

# Appendix C

## Survey Responses

## Appendix C-1

### Survey Responses – Within 5km of FEP/WSF

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
5	2021-12-04 13:27:05	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes		
6	2021-12-04 12:39:48	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	No		
8	2021-12-04 07:07:42	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	No		
9	2021-12-04 06:33:44	Yes	B3T1g9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
10	2021-12-04 02:33:16	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
11	2021-12-03 21:37:38	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	No	Nothing replaces properly trained people on the ground to do the job. Experience to do the job safely is in everyone's best interest. There is no sign, that can match eyes and ears on the ground with a loud voice.
19	2021-12-03 20:20:59	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
20	2021-12-03 19:08:21	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	More vehicles will be going to the site and more still as the population of HRM grows.
21	2021-12-03 19:54:38	Yes	B3Z4N4	Yes	No		
22	2021-11-06 14:53:34	No	B3Z4N4	Yes	No	Yes	
31	2021-12-03 12:59:41	No	B3z4p7	Yes	No		
32	2021-12-03 12:35:11	No	B3Z1A7	Yes	No		
33	2021-11-13 15:08:28	Yes	B3Z0A2	Yes	No		
34	2021-12-03 11:45:55	Yes	B3Z0E3	Yes	No		
39	2021-12-03 11:03:31	No	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	Hazardous waste
43	2021-12-03 10:19:56	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
45	2021-12-03 09:58:37	Yes	B3Z4M2	Yes	No		
49	2021-11-04 09:54:17	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	Yes	No	Why fix something not broken
60	2021-12-02 22:25:31	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
61	2021-12-02 20:55:00	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
62	2021-12-02 19:31:27	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	Yes	No	There is constantly garbage along the local roads going to the dump. We don't need more.
65	2021-11-03 17:45:52	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes		
69	2021-12-02 08:44:35	Yes	b3t1g1	Yes	Yes	No	with the number of garbage trucks discharging at the face of the cell there will be woefully inadequate control of what type of garbage is entering the cell
74	2021-12-01 17:41:09	No	B3T1P1	Yes	No		
76	2021-12-01 15:43:35	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	No		
80	2021-12-01 07:00:50	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes	Yes	No	Timberlea Parkway is already getting busier. With the new Sobeys and other development. Adding more slow moving vehicles will only increase this problem.
82	2021-11-30 20:25:42	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	No		
88	2021-11-30 18:37:55	No	B3Z4P7	Yes	No		
90	2021-11-30 16:46:22	Yes	B3Z1C1	Yes			
99	2021-11-29 21:36:15	Yes		Yes	Yes	No	
101	2021-11-29 19:39:20	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes			
107	2021-11-29 11:08:59	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	Yes	No	You only care about saving money for downtown projects, and not the Environment, time to start looking after the community.
108	2021-11-29 10:32:18	Yes	B3t1g6	Yes	No		
109	2021-11-29 09:58:39	Yes	B3t1g7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
110	2021-11-29 09:21:21	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
115	2021-11-28 11:44:47	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	No		
117	2021-11-28 10:06:33	No	B3z1c2	Yes	No		
119	2021-11-28 06:53:55	No	B3Z0C6	Yes	Yes	No	Increased traffic is inevitable given the growing population in the periphery areas of HRM

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
120	2021-11-27 21:32:29	Yes	B3Z0A1	Yes			
121	2021-11-27 18:08:27	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	Yes	No	
130	2021-11-27 06:08:42	No	B3T1H6	Yes			
131	2021-11-26 18:29:35	Yes		Yes	Yes		
132	2021-11-26 15:49:04	No	B3Z1E1	Yes	No		
156	2021-11-25 17:57:02	Yes		Yes	No		
157	2021-11-25 17:25:24	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	This is going to increase large slow moving vehicles on our roads which do not need.
161	2021-11-25 14:32:29	No	B3Z4B8	Yes	No		
168	2021-11-25 11:07:39	Yes	B3T1E7	Yes	No		
169	2021-11-25 11:05:59	Yes	B3Z1N4	Yes	Yes	No	n
170	2021-11-25 10:08:36	Yes	B3t1h1	Yes	Yes	No	it's obvious if I don't reply in a way that is negative towards your goal the survey tries to talk you out of our opinion, very bias
172	2021-11-25 09:54:02	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	No		
181	2021-11-25 07:26:32	Yes	B3t1n5	Yes	No		
185	2021-11-25 07:18:48	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	No		
187	2021-11-25 06:42:33	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	No		
192	2021-11-25 05:08:05	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes	Yes		
198	2021-11-24 12:21:32	Yes	B3T1L2	Yes	Yes	No	HEM signed an agreement - Otter Lake facility was not meant to be operated as a truck and dump landfill!
201	2021-11-24 11:54:28	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/WSF active.
203	2021-11-24 09:04:21	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	No		
206	2021-11-14 07:19:32	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	People will not play by the rules and the waste will ultimately end up where it is not suppose to. Some people are honest , but when it comes to disposing hard to get rid of materials, people will break the rules thinking their little bit of garbage won't affect the environment. This is what people will do when not strictly enforced or supervised.
210	2021-11-15 19:26:29	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	No		
211	2021-11-23 19:29:41	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes		
216	2021-11-23 15:55:50	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/WSF active
217	2021-11-23 15:20:26	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
218	2021-11-23 15:08:55	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/ WSF active.
219	2021-11-03 14:41:21	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	No		
221	2021-11-23 13:47:47	Yes	B3T1N6	No			
224	2021-11-23 12:32:20	No	B3T1H8	Yes			
236	2021-11-22 18:40:08	Yes	B3t1k1	Yes	Yes	No	
237	2021-11-22 18:02:45	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	Increased traffic, noise and accidental spillage along our roadways.
239	2021-11-22 16:06:06	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	No		
241	2021-11-22 15:52:47	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	No		
245	2021-11-22 15:00:25	Yes	B3t1l2	Yes	Yes		
246	2021-11-22 14:31:14	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	No		
252	2021-11-22 10:29:13	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	3rd party consultation does not appear to have been completed.
256	2021-11-22 08:51:00	Yes	B3T1E1	Yes	No		



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
261	2021-11-21 21:23:53	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	If everyone followed the rules we wouldn't need police. There will be people who violate the rules. Those people will also be more likely to properly secure their load so an increase in traffic to the landfill will likely result in an increased risk of garbage blowing into vehicle paths on highway 103.
262	2021-11-21 19:24:14	Yes	B3T1K8	Yes	Yes	No	You mentioned that some on-site impacts have been identified as representing a medium risk which includes increased disposal area traffic and worker safety. Also, with the removal of the sorting process, there will be an increase of rats, flies and harmful odour, which puts the workers at risk of contracting diseases
271	2021-11-21 07:36:12	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
273	2021-11-21 06:17:22	Yes					
274	2021-11-21 05:29:13	Yes	B3z0e3	Yes	Yes		
280	2021-11-20 15:12:21	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	No		
282	2021-11-20 13:50:38	No	B3t1e9	Yes	No		
283	2021-11-20 13:45:48	Yes	b3z1b5	Yes	No		
286	2021-11-20 06:33:59	Yes	B3t1j9	Yes	Yes	No	Going from 20 to 30 vehicle trips per day is a 50% increase - that's big. The justification for this is not explained at all, although the implication is that the additional waste will come from commercial sites. Why should this happen?
290	2021-11-19 20:39:47	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
291	2021-11-19 20:19:27	No	B3Z4M8	Yes	No		
294	2021-11-19 18:47:39	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	No		
295	2021-11-05 07:00:55	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes			
296	2021-11-19 18:11:41	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	
298	2021-11-19 17:29:57	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	No		
299	2021-11-19 17:18:58	Yes	B3t1k8	Yes	Yes	No	Rats bite
303	2021-11-19 13:43:02	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	Yes	No	We have a serious rat issue in Timberlea ! When I owned my property [REDACTED] it was closer to the landfill then this and we didn't have a rat issue. It's pretty bad we can look out our window anytime during the day and see rats in our backyard.
305	2021-11-18 08:56:37	Yes		Yes	Yes	No	
308	2021-11-19 13:17:59	No	b3z1a1	Yes	No		
311	2021-11-19 12:09:06	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	other issues as well
318	2021-11-19 10:48:40	Yes	B3Z0B9	Yes	Yes		
319	2021-11-19 09:50:39	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
320	2021-11-04 10:08:10	Yes	B3t1h7	Yes	Yes	No	It still allows for more waste to be brought to the site daily.
321	2021-11-19 10:09:52	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
322	2021-11-19 09:54:14	Yes	B3Z0C6	Yes	No		
323	2021-11-19 09:53:08	Yes	B3t1g6	Yes	Yes	No	
324	2021-11-05 19:00:42	No	B3z1a4	Yes	No		
325	2021-11-19 09:42:37	Yes	b3t1j3				
326	2021-11-19 09:37:22	Yes	B3t1c1	Yes	No		
327	2021-11-19 09:32:40	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	No		
333	2021-11-19 08:29:03	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	No		
334	2021-11-19 08:01:46	Yes	b3t1c1	Yes	No		
335	2021-11-19 07:38:52	No	B3z1a3	Yes	No		
337	2021-11-18 19:34:24	Yes	B3T1c1	Yes	No		
338	2021-11-18 19:12:19	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
339	2021-11-18 15:25:16	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	No		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
341	2021-11-18 13:22:55	Yes	B3Z1A4	Yes	No		
345	2021-11-18 07:07:28	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	What assurance is there these promises made will be kept?
346	2021-11-18 06:20:03	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	No		
347	2021-11-18 03:50:21	No	B3z0e3	Yes	Yes	No	A properly functioning landfill requires these two services to operate. Deactivating them is akin to removing a catalytic converter on a car. Illegal and environmentally foolish.
355	2021-11-17 18:26:33	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes		
357	2021-11-13 22:48:08	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes		
360	2021-11-17 16:39:08	Yes	B3t1g5	Yes	Yes	No	It doesn't matter what implications you have there's no traffic lights at Timberlea parkway. To much traffic
363	2021-11-17 15:28:28	Yes	B3T2K1	Yes	Yes	No	Added traffic and less sorting
365	2021-11-16 12:57:50	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	Any changes made such as "medium risk which includes increased disposal area traffic and worker safety" are unacceptable
367	2021-11-17 12:59:17	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	No	No	
370	2021-11-17 12:04:06	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	No		
371	2021-11-17 11:14:48	No	B3Z1C2	Yes	No		
376	2021-11-17 05:51:40	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes	No		
377	2021-11-17 05:55:25	No	b3t1e1	Yes	No		
383	2021-11-16 20:49:29	Yes	B3t1j1	Yes	Yes	No	
385	2021-11-16 20:37:49	No	B3z4e9	Yes	No		
386	2021-11-04 21:17:46	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	No		
390	2021-11-16 19:52:37	No	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	
393	2021-11-16 15:24:34	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	No		
399	2021-11-16 14:36:36	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes		
400	2021-11-16 14:22:06	Yes	B3Z1A2	Yes	Yes		
401	2021-11-16 13:51:53	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	No		
406	2021-11-15 16:24:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
408	2021-11-12 11:37:48	Yes	B3z4n4	Yes	No		
414	2021-11-16 10:55:27	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	No		
415	2021-11-09 10:20:20	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	I've lived here [REDACTED] years. We didn't agree to a dump. We've been lied to and are being manipulated to accept this.
416	2021-11-16 09:46:15	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	No		
417	2021-11-16 09:33:37	Yes	B3z1b5	Yes	Yes		
424	2021-11-16 07:21:05	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	No		
426	2021-11-16 06:54:36	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	No		
430	2021-11-16 00:04:52	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	No		
431	2021-11-15 23:20:55	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	Yes		
434	2021-11-09 13:32:39	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
435	2021-11-15 21:26:49	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	No		
437	2021-11-15 21:17:27	Yes	B3z1b6	Yes	Yes	No	This will decrease my land value
438	2021-11-15 20:50:10	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	Proper disposal of waste
440	2021-11-15 20:07:07	Yes	B3z1b5	Yes	Yes	No	
441	2021-11-15 19:26:51	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	I am concerned about garbage not being sorted and our drinking water being affected.
446	2021-11-15 19:21:29	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes			
450	2021-11-15 19:12:13	No	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	
452	2021-11-15 18:47:18	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	No		
455	2021-11-15 17:56:20	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	Yes	No	
458	2021-11-15 16:55:07	Yes	B3t1k7				
461	2021-11-15 15:55:27	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
462	2021-11-15 15:50:42	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	No		
464	2021-11-15 15:25:06	Yes	b3r1h9	Yes	No		
465	2021-11-15 15:35:49	Yes		Yes	No		
466	2021-11-15 15:32:08	No	B3Z0P7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
471	2021-11-15 12:25:46	No	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
473	2021-11-15 12:31:09	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	No		
480	2021-11-15 10:39:20	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	Increased traffic equals increased trash along the 103 and increased risk to locals
481	2021-11-15 10:56:31	Yes	B3t1n7	Yes	Yes	No	
484	2021-11-04 11:52:49	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	Yes		
485	2021-11-15 10:05:04	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	No		
486	2021-11-15 08:43:10	No	B3T1J1	Yes	No		
489	2021-11-15 09:21:03	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes		
495	2021-11-15 06:32:53	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes		
500	2021-11-15 05:27:21	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	No		
506	2021-11-14 21:35:47	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	No		
516	2021-11-14 17:12:19	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	No		
528	2021-11-14 12:38:56	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	No		
536	2021-11-14 10:25:11	Yes	B3z0b7	Yes	Yes	No	Don't change a system that is currently working
546	2021-11-14 07:10:17	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	No		
547	2021-11-14 06:57:28	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	No		
559	2021-11-13 23:27:04	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	No		
560	2021-11-13 23:19:28	No	B3Z4P2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
564	2021-11-13 20:40:52	No	B3Z0R3	Yes	No		
566	2021-11-13 20:39:22	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	No		
567	2021-11-13 20:30:54	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	No		
571	2021-11-13 17:40:32	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	Commitment was made to the community in order to accept waste facility. Changing the process will change conditions of acceptance period. I suspect this survey is filled with hollow facts and promises. The fact is the promise was made, anything else is a broken promise
572	2021-11-13 18:00:19	Yes	B3z1e1	Yes	No		
574	2021-11-13 17:18:43	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	This area agreed to this site many years ago in the form that is operating now. Maybe it's time for another area to take it's turn with new protocols in place and close this area down!!!
575	2021-11-13 16:53:34	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	Yes	No	As time goes by and people feel comfortable, accidents are bound to follow, not to mention the increase of trucks on site and on our local roads.
583	2021-11-04 08:58:52	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	
593	2021-11-13 11:16:36	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	No		
597	2021-11-13 11:05:13	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes	Yes	Yes	You reference "Medium Risk". This is not an acceptable level of risk for workers; you should be aiming for "Low Risk" or "No Risk"!
600	2021-11-13 10:00:56	Yes	B3z1a9	Yes	No		
604	2021-11-13 09:00:42	Yes	B3t1j7	Yes		I do not have any concerns	
606	2021-11-13 08:52:45	Yes	B3z1a2	Yes	No		
610	2021-11-13 08:24:03	Yes	B3Z0R8	Yes	No		
611	2021-11-13 08:38:30	Yes	B3t1h8	No			
612	2021-11-04 16:57:56	Yes	b3t1c7	Yes	No		
626	2021-11-13 04:20:39	No	B3z1b5	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
627	2021-11-13 00:16:22	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	I don't think you care about the people who live in the area that deal with rats now and with the shit going into the landfill will increase even more. Their isn't a day or night I don't see a rats outside my home and other areas near by then adding this crap into the landfill the rats will end up destroying homes living in the homes..
629	2021-11-12 22:56:37	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	No control of what is dumped. This will now be a dump.
631	2021-11-12 22:01:33	No	B3Z4P2	Yes	No		
633	2021-11-12 21:35:21	Yes	B3T1h8	Yes	No		
634	2021-11-12 21:34:14	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes		
643	2021-11-12 19:50:07	No	B3Z4N8	Yes	No		
646	2021-11-12 19:10:50	Yes	B3Z1A4	Yes	No		
652	2021-11-12 17:55:29	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	Not all house hold sort their garbage to exclude organics. So there will still be untreated organic matter going into our landfill
656	2021-11-12 18:03:42	Yes	B3t0a5	Yes	No		
657	2021-11-12 17:30:49	Yes	B3Z1P6	Yes	Yes		
659	2021-11-09 20:27:04	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
667	2021-11-06 19:19:20	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	I am still concerned that waste will not be sorted before being deposited into the landfill. Staff will be a greater risk due to more raw waste being dumped and attacking vermin, animals and birds
670	2021-11-05 10:25:41	Yes	B3t0b1	Yes	Yes	No	
671	2021-11-12 14:29:04	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	No	Do not want landfill here. We have done our time. 20 years.
672	2021-11-12 14:21:08	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	Yes	No	
674	2021-11-12 13:27:53	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	No		
676	2021-11-12 13:29:39	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	No		
680	2021-11-12 13:13:46	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes			
681	2021-11-12 13:01:10	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	Not appropriate
682	2021-11-12 12:51:55	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes		
683	2021-11-12 12:22:17	Yes	B3z1a4	Yes	No		
684	2021-11-12 12:30:01	Yes	B3t1j9	Yes			
686	2021-11-12 11:39:54	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	No		
691	2021-11-12 11:31:45	No	B3T1N8	Yes	No		
694	2021-11-12 11:33:06	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes		
697	2021-11-12 10:51:31	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	No		
700	2021-11-12 10:32:00	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	No		
703	2021-11-12 09:55:11	No	B3z0r5	Yes	No		
705	2021-11-12 09:39:46	Yes	B3z1a3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
706	2021-11-08 17:16:47	No	B3Z1A9	Yes	No	I do not have any concerns	
710	2021-11-12 09:30:26	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	No		
711	2021-11-12 09:39:05	No	B3z1a1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
714	2021-11-12 09:35:55	Yes	B3t1e5	Yes	Yes		
716	2021-11-12 09:31:18	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
718	2021-11-12 08:56:42	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
722	2021-11-12 08:13:27	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	The residents of the surrounding communities agreed to the placement of Otter Lake with the understanding that the FEP and WSF would be active throughout the lifespan of the facility. This move by the city is in blatant disregard of the original agreement, and while maybe not in violation of the specific provisions, is made clearly with disregard to the spirit of the agreement.



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
724	2021-11-12 07:53:21	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	No		
727	2021-11-12 08:13:51	Yes	B3Z4M9	Yes	No		
729	2021-11-12 08:16:19	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	
731	2021-11-12 07:35:00	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	No	
734	2021-11-11 16:39:38	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	No		
737	2021-11-11 18:38:28	Yes	B3T1e2	Yes	No	No	
738	2021-11-11 18:30:56	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	No		
740	2021-11-11 18:09:09	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	No	Is this taking into account the expected growth of the region? And regardless, this is a survey. It should not be looking to dictate people's decisions.
742	2021-11-11 17:24:51	No	b3t1h5	Yes	No		
744	2021-11-11 16:28:40	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	
750	2021-11-11 12:46:39	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	No		
754	2021-11-11 11:54:48	Yes	B3T2J9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
755	2021-11-11 12:20:24	Yes	B3Z1A6	Yes			
756	2021-11-11 12:04:58	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	
758	2021-11-11 11:30:44	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
764	2021-11-11 09:46:30	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	Been there during peak times and no traffic control
765	2021-11-11 09:36:19	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	No		
766	2021-11-11 09:41:01	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
786	2021-11-10 20:32:28	Yes	B3T1g1	Yes	Yes	No	Will increase vectors , rodents
787	2021-11-11 03:44:19	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	No		
791	2021-11-10 20:35:01	No	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	You made a contract with the residents of our area for a certain process to be in effect for the running of the Waste management site and that is the only reason we agreed to agreeing to having the dump in our area. Now you want to change the contract to save money. Move the dump to another area and make them have the new system in place. You should not be allowed to alter the contract.
792	2021-11-10 20:07:47	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	No		
793	2021-11-07 06:48:23	Yes	B3Z4P7	Yes	No		
794	2021-11-10 19:48:51	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
796	2021-11-10 19:35:45	No	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
797	2021-11-10 19:02:17	Yes	B3t1j6	Yes	Yes	No	ANY RISK IS NOT GOOD. ALSO TRAFFIC IS AFFECTED
802	2021-11-10 18:11:54	Yes	B3Z4P7	Yes	No		
803	2021-11-10 18:22:40	Yes	B3Z1A1	Yes	No		
811	2021-11-10 14:33:03	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	If the proposed deactivation of the front end processor and waste stabilization facility is approved what about the additional birds, rats and odours that will result from the closure.
813	2021-11-10 15:30:00	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	No		
821	2021-11-10 14:05:36	Yes	B3t2c7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
822	2021-11-10 13:59:12	Yes	B3t1g3	Yes	Yes		
824	2021-11-10 13:30:08	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes		
825	2021-11-10 12:23:51	No	B3Z1A8	Yes	No		
831	2021-11-03 20:37:26	Yes	B3z0b7	Yes	No		
842	2021-11-10 09:03:51	Yes	b3t1c8	Yes	No		
845	2021-11-10 08:36:21	No	B3T1K1	No			
846	2021-11-10 08:25:15	Yes	B3T0B1	Yes	No		
854	2021-11-04 08:06:12	Yes	B3Z1B8	Yes	Yes	No	This explanation was too brief to explain what is changing

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
855	2021-11-10 07:20:17	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
859	2021-11-10 06:48:08	Yes	B3T1H6	No			
860	2021-11-10 06:26:28	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	There is nothing addressing the increased garbage which will end up on the 103 between the city and exit 3
864	2021-11-10 06:00:53	Yes	B3t1e6	Yes	No		
865	2021-11-10 05:00:14	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
866	2021-11-10 04:46:48	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	No		
867	2021-11-09 15:22:11	Yes	B3t1n9	Yes	No		
868	2021-11-09 22:33:05	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	
869	2021-11-04 15:25:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Because of my grave concern over the increase of collection of vehicles per day which ends up approximately 2,520 per year. Which is based on 5 days per week. That is a very big concern of extra waste and extra which will not be sorted properly.
871	2021-11-09 21:27:52	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
872	2021-11-09 21:14:13	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	No		
873	2021-11-09 20:48:16	Yes	B3z1b5	Yes	No		
874	2021-11-09 21:05:55	Yes	B3Z4L5	Yes	Yes	No	
875	2021-11-09 20:02:17	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	No		
877	2021-11-09 20:24:49	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	No		
886	2021-11-09 19:32:11	Yes	B3Z1B7	Yes			
891	2021-11-09 19:10:01	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	No		
893	2021-11-09 19:01:00	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	No		
894	2021-11-09 17:54:43	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	Yes	Yes	There remains a predicted increase in traffic of large equipment on our community roads. Workers going to the landfill are entering a toxic area and unpredictable landfill terrain.
896	2021-11-09 18:21:25	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	No		
897	2021-11-09 18:06:35	No	B3Z1A7	Yes			
898	2021-11-09 17:38:11	Yes	b3t1g7	Yes	No		
899	2021-11-09 17:30:26	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	No		
902	2021-11-09 16:58:34	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	No	
903	2021-11-09 16:14:02	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	No		
904	2021-11-04 18:05:37	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
909	2021-11-09 16:32:47	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	No		
910	2021-11-09 16:23:16	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
912	2021-11-09 16:08:32	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	No		
916	2021-11-09 15:49:15	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	No		
919	2021-11-09 15:28:47	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	No		
924	2021-11-09 14:51:54	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	No		
929	2021-11-09 14:29:10	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
930	2021-11-09 14:42:58	Yes	B3Z0B6	Yes	No		
931	2021-11-09 14:35:53	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	No	
933	2021-11-09 13:57:44	Yes	b3z1a5	Yes	Yes	No	Less management increases risk to workers
936	2021-11-09 13:09:39	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	No		
941	2021-11-09 13:15:03	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	No		
944	2021-11-09 13:17:56	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	
946	2021-11-09 12:50:39	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	No		
948	2021-11-09 12:30:38	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	No		
950	2021-11-09 11:29:08	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	
954	2021-11-09 11:42:48	Yes	B3t1e7	Yes	Yes	No	It's still a lot of pollution
956	2021-11-09 11:49:10	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	No		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
957	2021-11-09 11:51:50	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	No		
958	2021-11-09 11:43:46	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes		
959	2021-11-04 22:16:03	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	No		
961	2021-11-09 11:28:30	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	The mess from the vehicles is also unsightly along the 103. The trucks often enter the community as well for coffee or for the convenience stores
962	2021-11-09 08:05:43	Yes	b3t1j3	Yes	No		
964	2021-11-09 11:24:08	Yes	B3T1H6	No			
965	2021-11-09 11:11:17	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	
966	2021-11-09 11:16:21	Yes	B3T1G1				
967	2021-11-09 11:05:37	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	No	
970	2021-11-09 10:50:59	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
973	2021-11-09 10:41:12	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	
975	2021-11-09 09:33:49	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
978	2021-11-09 08:55:19	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	Yes	No	
985	2021-11-09 08:08:57	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	No		
987	2021-11-09 07:27:43	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
989	2021-11-09 07:30:09	Yes	B3t1c8	Yes	Yes		
990	2021-11-04 07:05:57	No	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	This survey appears to be biased propaganda produced by those that want the changes to take place and is not an accurate way to "survey" the community.
991	2021-11-09 06:40:53	No	B3z1a2	Yes	No		
992	2021-11-09 06:15:07	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	No		
993	2021-11-09 05:59:40	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	When I purchased property the understanding was that the land fill was end of life. Any increase in traffic is a concern as that increases chances of traffic, injury, loose debris from vehicles escaping, etc..
998	2021-11-09 04:34:13	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	No		
999	2021-11-08 23:44:20	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	No		
1000	2021-11-08 22:51:36	Yes	B3z1b6	Yes	No		
1002	2021-11-08 22:17:36	Yes	b3t1j9	Yes			
1003	2021-11-08 21:52:13	Yes	B3T1K8	Yes	No		
1004	2021-11-08 21:23:27	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	
1006	2021-11-08 21:03:07	Yes	B3T1h9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1008	2021-11-08 20:43:59	Yes	B3t1j6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1010	2021-11-08 17:49:55	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1011	2021-11-08 19:40:36	Yes	B3z1a9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1013	2021-11-08 19:54:19	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	No		
1015	2021-11-08 19:41:27	Yes	B3z1a9	Yes	No		
1023	2021-11-08 18:16:37	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	No		
1025	2021-11-08 17:53:19	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	No	Labour shortages, government hiring practices ( cutbacks to mitigate escalating costs etc) human behaviours in noncompliance with recycling policies living in a big city
1027	2021-11-08 17:51:15	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	No		
1030	2021-11-08 17:27:06	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	More development in Timberlea area means more traffic. More traffic higher potential for accident/injuries as the overpass/sobeys area is a danger
1031	2021-11-08 17:39:32	Yes	B3z1a7	Yes	No		
1032	2021-11-08 17:36:13	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1033	2021-11-08 17:33:51	Yes	B3z1a8	Yes	No		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1037	2021-11-08 17:12:36	Yes	B3T1E6	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1038	2021-11-08 16:58:28	Yes	B3Z0R8	Yes	No		
1040	2021-11-08 13:18:25	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	No		
1043	2021-11-08 16:48:59	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1044	2021-11-08 16:56:44	Yes	b3z0a1	Yes	Yes		
1047	2021-11-08 16:28:00	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	No		
1048	2021-11-08 16:16:45	Yes	B3t1J5	Yes	No		
1052	2021-11-08 15:41:38	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	No		
1053	2021-11-08 15:40:09	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	No		
1057	2021-11-08 15:04:19	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1059	2021-11-08 14:44:08	Yes	B3t1j1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1062	2021-11-08 14:15:18	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	No	Concerned that items will continue to be
1068	2021-11-08 13:00:55	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1070	2021-11-08 12:36:46	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
1072	2021-11-08 12:39:58	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	
1073	2021-11-08 12:21:31	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	No		
1074	2021-11-08 12:18:10	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	No		
1078	2021-11-08 11:46:27	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	
1079	2021-11-08 09:58:17	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	I know that stuff not meant for a landfill like explosives and human remains have been found by the front end processing. Your mitigation would not address this.
1081	2021-11-08 11:40:16	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	No		
1083	2021-11-08 11:40:47	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	No		
1084	2021-11-08 11:15:49	No	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1085	2021-11-08 11:05:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	No		
1086	2021-11-08 08:45:33	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes		
1087	2021-11-08 10:29:46	Yes	B3t1h4	Yes	No		
1091	2021-11-08 10:03:16	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1099	2021-11-08 08:47:09	Yes	B3t1g7	No			
1100	2021-11-08 08:54:54	No	B3Z1E1	Yes			
1106	2021-11-08 08:40:36	Yes	B3T0B1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1111	2021-11-08 08:30:29	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1125	2021-11-08 06:56:53	Yes	b3t1h5	Yes	Yes	No	The by-products of solid waste deposited in a landfill has adverse effects on the surrounding environment and humans living closer to landfill sites. Sacrificing human living conditions, safety and the environment for money is WRONG.
1135	2021-11-07 21:03:45	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	Yes	No	
1136	2021-11-07 20:16:23	Yes	B3Z1B2	Yes	Yes	No	There are significantly more vehicle trips per day to the landfill area resulting in more waste which leads to more rodents, odour and more environmental concerns for neighbouring areas.
1138	2021-11-07 21:04:04	Yes	b3t1g4				
1141	2021-11-07 20:16:43	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	No		
1146	2021-11-07 18:25:27	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes		No	Safety to the workers is very important to me. The FEP WSF should not change.
1148	2021-11-07 18:51:41	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	No		
1150	2021-11-07 18:25:35	No	B3Z1A8	Yes	No		
1153	2021-11-07 17:54:03	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	
1154	2021-11-07 17:46:59	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes			



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1156	2021-11-07 17:17:16	No	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1162	2021-11-07 16:10:15	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	No		
1164	2021-11-07 13:15:12	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	No		
1166	2021-11-07 14:31:05	No	b3t1j1	Yes	No		
1168	2021-11-07 15:09:03	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
1171	2021-11-07 14:43:36	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	No		
1177	2021-11-07 14:08:08	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Currently the amount of garbage on highway 103 on route to the facility is of concern and has not been addressed, the increase in the number of daily trips to the site further increases the risk of additional garage on our highway
1179	2021-11-07 13:49:37	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	No		
1180	2021-11-07 14:05:52	No	B3T1J4	Yes	No		
1186	2021-11-07 13:25:33	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1195	2021-11-07 11:05:26	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	This is not as the original agreement was designed.
1196	2021-11-07 10:50:23	Yes	B3T1E7	Yes	No		
1204	2021-11-07 10:24:43	Yes	B3t1j6	Yes	No		
1207	2021-11-07 09:37:19	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	Yes	No	
1209	2021-11-07 09:00:42	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	No		
1210	2021-11-07 09:18:16	Yes	b3t1j5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1212	2021-11-07 08:47:48	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1213	2021-11-07 08:02:00	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	No		
1218	2021-11-07 08:03:03	Yes	B3t1c1	Yes	Yes	No	No changes should be made
1220	2021-11-07 07:02:14	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1226	2021-11-07 06:19:12	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1227	2021-11-07 06:26:01	Yes	B3t1e9	Yes	Yes	No	
1228	2021-11-07 05:49:15	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	No		
1231	2021-11-03 16:47:38	Yes	B3Z1B3	Yes	Yes	No	
1234	2021-11-03 14:07:24	No	B3t0b1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1235	2021-11-06 22:54:07	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	The smell and rats will become big problems
1237	2021-11-06 22:27:27	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	No		
1238	2021-11-06 22:11:58	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	No		
1243	2021-11-06 20:34:03	Yes	B3T1g3	Yes	No		
1245	2021-11-06 20:38:08	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	No		
1247	2021-11-06 20:16:52	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	No		
1248	2021-11-06 20:23:50	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	No		
1249	2021-11-06 19:44:30	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	No		
1251	2021-11-06 19:16:43	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	The area is growing as it's being developed and more businesses and people are moving in, in addition to more houses being built in the area. The estimation of vehicle trips does not consider increased waste due to this and makes estimation based on past metrics.
1255	2021-11-06 18:36:24	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	No		
1256	2021-11-06 18:45:14	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes		
1257	2021-11-06 18:22:14	Yes	B3t1g7	Yes	No		
1262	2021-11-06 17:45:16	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	No		
1264	2021-11-06 16:55:43	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1265	2021-11-06 17:28:55	Yes	B3t1j7	Yes	No		
1266	2021-11-06 17:02:44	Yes	B3t1h4	Yes	No		
1267	2021-11-06 17:03:55	Yes	B3T1J8				

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1269	2021-11-06 16:09:51	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	No		
1272	2021-11-06 16:10:29	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	No		
1277	2021-11-04 07:02:22	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	I prefer the current protections remain in place.
1278	2021-11-04 12:01:56	No	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	No	
1283	2021-11-06 13:33:43	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes		
1284	2021-11-06 13:07:07	Yes	B3t1h9	No	No		
1286	2021-11-06 12:51:21	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	No		
1290	2021-11-06 11:43:02	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	No		
1291	2021-11-06 11:52:45	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1292	2021-11-06 11:38:55	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
1297	2021-11-06 11:07:59	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes		
1298	2021-11-06 09:29:17	No	B3z1a4	Yes	No		
1299	2021-11-06 09:27:10	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	No		
1303	2021-11-06 09:09:20	Yes	B3T1L2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1304	2021-11-06 09:00:32	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	No		
1305	2021-11-06 08:55:14	Yes	B3t1g9	Yes	No		
1306	2021-11-06 08:29:50	Yes	b3t1h8	Yes	No		
1307	2021-11-06 08:25:15	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1308	2021-11-06 07:40:06	Yes		Yes	No		
1311	2021-11-06 08:24:50	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1316	2021-11-05 21:45:07	Yes	B3T1J4	No			
1321	2021-11-06 06:58:26	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	No		
1326	2021-11-06 05:43:37	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes			
1327	2021-11-06 05:33:17	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes	No		
1330	2021-11-05 23:03:05	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1331	2021-11-05 21:57:28	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	No		
1332	2021-11-05 22:30:16	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes	No		
1333	2021-11-05 21:49:28	Yes	B3t1c1	Yes	Yes	No	I do not see how an increase in vehicles, therefore an increase in waste being dumped at this facility is warranted. I think the amount of materials processed at this site should be the same as under the current agreement and not increased
1334	2021-11-05 21:54:50	Yes	B3T1G3	No			
1335	2021-11-05 21:51:32	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	No		
1336	2021-11-05 21:33:28	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1337	2021-11-05 21:40:29	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	No		
1339	2021-11-05 21:05:42	No	B3T1H4	Yes	No		
1340	2021-11-05 20:50:25	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	All current measures should be taken to ensure safety and limit environmental impact for the residents who live nearby
1344	2021-11-05 20:04:54	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes			
1346	2021-11-05 19:28:58	Yes	B3Z4b8	Yes	No		
1347	2021-11-05 16:24:45	No	B3T1K1	Yes	No		
1349	2021-11-05 18:58:14	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes	No		
1352	2021-11-05 18:45:51	Yes	B3t1e9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1357	2021-11-05 17:41:33	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	No		
1365	2021-11-05 17:06:31	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	Yes	No	An increase in traffic always increase safety risks
1366	2021-11-05 17:14:34	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	The smell that will be created by this being located only direct area as well as this lowering the sale price of my home as well as the effect during the hot Summer months.

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1367	2021-11-05 16:33:44	Yes	B3t1k1	Yes	Yes	No	You are whitewashing these concerns. Adding 30% large vehicles but putting up signs. Seriously!
1372	2021-11-05 15:47:32	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	No		
1374	2021-11-05 15:53:33	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	
1377	2021-11-05 15:45:02	No	B3z1a1	Yes			
1379	2021-11-05 15:43:33	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	No	
1380	2021-11-05 15:34:32	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	No		
1381	2021-11-05 15:39:24	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes		
1382	2021-11-05 15:28:06	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	
1386	2021-11-05 14:46:49	Yes	B3t0a4	Yes	No		
1387	2021-11-05 14:30:49	Yes	B3Z0R6	Yes	No		
1389	2021-11-05 14:25:03	Yes	B3t1c8	Yes	Yes	No	
1391	2021-11-05 13:29:03	Yes	b3t1j7	Yes	No		
1392	2021-11-05 14:01:05	Yes	b3t1j4	Yes	No		
1393	2021-11-05 13:48:50	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	No		
1397	2021-11-05 13:27:25	No	B3t1j1	Yes	No		
1398	2021-11-05 13:38:39	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1399	2021-11-05 12:39:08	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	No		
1400	2021-11-05 13:08:19	Yes	B3T1G9	Yes	No		
1403	2021-11-05 12:45:46	Yes	b3t2k4	Yes	No		
1405	2021-11-05 11:53:21	Yes	B3t1g8	Yes	No		
1406	2021-11-05 11:32:38	Yes	b3t1h2	Yes	No		
1411	2021-11-05 10:47:19	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	No		
1413	2021-11-05 09:38:01	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	Current FEP/WSF measures ensure the safety of workers as well as the community. There is no need for change to existing process.
1416	2021-11-04 11:40:18	No	B3Z1A2	Yes	No		
1417	2021-11-05 10:31:51	Yes		Yes			
1420	2021-11-05 09:52:16	No	B3Z1C1	Yes	Yes	No	
1421	2021-11-05 09:45:59	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	No		
1422	2021-11-05 09:48:23	Yes	B3t1g4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1425	2021-11-05 09:38:04	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1429	2021-11-04 17:07:08	No	B3Z1A3	Yes	Yes	No	The city and province need to enact measures to reduce consumer waste at the retail level
1433	2021-11-05 07:26:02	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes		
1437	2021-11-05 06:56:17	Yes	B3t1n9	Yes	No		
1439	2021-11-05 05:54:48	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	Increasing the number of large vehicles by 30% brings an inherent risk to residential traffic.
1441	2021-11-05 06:12:23	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	The road is already too busy and has had deaths on it
1442	2021-11-05 06:12:13	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1448	2021-11-05 05:33:41	Yes	B3t1h9	No			
1450	2021-11-05 05:08:27	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	Yes		
1451	2021-11-05 04:33:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Increased traffic and little confidence that waste will be managed as indicated.
1453	2021-11-05 00:32:01	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	No		
1457	2021-11-05 00:05:15	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1460	2021-11-04 22:39:01	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1461	2021-11-04 22:38:33	No	B3T1E5	Yes	No		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1467	2021-11-04 21:27:35	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	This is nothing more than trimming operational costs at the expense of tax payer. You have an obligation to the community to continue to improve processes, not reduce them to funnel more money to waste management executives. Tone deaf as always from HRM Govt.
1469	2021-11-04 21:04:09	No	B3Z4P8	Yes	No		
1470	2021-11-04 20:44:31	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	Increased traffic equals a higher risk hazard
1471	2021-11-04 19:01:28	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
1473	2021-11-04 20:44:19	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	No		
1476	2021-11-04 18:53:37	Yes	b3t1h4	Yes	No		
1477	2021-11-04 20:29:50	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
1478	2021-11-04 19:58:15	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	No		
1482	2021-11-04 19:55:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
1484	2021-11-04 19:39:29	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	No		
1486	2021-11-04 19:38:26	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes	No		
1488	2021-11-04 19:19:14	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	No		
1489	2021-11-03 16:47:23	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	No		
1491	2021-11-04 19:03:04	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	No		
1495	2021-11-04 19:05:29	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	No		
1497	2021-11-04 18:58:28	Yes	B3t1c6	Yes	No		
1499	2021-11-04 18:59:44	Yes	B3T1C6	No			
1501	2021-11-04 18:19:48	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1502	2021-11-04 18:39:01	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	No		
1504	2021-11-04 18:31:01	Yes	B3T1G5				
1506	2021-11-04 18:05:59	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	No		
1507	2021-11-04 17:17:44	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	No		
1508	2021-11-04 17:53:34	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	
1509	2021-11-04 17:43:26	Yes	B3Z1B2	Yes	Yes	No	
1511	2021-11-04 17:35:31	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1512	2021-11-04 17:32:50	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	No		
1513	2021-11-04 17:12:24	Yes	B3t1g5	Yes	No		
1514	2021-11-04 17:10:56	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes	No		
1516	2021-11-04 16:49:10	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	Area is already busy. Extra traffic would make things more congested.
1517	2021-11-04 16:42:28	Yes	B3t1g3	Yes	No		
1519	2021-11-04 15:32:44	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes	No		
1521	2021-11-04 16:09:33	No	B3z1n2	No			
1523	2021-11-04 16:02:08	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1525	2021-11-04 16:02:40	Yes	B3t1j3	Yes	Yes		
1526	2021-11-04 15:45:33	Yes	b3z0b7	Yes	Yes	No	
1527	2021-11-04 15:42:38	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	No	1 reason alone is the amount of rats have increased and by changing the system it will only increase the rat population.
1528	2021-11-04 15:50:58	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1530	2021-11-04 12:00:25	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	The increase in traffic is already significant due to the population increase putting more trucks on the road is not a good solution for flow issues.
1533	2021-11-04 15:28:41	Yes	B3T1N7	No			
1534	2021-11-04 15:23:08	Yes	b3t1p1	Yes	Yes	No	
1540	2021-11-04 14:09:42	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
1542	2021-11-04 14:13:21	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	No		
1543	2021-11-04 14:20:17	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	Yes	



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1546	2021-11-04 14:07:47	Yes	B3t1g5	Yes	No		
1548	2021-11-04 12:41:11	No	B3Z0C6	Yes	No	No	
1549	2021-11-04 13:38:21	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes	No		
1550	2021-11-03 15:47:25	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes			
1551	2021-11-04 12:47:17	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	No matter what mitigation measures are put in place, there will be an increase in traffic. In an increasingly busy area.
1552	2021-11-04 13:19:48	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes	No		
1553	2021-11-04 13:01:16	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	No		
1554	2021-11-04 13:19:04	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	
1555	2021-11-04 13:23:55	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	No		
1558	2021-11-04 13:17:55	No	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1560	2021-11-04 12:48:26	Yes	b3t1h6	Yes	Yes	No	
1561	2021-11-04 12:45:07	No	b3z4m7	Yes	Yes		
1562	2021-11-04 12:45:14	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes			
1563	2021-11-04 12:19:45	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	No		
1565	2021-11-04 12:24:59	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	No		
1566	2021-11-04 12:10:16	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1567	2021-11-04 12:08:51	Yes	b3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	
1570	2021-11-04 11:57:25	Yes	B3t1e1	Yes	Yes		
1571	2021-11-04 11:52:08	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes	No		
1573	2021-11-04 11:42:15	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	No		
1574	2021-11-04 11:46:39	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes	No		
1575	2021-11-04 11:36:59	Yes	B3T1G2	Yes	Yes	No	The explanation is very biased
1576	2021-11-04 11:40:29	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes		
1578	2021-11-04 11:27:13	Yes	B3t1g6	Yes	Yes	No	I do not want the FEP/WSF deactivated
1579	2021-11-04 11:35:28	No	B3t1n8	Yes			
1580	2021-11-04 11:30:27	Yes	b3z1a2				
1586	2021-11-04 10:48:09	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	No		
1587	2021-11-04 10:41:17	Yes	B3t1j3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1588	2021-11-04 08:59:10	Yes	B3t1h7	Yes	No		
1591	2021-11-04 09:07:25	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	The measures appear to be band aide solutions and a thorough and detailed study is required. Signage and written instructions are only as good as the people reading them. There will be more short cuts and ignoring of the signs will happen.
1592	2021-11-04 10:24:08	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	No	I still beleve
1593	2021-11-04 10:18:32	Yes	b3t1j7	Yes	Yes	No	
1597	2021-11-04 09:39:27	No	B3Z1B8	Yes	Yes	No	Environmental impacts are not touched upon. How will not sorting and not stabilizing waste prior to placement in the landfill affect the surrounding area?
1599	2021-11-04 10:04:29	Yes	B3T1L2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1602	2021-11-04 10:00:48	Yes	b3t1h1	Yes	Yes		
1604	2021-11-04 09:30:59	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	Items not sorted correctly
1606	2021-11-04 09:26:11	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	No		
1607	2021-11-04 09:26:37	Yes	B3z1a1	Yes	No		
1608	2021-11-04 09:22:40	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	No		
1610	2021-11-04 09:28:16	Yes	B3T1P1	No			
1611	2021-11-04 09:18:14	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	Still and big increase
1612	2021-11-04 09:11:23	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	No		
1614	2021-11-04 09:13:51	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	No		
1615	2021-11-04 09:18:19	Yes	b3t1e5	Yes	No		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1617	2021-11-04 09:00:39	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	No		
1618	2021-11-04 09:11:00	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	No		
1619	2021-11-04 09:06:19	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1625	2021-11-04 08:59:55	No	B3z4b8	Yes			
1627	2021-11-04 08:54:25	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	No		
1628	2021-11-04 08:55:29	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes			
1630	2021-11-04 08:37:38	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1632	2021-11-04 08:40:01	Yes	B3t0a6	Yes	No		
1633	2021-11-04 08:42:48	Yes	B3t1k1	Yes	Yes		
1635	2021-11-04 08:02:36	No	B3Z4P2	Yes	No		
1640	2021-11-04 08:24:38	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	
1643	2021-11-04 08:22:13	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	No	
1645	2021-11-04 08:12:52	No	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	N
1646	2021-11-04 08:04:35	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	No	
1647	2021-11-04 08:04:52	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1649	2021-11-04 08:03:47	Yes	B3t1n7	No			
1653	2021-11-04 07:09:27	No	B3Z0B7	Yes	No		
1654	2021-11-04 07:12:12	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes		
1657	2021-11-04 06:58:21	Yes	B3T1G2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1661	2021-11-04 05:54:23	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	The solutions address some issues but supports a less efficient system and contributes to the greater problems
1662	2021-11-04 06:16:57	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Still an increase in the number of vehicles per day which is not acceptable to what was promised when this site was chosen.
1663	2021-11-04 06:25:23	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes	No		
1665	2021-11-04 05:59:57	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	No		
1666	2021-11-04 06:08:58	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	No		
1669	2021-11-04 05:35:32	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	The smell and an increase in rats, which are bad enough now
1673	2021-11-04 04:03:06	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	No		
1674	2021-11-03 23:29:36	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	Yes	No	We fought hard and trusted Reg Rankin's word that none of these changes would happen, and now or ever will we agree to the start of the downhill trends you are trying to CHEEKISHLY SNEAK past us.
1676	2021-11-03 23:06:06	Yes	B3t1j1	Yes	Yes	No	Increased traffic due to a higher volume of unmitigated waste will create traffic problems, an increase in larger vehicle traffic in the area and un segregated waste in the landfill
1678	2021-11-03 22:44:00	Yes	B3t0a6	Yes	No		
1685	2021-11-03 22:11:38	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1686	2021-11-03 22:03:40	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	No	More garbage in landfill
1687	2021-11-03 21:45:00	Yes	B3T1k1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1688	2021-11-03 21:28:30	No	B3z0e3	Yes	No		
1690	2021-11-03 21:54:38	Yes	B3t1e1	Yes	Yes	No	
1698	2021-11-03 21:19:14	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	No		
1700	2021-11-03 21:18:46	Yes	B3z0b6	Yes	No		
1701	2021-11-03 21:09:16	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	This is posturing and speculative at best. Removing safety measure of what is going into the landfill will be detrimental and dangerous to those working there and those in the neighbouring communities.
1702	2021-11-03 21:06:16	No	B3Z4B8	Yes			Not interested
1704	2021-11-03 20:34:32	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	No		
1705	2021-11-03 21:00:21	Yes	B3z0e2	Yes	Yes		
1707	2021-11-03 20:42:09	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1708	2021-11-03 20:45:00	No	B3T1h1	Yes	No		
1709	2021-11-03 20:44:31	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	No		
1711	2021-11-03 20:35:45	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	No		
1713	2021-11-03 20:37:12	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes	Yes		
1714	2021-11-03 20:17:03	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	No	I do not have any concerns	
1717	2021-11-03 20:30:25	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1719	2021-11-03 20:22:33	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	Increased smell, more rats
1723	2021-11-03 20:09:36	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	No		
1724	2021-11-03 19:56:33	Yes	B3T2C7	Yes	No		
1725	2021-11-03 20:05:26	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	No		
1727	2021-11-03 19:19:43	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes	No	The increased development of that area by sobeys will cause additional traffic to an already busy road
1729	2021-11-03 19:34:09	No	B3z0b7	Yes	No		
1730	2021-11-03 19:28:16	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	
1733	2021-11-03 19:18:41	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	No		
1736	2021-11-03 18:59:16	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	No		
1737	2021-11-03 19:17:06	Yes	B3t0b1	Yes			
1739	2021-11-03 19:06:12	Yes	B3t1c6	Yes	Yes	No	Need more support
1743	2021-11-03 18:49:09	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	No		
1744	2021-11-03 18:57:02	Yes	B3t1n5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1745	2021-11-03 19:06:36	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	Yes		
1746	2021-11-03 18:47:19	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	No		
1748	2021-11-03 18:51:42	Yes	B3T1H5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1749	2021-11-03 18:54:05	Yes	B3T1e2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1750	2021-11-03 18:41:25	Yes	B3t1n9	Yes	Yes	No	More traffic means the landfill will fill up quicker.
1751	2021-11-03 18:41:30	Yes	B3t2c7	Yes	No		
1755	2021-11-03 18:21:33	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	
1756	2021-11-03 18:25:28	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	No		
1758	2021-11-03 18:01:26	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	No		
1760	2021-11-03 18:00:28	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	No		
1762	2021-11-03 18:03:46	No	B3T1J7	Yes	No		
1768	2021-11-03 17:18:17	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes	No	
1769	2021-11-03 17:31:01	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	Don't want increased traffic or waste
1770	2021-11-03 17:30:19	Yes	B3t1g2	Yes	Yes	No	Still to much of an increase
1771	2021-11-03 17:23:02	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	8-10 more loads per day (2920-3650 per year) is a serious increase. Add that to closing the sorting facility. Who could possibly think this is a good idea?!
1773	2021-11-03 17:25:28	Yes	b3t1g8	Yes	Yes	No	
1777	2021-11-03 17:02:51	Yes	B3T1G3	No			
1780	2021-11-03 16:41:40	No	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1784	2021-11-03 16:30:37	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1787	2021-11-03 16:24:36	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1790	2021-11-03 15:18:48	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	No		
1791	2021-11-03 15:48:28	Yes	b3t1c6	Yes	Yes	No	The increase in need for traffic spotters means more employees hired, more money coming out of the budget, more cost to tax payers
1792	2021-11-03 15:54:37	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes		
1794	2021-11-03 15:02:31	Yes	B3T1E6	Yes	No		
1796	2021-11-03 15:29:10	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	No		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Safety of Workers / Increased Traffic at Landfill Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the safety of workers/increased traffic at landfill disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1798	2021-11-03 15:24:18	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes	Yes		
1799	2021-11-03 15:11:55	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	There are many factors to consider
1802	2021-11-03 15:06:44	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	
1807	2021-11-03 14:48:59	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	No		
1808	2021-11-03 14:48:41	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	No	
1809	2021-11-03 14:43:40	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	No		
1813	2021-11-03 14:09:13	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	Relying on the compliance of users, providing only signage or peak traffic spotters is inadequate.
1815	2021-11-03 14:25:59	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1819	2021-11-03 14:10:05	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1820	2021-11-03 13:05:14	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1821	2021-11-03 13:05:04	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	No	No	
1822	2021-11-03 12:16:49	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1823	2021-11-03 13:04:31	Yes	B3Z4M2	Yes	No		
1824	2021-11-03 12:42:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1825	2021-11-03 12:23:10	Yes	B3t1e3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1826	2021-11-03 12:09:07	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	No		
1827	2021-11-03 12:23:59	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	No		
1828	2021-11-03 12:00:58	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
1829	2021-11-03 10:32:33	No	B3Z1B1	Yes	Yes	No	A 33% increase in traffic is significant!
1830	2021-11-03 10:33:12	No	B3Z0C6	Yes	No		
1831	2021-11-03 11:02:46	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	No		
1832	2021-11-03 10:55:01	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	No	the number of trucks increased is not acceptable
1833	2021-11-03 09:50:24	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Concerned that of the increased number of vehicles from 25 to 30 a day to 33 to 40 a day which represents a considerable increase per day and over time.
1834	2021-11-02 14:13:03	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	We can not predict what banned substances may get into the landfill site and cause risk to workers. Removal of FEP/WSF could see return of ICI waste. Far more traffic and hazardous waste will arrive into the site.



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
5	2021-12-04 13:27:05	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes			
6	2021-12-04 12:39:48	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	
8	2021-12-04 07:07:42	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	Yes	No	
9	2021-12-04 06:33:44	Yes	B3t1g9	Yes	Yes		
10	2021-12-04 02:33:16	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	With Nova Scotia's high winds, this will not stop litter from escaping the landfill.
11	2021-12-03 21:37:38	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	No	There is no amount of fencing that can replace eyes on the ground.
19	2021-12-03 20:20:59	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
20	2021-12-03 19:08:21	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	With climate change and more severe weather the fencing could be damaged.
21	2021-12-03 19:54:38	Yes	B3Z4N4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
22	2021-11-06 14:53:34	No	B3Z4N4	Yes	No		
31	2021-12-03 12:59:41	No	B3z4p7	Yes	Yes	No	I've seen plenty of garbage along the freeway heading to the landfill that isn't even being picked up now.
32	2021-12-03 12:35:11	No	B3Z1A7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
33	2021-11-13 15:08:28	Yes	B3Z0A2	Yes	No		
34	2021-12-03 11:45:55	Yes	B3Z0E3	Yes	Yes	No	Because I don't believe/trust you have an evidence based approach to this issue.
39	2021-12-03 11:03:31	No	B3Z4B8	Yes	No		
43	2021-12-03 10:19:56	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
45	2021-12-03 09:58:37	Yes	B3Z4M2	Yes	Yes	No	wrong
49	2021-11-04 09:54:17	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	No	No	Not helpful.
60	2021-12-02 22:25:31	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
61	2021-12-02 20:55:00	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
62	2021-12-02 19:31:27	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	Yes	No	There was an existing deal when we were stuck with the dump. No excuse to back out now.
65	2021-11-03 17:45:52	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes			
69	2021-12-02 08:44:35	Yes	b3t1g1	Yes	Yes	No	as with the guysborough landfill , though under provincial regulations, there will be , unquestionably, an increased amount of litter, with increased paper being directly dumped at the cell face, escaping beyond the perimeter of the litter fence
74	2021-12-01 17:41:09	No	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
76	2021-12-01 15:43:35	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	Maintenance of the net.
80	2021-12-01 07:00:50	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
82	2021-11-30 20:25:42	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	No	This is to mitigate, not alleviate
88	2021-11-30 18:37:55	No	B3Z4P7	Yes	No		
90	2021-11-30 16:46:22	Yes	B3Z1C1	Yes			
99	2021-11-29 21:36:15	Yes		Yes	Yes	No	
101	2021-11-29 19:39:20	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes			
107	2021-11-29 11:08:59	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	Yes	No	By not remove waste you will be place more waste in the landfill which will blow around the community,
108	2021-11-29 10:32:18	Yes	B3t1g6	Yes	Yes	No	Portable fencing will fail
109	2021-11-29 09:58:39	Yes	B3t1g7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
110	2021-11-29 09:21:21	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	These nets are flimsy

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
115	2021-11-28 11:44:47	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	No	I do not believe, or have confidence in, the populace to properly care and separate their individual waste streams. The front end processor provides an extra inspection point to observe potential infractions and mitigate illegal or inappropriate dumping of wastes in the landfill. Once the front end processor is removed from service, it is foolish to believe it will ever be reinstated
117	2021-11-28 10:06:33	No	B3Z1C2	Yes	Yes	No	More regular litter picking along hwy 103 always a mess
119	2021-11-28 06:53:55	No	B3Z0C6	Yes	No		
120	2021-11-27 21:32:29	Yes	b3Z0A1	Yes			
121	2021-11-27 18:08:27	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	Yes	No	
130	2021-11-27 06:08:42	No	B3T1H6	Yes			
131	2021-11-26 18:29:35	Yes		Yes			
132	2021-11-26 15:49:04	No	B3Z1E1	Yes	No		
156	2021-11-25 17:57:02	Yes		Yes	Yes		
157	2021-11-25 17:25:24	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	I have lived in this area for years and have yet to see any clean up crews I call Bullshit on this mitigation.
161	2021-11-25 14:32:29	No	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	Fences get damaged and I don't trust humans to pick up the garbage the way it needs to be done.
168	2021-11-25 11:07:39	Yes	B3T1E7	Yes	No		
169	2021-11-25 11:05:59	Yes	B3Z1N4	Yes	Yes	No	no
170	2021-11-25 10:08:36	Yes	b3t1h1	Yes	Yes	No	because I believe you will lie just to get what you want.
172	2021-11-25 09:54:02	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	I high winds, I don't see the fences being 100% effective.
181	2021-11-25 07:26:32	Yes	B3t1n5	Yes	Yes	No	These fences will never stay in place during all weather conditions
185	2021-11-25 07:18:48	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	
187	2021-11-25 06:42:33	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	No		
192	2021-11-25 05:08:05	Yes	B3T1p1	Yes	Yes		
198	2021-11-24 12:21:32	Yes	B3T1L2	Yes	Yes	No	HRM signed an agreement - Without FEP/WSF there is nothing to ensure banned & unacceptable waste material will not end up in the landfill This is not acceptable.
201	2021-11-24 11:54:28	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/WSF active.
203	2021-11-24 09:04:21	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	No		
206	2021-11-14 07:19:32	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	I have lived in the area over 10 years and still travel in the nearby wood trails and periodically find wind blown garbage. I as a responsible person won't even discard a candy wrapper or any type of garbage in the environment I enjoy to frequent.
210	2021-11-15 19:26:29	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	No		
211	2021-11-23 19:29:41	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes			
216	2021-11-23 15:55:50	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/WSF active
217	2021-11-23 15:20:26	Yes	B3t1J4	Yes	Yes	No	
218	2021-11-23 15:08:55	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/WSF active.
219	2021-11-03 14:41:21	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	This is not an acceptable remedy
221	2021-11-23 13:47:47	Yes	B3T1N6	No			
224	2021-11-23 12:32:20	No	B3T1H8	Yes			
236	2021-11-22 18:40:08	Yes	B3t1k1	Yes	Yes	No	
237	2021-11-22 18:02:45	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	Hasn't worked so far. The 103 around exit 3 will have more mess as a result of this change.

