traffic to Little River Drive at its eastern connection to Highway 7 to assess a worst case scenario.

Exhibit 3.2 - Estimated Site Generated Traffic Allocation

| LOCATION | \% | AM PEAK |  |  | PM PEAK |  |  | WEEKDAY |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TOTAL | ENTER | EXIT | TOTAL | ENTER | EXIT | TOTAL | ENTER | EXIT |
| Little River Drive | 25\% | 39 | 15 | 24 | 63 | 35 | 28 | 596 | 298 | 298 |
| New Site Access to Highway 7 | 76\% | 118 | 46 | 72 | 189 | 104 | 84 | 1789 | 894 | 894 |
| TOTAL |  | 158 | 62 | 96 | 251 | 139 | 113 | 2385 | 1192 | 1192 |

### 3.3 Total Traffic

The estimated distributed site-generated traffic was added to the estimated 2033 background traffic volumes to obtain the total estimated future traffic volumes at all key intersections in the study area including the new connection to Highway 7.

Please refer to the following Exhibits for a summary of total traffic volumes in 2033 and the Appendix for detailed summaries that show how site-generated traffic was distributed to and from the proposed Harbour Garden Village.

Exhibit 3.3 - Highway 7 at Harbour Garden Village Site Access Total Traffic 2033


Exhibit 3.4 - Highway 7 at Tim Hortons/Shell/Convenience Store Total Traffic 2033


Exhibit 3.5 - Highway 7 at Little River Drive Total Traffic 2033


Exhibit 3.6 - Highway 7 at Highway 107 Total Traffic 2033


## 4 Evaluation of Impacts

### 4.1 Turning Lane Assessments

Left turn warrants and storage lane lengths for unsignalized intersections are based on turning, advancing and opposing design hour volumes and to determine if turning traffic will impede through traffic to a level that will warrant a dedicated left turn lane.

Ministry of Transportation for the Province of Ontario (MTO) procedures to determine the requirement for left turn lanes provide graphs that assess left turn volumes versus Opposing Volume for two-lane undivided highways.

We observed a significant volume of westbound left turn traffic in the AM and PM peak hours at the existing driveway to Tim Hortons/Shell Gas Station/Convenience Store during our traffic counts so we completed left turn warrants at that intersection with existing 2023 traffic, background 2033 traffic and total traffic in 2033 which includes site generated traffic.

A westbound left turn lane with 15 meters storage is warranted on Highway 7 at the entrance to Tim Hortons/Shell Gas Station/Convenience Store based on existing traffic volumes. The addition of Harbour Garden Village site generated traffic at full build out increases the storage requirement of this westbound left turn lane to 25 meters. Refer to Exhibits 4.1 to 4.6.

We completed a left turn warrant at the proposed entrance to Harbour Garden Village with 2033 total traffic and an eastbound left turn lane is warranted with PM peak traffic with 15 meters of storage. Refer to Exhibits 4.7 and 4.7.

Eastbound left turn traffic at the Highway 7 at Little River Drive is relatively minor in all scenarios including 2033 total traffic and a left turn lane is not warranted at this interaction.

Exhibit 4.1 - Left Turn Warrant on Highway 7 at Shell/Tim Hortons AM Peak 2023 Existing Traffic


Exhibit 4.2 - Left Turn Warrant on Highway 7 at Shell/Tim Hortons PM Peak 2023 Existing Traffic


Exhibit 4.3 - Left Turn Warrant on Highway 7 at Shell/Tim Hortons AM Peak 2033 Background


Exhibit 4.4 - Left Turn Warrant on Highway 7 at Shell/Tim Hortons PM Peak 2033 Background


Exhibit 4.5 - Left Turn Warrant on Highway 7 at Shell/Tim Hortons AM Peak 2033 Total Traffic


Exhibit 4.6 - Left Turn Warrant on Highway 7 at Shell/Tim Hortons PM Peak 2033 Total Traffic


Exhibit 4.7 - Left Turn Warrant on Highway 7 at Site Access AM Peak 2033 Total Traffic


Exhibit 4.8 - Left Turn Warrant on Highway 7 at Site Access PM Peak 2033 Total Traffic


We complete right turn warrants on Highway 7 at the proposed entrance to Harbour Garden Village based on procedures and graphs prepared by the Ohio Department of Transportation. Westbound right turn volumes are not significant in the AM and PM peak hours and a right turn lane is not warranted at the proposed site access. Refer to Exhibits 4.9 and 4.10

We reviewed right turn volumes at the entrance to the Tim Hortons/Shell Gas Station/Convenience Store and completed right turn warrants using existing 2023 traffic, background 2033 traffic and total traffic in 2033 which includes site generated traffic.

An eastbound right turn lane is not warranted with current 2023 traffic volumes although the PM peak hour right turn traffic volume of 81 vehicles with an advancing volume of 439 vehicles is very close to the warrant line

Background traffic volumes in the PM peak hour in 2033 will warrant an eastbound right turn lane on Highway 7 at the entrance to the Tim Hortons/Shell Gas Station/Convenience Store which also means that 2033 total traffic including Harbour Garden Village will warrant a right turn lane at this location. Refer to Exhibits 4.11 through 4.16.

Exhibit 4.9 - Right Turn Warrant on Highway 7 at Site Access AM Peak 2033 Total Traffic


Exhibit 4.10 - Right Turn Warrant on Highway 7 at Site Access PM Peak 2033 Total Traffic


Exhibit 4.11 - Right Turn Warrant on Highway 7 at Shell/Tim Hortons AM Peak 2023 Existing


Exhibit 4.12 - Right Turn Warrant on Highway 7 at Shell/Tim Hortons PM Peak 2023 Existing


Exhibit 4.13 - Right Turn Warrant on Highway 7 at Shell/Tim Hortons AM Peak 2033 Background


Exhibit 4.14 - Right Turn Warrant on Highway 7 at Shell/Tim Hortons PM Peak 2033 Background


Exhibit 4.15 - Right Turn Warrant on Highway 7 at Shell/Tim Hortons AM Peak 2033 Total Traffic


Exhibit 4.16 - Right Turn Warrant on Highway 7 at Shell/Tim Hortons PM Peak 2033 Total Traffic


### 4.2 Level of Service Analysis

As described in the Highway Capacity Manual "the concept of levels of service used qualitative measures that characterize operational conditions within a traffic stream and their perception by motorists and passengers. The descriptions of individual levels of service characterize these conditions in terms of such factors as speed and travel time, freedom to maneuver, traffic interruptions, and comfort and convenience.

Six levels of service are defined for each type of facility for which analysis procedures are available. They are given letter designations from A to $F$, with LOS A representing the best operating conditions and LOS F the worst."