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
239	2021-11-22 16:06:06	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	The removal of the front-end processor and waste stabilizer will increase the amount of paper products added to landfill. With the increasing wind and harsh weather, the mitigation measures are not enough.
241	2021-11-22 15:52:47	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
245	2021-11-22 15:00:25	Yes	B3T1I2	Yes			
246	2021-11-22 14:31:14	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
252	2021-11-22 10:29:13	Yes	b3z1b6	Yes	Yes	No	Fencing will not stop easy speed of paper litter with bird activity and storms. 3rd party consultation does not appear to have been completed.
256	2021-11-22 08:51:00	Yes	B3T1E1	Yes	Yes		
261	2021-11-21 21:23:53	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	Currently Highway 103 is regularly covered with litter and I have experienced multiple occasions where improperly secured garbage blew out of trucks and trailers directly in front of my vehicle. Any increase in traffic will result in an increase of such occurrences presenting any increased risk of a motor vehicle accident.
262	2021-11-21 19:24:14	Yes	B3T1K8	Yes	Yes	No	Without the sorting process in place, there will be a potential for a substantial increase in blowing litter. The increase may not be held back by additional fencing, and this will create additional litter throughout the community.
271	2021-11-21 07:36:12	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
273	2021-11-21 06:17:22	Yes					
274	2021-11-21 05:29:13	Yes	B3Z0E3	Yes			
280	2021-11-20 15:12:21	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	
282	2021-11-20 13:50:38	No	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
283	2021-11-20 13:45:48	Yes	b3z1b5	Yes	Yes	No	When there are wind storms, hurricanes materials can be blown up and far.
286	2021-11-20 06:33:59	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	Even if these fences catch 95% of airborne waste that is still a huge amount getting out.
290	2021-11-19 20:39:47	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	No	I am not on site fencing will actually control uncontrollable wind
291	2021-11-19 20:19:27	No	B3Z4M8	Yes	No		
294	2021-11-19 18:47:39	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	Yes	No	
295	2021-11-05 07:00:55	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes			
296	2021-11-19 18:11:41	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	
298	2021-11-19 17:29:57	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	No		
299	2021-11-19 17:18:58	Yes	B3T1K8	Yes	Yes	No	It's more unprocessed trash for no reason
303	2021-11-19 13:43:02	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
305	2021-11-18 08:56:37	Yes		Yes	Yes		
308	2021-11-19 13:17:59	No	b3z1a1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
311	2021-11-19 12:09:06	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	other issues
318	2021-11-19 10:48:40	Yes	B3Z0B9	Yes			
319	2021-11-19 09:50:39	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	weather wind blowing plastics in the air.
320	2021-11-04 10:08:10	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
321	2021-11-19 10:09:52	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	Yes	No	
322	2021-11-19 09:54:14	Yes	B3Z0C6	Yes	Yes	No	Highway debris
323	2021-11-19 09:53:08	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	Their is no proof this happens or assurances it will happen after
324	2021-11-05 19:00:42	No	B3Z1A4	Yes	No		
325	2021-11-19 09:42:37	Yes	b3t1j3				
326	2021-11-19 09:37:22	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	Government track record of screwing citizens over.

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
327	2021-11-19 09:32:40	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	No		
333	2021-11-19 08:29:03	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	No		
334	2021-11-19 08:01:46	Yes	b3t1c1	Yes	No		
335	2021-11-19 07:38:52	No	B3z1a3	Yes	No		
337	2021-11-18 19:34:24	Yes	B3T1c1	Yes	Yes	No	Fences and nets can tear, and places reliance on the operators judgement as to when more fence and or cleaning is required. If we have a process that works why are we reverting away from it?
338	2021-11-18 19:12:19	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
339	2021-11-18 15:25:16	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	No		
341	2021-11-18 13:22:55	Yes	B3Z1A4	Yes	No		
345	2021-11-18 07:07:28	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	It is just another promise.
346	2021-11-18 06:20:03	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
347	2021-11-18 03:50:21	No	B3z0e3	Yes	Yes	No	There is no reason that the FEP/WSF should not be operated at the landfill. It's a vital component to its operation.
355	2021-11-17 18:26:33	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes			
357	2021-11-13 22:48:08	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	
360	2021-11-17 16:39:08	Yes	B3t1g5	Yes	Yes	No	It doesn't matter how hard you try, garbage will be everywhere. Landfill has been around for too long here it's time to move it.
363	2021-11-17 15:28:28	Yes	B3T2K1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
365	2021-11-16 12:57:50	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	This survey is a feigned attempt at convincing members of my community that the proposed changes will not have any negative impact. This is nothing more than propaganda.
367	2021-11-17 12:59:17	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	These mitigation measures will not stop blowing litter
370	2021-11-17 12:04:06	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste does not belong in a landfill
371	2021-11-17 11:14:48	No	B3Z1C2	Yes	No		
376	2021-11-17 05:51:40	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	You say there is highway garbage pick-up but I see garbage on the side of the road for long periods.
377	2021-11-17 05:55:25	No	b3t1e1	Yes	No		
383	2021-11-16 20:49:29	Yes	B3t1j1	Yes	Yes	No	
385	2021-11-16 20:37:49	No	B3z4e9	Yes	Yes	No	If garbage is pre sorted there should not be blowing debris
386	2021-11-04 21:17:46	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	No	Stuff can still blow over the nets
390	2021-11-16 19:52:37	No	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
393	2021-11-16 15:24:34	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	No		
399	2021-11-16 14:36:36	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes			
400	2021-11-16 14:22:06	Yes	B3Z1A2	Yes			
401	2021-11-16 13:51:53	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	No	much stuff can blow over them
406	2021-11-15 16:24:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	No offsite impacts have been identified because it has never happened, due to the measures in place that were agreed upon as a stipulation to put the waste facility there in the first place. Deactivation will contribute to making this a possibility.
408	2021-11-12 11:37:48	Yes	B3z4n4	Yes	No		
414	2021-11-16 10:55:27	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	Bird and animals would still have access. It is a wind tunnel in that area.
415	2021-11-09 10:20:20	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	I've lived here 15 years. We didn't agree to a dump. We've been lied to and are being manipulated to accept this.
416	2021-11-16 09:46:15	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	Yes	No	this isn't going to help.....?
417	2021-11-16 09:33:37	Yes	B3z1b5	Yes			
424	2021-11-16 07:21:05	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	Not high enough



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
426	2021-11-16 06:54:36	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	I drive the 103 back and forth to work everyday. Leaving the city on the 103 I see way more garbage/litter on the side of the road before exit 3 than after exit 3. More needs to be done to reduce the amount of garbage/litter blowing out of trucks on the way to the landfill.
430	2021-11-16 00:04:52	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	Removing part of the sorting process can only lead to inappropriate items entering the waste disposal area
431	2021-11-15 23:20:55	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes			
434	2021-11-09 13:32:39	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
435	2021-11-15 21:26:49	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
437	2021-11-15 21:17:27	Yes	B3z1b6	Yes	Yes	No	I am concerned about the smell and flies
438	2021-11-15 20:50:10	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
440	2021-11-15 20:07:07	Yes	B3z1b5	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
441	2021-11-15 19:26:51	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	Again, garbage being sorted the way it has been protects our drinking water. There needs to be onsite sorting of garbage.
446	2021-11-15 19:21:29	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes			
450	2021-11-15 19:12:13	No	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	
452	2021-11-15 18:47:18	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	No		
455	2021-11-15 17:56:20	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	Yes	No	It isn't fair for the community to possibly experience increased blowing litter. We deserve proof that additional litter collection will actually occur.
458	2021-11-15 16:55:07	Yes	B3t1k7				
461	2021-11-15 15:55:27	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	Yes	No	
462	2021-11-15 15:50:42	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	No	No	There will be
464	2021-11-15 15:25:06	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	Why not take the funding allocated for these mitigation measures and additional worker hours, and put them towards continuing operation of the FEP?
465	2021-11-15 15:35:49	Yes		Yes	No		
466	2021-11-15 15:32:08	No	B3Z0P7	Yes	Yes		
471	2021-11-15 12:25:46	No	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
473	2021-11-15 12:31:09	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	No	
480	2021-11-15 10:39:20	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	As the situation is now there is not enough litter collection along highway 103 leading to the facility.
481	2021-11-15 10:56:31	Yes	B3t1n7	Yes	Yes	No	
484	2021-11-04 11:52:49	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	Yes		
485	2021-11-15 10:05:04	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
486	2021-11-15 08:43:10	No	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	No	These mitigations exist right now and seem to be working, but this is while using the Front-End Processor. There is no indication how removal of this will impact the possible litter problem.
489	2021-11-15 09:21:03	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes			
495	2021-11-15 06:32:53	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes			
500	2021-11-15 05:27:21	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
506	2021-11-14 21:35:47	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	Yes		
516	2021-11-14 17:12:19	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	No		
528	2021-11-14 12:38:56	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	No		
536	2021-11-14 10:25:11	Yes	B3z0b7	Yes	Yes	No	Don't change a system that is currently working.
546	2021-11-14 07:10:17	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	No	Don't trust people who don't keep their word
547	2021-11-14 06:57:28	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	No landfill should be close to any residential community
559	2021-11-13 23:27:04	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
560	2021-11-13 23:19:28	No	B3Z4P2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
564	2021-11-13 20:40:52	No	B3Z0R3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
566	2021-11-13 20:39:22	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	
567	2021-11-13 20:30:54	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	No		
571	2021-11-13 17:40:32	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	Broken promise
572	2021-11-13 18:00:19	Yes	B3z1e1	Yes	No		
574	2021-11-13 17:18:43	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	There will always be blowing litter!
575	2021-11-13 16:53:34	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	Yes	No	Regardless of any action taken, with the amount of wind in this area, fencing will have little effect. Plug, fencing will not stop what animals and birds will carry off site.
583	2021-11-04 08:58:52	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	
593	2021-11-13 11:16:36	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
597	2021-11-13 11:05:13	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes	Yes	No	Why is there additional litter as a result of these changes, and when collected where is it going?
600	2021-11-13 10:00:56	Yes	B3z1a9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
604	2021-11-13 09:00:42	Yes	B3t1j7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
606	2021-11-13 08:52:45	Yes	B3z1a2	Yes	Yes	No	
610	2021-11-13 08:24:03	Yes	B3Z0R8	Yes	Yes	No	Fences will not stop birds from taking litter to other locations
611	2021-11-13 08:38:30	Yes	B3t1h8	No			
612	2021-11-04 16:57:56	Yes	b3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	jump in a canoe and take a paddle downstream. please see for yourself
626	2021-11-13 04:20:39	No	B3z1b5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
627	2021-11-13 00:16:22	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	It doesn't stop rats and population of them
629	2021-11-12 22:56:37	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	This will now be a dump
631	2021-11-12 22:01:33	No	B3Z4P2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
633	2021-11-12 21:35:21	Yes	B3T1h8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
634	2021-11-12 21:34:14	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
643	2021-11-12 19:50:07	No	B3Z4N8	Yes	No		
646	2021-11-12 19:10:50	Yes	B3Z1A4	Yes	No		
652	2021-11-12 17:55:29	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
656	2021-11-12 18:03:42	Yes	B3t0a5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
657	2021-11-12 17:30:49	Yes	B3Z1P6	Yes			
659	2021-11-09 20:27:04	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	Concerned with birds finding anything that has blown and bringing it out past the fences.
667	2021-11-06 19:19:20	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	There will be more blowing waste and still require additional staff to collect
670	2021-11-05 10:25:41	Yes	B3t0b1	Yes	Yes	No	
671	2021-11-12 14:29:04	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	No	The highway leading to the dump is always littered with trash.
672	2021-11-12 14:21:08	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	Yes	No	
674	2021-11-12 13:27:53	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	No		
676	2021-11-12 13:29:39	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
680	2021-11-12 13:13:46	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes			
681	2021-11-12 13:01:10	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	
682	2021-11-12 12:51:55	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes			
683	2021-11-12 12:22:17	Yes	B3z1a4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
684	2021-11-12 12:30:01	Yes	B3t1j9	Yes			
686	2021-11-12 11:39:54	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	Yes	No	Because it doesn't address the risk of more garbage ending up in the landfill do to checks and balances being removed
691	2021-11-12 11:31:45	No	B3T1N8	Yes	No		
694	2021-11-12 11:33:06	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes			

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
697	2021-11-12 10:51:31	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	I am unconvinced.
700	2021-11-12 10:32:00	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	No		
703	2021-11-12 09:55:11	No	B3z0r5	Yes	Yes	No	I do not want ANYTHING blowing around and littering
705	2021-11-12 09:39:46	Yes	B3z1a3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
706	2021-11-08 17:16:47	No	B3Z1A9	Yes	No		
710	2021-11-12 09:30:26	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	I think this will help some but some garbage will get out and get into the water along 103 hwy
711	2021-11-12 09:39:05	No	B3z1a1	Yes	Yes	No	Offsite measures need to be taken as more litter accumulates. The roads are already not being picked up as it is.
714	2021-11-12 09:35:55	Yes	B3t1e5	Yes	Yes		
716	2021-11-12 09:31:18	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	
718	2021-11-12 08:56:42	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	No		
722	2021-11-12 08:13:27	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	The residents of the surrounding communities agreed to the placement of Otter Lake with the understanding that the FEP and WSF would be active throughout the lifespan of the facility. This move by the city is in blatant disregard of the original agreement, and while maybe not in violation of the specific provisions, is made clearly with disregard to the spirit of the agreement.
724	2021-11-12 07:53:21	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	No		
727	2021-11-12 08:13:51	Yes	B3Z4M9	Yes	Yes	No	You are replacing an effective system with a patch that will be somewhat effective and require additional resources to manage. Not a plus overall.
729	2021-11-12 08:16:19	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	
731	2021-11-12 07:35:00	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	No	
734	2021-11-11 16:39:38	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes		
737	2021-11-11 18:38:28	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	The area would need to be enclosed as wind doesn't simply go in one direction. Garbage flowing in the wind won't automatically go to the fence itself because there is a fence. The proposed "fence" is a glorified baseball field net.
738	2021-11-11 18:30:56	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	Birds and other animals will carry garbage outside the fencing.
740	2021-11-11 18:09:09	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	No	There is trash all over, those fences are not working.
742	2021-11-11 17:24:51	No	b3t1h5	Yes	No		
744	2021-11-11 16:28:40	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
750	2021-11-11 12:46:39	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	No		
754	2021-11-11 11:54:48	Yes	B3T2J9	Yes	Yes	No	More loose volume will blow around and spread. Not the same control of content.
755	2021-11-11 12:20:24	Yes	B3Z1A6	Yes			
756	2021-11-11 12:04:58	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	Too much litter already from trucks.
758	2021-11-11 11:30:44	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	Things will still blow over that fence. Especially with the winds in a high open area.
764	2021-11-11 09:46:30	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	Ever been down to nine mile river disgusting amount of litter
765	2021-11-11 09:36:19	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
766	2021-11-11 09:41:01	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes	Yes	No	
786	2021-11-10 20:32:28	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	Yes	No	Increased raw organics at landfill
787	2021-11-11 03:44:19	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes		
791	2021-11-10 20:35:01	No	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	You have no right to alter the contract you made with the area residents. You are going back on your word.
792	2021-11-10 20:07:47	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
793	2021-11-07 06:48:23	Yes	B3Z4P7	Yes	No		
794	2021-11-10 19:48:51	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
796	2021-11-10 19:35:45	No	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
797	2021-11-10 19:02:17	Yes	B3t1j6	Yes	Yes	No	Need more infor
802	2021-11-10 18:11:54	Yes	B3Z4P7	Yes	Yes	Yes	I hope you have monitoring systems in place to continue to monitor the litter and to put additional measures in place if needed
803	2021-11-10 18:22:40	Yes	B3Z1A1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
811	2021-11-10 14:33:03	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	What about winter? If the additional litter anticipated is spread during the winter months/weather it most likely won't get picked up until spring and by then it has a chance to spread further and result in rodents and birds.
813	2021-11-10 15:30:00	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	I've witnessed litter blowing off vehicles heading to the dump. Vehicle drivers should be fined at the facility for not covering their loads.
821	2021-11-10 14:05:36	Yes	B3t2c7	Yes	No		
822	2021-11-10 13:59:12	Yes	B3t1g3	Yes			
824	2021-11-10 13:30:08	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes			
825	2021-11-10 12:23:51	No	B3Z1A8	Yes	No		
831	2021-11-03 20:37:26	Yes	B3x0b7	Yes	Yes	No	
842	2021-11-10 09:03:51	Yes	b3t1c8	Yes	Yes	No	no additional litter is better than the need for new additional measures to address the issue.
845	2021-11-10 08:36:21	No	B3T1K1	No			
846	2021-11-10 08:25:15	Yes	B3T0B1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
854	2021-11-04 08:06:12	Yes	B3Z1B8	Yes	No		
855	2021-11-10 07:20:17	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
859	2021-11-10 06:48:08	Yes	B3T1H6	No			
860	2021-11-10 06:26:28	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	I drive highway 103 daily and it is not cleaned daily.
864	2021-11-10 06:00:53	Yes	B3t1e6	Yes	Yes	No	
865	2021-11-10 05:00:14	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
866	2021-11-10 04:46:48	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	I still see uncaptured litter now. It will be worse with your proposed changes
867	2021-11-09 15:22:11	Yes	B3t1n9	Yes	No	No	
868	2021-11-09 22:33:05	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	
869	2021-11-04 15:25:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	You claim that the impact will be on-site. You can therefore imagine how the impact off-site will increase with added waste and added collection vehicles on the road which we already have a lot of waste along the 103 Hwy which does make it's way in our waters and ocean via wind.
871	2021-11-09 21:27:52	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
872	2021-11-09 21:14:13	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
873	2021-11-09 20:48:16	Yes	B3z1b5	Yes	Yes	No	That area is like a wind tunnel, the fence won't be adequatw
874	2021-11-09 21:05:55	Yes	B3Z4L5	Yes			
875	2021-11-09 20:02:17	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	No	1. Will these mitigation measures actually be enforced? And by whom...should definitely be non-partisan!
877	2021-11-09 20:24:49	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	No	No	
886	2021-11-09 19:32:11	Yes	B3Z1B7	Yes			
891	2021-11-09 19:10:01	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe the nets will trap all the litter
893	2021-11-09 19:01:00	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
894	2021-11-09 17:54:43	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	Yes	No	The litter still blows along the 103. This is not only an eyesore but a hazard for drivers.
896	2021-11-09 18:21:25	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	It will still blow
897	2021-11-09 18:06:35	No	B3Z1A7	Yes			



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
898	2021-11-09 17:38:11	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	No		
899	2021-11-09 17:30:26	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	No		
902	2021-11-09 16:58:34	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	No	Fences are only as good if they are kept up and maintained. Empty promises!
903	2021-11-09 16:14:02	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	No	Less oversight by eliminating this important process equals greater potential for concerns regarding every facet of the operation
904	2021-11-04 18:05:37	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	Instead of using personnel to sort waste you're going to send them out to pick up garbage from around the site? Seems like their efforts would be better put towards ensuring only the appropriate materials end up in the landfill.
909	2021-11-09 16:32:47	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
910	2021-11-09 16:23:16	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
912	2021-11-09 16:08:32	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	
916	2021-11-09 15:49:15	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	No		
919	2021-11-09 15:28:47	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
924	2021-11-09 14:51:54	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	No	Existing measures not successful in containing waste there no reason to believe it will be implemented properly now
929	2021-11-09 14:29:10	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	
930	2021-11-09 14:42:58	Yes	B3Z0B6	Yes	Yes		
931	2021-11-09 14:35:53	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	No	
933	2021-11-09 13:57:44	Yes	B3Z1A5	Yes	Yes	No	Storms will send garbage blowing throughout the community regardless of fencing. Storms are severe
936	2021-11-09 13:09:39	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	This will not fix this with the wind and seasonal changes
941	2021-11-09 13:15:03	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
944	2021-11-09 13:17:56	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	
946	2021-11-09 12:50:39	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	No		
948	2021-11-09 12:30:38	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	Wind can lift litter higher than the nets.
950	2021-11-09 11:29:08	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	Nets only catch so much you donkeys
954	2021-11-09 11:42:48	Yes	B3T1E7	Yes	Yes	No	It's not enough
956	2021-11-09 11:49:10	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
957	2021-11-09 11:51:50	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	No		
958	2021-11-09 11:43:46	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes			
959	2021-11-04 22:16:03	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	more litter from more garbage that's not controlled, can overwhelm daily litter pick up manpower
961	2021-11-09 11:28:30	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	Have you driven the 103 recently? If that is what my yard looked like bylaw officers would be at my door!
962	2021-11-09 08:05:43	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	there is litter NOW along highway it will only be worst
964	2021-11-09 11:24:08	Yes	B3T1H6	No			
965	2021-11-09 11:11:17	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	
966	2021-11-09 11:16:21	Yes	B3T1G1				
967	2021-11-09 11:05:37	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	No	I do not trust these measures will work.
970	2021-11-09 10:50:59	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	Additional litter collection and removal efforts by site personnel will offset the already small savings expected from suspending FEP and WSF operations.
973	2021-11-09 10:41:12	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	No		
975	2021-11-09 09:33:49	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
978	2021-11-09 08:55:19	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	Yes	No	
985	2021-11-09 08:08:57	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	No		
987	2021-11-09 07:27:43	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	It's not enough
989	2021-11-09 07:30:09	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes			

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
990	2021-11-04 07:05:57	No	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	This is not an un-biased survey. It is propaganda.
991	2021-11-09 06:40:53	No	B3z1a2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
992	2021-11-09 06:15:07	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	
993	2021-11-09 05:59:40	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	There is no guarantee of it being equivalent, or consequences if new measures are not adequate, or in place soon enough.
998	2021-11-09 04:34:13	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	No		
999	2021-11-08 23:44:20	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	No		
1000	2021-11-08 22:51:36	Yes	B3z1b6	Yes	No	No	
1002	2021-11-08 22:17:36	Yes	b3t1j9	Yes			
1003	2021-11-08 21:52:13	Yes	B3T1K8	Yes	Yes	No	
1004	2021-11-08 21:23:27	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	
1006	2021-11-08 21:03:07	Yes	B3T1h9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1008	2021-11-08 20:43:59	Yes	B3t1j6	Yes	Yes		
1010	2021-11-08 17:49:55	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1011	2021-11-08 19:40:36	Yes	B3z1a9	Yes	Yes	No	
1013	2021-11-08 19:54:19	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	This doesnt resolve the problem and litter can still blow over the fence
1015	2021-11-08 19:41:27	Yes	B3z1a9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1023	2021-11-08 18:16:37	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	No		
1025	2021-11-08 17:53:19	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	No	Strong winds don't limit debris to a certain height. AND, The increase of infestation of rats around our home and subdivision in recent years is of great concern to us.
1027	2021-11-08 17:51:15	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	Not high enough
1030	2021-11-08 17:27:06	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	I drive trail bike to back end of otter lake (green head road trail) and see bags and blown litter outside otter lake grounds. Plus the trucking results in a lot of waste along 103
1031	2021-11-08 17:39:32	Yes	B3z1a7	Yes	Yes	No	
1032	2021-11-08 17:36:13	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1033	2021-11-08 17:33:51	Yes	B3z1a8	Yes	No		
1037	2021-11-08 17:12:36	Yes	B3T1E6	Yes	Yes	No	Highway 103 and others in the area are already littered with waste going to the landfill
1038	2021-11-08 16:58:28	Yes	B3Z0R8	Yes	No		
1040	2021-11-08 13:18:25	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	No		
1043	2021-11-08 16:48:59	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes	No	continue to see garbage bags/waste along highway 103
1044	2021-11-08 16:56:44	Yes	b3z0a1	Yes			
1047	2021-11-08 16:28:00	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	No		
1048	2021-11-08 16:16:45	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes	Yes	No	
1052	2021-11-08 15:41:38	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	No	high winds are common
1053	2021-11-08 15:40:09	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	Litter can and will blow above and below these nets.
1057	2021-11-08 15:04:19	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	
1059	2021-11-08 14:44:08	Yes	B3t1j1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1062	2021-11-08 14:15:18	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes			
1068	2021-11-08 13:00:55	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	The fences will catch some of the litter, but there will be a lot of 'blow by' and mess that is not contained.
1070	2021-11-08 12:36:46	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	
1072	2021-11-08 12:39:58	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	
1073	2021-11-08 12:21:31	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	
1074	2021-11-08 12:18:10	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	No	the 103 is covered with litter as it is, sure this fence will help but the trucks going to and from there cover the 103
1078	2021-11-08 11:46:27	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	It is just a bandaid, inadequate fencing

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1079	2021-11-08 09:58:17	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	I do not see a price for the mitigation measures.
1081	2021-11-08 11:40:16	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1083	2021-11-08 11:40:47	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1084	2021-11-08 11:15:49	No	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	In high winds these fences would be inadequate
1085	2021-11-08 11:05:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes		
1086	2021-11-08 08:45:33	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
1087	2021-11-08 10:29:46	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	Yes	No	Wind is not always horizontal
1091	2021-11-08 10:03:16	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1099	2021-11-08 08:47:09	Yes	B3T1G7	No			
1100	2021-11-08 08:54:54	No	B3Z1E1	Yes			
1106	2021-11-08 08:40:36	Yes	B3T0B1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1111	2021-11-08 08:30:29	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes		
1125	2021-11-08 06:56:53	Yes	b3t1h5	Yes	Yes	No	The by-products of solid waste deposited in a landfill has adverse effects on the surrounding environment and humans living closer to landfill sites. Sacrificing human living conditions, safety and the environment for money is WRONG.
1135	2021-11-07 21:03:45	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	Yes	No	
1136	2021-11-07 20:16:23	Yes	B3Z1B2	Yes	Yes	No	I am not convinced there will be adequate measures taken to control blowing debris and garbage. Currently on any given day garbage can be seen near the area and on roadways close to the facility. It is a disgrace that we live in this community that has to see this garbage on a daily basis. Tourists who travel the area are shocked at the amount of debris that is present.
1138	2021-11-07 21:04:04	Yes	b3t1g4				
1141	2021-11-07 20:16:43	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	Litter is also created in delivery. Also winds etc.
1146	2021-11-07 18:25:27	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	If you do not have the FEP/WSF, there will be more paper flowing in the air. Besides there should be no paper going in the land fill it should be recycled. As promised to the people of HRM and especially BLT
1148	2021-11-07 18:51:41	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	Have observed litter along roadways.
1150	2021-11-07 18:25:35	No	B3Z1A8	Yes	No		
1153	2021-11-07 17:54:03	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	
1154	2021-11-07 17:46:59	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes			
1156	2021-11-07 17:17:16	No	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	A promise was made to the community. Find a new site and stop trying to make this a dump
1162	2021-11-07 16:10:15	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	Yes	No	They look good, but I know the amount of blowing litter seems to have increased in recent years, and highway cleanup is not regular enough to keep the area looking clean.
1164	2021-11-07 13:15:12	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	No		
1166	2021-11-07 14:31:05	No	b3t1j1	Yes	Yes	No	reacting to incidents after they already happened instead of keeping proactive measures in place to incident risk.
1168	2021-11-07 15:09:03	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	
1171	2021-11-07 14:43:36	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	Fencing seems like it will catch some if the blowing litter but not all. It seems pointless to have staff increasing the litter collection when not stopping the FEP will not increase the litter in the first place.
1177	2021-11-07 14:08:08	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1179	2021-11-07 13:49:37	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	Yes	If it's properly resourced and monitored this addresses my concern. But since the proposed changes are a cost-cutting measure, I have my doubts that the mitigations will be properly resourced.
1180	2021-11-07 14:05:52	No	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1186	2021-11-07 13:25:33	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1195	2021-11-07 11:05:26	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	with increased litter potential for more blowing
1196	2021-11-07 10:50:23	Yes	B3T1E7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1204	2021-11-07 10:24:43	Yes	B3t1j6	Yes	Yes	No	
1207	2021-11-07 09:37:19	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	Yes	No	
1209	2021-11-07 09:00:42	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	Wind storms are significant, will harm waterways and the surrounding forest
1210	2021-11-07 09:18:16	Yes	b3t1j5	Yes	Yes		
1212	2021-11-07 08:47:48	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes	Yes		
1213	2021-11-07 08:02:00	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	Litter is going to spread around the fence during high wind events that are very common in this area
1218	2021-11-07 08:03:03	Yes	B3t1c1	Yes	Yes	No	No changes should be made
1220	2021-11-07 07:02:14	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	No	I see waste along highway 103 on a regular basis. The fences don't look high enough for when there is windy weather which happens often
1226	2021-11-07 06:19:12	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1227	2021-11-07 06:26:01	Yes	B3t1e9	Yes	Yes	No	
1228	2021-11-07 05:49:15	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1231	2021-11-03 16:47:38	Yes	B3Z1B3	Yes	Yes	No	
1234	2021-11-03 14:07:24	No	B3t0b1	Yes	Yes	No	
1235	2021-11-06 22:54:07	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	It's not enough
1237	2021-11-06 22:27:27	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	No		
1238	2021-11-06 22:11:58	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	No		
1243	2021-11-06 20:34:03	Yes	B3T1g3	Yes	Yes	No	It's not enough
1245	2021-11-06 20:38:08	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	Bad smell and rats in Timberlea area
1247	2021-11-06 20:16:52	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1248	2021-11-06 20:23:50	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	Yes	No	
1249	2021-11-06 19:44:30	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	Yes	No	So we're going to remove appropriate machinery and use additional staff time and additional fencing instead?? Doesn't seem appropriate and cleaning up after the fact doesn't prevent the problem. The only areas that get cleaned are motorways and yards but not wilderness areas.
1251	2021-11-06 19:16:43	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	Are there plans to hire more people to collect blown waste or will the same number of workers be expected to perform the job that now has more volume?
1255	2021-11-06 18:36:24	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Fences are not able to catch all wind blown debris. With front wind practices ceasing there will very likely be more debris that will be blown about.
1256	2021-11-06 18:45:14	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes			
1257	2021-11-06 18:22:14	Yes	B3t1g7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1262	2021-11-06 17:45:16	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1264	2021-11-06 16:55:43	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes		
1265	2021-11-06 17:28:55	Yes	B3t1j7	Yes	No		
1266	2021-11-06 17:02:44	Yes	B3t1h4	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents cannot be kept out with such mitigation
1267	2021-11-06 17:03:55	Yes	B3T1J8				
1269	2021-11-06 16:09:51	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	No	There is always garbage on the highway and dump access road now. It will only get worse.
1272	2021-11-06 16:10:29	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1277	2021-11-04 07:02:22	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	I am opposed to removing the FEP and WSF.



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1278	2021-11-04 12:01:56	No	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	No	
1283	2021-11-06 13:33:43	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
1284	2021-11-06 13:07:07	Yes	B3t1h9	No	No	No	
1286	2021-11-06 12:51:21	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	
1290	2021-11-06 11:43:02	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	No		
1291	2021-11-06 11:52:45	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	No		
1292	2021-11-06 11:38:55	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
1297	2021-11-06 11:07:59	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes			
1298	2021-11-06 09:29:17	No	B3z1a4	Yes	No		
1299	2021-11-06 09:27:10	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	No		
1303	2021-11-06 09:09:20	Yes	B3T1L2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1304	2021-11-06 09:00:32	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	Inadequate.
1305	2021-11-06 08:55:14	Yes	B3t1g9	Yes	Yes	No	Our winds are too strong and storms are too strong
1306	2021-11-06 08:29:50	Yes	b3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	Nets may not be maintained. paper items will blow over the nets
1307	2021-11-06 08:25:15	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1308	2021-11-06 07:40:06	Yes		Yes	No		
1311	2021-11-06 08:24:50	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1316	2021-11-05 21:45:07	Yes	B3T1J4	No			
1321	2021-11-06 06:58:26	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	No		
1326	2021-11-06 05:43:37	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes			
1327	2021-11-06 05:33:17	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	Keep front end processing
1330	2021-11-05 23:03:05	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1331	2021-11-05 21:57:28	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	Yes	No	Although cleanups happen along highway 103, there is often garbage along the roadway that blows off of trucks that have not secured their garbage properly.
1332	2021-11-05 22:30:16	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes	Yes		
1333	2021-11-05 21:49:28	Yes	B3t1c1	Yes	Yes	No	If this is to proceed as proposed I believe there should be scheduled checks conducted to see if the measures are indeed working to trap and collect the litter or if additional measures are warranted. If warranted additional action may be required to ensure additional litter is not accumulating on or off site
1334	2021-11-05 21:54:50	Yes	B3T1G3	No			
1335	2021-11-05 21:51:32	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1336	2021-11-05 21:33:28	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1337	2021-11-05 21:40:29	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	No		
1339	2021-11-05 21:05:42	No	B3T1H4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1340	2021-11-05 20:50:25	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	This will negatively impact the wildlife and environment around the landfill
1344	2021-11-05 20:04:54	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes			
1346	2021-11-05 19:28:58	Yes	B3Z4b8	Yes	Yes	No	On site impacts remain
1347	2021-11-05 16:24:45	No	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	Do I really need to explain why less blowing garbage is preferable to more blowing garbage?
1349	2021-11-05 18:58:14	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes	No		
1352	2021-11-05 18:45:51	Yes	B3t1e9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1357	2021-11-05 17:41:33	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1365	2021-11-05 17:06:31	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	Yes	No	Medium risk is not an acceptable risk and who assessed the risk management, was it an independent assesment
1366	2021-11-05 17:14:34	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	No		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1367	2021-11-05 16:33:44	Yes	B3t1k1	Yes	Yes	No	More trucks = more garbage. Pretty sure a strong wind doesn't care a fence. If you need to make this alteration, get a new site and stick to the agreement.
1372	2021-11-05 15:47:32	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	The more litter there is the greater the chance that some will get past the fences.
1374	2021-11-05 15:53:33	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	I don't think they will be sufficient
1377	2021-11-05 15:45:02	No	B3z1a1	Yes			
1379	2021-11-05 15:43:33	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	No	
1380	2021-11-05 15:34:32	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	Failure to account for high winds and higher altitude debris in a large open area.
1381	2021-11-05 15:39:24	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
1382	2021-11-05 15:28:06	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	
1386	2021-11-05 14:46:49	Yes	B3t0a4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1387	2021-11-05 14:30:49	Yes	B3Z0R6	Yes	Yes	No	I am assuming those working there already work at full capacity, adding extra cleaning measures in seems like it would take away from other aspects of their job. Also, why take this risk?
1389	2021-11-05 14:25:03	Yes	B3t1c8	Yes	Yes	No	
1391	2021-11-05 13:29:03	Yes	b3t1j7	Yes	Yes	No	loose paper waste picked up by prevailing winds will not be curbed by fencing alone
1392	2021-11-05 14:01:05	Yes	b3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	Frequency of cleaning
1393	2021-11-05 13:48:50	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	No		
1397	2021-11-05 13:27:25	No	B3t1j1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1398	2021-11-05 13:38:39	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1399	2021-11-05 12:39:08	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	Given the current evidence of litter ending up on Highway 103 and the site access road, how can the presence of additional on-site blowing litter have no significant effect on off-site litter?
1400	2021-11-05 13:08:19	Yes	B3T1G9	Yes	No		
1403	2021-11-05 12:45:46	Yes	b3t2k4	Yes	No		
1405	2021-11-05 11:53:21	Yes	B3t1g8	Yes	Yes	No	
1406	2021-11-05 11:32:38	Yes	b3t1h2	Yes	No		
1411	2021-11-05 10:47:19	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1413	2021-11-05 09:38:01	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	There is always litter on Hwy 103 near Exit 3 - we don't need more
1416	2021-11-04 11:40:18	No	B3Z1A2	Yes	Yes		
1417	2021-11-05 10:31:51	Yes		Yes			
1420	2021-11-05 09:52:16	No	B3Z1C1	Yes	Yes	No	
1421	2021-11-05 09:45:59	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1422	2021-11-05 09:48:23	Yes	B3t1g4	Yes	Yes	No	Rats
1425	2021-11-05 09:38:04	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes	No		
1429	2021-11-04 17:07:08	No	B3Z1A3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1433	2021-11-05 07:26:02	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes			
1437	2021-11-05 06:56:17	Yes	B3t1n9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1439	2021-11-05 05:54:48	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	More truck hauling garbage to site mean more garbage on the 103. I have never witnessed cleanup on the highway. Your comments above are very vague and not specific as to frequency.
1441	2021-11-05 06:12:23	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	There is litter all throw the woods I go back there all the time the fences don't do shit
1442	2021-11-05 06:12:13	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
1448	2021-11-05 05:33:41	Yes	B3t1h9	No			
1450	2021-11-05 05:08:27	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes			

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1451	2021-11-05 04:33:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Not measures we initially agreed to and no need to change current conditions. All promises have been broken. Will residents receive a significant reduction in property assessments/tax bills?
1453	2021-11-05 00:32:01	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	Yes	No	I don't think a fence can contain all litter in wind which can blow over it as effectively as it has been with the world class FEP/WSF
1457	2021-11-05 00:05:15	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	
1460	2021-11-04 22:39:01	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	No	
1461	2021-11-04 22:38:33	No	B3T1E5	Yes	No		
1467	2021-11-04 21:27:35	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	Ohhh wonderful. A mesh fence will solve all the problems in the windiest provinces in Canada. Give me a break
1469	2021-11-04 21:04:09	No	B3Z4P8	Yes	No		
1470	2021-11-04 20:44:31	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	Wind does not respect wind fencing
1471	2021-11-04 19:01:28	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	birds, rodents and animals can be attracted to this area
1473	2021-11-04 20:44:19	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	No		
1476	2021-11-04 18:53:37	Yes	b3t1h4	Yes	Yes	No	with the supposed increase in winds there will be a lot more chance for the debris to be lifted out of the compound
1477	2021-11-04 20:29:50	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
1478	2021-11-04 19:58:15	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	You should be following the agreement made when you built this.
1482	2021-11-04 19:55:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1484	2021-11-04 19:39:29	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	Yes		
1486	2021-11-04 19:38:26	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes	No		
1488	2021-11-04 19:19:14	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	No		
1489	2021-11-03 16:47:23	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	No		
1491	2021-11-04 19:03:04	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1495	2021-11-04 19:05:29	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	No		
1497	2021-11-04 18:58:28	Yes	B3t1c6	Yes	Yes	No	
1499	2021-11-04 18:59:44	Yes	B3T1C6	No			
1501	2021-11-04 18:19:48	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	No	Additional clean up measures do not prevent litter from blowing, and are simply a bandaid solution to a larger pollution issue. My concern is with blowing litter making it's way into nearby wilderness and waterways.
1502	2021-11-04 18:39:01	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	
1504	2021-11-04 18:31:01	Yes	B3T1G5				
1506	2021-11-04 18:05:59	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	Garbage can still blow over a fence
1507	2021-11-04 17:17:44	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1508	2021-11-04 17:53:34	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	
1509	2021-11-04 17:43:26	Yes	B3Z1B2	Yes	Yes	No	
1511	2021-11-04 17:35:31	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1512	2021-11-04 17:32:50	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	No		
1513	2021-11-04 17:12:24	Yes	B3t1g5	Yes	Yes	No	Because it's not good enough and there's nothing that you can do about the smell on a hot day
1514	2021-11-04 17:10:56	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1516	2021-11-04 16:49:10	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	Trash bags are already frequently spilled on the off ramps and overpass and blow everywhere. This will make it worse
1517	2021-11-04 16:42:28	Yes	B3t1g3	Yes	Yes	No	
1519	2021-11-04 15:32:44	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1521	2021-11-04 16:09:33	No	B3z1n2	No			
1523	2021-11-04 16:02:08	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes		
1525	2021-11-04 16:02:40	Yes	B3t1j3	Yes			

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1526	2021-11-04 15:45:33	Yes	b3z0b7	Yes	Yes	No	This will not stop litter
1527	2021-11-04 15:42:38	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	No	This landfill has passed the date as to when we were told that it would shut down and you are also breaking another promise that you would not be charging the original deal on the landfill.
1528	2021-11-04 15:50:58	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1530	2021-11-04 12:00:25	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	The amount of high winds will create debris no matter the height of fencing. This only attracts more wildlife to the area.
1533	2021-11-04 15:28:41	Yes	B3T1N7	No			
1534	2021-11-04 15:23:08	Yes	b3t1p1	Yes	Yes	No	put more measures in place
1540	2021-11-04 14:09:42	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	It is our concern with what will take place after this facility and the waste process will add to our community.
1542	2021-11-04 14:13:21	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	Looking back at the Sackville landfill there was always visible garbage, with no restriction to garbage collection this will be an issue
1543	2021-11-04 14:20:17	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1546	2021-11-04 14:07:47	Yes	B3t1g5	Yes	No		
1548	2021-11-04 12:41:11	No	B3Z0C6	Yes	Yes	No	if there is no impact and change to the blowing litter why then deactivating the FEP / WSF which protect our environment from burring organic waste, banned and unacceptable substance
1549	2021-11-04 13:38:21	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes	Yes		
1550	2021-11-03 15:47:25	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes			
1551	2021-11-04 12:47:17	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	There's blowing litter now in the area and along the highway, in both directions. Additional fencing will not stop all the blowing litter. Full time litter collection may help.
1552	2021-11-04 13:19:48	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes	Yes	No	The volume of litter blowing higher than the fence
1553	2021-11-04 13:01:16	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1554	2021-11-04 13:19:04	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	
1555	2021-11-04 13:23:55	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	No		
1558	2021-11-04 13:17:55	No	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1560	2021-11-04 12:48:26	Yes	b3t1h6	Yes	Yes	No	
1561	2021-11-04 12:45:07	No	b3z4m7	Yes			
1562	2021-11-04 12:45:14	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes			
1563	2021-11-04 12:19:45	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1565	2021-11-04 12:24:59	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	No		
1566	2021-11-04 12:10:16	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	Portable fencing does not seem like a long time solution
1567	2021-11-04 12:08:51	Yes	b3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	
1570	2021-11-04 11:57:25	Yes	B3t1e1	Yes			
1571	2021-11-04 11:52:08	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes	No		
1573	2021-11-04 11:42:15	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1574	2021-11-04 11:46:39	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes	Yes	No	There are gaping holes at each end of every panel of that fence. Litter will easily blow through.
1575	2021-11-04 11:36:59	Yes	B3T1G2	Yes	Yes	No	
1576	2021-11-04 11:40:29	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
1578	2021-11-04 11:27:13	Yes	B3t1g6	Yes	Yes	No	I do not want the FEP/WSF deactivated
1579	2021-11-04 11:35:28	No	B3t1n8	Yes			
1580	2021-11-04 11:30:27	Yes	b3z1a2				
1586	2021-11-04 10:48:09	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1587	2021-11-04 10:41:17	Yes	B3t1j3	Yes	Yes	No	Walking off the 103 there is plastic in the woods
1588	2021-11-04 08:59:10	Yes	B3t1h7	Yes	No		



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1591	2021-11-04 09:07:25	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	This is already inadequate. What about what animals and birds will have freer access to that they will carry off? Without the sorting, there will be more accessible garbage for the wild life which will increase their activity which is unacceptable now!
1592	2021-11-04 10:24:08	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes		
1593	2021-11-04 10:18:32	Yes	b3t1j7	Yes	Yes	No	
1597	2021-11-04 09:39:27	No	B3Z1B8	Yes	No		
1599	2021-11-04 10:04:29	Yes	B3T1L2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1602	2021-11-04 10:00:48	Yes	b3t1h1	Yes			
1604	2021-11-04 09:30:59	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	Loss of jobs, sorting not managed
1606	2021-11-04 09:26:11	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	You will be increasing the amount of potential litter going into the facility. There is no possible way there will not be more blowing litter.
1607	2021-11-04 09:26:37	Yes	B3z1a1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1608	2021-11-04 09:22:40	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	Catch fencing only works if it is maintained/and the debris is routinely removed.
1610	2021-11-04 09:28:16	Yes	B3T1P1	No			
1611	2021-11-04 09:18:14	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	How many are additional litter collections?
1612	2021-11-04 09:11:23	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	These measures are already in place, but we continuously see garbage and waste along the 103 from Halifax and approaching Otter Lake. I have personally called while watching waste blowing off of garbage trucks and was passed from person to person passing the blame instead of solving the issue. I am confident this will only increase significantly and our community will suffer.
1614	2021-11-04 09:13:51	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	
1615	2021-11-04 09:18:19	Yes	b3t1e5	Yes	Yes	No	
1617	2021-11-04 09:00:39	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1618	2021-11-04 09:11:00	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	No		
1619	2021-11-04 09:06:19	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	
1625	2021-11-04 08:59:55	No	B3z4b8	Yes			
1627	2021-11-04 08:54:25	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1628	2021-11-04 08:55:29	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes			
1630	2021-11-04 08:37:38	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1632	2021-11-04 08:40:01	Yes	B3t0a6	Yes	No		
1633	2021-11-04 08:42:48	Yes	B3t1k1	Yes			
1635	2021-11-04 08:02:36	No	B3Z4P2	Yes	Yes	No	Whilst a good reactive measure, proactive designs such as a protective pocket through soil berms or pseudo snow fences to create calm air pockets.
1640	2021-11-04 08:24:38	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	
1643	2021-11-04 08:22:13	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	No		
1645	2021-11-04 08:12:52	No	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	N
1646	2021-11-04 08:04:35	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	No	
1647	2021-11-04 08:04:52	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	
1649	2021-11-04 08:03:47	Yes	B3t1n7	No			
1653	2021-11-04 07:09:27	No	B3Z0B7	Yes	Yes	No	There is garbage and debris all over the roads today
1654	2021-11-04 07:12:12	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
1657	2021-11-04 06:58:21	Yes	B3T1G2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1661	2021-11-04 05:54:23	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	It is creating solutions for problems that don't have to be made. Support and improve the current proven system instead of adding fencing and footwork.

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1662	2021-11-04 06:16:57	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	The amount of wind blown litter is disgusting along the 103 and even one more truck per day increases the eyesore I see travelling everyday. This highway is not cleaned up often enough as it stands now.
1663	2021-11-04 06:25:23	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes	No		
1665	2021-11-04 05:59:57	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	No	Portable fencing and having staff pick up excess litter is not a long term solution. With the winds in this area it will be impossible to collect all of it.
1666	2021-11-04 06:08:58	Yes	B3t1J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1669	2021-11-04 05:35:32	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	The trash is bad now I don't expect it will get better
1673	2021-11-04 04:03:06	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	Seeing this kind of additional measure taken in other areas, blowing litter seems to still occur with frequency
1674	2021-11-03 23:29:36	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	No		
1676	2021-11-03 23:06:06	Yes	B3t1j1	Yes	Yes	No	And increase in unsegregated and unsorted waste will lead to environmental impacts to the surrounding wildlife population
1678	2021-11-03 22:44:00	Yes	B3t0a6	Yes	Yes	No	Does not acknowledge extra blowing of trash to even address
1685	2021-11-03 22:11:38	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1686	2021-11-03 22:03:40	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	No	Not good enough
1687	2021-11-03 21:45:00	Yes	B3T1k1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1688	2021-11-03 21:28:30	No	B3z0e3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1690	2021-11-03 21:54:38	Yes	B3t1e1	Yes	Yes	No	
1698	2021-11-03 21:19:14	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	The deactivation will still happen.
1700	2021-11-03 21:18:46	Yes	B3z0b6	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1701	2021-11-03 21:09:16	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	
1702	2021-11-03 21:06:16	No	B3Z4B8	Yes			Not interested
1704	2021-11-03 20:34:32	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	Litter is not a problem now, but there is great potential that it will be if the present system is changed.
1705	2021-11-03 21:00:21	Yes	B3z0e2	Yes			
1707	2021-11-03 20:42:09	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	The wind may blow the litter above the fencing
1708	2021-11-03 20:45:00	No	B3T1h1	Yes	No		
1709	2021-11-03 20:44:31	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	No		
1711	2021-11-03 20:35:45	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	No	More than likely the blowing material could have been recycled.
1713	2021-11-03 20:37:12	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes	Yes	No	
1714	2021-11-03 20:17:03	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1717	2021-11-03 20:30:25	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	No	
1719	2021-11-03 20:22:33	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	
1723	2021-11-03 20:09:36	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	No	
1724	2021-11-03 19:56:33	Yes	B3T2C7	Yes	No		
1725	2021-11-03 20:05:26	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	
1727	2021-11-03 19:19:43	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	No		
1729	2021-11-03 19:34:09	No	B3z0b7	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1730	2021-11-03 19:28:16	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	No		
1733	2021-11-03 19:18:41	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1736	2021-11-03 18:59:16	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	No	Keep the front sorting as is... no reduction ...
1737	2021-11-03 19:17:06	Yes	B3t0b1	Yes			
1739	2021-11-03 19:06:12	Yes	B3t1c6	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1743	2021-11-03 18:49:09	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes	No	More trucks equal more litter, worker shortage sometimes can impact ability to clean this up, rats out number workers which creates a bigger problem not to mention weather
1744	2021-11-03 18:57:02	Yes	B3t1n5	Yes	Yes	No	The amount of litter on the 103 and Timberlea village parkway has not been reduced
1745	2021-11-03 19:06:36	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes			
1746	2021-11-03 18:47:19	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	Most of the litter comes from vehicles travelling to the site without the load properly secured
1748	2021-11-03 18:51:42	Yes	B3T1H5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1749	2021-11-03 18:54:05	Yes	B3T1e2	Yes	Yes	No	
1750	2021-11-03 18:41:25	Yes	B3t1n9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1751	2021-11-03 18:41:30	Yes	B3t2c7	Yes	No		
1755	2021-11-03 18:21:33	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	
1756	2021-11-03 18:25:28	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1758	2021-11-03 18:01:26	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1760	2021-11-03 18:00:28	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1762	2021-11-03 18:03:46	No	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	It's the amount of litter that collects due to people travelling the highway to get there. It flies off the vehicles on the way to the dump, littering nearby Greenspan. They should have to ensure cleanliness between exits 2 and 3 knowing it's mostly their patrons it's caused by.
1768	2021-11-03 17:18:17	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes	No	If the recyclables were sorted out as they are now there would not be additional items
1769	2021-11-03 17:31:01	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	Still going to see litter
1770	2021-11-03 17:30:19	Yes	B3t1g2	Yes	Yes	No	There's still going to be litter blowing around!
1771	2021-11-03 17:23:02	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	No		
1773	2021-11-03 17:25:28	Yes	b3t1g8	Yes	Yes	No	
1777	2021-11-03 17:02:51	Yes	B3T1G3	No			
1780	2021-11-03 16:41:40	No	B3T1G4	Yes	No		
1784	2021-11-03 16:30:37	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	No	Dont believe you/Doesnt look sufficient
1787	2021-11-03 16:24:36	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1790	2021-11-03 15:18:48	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	Yes	Litter can blow over/past the fence and I have little confidence that it is being properly handled.
1791	2021-11-03 15:48:28	Yes	b3t1c6	Yes	Yes	No	Animals will still carry litter
1792	2021-11-03 15:54:37	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes			
1794	2021-11-03 15:02:31	Yes	B3T1E6	Yes	Yes	No	Fencing will not catch ALL litter. P
1796	2021-11-03 15:29:10	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1798	2021-11-03 15:24:18	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes			
1799	2021-11-03 15:11:55	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	Adding waste means adding more than just "blowing litter"
1802	2021-11-03 15:06:44	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	
1807	2021-11-03 14:48:59	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	No		
1808	2021-11-03 14:48:41	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	No	
1809	2021-11-03 14:43:40	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	Can still easily blow out more litter into surrounding area
1813	2021-11-03 14:09:13	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	I live in surrounding community and there is an issue with blowing litter on the access road and all down the 103 now, without increased traffic. Higher fencing will not collect the litter that escapes en route which will be undoubtedly more with an increase in traffic.
1815	2021-11-03 14:25:59	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	
1819	2021-11-03 14:10:05	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	No	Still worry that there will be more blowing garbage

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Blowing Litter							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with additional blowing litter?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1820	2021-11-03 13:05:14	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1821	2021-11-03 13:05:04	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Are you kidding me!!! Crap is falling out of the trucks before they get to the facility.
1822	2021-11-03 12:16:49	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	No	
1823	2021-11-03 13:04:31	Yes	B3Z4M2	Yes	Yes	No	Will this really work?
1824	2021-11-03 12:42:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	Front end staff can now identify hazardous items, this will not be the case with mitigation methods discribed
1825	2021-11-03 12:23:10	Yes	B3t1e3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1826	2021-11-03 12:09:07	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1827	2021-11-03 12:23:59	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	No		
1828	2021-11-03 12:00:58	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	I'm not interested in "mitigation". HRM promised to maintain the waste facility at a very high level, and I expect HRM to keep its promise to the community. We will not need "mitigation" if HRM does not downgrade the quality level with which it has been running the facility. I DO NOT AGREE WITH THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS.
1829	2021-11-03 10:32:33	No	B3Z1B1	Yes	Yes	No	I do not trust that additional fencing will be put in place.
1830	2021-11-03 10:33:12	No	B3Z0C6	Yes	Yes	No	With the right wind conditions, litter can blow very high.
1831	2021-11-03 11:02:46	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1832	2021-11-03 10:55:01	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes		
1833	2021-11-03 09:50:24	Yes	B3Y1J4	Yes	Yes	No	There would be no issue if the present measures are kept in place
1834	2021-11-02 14:13:03	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	That is great FOR THE SITE AND APPEARS TO WORK, BUT THE TRUCK HAULING THE TRASH are another issue

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
5	2021-12-04 13:27:05	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes			
6	2021-12-04 12:39:48	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	
8	2021-12-04 07:07:42	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	Yes	No	
9	2021-12-04 06:33:44	Yes	B3t1g9	Yes			
10	2021-12-04 02:33:16	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	If birds think there is food, they will eventually get use to the decoys.
11	2021-12-03 21:37:38	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
19	2021-12-03 20:20:59	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	No		
20	2021-12-03 19:08:21	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	If the Otter Lake FEP/WSF is deactivated there will more birds! Old fashioned dumps bring more birds!
21	2021-12-03 19:54:38	Yes	B3Z4N4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
22	2021-11-06 14:53:34	No	B3Z4N4	Yes	No		
31	2021-12-03 12:59:41	No	B3z4p7	Yes	Yes	No	Why would I want this kind of noise pollution and light pollution in my backyard?
32	2021-12-03 12:35:11	No	B3Z1A7	Yes	Yes	No	The mere presence of so many gulls on the caps indicates that any possible exposure of wet/edible garbage will attract more.
33	2021-11-13 15:08:28	Yes	B3Z0A2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
34	2021-12-03 11:45:55	Yes	B3Z0E3	Yes	No		
39	2021-12-03 11:03:31	No	B3Z4B8	Yes	No		
43	2021-12-03 10:19:56	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
45	2021-12-03 09:58:37	Yes	B3Z4M2	Yes	Yes		
49	2021-11-04 09:54:17	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	No	No	Doesn't address
60	2021-12-02 22:25:31	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
61	2021-12-02 20:55:00	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
62	2021-12-02 19:31:27	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	No		
65	2021-11-03 17:45:52	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes			
69	2021-12-02 08:44:35	Yes	b3t1g1	Yes	Yes	No	with the introduction of raw organics being dumped at the face of the cell the resultant increase of vectors will overwhelm, to a large degree, the suggested mitigative measures as shown at the Guysborough landfill . And which is one of the acceptable comparable landfills used in the dillon study. igative measures ,
74	2021-12-01 17:41:09	No	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes		
76	2021-12-01 15:43:35	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	Compost in the waste stream will attract birds
80	2021-12-01 07:00:50	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes	Yes	No	If birds are there rodents will also be there and Timberlea has rodent problem.
82	2021-11-30 20:25:42	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	No		
88	2021-11-30 18:37:55	No	B3Z4P7	Yes	Yes	No	You are presenting no evidence that this mitigation will work.
90	2021-11-30 16:46:22	Yes	B3Z1C1	Yes			
99	2021-11-29 21:36:15	Yes		Yes	Yes	No	
101	2021-11-29 19:39:20	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes			
107	2021-11-29 11:08:59	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	Yes	No	By not removing waste and operating the garbage you will be making more organic waste for animals to eat.
108	2021-11-29 10:32:18	Yes	B3t1g6	Yes	No		
109	2021-11-29 09:58:39	Yes	B3t1g7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
110	2021-11-29 09:21:21	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
115	2021-11-28 11:44:47	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	No	I do not believe, or have confidence in, the populace to properly care and separate their individual waste streams. The front end processor provides an extra inspection point to observe potential infractions and mitigate illegal or inappropriate dumping of wastes in the landfill. Once the front end processor is removed from service, it is foolish to believe it will ever be reinstated



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
117	2021-11-28 10:06:33	No	B3z1c2	Yes	Yes	No	Shouldn't be any organic right?
119	2021-11-28 06:53:55	No	B320C6	Yes	Yes	No	Untreated or under treated compostables naturally attracts birds, rodents and animals but it is not 'natural' for them to use this as a primary food source
120	2021-11-27 21:32:29	Yes	b3z0a1	Yes	Yes	No	
121	2021-11-27 18:08:27	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	Yes	No	
130	2021-11-27 06:08:42	No	B3T1H6	Yes			
131	2021-11-26 18:29:35	Yes		Yes			
132	2021-11-26 15:49:04	No	B3Z1E1	Yes	Yes	No	As mitigation may partially deal with this issue, it will still leave a substantial concern over proliferation of birds at the site in my opinion.
156	2021-11-25 17:57:02	Yes		Yes			
157	2021-11-25 17:25:24	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	It doesn't matter how many measures you put in place your always going to have birds more garbage means a lot more birds and rodents.
161	2021-11-25 14:32:29	No	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	I don't trust the mitigation methods
168	2021-11-25 11:07:39	Yes	B3T1E7	Yes	Yes	No	A plant design was agreed to when the landfill was permitted. Live by the commitments. Understand there is a cost to that.
169	2021-11-25 11:05:59	Yes	B3Z1N4	Yes	Yes	No	n
170	2021-11-25 10:08:36	Yes	b3t1h1	Yes	Yes	No	what about the rats
172	2021-11-25 09:54:02	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	I don't see how the report can conclude that more birds won't carry more garbage off-site, or that the mitigation strategies will be successful
181	2021-11-25 07:26:32	Yes	B3t1n5	Yes	Yes	No	If there is food, they will come, regardless of attempts to prevent this
185	2021-11-25 07:18:48	Yes	B3t0a6	Yes	Yes	No	
187	2021-11-25 06:42:33	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	
192	2021-11-25 05:08:05	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes	Yes	No	
198	2021-11-24 12:21:32	Yes	B3T1L2	Yes	Yes	No	HRM signed an agreement - Without FEP/WSF there is nothing to ensure banned & unacceptable waste material will not end up in the landfill. HRM is unable to guarantee these proposed measures will be effective. Culling is unacceptable. How long until HRM decides that, like the FEP, these measures are no longer necessary.
201	2021-11-24 11:54:28	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/WSF active.
203	2021-11-24 09:04:21	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	No		
206	2021-11-14 07:19:32	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	Since the landfill opened, I have noticed some odours on certain days as well as an increase in bird populations, namely crows and seagulls which creates bird droppings on my property and vehicles a lot more often than the past!
210	2021-11-15 19:26:29	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	The organic material will draw scavenger birds that will adapt to these measures and they will carry diseases and will cause destruction of our landfill.
211	2021-11-23 19:29:41	Yes	B3z4b8	Yes			
216	2021-11-23 15:55:50	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/WSF active
217	2021-11-23 15:20:26	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
218	2021-11-23 15:08:55	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/WSF active.