As stated in the Highway Capacity Manual, "analysis of signalized intersections focuses on the capacity and level of service of intersection approaches and the intersection as a whole. Capacity is evaluated in terms of the ratio of demand flow rate (volume) to capacity (v/c ratio) while the level of service is evaluated on the basis of average control delay per vehicle (in seconds per vehicle)." Exhibit 4.17 defines Level of Service for signalized intersections.

The Highway Capacity Manual also states that "the level of service is determined by the computed or measured control delay and is defined for each minor movement. Level of Service is not defined for the intersection as a whole. "LOS criteria for unsignalized intersections are summarized in Exhibit 4.18.

Exhibit 4.17-Level of Service Criteria for Signalized Intersections

| Level of <br> Service | Description | Control, Delay Per <br> Vehicle (Seconds) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| A | Very low delay; most vehicles do not stop (Excellent) | $\leq 10$ |
| B | Higher delay; more vehicles stop (Very Good) | $\geq 10$ and $\leq 20$ |
| C | Higher number of congestion; number of vehicles stopping is significant, although <br> many still pass through intersection without stopping (Good) | $\geq 20$ and $\leq 35$ |
| D | Congestion becomes noticeable; vehicles must sometimes wait through more than <br> one red light; Many vehicles stop (Satisfactory) | $\geq 35$ and $\leq 55$ |
| E | Vehicles must often wait through more than one red light; considered by many <br> agencies to be the limit of acceptable delay | $\geq 55$ and $\leq 80$ |
| F | This level is considered to be unacceptable for most drivers; occurs when arrival <br> flow rates exceed the capacity of the intersection (Unacceptable) | $\geq 80$ |

Exhibit 4.18 - Level of Service Criteria for Unsignalized Intersections

| Level of Service | Delay Range (Seconds) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $\leq 10$ |
| B | $\geq 10$ and $\leq 15$ |
| C | $\geq 15$ and $\leq 25$ |
| D | $\geq 25$ and $\leq 35$ |
| E | $\geq 35$ and $\leq 50$ |
| F | $\geq 50$ |

Traffic volumes are at their highest during the AM and PM peak periods so the impact of the trips generated by the proposed development during these hours will provide a worst case assessment of their impacts on the existing transportation network.

NSTIR's Guide for the Preparation of Traffic Impact Studies states that:
"for signalized and unsignalized intersections and overall LOS rating of " $A$ " to "D" (based on delay), and a volume/capacity (v/c) ratio less than 0.90 for any individual movement, are normally considered acceptable. Where existing or horizon year levels of service are " $E$ " or " $F$ ", or v/c ratios exceed 0.90, without the proposed development, LOS and v/c ratios equal to or better than existing levels may be acceptable as long as the average stopped delay per vehicle per movement is not increased after the development. "

We reviewed our traffic counts to determine both the Peak Hour Factor (PHF) and Heavy Truck percentages in the AM and PM peak hours at each intersection in the study area as summarized in Exhibit 4.19.

Exhibit 4.19 - Observed Peak Hour Factors and Truck Percentages in Study Area

| Intersection | Peak Hour Factor |  | Truck Percentage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM Peak | PM Peak | AM Peak | PM Peak |
| Highway 7 at Tim Hortons/Shell | 0.91 | 0.95 | $3.84 \%$ | $3.33 \%$ |
| Highway 7 at Little River Drive | 0.94 | 0.88 | $4.12 \%$ | $3.52 \%$ |
| Highway 7 at Highway 107 | 0.93 | 0.99 | $4.52 \%$ | $3.33 \%$ |

In our analysis for 2033 total traffic, which captures the impacts of Harbour Garden Village, we included the following auxiliary lanes as outlined in Section 4.1.

1. Eastbound left turn lane with 15 meters storage on Highway 7 at proposed site access
2. Westbound left turn lane with 25 meters storage on Highway 7 at Tim Hortons/Shell

We didn't include the eastbound right turn lane on Highway 7 at Tim Hortons as the performance of this intersection was acceptable without it, however, the need for this lane should be monitored in the future.

Level of Service (LOS), Volume-to-Capacity ratios (v/c) and 95\% Queue Length in vehicles (95\% Queue) results from all key movements at all intersections in the study area are provided in the following Exhibits and detailed Synchro reports have been included in the Appendix.

Exhibit 4.20 - Harbour Garden Village Site Access at Highway 7 Level of Service Results

|  | Highway 7 |  | Site Access |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB-L | EB-T | SB-LR |  |  |
|  |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| Delay | 8.4 | - | 14.3 |  |  |
| LOS | A | A | B |  |  |
| v/c | 0.017 |  | 0.168 |  |  |
| 95\% Queue | 0.1 |  | 0.6 |  |  |
| PM PEAK HOUR - TOTAL TRAFFIC 2033 |  | 2.4 |  |  |  |
| Delay | 8.4 | - | 24.4 |  |  |
| LOS | A | A | C |  |  |
| v/c | 0.064 |  | 0.334 |  |  |
| 95\% Queue | 0.2 |  | 1.4 |  |  |

All intersection movements at the proposed new access to Harbour Garden Village on Highway 7 will operate with acceptable LOS during the AM and PM peak hours with the addition of site generated traffic and background traffic volumes in 2033.

Exhibit 4.21 - Tim Hortons/Shell Gas Station at Highway 7 Level of Service Results

|  | Highway 7 |  | Tim Hortons/Shell |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WB-L | WB-T | NB-L | NB-R |  |
| AM PEAK HOUR - EXISTING TRAFFIC 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay <br> LOS <br> v/c <br> 95\% Queue | $\begin{gathered} 7.9 \\ \text { A } \\ 0.092 \\ 0.3 \end{gathered}$ | A | $\begin{gathered} 18.8 \\ \text { C } \\ 0.317 \\ 1.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.7 \\ \text { A } \\ 0.088 \\ 0.3 \end{gathered}$ | 5.4 |
| AM PEAK HOUR - BACKGROUND TRAFFIC 2033 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay <br> LOS <br> v/c <br> 95\% Queue | 8.1 <br> A <br> 0.116 <br> 0.4 | A | $\begin{gathered} 27.3 \\ \text { D } \\ 0.482 \\ 2.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.0 \\ \text { B } \\ 0.112 \\ 0.4 \end{gathered}$ | 6.9 |
| AM PEAK HOUR - TOTAL TRAFFIC 2033 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay <br> LOS <br> v/c <br> 95\% Queue | 8.3 <br> A <br> 0.136 <br> 0.5 | A | $\begin{gathered} 39.1 \\ E \\ 0.63 \\ 3.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.3 \\ \text { B } \\ 0.126 \\ 0.4 \end{gathered}$ | 9.0 |
| PM PEAK HOUR - EXISTING TRAFFIC 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay <br> LOS <br> v/c <br> 95\% Queue | 8.6 <br> A 0.063 0.2 | A | $\begin{gathered} 18.4 \\ \text { C } \\ 0.172 \\ 0.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.8 \\ \text { B } \\ 0.13 \\ 0.4 \end{gathered}$ | 2.9 |
| PM PEAK HOUR - BACKGROUND TRAFFIC 2033 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay <br> LOS <br> v/c <br> 95\% Queue | 9.0 <br> A 0.083 0.3 | A | $\begin{gathered} 24.6 \\ \text { C } \\ 0.273 \\ 1.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.1 \\ \text { B } \\ 0.178 \\ 0.6 \end{gathered}$ | 3.4 |
| PM PEAK HOUR - TOTAL TRAFFIC 2033 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay LOS v/c 95\% Queue | 9.4 <br> A <br> 0.102 <br> 0.3 | A | $\begin{gathered} 32.7 \\ D \\ 0.381 \\ 1.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.6 \\ \text { B } \\ 0.231 \\ 0.9 \end{gathered}$ | 4.1 |

All key intersection movements will operate with acceptable LOS during the AM and PM peak hours with existing traffic in 2023 and will continue to do so with the addition of site generated traffic and background traffic volumes in 2033.