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
219	2021-11-03 14:41:21	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	
221	2021-11-23 13:47:47	Yes	B3T1N6	No			
224	2021-11-23 12:32:20	No	B3T1H8	Yes			
236	2021-11-22 18:40:08	Yes	B3t1k1	Yes	Yes	No	
237	2021-11-22 18:02:45	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	More mess, litter and garbage equals more birds....no way to avoid it.
239	2021-11-22 16:06:06	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	Removal of the two garbage processing features that have worked beautifully will mean more raw organics to attract clever rodents, birds, flies etc Mitigation measures are not enough.
241	2021-11-22 15:52:47	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
245	2021-11-22 15:00:25	Yes	B3t1l2	Yes			
246	2021-11-22 14:31:14	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	No		
252	2021-11-22 10:29:13	Yes	b3z1b6	Yes	Yes	No	3rd party consultation does not appear to have been completed.
256	2021-11-22 08:51:00	Yes	B3T1E1	Yes			
261	2021-11-21 21:23:53	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	If organics are present I do not feel the measures will deter gulls from feeding at the site. They will habituate to the noise makers and will use the landfill as a food source.
262	2021-11-21 19:24:14	Yes	B3T1K8	Yes	No		
271	2021-11-21 07:36:12	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
273	2021-11-21 06:17:22	Yes					
274	2021-11-21 05:29:13	Yes	B3z0e3	Yes			
280	2021-11-20 15:12:21	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	Yes	No	
282	2021-11-20 13:50:38	No	B3T1e9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
283	2021-11-20 13:45:48	Yes	b3z1b5	Yes	Yes	No	When birds eat contaminated or poisonous items, plastics etc., it is a problem for birds.
286	2021-11-20 06:33:59	Yes	B3t1j9	Yes	Yes	No	How many birds are culled? What are the federal regulations for culling?? None of this is explained!
290	2021-11-19 20:39:47	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	No	Please clarify what this means!
291	2021-11-19 20:19:27	No	B3Z4M8	Yes	Yes		
294	2021-11-19 18:47:39	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	Yes	No	
295	2021-11-05 07:00:55	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes			
296	2021-11-19 18:11:41	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	No		
298	2021-11-19 17:29:57	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	Yes	No	I think taking measures to address it are good. I have no way of knowing if these measures address the issue or not but the answer options or lack of make me suspicious
299	2021-11-19 17:18:58	Yes	B3t1k8	Yes	Yes	No	Birds are attracted to food no mitigation is 100% successful
303	2021-11-19 13:43:02	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	Yes	No	Bird population has increased in Timberlea and is causing lots of poop issues on our property
305	2021-11-18 08:56:37	Yes		Yes	Yes		
308	2021-11-19 13:17:59	No	b3z1a1	Yes	No	No	
311	2021-11-19 12:09:06	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	Don't agree in this context
318	2021-11-19 10:48:40	Yes	B3Z0B9	Yes			
319	2021-11-19 09:50:39	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
320	2021-11-04 10:08:10	Yes	B3t1h7	Yes	Yes	No	The bird population will increase with more access even with the measures in place.
321	2021-11-19 10:09:52	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	No		
322	2021-11-19 09:54:14	Yes	B3Z0C6	Yes	Yes	No	With smell and compost birds and rodents will come. they will get within feet of equipment
323	2021-11-19 09:53:08	Yes	B3t1g6	Yes	Yes	No	Birds are wild and you have no control

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
324	2021-11-05 19:00:42	No	B3z1a4	Yes	Yes	No	There is an organic reduction process already in place. Why create and try to mitigate a problem when one does not exist now.
325	2021-11-19 09:42:37	Yes	b3t1j3				
326	2021-11-19 09:37:22	Yes	B3t1c1	Yes	Yes	No	We bought a house here for what was in place. Had I know it would be a full on dump we would have bought elsewhere.
327	2021-11-19 09:32:40	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	Yes	No	The FEP & WSF were critical components of the contract the communities accepted. The tactics used by HRM in attempt to remove the FEP & WSF are abhorrent and not in good faith. The format of this "survey" is a disgusting reminder of the lengths policy makers will take.
333	2021-11-19 08:29:03	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	My concerns are related to the impact organic material (untreated) that will be included in the landfill and the impact on the landfill, groundwater, air quality, etc. The current system seems to do a good job at addressing these concerns and as such, I would support keeping this system
334	2021-11-19 08:01:46	Yes	b3t1c1	Yes	Yes		
335	2021-11-19 07:38:52	No	B3z1a3	Yes	Yes	No	Increased organic matter will cause increase bird attraction
337	2021-11-18 19:34:24	Yes	B3T1c1	Yes	Yes	No	The photo of birds on a capped landfill is irrelevant. The plan of vector controlled efforts doesn't spell out who will be responsible for ensuring effective prevention of bird and who The oversight body would be. More details are needed.
338	2021-11-18 19:12:19	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	No		
339	2021-11-18 15:25:16	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	No		
341	2021-11-18 13:22:55	Yes	B3Z1A4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
345	2021-11-18 07:07:28	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	Only time will tell if this will be adequate and if not ..... then what?
346	2021-11-18 06:20:03	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
347	2021-11-18 03:50:21	No	B3z0e3	Yes	Yes	No	This is false information. The Guysborough facility is evidence of what happens when organic waste is buried in the landfill. The FEP/WSF should be operated at the landfill.
355	2021-11-17 18:26:33	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes			
357	2021-11-13 22:48:08	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
360	2021-11-17 16:39:08	Yes	B3t1g5	Yes	Yes	No	Everyday at dusk approximately 10000 birds fly from the landfill elsewhere leaving a trail of bird poop along the entire way
363	2021-11-17 15:28:28	Yes	B3T2K1	Yes	Yes		Shouldn't be changing anything, leave it the way it is
365	2021-11-16 12:57:50	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	More organic material will result in more birds and there will be more organic material.
367	2021-11-17 12:59:17	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	How can you say there are no off-site concerns when birds will do what birds do while flying in the area. There is no way you can take in organics and not have increased bird population in the area.
370	2021-11-17 12:04:06	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste does not belong in a landfill
371	2021-11-17 11:14:48	No	B3Z1C2	Yes	No		
376	2021-11-17 05:51:40	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	These measures will be inadequate if the front end is shut down.
377	2021-11-17 05:55:25	No	b3t1e1	Yes	Yes	No	
383	2021-11-16 20:49:29	Yes	B3t1j1	Yes	Yes	No	
385	2021-11-16 20:37:49	No	B3z4e9	Yes	Yes	No	Killing or scaring birds is reactive. Pre sorting prevents organic waste in the first place which prevents bird attraction
386	2021-11-04 21:17:46	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	No	Untreated organic compost garbage can attract more birds
390	2021-11-16 19:52:37	No	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
393	2021-11-16 15:24:34	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	No		
399	2021-11-16 14:36:36	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes			

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
400	2021-11-16 14:22:06	Yes	B3Z1A2	Yes			
401	2021-11-16 13:51:53	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	No	if organics go untreated, more and more birds and rats will be attracted
406	2021-11-15 16:24:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	"Increased frequency", "Emphasis on minimizing size"..... There is nothing tangible here. Specific actions/timelines please.
408	2021-11-12 11:37:48	Yes	B3Z4N4	Yes	Yes	No	
414	2021-11-16 10:55:27	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	New changes will impact more birds and wildlife
415	2021-11-09 10:20:20	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	I've lived here 15 years. We didn't agree to a dump. We've been lied to and are being manipulated to accept this.
416	2021-11-16 09:46:15	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	Yes	No	birds are still going to gather there.
417	2021-11-16 09:33:37	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes			
424	2021-11-16 07:21:05	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	Concerned about harm to bird life
426	2021-11-16 06:54:36	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	No		
430	2021-11-16 00:04:52	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	Why would I accept medium risk. Leave the system that is in place remain unless there is something better
431	2021-11-15 23:20:55	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes			
434	2021-11-09 13:32:39	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
435	2021-11-15 21:26:49	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	Yes	No	
437	2021-11-15 21:17:27	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	It is not proven to work
438	2021-11-15 20:50:10	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	Birds are always a concern along with rats
440	2021-11-15 20:07:07	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	Though you probably will not listen to this or write it down anywhere I am very concerned about rats and other things that will be digging in the garbage that is not sorted
441	2021-11-15 19:26:51	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
446	2021-11-15 19:21:29	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes			
450	2021-11-15 19:12:13	No	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes		
452	2021-11-15 18:47:18	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
455	2021-11-15 17:56:20	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	No		
458	2021-11-15 16:55:07	Yes	B3T1K7				
461	2021-11-15 15:55:27	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	Yes	No	
462	2021-11-15 15:50:42	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	No		
464	2021-11-15 15:25:06	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	We will bury it more frequently may help with the birds as a symptom, but it's still allowing the waste management facility to effectively turn into a truck and dump.
465	2021-11-15 15:35:49	Yes		Yes	Yes		
466	2021-11-15 15:32:08	No	B3Z0P7	Yes			
471	2021-11-15 12:25:46	No	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	No measures against animal incursions into the site are perfect, and the combination of that inevitability plus increased risk of contamination makes their presence a bigger threat to the environment.
473	2021-11-15 12:31:09	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	No	
480	2021-11-15 10:39:20	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	Not enough detail in your proposed solution to address concerns. More untreated/unsorted trash = more birds/rodents
481	2021-11-15 10:56:31	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	
484	2021-11-04 11:52:49	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	Yes	No	WE HAVE SEEN AN INCREASE IN SEAGULLS SINCE THE LANDFILL BEGAN (NEVER HAD ANY BEFORE). BELIEVE IT CAN ONLY INCREASE.
485	2021-11-15 10:05:04	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
486	2021-11-15 08:43:10	No	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	No	Losing the Front-End Processor may increase the amount of food waste disposed of in the landfill. The smell this generates may attract more birds to the area. The measures above work now, but for the limited number of birds present, but there is no evidence that this will be enough if bird populations increase.
489	2021-11-15 09:21:03	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes			
495	2021-11-15 06:32:53	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes			
500	2021-11-15 05:27:21	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	If the front end processing stops, I do not feel these measures will do enough to keep the birds away from the landfill
506	2021-11-14 21:35:47	No	B3Z1A1	Yes			
516	2021-11-14 17:12:19	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	Yes	na
528	2021-11-14 12:38:56	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	No		
536	2021-11-14 10:25:11	Yes	B3x0b7	Yes	Yes	No	There wouldn't be a medium risk if you maintained the current system.
546	2021-11-14 07:10:17	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	No		
547	2021-11-14 06:57:28	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	No		
559	2021-11-13 23:27:04	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	No		
560	2021-11-13 23:19:28	No	B3Z4P2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
564	2021-11-13 20:40:52	No	B3Z0R3	Yes	Yes	No	The proposed removal of the system at the facility would exponentially increase bird activity, which would then increase potential for harmful cross contamination in the bird community of illness.
566	2021-11-13 20:39:22	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	Seagulls will still be attracted and end up in the community
567	2021-11-13 20:30:54	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	No		
571	2021-11-13 17:40:32	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	Broken promise
572	2021-11-13 18:00:19	Yes	B3z1e1	Yes	No		
574	2021-11-13 17:18:43	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	We have already seen a significant increase in crows, geese, mice and rats?
575	2021-11-13 16:53:34	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	Yes	No	Plane and simple, you can't control what birds do, especially with the increased numbers that will be attracted to the area.
583	2021-11-04 08:58:52	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	
593	2021-11-13 11:16:36	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
597	2021-11-13 11:05:13	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes	Yes	No	Very few birds congregate at the site because of the processes currently in place. When birds feed at conventional landfill sites they carry garbage to other places and can become infected by zoonotic pathogens in the organic waste and act as carriers.
600	2021-11-13 10:00:56	Yes	B3z1a9	Yes	Yes	No	
604	2021-11-13 09:00:42	Yes	B3t1j7	Yes	Yes	No	The current management method is successful we should not change
606	2021-11-13 08:52:45	Yes	B3z1a2	Yes	Yes	No	
610	2021-11-13 08:24:03	Yes	B3Z0R8	Yes	Yes	No	Current measures are ineffective. It won't improve with proposed changes
611	2021-11-13 08:38:30	Yes	B3t1h8	No			
612	2021-11-04 16:57:56	Yes	b3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	the problem already exists. proposed changes will not make it better
626	2021-11-13 04:20:39	No	B3z1b5	Yes	Yes		
627	2021-11-13 00:16:22	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	Birds are not the only animals that cause problems rats do
629	2021-11-12 22:56:37	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	Birds are attracted to dumps.
631	2021-11-12 22:01:33	No	B3Z4P2	Yes	Yes	No	Birds and other pests will eventually ignore the Bird and vector controls
633	2021-11-12 21:35:21	Yes	B3T1h8	Yes	Yes	Yes	



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
634	2021-11-12 21:34:14	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
643	2021-11-12 19:50:07	No	B3Z4N8	Yes	Yes	No	
646	2021-11-12 19:10:50	Yes	B3Z1A4	Yes	Yes	No	Because they do not work as I've seen first hand in St. John's City dump in NL
652	2021-11-12 17:55:29	Yes	B3T1h8	Yes	Yes	No	Birds and other animals will eventually find a way into the landfill
656	2021-11-12 18:03:42	Yes	B3T0a5	Yes	Yes	No	
657	2021-11-12 17:30:49	Yes	B3Z1P6	Yes			
659	2021-11-09 20:27:04	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	concerned that birds will come into contact with trash and bring it into the community
667	2021-11-06 19:19:20	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	Again these increased mitigation measures will require more costs and staff. When continuing with the FED would not require these additional measure
670	2021-11-05 10:25:41	Yes	B3T0b1	Yes	Yes	No	
671	2021-11-12 14:29:04	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	No	It won't control the Rat population that has overrun the Timberlea area.
672	2021-11-12 14:21:08	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	Yes	No	
674	2021-11-12 13:27:53	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	It doesn't address the stench
676	2021-11-12 13:29:39	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	Presorting is essential to decreasing the attractiveness of landfill to birds
680	2021-11-12 13:13:46	Yes	B3T1h8	Yes			
681	2021-11-12 13:01:10	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	Problematic at best
682	2021-11-12 12:51:55	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes			
683	2021-11-12 12:22:17	Yes	B3Z1a4	Yes	No		
684	2021-11-12 12:30:01	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes			
686	2021-11-12 11:39:54	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	Yes	No	Again, you take checks and balances away and this increases then what? There is a reason these were put in place
691	2021-11-12 11:31:45	No	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	No	Those are the cheaper/bare minimum options. There has not been a study to see how effective it will be without the other measures in place.
694	2021-11-12 11:33:06	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes			
697	2021-11-12 10:51:31	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	I am unconvinced.
700	2021-11-12 10:32:00	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	The birds at the Guysborough landfill indicate that this will still be a problem
703	2021-11-12 09:55:11	No	B3Z0r5	Yes	Yes	No	These Scavenger Birds will go to Brunello GCC and surrounding area
705	2021-11-12 09:39:46	Yes	B3Z1a3	Yes	Yes		
706	2021-11-08 17:16:47	No	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
710	2021-11-12 09:30:26	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	No		
711	2021-11-12 09:39:05	No	B3Z1a1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
714	2021-11-12 09:35:55	Yes	B3T1e5	Yes	Yes	No	
716	2021-11-12 09:31:18	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	No		
718	2021-11-12 08:56:42	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	The birds will eventually get used to all the measures meant to keep them away and flock to the location anyway
722	2021-11-12 08:13:27	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	The residents of the surrounding communities agreed to the placement of Otter Lake with the understanding that the FEP and WSF would be active throughout the lifespan of the facility. This move by the city is in blatant disregard of the original agreement, and while maybe not in violation of the specific provisions, is made clearly with disregard to the spirit of the agreement.

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
724	2021-11-12 07:53:21	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	No	It's a nice picture of Seagulls, however, I don't see any crows. Every evening I see 100's of crows leaving the landfill. Your bird management does not seem to be impacting the amount of crows attested to the landfill.
727	2021-11-12 08:13:51	Yes	B3Z4M9	Yes	Yes	No	Guysborough has covered method. Not really effective.
729	2021-11-12 08:16:19	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	
731	2021-11-12 07:35:00	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	No	
734	2021-11-11 16:39:38	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes			
737	2021-11-11 18:38:28	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	There will still be birds present. Example: parking lots of restaurants. Even if there is no food there for them at that moment- they know there's the opportunity for it so they will still be present
738	2021-11-11 18:30:56	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	
740	2021-11-11 18:09:09	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	No	More trash, especially that that isn't sorted will attract more birds. It won't matter what measures you take.
742	2021-11-11 17:24:51	No	b3t1h5	Yes	No		
744	2021-11-11 16:28:40	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	
750	2021-11-11 12:46:39	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	No	Additional organics (primarily food waste) entering the landfill will greatly increase the attraction for birds and rodents. Mitigation efforts are expensive and unnecessary if the FEP is retained and/or enhanced to further eliminate organics.
754	2021-11-11 11:54:48	Yes	B3T2J9	Yes	Yes	No	I AM COBCEBED WIR G VOLUME AND ATTRACTION OF BIRDS AND GROUND BASE CRITTERS, RATS ETC.
755	2021-11-11 12:20:24	Yes	B3Z1A6	Yes			
756	2021-11-11 12:04:58	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	No		
758	2021-11-11 11:30:44	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	If the garbage is not sorted, it will still attract birds despite your efforts which seem minimal at best.
764	2021-11-11 09:46:30	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	Car gets covered now with bird droppings
765	2021-11-11 09:36:19	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	No		
766	2021-11-11 09:41:01	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes	Yes	No	
786	2021-11-10 20:32:28	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	Yes	No	Without processed organics at landfill, lots of birds
787	2021-11-11 03:44:19	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
791	2021-11-10 20:35:01	No	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	No matter what you say there is no reason to justify changing the contract you signed with the residents of the area, HRM can not be trusted in any contracts they make they can move to alter things as they want too. Your word means nothing. and you can not be trusted to live up to your word.
792	2021-11-10 20:07:47	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
793	2021-11-07 06:48:23	Yes	B3Z4P7	Yes	Yes	No	If remove the front end monitoring, then more organic matter will enter the system and this will cause problems of smell and increased birds and rodents. What is happening to garbage from apartments condos and institutions? Is that being sorted now?
794	2021-11-10 19:48:51	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
796	2021-11-10 19:35:45	No	B3t1c7	Yes	No		
797	2021-11-10 19:02:17	Yes	B3t1j6	Yes	Yes	No	Need more info
802	2021-11-10 18:11:54	Yes	B3Z4P7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
803	2021-11-10 18:22:40	Yes	B3Z1A1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
811	2021-11-10 14:33:03	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	How do you know that the mitigation measures will be enough and if additional funding is required that takes away from any of the savings you anticipate from the deactivation of the FEP and WSF

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
813	2021-11-10 15:30:00	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	If the organics are not removed the dump will attract creatures, big and small. We already have enough rats in our neighborhood. Rats and seagulls go hand and hand. I am old enough to remember the Sackville Dump !!
821	2021-11-10 14:05:36	Yes	B3T2C7	Yes	Yes	No	Sure it might help at the actual facility but the birds will be coming to the area and just won't go directly there. I am old enough to remember Sackville landfill
822	2021-11-10 13:59:12	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes			
824	2021-11-10 13:30:08	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
825	2021-11-10 12:23:51	No	B3Z1A8	Yes	No		
831	2021-11-03 20:37:26	Yes	B3Z0B7	Yes	No		
842	2021-11-10 09:03:51	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	No		
845	2021-11-10 08:36:21	No	B3T1K1	No			
846	2021-11-10 08:25:15	Yes	B3T0B1	Yes	Yes	No	
854	2021-11-04 08:06:12	Yes	B3Z1B8	Yes	Yes	No	If there is more organics, there will be more birds. More measures will be necessary and will cost money that is supposedly being saved
855	2021-11-10 07:20:17	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No	No	
859	2021-11-10 06:48:08	Yes	B3T1H6	No			
860	2021-11-10 06:26:28	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	No		
864	2021-11-10 06:00:53	Yes	B3T1E6	Yes	No		
865	2021-11-10 05:00:14	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
866	2021-11-10 04:46:48	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	More food = more birds.
867	2021-11-09 15:22:11	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
868	2021-11-09 22:33:05	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	There are already so many birds around that area. So placing a cover on freshly dumped non sorted waste is not good enough
869	2021-11-04 15:25:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	With added waste. Even with the above mentioned measures. You will not be able to control that many birds, rats or vermin as the added waste will be a strong attraction and odour.
871	2021-11-09 21:27:52	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
872	2021-11-09 21:14:13	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes		
873	2021-11-09 20:48:16	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	The front end processor cannot be eliminated. People do not separate garbage properly.
874	2021-11-09 21:05:55	Yes	B3Z4L5	Yes			
875	2021-11-09 20:02:17	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	No	It is ridiculous to remove the FEP and then claim that there will be an increase in the "efforts" to control scavengers. If you don't remove the FEP, then you wouldn't have to increase the mitigation measures.
877	2021-11-09 20:24:49	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes		
886	2021-11-09 19:32:11	Yes	B3Z1B7	Yes			
891	2021-11-09 19:10:01	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
893	2021-11-09 19:01:00	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	
894	2021-11-09 17:54:43	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	Yes	No	Birds are still attracted to the area.
896	2021-11-09 18:21:25	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	More regular waste will still attract more birds - especially seagulls and other scavengers.
897	2021-11-09 18:06:35	No	B3Z1A7	Yes			
898	2021-11-09 17:38:11	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	No	mitigation does not eliminate, only attempt to minimize, allowing there will be some increase
899	2021-11-09 17:30:26	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	Yes	No	Remember what took place at the old landfill in Sackville.

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
902	2021-11-09 16:58:34	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	No	We have already seen an increase in birds and we were promised this would never be a landfill. You are putting our family's at risk and lake pollution
903	2021-11-09 16:14:02	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	No	Same as my previous comment
904	2021-11-04 18:05:37	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
909	2021-11-09 16:32:47	Yes	B3T1g1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
910	2021-11-09 16:23:16	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
912	2021-11-09 16:08:32	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	
916	2021-11-09 15:49:15	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	No		
919	2021-11-09 15:28:47	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	Can't trust you
924	2021-11-09 14:51:54	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	No	Even with Proposed measures there will definitely still be an increase of birds
929	2021-11-09 14:29:10	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	
930	2021-11-09 14:42:58	Yes	B3Z0B6	Yes			
931	2021-11-09 14:35:53	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	No	
933	2021-11-09 13:57:44	Yes	b3z1a5	Yes	Yes	No	Safety of wildlife must be of primary concern and medium risks are not appropriate
936	2021-11-09 13:09:39	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	With people feeding the birds in our neighborhood we already have a problem with birds and rats
941	2021-11-09 13:15:03	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	We have increased crows now tearing our garbage bags
944	2021-11-09 13:17:56	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	
946	2021-11-09 12:50:39	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	No details provided in your "control efforts" or "emphasis on minimising size of landfill". I highly doubt you intend to follow through with either of these with specific, measurable mitigations.
948	2021-11-09 12:30:38	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	This will only help minimally. The best measure is not removing the measures that already work.
950	2021-11-09 11:29:08	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	Imagine wanting to produce a dump instead of sort for the environment then using increased frequency's to deter birds from flying in nature.
954	2021-11-09 11:42:48	Yes	B3t1e7	Yes	Yes	No	Open cells will always produce rodents Nd birds. The amount of funding required to mitigate this would be outrageous
956	2021-11-09 11:49:10	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
957	2021-11-09 11:51:50	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	Yes	No	
958	2021-11-09 11:43:46	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes			
959	2021-11-04 22:16:03	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes		if it's a medium risk at the current status and vector control is being used, how much more vector control can will be necessary to NOT increase the current number to attract birds, what will deter the birds in the first place
961	2021-11-09 11:28:30	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	Food brings birds, and without adequate sorting we will see more
962	2021-11-09 08:05:43	Yes	b3t1j3	Yes	Yes	No	We currently have numbers of sea gulls in our neighbourhood .... I fear shutting down FEP/WSF will increase these numbers significantly
964	2021-11-09 11:24:08	Yes	B3T1H6	No			
965	2021-11-09 11:11:17	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	
966	2021-11-09 11:16:21	Yes	B3T1G1				
967	2021-11-09 11:05:37	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	No		
970	2021-11-09 10:50:59	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	Increased frequency of bird and vector control efforts at the landfill disposal area and around the landfill in general will again offset the small savings expected.
973	2021-11-09 10:41:12	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	No		
975	2021-11-09 09:33:49	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	Yes	Yes	



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
978	2021-11-09 08:55:19	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	Yes	No	
985	2021-11-09 08:08:57	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	No		
987	2021-11-09 07:27:43	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	You will not know the impact until the FEP/WSF is de-activated. At which point it's too late.
989	2021-11-09 07:30:09	Yes	B3t1c8	Yes			
990	2021-11-04 07:05:57	No	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	This is not an un-biased survey but instead is being used as a tool to sway those affected by the changes.
991	2021-11-09 06:40:53	No	B3r1a2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
992	2021-11-09 06:15:07	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
993	2021-11-09 05:59:40	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	No		
998	2021-11-09 04:34:13	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes		
999	2021-11-08 23:44:20	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	No	The best and easiest risk mitigation is to just keep the FEP/WSF operational and avoid this. Also it isn't a Medium Risk it is a High risk which will guarantee to hit and become an issue. Unless your Risk Advisor is predicting this is the first time in history that the impact will be that the increased attraction of birds that will happen will only be birds that are trained to stay in the landfill.
1000	2021-11-08 22:51:36	Yes	B3z1b6	Yes	No		
1002	2021-11-08 22:17:36	Yes	b3t1j9	Yes			
1003	2021-11-08 21:52:13	Yes	B3T1K8	Yes	Yes	No	
1004	2021-11-08 21:23:27	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	
1006	2021-11-08 21:03:07	Yes	B3T1h9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1008	2021-11-08 20:43:59	Yes	B3t1j6	Yes			
1010	2021-11-08 17:49:55	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1011	2021-11-08 19:40:36	Yes	B3z1a9	Yes	Yes	No	
1013	2021-11-08 19:54:19	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	No		
1015	2021-11-08 19:41:27	Yes	B3z1a9	Yes	Yes	No	I am concerned of the hazardous waste the birds will be exposed to
1023	2021-11-08 18:16:37	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	No		
1025	2021-11-08 17:53:19	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	No	Efforts to resolve problems created by the landfill over the years have fallen short of eliminating problems associated with bad smells and increased rodent activity.
1027	2021-11-08 17:51:15	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	No		
1030	2021-11-08 17:27:06	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	Tons of rats in Greenwood heights area. I grew up out here and never saw a rat. In last 10 years they are everywhere in the neighborhood
1031	2021-11-08 17:39:32	Yes	B3z1a7	Yes	No		
1032	2021-11-08 17:36:13	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	No		
1033	2021-11-08 17:33:51	Yes	B3z1a8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1037	2021-11-08 17:12:36	Yes	B3T1E6	Yes	Yes	No	Has never worked
1038	2021-11-08 16:58:28	Yes	B3Z0R8	Yes	Yes	Yes	I'm concerned that without the FEP there will be increased food waste which will attract birds.
1040	2021-11-08 13:18:25	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	No		
1043	2021-11-08 16:48:59	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes	No	seeing seagulls this far from water is disheartening as they are carrion eaters
1044	2021-11-08 16:56:44	Yes	b3z0a1	Yes			
1047	2021-11-08 16:28:00	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	No	I am concerned that the increase in organic waste will be an attractant in spite of the above measures.



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1048	2021-11-08 16:16:45	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes	Yes	No	I do not trust the proposed system to work. Many households do not follow guidelines for waste separation and relying on this factor is naive. Increasing waste and decreasing sorting measures close to residential areas is disrespectful and not a proper solution. Save money somewhere else.
1052	2021-11-08 15:41:38	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	No	I do not believe that these measures will be sufficient
1053	2021-11-08 15:40:09	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	No		
1057	2021-11-08 15:04:19	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	
1059	2021-11-08 14:44:08	Yes	B3t1j1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1062	2021-11-08 14:15:18	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes			
1068	2021-11-08 13:00:55	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	No		
1070	2021-11-08 12:36:46	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
1072	2021-11-08 12:39:58	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	
1073	2021-11-08 12:21:31	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	No		
1074	2021-11-08 12:18:10	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	No		
1078	2021-11-08 11:46:27	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	No		
1079	2021-11-08 09:58:17	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	<a href="https://www.solidwaste.com/doc/birds-and-landfills-whats-the-big-deal-0001">https://www.solidwaste.com/doc/birds-and-landfills-whats-the-big-deal-0001</a> I am not in favor of murdering wildlife
1081	2021-11-08 11:40:16	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1083	2021-11-08 11:40:47	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1084	2021-11-08 11:15:49	No	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes		
1085	2021-11-08 11:05:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes		
1086	2021-11-08 08:45:33	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
1087	2021-11-08 10:29:46	Yes	B3t1h4	Yes	Yes	No	I've seen many bird mitigation measures at commercial properties and property manager do not find them particularly effective
1091	2021-11-08 10:03:16	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1099	2021-11-08 08:47:09	Yes	B3t1g7	No			
1100	2021-11-08 08:54:54	No	B3Z1E1	Yes			
1106	2021-11-08 08:40:36	Yes	B3T0B1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1111	2021-11-08 08:30:29	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
1125	2021-11-08 06:56:53	Yes	b3t1h5	Yes	Yes	No	The report results are INCONCLUSIVE. You are lying to the people.
1135	2021-11-07 21:03:45	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	Yes	No	
1136	2021-11-07 20:16:23	Yes	B3Z1B2	Yes	Yes	No	The mitigation measures outlined do not adequately address the problems associated with the expected increase in birds and the associated noise and health risks.
1138	2021-11-07 21:04:04	Yes	b3t1g4				
1141	2021-11-07 20:16:43	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	Failure to reduce surface litter will encourage scavenger birds to the site unless there is 24/7 mitigation
1146	2021-11-07 18:25:27	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Have you not learned anything from the Sackville DUMP site? It did not work. The FEP/WSF works and should not be discontinued. There will be more birds in the area. There will be more rats.
1148	2021-11-07 18:51:41	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	No		
1150	2021-11-07 18:25:35	No	B3Z1A8	Yes	No		
1153	2021-11-07 17:54:03	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	
1154	2021-11-07 17:46:59	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	
1156	2021-11-07 17:17:16	No	B3T1J8	Yes	No		
1162	2021-11-07 16:10:15	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	No		
1164	2021-11-07 13:15:12	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	No		
1166	2021-11-07 14:31:05	No	b3t1j1	Yes	Yes	No	increase cost of mitigation practices, instead of maintaining existing risk reduction practices.

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1168	2021-11-07 15:09:03	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	
1171	2021-11-07 14:43:36	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	Again what is the point of increasing bird control if not stopping the FEP wouldn't increase the birds
1177	2021-11-07 14:08:08	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	
1179	2021-11-07 13:49:37	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	Yes	again, mitigations must be properly resourced.
1180	2021-11-07 14:05:52	No	B3T1J4	Yes	No		
1186	2021-11-07 13:25:33	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1195	2021-11-07 11:05:26	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	more food equals more birds
1196	2021-11-07 10:50:23	Yes	B3T1E7	Yes	No		
1204	2021-11-07 10:24:43	Yes	B3t1j6	Yes	Yes	No	
1207	2021-11-07 09:37:19	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	No		
1209	2021-11-07 09:00:42	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	More garbage brings more rodents smells and birds
1210	2021-11-07 09:18:16	Yes	b3t1j5	Yes			
1212	2021-11-07 08:47:48	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes			
1213	2021-11-07 08:02:00	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	this is a backwards approach to managing wildlife and will require significant effort from staff to maintain. Costs for this are not adequately being accounted for.
1218	2021-11-07 08:03:03	Yes	B3t1c1	Yes	Yes	No	No changes should be made
1220	2021-11-07 07:02:14	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	No	While medium risj on site the additional bird traffic may result in increased birds in residential areas close to the site. Will monitoring be done in those areas??
1226	2021-11-07 06:19:12	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1227	2021-11-07 06:26:01	Yes	B3T1e9	Yes	No		
1228	2021-11-07 05:49:15	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1231	2021-11-03 16:47:38	Yes	B3Z1B3	Yes	Yes	No	
1234	2021-11-03 14:07:24	No	B3t0b1	Yes	Yes	No	
1235	2021-11-06 22:54:07	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	The birds will still come
1237	2021-11-06 22:27:27	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	No		
1238	2021-11-06 22:11:58	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	Yes	No	
1243	2021-11-06 20:34:03	Yes	B3T1g3	Yes	Yes	No	Noises won't be enough to keep the birds out
1245	2021-11-06 20:38:08	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	No		
1247	2021-11-06 20:16:52	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	No	more organics will attract more birds
1248	2021-11-06 20:23:50	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	Yes	No	
1249	2021-11-06 19:44:30	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	Yes	No	Scaring birds away from the area with greater tactics can disrupt and displace bird habitat and migration routes as well as keep them away from the landfill but scaring them into the community.
1251	2021-11-06 19:16:43	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1255	2021-11-06 18:36:24	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	No	Animals adapt
1256	2021-11-06 18:45:14	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes			
1257	2021-11-06 18:22:14	Yes	B3t1g7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1262	2021-11-06 17:45:16	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1264	2021-11-06 16:55:43	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes			
1265	2021-11-06 17:28:55	Yes	B3t1j7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1266	2021-11-06 17:02:44	Yes	B3t1h4	Yes	Yes	No	
1267	2021-11-06 17:03:55	Yes	B3T1J8				
1269	2021-11-06 16:09:51	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	No		
1272	2021-11-06 16:10:29	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	No		
1277	2021-11-04 07:02:22	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	With all these additional mitigations it seems the WSF and the FEP do play an important role. Why not keep it or improve that system.

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1278	2021-11-04 12:01:56	No	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	No	
1283	2021-11-06 13:33:43	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
1284	2021-11-06 13:07:07	Yes	B3t1h9	No	No		
1286	2021-11-06 12:51:21	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	
1290	2021-11-06 11:43:02	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1291	2021-11-06 11:52:45	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	No		
1292	2021-11-06 11:38:55	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	Who conducts these "measures" and how often? Even if they're done now, we have the FEP/WSF in place now too. You don't know how this will change when it's not.
1297	2021-11-06 11:07:59	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes			
1298	2021-11-06 09:29:17	No	B3z1a4	Yes	No		
1299	2021-11-06 09:27:10	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	No		
1303	2021-11-06 09:09:20	Yes	B3T1L2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1304	2021-11-06 09:00:32	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	inadequate, insufficient info provided.
1305	2021-11-06 08:55:14	Yes	B3t1g9	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe they can be controlled this way
1306	2021-11-06 08:29:50	Yes	b3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	There will always be seagulls
1307	2021-11-06 08:25:15	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1308	2021-11-06 07:40:06	Yes		Yes			
1311	2021-11-06 08:24:50	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1316	2021-11-05 21:45:07	Yes	B3T1J4	No			
1321	2021-11-06 06:58:26	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	Yes	No	Not sorting the garbage and then dumping them in a hole
1326	2021-11-06 05:43:37	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes			
1327	2021-11-06 05:33:17	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes	No		
1330	2021-11-05 23:03:05	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	No		
1331	2021-11-05 21:57:28	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	Yes	No	We are around 7 km from the present site. We do see gulls, etc in our lake and are suspicious about their possible connection to the landfill.
1332	2021-11-05 22:30:16	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes			
1333	2021-11-05 21:49:28	Yes	B3t1c1	Yes	Yes	No	The attraction of birds to waste in the community is very concerning. Currently there are times in the subdivision where birds are ripping into garbage bags and leaving a mess in the road. Whose responsibility is it to clean up the mess? Because I certainly do not see the residential collection people cleaning and nor do some of the home owners.
1334	2021-11-05 21:54:50	Yes	B3T1G3	No			
1335	2021-11-05 21:51:32	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	No		
1336	2021-11-05 21:33:28	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1337	2021-11-05 21:40:29	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	Yes	No	Too short term
1339	2021-11-05 21:05:42	No	B3T1H4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1340	2021-11-05 20:50:25	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	
1344	2021-11-05 20:04:54	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes			
1346	2021-11-05 19:28:58	Yes	B3Z4b8	Yes	Yes	No	On site impacts remain
1347	2021-11-05 16:24:45	No	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	
1349	2021-11-05 18:58:14	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes	No		
1352	2021-11-05 18:45:51	Yes	B3t1e9	Yes	Yes	No	There is no way to stop in in flux or birds to the site if the site becomes more attractive to them
1357	2021-11-05 17:41:33	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	Yes	No	These are fine in theory but in practice we know what Sackville was like. Let's get real!

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1365	2021-11-05 17:06:31	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	Yes	No	I have concerns with the risk assessment process as medium risk is still an unacceptable risk
1366	2021-11-05 17:14:34	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1367	2021-11-05 16:33:44	Yes	B3t1k1	Yes	Yes	No	We have more birds in this area since the landfill with the measures in place currently. More predator birds WILL come. Thanks for think of the safety of our outdoor cats.
1372	2021-11-05 15:47:32	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	If there are more birds attracted to the area then there is a greater chance of birds carrying disease.
1374	2021-11-05 15:53:33	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	I am concerned about birds but more about rats
1377	2021-11-05 15:45:02	No	B3z1a1	Yes			
1379	2021-11-05 15:43:33	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	No		
1380	2021-11-05 15:34:32	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	It already doesn't work, why should we expect it to start?
1381	2021-11-05 15:39:24	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
1382	2021-11-05 15:28:06	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	
1386	2021-11-05 14:46:49	Yes	B3t0a4	Yes	No		
1387	2021-11-05 14:30:49	Yes	B3Z0R6	Yes	Yes	No	Current measures are in place for current system. I don't think the added measures will make much difference if more birds.
1389	2021-11-05 14:25:03	Yes	B3t1c8	Yes	Yes	No	
1391	2021-11-05 13:29:03	Yes	b3t1j7	Yes	Yes	No	gulls & crows especially will be attracted the moment waste hits the ground as is evident to any backyard birder.
1392	2021-11-05 14:01:05	Yes	b3t1j4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1393	2021-11-05 13:48:50	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	Yes	No	More organic waste will attract more birds.
1397	2021-11-05 13:27:25	No	B3t1j1	Yes	No		
1398	2021-11-05 13:38:39	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1399	2021-11-05 12:39:08	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	I'm unsure how potentially attracting additional migratory birds to site (and potentially increasing the likelihood they are harmed due to operations) can be considered an on-site issue alone. My understanding is that the Migratory Birds Convention Act was set up to protect these animals, regardless of property boundaries.
1400	2021-11-05 13:08:19	Yes	B3T1G9	Yes	No		
1403	2021-11-05 12:45:46	Yes	b3t2k4	Yes	Yes	No	
1405	2021-11-05 11:53:21	Yes	B3t1g8	Yes	Yes	No	
1406	2021-11-05 11:32:38	Yes	b3t1h2	Yes	Yes	No	increased smell will attract more Birds
1411	2021-11-05 10:47:19	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	No		
1413	2021-11-05 09:38:01	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	Have seen increase in bird population extending beyond the landfill. This would increase more so with loss of FEP/WSF process
1416	2021-11-04 11:40:18	No	B3Z1A2	Yes			
1417	2021-11-05 10:31:51	Yes		Yes			
1420	2021-11-05 09:52:16	No	B3Z1C1	Yes	Yes	No	
1421	2021-11-05 09:45:59	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1422	2021-11-05 09:48:23	Yes	B3t1g4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1425	2021-11-05 09:38:04	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes	No		
1429	2021-11-04 17:07:08	No	B3Z1A3	Yes	Yes	No	The removal of the FEP/WSF will cause more attraction of birds and pests
1433	2021-11-05 07:26:02	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes			
1437	2021-11-05 06:56:17	Yes	B3t1n9	Yes	Yes		
1439	2021-11-05 05:54:48	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	Birds have to fly to the site some how. They attract predator birds who will also seek prey in surrounding neighborhoods, like cats and small dogs.



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1441	2021-11-05 06:12:23	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	There is always a circle of birds above the dump every time I've been there
1442	2021-11-05 06:12:13	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	I am concerned that the smell will attract more birds and animals
1448	2021-11-05 05:33:41	Yes	B3t1h9	No			
1450	2021-11-05 05:08:27	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes			
1451	2021-11-05 04:33:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Little to no faith that what they say is what they will do. Also concerned with increase in rats and other vermin.
1453	2021-11-05 00:32:01	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	No		
1457	2021-11-05 00:05:15	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	
1460	2021-11-04 22:39:01	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	No	
1461	2021-11-04 22:38:33	No	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	No	
1467	2021-11-04 21:27:35	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	What a lovely picture. Now whats the dump look like before its capped? This is shifting the responsibility and onus on ta
1469	2021-11-04 21:04:09	No	B3Z4P8	Yes	Yes	No	If the management of the face and daily cover is insufficient it will attract birds.
1470	2021-11-04 20:44:31	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	What are you going to do? shoot the birds for mitigation???
1471	2021-11-04 19:01:28	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	
1473	2021-11-04 20:44:19	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	No		
1476	2021-11-04 18:53:37	Yes	b3t1h4	Yes	Yes	No	we have been seeing more gulls in our area the last few years
1477	2021-11-04 20:29:50	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
1478	2021-11-04 19:58:15	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	You seem to be spending more money than you would be if you left things as they are now.
1482	2021-11-04 19:55:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes		
1484	2021-11-04 19:39:29	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	No		
1486	2021-11-04 19:38:26	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes	No		
1488	2021-11-04 19:19:14	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	No	Feel this will not be enough. Deactivation was not the agreement
1489	2021-11-03 16:47:23	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	No		
1491	2021-11-04 19:03:04	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1495	2021-11-04 19:05:29	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1497	2021-11-04 18:58:28	Yes	B3t1c6	Yes	Yes	No	
1499	2021-11-04 18:59:44	Yes	B3T1C6	No			
1501	2021-11-04 18:19:48	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	No	These mitigation strategies do not replace the need for the city to uphold it's current contract commitments.
1502	2021-11-04 18:39:01	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	Just as stated
1504	2021-11-04 18:31:01	Yes	B3T1G5				
1506	2021-11-04 18:05:59	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	
1507	2021-11-04 17:17:44	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	No		
1508	2021-11-04 17:53:34	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	
1509	2021-11-04 17:43:26	Yes	B3Z1B2	Yes	Yes	No	
1511	2021-11-04 17:35:31	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	More birds will come with lack of sorting
1512	2021-11-04 17:32:50	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	No		
1513	2021-11-04 17:12:24	Yes	B3t1g5	Yes	Yes	No	
1514	2021-11-04 17:10:56	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes	No		
1516	2021-11-04 16:49:10	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	
1517	2021-11-04 16:42:28	Yes	B3t1g3	Yes	Yes	No	
1519	2021-11-04 15:32:44	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1521	2021-11-04 16:09:33	No	B3z1n2	No			
1523	2021-11-04 16:02:08	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes			
1525	2021-11-04 16:02:40	Yes	B3t1j3	Yes			
1526	2021-11-04 15:45:33	Yes	b3z0b7	Yes	Yes	No	



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1527	2021-11-04 15:42:38	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	No	because you are just spinning more BS
1528	2021-11-04 15:50:58	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	No		
1530	2021-11-04 12:00:25	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	Sounds fine on paper but we know in reality that it won't work.
1533	2021-11-04 15:28:41	Yes	B3T1N7	No			
1534	2021-11-04 15:23:08	Yes	b3t1p1	Yes	Yes	No	
1540	2021-11-04 14:09:42	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	At the present time here in Timberlea we are some times impacted with numerous birds ie seagulls , crows and starlings hovering in our areas
1542	2021-11-04 14:13:21	Yes	B3t1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Crows are definitely an issue in the area already making a mess
1543	2021-11-04 14:20:17	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1546	2021-11-04 14:07:47	Yes	B3t1g5	Yes	No		
1548	2021-11-04 12:41:11	No	B3Z0C6	Yes	Yes	No	If the FEP and WSF is deactivated the increases in organic, banned and unacceptable substance have a chance to enter the landfill and will increased the bird population which not be manageable by the above mitigation
1549	2021-11-04 13:38:21	Yes	B3t1J5	Yes			
1550	2021-11-03 15:47:25	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes			
1551	2021-11-04 12:47:17	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	If you lived in the area would "you" be happy with "a medium risk"
1552	2021-11-04 13:19:48	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes	Yes	No	Many birds will still be attracted by the increase in smell
1553	2021-11-04 13:01:16	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	
1554	2021-11-04 13:19:04	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	
1555	2021-11-04 13:23:55	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1558	2021-11-04 13:17:55	No	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1560	2021-11-04 12:48:26	Yes	b3t1h6	Yes	Yes	No	
1561	2021-11-04 12:45:07	No	b3z4m7	Yes			
1562	2021-11-04 12:45:14	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes			
1563	2021-11-04 12:19:45	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	With less sitting there will be more food, and more food laden plastics. The biological imperative to feed will override their fear of your measures. I work [REDACTED] where similar deterrents are used. They don't work particularly well.
1565	2021-11-04 12:24:59	Yes	B3t1J8	Yes	No		
1566	2021-11-04 12:10:16	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	Didn't propose a real solution
1567	2021-11-04 12:08:51	Yes	b3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	
1570	2021-11-04 11:57:25	Yes	B3t1e1	Yes			
1571	2021-11-04 11:52:08	Yes	B3t1J5	Yes	Yes	No	
1573	2021-11-04 11:42:15	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	No		
1574	2021-11-04 11:46:39	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes	Yes		
1575	2021-11-04 11:36:59	Yes	B3T1G2	Yes	Yes	No	
1576	2021-11-04 11:40:29	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
1578	2021-11-04 11:27:13	Yes	B3t1g6	Yes	Yes	No	I do not want the FEP/WSF deactivated
1579	2021-11-04 11:35:28	No	B3t1n8	Yes			
1580	2021-11-04 11:30:27	Yes	b3z1a2				
1586	2021-11-04 10:48:09	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1587	2021-11-04 10:41:17	Yes	B3t1j3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1588	2021-11-04 08:59:10	Yes	B3t1h7	Yes	No		
1591	2021-11-04 09:07:25	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	As previously stated; currently measures are unacceptable and ineffective. How do you expect the minimal increase in control efforts will reduce the impact of the greater increase in scavengers!
1592	2021-11-04 10:24:08	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes			
1593	2021-11-04 10:18:32	Yes	b3t1J7	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1597	2021-11-04 09:39:27	No	B3Z1B8	Yes	No		
1599	2021-11-04 10:04:29	Yes	B3T1L2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1602	2021-11-04 10:00:48	Yes	b3t1h1	Yes			
1604	2021-11-04 09:30:59	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	It's not just birds, it's rats and other rodents being drawn to the area
1606	2021-11-04 09:26:11	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	You will be increasing the amount of garbage that birds want to eat. "Increased frequency of control efforts blah blah blah" isn't an actual plan. It is just wind. More birds will be attracted to the dump. "Mitigating" that will never be 100%.
1607	2021-11-04 09:26:37	Yes	B3z1a1	Yes	Yes	No	There is not adequate description of mitigation measures to ease my concerns.
1608	2021-11-04 09:22:40	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste will attract more birds/scavengers/rodents regardless, especially at night. Falconry/noisemakers seem to be an expensive offset.
1610	2021-11-04 09:28:16	Yes	B3T1P1	No			
1611	2021-11-04 09:18:14	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	Yes	Culling ... no
1612	2021-11-04 09:11:23	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	No		
1614	2021-11-04 09:13:51	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	
1615	2021-11-04 09:18:19	Yes	b3t1e5	Yes	Yes	No	
1617	2021-11-04 09:00:39	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	No		
1618	2021-11-04 09:11:00	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	No		
1619	2021-11-04 09:06:19	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	
1625	2021-11-04 08:59:55	No	B3z4b8	Yes			
1627	2021-11-04 08:54:25	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1628	2021-11-04 08:55:29	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes			
1630	2021-11-04 08:37:38	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	
1632	2021-11-04 08:40:01	Yes	B3t0a6	Yes	No		
1633	2021-11-04 08:42:48	Yes	B3t1k1	Yes			
1635	2021-11-04 08:02:36	No	B3Z4P2	Yes	No		
1640	2021-11-04 08:24:38	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	
1643	2021-11-04 08:22:13	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	No		
1645	2021-11-04 08:12:52	No	B3t1j8	Yes	No		
1646	2021-11-04 08:04:35	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	No		
1647	2021-11-04 08:04:52	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	
1649	2021-11-04 08:03:47	Yes	B3t1n7	No			
1653	2021-11-04 07:09:27	No	B3Z0B7	Yes	Yes	No	
1654	2021-11-04 07:12:12	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
1657	2021-11-04 06:58:21	Yes	B3T1G2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1661	2021-11-04 05:54:23	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	Efforts and "emphasis" are just words, not solutions. Do not create problems that aren't needed and that you cannot manage!
1662	2021-11-04 06:16:57	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Increased garbage, increased amount of birds.
1663	2021-11-04 06:25:23	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes	No		
1665	2021-11-04 05:59:57	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	No		
1666	2021-11-04 06:08:58	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	No		
1669	2021-11-04 05:35:32	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1673	2021-11-04 04:03:06	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	I know little about falconry but it hardly seems possible a team of expert falconers will be taking up residence and a large enough flock of falcons will be at the ready to handle rats and other birds gathering to go after the new menu
1674	2021-11-03 23:29:36	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	Yes	No	Our crow situation as is now, is more than ample--you know--MOTHER NATURE???

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1676	2021-11-03 23:06:06	Yes	B3t1j1	Yes	Yes	No	These measures are not guaranteed to reduce the increase in attracted birds to the area. And could lead to more money being spent to compensate. When in reality the current system works perfectly fine, and deactivation of the current system seems like a poorly evaluated cost saving measure
1678	2021-11-03 22:44:00	Yes	B3t0a6	Yes	No		
1685	2021-11-03 22:11:38	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe it is enough
1686	2021-11-03 22:03:40	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	No	More attraction equals more harm
1687	2021-11-03 21:45:00	Yes	B3T1k1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1688	2021-11-03 21:28:30	No	B3z0e3	Yes	No		
1690	2021-11-03 21:54:38	Yes	B3t1e1	Yes	Yes	No	
1698	2021-11-03 21:19:14	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	Mitigation only goes so far. What is currently there works better.
1700	2021-11-03 21:18:46	Yes	B3z0b6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1701	2021-11-03 21:09:16	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	
1702	2021-11-03 21:06:16	No	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	There is no guarantee that what information you have provided here, is accurate
1704	2021-11-03 20:34:32	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	The measures you mention do not work.
1705	2021-11-03 21:00:21	Yes	B3z0e2	Yes			
1707	2021-11-03 20:42:09	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	Increase of birds at the facility means increase of diseases and other preys.
1708	2021-11-03 20:45:00	No	B3T1h1	Yes	No		
1709	2021-11-03 20:44:31	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	
1711	2021-11-03 20:35:45	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	No	There will be more seagulls flying around crapping. More crows too
1713	2021-11-03 20:37:12	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes	No		
1714	2021-11-03 20:17:03	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	No		
1717	2021-11-03 20:30:25	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	No		
1719	2021-11-03 20:22:33	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	
1723	2021-11-03 20:09:36	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	No	
1724	2021-11-03 19:56:33	Yes	B3T2C7	Yes	No		
1725	2021-11-03 20:05:26	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	
1727	2021-11-03 19:19:43	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes	No	
1729	2021-11-03 19:34:09	No	B3z0b7	Yes	Yes	No	I don't think added mitigation measures will actually be used.
1730	2021-11-03 19:28:16	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	
1733	2021-11-03 19:18:41	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	No		
1736	2021-11-03 18:59:16	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	No		
1737	2021-11-03 19:17:06	Yes	B3t0b1	Yes			
1739	2021-11-03 19:06:12	Yes	B3t1c6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1743	2021-11-03 18:49:09	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes	No	If successful I will inherit the birds and we have enough picking the garbage now
1744	2021-11-03 18:57:02	Yes	B3t1n5	Yes	Yes	No	Organics not sorted from waste Will birds and other wildlife and rodents
1745	2021-11-03 19:06:36	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes			
1746	2021-11-03 18:47:19	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1748	2021-11-03 18:51:42	Yes	B3T1H5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1749	2021-11-03 18:54:05	Yes	B3T1e2	Yes	Yes	No	Geese effect many play spaces for children due to their droppings
1750	2021-11-03 18:41:25	Yes	B3t1n9	Yes	No		
1751	2021-11-03 18:41:30	Yes	B3t2c7	Yes	No		
1755	2021-11-03 18:21:33	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	
1756	2021-11-03 18:25:28	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1758	2021-11-03 18:01:26	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	Because it wont be that simple, once you make a big mess of it.
1760	2021-11-03 18:00:28	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	No		
1762	2021-11-03 18:03:46	No	B3T1J7	Yes	No		
1768	2021-11-03 17:18:17	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	No		
1769	2021-11-03 17:31:01	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	Not going to stop them.
1770	2021-11-03 17:30:19	Yes	B3t1g2	Yes	Yes	No	The more open garbage, the more birds.
1771	2021-11-03 17:23:02	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	If you stop sorting out organics, this will become a huge issue.
1773	2021-11-03 17:25:28	Yes	b3t1g8	Yes	Yes	No	
1777	2021-11-03 17:02:51	Yes	B3T1G3	No			
1780	2021-11-03 16:41:40	No	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	No	I do not think less birds will be there if you deactivate the FEP or WSF
1784	2021-11-03 16:30:37	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	No	Sounds like a load of crap
1787	2021-11-03 16:24:36	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1790	2021-11-03 15:18:48	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	I find it hard to believe that the deactivation will not cause more bird activity in the area. Saying you will have an emphasis on minimizing the size of not a commitment.
1791	2021-11-03 15:48:28	Yes	b3t1c6	Yes	Yes	No	the birds may be attracted and mitigated at the site but once drawn to the area, other garbage and food sources will keep them here
1792	2021-11-03 15:54:37	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes			
1794	2021-11-03 15:02:31	Yes	B3T1E6	Yes	Yes	No	Increase in amount of bird poop in landfill AND surrounding areas
1796	2021-11-03 15:29:10	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	No		
1798	2021-11-03 15:24:18	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes			
1799	2021-11-03 15:11:55	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	No		
1802	2021-11-03 15:06:44	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
1807	2021-11-03 14:48:59	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	The issue of increased bird activity is not addressed
1808	2021-11-03 14:48:41	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	No	
1809	2021-11-03 14:43:40	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1813	2021-11-03 14:09:13	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	If you are willing to cover fresh waste daily and increase vector control, allocate these resources to maintaining the current system as agreed upon. I also worry about whether the measures taken will be humane and respect of bird populations.
1815	2021-11-03 14:25:59	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	I don't think the mitigation efforts will be enough, given the research I've done.
1819	2021-11-03 14:10:05	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	No	It's hard to forecast if those measures will work
1820	2021-11-03 13:05:14	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe in the efficacy of your propose measures & they are being down played.
1821	2021-11-03 13:05:04	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Remember Sackville!!!!
1822	2021-11-03 12:16:49	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	No	
1823	2021-11-03 13:04:31	Yes	B3Z4M2	Yes	Yes	No	Dumping everything will bring many more rodents and birds.
1824	2021-11-03 12:42:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
1825	2021-11-03 12:23:10	Yes	B3t1e3	Yes	No		
1826	2021-11-03 12:09:07	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	No		
1827	2021-11-03 12:23:59	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	Yes	No	
1828	2021-11-03 12:00:58	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	I'm not interested in "mitigation". HRM promised to maintain the waste facility at a very high level, and I expect HRM to keep its promise to the community. We will not need "mitigation" if HRM does not downgrade the quality level with which it has been running the facility. I DO NOT AGREE WITH THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS.