Exhibit 4.22 - Little River Drive at Highway 7 Level of Service Results

|  | Highway 7 |  | Little River Drive | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB-L | EB-T | SB-LR |  |
| AM PEAK HOUR - EXISTING TRAFFIC 2023 |  |  |  |  |
| Delay | 8.2 | - | 12.1 | 0.1 |
| LOS | A | A | B |  |
| v/c | 0.002 |  | 0.008 |  |
| 95\% Queue | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| AM PEAK HOUR - BACKGROUND TRAFFIC 2033 |  |  |  |  |
| Delay | 8.4 | - | 13.3 | 0.1 |
| LOS | A | A | B |  |
| v/c | 0.002 |  | 0.01 |  |
| 95\% Queue | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| AM PEAK HOUR - TOTAL TRAFFIC 2033 |  |  |  |  |
| Delay | 8.6 | - | 15.1 | 0.5 |
| LOS | A | A | C |  |
| v/c | 0.009 |  | 0.053 |  |
| 95\% Queue | 0 |  | 0.2 |  |
| PM PEAK HOUR - EXISTING TRAFFIC 2023 |  |  |  |  |
| Delay | 8.0 | - | 16.9 | 0.1 |
| LOS | A | A | C |  |
| v/c | 0.002 |  | 0.019 |  |
| 95\% Queue | 0 |  | 0.1 |  |
| PM PEAK HOUR - BACKGROUND TRAFFIC 2033 |  |  |  |  |
| Delay | 8.2 | - | 20.6 | 0.1 |
| LOS | A | A | C |  |
| v/c | 0.002 |  | 0.029 |  |
| 95\% Queue | 0 |  | 0.1 |  |
| PM PEAK HOUR - TOTAL TRAFFIC 2033 |  |  |  |  |
| Delay | 8.4 | - | 23.8 | 0.9 |
| LOS | A | A | C |  |
| v/c | 0.025 |  | 0.172 |  |
| 95\% Queue | 0.1 |  | 0.6 |  |

All key intersection movements will operate with acceptable LOS during the AM and PM peak hours with existing traffic in 2023 and will continue to do so with the addition of site generated traffic and background traffic volumes in 2033.

Exhibit 4.23 - Highway 107 at Highway 7 Level of Service Results

|  | Highway 7 |  | Highway 107 |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WB-L | EB-L | NB-LTR | SB-LTR |  |
| AM PEAK HOUR - EXISTING TRAFFIC 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay <br> LOS <br> v/c <br> 95\% Queue | $\begin{gathered} 8.4 \\ \text { A } \\ 0.266 \\ 1.1 \end{gathered}$ | A | $\begin{gathered} 9.1 \\ \text { A } \\ 0.103 \\ 0.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.4 \\ \text { D } \\ 0.014 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 5.9 |
| AM PEAK HOUR - BACKGROUND TRAFFIC 2033 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay <br> LOS <br> v/c <br> 95\% Queue | $\begin{gathered} 8.9 \\ \text { A } \\ 0.332 \\ 1.5 \end{gathered}$ | A | $\begin{gathered} 9.4 \\ \text { A } \\ 0.13 \\ 0.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39 \\ E \\ 0.021 \\ 0.1 \end{gathered}$ | 6.2 |
| AM PEAK HOUR - TOTAL TRAFFIC 2033 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay <br> LOS <br> v/c <br> 95\% Queue | $\begin{gathered} 9.2 \\ \text { A } \\ 0.375 \\ 1.8 \end{gathered}$ | A | $\begin{gathered} 9.6 \\ \text { A } \\ 0.146 \\ 0.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50.2 \\ F \\ 0.028 \\ 0.1 \end{gathered}$ | 6.4 |
| PM PEAK HOUR - EXISTING TRAFFIC 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay <br> LOS <br> v/c <br> 95\% Queue | $\begin{gathered} 8.0 \\ \text { A } \\ 0.115 \\ 0.4 \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 12.2 \\ \text { B } \\ 0.404 \\ 2.0 \end{gathered}$ |  | 6.7 |
| PM PEAK HOUR - BACKGROUND TRAFFIC 2033 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay <br> LOS <br> v/c <br> 95\% Queue | $\begin{gathered} 8.2 \\ \text { A } \\ 0.145 \\ 0.5 \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 14.3 \\ \text { B } \\ 0.52 \\ 3.1 \end{gathered}$ |  | 7.6 |
| PM PEAK HOUR - TOTAL TRAFFIC 2033 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay LOS v/c 95\% Queue | $\begin{gathered} 8.4 \\ \text { A } \\ 0.169 \\ 0.6 \end{gathered}$ | A | $\begin{gathered} 17.0 \\ \text { C } \\ 0.615 \\ 4.3 \end{gathered}$ | A | 8.8 |

All key intersection movements will operate with acceptable LOS during the AM and PM peak hours with existing traffic in 2023 and will continue to do so with the addition of site generated traffic and background traffic volumes in 2033.

### 4.3 Stopping Site Distance

As per the Transportation of Canada Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads, adequate stopping site distance "is essential for safe operation that the vehicle operator be able to see far enough ahead to stop if necessary. Conditions that would force a vehicle operator to stop are for example, an object on the roadway, a culvert washout or other fault in the roadway.

Adequate stopping site distance is required throughout the length of the roadway. Minimum stopping site distance is the sum of two distances namely:

- Brake reaction distance

The distance travelled during the brake reaction time, that is the time that elapses from the instant an object, for which the driver decides to stop, comes into view to the instant the driver takes remedial action (contacts brake pedal).

- Braking distance

The distance travelled from the time that braking begins to the time the vehicle comes to a stop."
For a design speed of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, the minimum stopping site distance is 65 m while a design speed of $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ requires a minimum stopping site distance of 85 m .