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Enhanced Attraction of Birds							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the enhanced attraction of birds?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1829	2021-11-03 10:32:33	No	B3Z1B1	Yes	Yes	No	There is no additional mitigation methods being put in place. There is an identified (medium) risk of increased attraction of birds but the mitigation methods remain the same as they always have been but with a key word describing them as "enhanced"
1830	2021-11-03 10:33:12	No	B3Z0C6	Yes	Yes	No	Birds are attracted to organic food, organics do not belong here
1831	2021-11-03 11:02:46	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1832	2021-11-03 10:55:01	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes			
1833	2021-11-03 09:50:24	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Again...we are enhancing bird attraction with these proposed measures that would not occur if present measures stay in place
1834	2021-11-02 14:13:03	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	This mitigation is short lived and only works for a short period. Risk vs reward. Where there is a food source the vectors and vermin will risk it.

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
5	2021-12-04 13:27:05	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes			
6	2021-12-04 12:39:48	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	
8	2021-12-04 07:07:42	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	Yes	No	
9	2021-12-04 06:33:44	Yes	B3t1g9	Yes			
10	2021-12-04 02:33:16	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	Don't think baiting rodents will help. Money will spent to manage the baiting program.
11	2021-12-03 21:37:38	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	No	I already had severe problems with rats at my house. I am already concerned that the current program in place is not enough. This will make it even worse!
19	2021-12-03 20:20:59	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	poison also kills other wildlife that feed on garbage, get ratter type dogs like those that have been used in New York city to control rats. so don't have to New York city to clean up vermin
20	2021-12-03 19:08:21	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	More unsorted garbage more rodents!
21	2021-12-03 19:54:38	Yes	B3Z4N4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
22	2021-11-06 14:53:34	No	B3Z4N4	Yes	No	No	
31	2021-12-03 12:59:41	No	B3z4p7	Yes	Yes	No	We know how difficult it is in real life to rid an area of rodents.
32	2021-12-03 12:35:11	No	B3Z1A7	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents cannot be eliminated with a "safe" baiting program; they can only be somewhat controlled as new animals will replace ones that die. More exposed wet/edible garbage will bring in more replacements.
33	2021-11-13 15:08:28	Yes	B3Z0A2	Yes	Yes		With the proposed deactivation of the FEP/WSF. The above measures will not meet the increase influx of rodents that will occur. Again showing the imprortance of the FEP/WSF currently in place. Many people living in the city do not separte their waste to the standard which is required to be able to deactivate the FEP.
34	2021-12-03 11:45:55	Yes	B3Z0E3	Yes	Yes	No	Because I don't believe you are taking an evidence based approach to this issue.
39	2021-12-03 11:03:31	No	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	Not enough being done
43	2021-12-03 10:19:56	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	No	
45	2021-12-03 09:58:37	Yes	B3Z4M2	Yes			
49	2021-11-04 09:54:17	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	No		
60	2021-12-02 22:25:31	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
61	2021-12-02 20:55:00	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	I'm still worries about impact of rodents
62	2021-12-02 19:31:27	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	Yes	No	I don't trust HRM to follow through.
65	2021-11-03 17:45:52	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes			
69	2021-12-02 08:44:35	Yes	b3t1g1	Yes	Yes	No	no amount of baiting traps will capture the introduction of raw organics with the truck and dump directly at the face of the landfill.
74	2021-12-01 17:41:09	No	B3T1P1	Yes			
76	2021-12-01 15:43:35	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe that the baiting program will work.
80	2021-12-01 07:00:50	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes	Yes	No	Living in the area I have seen a increase in the number of rodents. Changes in the landfill will only make it worse...
82	2021-11-30 20:25:42	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	No		
88	2021-11-30 18:37:55	No	B3Z4P7	Yes	Yes	No	YoubRe presenting no evidence that this mitigation will work. How many traps? How often? What will be with expected rodent increase and how much is the appropriate trapping effort?
90	2021-11-30 16:46:22	Yes	B3Z1C1	Yes			
99	2021-11-29 21:36:15	Yes		Yes	Yes	No	
101	2021-11-29 19:39:20	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes			

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
107	2021-11-29 11:08:59	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	Yes	No	We have had an explosion of rats in our community. The FEP/WSF is the best defence against increasing rats, we need to deal with our garbage.
108	2021-11-29 10:32:18	Yes	B3t1g6	Yes	Yes	No	Poisoning animals , rats , get conditioned to the poisoning and end up poisoning created that eat them
109	2021-11-29 09:58:39	Yes	B3t1g7	Yes	Yes	No	There will still be additional rodent populations that cannot be eliminated
110	2021-11-29 09:21:21	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	I have seen an increase in rats in the Timberlea area over the past few years
115	2021-11-28 11:44:47	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	No	I do not believe, or have confidence in, the populace to properly care and separate their individual waste streams. The front end processor provides an extra inspection point to observe potential infractions and mitigate illegal or inappropriate dumping of wastes in the landfill. Once the front end processor is removed from service, it is foolish to believe it will ever be reinstated
117	2021-11-28 10:06:33	No	B3z1c2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
119	2021-11-28 06:53:55	No	B3Z0C6	Yes	Yes	No	Increased activity can be avoided if we continue with the front load system we currently have. Just keep it!
120	2021-11-27 21:32:29	Yes	b3z0a1	Yes	Yes	No	
121	2021-11-27 18:08:27	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	Yes	No	
130	2021-11-27 06:08:42	No	B3T1H6	Yes			
131	2021-11-26 18:29:35	Yes		Yes			
132	2021-11-26 15:49:04	No	B3Z1E1	Yes	Yes	No	I have some experience with rodent problems due to compost bins near our property and the problem will return even if baiting is applied. The elimination of the FEP and WSF will no doubt cause an increase in rodents, this will be difficult to control using the methods you suggest.
156	2021-11-25 17:57:02	Yes		Yes			
157	2021-11-25 17:25:24	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	No		
161	2021-11-25 14:32:29	No	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	It's insufficient
168	2021-11-25 11:07:39	Yes	B3T1E7	Yes	No		
169	2021-11-25 11:05:59	Yes	B3Z1N4	Yes	Yes	No	n
170	2021-11-25 10:08:36	Yes	b3t1h1	Yes	Yes	No	rats are more abundant in this area since I moved in. you can't tell me adding organic waste will not make it worse.
172	2021-11-25 09:54:02	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	There is no evidence that the mitigation measures would be successful.
181	2021-11-25 07:26:32	Yes	B3t1n5	Yes	Yes	No	All of the nearby residential areas seem to have plenty of rodents! Baiting kills many more creatures than rodents!
185	2021-11-25 07:18:48	Yes	B3t0a6	Yes	Yes	No	
187	2021-11-25 06:42:33	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	There is no turning back if the proposed baiting system fails. This impacts residents , attracts larger animals
192	2021-11-25 05:08:05	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes	Yes	No	There will be an increase in the rodent population with the elimination of FEP
198	2021-11-24 12:21:32	Yes	B3T1L2	Yes	Yes	No	HRM signed an agreement - Without FEP/WSF there is nothing to ensure banned & unacceptable waste material will not end up in the landfill. HRM is unable to guarantee these proposed measures will provide effective rodent control. How long until HRM decides that, like the FEP, these measures are no longer necessary.
201	2021-11-24 11:54:28	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/WSF active.

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
203	2021-11-24 09:04:21	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	
206	2021-11-14 07:19:32	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	I have noticed a high increase of rats in the neighbourhood which i attribute to the landfill in our area.
210	2021-11-15 19:26:29	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	What are these baiting measures? Will they be effective? How can you be sure they increase of rats and rodents will not outnumber you baiting program.
211	2021-11-23 19:29:41	Yes	B3z4b8	Yes			
216	2021-11-23 15:55:50	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/WSF active
217	2021-11-23 15:20:26	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting will in itself not control the rodents
218	2021-11-23 15:08:55	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/ WSF active.
219	2021-11-03 14:41:21	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	Current situation is already out of hand. Can't imagine it getting worse. It would be awful.
221	2021-11-23 13:47:47	Yes	B3T1N6	No			
224	2021-11-23 12:32:20	No	B3T1H8	Yes			
236	2021-11-22 18:40:08	Yes	B3t1k1	Yes	Yes	No	
237	2021-11-22 18:02:45	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	Impossible to obtain with some traps.
239	2021-11-22 16:06:06	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	Rodents are smart will increase with the increase of raw organics into the landfill. The present systems doing a fine job. Why change things now?
241	2021-11-22 15:52:47	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
245	2021-11-22 15:00:25	Yes	B3t1l2	Yes			
246	2021-11-22 14:31:14	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	Yes	No	I don't think you can stop the impact from rats.
252	2021-11-22 10:29:13	Yes	b3z1b6	Yes	Yes	No	3rd party consultation does not appear to have been completed.
256	2021-11-22 08:51:00	Yes	B3T1E1	Yes			
261	2021-11-21 21:23:53	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	Rats are extremely resourceful and adaptable. They have managed to extend their range to almost all of North America. I do not feel baiting will be effective at preventing them from leaving the facility. It may help control numbers at the facility but there will be an increased likelihood of rats leaving the facility and establishing themselves in the surrounding area.
262	2021-11-21 19:24:14	Yes	B3T1K8	Yes	Yes	No	It appears that the baiting system is insufficient to offset the increase number of rodents because the rodents will multiply faster than the baiting system will remove them.
271	2021-11-21 07:36:12	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
273	2021-11-21 06:17:22	Yes					
274	2021-11-21 05:29:13	Yes	B3z0e3	Yes			
280	2021-11-20 15:12:21	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	Yes	No	
282	2021-11-20 13:50:38	No	B3t1e9	Yes	Yes	No	Ever since the construction of the brunello golf course, the rodent problem in my neighborhood has increased dramatically. Not sorting trash before burying it will undoubtedly increase rodent activity even more. Unacceptable. The facility was established in this area only because residents were assured the front end sorting would be maintained. Promises are being broken.
283	2021-11-20 13:45:48	Yes	b3z1b5	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting can kill birds also, and must be very controlled.
286	2021-11-20 06:33:59	Yes	B3t1j9	Yes	Yes	No	The "baiting program" is not explained. How much toxicity does this add to my local environment?
290	2021-11-19 20:39:47	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	No	We are ready have a rodent issue in many neighbourhoods. No matter what baiting is done we will see an increase



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
291	2021-11-19 20:19:27	No	B3Z4M8	Yes			
294	2021-11-19 18:47:39	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	Yes	No	Timberlea is overrun with rats
295	2021-11-05 07:00:55	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes			
296	2021-11-19 18:11:41	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	No		
298	2021-11-19 17:29:57	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	Yes	No	I don't know the success level of baiting programs. From my experience, rodents, and especially rats are able to learn from experience and tend to shy away from bait/traps after time
299	2021-11-19 17:18:58	Yes	B3t1k8	Yes	Yes	No	Rats are not possible to control there is no real way to prevent further rodents
303	2021-11-19 13:43:02	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	Yes	No	We have a rat issue in Timberlea and this is going to make it worse. Anytime during the day you can see rats running around our yards, coming out if compost bins, they are ripping open garbage that is sorted correctly and ripping open our washed recyclables
305	2021-11-18 08:56:37	Yes		Yes	Yes		
308	2021-11-19 13:17:59	No	b3z1a1	Yes	No		
311	2021-11-19 12:09:06	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	Don't agree in this context
318	2021-11-19 10:48:40	Yes	B3Z0B9	Yes			
319	2021-11-19 09:50:39	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	when i moved to Timberlea there was no rats over the last 5 years i have trapped over 500 rats.
320	2021-11-04 10:08:10	Yes	B3t1h7	Yes	Yes	No	We already have a bad problem with rodents in the area. They are not controlled well and will avoid the bait traps as they learn where they are. Rodents are very smart they have increased greatly since the landfill has opened
321	2021-11-19 10:09:52	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	Yes	No	
322	2021-11-19 09:54:14	Yes	B3Z0C6	Yes	Yes	No	it will generally increase rodents in the larger area
323	2021-11-19 09:53:08	Yes	B3t1g6	Yes	Yes	No	Never had rats in Timberlea before the landfill so change of measures will only make it worse
324	2021-11-05 19:00:42	No	B3z1a4	Yes	Yes	No	Why increase a problem when one is managed well now. It is well known that organics attract the unwanted.
325	2021-11-19 09:42:37	Yes	b3t1j3				
326	2021-11-19 09:37:22	Yes	B3t1c1	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting is proving to not be effective against high reproduction animals.
327	2021-11-19 09:32:40	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	Yes	No	The FEP & WSF were critical components of the contract the communities accepted. The tactics used by HRM in attempt to remove the FEP & WSF are abhorrent and not in good faith. The format of this "survey" is a disgusting reminder of the lengths policy makers will take.
333	2021-11-19 08:29:03	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	Same reason for my previous question. Organic materials are not meant for this landfill and as such, there needs to be a process to address organic materials that arrive. This was the original agreement with the community and must be honored
334	2021-11-19 08:01:46	Yes	b3t1c1	Yes			
335	2021-11-19 07:38:52	No	B3z1a3	Yes	No		
337	2021-11-18 19:34:24	Yes	B3T1c1	Yes	Yes	No	More organic waste is going to attract more animals. We don't know from this plan who is in charge of oversight and how rodent trends will be reported. How do we know if we need to re implement the removed equipment? We need a better plan and milestones before moving ahead
338	2021-11-18 19:12:19	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
339	2021-11-18 15:25:16	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	Territoriality might drive some of the rodents out of the landfill site before they take the bait.
341	2021-11-18 13:22:55	Yes	B3Z1A4	Yes	No		
345	2021-11-18 07:07:28	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	And if it doesn't work ..... what is plan B. Why are you not continuing with the current promised plan?
346	2021-11-18 06:20:03	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
347	2021-11-18 03:50:21	No	B3z0e3	Yes	Yes	No	More false information, organic waste should never be buried in a landfill. The FEP/WSF should be operated at the landfill.
355	2021-11-17 18:26:33	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes			
357	2021-11-13 22:48:08	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
360	2021-11-17 16:39:08	Yes	B3t1g5	Yes	Yes	No	There is rats the size of cats in our composters. They chew a holes in the bottom. This is a contributing factor from the landfill
363	2021-11-17 15:28:28	Yes	B3T2K1	Yes	Yes	No	
365	2021-11-16 12:57:50	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	I have yet to see any evidence that you can contain rats to a specific area simply by baiting them. We already have an increase in rats since the landfill was put in place.
367	2021-11-17 12:59:17	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	There is already a huge rodent problem in this area. Removing FEW/WSF will only provide the rodent population a food source and allow the population to grow. As long as there is a food source, there will be rodents which will then migrate to the surrounding area. To say there is no off-site impact is ridiculous.
370	2021-11-17 12:04:06	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste does not belong in a landfill
371	2021-11-17 11:14:48	No	B3Z1C2	Yes	No		
376	2021-11-17 05:51:40	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	You keep talking about the current measures yet that does not adress what will happen if you shut down the front end site and allow raw organics to be dumped.
377	2021-11-17 05:55:25	No	b3t1e1	Yes	Yes	No	
383	2021-11-16 20:49:29	Yes	B3t1j1	Yes	Yes	No	It will still bring to many rodents
385	2021-11-16 20:37:49	No	B3z4e9	Yes	Yes	No	As with birds, pre-sorting organics out reduces rodents attraction in the first place
386	2021-11-04 21:17:46	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	No	Rats, rats, rats
390	2021-11-16 19:52:37	No	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	
393	2021-11-16 15:24:34	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
399	2021-11-16 14:36:36	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes			
400	2021-11-16 14:22:06	Yes	B3Z1A2	Yes			
401	2021-11-16 13:51:53	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	No	untreated organics untreated will attract more rats, this area has enough of them already
406	2021-11-15 16:24:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	Define proximity
408	2021-11-12 11:37:48	Yes	B3z4n4	Yes	Yes		
414	2021-11-16 10:55:27	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	More compost material will attract more rodents - You have listed based on systems in place. Change will affect these systems
415	2021-11-09 10:20:20	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	I've lived here [REDACTED] years. We didn't agree to a dump. We've been lied to and are being manipulated to accept this.
416	2021-11-16 09:46:15	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	Yes	No	we already have a lot of rodents here. this WILL attract more.
417	2021-11-16 09:33:37	Yes	B3z1b5	Yes			
424	2021-11-16 07:21:05	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	
426	2021-11-16 06:54:36	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
430	2021-11-16 00:04:52	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	Again why would I take on additional risk even medium risk. Leave it alone or make it better
431	2021-11-15 23:20:55	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes			

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
434	2021-11-09 13:32:39	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
435	2021-11-15 21:26:49	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	Yes	No	
437	2021-11-15 21:17:27	Yes	B3z1b6	Yes	Yes	No	This is not a proven method
438	2021-11-15 20:50:10	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	Never get rid of rats. It's a huge problem on Timberlea.
440	2021-11-15 20:07:07	Yes	B3z1b5	Yes	Yes	No	The unsorted garbage will be of a source of rats absolutely you're right up does nothing to counter argue that
441	2021-11-15 19:26:51	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	The rodent issue will always be a problem
446	2021-11-15 19:21:29	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes			
450	2021-11-15 19:12:13	No	B3Z1B6	Yes			
452	2021-11-15 18:47:18	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	Your proposal will create the garbage that attracts rodents. What has been done is working -- it is NOT broken so do not attempt to fix it by coming up with a reactive solution to a problem that you are about to cause.
455	2021-11-15 17:56:20	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	Yes	No	I am uncomfortable with baiting problems and believe the problem should be dealt with before reaching that point.
458	2021-11-15 16:55:07	Yes	B3t1k7				
461	2021-11-15 15:55:27	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	Yes	No	
462	2021-11-15 15:50:42	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	No		
464	2021-11-15 15:25:06	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	Garbage is rodent food. Providing bait nearby is just offering another plate on the buffet. It may help, but it certainly will not solve the problem.
465	2021-11-15 15:35:49	Yes		Yes			
466	2021-11-15 15:32:08	No	B3Z0P7	Yes			
471	2021-11-15 12:25:46	No	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	See my previous response. No mitigations are perfect, so overall, the risk of spread of contamination into the environment are going to go up.
473	2021-11-15 12:31:09	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	No	
480	2021-11-15 10:39:20	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	
481	2021-11-15 10:56:31	Yes	B3t1n7	Yes	Yes	No	
484	2021-11-04 11:52:49	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	Yes	No	HAVE SEEN RATS IN THE NEIGHBOURHOOD OVER 10 YEARS (NONE BEFORE THE LANDFILL). MORE RECENTLY, PEOPLE HAVE BEEN SEEING MORE. BELIEVE THEY'RE RELATED TO LANDFILL AND GREEN BINS.
485	2021-11-15 10:05:04	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	Attract and kill, nice!
486	2021-11-15 08:43:10	No	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	No	Bait programs work as a means of feeding rodents with poison. With a limited food supply, rodents are more likely to eat the bait. Increasing their food supply (introduced with the loss of the Front-End Processor) decreases the likelihood of eating the bait, and will encourage breeding.
489	2021-11-15 09:21:03	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes			
495	2021-11-15 06:32:53	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes			
500	2021-11-15 05:27:21	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	No		
506	2021-11-14 21:35:47	No	B3Z1A1	Yes			
516	2021-11-14 17:12:19	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	Yes	na
528	2021-11-14 12:38:56	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	They don't address the unscreened garbage going into the landfill that make have hazardous materials and compostable items that should not be in the land fill
536	2021-11-14 10:25:11	Yes	B3z0b7	Yes	Yes	No	There is already a rodent issue in surrounding areas and there is that word again...Medium risk. Anything you change that makes it worse is unacceptable.

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
546	2021-11-14 07:10:17	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	No	Rats will only increase with the increase of a food source
547	2021-11-14 06:57:28	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents are already an issue in Timberlea!
559	2021-11-13 23:27:04	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	No		
560	2021-11-13 23:19:28	No	B3Z4P2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
564	2021-11-13 20:40:52	No	B3Z0R3	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents carry disease, especially when access to untreated/in sorted garbage is readily accessible.
566	2021-11-13 20:39:22	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	Timberlea is already over run with rats and you are intent on attracting even more
567	2021-11-13 20:30:54	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes		No	
571	2021-11-13 17:40:32	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	Broken promise to the community
572	2021-11-13 18:00:19	Yes	B3z1e1	Yes	No		
574	2021-11-13 17:18:43	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	We already have seen a significant increase in the rodent population.
575	2021-11-13 16:53:34	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	Yes	No	Once there is food on site for rodents, the population is bound to explode and we will never get rid of them, not to mention the increased disease it will bring with them.
583	2021-11-04 08:58:52	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	
593	2021-11-13 11:16:36	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	There is already a rodent problem in the communities surrounding the waste facility. Meaning that current mitigation efforts are not effectively handling off site impacts. If waste is no longer sorted the potential for increased rodent attraction is greater. Naturally the rodents will migrate to the surrounding areas. The negative effects for off site are larger than assessed.
597	2021-11-13 11:05:13	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes	Yes	No	We already have issues with rodents in the Timberlea area. Changing the way of dealing with waste will just add to the problem.
600	2021-11-13 10:00:56	Yes	B3z1a9	Yes	Yes	No	
604	2021-11-13 09:00:42	Yes	B3t1j7	Yes	Yes	No	With the change in processing it will not be enough and we already have a rat problem in Timberlea
606	2021-11-13 08:52:45	Yes	B3z1a2	Yes	No		
610	2021-11-13 08:24:03	Yes	B3Z0R8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
611	2021-11-13 08:38:30	Yes	B3t1h8	No			
612	2021-11-04 16:57:56	Yes	b3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	Timberlea now has a rat problem. it was not always like that. Indeed in the last 10-15 years. being [REDACTED] i certainly understand that baiting is only effective when no other or minimal food sources are present. they have already migrated outwards.
626	2021-11-13 04:20:39	No	B3z1b5	Yes			
627	2021-11-13 00:16:22	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	Little black rodent boxes don't solve the issue. It will get out if control as we now are living with the problem and it will get worse.
629	2021-11-12 22:56:37	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	It will be a dump
631	2021-11-12 22:01:33	No	B3Z4P2	Yes	Yes	No	Why will rodents go to baited traps when there is a buffet of food available to them?
633	2021-11-12 21:35:21	Yes	B3T1h8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
634	2021-11-12 21:34:14	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
643	2021-11-12 19:50:07	No	B3Z4N8	Yes	Yes	No	
646	2021-11-12 19:10:50	Yes	B3Z1A4	Yes	Yes	No	You'll need an awful lot of baiting traps. How about all the rodents that get around this measure.
652	2021-11-12 17:55:29	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	There is already a problem in this area with rodents and by disabling the Front end processor you are just giving them food to feed off of plus breaking the promise made to the community surrounding the land/fill when it was first opened



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
656	2021-11-12 18:03:42	Yes	B3t0a5	Yes	Yes	No	There are already many rats in Timberlea. Changes to this facility will make this worse
657	2021-11-12 17:30:49	Yes	B3Z1P6	Yes			
659	2021-11-09 20:27:04	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	
667	2021-11-06 19:19:20	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	We currently experience issues with rodents in our area, additional untreated waste will only increase the attractiveness of the landfill to more and more rodents
670	2021-11-05 10:25:41	Yes	B3t0b1	Yes	Yes	No	
671	2021-11-12 14:29:04	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	No	It will attract more Rodents.
672	2021-11-12 14:21:08	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	Yes	No	
674	2021-11-12 13:27:53	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	Absolutely not! We have more rodents now than ever in my years at my current address in Timberlea due to the current modus operandi of the landfill. I disagree that you're new proposals are going to aid in that regard at all and especially if you take away the FEP/WSF
676	2021-11-12 13:29:39	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	There is already a large population of rodents in the area. Baiting is clearly not working and can harm other wildlife
680	2021-11-12 13:13:46	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes			
681	2021-11-12 13:01:10	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	We already have rats you are inviting more
682	2021-11-12 12:51:55	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes			
683	2021-11-12 12:22:17	Yes	B3z1a4	Yes	Yes	No	Attracting animals and then killing them is wrong if the problem could be resolved by keeping current measures without having to harm animals
684	2021-11-12 12:30:01	Yes	B3t1j9	Yes			
686	2021-11-12 11:39:54	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	Yes	No	I would love to believe people really only send when should go there, but every garbage day shows that not all people do. If increased, this increases this issue and harder to get rid of
691	2021-11-12 11:31:45	No	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	No	
694	2021-11-12 11:33:06	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes			
697	2021-11-12 10:51:31	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents will always be attracted to anything remaining in the waste that they can eat.
700	2021-11-12 10:32:00	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	mitigation measures aren't needed if you don't create a problem to mitigate. This survey feels less like a survey and more of a railroading the survey taker into a pre-determined answer, which seems to be the norm with surveys I've done from HRM over the last few years. What's the purpose of sending this out if you don't want our opinion?
703	2021-11-12 09:55:11	No	B3z0r5	Yes	Yes	No	I do not believe this is true
705	2021-11-12 09:39:46	Yes	B3z1a3	Yes			
706	2021-11-08 17:16:47	No	B3Z1A9	Yes	No		
710	2021-11-12 09:30:26	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	
711	2021-11-12 09:39:05	No	B3z1a1	Yes	Yes	No	
714	2021-11-12 09:35:55	Yes	B3t1e5	Yes	Yes	No	
716	2021-11-12 09:31:18	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	
718	2021-11-12 08:56:42	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	There's no way to keep up with breeding of the rodents and they already a problem, it's just going to get worse

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
722	2021-11-12 08:13:27	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	The residents of the surrounding communities agreed to the placement of Otter Lake with the understanding that the FEP and WSF would be active throughout the lifespan of the facility. This move by the city is in blatant disregard of the original agreement, and while maybe not in violation of the specific provisions, is made clearly with disregard to the spirit of the agreement.
724	2021-11-12 07:53:21	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	No	Additional waste and less controls of the garbage deposited at the landfill will attract rodents. Once the controls are removed, they would never be reintroduced. Don't stop what is already working. If you wish to stop, relocate the facility.
727	2021-11-12 08:13:51	Yes	B3Z4M9	Yes	Yes	No	Landfill very close the BLUFF Wilderness Trail...baiting could impact wildlife wandering near landfill.
729	2021-11-12 08:16:19	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	
731	2021-11-12 07:35:00	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	No	
734	2021-11-11 16:39:38	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes			
737	2021-11-11 18:38:28	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	They're rodents. Being put in our community. This is severely disrespectful and will have a major impact on the future of our community. I.e. the ongoing development and future development.
738	2021-11-11 18:30:56	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	The opening of Otter lake facility introduced a rodent problem in the area which will only get worse.
740	2021-11-11 18:09:09	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	No	More trash without sorting out of food waste will bring more rats to the area.
742	2021-11-11 17:24:51	No	b3t1h5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
744	2021-11-11 16:28:40	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	We already have a rodent problem in Timberlea with the current baiting system, I doubt these measures will do enough to mitigate the very likely rodent increase that the change to this area will result in.
750	2021-11-11 12:46:39	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	No	Additional food waste in the landfill will attract more rodents than would be possible to bait or trap. Halifax is the 4th rattiest city in the Maritimes. It would be less expensive and more effective to retain and / or enhance the FEP to eliminate food waste from the landfill.
754	2021-11-11 11:54:48	Yes	B3T2J9	Yes	Yes	No	You see rats running around at the landfill now, it will increase, dont beak what is relatively working now.
755	2021-11-11 12:20:24	Yes	B3Z1A6	Yes			
756	2021-11-11 12:04:58	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	Ridiculous amount of rats the closer you get already.
758	2021-11-11 11:30:44	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	If you do not plan on sorting the waste and doing more than the above suggestions it won't help the rodents from coming to the landfill.
764	2021-11-11 09:46:30	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	Ask anyone in Greenwood heights if that process is working.
765	2021-11-11 09:36:19	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	No		
766	2021-11-11 09:41:01	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes	Yes	No	
786	2021-11-10 20:32:28	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	No		
787	2021-11-11 03:44:19	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
791	2021-11-10 20:35:01	No	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	Your word was given in a contract with the residents of our area and you have no right to make any changes.
792	2021-11-10 20:07:47	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	There are already rats in the surrounding neighborhoods, this will only make the problem worse. Not to mention that it will probably attract bears and raccoons. It wont matter how many traps you set, it wont be enough.

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
793	2021-11-07 06:48:23	Yes	B3Z4P7	Yes	Yes	No	As a community member I have no way of knowing if the current measures are adequate, continuing monitoring and assessment is necessary.
794	2021-11-10 19:48:51	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
796	2021-11-10 19:35:45	No	B3T1c7	Yes	No		
797	2021-11-10 19:02:17	Yes	B3t1j6	Yes	Yes	No	Need more info
802	2021-11-10 18:11:54	Yes	B3Z4P7	Yes	Yes		
803	2021-11-10 18:22:40	Yes	B3Z1A1	Yes	No		
811	2021-11-10 14:33:03	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	With the increase of rodents results in the increase of offspring. The more rodents the more the chance they will move into the residential areas. The more rodents the more issues and costs associated with trying to control them.
813	2021-11-10 15:30:00	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	You can't stop rats, if you put the food out there they will come !!
821	2021-11-10 14:05:36	Yes	B3t2c7	Yes	Yes	No	A bait program deals with after they have arrived not preventing it from happening.
822	2021-11-10 13:59:12	Yes	B3t1g3	Yes			
824	2021-11-10 13:30:08	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes			
825	2021-11-10 12:23:51	No	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	No	We bait around our property and have had neighbours use professional companies as well, it helps, but it isn't perfect, the professionals tell us organic waste near properties must be kept at a minimum to help.
831	2021-11-03 20:37:26	Yes	B3Z0b7	Yes	Yes	No	No need to deactivate the front end process there are too many issues that will arise from this to local neighborhoods.
842	2021-11-10 09:03:51	Yes	b3t1c8	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents are already a large concern for the area. Extra baiting is not nearly as effective as the reduction of additional rodents to the area.
845	2021-11-10 08:36:21	No	B3T1K1	No			
846	2021-11-10 08:25:15	Yes	B3T0B1	Yes	Yes	No	There is already a problem with rodents in the area. I don't think these mitigation's will be sufficient.
854	2021-11-04 08:06:12	Yes	B3Z1B8	Yes	Yes	No	I am concerned that if organics are not sorted out, there will be more food (organically) for rodents to feed on. This has not been addressed in this statement
855	2021-11-10 07:20:17	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting will not effectively defer rodents. The fact the report has not identified the risk does not mean there is no risk. Full impact will not be understood as the processor is still functioning.
859	2021-11-10 06:48:08	Yes	B3T1H6	No			
860	2021-11-10 06:26:28	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes		No	Rats are already a huge problem - additional trapping will not solve the issue.
864	2021-11-10 06:00:53	Yes	B3T1e6	Yes	Yes	No	
865	2021-11-10 05:00:14	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	There are numerous RATS in my neighborhood already. I have had to purchase traps, use them and dispose of the bodies already. Most was 23 in number 2 summers ago. I Strongly DISLIKE having to do so. Our dog and grandchildren are the reason I do this. Fewer fleas on the dog when the rats aren't here. Fleas can spread disease. \$\$ to control fleas on dog, concern over our health as a family
866	2021-11-10 04:46:48	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	Timberlea has few rats before the landfill. We have an abundance now. You should do many years of community rodent control with or without your expansion
867	2021-11-09 15:22:11	Yes	B3t1n9	Yes	Yes	Yes	B3t1n9

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
868	2021-11-09 22:33:05	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	Timberlea already has a huge rodent / rat problem. I feel this is only going to get worse. This was never suppose to be a non sorted, dump and go landfill
869	2021-11-04 15:25:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	You cannot believe that the rodent are only going to go directly to the on-site. Yes it will attract them even more which in turn will attract rodents in the on-site and they will not all be baited and will keep on looking around off-site as some communities are already too close..
871	2021-11-09 21:27:52	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
872	2021-11-09 21:14:13	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes			
873	2021-11-09 20:48:16	Yes	B3z1b5	Yes	Yes	No	It was agreed we'd have a state of the art landfill that would prevent this crap and now you're trying to go back on your word with a system that won't work. I thought the original life expectancy was 20 years or less. It seems we're already there, so now you think it's a good idea to start dumping raw garbage in an area surrounded by lakes.
874	2021-11-09 21:05:55	Yes	B3Z4L5	Yes			
875	2021-11-09 20:02:17	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	No	If you a very minimal problem now with rodents due to the FEP, then why mess with something that's working? Instead, you spread poisonous over a much larger area affecting more animals. Absolute insanity.
877	2021-11-09 20:24:49	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes			
886	2021-11-09 19:32:11	Yes	B3Z1B7	Yes			
891	2021-11-09 19:10:01	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	We already have a serious rat problem close to the dump and it will no doubt not help the situation
893	2021-11-09 19:01:00	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	
894	2021-11-09 17:54:43	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents continue to be attracted to the area. No mitigation process: will 100% address this issue.
896	2021-11-09 18:21:25	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	There will definitely be more rodents and these mitigation measures will not stop them. There will be more rodents that will spread to surrounding (residential) areas
897	2021-11-09 18:06:35	No	B3Z1A7	Yes			
898	2021-11-09 17:38:11	Yes	b3t1g7	Yes	Yes	No	the amount of rodents in the neighbourhoods surrounding the landfill have steadily increased over the last several years, anticipate this increasing exponentially
899	2021-11-09 17:30:26	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	No		
902	2021-11-09 16:58:34	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	No	We have a ridiculous amount of rats in the area noe and this will make it worse, baiting will effect wild and domestic animal, water pollution and effects the entire environment.
903	2021-11-09 16:14:02	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	No	Same as my previous comment
904	2021-11-04 18:05:37	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	Where is the data supporting the claim of no off site impact? Has a decommissioned FEP/WSF been studied? Have several?
909	2021-11-09 16:32:47	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	Yes	No	I don't think enough is being done to control rodents. I have lived in Timberlea for over 20 years, and believe there has been a dramatic increase in rodents since the landfill was put in.
910	2021-11-09 16:23:16	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	Deactivating the FEP/WSP will likely lead to more organic material in the landfill. I am unclear on how this reduces the number of rats attracted.
912	2021-11-09 16:08:32	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
916	2021-11-09 15:49:15	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	Yes	No	Because these mitigation measures are not entirely effective. Why is this facility in such close proximity to residential areas? There are rats out on the streets even during daylight hours.
919	2021-11-09 15:28:47	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	Rats are rats
924	2021-11-09 14:51:54	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	No	Generally baiting programs are not effective if the food source is maintained for the rodents. This will result in a net increase to the rodent population
929	2021-11-09 14:29:10	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	What is the difference between the current baiting program and the mitigation one?
930	2021-11-09 14:42:58	Yes	B3Z0B6	Yes			
931	2021-11-09 14:35:53	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	No	
933	2021-11-09 13:57:44	Yes	b3z1a5	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents will spread
936	2021-11-09 13:09:39	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	We trap rats everyday already in our neighborhood
941	2021-11-09 13:15:03	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	We now have rats in our subdivision, Greenwood heights
944	2021-11-09 13:17:56	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	
946	2021-11-09 12:50:39	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting will not be an effective measure. Once rodents arrive in larger numbers they will disperse into areas far beyond the landfill disposal site.
948	2021-11-09 12:30:38	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting will only work minimally and will present a risk to animals who might feed on poisoned rats depending on the type of bait used. The best measure is not removing the measures that already work.
950	2021-11-09 11:29:08	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	You are all literally so dumb it amazes me. You will not control an ALREADY out of control rodent issue we experience in this area by adding more open pollution to our area. Give your head a shake
954	2021-11-09 11:42:48	Yes	B3t1e7	Yes	Yes	No	I have lived in timberlea for [REDACTED] yrs. Since the existing dump has been here the rats have been ramped and the smell on some days is enough to make you sick.
956	2021-11-09 11:49:10	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Timberlea is already filled with rats because of the dump!
957	2021-11-09 11:51:50	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	Yes	No	
958	2021-11-09 11:43:46	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes			
959	2021-11-04 22:16:03	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	rat control needs to be more directed to the reason for rat increase and managed in an environmentally conscious way not by bating
961	2021-11-09 11:28:30	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	They are all ready here, and we're not before
962	2021-11-09 08:05:43	Yes	b3t1j3	Yes	Yes	No	Our neighbourhood is growing at a fast rate and I fear closure of FEP/WSF will result in an unacceptable increase of rodent population
964	2021-11-09 11:24:08	Yes	B3T1H6	No			
965	2021-11-09 11:11:17	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	I've lived in Timberlea my entire life. That facility has brought a major rodent issue here.
966	2021-11-09 11:16:21	Yes	B3T1G1				
967	2021-11-09 11:05:37	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	No	Again, I do not trust these measures will work. There is already a massive rodent issue in timberlea
970	2021-11-09 10:50:59	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
973	2021-11-09 10:41:12	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	Because you can never get rid of rodents! They breed no matter what!
975	2021-11-09 09:33:49	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	Yes	No	We have issues with over run populations of rodents now. Living so close to the disposal, I don't believe just some baiting in the facility area will be enough
978	2021-11-09 08:55:19	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	Yes	No	
985	2021-11-09 08:08:57	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
987	2021-11-09 07:27:43	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	Timberlea is already infested with rats the current measures are not enough. De-activating the FEP/WSF will only make things worse.
989	2021-11-09 07:30:09	Yes	B3T1c8	Yes			
990	2021-11-04 07:05:57	No	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents are a problem in our area now and will only get worse. It is not so much the delivery of rodents to the area but the growing population that already exists and will continue to grow.
991	2021-11-09 06:40:53	No	B3z1a2	Yes	Yes		
992	2021-11-09 06:15:07	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	No		
993	2021-11-09 05:59:40	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	Adding poison to a area close to my home is very concerning. Espically with the risk of it escalating up the food chain, or it being inadvertently moved. This ever so slightly increases the risk that a lost pet may be poisoned by the change.
998	2021-11-09 04:34:13	No	B3Z1B5	Yes			
999	2021-11-08 23:44:20	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	No	So your risk is that it will increase the attraction of rats (which is an issue as it will happen and not a risk) and you are going to invest in rat poison to fix it. A mitigation to the risk would be something to avoid the risk. The mitigation being to the risk would be to not deactivate the FEP/WSF. There is a difference.
1000	2021-11-08 22:51:36	Yes	B3z1b6	Yes	Yes	No	I don't have confidence in the sincerity of a biased report, written with the purpose of justifying deactivation of this part of the facility. The residents of this area were promised by the council of the day that front end sorting would be a permanent part of the Otter Lake landfill.
1002	2021-11-08 22:17:36	Yes	b3t1j9	Yes			
1003	2021-11-08 21:52:13	Yes	B3T1K8	Yes	Yes	No	
1004	2021-11-08 21:23:27	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	
1006	2021-11-08 21:03:07	Yes	B3T1h9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1008	2021-11-08 20:43:59	Yes	B3t1j6	Yes			
1010	2021-11-08 17:49:55	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	Yes	No	
1011	2021-11-08 19:40:36	Yes	B3z1a9	Yes	Yes	No	All of the mitigation measures are weak. They might do some of these measures, but they will eventually fizzle out or not do them enough in the first place.
1013	2021-11-08 19:54:19	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	We already have a rodent problem in the area I don't see how this will help the situation
1015	2021-11-08 19:41:27	Yes	B3z1a9	Yes	Yes	No	If the FEP/WSF is removed a key layer of detection will be gone as well
1023	2021-11-08 18:16:37	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	No	You are planning to stop front end recycling
1025	2021-11-08 17:53:19	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	No	Due to the increased rat problem on our property we have now had to implement, at our expense, yearly rodent control measures to decrease their presence on our patio and lawn areas during the milder seasons. clearly this problem is increasing despite your present efforts as we did not have issues in earlier years.
1027	2021-11-08 17:51:15	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	No		
1030	2021-11-08 17:27:06	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	Like last response, it obviously isn't working as there are many rats in Greenwood heights area, Please ask anyone in that neighborhood
1031	2021-11-08 17:39:32	Yes	B3z1a7	Yes	No		
1032	2021-11-08 17:36:13	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	The rodent problem continues to increase in our neighborhoods for many reasons, adding additional food sources will not help control these already existing populations.
1033	2021-11-08 17:33:51	Yes	B3z1a8	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1037	2021-11-08 17:12:36	Yes	B3T1E6	Yes	Yes	No	The area is already teeming with rats and other vermin
1038	2021-11-08 16:58:28	Yes	B3Z0R8	Yes	No		
1040	2021-11-08 13:18:25	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes		
1043	2021-11-08 16:48:59	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes	No	have to eliminate rats from my property
1044	2021-11-08 16:56:44	Yes	b3z0a1	Yes			
1047	2021-11-08 16:28:00	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	No	What type of bait are you using and how can you guarantee that other animals will not be affected?
1048	2021-11-08 16:16:45	Yes	B3t1J5	Yes	Yes	No	
1052	2021-11-08 15:41:38	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	No	If the rats think/know there is food available, they will come and NOTHING will stop them. The only way to keep them out is not to provide any source of food in the first place.
1053	2021-11-08 15:40:09	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting will not fix the entire problem
1057	2021-11-08 15:04:19	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	
1059	2021-11-08 14:44:08	Yes	B3t1J1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1062	2021-11-08 14:15:18	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes			
1068	2021-11-08 13:00:55	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	The number of rodents would only be slightly reduced with baiting. The extra food supply will be what drives the numbers.
1070	2021-11-08 12:36:46	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	
1072	2021-11-08 12:39:58	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	
1073	2021-11-08 12:21:31	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	
1074	2021-11-08 12:18:10	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	No		
1078	2021-11-08 11:46:27	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	We already have rodents in our yards and only see it getting worse..
1079	2021-11-08 09:58:17	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	Landfills change animal behavior, so do methods of extermination. The best idea is not attract them in the first place.
1081	2021-11-08 11:40:16	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1083	2021-11-08 11:40:47	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1084	2021-11-08 11:15:49	No	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Like the other measures mentioned, I believe this also will be insufficient... my community is being shafted in the name of pinching pennies and nobody gives a rat's ass (and there will be plenty of those to give!)
1085	2021-11-08 11:05:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes		
1086	2021-11-08 08:45:33	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
1087	2021-11-08 10:29:46	Yes	B3t1h4	Yes	Yes	No	There's no way to effectively manage a large onslaught of rodents. Limiting the bait is the main way. Is this a rodent poisoning plan? If so I have additional concerns.
1091	2021-11-08 10:03:16	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes		
1099	2021-11-08 08:47:09	Yes	B3t1g7	No			
1100	2021-11-08 08:54:54	No	B3Z1E1	Yes			
1106	2021-11-08 08:40:36	Yes	B3T0B1	Yes	Yes	No	Still too many rats in residential subdivisions
1111	2021-11-08 08:30:29	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
1125	2021-11-08 06:56:53	Yes	b3t1h5	Yes	Yes	No	The by-products of solid waste deposited in a landfill has adverse effects on the surrounding environment and humans living closer to landfill sites. Sacrificing human living conditions, safety and the environment for money is WRONG.
1135	2021-11-07 21:03:45	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1136	2021-11-07 20:16:23	Yes	B3Z1B2	Yes	Yes	No	Our family has lived in this area for [REDACTED] years. We never experienced rodents on our property prior to the opening of the Otter Lake Facility, but in the last number of years we are seeing a significant number of rats in our yard. We regularly trap and kill these rats and can expect there will be a significant increase in these rodents if the proposed changes take effect.
1138	2021-11-07 21:04:04	Yes	b3t1g4				
1141	2021-11-07 20:16:43	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	There will be an increase in rats and other rodents. Increased trapping will not stop their proliferation
1146	2021-11-07 18:25:27	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	The rat issue in BLT will only increase. Once again, have you not learned anything from the Sackville DUMP where FEP/WSF did not exist. This system works and should be continued. The traps will do nothing compared to the positive effect FEP/WSF
1148	2021-11-07 18:51:41	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	Have seen more rats in my neighbourhood lately. Maybe there has already been reduced waste removal!!??
1150	2021-11-07 18:25:35	No	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	No	Increase in rats in our neighbourhood.
1153	2021-11-07 17:54:03	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	
1154	2021-11-07 17:46:59	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes			
1156	2021-11-07 17:17:16	No	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	A promise was made to the community. Find a new site and stop trying to make this a dump. Our community will see an increase in rodent beyond what we already have.
1162	2021-11-07 16:10:15	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	No		
1164	2021-11-07 13:15:12	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	No		
1166	2021-11-07 14:31:05	No	b3t1j1	Yes	Yes	No	Report should have included estimates based on other facilities that do not have FEP&WSF.
1168	2021-11-07 15:09:03	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	
1171	2021-11-07 14:43:36	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	We already have a rodent problem, of course increased rodents will spill over the landfill boundaries
1177	2021-11-07 14:08:08	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	
1179	2021-11-07 13:49:37	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	What are the potential negative effects on normal resident wildlife of an increase in baiting? What kind of bait will be used and is it persistent in the soil or water?0
1180	2021-11-07 14:05:52	No	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Timberlea has a bad rat problem which needs to be addressed
1186	2021-11-07 13:25:33	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1195	2021-11-07 11:05:26	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	potential for rodents increases with more garbage
1196	2021-11-07 10:50:23	Yes	B3T1E7	Yes	Yes	No	The changes to the FEP will increase the attraction of rodents to the area.
1204	2021-11-07 10:24:43	Yes	B3t1j6	Yes	Yes	No	
1207	2021-11-07 09:37:19	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	Yes	No	You will also need to deal with the increase rodent issue in the surrounding communities, we have enough of them now, will only get worse.
1209	2021-11-07 09:00:42	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	We live here and this is not acceptable in our backyard
1210	2021-11-07 09:18:16	Yes	b3t1j5	Yes			
1212	2021-11-07 08:47:48	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes			
1213	2021-11-07 08:02:00	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	increasing the food source for rodents will significantly increase the population in this area - baiting just introduces more toxins into the environment. This is a complete step backwards and negatively impacts the wildlife that eat the rodents and the waterways.
1218	2021-11-07 08:03:03	Yes	B3t1c1	Yes	Yes	No	No changes should be made



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1220	2021-11-07 07:02:14	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	No	Will monitoring be done on and off site to access the effects?
1226	2021-11-07 06:19:12	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1227	2021-11-07 06:26:01	Yes	B3t1e9	Yes	Yes	No	
1228	2021-11-07 05:49:15	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes		
1231	2021-11-03 16:47:38	Yes	B3Z1B3	Yes	Yes	No	
1234	2021-11-03 14:07:24	No	B3t0b1	Yes	Yes	No	
1235	2021-11-06 22:54:07	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	Also not enough
1237	2021-11-06 22:27:27	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	No		
1238	2021-11-06 22:11:58	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	Yes	No	
1243	2021-11-06 20:34:03	Yes	B3T1g3	Yes	Yes	No	
1245	2021-11-06 20:38:08	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	No		
1247	2021-11-06 20:16:52	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	No	less sorting will attract more rodents
1248	2021-11-06 20:23:50	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	Yes	No	
1249	2021-11-06 19:44:30	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents eat toxins and then arrive sick or die in our yards and get eaten by pets.
1251	2021-11-06 19:16:43	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	Again, more workers will be required to perform a job which now has an increased load of tasks.
1255	2021-11-06 18:36:24	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Like humans or viruses , animals adapt to their environments. Less front end sorting means more undesirable materials will enter the INd fill potentially attracting more rodents
1256	2021-11-06 18:45:14	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes			
1257	2021-11-06 18:22:14	Yes	B3t1g7	Yes	Yes	No	Our subdivision is overrun with rats , has been getting worse and worse ...otter lake has always been a problem for Timberlea residents
1262	2021-11-06 17:45:16	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	There's no way the baiting program will deal with all the rodents
1264	2021-11-06 16:55:43	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes			
1265	2021-11-06 17:28:55	Yes	B3t1j7	Yes	Yes	No	Have seen some rodents in our area currently and am concerned about the population increasing and crossing the highway
1266	2021-11-06 17:02:44	Yes	B3t1h4	Yes	Yes	No	
1267	2021-11-06 17:03:55	Yes	B3T1J8				
1269	2021-11-06 16:09:51	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	No	There has been an explosion in the rat population in Timberlea and surrounding areas due to the dump. It will only get worse.
1272	2021-11-06 16:10:29	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1277	2021-11-04 07:02:22	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	I prefer the protections remain in place as promised and agreed to..
1278	2021-11-04 12:01:56	No	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	No	
1283	2021-11-06 13:33:43	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
1284	2021-11-06 13:07:07	Yes	B3t1h9	No	No		
1286	2021-11-06 12:51:21	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	
1290	2021-11-06 11:43:02	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	We have noticed increased rodents/mice and rats since the landfill opened
1291	2021-11-06 11:52:45	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	Yes	No	
1292	2021-11-06 11:38:55	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
1297	2021-11-06 11:07:59	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes			
1298	2021-11-06 09:29:17	No	B3z1a4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1299	2021-11-06 09:27:10	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	No		
1303	2021-11-06 09:09:20	Yes	B3T1L2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1304	2021-11-06 09:00:32	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	inadequate. this is porpaganda, not a survey
1305	2021-11-06 08:55:14	Yes	B3t1g9	Yes	Yes	No	Rats are out of control now and will get worse with the new proposed changes

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1306	2021-11-06 08:29:50	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	Will not catch all the rats. There will be more with the new usages
1307	2021-11-06 08:25:15	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1308	2021-11-06 07:40:06	Yes		Yes			
1311	2021-11-06 08:24:50	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1316	2021-11-05 21:45:07	Yes	B3T1J4	No			
1321	2021-11-06 06:58:26	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	Yes	No	I don't want food waste or recycling going to the site
1326	2021-11-06 05:43:37	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes			
1327	2021-11-06 05:33:17	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	That won't work ☹️. Keep front end processing
1330	2021-11-05 23:03:05	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1331	2021-11-05 21:57:28	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	Yes	Yes	Continue to be concerned about rodents not being caught by efforts used.
1332	2021-11-05 22:30:16	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes			
1333	2021-11-05 21:49:28	Yes	B3t1c1	Yes	Yes	No	There is currently a rat problem in the Timberlea area. It is already almost out of control. There should be no tolerance for any additional risk for having additional rodents. None!
1334	2021-11-05 21:54:50	Yes	B3T1G3	No			
1335	2021-11-05 21:51:32	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	No	
1336	2021-11-05 21:33:28	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	The rats in my backyard that my husband continues to trap tells me your measures are not enough.
1337	2021-11-05 21:40:29	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	Yes	No	Will harm other creatures
1339	2021-11-05 21:05:42	No	B3T1H4	Yes	Yes		
1340	2021-11-05 20:50:25	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	We have seen an increase in rodents in our community since the landfill opened and this will not help the problem
1344	2021-11-05 20:04:54	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes			
1346	2021-11-05 19:28:58	Yes	B3Z4b8	Yes	Yes	No	On site impacts remain
1347	2021-11-05 16:24:45	No	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	Leave the FEP/WSF in place and mitigation won't be necessary.
1349	2021-11-05 18:58:14	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting rodents does not solve the problem. Increased food in the landfill will create more rodents, causing a need for baiting. In addition, poisons used on rodents are painful for the rodents and harmful to the greater environment, including harming birds.
1352	2021-11-05 18:45:51	Yes	B3t1e9	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents will come.. You can bait and kill all you want. Their numbers will increase over the months and years and they will invade the neighboring communities
1357	2021-11-05 17:41:33	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	No		
1365	2021-11-05 17:06:31	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	Yes	No	Again it is assessed as a medium risk, the assessment should be independently conducted
1366	2021-11-05 17:14:34	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes		
1367	2021-11-05 16:33:44	Yes	B3t1k1	Yes	Yes	No	Seriously!! So the rodents are so intelligent they won't travel! More rats here now since landfill was built and now you tell us you can manage it!
1372	2021-11-05 15:47:32	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	If there are more rodents then some will leave the area to find food and go into the community.
1374	2021-11-05 15:53:33	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	I don't feel that current measures are sufficient
1377	2021-11-05 15:45:02	No	B3z1a1	Yes			
1379	2021-11-05 15:43:33	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	No		
1380	2021-11-05 15:34:32	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting causes toxic inclusion in local ecosystem, with significant dangerous contamination.
1381	2021-11-05 15:39:24	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1382	2021-11-05 15:28:06	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	HRM does not look after any rodent issues in HRM. Even in the core they do not bait rats any more and that why we have such issues within HRM
1386	2021-11-05 14:46:49	Yes	B3t0a4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1387	2021-11-05 14:30:49	Yes	B3Z0R6	Yes	Yes	No	I am concerned the increased baiting program won't be enough to handle increased numbers of rodents.
1389	2021-11-05 14:25:03	Yes	B3t1c8	Yes	Yes	No	There are too many now.
1391	2021-11-05 13:29:03	Yes	b3t1j7	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting programs are marginally successful, as well the type & effect of certain bait is an inhuman way to get rid of rats
1392	2021-11-05 14:01:05	Yes	b3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	Treat the source not the symptom
1393	2021-11-05 13:48:50	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	Yes	No	More organic waste will attract more rodents.
1397	2021-11-05 13:27:25	No	B3t1j1	Yes	No		
1398	2021-11-05 13:38:39	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes			
1399	2021-11-05 12:39:08	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	No		
1400	2021-11-05 13:08:19	Yes	B3T1G9	Yes	No		
1403	2021-11-05 12:45:46	Yes	b3t2k4	Yes	Yes	No	
1405	2021-11-05 11:53:21	Yes	B3t1g8	Yes	Yes	No	
1406	2021-11-05 11:32:38	Yes	b3t1h2	Yes	No		
1411	2021-11-05 10:47:19	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	The current mitigation measures are inadequate. Rodent problems in this area are more common than in other areas.
1413	2021-11-05 09:38:01	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	Areas of Beechville, Lakeside & Timberlea currently have a huge rodent problem now due to the presence of the landfill. It will only get worse with the proposed changes.
1416	2021-11-04 11:40:18	No	B3Z1A2	Yes			
1417	2021-11-05 10:31:51	Yes		Yes			
1420	2021-11-05 09:52:16	No	B3Z1C1	Yes	Yes	No	Just because you have people working there on site doesn't mean it won't attract more rodents to the area
1421	2021-11-05 09:45:59	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	not what we agreed to when landfill came to our area
1422	2021-11-05 09:48:23	Yes	B3t1g4	Yes	Yes	No	Rats are terrible in Timberlea and nothing is being done about them
1425	2021-11-05 09:38:04	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes	Yes	No	Using poison in bait can cause other animals like owls to become sick and die if they eat one of the rodents.
1429	2021-11-04 17:07:08	No	B3Z1A3	Yes	Yes	No	Removal of the FEP/WSF will increase attraction and need for control of rodents
1433	2021-11-05 07:26:02	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes			
1437	2021-11-05 06:56:17	Yes	B3t1n9	Yes			
1439	2021-11-05 05:54:48	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	I have lived in the same house for almost 15 years and I see an increase in rats in my neighborhood since the site was opened. Proposed changes will increase the problem that already exists with existing measures in place. Your argument above is uninformed!
1441	2021-11-05 06:12:23	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	There are more rats in blt then any community I ever lived in
1442	2021-11-05 06:12:13	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	I live in Timberlea (since 1980) the issue that our neighborhood has is directly from the landfill. Both my neighbors on each side have captured 15+ rats in traps over the summer. And this is no new and is happening throughout Lakeside as well
1448	2021-11-05 05:33:41	Yes	B3t1h9	No			
1450	2021-11-05 05:08:27	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes			
1451	2021-11-05 04:33:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Have lived in Timberlea since 1980 and in past few years have seen an increase in rats. I have traps and they don't work, why should I believe this propaganda?