We completed a Stopping Site Distance (SSD) review on November 5, 2023 at the proposed Harbour Garden Village connection on Highway 7 and at the existing rear access road for Harbour Garden Village that connects to Darius Lane. Field measurements were recorded using an object height of 0.6 m and a driver eye height of 1.05 m .

Visibility on Highway 7 for eastbound and westbound drivers exceeds TAC requirements of 65 m and available SSD is greater than 120 m for westbound drivers and greater than 150 m for eastbound drivers.

Visibility on Darius Lane for northbound drivers and eastbound drivers exceeds TAC requirements of 65 meters. We noted that visibility for eastbound drivers is limited due to a horizontal/vertical curve and an existing gate that encroaches on the private road's right-of-way.

Refer to Exhibit 4.24 for a summary of Stopping Site Distance for Harbour Garden Village as well Exhibits 4.25 through 4.28 for photos from our field assessment.

Exhibit 4.24 - Stopping Site Distance for Harbour Garden Village Access Points

| Location | Direction | Minimum SSD | Available SSD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Harbour Garden Village (New) <br> at Highway 7 | Eastbound | 65 m | $>120 \mathrm{~m}$ |
|  | Westbound | 65 m | $>150 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Harbour Garden Village (Rear Road) |  |  |  |
| at Darius Lane |  |  |  |$\quad$ Eastbound $\quad 65 \mathrm{~m} \quad>65 \mathrm{~m}$.

Exhibit 4.25 - Eastbound Driver View to Harbour Garden Village Rear Access Road at 65 m


Exhibit 4.26 - Northbound Driver View to Harbour Garden Village Rear Access Road at 85 m


Exhibit 4.27 - Westbound Driver View to Harbour Garden Village Main Entrance at 120 m


Exhibit 4.28 - Eastbound Driver View to Harbour Garden Village Main Entrance at 150 m


### 4.4 Site Access and Internal Road Network

The proposed access to Highway 7 for the main entrance to Harbour Garden Village will be located just west of the existing train museum in Musquodoboit Harbour. This road should be constructed as a local street per TAC and HRM geometric design guidelines and its connection to Highway 7 should also follow TAC and HRM geometric design guidelines. A stop sign will be required at this minor approach to Highway 7 and it should be installed in accordance with TAC's Manual of Uniform Control Devices for Canada (MUTCDC). A shared left/right lane exiting Harbour Garden Village will operate with acceptable LOS.

Full buildout traffic plus background traffic in 2033 will warrant an eastbound left turn lane with 15 meters storage that should be designed and constructed per TAC, NSPW and HRM geometric design guidelines and appropriate signage and pavement markings should be installed in accordance with TAC's Manual of Uniform Control Devices for Canada (MUTCDC).

A stop sign will be required at the western end of the rear access road to Harbour Green Village at its connection to Darius Lane and it should be installed in accordance with TAC's Manual of Uniform Control Devices for Canada (MUTCDC). Additional stops signs will be required within Harbour Garden Village and their locations should be assessed once a final site plan has been confirmed.

We reviewed the internal road network concept design in Exhibit 1.3 and we recommend that all new roads be designed and constructed as local streets per TAC and HRM geometric design guidelines. The site plan and the proposed internal road network should be reviewed to ensure that emergency vehicles and larger service vehicles can be accommodated.

Typical daily volumes for a local street are less than 3,000 vehicles per day and the average running speed is $15-30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$. With a local street, traffic movement is a secondary consideration with land access as the primary consideration.

Our analysis of the weekday trip generation volumes for Harbour Garden Village estimated a total of 2385 vehicles per day which supports the recommendation for local streets in the development.

## 5 Conclusions and Recommendations

- This Traffic Impact Study has provided a detailed assessment of the potential traffic impacts of the proposed Harbour Garden Village community which will be located on a 46-acre property (PID 40192528) in Musquodoboit Harbour, Nova Scotia.
- Harbour Garden Village will contain a mixture of land uses including a long term care facility with 48 beds (The Birches) which will be completed in the initial phase. The remaining phases will capture a mixture of land uses (residential and commercial) that will be refined based on market demand and site conditions. The initial plan includes 72 single family homes in enclaves, 24 row houses, 24 apartments, 24 small shops, a farm market, 24 -room boutique hotel and a self-storage barn with 110 storage units. Raised deck parking for 100 vehicles is envisioned on site.
- We estimate that the proposed Harbour Garden Village development will generate $\mathbf{1 5 8}$ new vehicle trips ( 62 enter, 72 exit) in the AM Peak Hour, 251 new vehicle trips (139 enter, 113 exit) in the PM Peak Hour and 2385 vehicles on a weekday.
- New site generated traffic will most likely follow existing trip distribution patterns in the surrounding area with $\mathbf{6 4 \%}$ of site generated traffic moving westbound towards Halifax and Dartmouth on Highway 7 in the AM peak hour and $\mathbf{6 1 \%}$ of site generated traffic moving eastbound away from Halifax and Dartmouth on Highway 7 in the PM peak hour.
- At this stage only the long term care facility (The Birches) with 48 beds has been confirmed and it will generate minimal traffic during the AM and PM peak hours so it will not have any impact on the surrounding transportation network in Musquodoboit Harbour.
- A westbound left turn lane with 15 meters storage is warranted on Highway 7 at the entrance to Tim Hortons/Shell Gas Station/Convenience Store based on existing 2023 traffic volumes. The addition of Harbour Garden Village site generated traffic at full build out increases the storage requirement of this westbound left turn lane to 25 meters.
- An eastbound left turn lane with 15 meters storage is warranted on Highway 7 at the proposed entrance to Harbour Garden Village with 2033 total traffic volumes.
- An eastbound right turn lane is warranted on Highway 7 at the entrance to Tim Hortons/Shell Gas Station/Convenience Store based on background traffic volumes in 2033.
- A westbound right turn lane is not warranted on Highway 7 at the proposed entrance to Harbour Garden Village.
- No upgrades are required at the existing Highway 7/Highway 107 and Highway 7/Little River Drive intersections as they can accommodate full build out traffic in 2033 with their current configurations.
- Stopping Site Distance on Highway 7 exceeds TAC requirements of 65 m at the proposed entrance to Harbour Garden Village and available SSD is greater than 120 m for westbound drivers and greater than 150 m for eastbound drivers.
- Stopping Site Distance on Darius Lane exceeds TAC requirements of 65 m at the proposed rear access to Harbour Garden Village for eastbound and northbound drivers. Visibility for eastbound drivers is limited due to a horizontal/vertical curve and an existing gate that encroaches on the right of way so we recommend that the gate be removed or relocated.
- We recommend that all new roads in Harbour Garden Village be designed and constructed as local streets per Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) and Halifax Regional Municipality (HRM) geometric design guidelines. The final site plan and proposed internal road network should be reviewed to ensure that emergency vehicles and larger service vehicles can be accommodated.
- Stop signs will be required at Harbour Garden Village's main access to Highway 7 and at the western end of the rear access road to Harbour Garden Village. Additional stops signs will be required within Harbour Garden Village and their locations should be assessed once a final site plan has been confirmed. All required signs should be installed per TAC's Manual of Uniform Control Devices for Canada (MUTCDC).
- Auxiliary turning lanes on Highway 7 should be should be designed and constructed per TAC, NSPW and HRM geometric design guidelines and appropriate signage and pavement markings should be installed in accordance with TAC's Manual of Uniform Control Devices for Canada (MUTCDC).
- All movements at all intersections in the study area will operate with acceptable Level of Service during the AM and PM peak hours in 2033 with background traffic growth and site generated traffic.
- The final plan and land uses for Harbour Garden Village are still in development so we recommend that this Traffic Impact Study be updated once those details have been finalized to reassess site generated and background traffic as well as recommended transportation infrastructure upgrades including the eastbound left turn lane on Highway 7 at Harbour Garden Village and the additional 10 m storage for the westbound left turn lane on Highway 7 at Tim Hortons/Shell Gas Station/Convenience Store that is warranted with existing 2023 traffic.
- We haven't identified any significant traffic related concerns with the proposed Harbour Garden Village development and new traffic that it will generate can be introduced safely and efficiently into the existing transportation network in Musquodoboit Harbour, Nova Scotia with the recommendations outlined above.
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## HARBOUR GARDEN VILLAGE TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY

## MANUAL TRAFFIC COUNTS

PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC COUNTS COMPLETED ON WEDNESDAY OCTOBER 18, 2023 and THURSDAY OCTOBER 19. 2023

| AM PEAK |  | TIM HORTONS/SHELL |  |  |  |  |  | HIGHWAY 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENTER |  | NORTHBOUND |  |  | SOUTHBOUND |  |  | EASTBOUND |  |  | WESTBOUND |  |  |
| EXIT |  | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |



| PM PEAK |  | TIM HORTONS/SHELL |  |  |  |  |  | HIGHWAY 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENTER |  | NORTHBOUND |  |  | SOUTHBOUND |  |  | EASTBOUND |  |  | WESTBOUND |  |  |
| EXIT |  | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |


| 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:00:00 PM | 04:15:00 PM | 14 | 11 |  |  |  |  | 94 | 13 | 18 | 49 |  |  |
| 04:15:00 PM | 04:30:00 PM | 11 | 14 |  |  |  |  | 91 | 25 | 22 | 60 |  |  |
| 04:30:00 PM | 04:45:00 PM | 13 | 25 |  |  |  |  | 93 | 30 | 15 | 50 |  |  |
| 04:45:00 PM | 05:00:00 PM | 15 | 25 |  |  |  |  | 78 | 15 | 9 | 50 |  |  |
| 05:00:00 PM | 05:15:00 PM | 11 | 18 |  |  |  |  | 75 | 19 | 18 | 58 |  |  |
| 05:15:00 PM | 05:30:00 PM | 16 | 28 |  |  |  |  | 89 | 18 | 15 | 42 |  |  |
| 05:30:00 PM | 05:45:00 PM | 9 | 24 |  |  |  |  | 80 | 19 | 18 | 52 |  |  |
| 05:45:00 PM | 06:00:00 PM | 10 | 20 |  |  |  |  | 89 | 21 | 10 | 42 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00:00 PM | 05:00:00 PM | 53 | 75 |  |  |  |  | 356 | 83 | 64 | 209 |  |  |

## HARBOUR GARDEN VILLAGE TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY

## MANUAL TRAFFIC COUNTS

PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC COUNTS COMPLETED ON THURSDAY OCTOBER 19. 2023

| AM PEAK |  | LITTLE RIVER DRIVE |  |  |  |  |  | HIGHWAY 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENTER |  | NORTHBOUND |  |  | SOUTHBOUND |  |  | EASTBOUND |  |  | WESTBOUND |  |  |
| EXIT |  | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:00:00 AM | 07:15:00 AM |  |  | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | 30 |  |  | 105 | 1 |
| 07:15:00 AM | 07:30:00 AM |  |  | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 44 |  |  | 124 | 1 |
| 07:30:00 AM | 07:45:00 AM |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 0 | 48 |  |  | 83 | 0 |
| 07:45:00 AM | 08:00:00 AM |  |  | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | 53 |  |  | 74 | 2 |
| 08:00:00 AM | 08:15:00 AM |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 60 |  |  | 87 | 1 |
| 08:15:00 AM | 08:30:00 AM |  |  | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 49 |  |  | 55 | 2 |
| 08:30:00 AM | 08:45:00 AM |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 58 |  |  | 70 | 0 |
| 08:45:00 AM | 09:00:00 AM |  |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 88 |  |  | 82 | 2 |
| 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:15:00 AM | 08:15:00 AM |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 205 |  |  | 368 | 4 |


| PM PEAK |  | LITTLE RIVER DRIVE |  |  |  |  |  | HiGHWAY 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENTER |  | NORTHBOUND |  |  | SOUTHBOUND |  |  | EASTBOUND |  |  | WESTBOUND |  |  |
| EXIT |  | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |


| 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:00:00 PM | 04:15:00 PM |  |  | 4 |  | 0 | 2 | 117 |  |  | 77 | 3 |
| 04:15:00 PM | 04:30:00 PM |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 128 |  |  | 74 | 2 |
| 04:30:00 PM | 04:45:00 PM |  |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 112 |  |  | 59 | 1 |
| 04:45:00 PM | 05:00:00 PM |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 109 |  |  | 77 | 2 |
| 05:00:00 PM | 05:15:00 PM |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 2 | 108 |  |  | 63 | 1 |
| 05:15:00 PM | 05:30:00 PM |  |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 114 |  |  | 52 | 0 |
| 05:30:00 PM | 05:45:00 PM |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 103 |  |  | 62 | 0 |
| 05:45:00 PM | 06:00:00 PM |  |  | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 124 |  |  | 43 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:15:00 PM | 05:15:00 PM |  |  | 5 |  | 0 | 2 | 466 |  |  | 287 | 8 |

## MANUAL TRAFFIC COUNTS

PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC COUNTS COMPLETED ON WEDNESDAY OCTOBER 18, 2023 and THURSDAY OCTOBER 19. 2023



| PM PEAK |  | HIGHWAY 107 |  |  |  |  |  | HIGHWAY 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENTER |  | NORTHBOUND |  |  | SOUTHBOUND |  |  | EASTBOUND |  |  | WESTBOUND |  |  |
| EXIT |  | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |