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1453	2021-11-05 00:32:01	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	Yes	No	Timberlea is well known for having a rat problem that the city has not done enough to mitigate. Residents have rodent chew through their car wires and the last house I rented as well as two neighbours have rats climb under the siding into the roofs in governors Glen causing thousands of dollars in damage. My concern is HRM has gone back on their promise to close Otter Lake.
1457	2021-11-05 00:05:15	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	
1460	2021-11-04 22:39:01	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	No		
1461	2021-11-04 22:38:33	No	B3T1E5	Yes			
1467	2021-11-04 21:27:35	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	Timberlea already has a significant rat problem. So a medium risk although medium only compounds the already significant issue even further.
1469	2021-11-04 21:04:09	No	B3Z4P8	Yes	No		
1470	2021-11-04 20:44:31	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	Definitely not, there is already a rodent issue within the area and outside the area as well because of this facility, a few more traps will not mitigate anything.
1471	2021-11-04 19:01:28	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	the rodent population in Timberlea keeps increasing each year.
1473	2021-11-04 20:44:19	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	No		
1476	2021-11-04 18:53:37	Yes	b3t1h4	Yes	Yes	No	we are still seeing rats that i must get rid of and would expect even more
1477	2021-11-04 20:29:50	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
1478	2021-11-04 19:58:15	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	You wouldn't need the baiting program if you continued to follow what was promised.
1482	2021-11-04 19:55:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	We are already experiencing a problem with Rats in our neighbourhood.
1484	2021-11-04 19:39:29	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	Yes	No	I do not want rats in my neighbourhood
1486	2021-11-04 19:38:26	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes	Yes	No	Then what?
1488	2021-11-04 19:19:14	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	No	Not enough. Deactivation was not the agreement
1489	2021-11-03 16:47:23	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	
1491	2021-11-04 19:03:04	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	
1495	2021-11-04 19:05:29	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes		
1497	2021-11-04 18:58:28	Yes	B3t1c6	Yes	Yes	No	
1499	2021-11-04 18:59:44	Yes	B3T1C6	No			
1501	2021-11-04 18:19:48	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	No	The city needs to uphold it's commitments instead of creating new problems.
1502	2021-11-04 18:39:01	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	Who is going to monitor the baiting?
1504	2021-11-04 18:31:01	Yes	B3T1G5				
1506	2021-11-04 18:05:59	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	We already have a rodent problem and this deactivation will NOT help matters. This site is so close to residential neighborhoods and you have a responsibility to keep the site running as safely and effectively as possible
1507	2021-11-04 17:17:44	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1508	2021-11-04 17:53:34	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1509	2021-11-04 17:43:26	Yes	B3Z1B2	Yes	Yes	No	
1511	2021-11-04 17:35:31	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	We have rodent issues now with proper sorting so it will likely get worse with no sorting.
1512	2021-11-04 17:32:50	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	No		
1513	2021-11-04 17:12:24	Yes	B3t1g5	Yes	Yes	No	Because the areas around the landfill are overrun with rats and it's only getting worse
1514	2021-11-04 17:10:56	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes	Yes		



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1516	2021-11-04 16:49:10	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	Rats are already a huge problem in Timberlea area. I currently use traps and bait outside and catch 4+ per week. This will only increase if it goes through
1517	2021-11-04 16:42:28	Yes	B3t1g3	Yes	Yes	No	
1519	2021-11-04 15:32:44	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents will not respond well to baiting if there is a supply of organic waste to feast on. With no front end separating, more organic waste will end up being in this facility. Therefore, more rodents and other wildlife will be attracted.
1521	2021-11-04 16:09:33	No	B3z1n2	No			
1523	2021-11-04 16:02:08	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes			
1525	2021-11-04 16:02:40	Yes	B3t1j3	Yes			
1526	2021-11-04 15:45:33	Yes	b3z0b7	Yes	Yes	No	This will increase rodents to surrounding areas - do not want this
1527	2021-11-04 15:42:38	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	No	you are just chasing them into our residential communities.
1528	2021-11-04 15:50:58	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1530	2021-11-04 12:00:25	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	Bait stations are ineffective as the poison is no longer effective against babies born to females who have fed on the bait.
1533	2021-11-04 15:28:41	Yes	B3T1N7	No			
1534	2021-11-04 15:23:08	Yes	b3t1p1	Yes	Yes	No	do NOT deactivate the Otter Lake FEP/WSF
1540	2021-11-04 14:09:42	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	With open dumping it is only natural it will attract all sorts of vermin .Presently a rodent situation exists in our areas . I have made this complaint to HRM in the past, their is no vermin control in our areas this is some what due to the rodent infestation at th
1542	2021-11-04 14:13:21	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	I can't imagine the city will have baiting 5 kl. Away from landfill
1543	2021-11-04 14:20:17	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting does not work when there is garbage everywhere, not a good fix
1546	2021-11-04 14:07:47	Yes	B3t1g5	Yes			
1548	2021-11-04 12:41:11	No	B3Z0C6	Yes	Yes	No	By deactivating the FEP and WSF more organic material, banned and unacceptable substance will enter the landfill and will attract more rodent. We don't want another sackville dump on our had this close to the city
1549	2021-11-04 13:38:21	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes			
1550	2021-11-03 15:47:25	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes			
1551	2021-11-04 12:47:17	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	There is already a major problem with rodents in the area. The city can't control the rodents problem now. more unsorted garbage will only exacerbate the problem.
1552	2021-11-04 13:19:48	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes	Yes	No	Rats will find a way where the food source increases. We are told that all the time with wildlife
1553	2021-11-04 13:01:16	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1554	2021-11-04 13:19:04	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	
1555	2021-11-04 13:23:55	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1558	2021-11-04 13:17:55	No	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1560	2021-11-04 12:48:26	Yes	b3t1h6	Yes	Yes	No	
1561	2021-11-04 12:45:07	No	b3z4m7	Yes			
1562	2021-11-04 12:45:14	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes			
1563	2021-11-04 12:19:45	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	If you are knowingly bringing additional rodents on-site you can't expect to bait/trap/kill them all. Not to mention if you are using poison to secondary delivery if poison to other animals which eat the deceased rodents.
1565	2021-11-04 12:24:59	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	No		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1566	2021-11-04 12:10:16	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting can only do so much, and rodents are starting to out smart these traps. With reduced sorting ability this will most definitely attract rodents to the area
1567	2021-11-04 12:08:51	Yes	b3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	this is insufficient.
1570	2021-11-04 11:57:25	Yes	B3t1e1	Yes			
1571	2021-11-04 11:52:08	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes	Yes	No	
1573	2021-11-04 11:42:15	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	I have caught 6 big rats near my house in the last 4 months..More organics in the garbage will mean even more rats
1574	2021-11-04 11:46:39	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes			
1575	2021-11-04 11:36:59	Yes	B3T1G2	Yes	Yes	No	
1576	2021-11-04 11:40:29	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
1578	2021-11-04 11:27:13	Yes	B3t1g6	Yes	Yes	No	I do not want the FEP/WSF deactivated
1579	2021-11-04 11:35:28	No	B3t1n8	Yes			
1580	2021-11-04 11:30:27	Yes	b3z1a2				
1586	2021-11-04 10:48:09	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1587	2021-11-04 10:41:17	Yes	B3t1j3	Yes	Yes	No	Concerned that this might not be enough
1588	2021-11-04 08:59:10	Yes	B3t1h7	Yes	No		
1591	2021-11-04 09:07:25	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	The current measures do not come close to controlling the present situation in rodents. We have a major problem throughout BLT with rats and mice which is on the increase. If you discontinue the current 2 sorting areas, this will only multiple the already bad situation.
1592	2021-11-04 10:24:08	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes			
1593	2021-11-04 10:18:32	Yes	b3t1j7	Yes	Yes	No	
1597	2021-11-04 09:39:27	No	B3Z1B8	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting programs need to be elaborated, material that is not stabilized may attract more rodents.
1599	2021-11-04 10:04:29	Yes	B3T1L2	Yes	Yes	No	Are area has been know to have lots of rats. Is the city prepared to supply traps or bait to homes with these issues at no charge to the homeowner?
1602	2021-11-04 10:00:48	Yes	b3t1h1	Yes			
1604	2021-11-04 09:30:59	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	Won't work
1606	2021-11-04 09:26:11	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	There will be more rodents.
1607	2021-11-04 09:26:37	Yes	B3z1a1	Yes	Yes	No	I would like to see strong waste sorting measures in place to ease my concerns.
1608	2021-11-04 09:22:40	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1610	2021-11-04 09:28:16	Yes	B3T1P1	No			
1611	2021-11-04 09:18:14	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	The baiting is not working there are rats everywhere in this neighborhood now. So current measures are not even enough
1612	2021-11-04 09:11:23	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents are already an issue, and will only get worse.
1614	2021-11-04 09:13:51	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	
1615	2021-11-04 09:18:19	Yes	b3t1e5	Yes	Yes	No	
1617	2021-11-04 09:00:39	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	No		
1618	2021-11-04 09:11:00	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	No		
1619	2021-11-04 09:06:19	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	
1625	2021-11-04 08:59:55	No	B3z4b8	Yes			
1627	2021-11-04 08:54:25	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1628	2021-11-04 08:55:29	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes			
1630	2021-11-04 08:37:38	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	
1632	2021-11-04 08:40:01	Yes	B3T0a6	Yes	No		
1633	2021-11-04 08:42:48	Yes	B3t1k1	Yes			

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1635	2021-11-04 08:02:36	No	B3Z4P2	Yes	No		
1640	2021-11-04 08:24:38	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	
1643	2021-11-04 08:22:13	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	No	
1645	2021-11-04 08:12:52	No	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	N
1646	2021-11-04 08:04:35	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe this will solve an already out of control rat problem in the Timberlea area
1647	2021-11-04 08:04:52	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	
1649	2021-11-04 08:03:47	Yes	B3t1n7	No			
1653	2021-11-04 07:09:27	No	B3Z0B7	Yes	Yes	No	If you're baiting, you already have the problem
1654	2021-11-04 07:12:12	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
1657	2021-11-04 06:58:21	Yes	B3T1G2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1661	2021-11-04 05:54:23	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	This matter is greater than a medium risk and using the word implementation without explaining the process is NOT an acceptable solution. A hard NO on this non-answer to a serious issue
1662	2021-11-04 06:16:57	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	I have lived in this area for the past [REDACTED] years. Rodents were never seen until this disposal site was placed here and now they increase in numbers every year. This is an ongoing problem that will continue to get worse and residents are angry.
1663	2021-11-04 06:25:23	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1665	2021-11-04 05:59:57	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting programs are not always successful. This area has a huge problem with rodents and this will only increase the problem.
1666	2021-11-04 06:08:58	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1669	2021-11-04 05:35:32	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	The Rats are bad now, I don't believe it's gonna change
1673	2021-11-04 04:03:06	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	Just saying baiting will happen doesn't give actual verified numbers and percentages of successful mitigation. We aren't talking about a few dozen rats. Unchecked, who's to say we might not get hundreds and hundreds of rats and lord knows what else. The rate of baiting would have to be astonishingly large. Prove it can be done.
1674	2021-11-03 23:29:36	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	Yes	No	Your band-aid solutions will NEVER outrun the rodents. Say what you like, it is US who will be hit.
1676	2021-11-03 23:06:06	Yes	B3t1j1	Yes	Yes	No	How can you implement a baiting program, if one already exists. And at that point, does a poor job of managing rodents in the area as it is, while the facility maintains current efficiency. Increasing the volume of waste and then trying to compensate for the increased rodent attraction hardly seems cost effective or sensible
1678	2021-11-03 22:44:00	Yes	B3t0a6	Yes	Yes	No	Same, not acknowledging more issues
1685	2021-11-03 22:11:38	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	Yes	No	Timberlea is already overwhelmed with rodent issues.
1686	2021-11-03 22:03:40	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	No	Rats will move around
1687	2021-11-03 21:45:00	Yes	B3T1k1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	8
1688	2021-11-03 21:28:30	No	B3z0e3	Yes	No		
1690	2021-11-03 21:54:38	Yes	B3t1e1	Yes	Yes	No	
1698	2021-11-03 21:19:14	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	Baiting won't be effective. And if the bait involves poison it is an ecological nightmare for animals that might scavenge poisoned rats.
1700	2021-11-03 21:18:46	Yes	B3z0b6	Yes	Yes		
1701	2021-11-03 21:09:16	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	Concern on how long you will maintain these measures until eliminating those to save more money. The entire proposal is in contempt of the original agreement with the community
1702	2021-11-03 21:06:16	No	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	There is no guarantee that the information you are providing is accurate

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1704	2021-11-03 20:34:32	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	Halifax is infested with mice and rats now. Changing the system will only increase the problem.
1705	2021-11-03 21:00:21	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes			
1707	2021-11-03 20:42:09	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	There is currently an issue with rodents in the BLT area. This will certainly increase the population in the area.
1708	2021-11-03 20:45:00	No	B3T1H1	Yes	No		
1709	2021-11-03 20:44:31	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	
1711	2021-11-03 20:35:45	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	No	Entire community that accepted the landfill site is infested with rats now. It will guaranteed get worse!!!!!!
1713	2021-11-03 20:37:12	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes	Yes	No	
1714	2021-11-03 20:17:03	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	No	We are plagued with rats in our neighbourhood and it is only going to get worst.
1717	2021-11-03 20:30:25	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	No	
1719	2021-11-03 20:22:33	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	
1723	2021-11-03 20:09:36	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	No	
1724	2021-11-03 19:56:33	Yes	B3T2C7	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents breed quickly and are disease carriers. Neighbourhood cats will eat the rodents and become ill. The cats will urinate, defecate, and further spread disease.
1725	2021-11-03 20:05:26	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	
1727	2021-11-03 19:19:43	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes	No	Rat population in my area appears to currently be unmanaged any increase is not acceptable
1729	2021-11-03 19:34:09	No	B3Z0B7	Yes	Yes		
1730	2021-11-03 19:28:16	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	
1733	2021-11-03 19:18:41	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	BLT community has a massive rodent problem that I've personally witness ruin homes. Baiting is not going to solve the problem at all
1736	2021-11-03 18:59:16	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	No	I've lived in Timberlea for 10 years... I never saw a rat before this shit hole was forced upon us.... I spend far too much money and time trying to keep the rats away.... I'm too old for this shit.. I
1737	2021-11-03 19:17:06	Yes	B3t0b1	Yes			
1739	2021-11-03 19:06:12	Yes	B3t1c6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1743	2021-11-03 18:49:09	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes	No	We the people that live near the landfill have seen an increase to rodents providing yourself a solution doesn't provide us one. They will continue to stray into our community
1744	2021-11-03 18:57:02	Yes	B3t1n5	Yes	Yes	No	Poisoning rodents will have an affect further up the foodchain. Ie scavengers will be poisoned as well
1745	2021-11-03 19:06:36	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes			
1746	2021-11-03 18:47:19	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	Do you have any idea how many rats are in this area???!!! Already there are so many, they are run over by cars. My neighbour trapped 18 in two weeks. Baiting is also dangerous to wildlife who prey on rodents
1748	2021-11-03 18:51:42	Yes	B3T1H5	Yes			
1749	2021-11-03 18:54:05	Yes	B3T1e2	Yes	Yes	No	
1750	2021-11-03 18:41:25	Yes	B3t1n9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1751	2021-11-03 18:41:30	Yes	B3t2c7	Yes	Yes	No	
1755	2021-11-03 18:21:33	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	
1756	2021-11-03 18:25:28	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1758	2021-11-03 18:01:26	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	There are already too many rats in this area.
1760	2021-11-03 18:00:28	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	Yes	No	To control rodents at a landfill would be an additional expense.
1762	2021-11-03 18:03:46	No	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	Timberlea is over run with rats, likely from the landfill. Do some community outreach research and attempt to assist with the issues.



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1768	2021-11-03 17:18:17	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes	No	Is the baiting using poison that will affect other animals
1769	2021-11-03 17:31:01	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	Rats have increased in this area since the facility have opened, not hoing to stop it.
1770	2021-11-03 17:30:19	Yes	B3t1g2	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents are already a huge issue and increasing landfill dumping is going to create an exponential increase in rodents
1771	2021-11-03 17:23:02	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	This will attract so many more pests, which will then spread to the surrounding communities who only agreed to this landfill if there was a sorting facility.An agreement was signed. You cannot violate it at your convenience.
1773	2021-11-03 17:25:28	Yes	b3t1g8	Yes	Yes	No	
1777	2021-11-03 17:02:51	Yes	B3T1G3	No			
1780	2021-11-03 16:41:40	No	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	No	I feel the deactivation process will bring more rodents
1784	2021-11-03 16:30:37	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	No	Rats are already an issue. So you will just make it worse
1787	2021-11-03 16:24:36	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1790	2021-11-03 15:18:48	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	There are so many faults in Timberlea. We see them all the time, despite residents efforts to control them. This is while the FEP/WSF is still in place. You lie if you say deactivation will not impact the rat population. It is disgusting.
1791	2021-11-03 15:48:28	Yes	b3t1c6	Yes	Yes	No	rodents are already a large issue within the community - with the construction at Brunello these rodents moved into other subdivisions. More food sources means more rodents
1792	2021-11-03 15:54:37	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes			
1794	2021-11-03 15:02:31	Yes	B3T1E6	Yes	Yes	No	Timberlea has a long term known issue with rodents, esp rats...as it is and we donot need anything to attract more to the area. Baiting programmes can harm other animals and/or pets
1796	2021-11-03 15:29:10	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1798	2021-11-03 15:24:18	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes			
1799	2021-11-03 15:11:55	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	So you'll add more of a food source for wildlife then kill them?
1802	2021-11-03 15:06:44	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	
1807	2021-11-03 14:48:59	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe baiting will be enough to control the increase that will be seen in the area
1808	2021-11-03 14:48:41	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	No	
1809	2021-11-03 14:43:40	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	If on site there will be more offsite too
1813	2021-11-03 14:09:13	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	The surrounding communities already have an extensive issue with rodent control. If you deactivate the FEP/WSF and rely only on "more baiting" I worry about an even great influx of rodents in our neighbourhoods. Making them less sanitary for our children, decreasing the real estate values and also giving the communities a stigma.
1815	2021-11-03 14:25:59	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	It's not enough!!
1819	2021-11-03 14:10:05	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	No	Again you dont know the full level of the increase
1820	2021-11-03 13:05:14	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	I have lived in Timberlea since the inception of this bitterly opposed project and have witnessed the advent of the growing rat presence in the surrounding areas.If HRM were interested in doing a survey approx to this concern,it would signify that this problem is extant.
1821	2021-11-03 13:05:04	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Wait until all the extra unchecked crap gets sent into the landfill....rat heaven!!

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Delivery of Rodents to the Disposal Area							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the delivery of rodents to the disposal area?	Do these mitigation measures address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why these mitigation measures do not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1822	2021-11-03 12:16:49	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	No	We already have a massive rat problem in Timberlea and baiting and trapping does not work if there is a massive food supply. They will keep coming back or reproduce quicker. Remove acces to food and they leave
1823	2021-11-03 13:04:31	Yes	B3Z4M2	Yes	Yes	No	this is a big concern.
1824	2021-11-03 12:42:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1825	2021-11-03 12:23:10	Yes	B3t1e3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1826	2021-11-03 12:09:07	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	No	You say there are no offsite rodent issues, have you asked the people who live nearer to the dump?
1827	2021-11-03 12:23:59	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	Yes	No	
1828	2021-11-03 12:00:58	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	I'm not interested in "mitigation". HRM promised to maintain the waste facility at a very high level, and I expect HRM to keep its promise to the community. We will not need "mitigation" if HRM does not downgrade the quality level with which it has been running the facility. I DO NOT AGREE WITH THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS.
1829	2021-11-03 10:32:33	No	B3Z1B1	Yes	Yes	No	I need further information regarding the baiting program they are proposing.
1830	2021-11-03 10:33:12	No	B3Z0C6	Yes	Yes	No	Rodents are attracted to organic food, food doesn't belong here
1831	2021-11-03 11:02:46	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	No	If the Fep and wsf is removed..more rodents will ultimately be a problem.i don't want to be infested with rats!!
1832	2021-11-03 10:55:01	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes			
1833	2021-11-03 09:50:24	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	If there is an increase in rodents on-site, it stands to reason there will also be an increase off-site
1834	2021-11-02 14:13:03	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	The increased food source will increase population and breeding. Not just at the site but the surrounding communities.

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
5	2021-12-04 13:27:05	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes			
6	2021-12-04 12:39:48	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	
8	2021-12-04 07:07:42	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	No		
9	2021-12-04 06:33:44	Yes	B3t1g9	Yes			
10	2021-12-04 02:33:16	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
11	2021-12-03 21:37:38	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	No		
19	2021-12-03 20:20:59	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	No		
20	2021-12-03 19:08:21	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	More vehicles more dust!
21	2021-12-03 19:54:38	Yes	B3Z4N4	Yes	No		
22	2021-11-06 14:53:34	No	B3Z4N4	Yes	No		
31	2021-12-03 12:59:41	No	B3z4p7	Yes	Yes	No	All of your mitigation responses so far are horrible for the environment, this just makes it worse
32	2021-12-03 12:35:11	No	B3Z1A7	Yes	No		
33	2021-11-13 15:08:28	Yes	B3Z0A2	Yes	No		
34	2021-12-03 11:45:55	Yes	B3Z0E3	Yes	No		
39	2021-12-03 11:03:31	No	B3Z4B8	Yes	No		
43	2021-12-03 10:19:56	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	No	
45	2021-12-03 09:58:37	Yes	B3Z4M2	Yes			
49	2021-11-04 09:54:17	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	No		
60	2021-12-02 22:25:31	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
61	2021-12-02 20:55:00	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
62	2021-12-02 19:31:27	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	No		
65	2021-11-03 17:45:52	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes			
69	2021-12-02 08:44:35	Yes	b3t1g1	Yes	Yes	No	no amount of dust suppression will fully compensate for the significantly increased traffic going to the face of the cell.
74	2021-12-01 17:41:09	No	B3T1P1	Yes			
76	2021-12-01 15:43:35	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	No		
80	2021-12-01 07:00:50	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes	No		
82	2021-11-30 20:25:42	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	No		
88	2021-11-30 18:37:55	No	B3Z4P7	Yes	No		
90	2021-11-30 16:46:22	Yes	B3Z1C1	Yes			
99	2021-11-29 21:36:15	Yes		Yes	Yes	No	
101	2021-11-29 19:39:20	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes			
107	2021-11-29 11:08:59	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	Yes	Yes	I don't have a problem with dust. I have a problem with shutting down the FEP/WSF.
108	2021-11-29 10:32:18	Yes	B3t1g6	Yes	No		
109	2021-11-29 09:58:39	Yes	B3t1g7	Yes	No		
110	2021-11-29 09:21:21	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	No		
115	2021-11-28 11:44:47	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	No		
117	2021-11-28 10:06:33	No	B3z1c2	Yes	No		
119	2021-11-28 06:53:55	No	B3Z0C6	Yes	No		
120	2021-11-27 21:32:29	Yes	b3z0a1	Yes			
121	2021-11-27 18:08:27	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	Yes	No	
130	2021-11-27 06:08:42	No	B3T1H6	Yes			
131	2021-11-26 18:29:35	Yes		Yes			
132	2021-11-26 15:49:04	No	B3Z1E1	Yes	No		
156	2021-11-25 17:57:02	Yes		Yes			
157	2021-11-25 17:25:24	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	Less trucks means less dust.
161	2021-11-25 14:32:29	No	B3Z4B8	Yes	No		
168	2021-11-25 11:07:39	Yes	B3T1E7	Yes	No		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
169	2021-11-25 11:05:59	Yes	B3Z1N4	Yes	Yes	No	n
170	2021-11-25 10:08:36	Yes	b3t1h1	Yes	Yes	No	tembec, what in the hell is that? something else that is no good for our health.
172	2021-11-25 09:54:02	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	No		
181	2021-11-25 07:26:32	Yes	B3T1n5	Yes	No		
185	2021-11-25 07:18:48	Yes	B3f0a6	Yes	Yes	No	
187	2021-11-25 06:42:33	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	No		
192	2021-11-25 05:08:05	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes	Yes	No	
198	2021-11-24 12:21:32	Yes	B3T1L2	Yes	Yes	No	The Otter Lake Facility was not meant to be operated as a truck and dump landfill. That has not changed since HRM's original agreement with the public's agent.
201	2021-11-24 11:54:28	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/WSF active.
203	2021-11-24 09:04:21	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	No		
206	2021-11-14 07:19:32	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
210	2021-11-15 19:26:29	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	No		
211	2021-11-23 19:29:41	Yes	B3z4b8	Yes			
216	2021-11-23 15:55:50	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/WSF active
217	2021-11-23 15:20:26	Yes	B3t1J4	Yes	No		
218	2021-11-23 15:08:55	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/WSF active.
219	2021-11-03 14:41:21	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	No		
221	2021-11-23 13:47:47	Yes	B3T1N6	No			
224	2021-11-23 12:32:20	No	B3T1H8	Yes			
236	2021-11-22 18:40:08	Yes	B3t1k1	Yes	Yes	No	
237	2021-11-22 18:02:45	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	Trucks displace a great deal of air when driving. More trucks means more dust and poorer air quality
239	2021-11-22 16:06:06	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
241	2021-11-22 15:52:47	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
245	2021-11-22 15:00:25	Yes	B3t1l2	Yes			
246	2021-11-22 14:31:14	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	No		
252	2021-11-22 10:29:13	Yes	b3z1b6	Yes		I do not have any concerns	
256	2021-11-22 08:51:00	Yes	B3T1E1	Yes			
261	2021-11-21 21:23:53	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	No		
262	2021-11-21 19:24:14	Yes	B3T1K8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
271	2021-11-21 07:36:12	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
273	2021-11-21 06:17:22	Yes					
274	2021-11-21 05:29:13	Yes	B3z0e3	Yes			
280	2021-11-20 15:12:21	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	No		
282	2021-11-20 13:50:38	No	B3t1e9	Yes	No		
283	2021-11-20 13:45:48	Yes	b3z1b5	Yes	Yes	No	Stuff that blows out of trucks and drops off garbage trucks is on the road sides often.
286	2021-11-20 06:33:59	Yes	B3t1j9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
290	2021-11-19 20:39:47	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	Yes	Chemical use
291	2021-11-19 20:19:27	No	B3Z4M8	Yes			
294	2021-11-19 18:47:39	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	No		



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
295	2021-11-05 07:00:55	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes			
296	2021-11-19 18:11:41	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	No		
298	2021-11-19 17:29:57	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	Yes		
299	2021-11-19 17:18:58	Yes	B3t1k8	Yes	No		
303	2021-11-19 13:43:02	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	Yes		
305	2021-11-18 08:56:37	Yes		Yes	Yes		
308	2021-11-19 13:17:59	No	b3z1a1	Yes	No		
311	2021-11-19 12:09:06	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
318	2021-11-19 10:48:40	Yes	B3Z0B9	Yes			
319	2021-11-19 09:50:39	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	No		
320	2021-11-04 10:08:10	Yes	B3t1h7	Yes	No		
321	2021-11-19 10:09:52	No	B3Z1A1	Yes			
322	2021-11-19 09:54:14	Yes	B3Z0C6	Yes	No		
323	2021-11-19 09:53:08	Yes	B3t1g6	Yes	Yes	No	
324	2021-11-05 19:00:42	No	B3z1a4	Yes	No		
325	2021-11-19 09:42:37	Yes	b3t1j3				
326	2021-11-19 09:37:22	Yes	B3t1c1	Yes	Yes	No	Stop putting lipstick on a pig to try and make it look good.
327	2021-11-19 09:32:40	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	No		
333	2021-11-19 08:29:03	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	No		
334	2021-11-19 08:01:46	Yes	b3t1c1	Yes			
335	2021-11-19 07:38:52	No	B3z1a3	Yes	No		
337	2021-11-18 19:34:24	Yes	B3T1c1	Yes	Yes		
338	2021-11-18 19:12:19	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	No		
339	2021-11-18 15:25:16	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	No		
341	2021-11-18 13:22:55	Yes	B3Z1A4	Yes	No		
345	2021-11-18 07:07:28	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	No		
346	2021-11-18 06:20:03	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	No		
347	2021-11-18 03:50:21	No	B3z0e3	Yes	Yes	No	The FEP/WSF should be operated at the landfill.
355	2021-11-17 18:26:33	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes			
357	2021-11-13 22:48:08	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
360	2021-11-17 16:39:08	Yes	B3t1g5	Yes	Yes	No	The smell around our subdivision is awful as it is
363	2021-11-17 15:28:28	Yes	B3T2K1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
365	2021-11-16 12:57:50	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	more traffic more dust
367	2021-11-17 12:59:17	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	No		
370	2021-11-17 12:04:06	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	Burying waste will produce more toxic and smelly dust
371	2021-11-17 11:14:48	No	B3Z1C2	Yes	No		
376	2021-11-17 05:51:40	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes	No		
377	2021-11-17 05:55:25	No	b3t1e1	Yes	No		
383	2021-11-16 20:49:29	Yes	B3t1j1	Yes	No		
385	2021-11-16 20:37:49	No	B3z4e9	Yes	No		
386	2021-11-04 21:17:46	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	No		
390	2021-11-16 19:52:37	No	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
393	2021-11-16 15:24:34	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	No		
399	2021-11-16 14:36:36	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes			
400	2021-11-16 14:22:06	Yes	B3Z1A2	Yes			
401	2021-11-16 13:51:53	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes		
406	2021-11-15 16:24:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
408	2021-11-12 11:37:48	Yes	B3z4n4	Yes			
414	2021-11-16 10:55:27	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	No		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
415	2021-11-09 10:20:20	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	I've lived here [REDACTED] years. We didn't agree to a dump. We've been lied to and are being manipulated to accept this.
416	2021-11-16 09:46:15	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	Yes	No	
417	2021-11-16 09:33:37	Yes	B3z1b5	Yes			
424	2021-11-16 07:21:05	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	No		
426	2021-11-16 06:54:36	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	No		
430	2021-11-16 00:04:52	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	No		
431	2021-11-15 23:20:55	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes			
434	2021-11-09 13:32:39	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	No		
435	2021-11-15 21:26:49	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
437	2021-11-15 21:17:27	Yes	B3z1b6	Yes	Yes	No	The disposal area is dirt and dust
438	2021-11-15 20:50:10	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	No		
440	2021-11-15 20:07:07	Yes	B3z1b5	Yes	No		
441	2021-11-15 19:26:51	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	Still an increase in dust
446	2021-11-15 19:21:29	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes			
450	2021-11-15 19:12:13	No	B3Z1B6	Yes			
452	2021-11-15 18:47:18	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
455	2021-11-15 17:56:20	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
458	2021-11-15 16:55:07	Yes	B3t1k7				
461	2021-11-15 15:55:27	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	Yes	No	
462	2021-11-15 15:50:42	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	No		
464	2021-11-15 15:25:06	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	No		
465	2021-11-15 15:35:49	Yes		Yes			
466	2021-11-15 15:32:08	No	B3Z0P7	Yes			
471	2021-11-15 12:25:46	No	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
473	2021-11-15 12:31:09	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	No		
480	2021-11-15 10:39:20	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	No		
481	2021-11-15 10:56:31	Yes	B3t1n7	Yes	No		
484	2021-11-04 11:52:49	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	No		
485	2021-11-15 10:05:04	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
486	2021-11-15 08:43:10	No	B3T1J1	Yes	No		
489	2021-11-15 09:21:03	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes			
495	2021-11-15 06:32:53	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes			
500	2021-11-15 05:27:21	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	No		
506	2021-11-14 21:35:47	No	B3Z1A1	Yes			
516	2021-11-14 17:12:19	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	No		
528	2021-11-14 12:38:56	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	No		
536	2021-11-14 10:25:11	Yes	B3z0b7	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
546	2021-11-14 07:10:17	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	No		
547	2021-11-14 06:57:28	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	The explanation states to work toward minimizing „does not state completely prevent it
559	2021-11-13 23:27:04	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	No		
560	2021-11-13 23:19:28	No	B3Z4P2	Yes	No		
564	2021-11-13 20:40:52	No	B3Z0R3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
566	2021-11-13 20:39:22	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	
567	2021-11-13 20:30:54	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	No		
571	2021-11-13 17:40:32	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	Broken promise
572	2021-11-13 18:00:19	Yes	B3z1e1	Yes	No		
574	2021-11-13 17:18:43	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	No		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
575	2021-11-13 16:53:34	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	Yes	No	You will not be able to control the dust when windy and it will end up in near by communities
583	2021-11-04 08:58:52	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	
593	2021-11-13 11:16:36	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	No		
597	2021-11-13 11:05:13	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
600	2021-11-13 10:00:56	Yes	B3z1a9	Yes	Yes		
604	2021-11-13 09:00:42	Yes	B3t1J7	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
606	2021-11-13 08:52:45	Yes	B3z1a2	Yes	No		
610	2021-11-13 08:24:03	Yes	B3Z0R8	Yes	No		
611	2021-11-13 08:38:30	Yes	B3t1h8	No			
612	2021-11-04 16:57:56	Yes	b3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	the problem already exists.... '(Tembec) is used as necessary to reduce the generation of dust'.
626	2021-11-13 04:20:39	No	B3z1b5	Yes			
627	2021-11-13 00:16:22	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	
629	2021-11-12 22:56:37	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	Hard to control volume of traffic to a dump.
631	2021-11-12 22:01:33	No	B3Z4P2	Yes	No		
633	2021-11-12 21:35:21	Yes	B3T1h8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
634	2021-11-12 21:34:14	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
643	2021-11-12 19:50:07	No	B3Z4N8	Yes	No		
646	2021-11-12 19:10:50	Yes	B3Z1A4	Yes	No		
652	2021-11-12 17:55:29	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
656	2021-11-12 18:03:42	Yes	B3t0a5	Yes	No		
657	2021-11-12 17:30:49	Yes	B3Z1P6	Yes			
659	2021-11-09 20:27:04	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	
667	2021-11-06 19:19:20	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	No		
670	2021-11-05 10:25:41	Yes	B3t0b1	Yes	No		
671	2021-11-12 14:29:04	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
672	2021-11-12 14:21:08	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	Yes	No	
674	2021-11-12 13:27:53	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	No		
676	2021-11-12 13:29:39	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	No		
680	2021-11-12 13:13:46	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes			
681	2021-11-12 13:01:10	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	
682	2021-11-12 12:51:55	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes			
683	2021-11-12 12:22:17	Yes	B3z1a4	Yes	No		
684	2021-11-12 12:30:01	Yes	B3t1j9	Yes			
686	2021-11-12 11:39:54	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	No		
691	2021-11-12 11:31:45	No	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	No	
694	2021-11-12 11:33:06	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes			
697	2021-11-12 10:51:31	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	No		
700	2021-11-12 10:32:00	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
703	2021-11-12 09:55:11	No	B3z0r5	Yes	Yes	No	Dust, smoke wear and tear will create dust and fumes I don't trust this will be controlled
705	2021-11-12 09:39:46	Yes	B3z1a3	Yes			
706	2021-11-08 17:16:47	No	B3Z1A9	Yes	No		
710	2021-11-12 09:30:26	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	No		
711	2021-11-12 09:39:05	No	B3z1a1	Yes	No		
714	2021-11-12 09:35:55	Yes	B3t1e5	Yes	Yes	No	
716	2021-11-12 09:31:18	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	No		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
718	2021-11-12 08:56:42	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	No		
722	2021-11-12 08:13:27	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	The residents of the surrounding communities agreed to the placement of Otter Lake with the understanding that the FEP and WSF would be active throughout the lifespan of the facility. This move by the city is in blatant disregard of the original agreement, and while maybe not in violation of the specific provisions, is made clearly with disregard to the spirit of the agreement.
724	2021-11-12 07:53:21	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
727	2021-11-12 08:13:51	Yes	B3Z4M9	Yes	No		
729	2021-11-12 08:16:19	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	
731	2021-11-12 07:35:00	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	No	
734	2021-11-11 16:39:38	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes			
737	2021-11-11 18:38:28	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	No		
738	2021-11-11 18:30:56	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	No		
740	2021-11-11 18:09:09	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	No	More trash, more dust.
742	2021-11-11 17:24:51	No	b3t1h5	Yes	No		
744	2021-11-11 16:28:40	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	No		
750	2021-11-11 12:46:39	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	No		
754	2021-11-11 11:54:48	Yes	B3T2J9	Yes		Yes	
755	2021-11-11 12:20:24	Yes	B3Z1A6	Yes			
756	2021-11-11 12:04:58	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	No		
758	2021-11-11 11:30:44	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	How often is this dust repellent used since you say as needed. The rain will increase the mud therefore causing dust when it dries.
764	2021-11-11 09:46:30	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
765	2021-11-11 09:36:19	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	No		
766	2021-11-11 09:41:01	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes	Yes	No	
786	2021-11-10 20:32:28	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
787	2021-11-11 03:44:19	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
791	2021-11-10 20:35:01	No	B3T1H2	Yes	No		
792	2021-11-10 20:07:47	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	No		
793	2021-11-07 06:48:23	Yes	B3Z4P7	Yes	No		
794	2021-11-10 19:48:51	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
796	2021-11-10 19:35:45	No	B3t1c7	Yes	No		
797	2021-11-10 19:02:17	Yes	B3t1j6	Yes	Yes	No	Need more inf
802	2021-11-10 18:11:54	Yes	B3Z4P7	Yes	No		
803	2021-11-10 18:22:40	Yes	B3Z1A1	Yes			
811	2021-11-10 14:33:03	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	With additional disposal traffic there is the additional dust but there is also the additional truck emissions resulting in additional air and noise pollution
813	2021-11-10 15:30:00	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	No		
821	2021-11-10 14:05:36	Yes	B3t2c7	Yes	No		
822	2021-11-10 13:59:12	Yes	B3t1g3	Yes			
824	2021-11-10 13:30:08	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes			
825	2021-11-10 12:23:51	No	B3Z1A8	Yes	No		
831	2021-11-03 20:37:26	Yes	B3Z0b7	Yes	No		
842	2021-11-10 09:03:51	Yes	b3t1c8	Yes	No		
845	2021-11-10 08:36:21	No	B3T1K1	No			
846	2021-11-10 08:25:15	Yes	B3T0B1	Yes	No		



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
854	2021-11-04 08:06:12	Yes	B3Z1B8	Yes	No		
855	2021-11-10 07:20:17	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
859	2021-11-10 06:48:08	Yes	B3T1H6	No			
860	2021-11-10 06:26:28	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	No		
864	2021-11-10 06:00:53	Yes	B3t1e6	Yes	No		
865	2021-11-10 05:00:14	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
866	2021-11-10 04:46:48	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	No		
867	2021-11-09 15:22:11	Yes	B3t1n9	Yes	No		
868	2021-11-09 22:33:05	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	
869	2021-11-04 15:25:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	I am still concern with the increased dust as there will be more collector vehicles on the road and with warmer climate, more dust will be inevitable. As well. Positive drainage. Concerns over the drainage which will also affect the lake/waterways around the Landfill.
871	2021-11-09 21:27:52	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	
872	2021-11-09 21:14:13	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes			
873	2021-11-09 20:48:16	Yes	B3z1b5	Yes	No		
874	2021-11-09 21:05:55	Yes	B3Z4L5	Yes			
875	2021-11-09 20:02:17	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	No		
877	2021-11-09 20:24:49	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes			
886	2021-11-09 19:32:11	Yes	B3Z1B7	Yes			
891	2021-11-09 19:10:01	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	No		
893	2021-11-09 19:01:00	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	No		
894	2021-11-09 17:54:43	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	Yes	No	As the picture shows, despite mitigation attempts, dust is still created.
896	2021-11-09 18:21:25	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	No		
897	2021-11-09 18:06:35	No	B3Z1A7	Yes			
898	2021-11-09 17:38:11	Yes	b3t1g7	Yes	No		
899	2021-11-09 17:30:26	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	No		
902	2021-11-09 16:58:34	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	No	Increased dust filled w/lt additional bird and rat feces into the environment there is going to cause illness unacceptable
903	2021-11-09 16:14:02	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	No	Same as my previous comment
904	2021-11-04 18:05:37	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
909	2021-11-09 16:32:47	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	No		
910	2021-11-09 16:23:16	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
912	2021-11-09 16:08:32	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	No		
916	2021-11-09 15:49:15	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	No		
919	2021-11-09 15:28:47	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	No		
924	2021-11-09 14:51:54	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	No		
929	2021-11-09 14:29:10	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
930	2021-11-09 14:42:58	Yes	B3Z0B6	Yes			
931	2021-11-09 14:35:53	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	No	
933	2021-11-09 13:57:44	Yes	b3z1a5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
936	2021-11-09 13:09:39	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	No		
941	2021-11-09 13:15:03	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	No		
944	2021-11-09 13:17:56	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	
946	2021-11-09 12:50:39	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	No		
948	2021-11-09 12:30:38	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	No		
950	2021-11-09 11:29:08	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	
954	2021-11-09 11:42:48	Yes	B3t1e7	Yes	No		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
956	2021-11-09 11:49:10	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	No		
957	2021-11-09 11:51:50	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	No		
958	2021-11-09 11:43:46	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes			
959	2021-11-04 22:16:03	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
961	2021-11-09 11:28:30	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes	No		
962	2021-11-09 08:05:43	Yes	b3t1j3	Yes	Yes	No	Increase of traffic due to closure of FEP/WSF will necessitate an increase in the moving of earth/dirt to cover increased waste product that was previously screened by the FEP/WSF
964	2021-11-09 11:24:08	Yes	B3T1H6	No			
965	2021-11-09 11:11:17	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	
966	2021-11-09 11:16:21	Yes	B3T1G1				
967	2021-11-09 11:05:37	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	No	Don't trust this.
970	2021-11-09 10:50:59	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
973	2021-11-09 10:41:12	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	No		
975	2021-11-09 09:33:49	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
978	2021-11-09 08:55:19	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	Yes	No	
985	2021-11-09 08:08:57	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	No		
987	2021-11-09 07:27:43	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	Yes	You can't control the wind. Trucks are heavy and cause dust on dirt roads.
989	2021-11-09 07:30:09	Yes	B3t1c8	Yes			
990	2021-11-04 07:05:57	No	B3T1G6	Yes	No	No	
991	2021-11-09 06:40:53	No	B3z1a2	Yes	No		
992	2021-11-09 06:15:07	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	No		
993	2021-11-09 05:59:40	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
998	2021-11-09 04:34:13	No	B3Z1B5	Yes			
999	2021-11-08 23:44:20	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	No	You are spending all of this money (including the tax dollars we are spending on you as consultants) and increase costs associated with this. Just to save a measly 2 Million a year and creating all of these issues. The easiest solution is often the best. Keep it as is as it is working fine
1000	2021-11-08 22:51:36	Yes	B3z1b6	Yes	No		
1002	2021-11-08 22:17:36	Yes	b3t1j9	Yes			
1003	2021-11-08 21:52:13	Yes	B3T1K8	Yes	No		
1004	2021-11-08 21:23:27	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	No		
1006	2021-11-08 21:03:07	Yes	B3T1h9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1008	2021-11-08 20:43:59	Yes	B3t1j6	Yes			
1010	2021-11-08 17:49:55	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	Yes	No	
1011	2021-11-08 19:40:36	Yes	B3z1a9	Yes	Yes	No	By the time all these extra measures have been put in place the \$2 million savings turns into pennies. Just keep the working system in place. Why move backwards? This is a huge step in keeping the city/ surrounding area clean and beautiful. Please don't let it start to unravel.... we know it won't stop
1013	2021-11-08 19:54:19	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	No		
1015	2021-11-08 19:41:27	Yes	B3z1a9	Yes		Yes	
1023	2021-11-08 18:16:37	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	No		
1025	2021-11-08 17:53:19	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1027	2021-11-08 17:51:15	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes			
1030	2021-11-08 17:27:06	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	No		
1031	2021-11-08 17:39:32	Yes	B3z1a7	Yes	No		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1032	2021-11-08 17:36:13	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	No		
1033	2021-11-08 17:33:51	Yes	B3z1a8	Yes	No		
1037	2021-11-08 17:12:36	Yes	B3T1E6	Yes	Yes	No	
1038	2021-11-08 16:58:28	Yes	B3Z0R8	Yes	No		
1040	2021-11-08 13:18:25	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes			
1043	2021-11-08 16:48:59	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	No		
1044	2021-11-08 16:56:44	Yes	b3z0a1	Yes			
1047	2021-11-08 16:28:00	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	No		
1048	2021-11-08 16:16:45	Yes	B3t1J5	Yes	No		
1052	2021-11-08 15:41:38	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	No		
1053	2021-11-08 15:40:09	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1057	2021-11-08 15:04:19	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	
1059	2021-11-08 14:44:08	Yes	B3t1J1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1062	2021-11-08 14:15:18	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes			
1068	2021-11-08 13:00:55	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1070	2021-11-08 12:36:46	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	
1072	2021-11-08 12:39:58	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	
1073	2021-11-08 12:21:31	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	No		
1074	2021-11-08 12:18:10	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	No		
1078	2021-11-08 11:46:27	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	
1079	2021-11-08 09:58:17	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	No		
1081	2021-11-08 11:40:16	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	No		
1083	2021-11-08 11:40:47	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	No		
1084	2021-11-08 11:15:49	No	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes		
1085	2021-11-08 11:05:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	No		
1086	2021-11-08 08:45:33	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
1087	2021-11-08 10:29:46	Yes	B3t1h4	Yes	Yes	Yes	Is there going to be increased traffic? This would concern me
1091	2021-11-08 10:03:16	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes			
1099	2021-11-08 08:47:09	Yes	B3t1g7	No			
1100	2021-11-08 08:54:54	No	B3Z1E1	Yes			
1106	2021-11-08 08:40:36	Yes	B3T0B1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1111	2021-11-08 08:30:29	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
1125	2021-11-08 06:56:53	Yes	b3t1h5	Yes	Yes	No	
1135	2021-11-07 21:03:45	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	Yes	No	
1136	2021-11-07 20:16:23	Yes	B3Z1B2	Yes	Yes	No	Do not see any concrete measures to measure and address the problems associated with the generation of dust.
1138	2021-11-07 21:04:04	Yes	b3t1g4				
1141	2021-11-07 20:16:43	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	No		
1146	2021-11-07 18:25:27	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	You are trying to make dust an issue? This is a very minor concern. I will not agree with anything you are proposing if it means ending the FEP/WSF. This was part of the agreement for BLT to have the processing facility place in our area. You can't break the contract. process that works.
1148	2021-11-07 18:51:41	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	No		
1150	2021-11-07 18:25:35	No	B3Z1A8	Yes	No		
1153	2021-11-07 17:54:03	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	
1154	2021-11-07 17:46:59	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes			
1156	2021-11-07 17:17:16	No	B3T1J8	Yes	No		
1162	2021-11-07 16:10:15	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	No		
1164	2021-11-07 13:15:12	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	No		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1166	2021-11-07 14:31:05	No	B3t1j1	Yes	Yes	No	Concern not related to deactivation of (FEP/WSF) but expected increase of traffic due to population increase.
1168	2021-11-07 15:09:03	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
1171	2021-11-07 14:43:36	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	More traffic more dust
1177	2021-11-07 14:08:08	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	No		
1179	2021-11-07 13:49:37	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1180	2021-11-07 14:05:52	No	B3T1J4	Yes	No		
1186	2021-11-07 13:25:33	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1195	2021-11-07 11:05:26	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	increased traffic means increased dust
1196	2021-11-07 10:50:23	Yes	B3T1E7	Yes	No		
1204	2021-11-07 10:24:43	Yes	B3t1j6	Yes	Yes	No	
1207	2021-11-07 09:37:19	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	Yes	No	It's not just the facility but surrounding areas which will be affected by increased dust.
1209	2021-11-07 09:00:42	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	Maintenance has historically been inadequate and to ask for more in this location is not acceptable. We want the dump closed here send it somewhere else.
1210	2021-11-07 09:18:16	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes			
1212	2021-11-07 08:47:48	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes			
1213	2021-11-07 08:02:00	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	The dust at a dump contains many toxins that harm the surrounding wildlife and waterways. We should NOT be increasing it.
1218	2021-11-07 08:03:03	Yes	B3t1c1	Yes	Yes	No	No changes should be made
1220	2021-11-07 07:02:14	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1226	2021-11-07 06:19:12	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
1227	2021-11-07 06:26:01	Yes	B3t1e9	Yes	Yes	No	
1228	2021-11-07 05:49:15	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes			
1231	2021-11-03 16:47:38	Yes	B3Z1B3	Yes	Yes	No	
1234	2021-11-03 14:07:24	No	B3t0b1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1235	2021-11-06 22:54:07	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	
1237	2021-11-06 22:27:27	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	No		
1238	2021-11-06 22:11:58	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	No		
1243	2021-11-06 20:34:03	Yes	B3T1g3	Yes	Yes	No	
1245	2021-11-06 20:38:08	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	Bad smell and rats in Timberlea
1247	2021-11-06 20:16:52	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	No		
1248	2021-11-06 20:23:50	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	No		
1249	2021-11-06 19:44:30	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	No		
1251	2021-11-06 19:16:43	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	No		
1255	2021-11-06 18:36:24	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	No		
1256	2021-11-06 18:45:14	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes			
1257	2021-11-06 18:22:14	Yes	B3t1g7	Yes	No		
1262	2021-11-06 17:45:16	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	No		
1264	2021-11-06 16:55:43	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes			
1265	2021-11-06 17:28:55	Yes	B3t1j7	Yes	No		
1266	2021-11-06 17:02:44	Yes	B3t1h4	Yes	Yes	No	
1267	2021-11-06 17:03:55	Yes	B3T1J8				
1269	2021-11-06 16:09:51	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	No		
1272	2021-11-06 16:10:29	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	No		
1277	2021-11-04 07:02:22	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1278	2021-11-04 12:01:56	No	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	No	
1283	2021-11-06 13:33:43	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
1284	2021-11-06 13:07:07	Yes	B3t1h9	No	No		
1286	2021-11-06 12:51:21	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	
1290	2021-11-06 11:43:02	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	No		
1291	2021-11-06 11:52:45	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	No		
1292	2021-11-06 11:38:55	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
1297	2021-11-06 11:07:59	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes			
1298	2021-11-06 09:29:17	No	B3z1a4	Yes	No		
1299	2021-11-06 09:27:10	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	No		
1303	2021-11-06 09:09:20	Yes	B3T1L2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1304	2021-11-06 09:00:32	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1305	2021-11-06 08:55:14	Yes	B3t1g9	Yes	No		
1306	2021-11-06 08:29:50	Yes	b3t1h8	Yes	No		
1307	2021-11-06 08:25:15	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1308	2021-11-06 07:40:06	Yes		Yes			
1311	2021-11-06 08:24:50	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1316	2021-11-05 21:45:07	Yes	B3T1J4	No			
1321	2021-11-06 06:58:26	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	No		
1326	2021-11-06 05:43:37	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes			
1327	2021-11-06 05:33:17	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes			
1330	2021-11-05 23:03:05	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	No		
1331	2021-11-05 21:57:28	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	No		
1332	2021-11-05 22:30:16	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes			
1333	2021-11-05 21:49:28	Yes	B3t1c1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1334	2021-11-05 21:54:50	Yes	B3T1G3	No			
1335	2021-11-05 21:51:32	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	No		
1336	2021-11-05 21:33:28	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1337	2021-11-05 21:40:29	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	No		
1339	2021-11-05 21:05:42	No	B3T1H4	Yes			
1340	2021-11-05 20:50:25	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	Air quality
1344	2021-11-05 20:04:54	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes			
1346	2021-11-05 19:28:58	Yes	B3Z4b8	Yes	Yes	No	
1347	2021-11-05 16:24:45	No	B3T1K1	Yes	No		
1349	2021-11-05 18:58:14	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes	No		
1352	2021-11-05 18:45:51	Yes	B3t1e9	Yes	No		
1357	2021-11-05 17:41:33	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	No		
1365	2021-11-05 17:06:31	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	Yes	No	Unsure what product Tembec could have on the local Fauna
1366	2021-11-05 17:14:34	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes			
1367	2021-11-05 16:33:44	Yes	B3t1k1	Yes	Yes	No	Save on dust suppressing supplies and honor your agreement.
1372	2021-11-05 15:47:32	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	No		
1374	2021-11-05 15:53:33	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	No		
1377	2021-11-05 15:45:02	No	B3z1a1	Yes			
1379	2021-11-05 15:43:33	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	No		
1380	2021-11-05 15:34:32	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	No		
1381	2021-11-05 15:39:24	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
1382	2021-11-05 15:28:06	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	
1386	2021-11-05 14:46:49	Yes	B3t0a4	Yes	No		
1387	2021-11-05 14:30:49	Yes	B3Z0R6	Yes	No		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1389	2021-11-05 14:25:03	Yes	B3t1c8	Yes	Yes	No	
1391	2021-11-05 13:29:03	Yes	b3t1j7	Yes	Yes	No	not certain why this question is asked. do you expect increased site traffic with this decision?
1392	2021-11-05 14:01:05	Yes	b3t1j4	Yes	No		
1393	2021-11-05 13:48:50	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	No		
1397	2021-11-05 13:27:25	No	B3t1j1	Yes	No		
1398	2021-11-05 13:38:39	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes			
1399	2021-11-05 12:39:08	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	No		
1400	2021-11-05 13:08:19	Yes	B3T1G9	Yes	No		
1403	2021-11-05 12:45:46	Yes	b3t2k4	Yes	No		
1405	2021-11-05 11:53:21	Yes	B3t1g8	Yes	Yes	No	
1406	2021-11-05 11:32:38	Yes	b3t1h2	Yes	No		
1411	2021-11-05 10:47:19	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	No		
1413	2021-11-05 09:38:01	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	No		
1416	2021-11-04 11:40:18	No	B3Z1A2	Yes			
1417	2021-11-05 10:31:51	Yes		Yes			
1420	2021-11-05 09:52:16	No	B3Z1C1	Yes	No		
1421	2021-11-05 09:45:59	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	No		
1422	2021-11-05 09:48:23	Yes	B3t1g4	Yes	Yes	No	
1425	2021-11-05 09:38:04	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes	No		
1429	2021-11-04 17:07:08	No	B3Z1A3	Yes	No		
1433	2021-11-05 07:26:02	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes			
1437	2021-11-05 06:56:17	Yes	B3t1n9	Yes			
1439	2021-11-05 05:54:48	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	No		
1441	2021-11-05 06:12:23	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	No		
1442	2021-11-05 06:12:13	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
1448	2021-11-05 05:33:41	Yes	B3t1h9	No			
1450	2021-11-05 05:08:27	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes			
1451	2021-11-05 04:33:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Are we not in an environmental climate emergency? Practice what you preach!
1453	2021-11-05 00:32:01	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	Yes	No	I am concerned that HRM is going back on their promises in regards to this facility.
1457	2021-11-05 00:05:15	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	
1460	2021-11-04 22:39:01	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	No		
1461	2021-11-04 22:38:33	No	B3T1E5	Yes			
1467	2021-11-04 21:27:35	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	There is dust in the picture you are using to try to say dust isnt an issue. Next.
1469	2021-11-04 21:04:09	No	B3Z4P8	Yes	No		
1470	2021-11-04 20:44:31	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	
1471	2021-11-04 19:01:28	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1473	2021-11-04 20:44:19	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	No		
1476	2021-11-04 18:53:37	Yes	b3t1h4	Yes	No		
1477	2021-11-04 20:29:50	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
1478	2021-11-04 19:58:15	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	No		
1482	2021-11-04 19:55:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
1484	2021-11-04 19:39:29	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	No		
1486	2021-11-04 19:38:26	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes	No		
1488	2021-11-04 19:19:14	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	No		
1489	2021-11-03 16:47:23	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes			
1491	2021-11-04 19:03:04	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1495	2021-11-04 19:05:29	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes			
1497	2021-11-04 18:58:28	Yes	B3t1c6	Yes	No		
1499	2021-11-04 18:59:44	Yes	B3T1C6	No			
1501	2021-11-04 18:19:48	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	No	mmitments instead of creating new problems
1502	2021-11-04 18:39:01	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	No		
1504	2021-11-04 18:31:01	Yes	B3T1G5				
1506	2021-11-04 18:05:59	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	
1507	2021-11-04 17:17:44	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	No		
1508	2021-11-04 17:53:34	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1509	2021-11-04 17:43:26	Yes	B3Z1B2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1511	2021-11-04 17:35:31	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	No		
1512	2021-11-04 17:32:50	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	No		
1513	2021-11-04 17:12:24	Yes	B3t1g5	Yes	Yes	No	
1514	2021-11-04 17:10:56	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes			
1516	2021-11-04 16:49:10	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	
1517	2021-11-04 16:42:28	Yes	B3t1g3	Yes	No		
1519	2021-11-04 15:32:44	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes	No		
1521	2021-11-04 16:09:33	No	B3z1n2	No			
1523	2021-11-04 16:02:08	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes			
1525	2021-11-04 16:02:40	Yes	B3t1j3	Yes			
1526	2021-11-04 15:45:33	Yes	b3z0b7	Yes	Yes	No	
1527	2021-11-04 15:42:38	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	No		
1528	2021-11-04 15:50:58	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes			
1530	2021-11-04 12:00:25	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	What is in Tembec? How does it effect the soil, animals and humans?
1533	2021-11-04 15:28:41	Yes	B3T1N7	No			
1534	2021-11-04 15:23:08	Yes	b3t1p1	Yes	Yes	No	do NOT deactivate the Otter Lake FEP/WSF
1540	2021-11-04 14:09:42	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
1542	2021-11-04 14:13:21	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes		
1543	2021-11-04 14:20:17	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	No		
1546	2021-11-04 14:07:47	Yes	B3t1g5	Yes			
1548	2021-11-04 12:41:11	No	B3Z0C6	Yes	No		
1549	2021-11-04 13:38:21	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes			
1550	2021-11-03 15:47:25	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes			
1551	2021-11-04 12:47:17	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	If a dust suppressant is used now, then it must be used sparingly from the amount of dust I see.
1552	2021-11-04 13:19:48	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes	No		
1553	2021-11-04 13:01:16	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	No		
1554	2021-11-04 13:19:04	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	
1555	2021-11-04 13:23:55	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	No		
1558	2021-11-04 13:17:55	No	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1560	2021-11-04 12:48:26	Yes	b3t1h6	Yes	No		
1561	2021-11-04 12:45:07	No	b3z4m7	Yes			
1562	2021-11-04 12:45:14	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes			
1563	2021-11-04 12:19:45	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	No		
1565	2021-11-04 12:24:59	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	No		
1566	2021-11-04 12:10:16	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	No		
1567	2021-11-04 12:08:51	Yes	b3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	
1570	2021-11-04 11:57:25	Yes	B3t1e1	Yes			
1571	2021-11-04 11:52:08	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes	No		
1573	2021-11-04 11:42:15	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	No		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1574	2021-11-04 11:46:39	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes			
1575	2021-11-04 11:36:59	Yes	B3T1G2	Yes	Yes	No	
1576	2021-11-04 11:40:29	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
1578	2021-11-04 11:27:13	Yes	B3t1g6	Yes	Yes	No	I do not want the FEP/WSF deactivated
1579	2021-11-04 11:35:28	No	B3t1n8	Yes			
1580	2021-11-04 11:30:27	Yes	b3z1a2				
1586	2021-11-04 10:48:09	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	No		
1587	2021-11-04 10:41:17	Yes	B3t1j3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1588	2021-11-04 08:59:10	Yes	B3t1h7	Yes	No		
1591	2021-11-04 09:07:25	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	No		
1592	2021-11-04 10:24:08	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes			
1593	2021-11-04 10:18:32	Yes	b3t1j7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1597	2021-11-04 09:39:27	No	B3Z1B8	Yes	No		
1599	2021-11-04 10:04:29	Yes	B3T1I2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1602	2021-11-04 10:00:48	Yes	b3t1h1	Yes			
1604	2021-11-04 09:30:59	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	Will bring dirt out onto road and highway eventually
1606	2021-11-04 09:26:11	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	No		
1607	2021-11-04 09:26:37	Yes	B3z1a1	Yes	No		
1608	2021-11-04 09:22:40	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	No		
1610	2021-11-04 09:28:16	Yes	B3T1P1	No			
1611	2021-11-04 09:18:14	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	No		
1612	2021-11-04 09:11:23	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	No		
1614	2021-11-04 09:13:51	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	
1615	2021-11-04 09:18:19	Yes	b3t1e5	Yes	Yes	No	
1617	2021-11-04 09:00:39	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	No		
1618	2021-11-04 09:11:00	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	No		
1619	2021-11-04 09:06:19	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	
1625	2021-11-04 08:59:55	No	B3z4b8	Yes			
1627	2021-11-04 08:54:25	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1628	2021-11-04 08:55:29	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes			
1630	2021-11-04 08:37:38	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	
1632	2021-11-04 08:40:01	Yes	B3t0a6	Yes	No		
1633	2021-11-04 08:42:48	Yes	B3t1k1	Yes			
1635	2021-11-04 08:02:36	No	B3Z4P2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1640	2021-11-04 08:24:38	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	
1643	2021-11-04 08:22:13	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	No		
1645	2021-11-04 08:12:52	No	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	N
1646	2021-11-04 08:04:35	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	No	
1647	2021-11-04 08:04:52	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes		No	
1649	2021-11-04 08:03:47	Yes	B3t1n7	No			
1653	2021-11-04 07:09:27	No	B3Z0B7	Yes	No		
1654	2021-11-04 07:12:12	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
1657	2021-11-04 06:58:21	Yes	B3T1G2	Yes	No		
1661	2021-11-04 05:54:23	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1662	2021-11-04 06:16:57	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	No		
1663	2021-11-04 06:25:23	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes	No		
1665	2021-11-04 05:59:57	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	No		
1666	2021-11-04 06:08:58	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	No		
1669	2021-11-04 05:35:32	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	Pretty hard to stop the air flow and dust particles
1673	2021-11-04 04:03:06	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	No		



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1674	2021-11-03 23:29:36	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	No		
1676	2021-11-03 23:06:06	Yes	B3t1j1	Yes	Yes	No	Change the facility, but manage issues in the same current fashion
1678	2021-11-03 22:44:00	Yes	B3t0a6	Yes	No		
1685	2021-11-03 22:11:38	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	No		
1686	2021-11-03 22:03:40	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	No	No way to be sure
1687	2021-11-03 21:45:00	Yes	B3T1k1	Yes	No		
1688	2021-11-03 21:28:30	No	B3z0e3	Yes	No		
1690	2021-11-03 21:54:38	Yes	B3t1e1	Yes	Yes	No	
1698	2021-11-03 21:19:14	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	No		
1700	2021-11-03 21:18:46	Yes	B3z0b6	Yes			
1701	2021-11-03 21:09:16	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	
1702	2021-11-03 21:06:16	No	B3Z4B8	Yes			Not interested
1704	2021-11-03 20:34:32	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1705	2021-11-03 21:00:21	Yes	B3z0e2	Yes			
1707	2021-11-03 20:42:09	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes		
1708	2021-11-03 20:45:00	No	B3T1h1	Yes	No		
1709	2021-11-03 20:44:31	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes			
1711	2021-11-03 20:35:45	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	No		
1713	2021-11-03 20:37:12	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes	No		
1714	2021-11-03 20:17:03	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	No		
1717	2021-11-03 20:30:25	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	No		
1719	2021-11-03 20:22:33	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	
1723	2021-11-03 20:09:36	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	No		
1724	2021-11-03 19:56:33	Yes	B3T2C7	Yes	Yes	No	The chemicals used to prevent mud and dust will still have a negative effect on air quality.
1725	2021-11-03 20:05:26	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	No		
1727	2021-11-03 19:19:43	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes	No	Current dust levels are high, has to been unhealthy for any additional increases
1729	2021-11-03 19:34:09	No	B3z0b7	Yes	No		
1730	2021-11-03 19:28:16	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	
1733	2021-11-03 19:18:41	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	No		
1736	2021-11-03 18:59:16	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	No		
1737	2021-11-03 19:17:06	Yes	B3t0b1	Yes			
1739	2021-11-03 19:06:12	Yes	B3t1c6	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1743	2021-11-03 18:49:09	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	No		
1744	2021-11-03 18:57:02	Yes	B3t1n5	Yes	No		
1745	2021-11-03 19:06:36	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes			
1746	2021-11-03 18:47:19	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	No		
1748	2021-11-03 18:51:42	Yes	B3T1H5	Yes			
1749	2021-11-03 18:54:05	Yes	B3T1e2	Yes	No		
1750	2021-11-03 18:41:25	Yes	B3t1n9	Yes	No		
1751	2021-11-03 18:41:30	Yes	B3t2c7	Yes	No		
1755	2021-11-03 18:21:33	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	
1756	2021-11-03 18:25:28	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1758	2021-11-03 18:01:26	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	No		
1760	2021-11-03 18:00:28	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1762	2021-11-03 18:03:46	No	B3T1J7	Yes	No		
1768	2021-11-03 17:18:17	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	No		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Dust Generation for Additional Disposal Site Traffic							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the dust generation from additional disposal site traffic?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1769	2021-11-03 17:31:01	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1770	2021-11-03 17:30:19	Yes	B3t1g2	Yes	Yes	No	Increased traffic, increased blowing litter and there's dirt on the sides of the road, especially from winter months. There will be more dust.
1771	2021-11-03 17:23:02	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	No		
1773	2021-11-03 17:25:28	Yes	b3t1g8	Yes	No		
1777	2021-11-03 17:02:51	Yes	B3T1G3	No			
1780	2021-11-03 16:41:40	No	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	No	Same as previous answers..deactivating will not solve this
1784	2021-11-03 16:30:37	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	No	Excuses. Leave the fep
1787	2021-11-03 16:24:36	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1790	2021-11-03 15:18:48	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	Minimizing dust and mud is not preventing dust and mud.
1791	2021-11-03 15:48:28	Yes	b3t1c6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1792	2021-11-03 15:54:37	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes			
1794	2021-11-03 15:02:31	Yes	B3T1E6	Yes	No		
1796	2021-11-03 15:29:10	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	No		
1798	2021-11-03 15:24:18	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes			
1799	2021-11-03 15:11:55	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	
1802	2021-11-03 15:06:44	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
1807	2021-11-03 14:48:59	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	No		
1808	2021-11-03 14:48:41	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	No		
1809	2021-11-03 14:43:40	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	
1813	2021-11-03 14:09:13	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	The sample image shows dust generation. An increase in traffic will this create more dust.
1815	2021-11-03 14:25:59	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	No		
1819	2021-11-03 14:10:05	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	No		
1820	2021-11-03 13:05:14	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	No		
1821	2021-11-03 13:05:04	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	More trucks more dust.
1822	2021-11-03 12:16:49	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	No		
1823	2021-11-03 13:04:31	Yes	B3Z4M2	Yes	No		
1824	2021-11-03 12:42:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
1825	2021-11-03 12:23:10	Yes	B3t1e3	Yes	No		
1826	2021-11-03 12:09:07	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	No		
1827	2021-11-03 12:23:59	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	No		
1828	2021-11-03 12:00:58	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	I'm not interested in "mitigation". HRM promised to maintain the waste facility at a very high level, and I expect HRM to keep its promise to the community. We will not need "mitigation" if HRM does not downgrade the quality level with which it has been running the facility. I DO NOT AGREE WITH THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS.
1829	2021-11-03 10:32:33	No	B3Z1B1	Yes	No		
1830	2021-11-03 10:33:12	No	B3Z0C6	Yes	Yes	No	The landfill should not exist at Otter Lake
1831	2021-11-03 11:02:46	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1832	2021-11-03 10:55:01	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes			
1833	2021-11-03 09:50:24	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Your text says one thing, however your photo shows dust being produced. Further concern from increased traffic.
1834	2021-11-02 14:13:03	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	What is Tembec? What solution or dilution are you using. What are the environmental risks. They used to say asbestos was safe but now we know better.