## HARBOUR GARDEN VILLAGE TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY

## TRIP GENERATION ESTIMATES

| Land Use 620 | Nursing Home |
| :---: | :---: |
| AM PEAK | $\mathrm{T}=0.10(\mathrm{X})+5.42$ |
| PM PEAK | $\mathrm{T}=0.11(\mathrm{X})+3.98$ |
| WEEKDAY | 3.06 |
| Land Use 210 | Single Family Detached Housing |
| AM PEAK | $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=0.91 \operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{X})+0.12$ |
| PM PEAK | $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=0.94 \operatorname{Ln}(X)+0.27$ |
| PM PEAK | $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=0.91 \operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{X})+0.12$ |
| Land Use 221 | Multi Family Housing (Mid-Rise) |
| AM PEAK | 0.37 |
| PM PEAK | 0.39 |
| WEEKDAY | 4.54 |
| Land Use 822 | Strip Retail Plaza (<40k) |
| AM PEAK | $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=0.66 \operatorname{Ln}(X)+1.84$ |
| PM PEAK | $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=071 \mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{X})+2.72$ |
| WEEKDAY | $\mathrm{T}=42.20(\mathrm{X})+229.68$ |
| Land Use 220 | Multi Family Housing (Low-Rise) |
| AM PEAK | $\mathrm{T}=0.31(\mathrm{X})+22.85$ |
| PM PEAK | $\mathrm{T}=0.43(\mathrm{X})+20.55$ |
| WEEKDAY | $\mathrm{T}=6.41(\mathrm{X})+75.31$ |
| Land Use 310 | Hotel |
| AM PEAK | 0.46 |
| PM PEAK | 0.59 |
| WEEKDAY | 7.99 |
| Land Use 151 | Mini-Warehouse |
| AM PEAK | 1.21 |
| PM PEAK | 1.68 |
| WEEKDAY | 17.96 |

Average Vehicle Trip Ends versus Beds Average Vehicle Trip Ends versus Beds Average Vehicle Trip Ends versus Beds

Average Vehicle Trip Ends versus 1000 sqft GLA Average Vehicle Trip Ends versus 1000 sqft GLA Average Vehicle Trip Ends versus 1000 sqft GLA

Average Vehicle Trip Ends per unit Average Vehicle Trip Ends per unit Average Vehicle Trip Ends per unit

Average Vehicle Trip Ends per unit Average Vehicle Trip Ends per unit Average Vehicle Trip Ends per unit

Average Vehicle Trip Ends per unit Average Vehicle Trip Ends per unit Average Vehicle Trip Ends per unit

Average Vehicle Trip Ends per unit Average Vehicle Trip Ends per unit Average Vehicle Trip Ends per unit

Average Vehicle Trip Ends per unit (100s) Average Vehicle Trip Ends per unit (100s) Average Vehicle Trip Ends per unit (100s)

| LAND USE | QUANTITY | AM PEAK |  |  | PM PEAK |  |  | WEEKDAY |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TOTAL TRIPS | ENTER | EXIT | TOTAL | ENTER | EXIT | TOTAL | ENTER | EXIT |
| Nursing Home The Birches | 48 | 10 | 72\% | 28\% | 9 | 33\% | 67\% | 147 | 50\% | 50\% |
|  |  |  | 7 | 3 |  | 3 | 6 |  | 73 | 73 |
| Single Family The Enclaves | 72 | 55 | 25\% | 75\% | 73 | 63\% | 37\% | 746 | 50\% | 50\% |
|  |  |  | 14 | 41 |  | 46 | 27 |  | 373 | 373 |
| Multifamily Mid Rise Apartments | 24 | 9 | 23\% | 77\% | 9 | 61\% | 39\% | 109 | 50\% | 50\% |
|  |  |  | 2 | 7 |  | 6 | 4 |  | 54 | 54 |
| Strip Retail Plaza (<40k) <br> Shops + Farmers Market | 16.9 | 41 | 60\% | 40\% | 113 | 50\% | 50\% | 943 | 50\% | 50\% |
|  |  |  | 24 | 16 |  | 57 | 57 |  | 471 | 471 |
| Multifamily Low-Rise Row Houses | 24 | 30 | 24\% | 76\% | 31 | 63\% | 37\% | 229 | 50\% | 50\% |
|  |  |  | 7 | 23 |  | 19 | 11 |  | 114 | 114 |
| Boutique Hotel | 24 | 11 | 56\% | 44\% | 14 | 51\% | 49\% | 192 | 50\% | 50\% |
|  |  |  | 6 | 5 |  | 7 | 7 |  | 96 | 96 |
| Mini-Warehouse Self Storage | 1.1 | 1 | 51\% | 49\% | 2 | 50\% | 50\% | 20 | 50\% | 50\% |
|  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 10 | 10 |
| TOTAL |  | 158 | 62 | 96 | 251 | 139 | 113 | 2385 | 1192 | 1192 |

15
246335
 298 298

## TOTAL TRAFFIC ANALYSIS

PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC COUNTS COMPLETED ON THURSDAY OCTOBER 19. 2023

| AM PEAK |  | SITE ACCESS |  |  |  |  |  | HIGHWAY 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENTER | 46 | NORTHBOUND |  |  | SOUTHBOUND |  |  | EASTBOUND |  |  | WESTBOUND |  |  |
| EXIT | 72 | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| ENTER WB | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ENTER EB | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| PM PEAK |  | SITE ACCESS |  |  |  |  |  | HIGHWAY 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENTER | 104 | NORTHBOUND |  |  | SOUTHBOUND |  |  | EASTBOUND |  |  | WESTBOUND |  |  |
| EXIT | 84 | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| ENTER WB | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ENTER EB | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## HARBOUR GARDEN VILLAGE TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY

## TOTAL TRAFFIC ANALYSIS

PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC COUNTS COMPLETED ON WEDNESDAY OCTOBER 18, 2023 and THURSDAY OCTOBER 19. 2023

| AM PEAK |  | TIM HORTONS/SHELL |  |  |  |  |  | HIGHWAY 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENTER WB | 46 | NORTHBOUND |  |  | SOUTHBOUND |  |  | EASTBOUND |  |  | WESTBOUND |  |  |
| ENTER EB | 17 | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| ENTER WB | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ENTER EB | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| PM PEAK |  | TIM HORTONS/SHELL |  |  |  |  |  | HIGHWAY 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENTER WB | 33 | NORTHBOUND |  |  | SOUTHBOUND |  |  | EASTBOUND |  |  | WESTBOUND |  |  |
| ENTER EB | 64 | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| ENTER WB | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ENTER EB | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## HARBOUR GARDEN VILLAGE TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY