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
5	2021-12-04 13:27:05	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes			
6	2021-12-04 12:39:48	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	
8	2021-12-04 07:07:42	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	Yes	No	
9	2021-12-04 06:33:44	Yes	B3t1g9	Yes			
10	2021-12-04 02:33:16	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	If the FEP/WSF does help reduce greenhouse gases, keep it anyway despite the electrical cost. Any food waste will attract rodents.
11	2021-12-03 21:37:38	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	No		
19	2021-12-03 20:20:59	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	We are not doing well environmentally now, changing the process is not a good idea
20	2021-12-03 19:08:21	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	Greenhouse gas will increase with the deactivation of the FEP/WSF. Maybe NS Power should stop using coal to generate electricity! Some wind turbines at Otter Lake would generate electricity for the FEP/WSF!
21	2021-12-03 19:54:38	Yes	B3Z4N4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
22	2021-11-06 14:53:34	No	B3Z4N4	Yes	No		
31	2021-12-03 12:59:41	No	B3z4p7	Yes	Yes	No	This landfill WAS not to be used or expanded in this way. Disappointing that we're having to fight something that was promised wasn't going to happen.
32	2021-12-03 12:35:11	No	B3Z1A7	Yes	Yes	Yes	But perhaps more effort should be made to pre-treat other gas generating material
33	2021-11-13 15:08:28	Yes	B3Z0A2	Yes	No		
34	2021-12-03 11:45:55	Yes	B3Z0E3	Yes	Yes	No	
39	2021-12-03 11:03:31	No	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	Not enough
43	2021-12-03 10:19:56	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	No	
45	2021-12-03 09:58:37	Yes	B3Z4M2	Yes			
49	2021-11-04 09:54:17	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	Yes	No	Not what was promised in 1995.
60	2021-12-02 22:25:31	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
61	2021-12-02 20:55:00	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
62	2021-12-02 19:31:27	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	Yes	No	Why not do both?
65	2021-11-03 17:45:52	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes			
69	2021-12-02 08:44:35	Yes	b3t1g1	Yes	Yes	No	the wsf does reduce greenhouse gas, absorbed in the bio-filter; and if the issue is not enough the option is to increase the amount of days of days curing in the wsf from the current 21 days
74	2021-12-01 17:41:09	No	B3T1P1	Yes			
76	2021-12-01 15:43:35	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	No		
80	2021-12-01 07:00:50	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes	No		
82	2021-11-30 20:25:42	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	No		
88	2021-11-30 18:37:55	No	B3Z4P7	Yes	Yes	No	All sources of gas need to be addressed. What about emissions by increased traffic, for example. Again, no quantitative information is presented so effectiveness cannot be assessed
90	2021-11-30 16:46:22	Yes	B3Z1C1	Yes			
99	2021-11-29 21:36:15	Yes		Yes		No	
101	2021-11-29 19:39:20	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes			
107	2021-11-29 11:08:59	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	Yes	No	We need to slow down the FEP/WSF and increase staff so all organic is manage. Shutting down the FEP/WSF is a mistake.
108	2021-11-29 10:32:18	Yes	B3t1g6	Yes	No		
109	2021-11-29 09:58:39	Yes	B3t1g7	Yes	Yes		
110	2021-11-29 09:21:21	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	What about other toxic gasses emitted from non controlled items building up
115	2021-11-28 11:44:47	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
117	2021-11-28 10:06:33	No	B3z1c2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
119	2021-11-28 06:53:55	No	B3Z0C6	Yes	Yes	No	The last thing we need is anything that further contribute to greenhouse gas emissions
120	2021-11-27 21:32:29	Yes	b3z0a1	Yes	Yes	No	
121	2021-11-27 18:08:27	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	Yes	No	
130	2021-11-27 06:08:42	No	B3T1H6	Yes			
131	2021-11-26 18:29:35	Yes		Yes			
132	2021-11-26 15:49:04	No	B3Z1E1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Although I still have some concerns as you state; "food waste only makes up a portion of the methane-generating waste deposited in the landfill" but it still does make up a portion.
156	2021-11-25 17:57:02	Yes		Yes			
157	2021-11-25 17:25:24	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
161	2021-11-25 14:32:29	No	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	The system in place is more effective.
168	2021-11-25 11:07:39	Yes	B3T1E7	Yes	Yes	No	Prevention is better than mitigation. The operation had an agreed to set up including the front end processor. Yes there is a cost to that, and the city agreed to that when the landfill was permitted. Stick to the commitments.
169	2021-11-25 11:05:59	Yes	B3Z1N4	Yes	Yes	No	n
170	2021-11-25 10:08:36	Yes	b3t1h1	Yes	Yes	No	and on top of that you try to draw additional people to our area to help you pay the bill which will also increase our waste.
172	2021-11-25 09:54:02	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	No		
181	2021-11-25 07:26:32	Yes	B3t1n5	Yes	No		
185	2021-11-25 07:18:48	Yes	B3t0a6	Yes	Yes	No	
187	2021-11-25 06:42:33	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	
192	2021-11-25 05:08:05	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes	Yes	No	
198	2021-11-24 12:21:32	Yes	B3T1L2	Yes	Yes	No	Diverting material from the landfill is the best way to reduce greenhouse gases. Allowing waste material to go into the land fill i inhibitors s not acceptable and does increase the potential for increased gas emissionmonitore intent was to operate the Otter Lame facility with no banned or acceptable waste buried in it. This has not changed. HRM should honour the agreement they signed.
201	2021-11-24 11:54:28	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/WSF active.
203	2021-11-24 09:04:21	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	No		
206	2021-11-14 07:19:32	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	There is always the potential for failure of the lines and tanks and then the damage is done.
210	2021-11-15 19:26:29	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes		Yes	
211	2021-11-23 19:29:41	Yes	B3z4b8	Yes			
216	2021-11-23 15:55:50	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/WSF active"
217	2021-11-23 15:20:26	Yes	B3t1J4	Yes	No		
218	2021-11-23 15:08:55	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/ WSF active.
219	2021-11-03 14:41:21	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	
221	2021-11-23 13:47:47	Yes	B3T1N6	No			
224	2021-11-23 12:32:20	No	B3T1H8	Yes			
236	2021-11-22 18:40:08	Yes	B3t1k1	Yes	Yes	No	
237	2021-11-22 18:02:45	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	The smell will be bad. A reduction of extra greenhouse gases is not the same as NOT raising the levels at all.



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
239	2021-11-22 16:06:06	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	I feel that with the increase in raw organics to the landfill and the safeguard presently in place has done a pretty good job to date.
241	2021-11-22 15:52:47	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes		
245	2021-11-22 15:00:25	Yes	B3t1I2	Yes			
246	2021-11-22 14:31:14	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	No		
252	2021-11-22 10:29:13	Yes	b3z1b6	Yes	No		
256	2021-11-22 08:51:00	Yes	B3T1E1	Yes			
261	2021-11-21 21:23:53	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	We are at a point where every ton of greenhouse gas that can be eliminated must be. If residents of HRM need to pay a little more to reduce one of the worst greenhouse gases then that is what we should do. It is time for HRM to show some leadership on Climate Change.
262	2021-11-21 19:24:14	Yes	B3T1K8	Yes	Yes	No	By removing the FEP/WSF, more methane and CO2 will be introduced to the ground and although there will be less electricity used, and less CO2 emissions, it will still produce a negative impact on the environment.
271	2021-11-21 07:36:12	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
273	2021-11-21 06:17:22	Yes					
274	2021-11-21 05:29:13	Yes	B3z0e3	Yes			
280	2021-11-20 15:12:21	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	No		
282	2021-11-20 13:50:38	No	B3t1e9	Yes	Yes	No	Saying the gases are "not anticipated to increase" does not fill me with confidence. If electricity is the issue, then work on pulling electricity from cleaner sources.
283	2021-11-20 13:45:48	Yes	b3z1b5	Yes	No		
286	2021-11-20 06:33:59	Yes	B3t1j9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
290	2021-11-19 20:39:47	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	No	Changes proposed will cause increase
291	2021-11-19 20:19:27	No	B3Z4M8	Yes			
294	2021-11-19 18:47:39	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	No		
295	2021-11-05 07:00:55	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes			
296	2021-11-19 18:11:41	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	
298	2021-11-19 17:29:57	No	B3Z1A1	Yes			
299	2021-11-19 17:18:58	Yes	B3t1k8	Yes	Yes	No	Why mitigate it when we can not produce it
303	2021-11-19 13:43:02	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	Yes	No	
305	2021-11-18 08:56:37	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	
308	2021-11-19 13:17:59	No	b3z1a1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
311	2021-11-19 12:09:06	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	you are assuming that everyone will source separate which may not continue
318	2021-11-19 10:48:40	Yes	B3Z0B9	Yes			
319	2021-11-19 09:50:39	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
320	2021-11-04 10:08:10	Yes	B3t1h7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
321	2021-11-19 10:09:52	No	B3Z1A1	Yes			
322	2021-11-19 09:54:14	Yes	B3Z0C6	Yes	No		
323	2021-11-19 09:53:08	Yes	B3t1g6	Yes	No		
324	2021-11-05 19:00:42	No	B3z1a4	Yes	Yes	No	The claim of electricity generation creating co2 can equally be refuted with electricity generated by wind or solar.
325	2021-11-19 09:42:37	Yes	b3t1j3				
326	2021-11-19 09:37:22	Yes	B3t1c1	Yes	Yes	No	You're poisoning your community members.

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
327	2021-11-19 09:32:40	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	Yes	No	The FEP & WSF were critical components of the contract the communities accepted. The tactics used by HRM in attempt to remove the FEP & WSF are abhorrent and not in good faith. The format of this "survey" is a disgusting reminder of the lengths policy makers will take.
333	2021-11-19 08:29:03	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	I support the existence of the FEP/WSF. There may be other sources for energy that would reduce greenhouse gasses.
334	2021-11-19 08:01:46	Yes	b3t1c1	Yes			
335	2021-11-19 07:38:52	No	B3z1a3	Yes	Yes		Additional organic matter in the landfill will cause more long term off gassing
337	2021-11-18 19:34:24	Yes	B3T1c1	Yes	Yes	No	The fact that the two prices of equipment do something to mitigate the issue of greenhouse gases is a good reason to continue using them. If the net impact of running them betters the Gas emissions, why are we going backwards.
338	2021-11-18 19:12:19	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
339	2021-11-18 15:25:16	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	No		
341	2021-11-18 13:22:55	Yes	B3Z1A4	Yes	Yes	No	I am not confident that removing the FEP will not affect gas emission. Instead of using electrical power as an excuse, why isn't solar power generation and storage being installed onsite?
345	2021-11-18 07:07:28	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	No		
346	2021-11-18 06:20:03	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
347	2021-11-18 03:50:21	No	B3z0e3	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste should never be buried in a landfill. The FEP/WSF should always be operated at our landfill.
355	2021-11-17 18:26:33	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes			
357	2021-11-13 22:48:08	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
360	2021-11-17 16:39:08	Yes	B3t1g5	Yes	Yes	No	The garbage system is not perfect for the environment
363	2021-11-17 15:28:28	Yes	B3T2K1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
365	2021-11-16 12:57:50	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	I do not want any additional organics placed in the landfill not to mention other toxic materials. With no front end sorting who knows what will wind up in the landfill.
367	2021-11-17 12:59:17	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	Rather than saying that it is okay to allow organic material into the landfill because the net amount of greenhouse gases produced is less than the electricity used to separate the organic materials, you should be consider greener sources of energy for that separation and keep the organics out of the landfill. This will allow even less greenhouse gas emissions.
370	2021-11-17 12:04:06	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste does not belong in a landfill
371	2021-11-17 11:14:48	No	B3Z1C2	Yes	No		
376	2021-11-17 05:51:40	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	Why are you talking about the use of additional electricity? That is a separate issue about how NS has been slow to move more towards green energy vs fossil fuels. Honestly, this question is very diversionary and purposely misleading.
377	2021-11-17 05:55:25	No	b3t1e1	Yes	No		
383	2021-11-16 20:49:29	Yes	B3t1j1	Yes	Yes	No	We can already smell the dump this will make it worse
385	2021-11-16 20:37:49	No	B3z4e9	Yes	Yes	No	I am not informed enough to form an opinion
386	2021-11-04 21:17:46	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
390	2021-11-16 19:52:37	No	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes		
393	2021-11-16 15:24:34	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
399	2021-11-16 14:36:36	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes			
400	2021-11-16 14:22:06	Yes	B3Z1A2	Yes			

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
401	2021-11-16 13:51:53	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes			
406	2021-11-15 16:24:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
408	2021-11-12 11:37:48	Yes	B3z4n4	Yes			
414	2021-11-16 10:55:27	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	Yes this approach works - changes by allowing compostable material will increase gases... smell!
415	2021-11-09 10:20:20	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	I've lived here 15 years. We didn't agree to a dump. We've been lied to and are being manipulated to accept this.
416	2021-11-16 09:46:15	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	Yes	No	theres still going to be an increase
417	2021-11-16 09:33:37	Yes	B3z1b5	Yes			
424	2021-11-16 07:21:05	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	I smell the processing plant on a fairly regular basis WITH the FEP in place. How bad will the odor be if that is removed????
426	2021-11-16 06:54:36	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
430	2021-11-16 00:04:52	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	If you are concerned with the electricity use the find better solution rather than stopping the existing process
431	2021-11-15 23:20:55	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes			
434	2021-11-09 13:32:39	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
435	2021-11-15 21:26:49	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	Yes	No	
437	2021-11-15 21:17:27	Yes	B3z1b6	Yes	Yes		
438	2021-11-15 20:50:10	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	I don't feel their will be a reduction in greenhouse gas.
440	2021-11-15 20:07:07	Yes	B3z1b5	Yes	No		
441	2021-11-15 19:26:51	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	If the waste is not being properly sorted than this is all a fairytale.
446	2021-11-15 19:21:29	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes			
450	2021-11-15 19:12:13	No	B3Z1B6	Yes			
452	2021-11-15 18:47:18	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	Your argument is dishonest. Yes, FEP/WSF consumes electricity ... but what it results in is far more environmentally responsible..
455	2021-11-15 17:56:20	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	Yes	No	If you just stopped picking up garbage in general, electricity use will go down but that doesn't mean we should stop processing it. Climate change is a massive ordeal confronting this generation and anything to enhance waste processing is preferable. "Anticipation" of not increasing greenhouse gases is not good enough.
458	2021-11-15 16:55:07	Yes	B3t1k7				
461	2021-11-15 15:55:27	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	Yes	No	
462	2021-11-15 15:50:42	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	No	No	
464	2021-11-15 15:25:06	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	Green cart program is great if 100% of residents can be relied upon to follow it. Companies and apartment buildings rarely sort their garbage like the rest of residents. The FEP is necessary because people are unreliable.
465	2021-11-15 15:35:49	Yes		Yes			
466	2021-11-15 15:32:08	No	B3Z0P7	Yes			
471	2021-11-15 12:25:46	No	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
473	2021-11-15 12:31:09	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	Some of your information is false and promotional to your advantage
480	2021-11-15 10:39:20	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	No		
481	2021-11-15 10:56:31	Yes	B3t1n7	Yes	Yes	No	
484	2021-11-04 11:52:49	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	Yes	No	IN THE PAST THERE WERE TIMES YOU COULD SMELL THE LANDFILL WHEN DRIVING BY EXIT 3- "A PROBLEM WITH THE SYSTEM". BELIEVE IT CAN ONLY GET WORSE..
485	2021-11-15 10:05:04	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
486	2021-11-15 08:43:10	No	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
489	2021-11-15 09:21:03	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes			

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
495	2021-11-15 06:32:53	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes			
500	2021-11-15 05:27:21	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	I still have concerns
506	2021-11-14 21:35:47	No	B3Z1A1	Yes			
516	2021-11-14 17:12:19	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	I am concern about the city putting wet garbage in this land fill.
528	2021-11-14 12:38:56	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	I am concerned that when the front end processor is removed that products that are not suited to the landfill will be overlooked and that hazardous wastes can enter the landfill
536	2021-11-14 10:25:11	Yes	B3Z0b7	Yes	Yes	No	Stabilizing those materials has other benefits doesn't it? Options to utilize clean energy for power?
546	2021-11-14 07:10:17	Yes	B3T1n8	Yes	Yes	No	Just trying to save money at the expensive of the environment
547	2021-11-14 06:57:28	Yes	B3T1j4	Yes	Yes	No	Again the explanation states "reduce". Not eliminate
559	2021-11-13 23:27:04	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	No		
560	2021-11-13 23:19:28	No	B3Z4P2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
564	2021-11-13 20:40:52	No	B3Z0R3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
566	2021-11-13 20:39:22	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	
567	2021-11-13 20:30:54	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	No		
571	2021-11-13 17:40:32	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	Broken promise to the community.
572	2021-11-13 18:00:19	Yes	B3Z1e1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
574	2021-11-13 17:18:43	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
575	2021-11-13 16:53:34	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	Yes	No	Methane gas
583	2021-11-04 08:58:52	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	
593	2021-11-13 11:16:36	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	Rather than burn the methane gas with flares, why doesn't HRM look into methane collection and onsite methane powered generators. The potential electricity created could be used to power or offset the energy needed to run the FEP/WSF thus reducing GHG/CO2 emissions.
597	2021-11-13 11:05:13	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes	Yes	No	Are we really doing enough to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions anywhere?
600	2021-11-13 10:00:56	Yes	B3Z1a9	Yes	Yes		
604	2021-11-13 09:00:42	Yes	B3T1j7	Yes	Yes	No	Insufficient
606	2021-11-13 08:52:45	Yes	B3Z1a2	Yes	No		
610	2021-11-13 08:24:03	Yes	B3Z0R8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
611	2021-11-13 08:38:30	Yes	B3T1h8	No			
612	2021-11-04 16:57:56	Yes	b3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	amount of methane will still increase due to no "policing" on front end and removing organic
626	2021-11-13 04:20:39	No	B3Z1b5	Yes			
627	2021-11-13 00:16:22	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	It doesn't matter how much you say you addressed the concern and got ways to deal with it you would never admit it that it doesn't work when it proves it doesn't
629	2021-11-12 22:56:37	Yes	B3T1j8	Yes	Yes	No	How is this good for the environment?
631	2021-11-12 22:01:33	No	B3Z4P2	Yes	No		
633	2021-11-12 21:35:21	Yes	B3T1h8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
634	2021-11-12 21:34:14	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
643	2021-11-12 19:50:07	No	B3Z4N8	Yes	No		
646	2021-11-12 19:10:50	Yes	B3Z1A4	Yes	No		
652	2021-11-12 17:55:29	Yes	B3T1h8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
656	2021-11-12 18:03:42	Yes	B3T0a5	Yes	No		
657	2021-11-12 17:30:49	Yes	B3Z1P6	Yes			



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
659	2021-11-09 20:27:04	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	
667	2021-11-06 19:19:20	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	Still going to be generating gas as more vehicles and trash are delivered
670	2021-11-05 10:25:41	Yes	B3t0b1	Yes	Yes	No	
671	2021-11-12 14:29:04	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	Yes	If they work.
672	2021-11-12 14:21:08	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	Yes	No	
674	2021-11-12 13:27:53	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	More, not less needs to be done to mitigate methane gas in our fight against climate change
676	2021-11-12 13:29:39	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	This explanation clearly demonstrates how the FEP and WSF positions help divert methane producing products from the landfill.
680	2021-11-12 13:13:46	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes			
681	2021-11-12 13:01:10	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	
682	2021-11-12 12:51:55	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes			
683	2021-11-12 12:22:17	Yes	B3z1a4	Yes	No		
684	2021-11-12 12:30:01	Yes	B3t1j9	Yes			
686	2021-11-12 11:39:54	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	Yes	No	The best way to keep these in check is by following the current procedures. Once the damage is done, harder to deal with
691	2021-11-12 11:31:45	No	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
694	2021-11-12 11:33:06	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes			
697	2021-11-12 10:51:31	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	The fact that you even mention additional greenhouse gasses means that there will be more.
700	2021-11-12 10:32:00	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	
703	2021-11-12 09:55:11	No	B3z0r5	Yes	Yes	No	Trucking alone will add to green house gasses
705	2021-11-12 09:39:46	Yes	B3z1a3	Yes			
706	2021-11-08 17:16:47	No	B3Z1A9	Yes	No		
710	2021-11-12 09:30:26	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
711	2021-11-12 09:39:05	No	B3z1a1	Yes	Yes	No	
714	2021-11-12 09:35:55	Yes	B3t1e5	Yes	Yes	No	
716	2021-11-12 09:31:18	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
718	2021-11-12 08:56:42	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	It doesn't matter, eventually the garbage will stink to high heaven it it's sitting there rotting with more garbage being dumped there every day. The horrible stench from the landfill in the previous location is actually burned into my brain and I'd be beyond pissed for that to happen again basically in my backyard
722	2021-11-12 08:13:27	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	The residents of the surrounding communities agreed to the placement of Otter Lake with the understanding that the FEP and WSF would be active throughout the lifespan of the facility. This move by the city is in blatant disregard of the original agreement, and while maybe not in violation of the specific provisions, is made clearly with disregard to the spirit of the agreement.
724	2021-11-12 07:53:21	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	No	With the elimination of the FEP/WSF, more composing material will end up in the wrong area of the facility. I know of several folks who do not separate their garbage correctly and the elimination of this process will only impact the operation and effect of this facility on the communities.
727	2021-11-12 08:13:51	Yes	B3Z4M9	Yes	Yes	No	It's not a solid win. Just a different aporiach/similar to now. Stick with proven system.
729	2021-11-12 08:16:19	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	No		
731	2021-11-12 07:35:00	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	No	
734	2021-11-11 16:39:38	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes			

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
737	2021-11-11 18:38:28	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	No		
738	2021-11-11 18:30:56	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	
740	2021-11-11 18:09:09	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	No	More unsorted trash will create more ghg's.
742	2021-11-11 17:24:51	No	b3t1h5	Yes	No		
744	2021-11-11 16:28:40	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	
750	2021-11-11 12:46:39	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	No		
754	2021-11-11 11:54:48	Yes	B3T2J9	Yes	Yes	No	Not convinced. Will it go back to the old way and the bad odor return to the immediate communities.
755	2021-11-11 12:20:24	Yes	B3Z1A6	Yes			
756	2021-11-11 12:04:58	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	No		
758	2021-11-11 11:30:44	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	There should continue to be sorting of all waste to the landfill. This is what is needed to decrease the greenhouse gases. You are using this to save money. This directly impacts our community.
764	2021-11-11 09:46:30	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	Still burning off the gas now?
765	2021-11-11 09:36:19	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	No		
766	2021-11-11 09:41:01	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes	Yes	No	
786	2021-11-10 20:32:28	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	Yes	No	
787	2021-11-11 03:44:19	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
791	2021-11-10 20:35:01	No	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	It does not matter what you write in your statements. A contract is a contract and law bidding citizens are not allowed to change contracts when only one side want to do it. The only reason the dump was agreed to be in our area was because of the contract. Do not break your promise that was made. It's odd.
792	2021-11-10 20:07:47	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	Would you like it if every time you went in your back yard it smelled like methane? You need to honor the 1999 agreement with the surrounding communities. Processing things less will not improve the smell, period.
793	2021-11-07 06:48:23	Yes	B3Z4P7	Yes	Yes	No	Where are the organic causing the methane gas coming from? If this landfill is producing Methane gas then we certainly do require the front end monitoring to continue. With global warming such an issue, Halifax needs to do all it can to decrease the production of Methane
794	2021-11-10 19:48:51	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
796	2021-11-10 19:35:45	No	B3t1c7	Yes	No		
797	2021-11-10 19:02:17	Yes	B3t1j6	Yes	Yes	No	More info
802	2021-11-10 18:11:54	Yes	B3Z4P7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
803	2021-11-10 18:22:40	Yes	B3Z1A1	Yes			
811	2021-11-10 14:33:03	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	I believe any additional waste going into the landfill will result in additional generation of greenhouse gases. You don't anticipate this but you have no guarantee.
813	2021-11-10 15:30:00	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
821	2021-11-10 14:05:36	Yes	B3t2c7	Yes	Yes	No	So less electricity so and more issues is a reason to shut it down. Come on
822	2021-11-10 13:59:12	Yes	B3t1g3	Yes			
824	2021-11-10 13:30:08	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes			
825	2021-11-10 12:23:51	No	B3Z1A8	Yes	No		
831	2021-11-03 20:37:26	Yes	B3z0b7	Yes	Yes	No	
842	2021-11-10 09:03:51	Yes	b3t1c8	Yes	No		
845	2021-11-10 08:36:21	No	B3T1K1	No			

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
846	2021-11-10 08:25:15	Yes	B3T0B1	Yes	Yes	No	
854	2021-11-04 08:06:12	Yes	B3Z1B8	Yes	No		
855	2021-11-10 07:20:17	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
859	2021-11-10 06:48:08	Yes	B3T1H6	No			
860	2021-11-10 06:26:28	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	we live here - you likely do not; therefore we should not loose any reduction of greenhouse gasses.
864	2021-11-10 06:00:53	Yes	B3T1e6	Yes	No		
865	2021-11-10 05:00:14	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	Show me the research, not your interpretation of it.
866	2021-11-10 04:46:48	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	You quantify the potential reduction of gases from less electricity consumption but do not quantify the potential "Small" increase from your expansion because you don't want us to know.
867	2021-11-09 15:22:11	Yes	B3T1n9	Yes	No		
868	2021-11-09 22:33:05	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	
869	2021-11-04 15:25:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	As per the 1999 agreement, Organic waste should not be buried in the landfill".
871	2021-11-09 21:27:52	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	
872	2021-11-09 21:14:13	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes			
873	2021-11-09 20:48:16	Yes	B3Z1b5	Yes	No		
874	2021-11-09 21:05:55	Yes	B3Z4L5	Yes			
875	2021-11-09 20:02:17	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	No	Some of your data is faulty and some of your statements are inaccurate...more like guesses at best,
877	2021-11-09 20:24:49	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes			
886	2021-11-09 19:32:11	Yes	B3Z1B7	Yes			
891	2021-11-09 19:10:01	Yes	B3T1c7	Yes	Yes	No	
893	2021-11-09 19:01:00	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	
894	2021-11-09 17:54:43	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	Yes	No	Greenhouse gases will continue to be emitted not only onsite but also by increased traffic to the site thus rendering a concentration in this area and a contribution to the very issue we as a province and a country are trying to resolve.
896	2021-11-09 18:21:25	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
897	2021-11-09 18:06:35	No	B3Z1A7	Yes			
898	2021-11-09 17:38:11	Yes	B3T1g7	Yes	Yes	No	says does not anticipate it to "greatly" increase, so it will increase. Does not quantify "greatly".
899	2021-11-09 17:30:26	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	No		
902	2021-11-09 16:58:34	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	No	Sounds like the buck is being passed once again. This is all about saving money and not about that is best for the community!
903	2021-11-09 16:14:02	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	No	Same as my previous comment
904	2021-11-04 18:05:37	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	You have no issues providing data to support decommissioning, yet don't say quantitatively how much greenhouse gases are reduced through the use of FEP/WSF. This survey is full of bias towards decommissioning and does not present a balanced view of the situation.
909	2021-11-09 16:32:47	Yes	B3T1g1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
910	2021-11-09 16:23:16	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	My concern is related more to the odour of the emissions and its impact on nearby communities. I understand in terms of greenhouse gases this does not represent a significant increase.
912	2021-11-09 16:08:32	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	
916	2021-11-09 15:49:15	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	No		
919	2021-11-09 15:28:47	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	Climate change is real , government is not
924	2021-11-09 14:51:54	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	No		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
929	2021-11-09 14:29:10	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
930	2021-11-09 14:42:58	Yes	B3Z0B6	Yes			
931	2021-11-09 14:35:53	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	No	
933	2021-11-09 13:57:44	Yes	b3z1a5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
936	2021-11-09 13:09:39	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	We need to continue working on Gases in the environment in this area.
941	2021-11-09 13:15:03	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
944	2021-11-09 13:17:56	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	
946	2021-11-09 12:50:39	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	No		
948	2021-11-09 12:30:38	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	The best measure is not removing the measures that already work..
950	2021-11-09 11:29:08	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	
954	2021-11-09 11:42:48	Yes	B3t1e7	Yes	Yes	No	This should not be considered so close to such a growing population. In 30 yrs who knows what this will have cause health wise
956	2021-11-09 11:49:10	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	
957	2021-11-09 11:51:50	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	No		
958	2021-11-09 11:43:46	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes			
959	2021-11-04 22:16:03	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	can't the methane gas be generated into electricity continue using the FEP/WSF
961	2021-11-09 11:28:30	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes	No		
962	2021-11-09 08:05:43	Yes	b3t1j3	Yes	Yes	No	Closure of FEP/WSF will result in an increase decomposing organic materials and ultimately an increase in methane gas.
964	2021-11-09 11:24:08	Yes	B3T1H6	No			
965	2021-11-09 11:11:17	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	
966	2021-11-09 11:16:21	Yes	B3T1G1				
967	2021-11-09 11:05:37	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	No	
970	2021-11-09 10:50:59	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
973	2021-11-09 10:41:12	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	No		
975	2021-11-09 09:33:49	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	Yes	No	I don't think it's enough
978	2021-11-09 08:55:19	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	Yes	No	
985	2021-11-09 08:08:57	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	No		
987	2021-11-09 07:27:43	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	The current approach is not working. I can frequently smell the landfill from my home. And if reducing greenhouse gasses is really a concern for you, install solar panels or wind turbines.
989	2021-11-09 07:30:09	Yes	B3t1c8	Yes			
990	2021-11-04 07:05:57	No	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	
991	2021-11-09 06:40:53	No	B3z1a2	Yes	Yes		
992	2021-11-09 06:15:07	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
993	2021-11-09 05:59:40	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	You didn't provide the upper limit of potential green house gasses for this change in the landfill.
998	2021-11-09 04:34:13	No	B3Z1B5	Yes			
999	2021-11-08 23:44:20	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	No	The increase use of electricity is why you want to destroy the surrounding communities. It is insane. The survey is a mess and it incredibly biased
1000	2021-11-08 22:51:36	Yes	B3z1b6	Yes	Yes	No	I don't have confidence in the sincerity of a biased report, written with the purpose of justifying deactivation of this part of the facility. The residents of this area were promised by the council of the day that front end sorting would be a permanent part of the Otter Lake landfill.
1002	2021-11-08 22:17:36	Yes	b3t1j9	Yes			



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1003	2021-11-08 21:52:13	Yes	B3T1K8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1004	2021-11-08 21:23:27	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	No		
1006	2021-11-08 21:03:07	Yes	B3T1h9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1008	2021-11-08 20:43:59	Yes	B3t1j6	Yes			
1010	2021-11-08 17:49:55	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	Yes	No	People do not sort their garbage well enough to remove the current measures in place. There will be an increase in the amount of organically that make it into the landfill. There are already days in which we can smell the Otter Lake dump from our homes, removing the current process will increase the smell in our community. This will have a negative impact on health, wellness and property values
1011	2021-11-08 19:40:36	Yes	B3z1a9	Yes	Yes	No	
1013	2021-11-08 19:54:19	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	
1015	2021-11-08 19:41:27	Yes	B3z1a9	Yes	Yes	No	Every little bit helps. Even if it is a small effect, it is still an effect that mitigates the release of greenhouse gasses. This is not the time to be taking these small steps for granted
1023	2021-11-08 18:16:37	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	No		
1025	2021-11-08 17:53:19	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	No	Increased organic waste is going to increase all the problems associated with that.
1027	2021-11-08 17:51:15	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes			
1030	2021-11-08 17:27:06	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	Still don't believe it, we don't want Timberlea area to suffer like sack like dump. This community allowed the dump to come here for a specified period and specific procedures. How can you keep changing them?
1031	2021-11-08 17:39:32	Yes	B3z1a7	Yes	Yes	No	
1032	2021-11-08 17:36:13	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	No		
1033	2021-11-08 17:33:51	Yes	B3z1a8	Yes	No		
1037	2021-11-08 17:12:36	Yes	B3T1E6	Yes	Yes	No	
1038	2021-11-08 16:58:28	Yes	B3Z0R8	Yes	No		
1040	2021-11-08 13:18:25	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes			
1043	2021-11-08 16:48:59	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1044	2021-11-08 16:56:44	Yes	b3z0a1	Yes			
1047	2021-11-08 16:28:00	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	No	No system is perfect.
1048	2021-11-08 16:16:45	Yes	B3t1J5	Yes	No		
1052	2021-11-08 15:41:38	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	No	we currently have a world class system, to shut it down because some people think it is no longer needed is cray, if you think that less is better, put it in your back yard.
1053	2021-11-08 15:40:09	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes		
1057	2021-11-08 15:04:19	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	
1059	2021-11-08 14:44:08	Yes	B3t1j1	Yes	Yes	No	
1062	2021-11-08 14:15:18	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes			
1068	2021-11-08 13:00:55	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	The composting of organics in will greatly increase the greenhouse gas. This measure is will do little to contain it.
1070	2021-11-08 12:36:46	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	
1072	2021-11-08 12:39:58	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	
1073	2021-11-08 12:21:31	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	
1074	2021-11-08 12:18:10	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	No		
1078	2021-11-08 11:46:27	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	I do not trust your research
1079	2021-11-08 09:58:17	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	The majority of methane is released before the landfill is covered and is NOT measurable. The only solution is composting.
1081	2021-11-08 11:40:16	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1083	2021-11-08 11:40:47	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1084	2021-11-08 11:15:49	No	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes		
1085	2021-11-08 11:05:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes			
1086	2021-11-08 08:45:33	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
1087	2021-11-08 10:29:46	Yes	B3t1h4	Yes	No		
1091	2021-11-08 10:03:16	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes			
1099	2021-11-08 08:47:09	Yes	B3t1g7	No			
1100	2021-11-08 08:54:54	No	B3Z1E1	Yes			
1106	2021-11-08 08:40:36	Yes	B3T0B1	Yes	Yes	No	
1111	2021-11-08 08:30:29	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
1125	2021-11-08 06:56:53	Yes	b3t1h5	Yes	Yes	No	The report results were inconclusive and you are lying to the people
1135	2021-11-07 21:03:45	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	Yes	No	
1136	2021-11-07 20:16:23	Yes	B3Z1B2	Yes	Yes	No	The explanations provided do not give me assurance that greenhouse gas emissions will remain at an acceptable level.
1138	2021-11-07 21:04:04	Yes	b3t1g4				
1141	2021-11-07 20:16:43	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	No		
1146	2021-11-07 18:25:27	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	The FEB/WSF is diverting items that cause methane gas. Don't try and fix what is not broken.
1148	2021-11-07 18:51:41	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	No		
1150	2021-11-07 18:25:35	No	B3Z1A8	Yes	No		
1153	2021-11-07 17:54:03	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	
1154	2021-11-07 17:46:59	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1156	2021-11-07 17:17:16	No	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	A promise was made to the community. Find a new site and stop trying to make this a dump
1162	2021-11-07 16:10:15	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	No		
1164	2021-11-07 13:15:12	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	Yes	No	- more methane gas will be produced, and chemicals from things like batteries could be going straight into the landfill. - there are no guarantees the industrial, commercial and institutional sector (ICI) will continue to send its waste to sites outside the municipality.
1166	2021-11-07 14:31:05	No	b3t1j1	Yes	Yes	No	Sounds like this survey is trying to sell the deactivation of the (FEP/WSF) instead of asking opinions.
1168	2021-11-07 15:09:03	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	
1171	2021-11-07 14:43:36	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1177	2021-11-07 14:08:08	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes		
1179	2021-11-07 13:49:37	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1180	2021-11-07 14:05:52	No	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1186	2021-11-07 13:25:33	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1195	2021-11-07 11:05:26	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	we do not need more greenhouse gases
1196	2021-11-07 10:50:23	Yes	B3T1E7	Yes	No		
1204	2021-11-07 10:24:43	Yes	B3t1j6	Yes	Yes	No	
1207	2021-11-07 09:37:19	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	Yes	No	
1209	2021-11-07 09:00:42	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	We don't want to deal with harmful toxins leaking in the air here. If the garbage doesn't come to this location we will not have to deal with these harmful gasses. Send it somewhere else. This area has been exhausted.
1210	2021-11-07 09:18:16	Yes	b3t1j5	Yes			
1212	2021-11-07 08:47:48	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes			

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1213	2021-11-07 08:02:00	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	NS is quickly greening the grid (80% by 2030). It is very short sighted and a step in the wrong direction to remove this from a quickly greening grid - sighting GHG savings is VERY misleading.
1218	2021-11-07 08:03:03	Yes	B3t1c1	Yes	Yes	No	No changes should be made
1220	2021-11-07 07:02:14	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	No	What is done with the gas that is collected? Hope it is not burned off into the air.
1226	2021-11-07 06:19:12	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1227	2021-11-07 06:26:01	Yes	B3t1e9	Yes	Yes	No	
1228	2021-11-07 05:49:15	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes			
1231	2021-11-03 16:47:38	Yes	B3Z1B3	Yes	Yes	No	
1234	2021-11-03 14:07:24	No	B3t0b1	Yes	Yes	No	
1235	2021-11-06 22:54:07	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	
1237	2021-11-06 22:27:27	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	No		
1238	2021-11-06 22:11:58	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	Yes	No	
1243	2021-11-06 20:34:03	Yes	B3T1g3	Yes	Yes	No	
1245	2021-11-06 20:38:08	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	No		
1247	2021-11-06 20:16:52	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1248	2021-11-06 20:23:50	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	Yes	No	
1249	2021-11-06 19:44:30	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	Yes	No	Any greenhouse gas increase is unacceptable. The offset in electricity is only relevant while our electricity is not green, an issue HRM could solve by buying green energy.
1251	2021-11-06 19:16:43	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1255	2021-11-06 18:36:24	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	No	Do the right thing and look at alternative energy sources. The more not diverted the greater the potential for increased odour.
1256	2021-11-06 18:45:14	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes			
1257	2021-11-06 18:22:14	Yes	B3t1g7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1262	2021-11-06 17:45:16	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	As we move to renewable energy, the extra electricity needed to run the FEP/WSF won't add more CO2 to the atmosphere
1264	2021-11-06 16:55:43	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes			
1265	2021-11-06 17:28:55	Yes	B3t1j7	Yes	No		
1266	2021-11-06 17:02:44	Yes	B3t1h4	Yes	Yes	No	Seems like there is some kind of answer to these concerns but they really don't do much
1267	2021-11-06 17:03:55	Yes	B3T1J8				
1269	2021-11-06 16:09:51	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	No	I do not believe the dump has been handled properly and any addition to its current function will be disastrous.
1272	2021-11-06 16:10:29	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	No		
1277	2021-11-04 07:02:22	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	I'd rather an innovative solution be found rather than simply shutting down the community protections.
1278	2021-11-04 12:01:56	No	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	No	
1283	2021-11-06 13:33:43	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
1284	2021-11-06 13:07:07	Yes	B3t1h9	No	No		
1286	2021-11-06 12:51:21	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	
1290	2021-11-06 11:43:02	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	during periods of temperature inversions we have noticed increased foul odours in the past two years
1291	2021-11-06 11:52:45	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1292	2021-11-06 11:38:55	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
1297	2021-11-06 11:07:59	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes			
1298	2021-11-06 09:29:17	No	B3z1a4	Yes	No		
1299	2021-11-06 09:27:10	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	No		
1303	2021-11-06 09:09:20	Yes	B3T1L2	Yes	Yes		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1304	2021-11-06 09:00:32	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	No		
1305	2021-11-06 08:55:14	Yes	B3t1g9	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe you can do it and it will work
1306	2021-11-06 08:29:50	Yes	b3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	No significant change, who decides what is significant?
1307	2021-11-06 08:25:15	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1308	2021-11-06 07:40:06	Yes		Yes			
1311	2021-11-06 08:24:50	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1316	2021-11-05 21:45:07	Yes	B3T1J4	No			
1321	2021-11-06 06:58:26	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	Yes	No	We should be removing anything that would produce gas from going into the site.
1326	2021-11-06 05:43:37	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes			
1327	2021-11-06 05:33:17	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes			
1330	2021-11-05 23:03:05	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1331	2021-11-05 21:57:28	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	Yes	No	Although carbon offset might happen this will not reduce the potential for additional gas to be produced with the potential for increased smell, etc.
1332	2021-11-05 22:30:16	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes			
1333	2021-11-05 21:49:28	Yes	B3t1c1	Yes	Yes	No	Find another way to offset the electricity usage - install solar panels or some other measures. There should not be an increase in greenhouse gases. If anything we should be continually striving to reduce the gases. These are our homes and our community. We take great pride in this place. It is devastating when you come out of your house or open your car door and get a whiff of the gases as it is
1334	2021-11-05 21:54:50	Yes	B3T1G3	No			
1335	2021-11-05 21:51:32	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	No	
1336	2021-11-05 21:33:28	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	I believe you can always do better in this area.
1337	2021-11-05 21:40:29	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	No		
1339	2021-11-05 21:05:42	No	B3T1H4	Yes			
1340	2021-11-05 20:50:25	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	We need to take all possible measures to reduce greenhouse gases since current research on climate change suggests this is negatively impacting the environment and our weather patterns
1344	2021-11-05 20:04:54	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes			
1346	2021-11-05 19:28:58	Yes	B3Z4b8	Yes	Yes	No	
1347	2021-11-05 16:24:45	No	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	
1349	2021-11-05 18:58:14	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes	Yes	No	The province is moving toward using renewable energy, including solar and wind power. I worry that if this is deactivated, it will never come back online. When we're of coal and using renewables, are we going to reactivate the processor and save those gases? I'm guessing not. Let's keep that food out of the landfill and as the province moves toward green energy we'll save the gases in all areas.
1352	2021-11-05 18:45:51	Yes	B3t1e9	Yes	No		
1357	2021-11-05 17:41:33	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	Yes	No	We all know that as soon as the FEP/WSF is removed, the organic content in the garbage hauled to the site is going to increase. It is human nature to do what is easiest, and if there are no checks at the site people have no reason to separate the organics, especially if they don't live close to the facility and therefore won't be affected by all the increased odours as a result.
1365	2021-11-05 17:06:31	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	Yes	No	You can put a number to the saving of CO2 emission but no number to the increase
1366	2021-11-05 17:14:34	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes			



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1367	2021-11-05 16:33:44	Yes	B3t1k1	Yes	Yes	No	Mr. Savage would you like to move here and smell these gases or try to sell your house? I don't think so!
1372	2021-11-05 15:47:32	Yes	B3Z186	Yes	Yes	No	More organic waste means more decomposition and more greenhouse gases.
1374	2021-11-05 15:53:33	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	No		
1377	2021-11-05 15:45:02	No	B3z1a1	Yes			
1379	2021-11-05 15:43:33	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes			
1380	2021-11-05 15:34:32	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1381	2021-11-05 15:39:24	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
1382	2021-11-05 15:28:06	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	No		
1386	2021-11-05 14:46:49	Yes	B3t0a4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1387	2021-11-05 14:30:49	Yes	B3Z0R6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1389	2021-11-05 14:25:03	Yes	B3t1c8	Yes	No		
1391	2021-11-05 13:29:03	Yes	b3t1j7	Yes	Yes	No	No, trade off is not a reduction. Rather we should also look for ways to reduce/replace electricity consumption.
1392	2021-11-05 14:01:05	Yes	b3t1j4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1393	2021-11-05 13:48:50	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	Yes	No	Food waste that is not diverted by the FEP to the WSF for treatment will increase greenhouse gasses. Haligonians are living with the effects of climate change. This proposal to deactivate the FEP/WSF is an extremely irresponsible way of trying to save 2 million dollars.
1397	2021-11-05 13:27:25	No	B3t1j1	Yes	Yes	No	The current systems do not mitigate the smell of the landfill. I live near exit 4 and we occasionally smell the landfill. I'm concerned this will happen more often, devaluing our property and making our community less attractive.
1398	2021-11-05 13:38:39	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes			
1399	2021-11-05 12:39:08	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	No		
1400	2021-11-05 13:08:19	Yes	B3T1G9	Yes	No		
1403	2021-11-05 12:45:46	Yes	b3t2k4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1405	2021-11-05 11:53:21	Yes	B3t1g8	Yes	Yes	No	
1406	2021-11-05 11:32:38	Yes	b3t1h2	Yes	No		
1411	2021-11-05 10:47:19	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1413	2021-11-05 09:38:01	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	This is an issue that should be addressed by NS Environment and Climate Change. Maybe the money spent by the Mayor to attend attend COP 26 could help offset the costs of electricity for this process.
1416	2021-11-04 11:40:18	No	B3Z1A2	Yes			
1417	2021-11-05 10:31:51	Yes		Yes			
1420	2021-11-05 09:52:16	No	B3Z1C1	Yes	No		
1421	2021-11-05 09:45:59	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	not what we agreed to when we agreed to landfill
1422	2021-11-05 09:48:23	Yes	B3t1g4	Yes	Yes	No	
1425	2021-11-05 09:38:04	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes	No		
1429	2021-11-04 17:07:08	No	B3Z1A3	Yes	Yes	Yes	Removal of the FEP/WSF will cause an increase of methane gas in the landfill. We need to take measures to reduce methane gas wherever possible.
1433	2021-11-05 07:26:02	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes			
1437	2021-11-05 06:56:17	Yes	B3t1n9	Yes			
1439	2021-11-05 05:54:48	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	Not everyone sorts their waste properly. HRM cannot stop that. Large apartments are going up every day and there is no way residents in these buildings properly separate waste. Even neighbours do not do this. This is one of my biggest concerns.

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1441	2021-11-05 06:12:23	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	No		
1442	2021-11-05 06:12:13	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	Not stabilizing material...
1448	2021-11-05 05:33:41	Yes	B3t1h9	No			
1450	2021-11-05 05:08:27	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes			
1451	2021-11-05 04:33:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Trading one set of minimal problems for another set of maximum problems makes zero sense.
1453	2021-11-05 00:32:01	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	Yes	No	I am concerned with odours affecting our property values. I'm concerned HRM is going backwards on their promises to close this facility. And now aren't even wanting to use the world class system they have.
1457	2021-11-05 00:05:15	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	
1460	2021-11-04 22:39:01	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	No		
1461	2021-11-04 22:38:33	No	B3T1E5	Yes			
1467	2021-11-04 21:27:35	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	Self explanatory
1469	2021-11-04 21:04:09	No	B3Z4P8	Yes	Yes	No	The organics that would have been composted in the WSF will be taken to the landfill and dumped. They will decompose there.
1470	2021-11-04 20:44:31	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	Organic materials should never be buried in a landfill, they should be separated at the FEP and treated at a proper facility
1471	2021-11-04 19:01:28	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	
1473	2021-11-04 20:44:19	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	Yes		
1476	2021-11-04 18:53:37	Yes	b3t1h4	Yes	No		
1477	2021-11-04 20:29:50	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
1478	2021-11-04 19:58:15	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	Sorry, I don't trust your information.
1482	2021-11-04 19:55:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes		
1484	2021-11-04 19:39:29	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	Yes	No	
1486	2021-11-04 19:38:26	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes	Yes	No	Concerned you are not telling us everything
1488	2021-11-04 19:19:14	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	No	Deactivation not the agreement
1489	2021-11-03 16:47:23	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes			
1491	2021-11-04 19:03:04	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	No		
1495	2021-11-04 19:05:29	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes			
1497	2021-11-04 18:58:28	Yes	B3t1c6	Yes	Yes	No	
1499	2021-11-04 18:59:44	Yes	B3T1C6	No			
1501	2021-11-04 18:19:48	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	No	mmittments.
1502	2021-11-04 18:39:01	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	gas is released before it gets in the landfill
1504	2021-11-04 18:31:01	Yes	B3T1G5				
1506	2021-11-04 18:05:59	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	
1507	2021-11-04 17:17:44	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	No		
1508	2021-11-04 17:53:34	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1509	2021-11-04 17:43:26	Yes	B3Z1B2	Yes	Yes	No	
1511	2021-11-04 17:35:31	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	With the lack of sorting unnecessary recycling could be missed creating more waste
1512	2021-11-04 17:32:50	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	No		
1513	2021-11-04 17:12:24	Yes	B3t1g5	Yes	No		
1514	2021-11-04 17:10:56	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes			
1516	2021-11-04 16:49:10	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	Need to do everything we can to divert waste from landfills
1517	2021-11-04 16:42:28	Yes	B3t1g3	Yes	No		
1519	2021-11-04 15:32:44	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes	No		
1521	2021-11-04 16:09:33	No	B3z1n2	No			
1523	2021-11-04 16:02:08	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes			
1525	2021-11-04 16:02:40	Yes	B3t1j3	Yes			

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1526	2021-11-04 15:45:33	Yes	b3z0b7	Yes	Yes	No	
1527	2021-11-04 15:42:38	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	No		
1528	2021-11-04 15:50:58	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes			
1530	2021-11-04 12:00:25	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	Use the games to create electricity
1533	2021-11-04 15:28:41	Yes	B3T1N7	No			
1534	2021-11-04 15:23:08	Yes	b3t1p1	Yes	Yes	No	do NOT deactivate the Otter Lake FEP/WSF
1540	2021-11-04 14:09:42	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
1542	2021-11-04 14:13:21	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes		
1543	2021-11-04 14:20:17	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	No		
1546	2021-11-04 14:07:47	Yes	B3t1g5	Yes			
1548	2021-11-04 12:41:11	No	B3Z0C6	Yes	Yes	No	By deactivating the FEP and WSF more organic material will enter the landfill and cause more greenhouse effect
1549	2021-11-04 13:38:21	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes			
1550	2021-11-03 15:47:25	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes			
1551	2021-11-04 12:47:17	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1552	2021-11-04 13:19:48	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes	Yes	No	Not sure that is accurate
1553	2021-11-04 13:01:16	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	
1554	2021-11-04 13:19:04	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	
1555	2021-11-04 13:23:55	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	No		
1558	2021-11-04 13:17:55	No	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1560	2021-11-04 12:48:26	Yes	b3t1h6	Yes	Yes	No	
1561	2021-11-04 12:45:07	No	b3z4m7	Yes			
1562	2021-11-04 12:45:14	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes			
1563	2021-11-04 12:19:45	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1565	2021-11-04 12:24:59	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	No		
1566	2021-11-04 12:10:16	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1567	2021-11-04 12:08:51	Yes	b3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	this does not factor in the new proposal.
1570	2021-11-04 11:57:25	Yes	B3t1e1	Yes			
1571	2021-11-04 11:52:08	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes	Yes	No	
1573	2021-11-04 11:42:15	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	No		
1574	2021-11-04 11:46:39	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes			
1575	2021-11-04 11:36:59	Yes	B3T1G2	Yes	Yes	No	
1576	2021-11-04 11:40:29	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
1578	2021-11-04 11:27:13	Yes	B3t1g6	Yes	Yes	No	I do not want the FEP/WSF deactivated
1579	2021-11-04 11:35:28	No	B3t1n8	Yes			
1580	2021-11-04 11:30:27	Yes	b3z1a2				
1586	2021-11-04 10:48:09	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1587	2021-11-04 10:41:17	Yes	B3t1j3	Yes	Yes	No	
1588	2021-11-04 08:59:10	Yes	B3t1h7	Yes	No		
1591	2021-11-04 09:07:25	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	Without the sorting, there will be more organic waste and materials getting put into the land fill. People are people and if they know they are not being watched, there will be an increase in organic matter as people won't give a hoot. Many feel recycling and composting are a complete waste of time and a great inconvenience. You will be only increasing an already bad situation.
1592	2021-11-04 10:24:08	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes			
1593	2021-11-04 10:18:32	Yes	b3t1j7	Yes	Yes	No	
1597	2021-11-04 09:39:27	No	B3Z1B8	Yes	No		
1599	2021-11-04 10:04:29	Yes	B3T1L2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1602	2021-11-04 10:00:48	Yes	b3t1h1	Yes			

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1604	2021-11-04 09:30:59	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	People will put more organic sin garbage if they know it's not being sorted
1606	2021-11-04 09:26:11	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	
1607	2021-11-04 09:26:37	Yes	B3z1a1	Yes	No		
1608	2021-11-04 09:22:40	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	No		
1610	2021-11-04 09:28:16	Yes	B3T1P1	No			
1611	2021-11-04 09:18:14	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	Using acronyms and abbreviations does not allow me to understand this statement fully.
1612	2021-11-04 09:11:23	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	
1614	2021-11-04 09:13:51	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	
1615	2021-11-04 09:18:19	Yes	b3t1e5	Yes	Yes	No	
1617	2021-11-04 09:00:39	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	No		
1618	2021-11-04 09:11:00	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	No		
1619	2021-11-04 09:06:19	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	
1625	2021-11-04 08:59:55	No	B3z4b8	Yes			
1627	2021-11-04 08:54:25	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1628	2021-11-04 08:55:29	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes			
1630	2021-11-04 08:37:38	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	
1632	2021-11-04 08:40:01	Yes	B3t0a6	Yes	No		
1633	2021-11-04 08:42:48	Yes	B3t1k1	Yes			
1635	2021-11-04 08:02:36	No	B3Z4P2	Yes	Yes	No	Electricity GHG comments redundant post 2030 (NS off coal). Expected increase in methane and plans to actively address (and fines for exceeding controls)? Plans to offset GHG increase with biosolids (for methane conversion) or trees (liquid leeching). Are savings to be used to proactively increase HRM education around improved recycling?
1640	2021-11-04 08:24:38	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	
1643	2021-11-04 08:22:13	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	No		
1645	2021-11-04 08:12:52	No	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	N
1646	2021-11-04 08:04:35	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	No	
1647	2021-11-04 08:04:52	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	
1649	2021-11-04 08:03:47	Yes	B3t1n7	No			
1653	2021-11-04 07:09:27	No	B3Z0B7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1654	2021-11-04 07:12:12	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
1657	2021-11-04 06:58:21	Yes	B3T1G2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1661	2021-11-04 05:54:23	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	Deactivation creates more problems than it solves. Find a better way to support the power usage than shutting it off completely
1662	2021-11-04 06:16:57	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Increase in volume to the site, increase in gas emissions!
1663	2021-11-04 06:25:23	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes	No		
1665	2021-11-04 05:59:57	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1666	2021-11-04 06:08:58	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	No		
1669	2021-11-04 05:35:32	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	No		
1673	2021-11-04 04:03:06	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1674	2021-11-03 23:29:36	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	No		
1676	2021-11-03 23:06:06	Yes	B3t1j1	Yes	Yes	No	It still projects an overall increase in greenhouse gas emissions. If the province is truly concerned with climate change, reducing our ability to sort and manage waste while increasing emissions is a step backwards.
1678	2021-11-03 22:44:00	Yes	B3t0a6	Yes	No		
1685	2021-11-03 22:11:38	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	Yes	Yes	



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1686	2021-11-03 22:03:40	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	No	Any increase is bad
1687	2021-11-03 21:45:00	Yes	B3T1k1	Yes	Yes	No	by deactivating the FEP facility there is a greater chance that methane activity will increase due to the additional organic matter being added to the landfill.
1688	2021-11-03 21:28:30	No	B3z0e3	Yes	Yes	No	Deactivating the FEP/WSF service to save money is an insult to humanity. How dare you put such a valid and worthy tool to pasture, simply to save money. Your study of this proposed deactivation is bogus and biased, as is this ridiculous survey.
1690	2021-11-03 21:54:38	Yes	B3t1e1	Yes	Yes	No	
1698	2021-11-03 21:19:14	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	
1700	2021-11-03 21:18:46	Yes	B3z0b6	Yes			
1701	2021-11-03 21:09:16	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	More garbage and removal of current processes will impact all areas of landfill in a negative manner.
1702	2021-11-03 21:06:16	No	B3Z4B8	Yes			Not interested
1704	2021-11-03 20:34:32	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	Processing organic material in geological areas has too much potential to pollute air and water.
1705	2021-11-03 21:00:21	Yes	B3z0e2	Yes			
1707	2021-11-03 20:42:09	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes			
1708	2021-11-03 20:45:00	No	B3T1h1	Yes	No		
1709	2021-11-03 20:44:31	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes			
1711	2021-11-03 20:35:45	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	No	Increased ammount of gasses will be produced.
1713	2021-11-03 20:37:12	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes	No		
1714	2021-11-03 20:17:03	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1717	2021-11-03 20:30:25	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	No	
1719	2021-11-03 20:22:33	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	
1723	2021-11-03 20:09:36	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	No		
1724	2021-11-03 19:56:33	Yes	B3T2C7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1725	2021-11-03 20:05:26	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	
1727	2021-11-03 19:19:43	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	No		
1729	2021-11-03 19:34:09	No	B3z0b7	Yes	No		
1730	2021-11-03 19:28:16	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	
1733	2021-11-03 19:18:41	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	No		
1736	2021-11-03 18:59:16	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	No	No reductions... If it's working so we'll you want to scale back ..no keep it as is.. no to reducing
1737	2021-11-03 19:17:06	Yes	B3t0b1	Yes			
1739	2021-11-03 19:06:12	Yes	B3t1c6	Yes	Yes	No	
1743	2021-11-03 18:49:09	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	No		
1744	2021-11-03 18:57:02	Yes	B3t1n5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1745	2021-11-03 19:06:36	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes			
1746	2021-11-03 18:47:19	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	No		
1748	2021-11-03 18:51:42	Yes	B3T1H5	Yes			
1749	2021-11-03 18:54:05	Yes	B3T1e2	Yes	Yes	No	
1750	2021-11-03 18:41:25	Yes	B3t1n9	Yes	Yes	No	Closing the facility is only a means of saving the city money. It needs to remain as is.
1751	2021-11-03 18:41:30	Yes	B3t2c7	Yes	Yes	No	
1755	2021-11-03 18:21:33	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	
1756	2021-11-03 18:25:28	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1758	2021-11-03 18:01:26	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes		No	This will only take away part of the problem. How are you keeping the stink away ?

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1760	2021-11-03 18:00:28	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	Yes	No	The use of the adjectives like somewhat, or not greatly do not convince me that this intervention will protect the environment or reduce greenhouse gases and help prevent global warming.
1762	2021-11-03 18:03:46	No	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1768	2021-11-03 17:18:17	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes	No	Food waste should be sent to compost instead of the landfill regardless of size. A head of lettuce is bigger than 15 cm but could be diverted
1769	2021-11-03 17:31:01	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	Wasn't supposed to be able to smell the waste either but we do.
1770	2021-11-03 17:30:19	Yes	B3T1g2	Yes	Yes	No	More landfill more greenhouse gases. Find an alternative electricity.
1771	2021-11-03 17:23:02	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	No		
1773	2021-11-03 17:25:28	Yes	b3t1g8	Yes	Yes	No	
1777	2021-11-03 17:02:51	Yes	B3T1G3	No			
1780	2021-11-03 16:41:40	No	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	No	Deactivating will nothelp
1784	2021-11-03 16:30:37	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	No		
1787	2021-11-03 16:24:36	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1790	2021-11-03 15:18:48	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	No		
1791	2021-11-03 15:48:28	Yes	b3t1c6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1792	2021-11-03 15:54:37	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes			
1794	2021-11-03 15:02:31	Yes	B3T1E6	Yes	Yes		
1796	2021-11-03 15:29:10	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	No		
1798	2021-11-03 15:24:18	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes			
1799	2021-11-03 15:11:55	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	
1802	2021-11-03 15:06:44	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	
1807	2021-11-03 14:48:59	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	No		
1808	2021-11-03 14:48:41	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	No	
1809	2021-11-03 14:43:40	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	No		
1813	2021-11-03 14:09:13	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	Even if a decrease in power is proposed to decrease gas emissions, it will violate the agreement of maintaining the FEP/WSF for the surrounding communities.
1815	2021-11-03 14:25:59	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1819	2021-11-03 14:10:05	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	No	There is no additional measures really being done
1820	2021-11-03 13:05:14	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	The FEP/WSF will no longer be in effect & the main thrust of this exercise is saving money over environment.
1821	2021-11-03 13:05:04	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	GHG reduction...smoke and mirrors...the community agreed to the current configuration...did I mention Sackville...
1822	2021-11-03 12:16:49	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	No	
1823	2021-11-03 13:04:31	Yes	B3Z4M2	Yes	No		
1824	2021-11-03 12:42:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1825	2021-11-03 12:23:10	Yes	B3t1e3	Yes	No		
1826	2021-11-03 12:09:07	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	No		
1827	2021-11-03 12:23:59	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	No		
1828	2021-11-03 12:00:58	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
1829	2021-11-03 10:32:33	No	B3Z1B1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1830	2021-11-03 10:33:12	No	B3Z0C6	Yes	Yes	No	WSF output and organics should not be buried in the landfill
1831	2021-11-03 11:02:46	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	No		
1832	2021-11-03 10:55:01	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes			
1833	2021-11-03 09:50:24	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Greenhouse Gases							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of greenhouse gases?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1834	2021-11-02 14:13:03	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	I have read before the FEP/WSF process accelerates the decomposition process and gas output. If that is true, any organic item only has a specified potential energy. If this is accelerated we should have a shorter tie for treatment overall. Reducing long term costs and returning the site to nature in less than 50 years after closure.

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
5	2021-12-04 13:27:05	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes			
6	2021-12-04 12:39:48	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	
8	2021-12-04 07:07:42	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	Yes	No	
9	2021-12-04 06:33:44	Yes	B3t1g9	Yes			
10	2021-12-04 02:33:16	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	I have lived in the area near the landfill for [REDACTED] years. Only notice odor a few times. "potential for odour issues at the landfill may be increased by operating the FEP/WSF", the word "may be" doesn't make want to get rid of the FEP/WSF.
11	2021-12-03 21:37:38	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
19	2021-12-03 20:20:59	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
20	2021-12-03 19:08:21	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	HRM is one of the fastest growing municipalities in Canada. How can you maintain the landfill disposal site as small as possible. More garbage will bring more odour!
21	2021-12-03 19:54:38	Yes	B3Z4N4	Yes	Yes	No	I don't think this will be enough to mitigate the smell
22	2021-11-06 14:53:34	No	B3Z4N4	Yes	Yes	No	
31	2021-12-03 12:59:41	No	B3z4p7	Yes	Yes	No	Again, we shouldn't even be having this discussion. There was the guarantee and promises made when the original site was given the go-ahead.
32	2021-12-03 12:35:11	No	B3Z1A7	Yes	No		
33	2021-11-13 15:08:28	Yes	B3Z0A2	Yes	Yes	No	Again people in the city do not probably separate their waste correctly. I use to live in fairview where green bins were never used by the apartment/ townhouse buildings near me. Meaning all the compost would go in the black garbage bags. FEP/WSF is needed to stop the wrong doers. Not all people hold the environment to a high standard and don't want to be bother with it due to ignorance.
34	2021-12-03 11:45:55	Yes	B3Z0E3	Yes	Yes	No	
39	2021-12-03 11:03:31	No	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	Not enough
43	2021-12-03 10:19:56	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes		
45	2021-12-03 09:58:37	Yes	B3Z4M2	Yes			
49	2021-11-04 09:54:17	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	No		
60	2021-12-02 22:25:31	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
61	2021-12-02 20:55:00	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	I believe this will not provide enough protection from odours
62	2021-12-02 19:31:27	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	Yes	No	Depending on the weather we endure odours now.
65	2021-11-03 17:45:52	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes			
69	2021-12-02 08:44:35	Yes	b3t1g1	Yes	Yes	No	the option is to increase the number of days curing in the wsf from the current 21 days
74	2021-12-01 17:41:09	No	B3T1P1	Yes			
76	2021-12-01 15:43:35	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe that the mitigation will work
80	2021-12-01 07:00:50	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
82	2021-11-30 20:25:42	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	No	This will not alleviate the odour. Measures will reduce odours but I am confident it will not remove all odours.
88	2021-11-30 18:37:55	No	B3Z4P7	Yes	Yes	No	Both the description of the effects and their mitigation is vague and not based on evidence. How do we know the proposal will work?
90	2021-11-30 16:46:22	Yes	B3Z1C1	Yes			
99	2021-11-29 21:36:15	Yes		Yes	Yes		
101	2021-11-29 19:39:20	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes			
107	2021-11-29 11:08:59	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	Yes	No	By shutting down the FEP/WSF will make the area stink. we need to deal with our waste and the FEP/WSF is the best solution.
108	2021-11-29 10:32:18	Yes	B3t1g6	Yes	Yes	No	I think some of these been in place and often can smell the landfill
109	2021-11-29 09:58:39	Yes	B3t1g7	Yes			



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
110	2021-11-29 09:21:21	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	Your standards are a minimal approach to a controlled situation. Are your standards going to become more regulated in the future?
115	2021-11-28 11:44:47	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	No	I do not believe, or have confidence in, the populace to properly care and separate their individual waste streams. The front end processor provides an extra inspection point to observe potential infractions and mitigate illegal or inappropriate dumping of wastes in the landfill. Once the front end processor is removed from service, it is foolish to believe it will ever be reinstated
117	2021-11-28 10:06:33	No	B3Z1C2	Yes	Yes	No	I can smell the landfill coming in the 103 when it is damp out so more organic equal more smell
119	2021-11-28 06:53:55	No	B3Z0C6	Yes	Yes	No	Odour has not been a problem with the current set up. Leave it as is
120	2021-11-27 21:32:29	Yes	b3z0a1	Yes	Yes	No	
121	2021-11-27 18:08:27	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	Yes		
130	2021-11-27 06:08:42	No	B3T1H6	Yes			
131	2021-11-26 18:29:35	Yes		Yes			
132	2021-11-26 15:49:04	No	B3Z1E1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
156	2021-11-25 17:57:02	Yes		Yes			
157	2021-11-25 17:25:24	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	There is enough odor coming from that place now DON'T CREATE ANOTHER MONSTER.
161	2021-11-25 14:32:29	No	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	The report is inconclusive
168	2021-11-25 11:07:39	Yes	B3T1E7	Yes	Yes	No	Stop trying to change the permitted operation. Also good luck trying to permit a future landfill if it is demonstrated that the city will try and get out of their permitting commitments as soon as it is convenient to them.
169	2021-11-25 11:05:59	Yes	B3Z1N4	Yes	Yes	No	n
170	2021-11-25 10:08:36	Yes	b3t1h1	Yes	Yes	No	that sounds like more lies
172	2021-11-25 09:54:02	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	Existing measures are satisfactory for stabilized waste; there is no evidence that they will be successful if the waste isn't stabilized.
181	2021-11-25 07:26:32	Yes	B3t1n5	Yes	Yes	No	When there are more organic in the ground, there will be more odors. Will all these existing methods be continued or stopped to save more money in a few years?
185	2021-11-25 07:18:48	Yes	B3t0a6	Yes	Yes	No	Same comments for all boxes. Promises are broken by government.
187	2021-11-25 06:42:33	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	Proactive monitoring? Then what. How is that a mitigation process?
192	2021-11-25 05:08:05	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes	Yes	No	You can smell the land fill as it stands now, eliminating FEP will not help the smell
198	2021-11-24 12:21:32	Yes	B3T1L2	Yes	Yes	No	Without the added protection of the FEP/WSF these mitigation measures are no guarantee. Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill. Keep the FEP/WSF active.
201	2021-11-24 11:54:28	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/WSF active.
203	2021-11-24 09:04:21	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	Measures in place or not, once sorting stops at the landfill an increase in organic matter will cause an increase in odor. One person's Greenbin can smell rotten on its own let alone loads of matter entering one condensed landfill site
206	2021-11-14 07:19:32	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	I have lived here over 20 years in the area. I have noticed the odours on certain days!
210	2021-11-15 19:26:29	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	
211	2021-11-23 19:29:41	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes			
216	2021-11-23 15:55:50	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/WSF active"

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
217	2021-11-23 15:20:26	Yes	B3t1J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
218	2021-11-23 15:08:55	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/WSF active.
219	2021-11-03 14:41:21	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	
221	2021-11-23 13:47:47	Yes	B3T1N6	No			
224	2021-11-23 12:32:20	No	B3T1H8	Yes			
236	2021-11-22 18:40:08	Yes	B3t1k1	Yes	Yes	No	
237	2021-11-22 18:02:45	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	The risk is just too great to our property values. When the odours aren't contained, are you also going to give us the difference when we have to sell our properties at a reduced value???
239	2021-11-22 16:06:06	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
241	2021-11-22 15:52:47	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes			
245	2021-11-22 15:00:25	Yes	B3t1I2	Yes			
246	2021-11-22 14:31:14	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	Yes		
252	2021-11-22 10:29:13	Yes	b3z1b6	Yes	Yes		It sounds like there was 3rd party report when this went into production, it sounds like there should be another for the turning down of the process.
256	2021-11-22 08:51:00	Yes	B3T1E1	Yes			
261	2021-11-21 21:23:53	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	I don't feel confident that there will be no increase in odours. It seems counterintuitive to me that you can increase the organics and not increase the odours.
262	2021-11-21 19:24:14	Yes	B3T1K8	Yes	Yes	No	If more truck loads of waste will be added to the landfill, it seems logical that an increase in odour will occur.
271	2021-11-21 07:36:12	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
273	2021-11-21 06:17:22	Yes					
274	2021-11-21 05:29:13	Yes	B3z0e3	Yes			
280	2021-11-20 15:12:21	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	Yes	No	
282	2021-11-20 13:50:38	No	B3t1e9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
283	2021-11-20 13:45:48	Yes	b3z1b5	Yes	Yes	Yes	As long as the said approach is carried out.
286	2021-11-20 06:33:59	Yes	B3t1J9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
290	2021-11-19 20:39:47	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	No	Come on , odour monitoring is not acceptable. We do not want more issues in this area that the landfill causes
291	2021-11-19 20:19:27	No	B3Z4M8	Yes			
294	2021-11-19 18:47:39	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	Yes	No	
295	2021-11-05 07:00:55	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes			
296	2021-11-19 18:11:41	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	
298	2021-11-19 17:29:57	No	B3Z1A1	Yes			
299	2021-11-19 17:18:58	Yes	B3t1k8	Yes	Yes	No	Again why do this when the current system is tried and true
303	2021-11-19 13:43:02	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	Yes	No	On warm summer days we can smell the facility in the summer time
305	2021-11-18 08:56:37	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	
308	2021-11-19 13:17:59	No	b3z1a1	Yes	Yes	No	You are unable to quantify the increase in odor and, therefore, unable to ascertain that your current measures will remain effective.
311	2021-11-19 12:09:06	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	I diasagree
318	2021-11-19 10:48:40	Yes	B3Z0B9	Yes			
319	2021-11-19 09:50:39	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	in summer on humid days the stink is bad you have to close the windows.
320	2021-11-04 10:08:10	Yes	B3t1h7	Yes	Yes	No	
321	2021-11-19 10:09:52	No	B3Z1A1	Yes			
322	2021-11-19 09:54:14	Yes	B3Z0C6	Yes	Yes	No	The community agreed and was told no compost waste to be used facility when it opened, Keep your word!

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
323	2021-11-19 09:53:08	Yes	B3t1g6	Yes	Yes	No	Go visit the Sackville site it still smells. On hot summer days we still have door in Timberlea. This will only get worse with this plan
324	2021-11-05 19:00:42	No	B3z1a4	Yes	No		
325	2021-11-19 09:42:37	Yes	b3t1j3				
326	2021-11-19 09:37:22	Yes	B3t1c1	Yes	Yes	No	It's bad enough having to smell weed all of the time from the idiots around. I don't need to smell the fucking dump as well.
327	2021-11-19 09:32:40	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	Yes	No	The FEP & WSF were critical components of the contract the communities accepted. The tactics used by HRM in attempt to remove the FEP & WSF are abhorrent and not in good faith. The format of this "survey" is a disgusting reminder of the lengths policy makers will take.
333	2021-11-19 08:29:03	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
334	2021-11-19 08:01:46	Yes	b3t1c1	Yes			
335	2021-11-19 07:38:52	No	B3z1a3	Yes	Yes	No	Additional organic matter in the landfill will cause an increase in odours
337	2021-11-18 19:34:24	Yes	B3T1c1	Yes	Yes		
338	2021-11-18 19:12:19	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
339	2021-11-18 15:25:16	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	So you proactively monitor for odors. That's not a mitigation.
341	2021-11-18 13:22:55	Yes	B3Z1A4	Yes	Yes	No	I do not live close enough to smell the facility, but I care about the people who do.
345	2021-11-18 07:07:28	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	Why would I be comfortable with more odors? Would you?
346	2021-11-18 06:20:03	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
347	2021-11-18 03:50:21	No	B3z0e3	Yes	Yes	No	Organic Organic waste should never be buried in a landfill. The FEP/WSF should always be operated at our landfill.
355	2021-11-17 18:26:33	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes			
357	2021-11-13 22:48:08	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
360	2021-11-17 16:39:08	Yes	B3t1g5	Yes	Yes	No	It smells like rotten eggs everyday it is damp. Disgusting
363	2021-11-17 15:28:28	Yes	B3T2K1	Yes			
365	2021-11-16 12:57:50	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	The otter lake facility already generates unpleasant odours on any given day. It is so unpleasant at times, particularly in hot humid weather, that we have to close our windows. No increase in organic waste !!!
367	2021-11-17 12:59:17	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	Residents in the area already have problems with odours. It is not possible to add organics to the landfill and not increase odours. Claiming there are no off-site effects is ridiculous. HRM is trying to pacify residents with this survey and justify their plan.
370	2021-11-17 12:04:06	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste does not belong in a landfill
371	2021-11-17 11:14:48	No	B3Z1C2	Yes	Yes	No	It will create more odor not properly sorting garbage especially organics from residents garbage
376	2021-11-17 05:51:40	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	Existing approach will not be enough if changes are made and you know it. Maybe you would like a house next to an untreated dump??
377	2021-11-17 05:55:25	No	b3t1e1	Yes	Yes	No	
383	2021-11-16 20:49:29	Yes	B3t1j1	Yes	Yes	No	
385	2021-11-16 20:37:49	No	B3z4e9	Yes	Yes	No	
386	2021-11-04 21:17:46	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	No	If untreated the odours in the summer will become unbearable mostly during summer months to the community
390	2021-11-16 19:52:37	No	B3T1G3	Yes			
393	2021-11-16 15:24:34	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
399	2021-11-16 14:36:36	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes			
400	2021-11-16 14:22:06	Yes	B3Z1A2	Yes			

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
401	2021-11-16 13:51:53	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes			
406	2021-11-15 16:24:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	We all know odours will increase. "Maintaining as small as possible", "proactive monitoring". Again, 0 definitive actions as to what will be done to mitigate odours.
408	2021-11-12 11:37:48	Yes	B3z4n4	Yes			
414	2021-11-16 10:55:27	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	changes to existing procedures will change the smell levels
415	2021-11-09 10:20:20	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	I've lived here [REDACTED] years. We didn't agree to a dump. We've been lied to and are being manipulated to accept this.
416	2021-11-16 09:46:15	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	Yes	No	theres still going to be a smell regardless. we live in a nice place dont ruin it
417	2021-11-16 09:33:37	Yes	B3z1b5	Yes			
424	2021-11-16 07:21:05	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	Same as last answer. It stinks now....removing the FEP will just make it worse.
426	2021-11-16 06:54:36	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
430	2021-11-16 00:04:52	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	Why would i be ok with adding more than inert material to the waste management like was agreed to
431	2021-11-15 23:20:55	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes			
434	2021-11-09 13:32:39	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
435	2021-11-15 21:26:49	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	Yes	No	Previous odor issues occurred at this site that impacted the community.
437	2021-11-15 21:17:27	Yes	B3z1b6	Yes			
438	2021-11-15 20:50:10	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes		
440	2021-11-15 20:07:07	Yes	B3z1b5	Yes	Yes	No	You have not convinced me at all
441	2021-11-15 19:26:51	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	It all sounds ok but will the odour travel more in our warmer climate. I think probably, so I have my concerns.
446	2021-11-15 19:21:29	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes			
450	2021-11-15 19:12:13	No	B3Z1B6	Yes			
452	2021-11-15 18:47:18	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	Again, this is a solution that comes from your change that creates a problem in the first place. We have not had problems with odours since the current decomposition is working. This entire survey is leading respondents to approve a measure that you want approval for ... it is biased in its approach.
455	2021-11-15 17:56:20	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
458	2021-11-15 16:55:07	Yes	B3t1k7				
461	2021-11-15 15:55:27	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	Yes	No	
462	2021-11-15 15:50:42	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	No	There will be more than odours it will impact the lands around it
464	2021-11-15 15:25:06	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	We will bury it faster just means you're accepting that waste that shouldn't go into the facility will end up there without the FEP. This creates a new problem and these "solutions" just address some of the most noticeable symptoms.
465	2021-11-15 15:35:49	Yes		Yes			
466	2021-11-15 15:32:08	No	B3Z0P7	Yes			
471	2021-11-15 12:25:46	No	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	You say you'll continue with your current measures regardless, i.e. nothing changes - yet you're cutting the machines that reduce offensive waste. It doesn't add up. There will be more offensive waste, so logically, more odours - and even if those don't reach human nostrils they remain a powerful attractant to wildlife, increasing contamination of the environment.



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
473	2021-11-15 12:31:09	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	No	You can't predict the future. Based on other landfill sites there will undoubtedly be smells. Please don't make guesses as if they are the truth.
480	2021-11-15 10:39:20	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	The mitigation measures are not working very well now so I can't see how it will get better.
481	2021-11-15 10:56:31	Yes	B3t1n7	Yes	Yes	No	
484	2021-11-04 11:52:49	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	Yes	No	My concern is that it will take far more years to break down & if/when you restart the frontend processor, it will have already been buried and smell.
485	2021-11-15 10:05:04	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	Does not explain what will happen if the monitoring shows more order then it's being taken care of with present situation.
486	2021-11-15 08:43:10	No	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
489	2021-11-15 09:21:03	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes			
495	2021-11-15 06:32:53	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes			
500	2021-11-15 05:27:21	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	I do not believe that there is no potential for increased odours offsite.
506	2021-11-14 21:35:47	No	B3Z1A1	Yes			
516	2021-11-14 17:12:19	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	na
528	2021-11-14 12:38:56	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	Discontinuing the front end processor will result in articles not suited for the landfill to be disposed of there and the odours will increase and they could be hazardous to people's health
536	2021-11-14 10:25:11	Yes	B3z0b7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
546	2021-11-14 07:10:17	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	No	Easier said than done and you don't have to live here
547	2021-11-14 06:57:28	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	Please stop I do not want a landfill near my home.
559	2021-11-13 23:27:04	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	Moderately reassuring, but really quite speculative.
560	2021-11-13 23:19:28	No	B3Z4P2	Yes	No		
564	2021-11-13 20:40:52	No	B3Z0R3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
566	2021-11-13 20:39:22	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	as small as possible is a very relative term and does not fill me with confidence
567	2021-11-13 20:30:54	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	No		
571	2021-11-13 17:40:32	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	Broken promise to the community.
572	2021-11-13 18:00:19	Yes	B3z1e1	Yes	Yes		
574	2021-11-13 17:18:43	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	During certain times, weather related, you can smell the dump!!!
575	2021-11-13 16:53:34	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	Yes	No	Heard the same store before when lived close to a facility in Ontario, and made little to no difference on hot windy days. And we have a lot of both.
583	2021-11-04 08:58:52	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	
593	2021-11-13 11:16:36	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
597	2021-11-13 11:05:13	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes	Yes	No	We hope that all the above measures will continue to mitigate odours but Councils have been known to cut costs in the past - what guarantees do we have that these measures will continue?
600	2021-11-13 10:00:56	Yes	B3z1a9	Yes	Yes	No	
604	2021-11-13 09:00:42	Yes	B3t1j7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
606	2021-11-13 08:52:45	Yes	B3z1a2	Yes	Yes	No	
610	2021-11-13 08:24:03	Yes	B3Z0R8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
611	2021-11-13 08:38:30	Yes	B3t1h8	No			

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
612	2021-11-04 16:57:56	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	HELL NO. really don't care what consulting firm says. I live here. I smell it often on heavy mornings. nothing said or written about proposed changes can alter that.
626	2021-11-13 04:20:39	No	B3z1b5	Yes			
627	2021-11-13 00:16:22	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	Again lies
629	2021-11-12 22:56:37	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	How is this environment.
631	2021-11-12 22:01:33	No	B3Z4P2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
633	2021-11-12 21:35:21	Yes	B3T1h8	Yes	Yes	No	
634	2021-11-12 21:34:14	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
643	2021-11-12 19:50:07	No	B3Z4N8	Yes	Yes	No	
646	2021-11-12 19:10:50	Yes	B3Z1A4	Yes	Yes	No	These measures are incorporated in St.John's NL city dump. They don't work. When the wind blows in the right direction the whole city stinks. It's awful.
652	2021-11-12 17:55:29	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	Organic matter that is in waste will make our community smell on hot days
656	2021-11-12 18:03:42	Yes	B3t0a5	Yes	Yes	No	
657	2021-11-12 17:30:49	Yes	B3Z1P6	Yes			
659	2021-11-09 20:27:04	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	
667	2021-11-06 19:19:20	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
670	2021-11-05 10:25:41	Yes	B3t0b1	Yes	Yes	No	
671	2021-11-12 14:29:04	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	No	It will turn into a Sackville Landfill. Stinky and rodent infested.
672	2021-11-12 14:21:08	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	Yes	No	
674	2021-11-12 13:27:53	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	No changes should be made to current practices. It is rare that we smell the landfill in my area of Timberlea. However waste is being treated now must be working well. No changes would be of benefit in that regard.
676	2021-11-12 13:29:39	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
680	2021-11-12 13:13:46	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes			
681	2021-11-12 13:01:10	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	
682	2021-11-12 12:51:55	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes			
683	2021-11-12 12:22:17	Yes	B3z1a4	Yes	Yes	No	I lived [REDACTED] before moving here and the stink from Robin Hood Bay dump was awful throughout the city. Damp days I could not leave the house because the smell was so bad.
684	2021-11-12 12:30:01	Yes	B3t1J9	Yes			
686	2021-11-12 11:39:54	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	Yes	No	No, again, what they are doing currently is working, remove it and it can be a totally different experience. There was a reason these checks and balances were put in place
691	2021-11-12 11:31:45	No	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	No	
694	2021-11-12 11:33:06	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes			
697	2021-11-12 10:51:31	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	The fact that you mention additional odours means that there will be more.
700	2021-11-12 10:32:00	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	When I lived in Timberlea a few years ago, and at times when I drive by on the highway, you can smell the facility as it is today. I can't imagine it will get any better with the proposed changes.
703	2021-11-12 09:55:11	No	B3z0r5	Yes	Yes	No	To many variables involved
705	2021-11-12 09:39:46	Yes	B3z1a3	Yes			
706	2021-11-08 17:16:47	No	B3Z1A9	Yes	No		
710	2021-11-12 09:30:26	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	
711	2021-11-12 09:39:05	No	B3z1a1	Yes	Yes	No	
714	2021-11-12 09:35:55	Yes	B3t1e5	Yes	Yes		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
716	2021-11-12 09:31:18	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	
718	2021-11-12 08:56:42	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	There's no way to mask the smell when garbage will continuously be dropped in there
722	2021-11-12 08:13:27	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	The residents of the surrounding communities agreed to the placement of Otter Lake with the understanding that the FEP and WSF would be active throughout the lifespan of the facility. This move by the city is in blatant disregard of the original agreement, and while maybe not in violation of the specific provisions, is made clearly with disregard to the spirit of the agreement.
724	2021-11-12 07:53:21	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	No	BLT is a growing and thriving community. Reducing a process in this system that is already proven is a mistake and concern to the community. Odour is one of the major impacts to our communities and it affects quality of life. It will occur as the wrong garbage will end not sorted correctly.
727	2021-11-12 08:13:51	Yes	B3Z4M9	Yes	Yes	No	Not an improvement. Just more risk.
729	2021-11-12 08:16:19	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	
731	2021-11-12 07:35:00	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	No	
734	2021-11-11 16:39:38	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes			
737	2021-11-11 18:38:28	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	You are basically telling us to smell garbage all day and be okay with it. If you want to have this put in place- it needs to be in a secluded area with NO community in the surrounding area.
738	2021-11-11 18:30:56	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	
740	2021-11-11 18:09:09	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	No	More unsorted trash will create odors.
742	2021-11-11 17:24:51	No	b3t1h5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
744	2021-11-11 16:28:40	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	I'm sorry, but I highly doubt that any of these changes are going to help with odors being emitted, and living "downwind" of this area as someone who spends a great deal of time outdoor I do NOT need to be smelling this crap at my house. I do not want any current/future children in this area also being affected by what will likely be reduced air quality that would be the result of these changes
750	2021-11-11 12:46:39	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	No	Again, without the FEP to prevent an increase of organic materials into the landfill there will be additional generation of decomposition gases having unpleasant odors. Odors from the existing landfill can already be noticed on occasion during the summer. Any increase is absolutely unacceptable.
754	2021-11-11 11:54:48	Yes	B3T2J9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
755	2021-11-11 12:20:24	Yes	B3Z1A6	Yes			
756	2021-11-11 12:04:58	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	Smell is already a problem
758	2021-11-11 11:30:44	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	The sorting of waste needs to continue for our communities environment.
764	2021-11-11 09:46:30	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	Yes	Burying food waste will always rot and produce an odour not all food waste goes into green bins.
765	2021-11-11 09:36:19	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	No		
766	2021-11-11 09:41:01	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes	Yes	No	
786	2021-11-10 20:32:28	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	Yes		
787	2021-11-11 03:44:19	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
791	2021-11-10 20:35:01	No	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	Honour the contract.
792	2021-11-10 20:07:47	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	I dont want my community to smell like a landfill.

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
793	2021-11-07 06:48:23	Yes	B3Z4P7	Yes	Yes	No	Again this issue is a result of organics in the landfill. Definitely seems to me we need to continue with FEP to determine what organics are going into the landfill and how best to reduce that amount.
794	2021-11-10 19:48:51	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
796	2021-11-10 19:35:45	No	B3T1c7	Yes	No		
797	2021-11-10 19:02:17	Yes	B3T1j6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moreibfro
802	2021-11-10 18:11:54	Yes	B3Z4P7	Yes	Yes		
803	2021-11-10 18:22:40	Yes	B3Z1A1	Yes			
811	2021-11-10 14:33:03	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	You anticipate the odours will increase if the FEP/WSF continues but what about the additional items going directly in the landfill that would normally be sorted out.
813	2021-11-10 15:30:00	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
821	2021-11-10 14:05:36	Yes	B3t2c7	Yes	Yes	No	Sorry but it never worked at Sackville despite all the promises. The current model is working and has been agreed on.
822	2021-11-10 13:59:12	Yes	B3t1g3	Yes			
824	2021-11-10 13:30:08	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes			
825	2021-11-10 12:23:51	No	B3Z1A8	Yes	No		
831	2021-11-03 20:37:26	Yes	B3z0b7	Yes	Yes	No	
842	2021-11-10 09:03:51	Yes	b3t1c8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
845	2021-11-10 08:36:21	No	B3T1K1	No			
846	2021-11-10 08:25:15	Yes	B3T0B1	Yes	Yes	No	
854	2021-11-04 08:06:12	Yes	B3Z1B8	Yes	Yes	No	More organics = more smell
855	2021-11-10 07:20:17	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	I have personally toured this facility and see the value the processor adds , consultants make assumptions and we will not know the full impact until it is potentially too late.
859	2021-11-10 06:48:08	Yes	B3T1H6	No			
860	2021-11-10 06:26:28	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	It is easy to say that there will not be an increase in ordors - but what happens if this does not turn out to be the case - Timberlea loses and no one will care - it will be done.
864	2021-11-10 06:00:53	Yes	B3t1e6	Yes	Yes	No	
865	2021-11-10 05:00:14	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	Again, show me the research, not your interpretation of it. Monitoring for odours is not the same as preventing odours
866	2021-11-10 04:46:48	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	More fresh waste = more gas. Your have not proposed any additional measures.
867	2021-11-09 15:22:11	Yes	B3t1n9	Yes	Yes	No	We were promised no landfill, I don't believe your information regarding odours
868	2021-11-09 22:33:05	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	If odour is an issue then why not moved the landfill out of any residential area . Brunello estates will have a hard time selling property with garbage smell 24/7 not to mention people playing golf and the children inhaling this in the neighborhood
869	2021-11-04 15:25:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	FEP/WSF is a requirement as per the 1999 Agreement. I do not believe that by removing these two systems and increased organic matter and materials. That we will not have an issue with odour and gases.
871	2021-11-09 21:27:52	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	
872	2021-11-09 21:14:13	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes			
873	2021-11-09 20:48:16	Yes	B3z1b5	Yes	Yes	No	That's nonsense
874	2021-11-09 21:05:55	Yes	B3Z4L5	Yes			



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
875	2021-11-09 20:02:17	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	No	There has been no evidence of noxious smells from the landfill in my area since it's inception. You're grasping at straws to get the public on your side I don't believe anything that comes from Dillon. They lied prior to the installation of the landfill 20 years ago, and they're probably lying now.
877	2021-11-09 20:24:49	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes			
886	2021-11-09 19:32:11	Yes	B3Z1B7	Yes			
891	2021-11-09 19:10:01	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	
893	2021-11-09 19:01:00	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	
894	2021-11-09 17:54:43	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	Yes	No	The site odours have been an issue and will remain so under the current construct.
896	2021-11-09 18:21:25	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	Used in other areas that still generate odors
897	2021-11-09 18:06:35	No	B3Z1A7	Yes			
898	2021-11-09 17:38:11	Yes	b3t1g7	Yes	Yes	No	while it mentions the current system allows for quicker production of landfill gas, it does not discuss whether the amount of total time the gas is produced is the same, shorter or longer.
899	2021-11-09 17:30:26	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	Yes	No	If it is NOT broken stop thinking it is better to put money in your pockets instead of looking after the promises made when this was opened.
902	2021-11-09 16:58:34	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	No	As a long term resident we know this is going to increase the horrible smell that comes from landfills which is why we didn't want it to begin with. The current process speeds of the process and also helps decompose any smells faster. We were promised to not have this become a landfill! Again unacceptable!
903	2021-11-09 16:14:02	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	No	Same as my previous comment
904	2021-11-04 18:05:37	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
909	2021-11-09 16:32:47	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	Yes	No	We already experience odours during certain weather conditions. I cannot see how this could possibly get better with current measures.
910	2021-11-09 16:23:16	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	There have been incidents in the past where odours impacted the community and this is not addressed in the mitigation plan.
912	2021-11-09 16:08:32	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	
916	2021-11-09 15:49:15	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
919	2021-11-09 15:28:47	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	Your proposal stinks
924	2021-11-09 14:51:54	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
929	2021-11-09 14:29:10	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	You state that the WSF helps to start the microbio process before heading to the landfill - I am concerned that without this kickstart the odour will increase. am concerned that this odour will have a negative impact on the community being built in this area and the use of the outdoor spaces including the bluffs.
930	2021-11-09 14:42:58	Yes	B3Z0B6	Yes			
931	2021-11-09 14:35:53	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	No	
933	2021-11-09 13:57:44	Yes	b3z1a5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
936	2021-11-09 13:09:39	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	About once a year we can smell the facility for some reason. It is disgusting. If this worsened people will not move here, housing prices would decrease and this area would lose the hot growing family orientated area it is now.
941	2021-11-09 13:15:03	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	On some days especially summer , we get the odour
944	2021-11-09 13:17:56	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	
946	2021-11-09 12:50:39	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
948	2021-11-09 12:30:38	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	The best measure is not removing the measures that already work...
950	2021-11-09 11:29:08	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	Again I will comment on your lack of basic intelligence. How about you sort the garbage and not make a landfill you swine.
954	2021-11-09 11:42:48	Yes	B3t1e7	Yes	Yes	No	There are already foul odors coming from the landfill. It's enough to wake you sick to your stomach. It was promised when it was first put there that odors, rodents etc would not be a problem... what's going to change? Nothing except our property value. Thanks Hrm
956	2021-11-09 11:49:10	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	You can already smell it as is, it's just going to get worse
957	2021-11-09 11:51:50	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	No		
958	2021-11-09 11:43:46	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes			
959	2021-11-04 22:16:03	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	what about a biofilter system to eliminate the odour?
961	2021-11-09 11:28:30	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe it,
962	2021-11-09 08:05:43	Yes	b3t1j3	Yes	Yes	No	Management of future controls regarding commercial and residential waste being sent to Otter Lake cannot be guaranteed - as an example what if Chester stops accepting our commercial waste.
964	2021-11-09 11:24:08	Yes	B3T1H6	No			
965	2021-11-09 11:11:17	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	
966	2021-11-09 11:16:21	Yes	B3T1G1				
967	2021-11-09 11:05:37	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	No	No on or off site impacts due to odours have been identified but that does not mean they will not occur. We do not want to live close to a stink. These changes are not in the best interest of the community.
970	2021-11-09 10:50:59	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
973	2021-11-09 10:41:12	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	We get terrible smell now! Can't see that changing.
975	2021-11-09 09:33:49	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	Yes	No	There will be a huge impact on small the larger the landfill gets. It's way too close to residential areas to solve any issues
978	2021-11-09 08:55:19	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	Yes	No	
985	2021-11-09 08:08:57	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	No		
987	2021-11-09 07:27:43	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	I can frequently smell the landfill from (and sometimes even inside) my home.
989	2021-11-09 07:30:09	Yes	B3t1c8	Yes			
990	2021-11-04 07:05:57	No	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	
991	2021-11-09 06:40:53	No	B3z1a2	Yes	No		
992	2021-11-09 06:15:07	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	
993	2021-11-09 05:59:40	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	We currently experience odor. The listed methods sound like status quo, and therefore a net loss for us residents.
998	2021-11-09 04:34:13	No	B3Z1B5	Yes			
999	2021-11-08 23:44:20	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	No	You are wrong. This change to save a measly 2 Million a year will definitely create odours. The FEP/WSF was part of the agreement in putting Otter Lake in. We are all concerned about the increased odour in our community that will definitely with this mistake when you force the change. You don't live here and don't care but we all feel cheated
1000	2021-11-08 22:51:36	Yes	B3z1b6	Yes	Yes	No	I don't have confidence in the sincerity of a biased report, written with the purpose of justifying deactivation of this part of the facility. The residents of this area were promised by the council of the day that front end sorting would be a permanent part of the Otter Lake landfill.
1002	2021-11-08 22:17:36	Yes	b3t1j9	Yes			

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1003	2021-11-08 21:52:13	Yes	B3T1K8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1004	2021-11-08 21:23:27	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	I think this mitigation is insufficient
1006	2021-11-08 21:03:07	Yes	B3T1h9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1008	2021-11-08 20:43:59	Yes	B3t1j6	Yes			
1010	2021-11-08 17:49:55	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	Yes	No	The odours will only be worse and spread into the community with more organic waste getting into the landfill with the removal of the current system. If no addition measure are taken then the surrounding community will be negatively impacted by the smells.
1011	2021-11-08 19:40:36	Yes	B3z1a9	Yes	Yes	No	
1013	2021-11-08 19:54:19	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	
1015	2021-11-08 19:41:27	Yes	B3z1a9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1023	2021-11-08 18:16:37	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes		Yes	You promised the community to front end recycle, I expect you keep your commitment.
1025	2021-11-08 17:53:19	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	No	
1027	2021-11-08 17:51:15	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes			
1030	2021-11-08 17:27:06	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	I am sure the same things were said at the sackville, ns dump. How did that turn out. Easy for people to change rules when they don't live in the area. They want to keep it at otter lake as long as possible so it doesn't move to their community next
1031	2021-11-08 17:39:32	Yes	B3z1a7	Yes	Yes	No	
1032	2021-11-08 17:36:13	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	
1033	2021-11-08 17:33:51	Yes	B3z1a8	Yes	Yes	No	I'm not sure that is enough given the proposed changes
1037	2021-11-08 17:12:36	Yes	B3T1E6	Yes	Yes	No	
1038	2021-11-08 16:58:28	Yes	B3Z0R8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1040	2021-11-08 13:18:25	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes			
1043	2021-11-08 16:48:59	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	No		
1044	2021-11-08 16:56:44	Yes	b3z0a1	Yes			
1047	2021-11-08 16:28:00	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe it.
1048	2021-11-08 16:16:45	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes	Yes	No	
1052	2021-11-08 15:41:38	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	No	no one really knows what effect the proposed changes will have, we have no reason to believe that the existing approach will be able to deal with a different situation if changes are made.
1053	2021-11-08 15:40:09	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes			
1057	2021-11-08 15:04:19	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	It is still going to stink and no one wants to enjoy the smell of garbage while they are outside in their yard with their children it is not safe
1059	2021-11-08 14:44:08	Yes	B3t1j1	Yes	Yes		
1062	2021-11-08 14:15:18	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes			
1068	2021-11-08 13:00:55	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	We have had issues with odors in the past. Eliminating part of the process to contain them will only increase the odors.
1070	2021-11-08 12:36:46	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	
1072	2021-11-08 12:39:58	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	
1073	2021-11-08 12:21:31	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	
1074	2021-11-08 12:18:10	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	No	We once and awhile can smell it as it is now and if we lose the measures in place it could be more often
1078	2021-11-08 11:46:27	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	
1079	2021-11-08 09:58:17	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	My nose does not lie! I have smelled the difference.
1081	2021-11-08 11:40:16	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1083	2021-11-08 11:40:47	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1084	2021-11-08 11:15:49	No	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1085	2021-11-08 11:05:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes		
1086	2021-11-08 08:45:33	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
1087	2021-11-08 10:29:46	Yes	B3t1h4	Yes	Yes	No	This explanation implies the microbial treatment process will be slowed down. That doesn't sound like efficient treatment of the landfill. We're bringing in a lot of new residents to the area, increasing demand.
1091	2021-11-08 10:03:16	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes			
1099	2021-11-08 08:47:09	Yes	B3t1g7	No			
1100	2021-11-08 08:54:54	No	B3Z1E1	Yes			
1106	2021-11-08 08:40:36	Yes	B3T0B1	Yes	Yes	No	I can still smell the landfill on some days
1111	2021-11-08 08:30:29	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
1125	2021-11-08 06:56:53	Yes	b3t1h5	Yes	Yes	No	The by-products of solid waste deposited in a landfill has adverse effects on the surrounding environment and humans living closer to landfill sites. Sacrificing human living conditions, safety and the environment for money is WRONG.
1135	2021-11-07 21:03:45	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	Yes	No	
1136	2021-11-07 20:16:23	Yes	B3Z1B2	Yes	Yes	No	No assurances provided regarding odours which currently can be very disagreeable especially during warm and humid weather.
1138	2021-11-07 21:04:04	Yes	b3t1g4				
1141	2021-11-07 20:16:43	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	There are still odours despite current mitigation procedures. I do not believe with less waste management that there will be a reduction in the odours.
1146	2021-11-07 18:25:27	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	I have visited the site and had an extensive tour through the HRM Master Composter/Recycler program. The FEP/WSF works. There was minimal odor. You haven't told us where or what the "daily landfill cover" will be. It is not necessary. The current system works.
1148	2021-11-07 18:51:41	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe or trust HRM to have my best interests
1150	2021-11-07 18:25:35	No	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1153	2021-11-07 17:54:03	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	
1154	2021-11-07 17:46:59	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes			
1156	2021-11-07 17:17:16	No	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	The solution is to find a new site and build it however you like. Our community has held up out end of the deal and rather than putting in the work to find a new location you want to take off the FEP, thurn it into a dump and say to hell with our community and the agreement?
1162	2021-11-07 16:10:15	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	Yes	No	If this change is supposed to reduce the smell, why hasn't it already been implemented? There have been many days in the past 5-10 years when the smell from Otter Lake has made eating a meal difficult because the air is just too rank.
1164	2021-11-07 13:15:12	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	Yes	No	The processor and stabilization facility are crucial to the surrounding communities' agreement to host the landfill because they mitigated the risks of pests, environmental degradation and smells.
1166	2021-11-07 14:31:05	No	b3t1j1	Yes	Yes	No	Soft words such as 'may' should be excluded or replaced with factual evidence based on current conditions and other facilities that do not have FEP/WSF.,
1168	2021-11-07 15:09:03	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	
1171	2021-11-07 14:43:36	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1177	2021-11-07 14:08:08	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes		
1179	2021-11-07 13:49:37	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	You're asking us to trust you, and I just don't.



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1180	2021-11-07 14:05:52	No	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	There are odours from the landfill many days around the 102 Brunelleo area
1186	2021-11-07 13:25:33	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1195	2021-11-07 11:05:26	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	less sorting means more odour
1196	2021-11-07 10:50:23	Yes	B3T1E7	Yes	Yes	No	The changes WILL increase odor, as noted above. The plan is just to maintain current measures. Will the FEP be re-instated if there is odors in the community? I doubt it. Since HRM seems intent on breaking the original agreement in order to save money, I doubt very much they will reinstate it.
1204	2021-11-07 10:24:43	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	
1207	2021-11-07 09:37:19	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	Yes	No	Just an additional odour to deal with in the area, bad enough we deal with "pot" all the time.
1209	2021-11-07 09:00:42	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	If the garbage doesn't come here will not require this type of mitigation that has the potential for failure. This will never be 100% efficient and will fail, we will have to deal with lowered property values and smells high taxes and we don't want it. Send it somewhere else.
1210	2021-11-07 09:18:16	Yes	b3t1j5	Yes			
1212	2021-11-07 08:47:48	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes			
1213	2021-11-07 08:02:00	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	Every facility of this type that I have ever had the pleasure of working near has had significant odor issues. We can smell the dump occasionally now - was this on-going issue brought to the attention of the Consultants? It is not mentioned in their assessments so it would appear that they were not provided with this critical bit of information.
1218	2021-11-07 08:03:03	Yes	B3t1c1	Yes	Yes	No	No changes should be made
1220	2021-11-07 07:02:14	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1226	2021-11-07 06:19:12	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	
1227	2021-11-07 06:26:01	Yes	B3T1e9	Yes	Yes	No	
1228	2021-11-07 05:49:15	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes			
1231	2021-11-03 16:47:38	Yes	B3Z1B3	Yes	Yes	No	
1234	2021-11-03 14:07:24	No	B3t0b1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1235	2021-11-06 22:54:07	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	
1237	2021-11-06 22:27:27	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	The FEP prevents severe odour causing waste from entering the landfill.
1238	2021-11-06 22:11:58	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	Yes	No	
1243	2021-11-06 20:34:03	Yes	B3T1g3	Yes	Yes	No	"Proactive monitoring" doesn't happen
1245	2021-11-06 20:38:08	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	Bad smell and rats in Timberlea
1247	2021-11-06 20:16:52	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1248	2021-11-06 20:23:50	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	Yes	No	
1249	2021-11-06 19:44:30	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	Yes	No	Odor is already a problem so I lack faith in the reassurance that it will be monitored and mitigated.
1251	2021-11-06 19:16:43	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	
1255	2021-11-06 18:36:24	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes			
1256	2021-11-06 18:45:14	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes			
1257	2021-11-06 18:22:14	Yes	B3T1g7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1262	2021-11-06 17:45:16	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	There will be more downdrifting odors if these two parts are deactivated

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1264	2021-11-06 16:55:43	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes			
1265	2021-11-06 17:28:55	Yes	B3t1j7	Yes	No		
1266	2021-11-06 17:02:44	Yes	B3t1h4	Yes	Yes	No	
1267	2021-11-06 17:03:55	Yes	B3T1J8				
1269	2021-11-06 16:09:51	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	No	Residents can smell the dump already whenever the temperature goes up. Why would you add to an existing problem that has never been managed properly.
1272	2021-11-06 16:10:29	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	No		
1277	2021-11-04 07:02:22	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	If this is the case, why not send the stabilized waste offsite?
1278	2021-11-04 12:01:56	No	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	No	
1283	2021-11-06 13:33:43	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
1284	2021-11-06 13:07:07	Yes	B3t1h9	No			
1286	2021-11-06 12:51:21	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	
1290	2021-11-06 11:43:02	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	we have noticed increased odours lately. especially during foggy nights and temperature inversions
1291	2021-11-06 11:52:45	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	Yes	No	
1292	2021-11-06 11:38:55	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1297	2021-11-06 11:07:59	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes			
1298	2021-11-06 09:29:17	No	B3z1a4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1299	2021-11-06 09:27:10	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	No		
1303	2021-11-06 09:09:20	Yes	B3T1L2	Yes			
1304	2021-11-06 09:00:32	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	inadequate. this is bullshit porpaganda, not a survey
1305	2021-11-06 08:55:14	Yes	B3t1g9	Yes	Yes	No	I have concerns about the whole change and once it gets screwed up then we deal with it!
1306	2021-11-06 08:29:50	Yes	b3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	Stuff rots, it smells
1307	2021-11-06 08:25:15	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1308	2021-11-06 07:40:06	Yes		Yes			
1311	2021-11-06 08:24:50	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1316	2021-11-05 21:45:07	Yes	B3T1J4	No			
1321	2021-11-06 06:58:26	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	Yes	Yes	WSF stabilization process has worked for years and should continue
1326	2021-11-06 05:43:37	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes			
1327	2021-11-06 05:33:17	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes			
1330	2021-11-05 23:03:05	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1331	2021-11-05 21:57:28	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	Yes	No	If front end processing reduces the time for materials to break down, it will take longer for l'll effects of its removal to be found. By that time it will be too late, even if front end processing restarts to deal with the issue.
1332	2021-11-05 22:30:16	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes			
1333	2021-11-05 21:49:28	Yes	B3t1c1	Yes	Yes	No	Sorry. See my last comment as it applies here and not so much with the last question
1334	2021-11-05 21:54:50	Yes	B3T1G3	No			
1335	2021-11-05 21:51:32	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	No	Have you smelled it?
1336	2021-11-05 21:33:28	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	I just don't trust what is said here
1337	2021-11-05 21:40:29	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	Yes	No	Again too short term
1339	2021-11-05 21:05:42	No	B3T1H4	Yes			
1340	2021-11-05 20:50:25	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	Even with the current measures, we smell the landfill at times
1344	2021-11-05 20:04:54	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes			
1346	2021-11-05 19:28:58	Yes	B3Z4b8	Yes	Yes	No	
1347	2021-11-05 16:24:45	No	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	You have no idea the effects more organics in otterlake will have.