## TOTAL TRAFFIC ANALYSIS

PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC COUNTS COMPLETED ON THURSDAY OCTOBER 19. 2023

| AM PEAK |  | LITTLE RIVER DRIVE |  |  |  |  |  | HIGHWAY 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENTER WB | 46 | NORTHBOUND |  |  | SOUTHBOUND |  |  | EASTBOUND |  |  | WESTBOUND |  |  |
| ENTER EB | 17 | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| ENTER | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| PM PEAK |  | LITTLE RIVER DRIVE |  |  |  |  |  | HIGHWAY 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENTER WB | 33 | NORTHBOUND |  |  | SOUTHBOUND |  |  | EASTBOUND |  |  | WESTBOUND |  |  |
| ENTER EB | 64 | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| ENTER | 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EXIT | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00:00 PM | 04:15:00 PM |  |  |  | 4 |  | 0 | 2 | 117 |  |  | 77 | 3 |
| 04:15:00 PM | 04:30:00 PM |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 128 |  |  | 74 | 2 |
| 04:30:00 PM | 04:45:00 PM |  |  |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 112 |  |  | 59 | 1 |
| 04:45:00 PM | 05:00:00 PM |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 109 |  |  | 77 | 2 |
| 05:00:00 PM | 05:15:00 PM |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 2 | 108 |  |  | 63 | 1 |
| 05:15:00 PM | 05:30:00 PM |  |  |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 114 |  |  | 52 | 0 |
| 05:30:00 PM | 05:45:00 PM |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 103 |  |  | 62 | 0 |
| 05:45:00 PM | 06:00:00 PM |  |  |  | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 124 |  |  | 43 | 0 |
| 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00:00 PM | 05:00:00 PM |  |  |  | 5 |  | 0 | 2 | 466 |  |  | 287 | 8 |
| 2033 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00:00 PM | 05:00:00 PM |  |  |  | 6 |  | 0 | 2 | 568 |  |  | 350 | 10 |
| DISTRIBUTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:15:00 PM | 05:15:00 PM |  |  |  | 1\% |  |  |  | 99\% |  |  | 97\% | 3\% |
| SITE ACCESS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:15:00 PM | 05:15:00 PM |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 63 |  |  | 32 | 1 |
| DISTRIBUTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:15:00 PM | 05:15:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 61\% |  |  | 39\% |  |
| LITTLE RIVER DRIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:15:00 PM | 05:15:00 PM |  |  |  | 17 |  | 11 | 21 |  |  |  |  | 14 |
| TOTAL TRAFFIC 2033 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:15:00 PM | 05:15:00 PM |  |  |  | 24 |  | 11 | 24 | 631 |  |  | 382 | 24 |

## HARBOUR GARDEN VILLAGE TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY

## TOTAL TRAFFIC ANALYSIS

PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC COUNTS COMPLETED ON WEDNESDAY OCTOBER 18, 2023 and THURSDAY OCTOBER 19. 2023

| AM PEAK |  | HIGHWAY 107 |  |  |  |  |  | HIGHWAY 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENTER WB | 45 | NORTHBOUND |  |  | SOUTHBOUND |  |  | EASTBOUND |  |  | WESTBOUND |  |  |
| ENTER EB | 17 | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| ENTER WB | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ENTER EB | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| PM PEAK |  | HIGHWAY 107 |  |  |  |  |  | HIGHWAY 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENTER WB | 32 | NORTHBOUND |  |  | SOUTHBOUND |  |  | EASTBOUND |  |  | WESTBOUND |  |  |
| ENTER EB | 63 | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| ENTER WB | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ENTER EB | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:00:00 PM | 04:15:00 PM | 1 |  | 67 |  |  |  |  | 46 | 3 | 62 | 44 | 1 |
| 04:15:00 PM | 04:30:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 77 |  |  |  |  | 41 | 0 | 27 | 26 |  |
| 04:30:00 PM | 04:45:00 PM | 6 | 1 | 75 |  | 1 |  |  | 48 | 0 | 51 | 31 |  |
| 04:45:00 PM | 05:00:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 66 |  |  | 1 |  | 48 | 1 | 22 | 32 |  |
| 05:00:00 PM | 05:15:00 PM | 1 |  | 65 |  |  |  |  | 39 | 0 | 43 | 43 |  |
| 05:15:00 PM | 05:30:00 PM | 2 | 1 | 89 |  |  |  |  | 60 | 4 | 34 | 23 |  |
| 05:30:00 PM | 05:45:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 94 |  |  |  |  | 36 | 1 | 45 | 24 |  |
| 05:45:00 PM | 06:00:00 PM | 4 | 3 | 73 |  |  |  |  | 51 | 0 | 30 | 23 | 1 |
| 2023 |  | 8 | 4 | 321 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | $186$ | 5 | 152 | 113 | 1 |
| 05:00:00 PM | 06:00:00 PM |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2033 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 227 | 6 | 185 | 138 | 1 |
| 05:00:00 PM | 06:00:00 PM | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DISTRIBUTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00:00 PM | 06:00:00 PM |  |  | 63\% | 0\% |  |  |  | 37\% |  | 57\% | 42\% | 0\% |
| SITE ACCESS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00:00 PM | 06:00:00 PM | 40 0    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DISTRTBUTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00:00 PM | 06:00:00 PM |  |  | 63\% | 0\% |  |  |  | 37\% |  | 57\% | 42\% | 0\% |
| LITTLE RIVER DRIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:15:00 PM | 05:15:00 PM | 10 |  | 14 | 0 |  |  |  | 8 |  | 6 | 5 | 0 |
| TOTAL TRAFFIC 2033 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00:00 PM | 06:00:00 PM |  | 5 | 445 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 258 | 6 | 210 | 156 | 1 |




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | SEL | SER | NEL | NET | SWT | SWR |
| Lane Configurations | M |  |  | 4 | b |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 51 | 34 | 66 | 541 | 345 | 40 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 51 | 34 | 66 | 541 | 345 | 40 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 10 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | 15 | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 55 | 37 | 72 | 588 | 375 | 43 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1149 | 417 | 428 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 407 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 742 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 219 | 636 | 1131 | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 672 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 471 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 202 | 625 | 1121 | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 202 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 624 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 467 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | SE |  | NE |  | SW |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 24.4 |  | 0.9 |  | 0 |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NEL | NET SELn1 |  | SWT | SWR |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1121 | - | 277 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.064 | - | 0.334 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 8.4 | - | 24.4 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | - | C | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.2 | - | 1.4 | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | NWL | NWR | NET | NER | SWL | SWT |
| Lane Configurations | F | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 116 | 71 | 121 | 65 | 119 | 211 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 116 | 71 | 121 | 65 | 119 | 211 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Mvmt Flow | 121 | 74 | 126 | 68 | 124 | 220 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 2.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement N | NWL | NWR | NET | NER | SWL | SWT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 「 | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 53 | 75 | 356 | 83 | 64 | 209 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 53 | 75 | 356 | 83 | 64 | 209 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 56 | 79 | 375 | 87 | 67 | 220 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 6.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | NWL | NWR | NET | NER | SWL | SWT |
| Lane Configurations | i | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  | $\boldsymbol{\uparrow}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 141 | 87 | 147 | 79 | 145 | 257 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 141 | 87 | 147 | 79 | 145 | 257 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Mvmt Flow | 147 | 91 | 153 | 82 | 151 | 268 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | NWL | NWR | NET | NER | SWL | SWT |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  | $\boldsymbol{-}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 65 | 91 | 434 | 101 | 78 | 255 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 65 | 91 | 434 | 101 | 78 | 255 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 68 | 96 | 457 | 106 | 82 | 268 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement N | NWL | NWR | NET | NER | SWL | SWT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 「 | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 161 | 95 | 164 | 88 | 165 | 292 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 161 | 95 | 164 | 88 | 165 | 292 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| Sign Control S | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | - | - | 25 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Mvmt Flow | 168 | 99 | 171 | 92 | 172 | 304 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | NWL | NWR | NET | NER | SWL | SWT |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 个 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 75 | 107 | 500 | 116 | 89 | 290 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 75 | 107 | 500 | 116 | 89 | 290 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | - | - | 25 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 79 | 113 | 526 | 122 | 94 | 305 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  | M |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 2 | 205 | 368 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 2 | 205 | 368 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Mvmt Flow | 2 | 218 | 391 | 4 | 2 | 2 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  |  | Minor2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 405 | 0 | - | 0 | 635 | 413 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 403 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 232 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.14 | - | - | - | 6.44 | 6.24 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.44 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.44 | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.236 | - | - | -3.536 | 3.336 |  |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1143 | - | - | - | 439 | 635 |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 671 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 802 | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1133 | - | - | - | 431 | 624 |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 431 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 664 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 796 | - |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.1 | 0 | 12.1 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | B |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1133 | - | - | -510 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.002 | - | - | -0.008 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8.2 | 0 | - | -12.1 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  | F |  | M |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 2 |  | 287 | 8 | 5 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 2 | 466 | 287 | 8 | 5 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Mvmt Flow | 2 | 530 | 326 | 9 | 6 | 0 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | -1 | $\uparrow$ |  | 4 |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 2 | 250 | 449 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 2 | 250 | 449 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Mvmt Flow | 2 | 266 | 478 | 5 | 2 | 2 |


| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 493 | 0 |  | 0 | 771 | 501 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - - | - | 491 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - - | - | 280 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.14 | - | - - | - | 6.44 | 6.24 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - - | - | 5.44 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - - | - | 5.44 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.236 | - | - - | - | 3.536 | 3.336 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1060 | - | - - | - | 366 | 566 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - - | - | 611 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - - | - | 763 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1051 | - | - - | - | 359 | 556 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - - | - | 359 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - - | - | 605 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - - | - | 757 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.1 |  | 0 |  | 13.3 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | EBL | EBT | WBT WBR SBLn1 |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1051 | 迷 | - | - | 436 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.002 | 2 | - | - | 0.01 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 8.4 | 0 | - | - | 13.3 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | - | - | B |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | 0 | - | - | 0 |



| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 419 | 0 | - | 0 | 1073 | 424 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - |  | 414 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - |  | 659 |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.14 | - | - | - | 6.44 | 6.24 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - |  | 5.44 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - |  | 5.44 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.236 | - | - |  | 3.536 | 3.336 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1129 | - | - |  | 242 | 626 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - |  | 663 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - |  | 511 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1119 |  | - |  | 237 | 615 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 237 | - |
| Stage 1 | - |  | - |  | 656 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - |  | 507 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 0 |  | 20.6 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1119 | - | - | - | 237 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.002 | - | - | - | 0.029 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 8.2 | 0 | - | - | 20.6 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | - | - | C |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | - |  | - | 0.1 |



| Major/Minor $\quad$ N | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 553 | 0 | - | 0 | 857 | 555 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 545 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 312 |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.14 | - | - | - | 6.44 | 6.24 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.44 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - |  | 5.44 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.236 | - | - |  | 3.536 | 3.336 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1007 | - | - | - | 325 | 527 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - |  | 577 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - |  | 738 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 998 |  | - | - | 316 | 518 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 316 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - |  | 566 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - |  | 732 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.3 |  | 0 |  | 15.1 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 998 | - | - | - | 378 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.009 | - | - | - | 0.053 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 8.6 | 0 | - | - | 15.1 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | - | - | C |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | - |  | - | 0.2 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | F |  | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 24 | 631 | 382 | 24 | 24 | 11 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 24 | 631 | 382 | 24 | 24 | 11 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Mvmt Flow | 27 | 717 | 434 | 27 | 27 | 13 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 471 | 0 |  | 0 | 1239 | 468 |
| Stage 1 |  |  |  |  | 458 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 781 |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.14 | - |  | - | 6.44 | 6.24 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 |  |  |  | - | 5.44 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 |  |  |  |  | 5.44 |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.236 | - |  |  | 3.536 | 3.336 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1080 | - | - | - | 192 | 591 |
| Stage 1 |  | - | - | - | 633 |  |
| Stage 2 |  | - |  | - | 448 |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1071 |  |  |  | 181 | 581 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver |  | - |  |  | 181 |  |
| Stage 1 |  | - |  |  | 601 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 444 |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.3 | 0 | 23.8 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | C |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1071 | - | - | -231 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.025 | - | - | -0.172 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8.4 | 0 | - | -23.8 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - | - | - |
| C | 0.6 |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | * |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 94 | 13 | 333 | 89 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 81 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 94 | 13 | 333 | 89 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 81 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | Yield | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | 80 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 107 | 15 | 378 | 101 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 92 | 1 | 1 | 0 |



PM PEAK EXISTING 2023
3: Highway 107/Scots Lake Rd \& Highway 7

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 6.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | * |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \& |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 186 | 5 | 152 | 113 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 321 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 186 | 5 | 152 | 113 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 321 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| Sign Control F | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | Yield | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | 80 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 190 | 5 | 155 | 115 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 328 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | * |  | * | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 115 | 16 | 406 | 108 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 99 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 115 | 16 | 406 | 108 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 99 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | Yield | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | 80 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 131 | 18 | 461 | 123 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 113 | 1 | 1 | 0 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 7.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | * |  | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 227 | 6 | 185 | 138 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 391 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 227 | 6 | 185 | 138 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 391 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | Yield | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | 80 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 232 | 6 | 189 | 141 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 399 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



AM PEAK TOTAL TRAFFIC 2033
3: Highway 107/Scots Lake Rd \& Highway 7

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 6.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  | * | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \& |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 126 | 16 | 454 | 121 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 109 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 126 | 16 | 454 | 121 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 109 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 |  |
| Sign Control F | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | Yield | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | 80 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 143 | 18 | 516 | 138 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 124 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |



PM PEAK TOTAL TRAFFIC 2033
3: Highway 107/Scots Lake Rd \& Highway 7

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 8.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | * |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 258 | 6 | 210 | 156 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 445 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 258 | 6 | 210 | 156 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 445 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | Yield | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | 80 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 263 | 6 | 214 | 159 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 454 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