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1349	2021-11-05 18:58:14	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes	Yes	No	I'm sorry but it's already pretty smelly around our neighbourhood on mornings that it is cloudy and rainy. I just find it hard to believe that we're going to increase the amount of food in the landfill and that won't have a negative impact on the smell. If the plan is to use existing measures, the smell will be worse because the existing measures do not take care of the smell as it is.
1352	2021-11-05 18:45:51	Yes	B3t1e9	Yes	Yes	No	Deactivation will result in increased odours. Sever odours that once becomes an prominent will be next to impossible to get rid of. Their are dense communities within 1 km of the plant. Move it to a more isolated areas or keep the existing system in place
1357	2021-11-05 17:41:33	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	Yes	No	Dillon's study is flawed. It supports what HRM staff and Mirror want to promote as they are the ones who paid for it. An impartial study should have been performed for someone other than the two stakeholders whose only concern is saving money. Remember how Sackville ended up, a disaster.
1365	2021-11-05 17:06:31	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1366	2021-11-05 17:14:34	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes			
1367	2021-11-05 16:33:44	Yes	B3t1k1	Yes	Yes	No	Look at history! Our grandchildren will be dealing with this issue all because you want to save money!
1372	2021-11-05 15:47:32	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	More organic waste means more decomposition and more odour.
1374	2021-11-05 15:53:33	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	If odors do occur I have little faith that measures will be taken to combat them
1377	2021-11-05 15:45:02	No	B3z1a1	Yes			
1379	2021-11-05 15:43:33	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes			
1380	2021-11-05 15:34:32	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	We can already smell it from kilometers away on a hot day. And as days get hotter, it will get worse.
1381	2021-11-05 15:39:24	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
1382	2021-11-05 15:28:06	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	
1386	2021-11-05 14:46:49	Yes	B3t0a4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1387	2021-11-05 14:30:49	Yes	B3Z0R6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1389	2021-11-05 14:25:03	Yes	B3t1c8	Yes	Yes	No	It already stinks
1391	2021-11-05 13:29:03	Yes	b3t1j7	Yes	Yes	No	more decomposing matter, more stench!
1392	2021-11-05 14:01:05	Yes	b3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	Need to address odors quicker
1393	2021-11-05 13:48:50	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	No	No	
1397	2021-11-05 13:27:25	No	B3t1j1	Yes	Yes	No	The current systems do not mitigate the smell of he landfill. We can smell it at our place near exit 4.
1398	2021-11-05 13:38:39	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes			
1399	2021-11-05 12:39:08	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	No		
1400	2021-11-05 13:08:19	Yes	B3T1G9	Yes	Yes	No	The concern is that with the knowledge of no FEP more organic and/or chemical materials will find their way into the landfill and create odors and risks to water tables etc. How is this being mitigated?... not addressed in report.
1403	2021-11-05 12:45:46	Yes	b3t2k4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1405	2021-11-05 11:53:21	Yes	B3t1g8	Yes	Yes	No	
1406	2021-11-05 11:32:38	Yes	b3t1h2	Yes	Yes	No	No removing these pre treatments will lead to increased odor as stated numerous times by consultants
1411	2021-11-05 10:47:19	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1413	2021-11-05 09:38:01	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1416	2021-11-04 11:40:18	No	B3Z1A2	Yes			
1417	2021-11-05 10:31:51	Yes		Yes			
1420	2021-11-05 09:52:16	No	B3Z1C1	Yes	Yes	No	It's still going to smell
1421	2021-11-05 09:45:59	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	not what we agreed to when we agreed to landfill
1422	2021-11-05 09:48:23	Yes	B3t1g4	Yes	Yes	No	
1425	2021-11-05 09:38:04	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes	Yes		
1429	2021-11-04 17:07:08	No	B3Z1A3	Yes	Yes	No	The removal of the FEP/WSF could potentially cause more odor from increased organic waste. We don't live close to the landfill but spend time in residential areas near the landfill.
1433	2021-11-05 07:26:02	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes			
1437	2021-11-05 06:56:17	Yes	B3t1n9	Yes			
1439	2021-11-05 05:54:48	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	I absolutely do not believe what Dillon consultants are saying regarding doors. Makes absolutely no sense. Why are there smells from every landfill? I have smelled Otter Lake, usually on foggy calm mornings when the breeze is in the right (wrong) direction I can smell it. Proposed changes would be horrific!
1441	2021-11-05 06:12:23	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	The woods are filled with garbage that was ment for the dump
1442	2021-11-05 06:12:13	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	On days when the smell can reach the surrounding communities, what will that do when the proposed changes happen? It'll get worse for us with no thought.
1448	2021-11-05 05:33:41	Yes	B3t1h9	No			
1450	2021-11-05 05:08:27	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes			
1451	2021-11-05 04:33:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Have reported odours in the past and have smelled the landfill as recently as summer 2021. This issue will only increase or have the mechanics already been introduced and this survey is simply to make it appear that we have a voice?
1453	2021-11-05 00:32:01	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	Yes	No	I have lived here 25 years and do not want my property values affected. The worst thing about this neighborhood is HRM continuously refusing to honour their promises of closing this site.
1457	2021-11-05 00:05:15	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	
1460	2021-11-04 22:39:01	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	No	
1461	2021-11-04 22:38:33	No	B3T1E5	Yes			
1467	2021-11-04 21:27:35	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	Dumps stink, regardless of what you are saying. Ive lived near and driven by dumps in far more financially sophisticated provinces than Nova Scotia and still smelled them. You people should be ashamed of yourselves.
1469	2021-11-04 21:04:09	No	B3Z4P8	Yes	Yes	No	Rotting organics stinks. More rotting organics stinks more.
1470	2021-11-04 20:44:31	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	Odour should be decreased by removing organic material before they enter the landfill
1471	2021-11-04 19:01:28	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes		
1473	2021-11-04 20:44:19	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes			
1476	2021-11-04 18:53:37	Yes	b3t1h4	Yes	Yes	No	with the FEP in place i would expect the waste to be concentrated and the pipes would be able to control the gas collection. without the FEP the waste will be wide spread and collection will not be as efficient. plus i have no faith in any politician or the company to restart the FEP.
1477	2021-11-04 20:29:50	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
1478	2021-11-04 19:58:15	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	This is my main concern. If you actually can eliminate the odours, I would be pleased.
1482	2021-11-04 19:55:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	We already have odor problems with the right weather conditions.



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1484	2021-11-04 19:39:29	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	Yes	No	
1486	2021-11-04 19:38:26	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes			
1488	2021-11-04 19:19:14	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	No	Deactivation not the agreement
1489	2021-11-03 16:47:23	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes			
1491	2021-11-04 19:03:04	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1495	2021-11-04 19:05:29	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes			
1497	2021-11-04 18:58:28	Yes	B3t1c6	Yes	Yes	No	
1499	2021-11-04 18:59:44	Yes	B3T1C6	No			
1501	2021-11-04 18:19:48	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	No	mmntments.
1502	2021-11-04 18:39:01	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	hydrogen gas
1504	2021-11-04 18:31:01	Yes	B3T1G5				
1506	2021-11-04 18:05:59	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	I have to live in this area. Again you have a responsibility to the neighborhood to do what you need to do to keep the odour down. It's too late if things go wrong with the deactivating a
1507	2021-11-04 17:17:44	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1508	2021-11-04 17:53:34	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1509	2021-11-04 17:43:26	Yes	B3Z1B2	Yes	Yes	No	
1511	2021-11-04 17:35:31	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	Not having it sorted will create more odours as compost items will be unnecessarily but in the ground instead of green bin
1512	2021-11-04 17:32:50	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	No		
1513	2021-11-04 17:12:24	Yes	B3t1g5	Yes	Yes	No	Because they're not really good ideas
1514	2021-11-04 17:10:56	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes			
1516	2021-11-04 16:49:10	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	We currently deal with sulcus smells from the landfill. This change will make the smells worse
1517	2021-11-04 16:42:28	Yes	B3t1g3	Yes	Yes	No	
1519	2021-11-04 15:32:44	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes	Yes	No	I'm skeptical. More organic waste that is not separated means more odour.
1521	2021-11-04 16:09:33	No	B3z1n2	No			
1523	2021-11-04 16:02:08	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes			
1525	2021-11-04 16:02:40	Yes	B3t1j3	Yes			
1526	2021-11-04 15:45:33	Yes	b3z0b7	Yes	Yes	No	
1527	2021-11-04 15:42:38	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1528	2021-11-04 15:50:58	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes			
1530	2021-11-04 12:00:25	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	Not sure about that
1533	2021-11-04 15:28:41	Yes	B3T1N7	No			
1534	2021-11-04 15:23:08	Yes	b3t1p1	Yes	Yes	No	do NOT deactivate the Otter Lake FEP/WSF
1540	2021-11-04 14:09:42	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	Their are times in the summer months our area does get doors from this facility especially in a hot day.
1542	2021-11-04 14:13:21	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	We had odours before when there were problems at the landfill
1543	2021-11-04 14:20:17	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	As long as it's not an issue
1546	2021-11-04 14:07:47	Yes	B3t1g5	Yes			
1548	2021-11-04 12:41:11	No	B3Z0C6	Yes	Yes	No	There is no odours because the FEP and WSF is in place why deactivating FEP and WSF
1549	2021-11-04 13:38:21	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes			
1550	2021-11-03 15:47:25	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes			
1551	2021-11-04 12:47:17	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	More garbage more odor.
1552	2021-11-04 13:19:48	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes	Yes	No	An increase in organic waste will smell. Period.
1553	2021-11-04 13:01:16	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	There is no guarantee there will be no additional odors. For a \$2 million savings, why risk it.
1554	2021-11-04 13:19:04	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1555	2021-11-04 13:23:55	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes		
1558	2021-11-04 13:17:55	No	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1560	2021-11-04 12:48:26	Yes	b3t1h6	Yes	Yes	No	
1561	2021-11-04 12:45:07	No	b3z4m7	Yes			
1562	2021-11-04 12:45:14	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes			
1563	2021-11-04 12:19:45	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	No		
1565	2021-11-04 12:24:59	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	No		
1566	2021-11-04 12:10:16	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	The mitigation measures seem to have their own environmental issues
1567	2021-11-04 12:08:51	Yes	b3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	this is hypothetical and unproven
1570	2021-11-04 11:57:25	Yes	B3t1e1	Yes			
1571	2021-11-04 11:52:08	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes	Yes	No	
1573	2021-11-04 11:42:15	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1574	2021-11-04 11:46:39	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes			
1575	2021-11-04 11:36:59	Yes	B3T1G2	Yes	Yes	No	
1576	2021-11-04 11:40:29	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
1578	2021-11-04 11:27:13	Yes	B3t1g6	Yes	Yes	No	I do not want the FEP/WSF deactivated
1579	2021-11-04 11:35:28	No	B3t1n8	Yes			
1580	2021-11-04 11:30:27	Yes	b3z1a2				
1586	2021-11-04 10:48:09	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1587	2021-11-04 10:41:17	Yes	B3t1j3	Yes	Yes	No	
1588	2021-11-04 08:59:10	Yes	B3t1h7	Yes	No		
1591	2021-11-04 09:07:25	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	Have you ever lived near a landfill? It sticks all the time and someone somewhere in the landfill area will be smelling it. We have been fortunate that the current process has minimized the "smelly" days but they have never been eliminated!
1592	2021-11-04 10:24:08	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes			
1593	2021-11-04 10:18:32	Yes	b3t1j7	Yes	Yes	No	
1597	2021-11-04 09:39:27	No	B3Z1B8	Yes	Yes		
1599	2021-11-04 10:04:29	Yes	B3T1L2	Yes	Yes	No	I am located in Glengarry Estates and have had to deal with odors from the landfill before. It is disgusting and will lower our home value if this happen!!! If this landfill odor returns, is HRM prepared to purchase my home at FULL market value BEFORE odors start to pollute our community again? if you think this won't happen, then your answer should be "yes"!
1602	2021-11-04 10:00:48	Yes	b3t1h1	Yes			
1604	2021-11-04 09:30:59	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	Won't work
1606	2021-11-04 09:26:11	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	You are attempting to turn the agreed on landfill into a new sackville dump. We're going to save money by deactivating the fep and wsp. We will have no idea what's actually going into the dump now, but no worries, we will just "mitigate" it all. Give me a break.
1607	2021-11-04 09:26:37	Yes	B3z1a1	Yes	No		
1608	2021-11-04 09:22:40	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	The assumption that existing processes will be sufficient to handle the increase in organic waste deposited i believe is flawed.
1610	2021-11-04 09:28:16	Yes	B3T1P1	No			
1611	2021-11-04 09:18:14	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	More than current maintenance is required
1612	2021-11-04 09:11:23	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	
1614	2021-11-04 09:13:51	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	
1615	2021-11-04 09:18:19	Yes	b3t1e5	Yes	Yes	No	
1617	2021-11-04 09:00:39	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1618	2021-11-04 09:11:00	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	No		
1619	2021-11-04 09:06:19	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	
1625	2021-11-04 08:59:55	No	B3z4b8	Yes			
1627	2021-11-04 08:54:25	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1628	2021-11-04 08:55:29	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes			
1630	2021-11-04 08:37:38	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	
1632	2021-11-04 08:40:01	Yes	B3t0a6	Yes	Yes	No	It will still smell
1633	2021-11-04 08:42:48	Yes	B3t1k1	Yes			
1635	2021-11-04 08:02:36	No	B3Z4P2	Yes	No		
1640	2021-11-04 08:24:38	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	
1643	2021-11-04 08:22:13	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	No	
1645	2021-11-04 08:12:52	No	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	N
1646	2021-11-04 08:04:35	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe the measures planned will mitigate the smell in our communities
1647	2021-11-04 08:04:52	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	
1649	2021-11-04 08:03:47	Yes	B3t1n7	No			
1653	2021-11-04 07:09:27	No	B3Z0B7	Yes	Yes	No	hasn't worked elsewhere
1654	2021-11-04 07:12:12	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
1657	2021-11-04 06:58:21	Yes	B3T1G2	Yes	Yes		
1661	2021-11-04 05:54:23	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	Deactivation will knowingly create odors that will effect numerous communities - how the fuck is that acceptable? Shame on you for being okay with poisoning our air! One of your solutions is to monitor it more? I can smell bullshit already
1662	2021-11-04 06:16:57	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Unhappy with odors now which we were promised would never happen.
1663	2021-11-04 06:25:23	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1665	2021-11-04 05:59:57	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1666	2021-11-04 06:08:58	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe that the changes will not impact the smell
1669	2021-11-04 05:35:32	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	The smell will get worse
1673	2021-11-04 04:03:06	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1674	2021-11-03 23:29:36	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	Yes	No	Absolutely concerned. BIGGEST concern,, AND will participate and act by whatever means it takes to prevent these changes. Don't know where you or WHAT your so-called "Environmentalists " say.
1676	2021-11-03 23:06:06	Yes	B3t1j1	Yes	Yes	No	Deactivation does not make any sense
1678	2021-11-03 22:44:00	Yes	B3t0a6	Yes	Yes	No	Sounds like masking, not actually dealing with the issue of added odour
1685	2021-11-03 22:11:38	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	Yes	No	
1686	2021-11-03 22:03:40	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	No	There will still be more smells
1687	2021-11-03 21:45:00	Yes	B3T1k1	Yes	Yes	No	odours from this facility have been experienced in the past and they have had a great impact to the quality of life in the surrounding community. If it aint broke don't fix it.
1688	2021-11-03 21:28:30	No	B3z0e3	Yes	No		
1690	2021-11-03 21:54:38	Yes	B3t1e1	Yes	Yes	No	
1698	2021-11-03 21:19:14	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	
1700	2021-11-03 21:18:46	Yes	B3z0b6	Yes			
1701	2021-11-03 21:09:16	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	This is untrue and in the past when the system went down there was a marked increase in odour in the neighbouring communities
1702	2021-11-03 21:06:16	No	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	There is no guarantee that the information you are providing is accurate

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1704	2021-11-03 20:34:32	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	No matter what you do, you will not be able to control foul smells from the facility. You can't control them now, and there is less chance of controlling them if you change the current system.
1705	2021-11-03 21:00:21	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes			
1707	2021-11-03 20:42:09	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes			
1708	2021-11-03 20:45:00	No	B3T1H1	Yes	Yes		
1709	2021-11-03 20:44:31	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes			
1711	2021-11-03 20:35:45	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes			
1713	2021-11-03 20:37:12	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	No	
1714	2021-11-03 20:17:03	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1717	2021-11-03 20:30:25	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes		
1719	2021-11-03 20:22:33	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	
1723	2021-11-03 20:09:36	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	No	
1724	2021-11-03 19:56:33	Yes	B3T2C7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1725	2021-11-03 20:05:26	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	
1727	2021-11-03 19:19:43	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes	No	
1729	2021-11-03 19:34:09	No	B3Z0B7	Yes	Yes		
1730	2021-11-03 19:28:16	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	
1733	2021-11-03 19:18:41	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	
1736	2021-11-03 18:59:16	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	No	I see no reason to change how the facility is run... removing any process changes the outcome and don't give that dribble shit you just trying to scoop some money out of somewhere.. stop wringing us out.... we're dry!
1737	2021-11-03 19:17:06	Yes	B3T0B1	Yes			
1739	2021-11-03 19:06:12	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes	Yes	No	
1743	2021-11-03 18:49:09	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes	No	We were promised the highest level of environmental protection as agreement to have this in our community. Any thing less is wrong!
1744	2021-11-03 18:57:02	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	Yes	No	
1745	2021-11-03 19:06:36	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes			
1746	2021-11-03 18:47:19	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	If this is the case, why is there still so much odour around if the wind is in the right direction?
1748	2021-11-03 18:51:42	Yes	B3T1H5	Yes			
1749	2021-11-03 18:54:05	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	Yes	No	
1750	2021-11-03 18:41:25	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1751	2021-11-03 18:41:30	Yes	B3T2C7	Yes	Yes	No	
1755	2021-11-03 18:21:33	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	
1756	2021-11-03 18:25:28	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1758	2021-11-03 18:01:26	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	Sure hope it works if you won't listen to residents concerns. We are not happy.
1760	2021-11-03 18:00:28	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1762	2021-11-03 18:03:46	No	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1768	2021-11-03 17:18:17	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes	No	
1769	2021-11-03 17:31:01	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	Whatever you have done or propose isn't working
1770	2021-11-03 17:30:19	Yes	B3T1G2	Yes	Yes	No	This is always an issue and always ends in a serious issue of odour!
1771	2021-11-03 17:23:02	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	Rotting organics mixed with trash smells absolutely disgusting. Would you want to live near that?
1773	2021-11-03 17:25:28	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	No	
1777	2021-11-03 17:02:51	Yes	B3T1G3	No			
1780	2021-11-03 16:41:40	No	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	No	Deactivation will not stop odours!!



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1784	2021-11-03 16:30:37	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	No	Still dont believe you. Its all about lining pockets at the expense of tax payers
1787	2021-11-03 16:24:36	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1790	2021-11-03 15:18:48	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	The FEP/WSF mechanism is in place for a reason. Stop trying to sell a line that there is no impact to deactivating it. A 'proactive' plan to monitor for odours does not prevent odours. They will still be in my backyard!
1791	2021-11-03 15:48:28	Yes	b3t1c6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1792	2021-11-03 15:54:37	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes			
1794	2021-11-03 15:02:31	Yes	B3T1E6	Yes	Yes	No	When moving to the area over [REDACTED] ago, the odour that came from the landfill was intense and prevented us going outside on certain days. In the fast years there has been no odour due to the improvements made at the landfill
1796	2021-11-03 15:29:10	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1798	2021-11-03 15:24:18	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes			
1799	2021-11-03 15:11:55	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes		No	You can't put rose in crap and say there that did it
1802	2021-11-03 15:06:44	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	
1807	2021-11-03 14:48:59	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	What are the proactive monitoring measures. Just saying you are going to be proactive does not exactly deal with concerns
1808	2021-11-03 14:48:41	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	No	
1809	2021-11-03 14:43:40	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	It will definitely smell worse!!
1813	2021-11-03 14:09:13	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	As it is currently maintained, we are stable with reducing the amount of odour from the landfill. Eliminating any measure puts all of our quality of life, property values and pride in community in jeopardy. I am significantly opposed to trialling this and finding out that the mitigation efforts were inadequate.
1815	2021-11-03 14:25:59	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	It's not enough and I don't believe it.
1819	2021-11-03 14:10:05	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	No	
1820	2021-11-03 13:05:14	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	There were incidents of putrid air emanating from the facility & I believe your proposals to mitigate this will not be affective.
1821	2021-11-03 13:05:04	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	More garbage that doesn't belong in the landfill will smell...like a few years ago when the rancid smell reached our house...
1822	2021-11-03 12:16:49	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes		There are days in the summer where you already get a smell. Take away processing equipment that is reducing the smell to what we have now and it will only get worst
1823	2021-11-03 13:04:31	Yes	B3Z4M2	Yes	Yes	No	This will devalue my house, make more problems.
1824	2021-11-03 12:42:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	i don't believe it possible to mitigate all odours
1825	2021-11-03 12:23:10	Yes	B3t1e3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1826	2021-11-03 12:09:07	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	No	Why would I take your word that there would be no more smells. At least at this point we know what to expect but what if it is worse...once this has been put into place good luck ever getting it reversed if need be
1827	2021-11-03 12:23:59	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	Yes	No	
1828	2021-11-03 12:00:58	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	I'm not interested in "mitigation". HRM promised to maintain the waste facility at a very high level, and I expect HRM to keep its promise to the community. We will not need "mitigation" if HRM does not downgrade the quality level with which it has been running the facility. I DO NOT AGREE WITH THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS.
1829	2021-11-03 10:32:33	No	B3Z1B1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1830	2021-11-03 10:33:12	No	B3Z0C6	Yes	Yes	No	WSF output and organics should not be buried in the landfill

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Additional Generation of Odours							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the additional generation of odours?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1831	2021-11-03 11:02:46	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	No	If these are removed..there Will be odors. Who wants to live next to this?
1832	2021-11-03 10:55:01	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes			
1833	2021-11-03 09:50:24	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	I find it hard to believe that with such a drastic change in processing there will be no increase in odour.
1834	2021-11-02 14:13:03	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	Works good now with ocasional odours. However we have no gurantee our site wont stink like others that do not have an FEP/WSF. Things work great now. "LEAVE OTTER LAKE ALONE"

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
5	2021-12-04 13:27:05	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes			
6	2021-12-04 12:39:48	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	
8	2021-12-04 07:07:42	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	Yes	No	
9	2021-12-04 06:33:44	Yes	B3t1g9	Yes			
10	2021-12-04 02:33:16	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
11	2021-12-03 21:37:38	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	No	You can monitor the water for quality but in reality the damage is already done by the time you detect it. If something happens no one can predict how long it will take to fix the problem if it is even able to be fixed. Water is sacred and our community would be in huge trouble if we lost it.
19	2021-12-03 20:20:59	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
20	2021-12-03 19:08:21	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	You will not be able to keep the landfill disposal area as small as possible - more people more garbage more problems!
21	2021-12-03 19:54:38	Yes	B3Z4N4	Yes	No		
22	2021-11-06 14:53:34	No	B3Z4N4	Yes	No		
31	2021-12-03 12:59:41	No	B3z4p7	Yes	Yes	No	Sigh, just stop. It's terrifying having to address so many issues with this expansion. Makes me even more angry that this is even being proposed.
32	2021-12-03 12:35:11	No	B3Z1A7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
33	2021-11-13 15:08:28	Yes	B3Z0A2	Yes	Yes	No	So it can be monitoring and controlled with the current use of the FEP/WSF system in place. Have a study been conducted to confirm with the added waste being dumped can the aging system still be able to handle the increase that will occur.
34	2021-12-03 11:45:55	Yes	B3Z0E3	Yes	Yes	No	
39	2021-12-03 11:03:31	No	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	Not enough being done
43	2021-12-03 10:19:56	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes			
45	2021-12-03 09:58:37	Yes	B3Z4M2	Yes			
49	2021-11-04 09:54:17	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	No		
60	2021-12-02 22:25:31	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
61	2021-12-02 20:55:00	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
62	2021-12-02 19:31:27	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	Yes	No	I am worried about mercury in lightbulbs.
65	2021-11-03 17:45:52	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes			
69	2021-12-02 08:44:35	Yes	b3t1g1	Yes	Yes	Yes	the otter lake facility had been charged with surface water contamination reaching the test well. There will be an increased leakage of foul liquids from the many trucks proceeding to the face of the cell. There is no assurance provided of increased test monitoring wells along this roadway. along this
74	2021-12-01 17:41:09	No	B3T1P1	Yes			
76	2021-12-01 15:43:35	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	
80	2021-12-01 07:00:50	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes	Yes	No	Having visited the site I see what efforts are being made to protect environment. I am concerned that will change.
82	2021-11-30 20:25:42	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
88	2021-11-30 18:37:55	No	B3Z4P7	Yes	Yes	No	Insufficient evidence is presented. How can we decide whether there will be no change. Provide some data.
90	2021-11-30 16:46:22	Yes	B3Z1C1	Yes			
99	2021-11-29 21:36:15	Yes		Yes			
101	2021-11-29 19:39:20	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes			
107	2021-11-29 11:08:59	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	Yes	No	By not have a FEP/WSF we risk polluting the ground water and destroying places like the bluff trail.
108	2021-11-29 10:32:18	Yes	B3t1g6	Yes	Yes	No	Sceptical of these solutions

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
109	2021-11-29 09:58:39	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes			
110	2021-11-29 09:21:21	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	
115	2021-11-28 11:44:47	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	No	I do not believe, or have confidence in, the populace to properly care and separate their individual waste streams. The front end processor provides an extra inspection point to observe potential infractions and mitigate illegal or inappropriate dumping of wastes in the landfill. Once the front end processor is removed from service, it is foolish to believe it will ever be reinstated.
117	2021-11-28 10:06:33	No	B3Z1C2	Yes	No		
119	2021-11-28 06:53:55	No	B3Z0C6	Yes	Yes	No	I'm not convinced we can correctly forecast the impact and would prefer not to go the trial and error route
120	2021-11-27 21:32:29	Yes	b3z0a1	Yes	Yes	No	
121	2021-11-27 18:08:27	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	Yes	No	
130	2021-11-27 06:08:42	No	B3T1H6	Yes			
131	2021-11-26 18:29:35	Yes		Yes			
132	2021-11-26 15:49:04	No	B3Z1E1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
156	2021-11-25 17:57:02	Yes		Yes			
157	2021-11-25 17:25:24	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	What happens when it is too late and this place contaminates all of our ground water. What will be the excuse then.
161	2021-11-25 14:32:29	No	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	Contaminated water may still leach into nearby watersheds.
168	2021-11-25 11:07:39	Yes	B3T1E7	Yes	No		
169	2021-11-25 11:05:59	Yes	B3Z1N4	Yes	Yes	No	n
170	2021-11-25 10:08:36	Yes	b3t1h1	Yes	Yes	No	how come if I answer yes you don't try to change my mind
172	2021-11-25 09:54:02	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	No collection system is perfect.
181	2021-11-25 07:26:32	Yes	B3T1n5	Yes	No		
185	2021-11-25 07:18:48	Yes	B3T0a6	Yes	Yes	No	Broken promises
187	2021-11-25 06:42:33	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	
192	2021-11-25 05:08:05	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes	Yes	No	
198	2021-11-24 12:21:32	Yes	B3T1L2	Yes	Yes	No	Without the added protection of the FEP/WSF these mitigation measures are no guarantee. Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill. Keep the FEP/WSF active.
201	2021-11-24 11:54:28	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/WSF active.
203	2021-11-24 09:04:21	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	No		
206	2021-11-14 07:19:32	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	Too many lakes in the area to be affected.
210	2021-11-15 19:26:29	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	
211	2021-11-23 19:29:41	Yes	B3Z4b8	Yes			
216	2021-11-23 15:55:50	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/WSF active
217	2021-11-23 15:20:26	Yes	B3t1J4	Yes	No		
218	2021-11-23 15:08:55	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/WSF active.
219	2021-11-03 14:41:21	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	This is not an acceptable remedy
221	2021-11-23 13:47:47	Yes	B3T1N6	No			
224	2021-11-23 12:32:20	No	B3T1H8	Yes			
236	2021-11-22 18:40:08	Yes	B3t1k1	Yes	Yes	No	
237	2021-11-22 18:02:45	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	There will be seepage...there is always seepage. Unavoidable even with the best intentions. Once it starts its very difficult to stop.



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
239	2021-11-22 16:06:06	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	Compromising the groundwater is a major concern. To date there has been no problem. No need for a change if things are working.
241	2021-11-22 15:52:47	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes			
245	2021-11-22 15:00:25	Yes	B3T1I2	Yes			
246	2021-11-22 14:31:14	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	No		
252	2021-11-22 10:29:13	Yes	b3z1b6	Yes	Yes	No	Not screen what goes into the landfill will change what is deposited here, and a study should be completed.
256	2021-11-22 08:51:00	Yes	B3T1E1	Yes			
261	2021-11-21 21:23:53	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	It is fine to monitor but monitoring won't prevent a leak and an increase in what goes in to the dump will increase the repercussions of a leak. You cannot guarantee that there won't be a leak so you need to do everything possible to reduce the potential damage from a leak if one occurs.
262	2021-11-21 19:24:14	Yes	B3T1K8	Yes	Yes	No	With increased waste added to the landfill, in combination with climate change (increase in rainfalls etc.), the potential for a great increase in leachate is highly probable and may not be sufficiently covered by the current leachate system.
271	2021-11-21 07:36:12	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
273	2021-11-21 06:17:22	Yes					
274	2021-11-21 05:29:13	Yes	B3Z0E3	Yes			
280	2021-11-20 15:12:21	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	No		
282	2021-11-20 13:50:38	No	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
283	2021-11-20 13:45:48	Yes	b3z1b5	Yes	Yes	No	Otter Lake is beside a lake and river, so any contamination can go far and wide into wells.
286	2021-11-20 06:33:59	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	Will there be additional leachate? This information is not provided.
290	2021-11-19 20:39:47	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	No	Not enough being done
291	2021-11-19 20:19:27	No	B3Z4M8	Yes			
294	2021-11-19 18:47:39	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	Yes	No	
295	2021-11-05 07:00:55	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes			
296	2021-11-19 18:11:41	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	
298	2021-11-19 17:29:57	No	B3Z1A1	Yes			
299	2021-11-19 17:18:58	Yes	B3T1K8	Yes	No		
303	2021-11-19 13:43:02	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	Your wells need to be set up closer to people properties to see how it's impacting the drinking water as well
305	2021-11-18 08:56:37	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	
308	2021-11-19 13:17:59	No	b3z1a1	Yes	Yes	No	Again, you are not able to quantify the impact. This solution monitors - it does not prevent. This is an unacceptable risks.
311	2021-11-19 12:09:06	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	You are answering issues with your interpretation only
318	2021-11-19 10:48:40	Yes	B3Z0B9	Yes			
319	2021-11-19 09:50:39	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	what was promised in the 1990 is not being followed I remember the sackville dump and the foot dragging on closing it.
320	2021-11-04 10:08:10	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	Yes	No	
321	2021-11-19 10:09:52	No	B3Z1A1	Yes			
322	2021-11-19 09:54:14	Yes	B3Z0C6	Yes	No		
323	2021-11-19 09:53:08	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	Trust is a big factor and I have very little
324	2021-11-05 19:00:42	No	B3z1a4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
325	2021-11-19 09:42:37	Yes	b3t1j3				
326	2021-11-19 09:37:22	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
327	2021-11-19 09:32:40	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	Yes	No	The FEP & WSF were critical components of the contract the communities accepted. The tactics used by HRM in attempt to remove the FEP & WSF are abhorrent and not in good faith. The format of this "survey" is a disgusting reminder of the lengths policy makers will take.
333	2021-11-19 08:29:03	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
334	2021-11-19 08:01:46	Yes	b3t1c1	Yes			
335	2021-11-19 07:38:52	No	B3z1a3	Yes	Yes	No	Increased organic matter in the landfill will cause an increase in leachate in ground water
337	2021-11-18 19:34:24	Yes	B3T1c1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
338	2021-11-18 19:12:19	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
339	2021-11-18 15:25:16	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes		
341	2021-11-18 13:22:55	Yes	B3Z1A4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
345	2021-11-18 07:07:28	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	No		
346	2021-11-18 06:20:03	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	No		
347	2021-11-18 03:50:21	No	B3z0e3	Yes	Yes	No	Organic Organic waste should never be buried in a landfill. The FEP/WSF should always be operated at our landfill.
355	2021-11-17 18:26:33	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes			
357	2021-11-13 22:48:08	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
360	2021-11-17 16:39:08	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	We don't want this in our community anymore
363	2021-11-17 15:28:28	Yes	B3T2K1	Yes			
365	2021-11-16 12:57:50	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	I have little faith in this system or the promise that it will always protect our ground water quality. How can I trust any of these promises given that these proposed changes are broken promises in themselves i.e. the landfill will never become a dump !
367	2021-11-17 12:59:17	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
370	2021-11-17 12:04:06	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste does not belong in a landfill
371	2021-11-17 11:14:48	No	B3Z1C2	Yes	Yes	No	You won't know until it's too late my water is tested on my dime therefore is not tested on a regular basis
376	2021-11-17 05:51:40	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	This was not designed for the extra leachate that will be produced.
377	2021-11-17 05:55:25	No	b3t1e1	Yes	No		
383	2021-11-16 20:49:29	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	No	
385	2021-11-16 20:37:49	No	B3z4e9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
386	2021-11-04 21:17:46	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	No	Must happen and continue to happen very often to ensure water quality
390	2021-11-16 19:52:37	No	B3T1G3	Yes		Yes	
393	2021-11-16 15:24:34	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
399	2021-11-16 14:36:36	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes			
400	2021-11-16 14:22:06	Yes	B3Z1A2	Yes			
401	2021-11-16 13:51:53	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes			
406	2021-11-15 16:24:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	Great that it will be monitored, what will be done to remediate?
408	2021-11-12 11:37:48	Yes	B3z4n4	Yes			
414	2021-11-16 10:55:27	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	by allowing compostable materials and no sorting - you change the existing conditions. More risk
415	2021-11-09 10:20:20	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	I've lived here [REDACTED] years. We didn't agree to a dump. We've been lied to and are being manipulated to accept this.
416	2021-11-16 09:46:15	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	Yes	No	
417	2021-11-16 09:33:37	Yes	B3z1b5	Yes			
424	2021-11-16 07:21:05	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	
426	2021-11-16 06:54:36	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
430	2021-11-16 00:04:52	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	Removing the current system increases the probability of contamination which may be handled by the the current system. Why take that chance
431	2021-11-15 23:20:55	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes			
434	2021-11-09 13:32:39	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
435	2021-11-15 21:26:49	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	Yes	No	
437	2021-11-15 21:17:27	Yes	B3z1b6	Yes			
438	2021-11-15 20:50:10	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes			
440	2021-11-15 20:07:07	Yes	B3z1b5	Yes	Yes	No	You have not convinced me at all!
441	2021-11-15 19:26:51	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	How quickly would it be known if these protections are not working properly? Is it worth risking our groundwater? It is not to me.
446	2021-11-15 19:21:29	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes			
450	2021-11-15 19:12:13	No	B3Z1B6	Yes			
452	2021-11-15 18:47:18	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	Given the dishonesty of the approach you are proposing and the fact that you are changing the terms of reference that was originally utilized to gain initial approval, I am not inclined to blithely accept your assurances about water quality not being impacted by your proposed changes.
455	2021-11-15 17:56:20	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	Yes	No	
458	2021-11-15 16:55:07	Yes	B3t1k7				
461	2021-11-15 15:55:27	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	Yes	No	
462	2021-11-15 15:50:42	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	No	
464	2021-11-15 15:25:06	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	What if there is a breakdown of this collection system? Will added contaminants result in higher costs for leachate processing?
465	2021-11-15 15:35:49	Yes		Yes			
466	2021-11-15 15:32:08	No	B3Z0P7	Yes			
471	2021-11-15 12:25:46	No	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	Often to achieve adequate risk mitigation, a multi-pronged approach is needed. Where you see redundancies as a "waste of money" or an "opportunity to cut costs", as a software developer, I see redundant measures to protect critical systems as vital to stay protected. You are compounding risks by putting more untreated waste into the ground. It is unwise to do so.
473	2021-11-15 12:31:09	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	No	It is ludicrous to assume that removal of the FEP will have no negative impact on the water and environment. Do you think we were all born yesterday?
480	2021-11-15 10:39:20	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	Too vague to determine.
481	2021-11-15 10:56:31	Yes	B3t1n7	Yes	Yes	No	
484	2021-11-04 11:52:49	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	Yes	No	the photo of the monitoring system does NOT instill confidence in a state of the art monitoring process!
485	2021-11-15 10:05:04	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
486	2021-11-15 08:43:10	No	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
489	2021-11-15 09:21:03	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes			
495	2021-11-15 06:32:53	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes			
500	2021-11-15 05:27:21	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	The existing landfill is already passed it's planned life span, so I still have concerns about impacts
506	2021-11-14 21:35:47	No	B3Z1A1	Yes			
516	2021-11-14 17:12:19	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	Yes	na
528	2021-11-14 12:38:56	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	Removing the front end processor will result in wrong materials going into the landfill and even hazardous wastes which could get into the ground water.

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
536	2021-11-14 10:25:11	Yes	B3z0b7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
546	2021-11-14 07:10:17	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	No	What difference does this make if you can change your mind. Trust is earned and so far you have little on this subject. Only going to be here 25 years should have none that was a lie! Now why should I trust anything you say
547	2021-11-14 06:57:28	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	Again all your explanations are to decrease the risk. Not to completely eliminate it
559	2021-11-13 23:27:04	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	I don't understand from your explanation how this will actually handle the increased risk of groundwater impact from the deactivation
560	2021-11-13 23:19:28	No	B3Z4P2	Yes		Yes	
564	2021-11-13 20:40:52	No	B3Z0R3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
566	2021-11-13 20:39:22	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	
567	2021-11-13 20:30:54	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes			
571	2021-11-13 17:40:32	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	Broken promise to the community.
572	2021-11-13 18:00:19	Yes	B3z1e1	Yes			
574	2021-11-13 17:18:43	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
575	2021-11-13 16:53:34	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	Yes	No	I am on a well, with no other means for water.
583	2021-11-04 08:58:52	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	
593	2021-11-13 11:16:36	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
597	2021-11-13 11:05:13	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes	Yes	No	If the above photo is a good example of the condition of the existing Groundwater Monitoring Wells, it doesn't fill me with confidence that these will do their job successfully in the future.
600	2021-11-13 10:00:56	Yes	B3z1a9	Yes	Yes	No	
604	2021-11-13 09:00:42	Yes	B3t1j7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
606	2021-11-13 08:52:45	Yes	B3z1a2	Yes	No		
610	2021-11-13 08:24:03	Yes	B3Z0R8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
611	2021-11-13 08:38:30	Yes	B3t1h8	No			
612	2021-11-04 16:57:56	Yes	b3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	cute picture; electrical tape and a little plastic tubing. certainly professional and confident
626	2021-11-13 04:20:39	No	B3z1b5	Yes			
627	2021-11-13 00:16:22	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	BS lies
629	2021-11-12 22:56:37	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	
631	2021-11-12 22:01:33	No	B3Z4P2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
633	2021-11-12 21:35:21	Yes	B3T1h8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
634	2021-11-12 21:34:14	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
643	2021-11-12 19:50:07	No	B3Z4N8	Yes	No		
646	2021-11-12 19:10:50	Yes	B3Z1A4	Yes	No		
652	2021-11-12 17:55:29	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
656	2021-11-12 18:03:42	Yes	B3t0a5	Yes	No		
657	2021-11-12 17:30:49	Yes	B3Z1P6	Yes			
659	2021-11-09 20:27:04	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	
667	2021-11-06 19:19:20	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
670	2021-11-05 10:25:41	Yes	B3t0b1	Yes	Yes	No	
671	2021-11-12 14:29:04	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	Yes	Close by rivers and lakes will be destroyed from runoff.
672	2021-11-12 14:21:08	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	Yes	No	



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
674	2021-11-12 13:27:53	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	My question is how can you keep the landfill as small as possible if you are not going to do the sorting that is taking place today? Backup your statement to keep the landfill small statement. Exactly how do you propose to keep the landfill as small as it is right now without sorting as you do now?
676	2021-11-12 13:29:39	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	No		
680	2021-11-12 13:13:46	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes			
681	2021-11-12 13:01:10	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	Just because you say something will not cause issues doesn't mean it won't. The place I grew up is full of cancer because of gov lies about dumping
682	2021-11-12 12:51:55	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes			
683	2021-11-12 12:22:17	Yes	B3z1a4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
684	2021-11-12 12:30:01	Yes	B3t1j9	Yes			
686	2021-11-12 11:39:54	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	Yes	No	If things are dumped here that shouldn't be, it can create issues
691	2021-11-12 11:31:45	No	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	No	
694	2021-11-12 11:33:06	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes			
697	2021-11-12 10:51:31	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	There will have to be additional degradation of groundwater quality with your plan.
700	2021-11-12 10:32:00	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	Just no. The proposed changes were not part of the agreement. Stop trying to justify it by conducting a Bias survey
703	2021-11-12 09:55:11	No	B3z0r5	Yes	Yes	No	I don't trust collecting or moving this liquid around
705	2021-11-12 09:39:46	Yes	B3z1a3	Yes			
706	2021-11-08 17:16:47	No	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	Please provide the actual, osodrwork on the ground water testing. Transparency please.
710	2021-11-12 09:30:26	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	
711	2021-11-12 09:39:05	No	B3z1a1	Yes	Yes	No	
714	2021-11-12 09:35:55	Yes	B3t1e5	Yes			
716	2021-11-12 09:31:18	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	
718	2021-11-12 08:56:42	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	Can't trust the government's word, they say they're going to do a lot of things they break their promises on...this landfill is a low text example. It's not even supposed to still be up and running in this location yet here we are, legal contract ignored
722	2021-11-12 08:13:27	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	The residents of the surrounding communities agreed to the placement of Otter Lake with the understanding that the FEP and WSF would be active throughout the lifespan of the facility. This move by the city is in blatant disregard of the original agreement, and while maybe not in violation of the specific provisions, is made clearly with disregard to the spirit of the agreement.
724	2021-11-12 07:53:21	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	No	Again, reducing the sorting of materials will impact the quality of the landfill.
727	2021-11-12 08:13:51	Yes	B3Z4M9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
729	2021-11-12 08:16:19	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	
731	2021-11-12 07:35:00	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	No	
734	2021-11-11 16:39:38	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes			
737	2021-11-11 18:38:28	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	No		
738	2021-11-11 18:30:56	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	
740	2021-11-11 18:09:09	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	No	There will be leakage into the environment with unsorted and increased amounts of trash.
742	2021-11-11 17:24:51	No	b3t1h5	Yes	No		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
744	2021-11-11 16:28:40	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	I grew up near Harrietsfield... sorry if I do not fully believe that all measures will be taken to not screw up the groundwater
750	2021-11-11 12:46:39	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	No		
754	2021-11-11 11:54:48	Yes	B3T2J9	Yes	No		
755	2021-11-11 12:20:24	Yes	B3Z1A6	Yes			
756	2021-11-11 12:04:58	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	
758	2021-11-11 11:30:44	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	
764	2021-11-11 09:46:30	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	Duct tape and zip ties are part of the monitoring system?
765	2021-11-11 09:36:19	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
766	2021-11-11 09:41:01	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes	Yes	No	
786	2021-11-10 20:32:28	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes			
787	2021-11-11 03:44:19	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
791	2021-11-10 20:35:01	No	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	Contract must remain in place.
792	2021-11-10 20:07:47	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	How often is the groundwater being tested?
793	2021-11-07 06:48:23	Yes	B3Z4P7	Yes	Yes	No	What is the composition of the leachate? How can we decrease the amount of leachate?
794	2021-11-10 19:48:51	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
796	2021-11-10 19:35:45	No	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
797	2021-11-10 19:02:17	Yes	B3t1j6	Yes	Yes	No	More info
802	2021-11-10 18:11:54	Yes	B3Z4P7	Yes	Yes		
803	2021-11-10 18:22:40	Yes	B3Z1A1	Yes			
811	2021-11-10 14:33:03	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Any additional leachate will require additional transportation and additional costs. This results in more trucking in the area, more air pollution, more noise pollution and more wear and tear on our roadways.
813	2021-11-10 15:30:00	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	No		
821	2021-11-10 14:05:36	Yes	B3t2c7	Yes	Yes	No	
822	2021-11-10 13:59:12	Yes	B3t1g3	Yes			
824	2021-11-10 13:30:08	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes			
825	2021-11-10 12:23:51	No	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	No	If the system fails in the slightest there is a problem. Hazardous waste has ruined the water quality of Five Island Lake for years, some things are not worth any risk.
831	2021-11-03 20:37:26	Yes	B3z0b7	Yes	No		
842	2021-11-10 09:03:51	Yes	b3t1c8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
845	2021-11-10 08:36:21	No	B3T1K1	No			
846	2021-11-10 08:25:15	Yes	B3T0B1	Yes	Yes	No	
854	2021-11-04 08:06:12	Yes	B3Z1B8	Yes	No		
855	2021-11-10 07:20:17	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	
859	2021-11-10 06:48:08	Yes	B3T1H6	No			
860	2021-11-10 06:26:28	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
864	2021-11-10 06:00:53	Yes	B3t1e6	Yes	No		
865	2021-11-10 05:00:14	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	How often does the temporary holding pond currently get filled? What is its capacity? What is the plan when both current systems get overfilled?
866	2021-11-10 04:46:48	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	More waste will have more leachate and cause more trucking to the treatment plant. More pollution.
867	2021-11-09 15:22:11	Yes	B3t1n9	Yes	No		
868	2021-11-09 22:33:05	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
869	2021-11-04 15:25:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Again. NO Organic waste should not be buried in the landfill". It should NOT be mixed. We change the balance which was very much researched, and we will have chemicals and toxins in our waters.
871	2021-11-09 21:27:52	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	
872	2021-11-09 21:14:13	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes			
873	2021-11-09 20:48:16	Yes	B3Z1b5	Yes	Yes	No	I'm not sure that I trust the monitoring that is being done
874	2021-11-09 21:05:55	Yes	B3Z4L5	Yes			
875	2021-11-09 20:02:17	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	No	How can you possibly back up this information? There has never been a problem with the FEP in place. Now you want to take it away to save two million dollars while trying to reassure us that there's nothing to be concerned about. Do you think we're all stupid????
877	2021-11-09 20:24:49	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes			
886	2021-11-09 19:32:11	Yes	B3Z1B7	Yes			
891	2021-11-09 19:10:01	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	
893	2021-11-09 19:01:00	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	No		
894	2021-11-09 17:54:43	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	Yes	No	The site is elevated which increases the risk of contaminated groundwater affecting residents' supply. It's too late once it becomes a problem. The recent issue in Iqaluit highlights how easily this can happen and go undetected and unaddressed. The history of Shelbourne water supply contamination also highlights this concern.
896	2021-11-09 18:21:25	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	
897	2021-11-09 18:06:35	No	B3Z1A7	Yes			
898	2021-11-09 17:38:11	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
899	2021-11-09 17:30:26	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
902	2021-11-09 16:58:34	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	No	It will absolutely affect our land water including polluting our lakes and streams we're going to see constant runoff from this landfill and no amount of pre-planning is going to stop it from happening all of your pre-planning for this project are unacceptable and not what we were promised just shameful
903	2021-11-09 16:14:02	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	No	Same as my previous comment
904	2021-11-04 18:05:37	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	Once again, a very one sided picture. What about discussing the "what ifs" of removing a sorting system to reduce the introduction of hazardous waste into the landfill system?
909	2021-11-09 16:32:47	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
910	2021-11-09 16:23:16	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
912	2021-11-09 16:08:32	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	
916	2021-11-09 15:49:15	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	No		
919	2021-11-09 15:28:47	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	Can't trust government
924	2021-11-09 14:51:54	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	No		
929	2021-11-09 14:29:10	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
930	2021-11-09 14:42:58	Yes	B3Z0B6	Yes			
931	2021-11-09 14:35:53	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	No	
933	2021-11-09 13:57:44	Yes	B3Z1A5	Yes	Yes	No	This pipe system cannot be guaranteed to not fall into disrepair and for leeching to occur in ground and in lakes surrounding the area
936	2021-11-09 13:09:39	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	I know people in the area have high Iron levels and are not able to drink there water. This is an issue for sure with Lakes in the area.
941	2021-11-09 13:15:03	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	Not a large enough survey zones
944	2021-11-09 13:17:56	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
946	2021-11-09 12:50:39	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
948	2021-11-09 12:30:38	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	The best measure is not removing the measures that already work.
950	2021-11-09 11:29:08	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	
954	2021-11-09 11:42:48	Yes	B3t1e7	Yes	Yes	No	There is not a 100% guarantee that I'll effects from ground water would not affect our community. That is my concern
956	2021-11-09 11:49:10	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	No		
957	2021-11-09 11:51:50	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	Yes	No	
958	2021-11-09 11:43:46	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes			
959	2021-11-04 22:16:03	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
961	2021-11-09 11:28:30	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	It has to go somewhere
962	2021-11-09 08:05:43	Yes	b3t1j3	Yes	Yes	No	Climate change is resulting in higher/prolonged rainfalls which will eventually overwhelm temporary holding ponds designed for high flows.
964	2021-11-09 11:24:08	Yes	B3T1H6	No			
965	2021-11-09 11:11:17	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	
966	2021-11-09 11:16:21	Yes	B3T1G1				
967	2021-11-09 11:05:37	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	No	
970	2021-11-09 10:50:59	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
973	2021-11-09 10:41:12	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	Shit runs downhill! It's going in no matter what you do.
975	2021-11-09 09:33:49	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	Yes	No	Regular monitoring is fine but what happens when we have a massive contamination???
978	2021-11-09 08:55:19	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	Yes	No	
985	2021-11-09 08:08:57	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	No		
987	2021-11-09 07:27:43	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	A promise was made the the community of Timberlea and now the city is trying to break it.
989	2021-11-09 07:30:09	Yes	B3t1c8	Yes			
990	2021-11-04 07:05:57	No	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	
991	2021-11-09 06:40:53	No	B3z1a2	Yes	Yes	No	
992	2021-11-09 06:15:07	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	
993	2021-11-09 05:59:40	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	No. Please provide the details on what an upgraded solution would be.
998	2021-11-09 04:34:13	No	B3Z1B5	Yes			
999	2021-11-08 23:44:20	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	No	We all know you are going to do it anyways and this survey is just to say you "consulted the public". So not even sure why we are waisting out time but no one in the community is supportive of it. Just a few government officials trying to balance a budget at the expense of the public. Next step is a class action lawsuit when these issues hit which will cost us you more than you save
1000	2021-11-08 22:51:36	Yes	B3z1b6	Yes	Yes	No	I don't have confidence in the sincerity of a biased report, written with the purpose of justifying deactivation of this part of the facility. The residents of this area were promised by the council of the day that front end sorting would be a permanent part of the Otter Lake landfill.
1002	2021-11-08 22:17:36	Yes	b3t1j9	Yes			
1003	2021-11-08 21:52:13	Yes	B3T1K8	Yes	Yes	No	
1004	2021-11-08 21:23:27	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	
1006	2021-11-08 21:03:07	Yes	B3T1h9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1008	2021-11-08 20:43:59	Yes	B3t1j6	Yes			
1010	2021-11-08 17:49:55	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	Yes	No	



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1011	2021-11-08 19:40:36	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1013	2021-11-08 19:54:19	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	No		
1015	2021-11-08 19:41:27	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	Too many opportunities for mistakes. My kids drink well water everyday 5km from otter lake
1023	2021-11-08 18:16:37	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	No	I don't trust you
1025	2021-11-08 17:53:19	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	No	Worry about toxic substances entering into the waste system, not being detected.
1027	2021-11-08 17:51:15	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes			
1030	2021-11-08 17:27:06	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	How many months until you change this procedure? Shot yourselves in the foot as you keep changing things you say are protection. Cell height, extending how long the dump will be here, etc.
1031	2021-11-08 17:39:32	Yes	B3Z1A7	Yes	Yes	No	
1032	2021-11-08 17:36:13	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	No		
1033	2021-11-08 17:33:51	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	No	I'm not sure that is enough given the proposed change
1037	2021-11-08 17:12:36	Yes	B3T1E6	Yes	Yes	No	
1038	2021-11-08 16:58:28	Yes	B3Z0R8	Yes	Yes	No	I'm not sure why we would be putting more waste in landfills than necessary. The FEP helps to prevent some recycling or compost waste from entering the landfill. Without this we would be adding additional strain on the systems already in place.
1040	2021-11-08 13:18:25	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes			
1043	2021-11-08 16:48:59	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	No		
1044	2021-11-08 16:56:44	Yes	b3Z0a1	Yes			
1047	2021-11-08 16:28:00	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	No	Deactivating the FEP/WSF will have no impact on protecting groundwater. HA! HA! HA!
1048	2021-11-08 16:16:45	Yes	B3t1J5	Yes	Yes	No	
1052	2021-11-08 15:41:38	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	No	Personally I don't believe the current approach is enough and so far we have been lucky.
1053	2021-11-08 15:40:09	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes			
1057	2021-11-08 15:04:19	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	
1059	2021-11-08 14:44:08	Yes	B3t1J1	Yes			
1062	2021-11-08 14:15:18	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes			
1068	2021-11-08 13:00:55	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	The run-off and groundwater in the area are going to be flooded with leachate. Monitoring it doesn't stop it.
1070	2021-11-08 12:36:46	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	
1072	2021-11-08 12:39:58	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	
1073	2021-11-08 12:21:31	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1074	2021-11-08 12:18:10	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	No		
1078	2021-11-08 11:46:27	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	Inadequate monitoring
1079	2021-11-08 09:58:17	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	Because of climate change the term "No impacts have been identified" becomes a child like exuberance. The only way to ensure leakage is not to have it there in the first place.
1081	2021-11-08 11:40:16	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	No		
1083	2021-11-08 11:40:47	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1084	2021-11-08 11:15:49	No	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes		
1085	2021-11-08 11:05:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes			
1086	2021-11-08 08:45:33	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
1087	2021-11-08 10:29:46	Yes	B3t1h4	Yes	Yes	No	I didn't think of this as an issue until now. What distance from the landfill is the water checked? Some areas are city but some are well
1091	2021-11-08 10:03:16	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes			
1099	2021-11-08 08:47:09	Yes	B3t1g7	No			

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1100	2021-11-08 08:54:54	No	B3Z1E1	Yes			
1106	2021-11-08 08:40:36	Yes	B3T0B1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1111	2021-11-08 08:30:29	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
1125	2021-11-08 06:56:53	Yes	b3t1h5	Yes	Yes	No	The by-products of solid waste deposited in a landfill has adverse effects on the surrounding environment and humans living closer to landfill sites. Sacrificing human living conditions, safety and the environment for money is WRONG.
1135	2021-11-07 21:03:45	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	Yes	No	
1136	2021-11-07 20:16:23	Yes	B3Z1B2	Yes	Yes	No	No reassurance provided that there will be adequate measurement / containment of leachate from entering the groundwater and soils.
1138	2021-11-07 21:04:04	Yes	b3t1g4				
1141	2021-11-07 20:16:43	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	No		
1146	2021-11-07 18:25:27	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	Just remember - putting not sorted garbage directly into the landfill in the disaster zone of Sackville resulted in a system that is still running at the Sackville site to this date after all these years. Removing the "unwanted" items through FEP/WSF works.
1148	2021-11-07 18:51:41	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	I don't trust HRM to be concerned about mine or Harriet's fields water quality
1150	2021-11-07 18:25:35	No	B3Z1A8	Yes	No		
1153	2021-11-07 17:54:03	Yes	B3Y1J8	Yes	Yes	No	
1154	2021-11-07 17:46:59	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes		
1156	2021-11-07 17:17:16	No	B3T1J8	Yes	No		
1162	2021-11-07 16:10:15	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	Yes	No	Monitoring is good -- but it finds the problem AFTER it is an issue. I have no faith in the prevention measures actually protecting the water systems in the long-term. If/when something goes wrong, the clean-up costs will be high, and the poisons in the groundwater could take generations to clean-up.
1164	2021-11-07 13:15:12	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	No		
1166	2021-11-07 14:31:05	No	b3t1j1	Yes	Yes	No	report does not indicate any increase of monitoring systems or related cost as a result of the FEP/WSF decommission.
1168	2021-11-07 15:09:03	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	
1171	2021-11-07 14:43:36	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes		
1177	2021-11-07 14:08:08	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes			
1179	2021-11-07 13:49:37	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	The system is sound, but can it accommodate the change in composition of the waste that the leachate flows through. I'm concerned that the leachate will be more toxic and require treatment that the treatment facility will not be able to provide.
1180	2021-11-07 14:05:52	No	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1186	2021-11-07 13:25:33	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1195	2021-11-07 11:05:26	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	potential to contaminate ground water
1196	2021-11-07 10:50:23	Yes	B3T1E7	Yes	No		
1204	2021-11-07 10:24:43	Yes	B3t1j6	Yes	Yes	No	
1207	2021-11-07 09:37:19	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	Yes	No	More needs to be done to protect our water supply.
1209	2021-11-07 09:00:42	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	Monitoring will definitely let's us know when this project fails and who collects this information and post the logs for all to see. We don't want the potential for garbage leaching into our water table. We want the garbage sent somewhere else.
1210	2021-11-07 09:18:16	Yes	b3t1j5	Yes			
1212	2021-11-07 08:47:48	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes			

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1213	2021-11-07 08:02:00	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	An increased bird and rodent populations, baiting program and blowing garbage will ALL negatively impact waterways.
1218	2021-11-07 08:03:03	Yes	B3t1c1	Yes	Yes	No	No changes should be made
1220	2021-11-07 07:02:14	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1226	2021-11-07 06:19:12	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1227	2021-11-07 06:26:01	Yes	B3t1e9	Yes	Yes	No	
1228	2021-11-07 05:49:15	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes			
1231	2021-11-03 16:47:38	Yes	B3Z1B3	Yes	Yes	No	
1234	2021-11-03 14:07:24	No	B3t0b1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1235	2021-11-06 22:54:07	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	
1237	2021-11-06 22:27:27	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	When you start taking shortcuts and cheaping out it makes it easier to do it again when the stakes are higher.
1238	2021-11-06 22:11:58	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	Yes	No	
1243	2021-11-06 20:34:03	Yes	B3T1g3	Yes	Yes	No	
1245	2021-11-06 20:38:08	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	No		
1247	2021-11-06 20:16:52	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1248	2021-11-06 20:23:50	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	Yes	No	
1249	2021-11-06 19:44:30	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1251	2021-11-06 19:16:43	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	
1255	2021-11-06 18:36:24	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	No	Im just not certain on this. Things don't always have the lifespan or as planned.
1256	2021-11-06 18:45:14	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes			
1257	2021-11-06 18:22:14	Yes	B3t1g7	Yes	No		
1262	2021-11-06 17:45:16	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	With the deactivation of the FEP/WSF, there will be more leachate runoff and more contamination
1264	2021-11-06 16:55:43	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes			
1265	2021-11-06 17:28:55	Yes	B3t1j7	Yes	No		
1266	2021-11-06 17:02:44	Yes	B3t1h4	Yes	Yes	No	
1267	2021-11-06 17:03:55	Yes	B3T1J8				
1269	2021-11-06 16:09:51	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	No		
1272	2021-11-06 16:10:29	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1277	2021-11-04 07:02:22	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1278	2021-11-04 12:01:56	No	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	No	
1283	2021-11-06 13:33:43	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
1284	2021-11-06 13:07:07	Yes	B3t1h9	No			
1286	2021-11-06 12:51:21	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	
1290	2021-11-06 11:43:02	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	No		
1291	2021-11-06 11:52:45	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1292	2021-11-06 11:38:55	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
1297	2021-11-06 11:07:59	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes			
1298	2021-11-06 09:29:17	No	B3Z1A4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1299	2021-11-06 09:27:10	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	No		
1303	2021-11-06 09:09:20	Yes	B3T1L2	Yes			
1304	2021-11-06 09:00:32	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	inadequate. this is porpaganda, not a survey
1305	2021-11-06 08:55:14	Yes	B3t1g9	Yes	Yes	No	Ground water is constantly under attack and we are not doing this for the environment

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1306	2021-11-06 08:29:50	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	Systems installed will fail, they always do over time. Nine Mile river is very close to sight
1307	2021-11-06 08:25:15	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1308	2021-11-06 07:40:06	Yes		Yes			
1311	2021-11-06 08:24:50	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1316	2021-11-05 21:45:07	Yes	B3T1J4	No			
1321	2021-11-06 06:58:26	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	Yes	No	I have concerns that the proposed deactivation will harm the bluff trail and ground water in the area.
1326	2021-11-06 05:43:37	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes			
1327	2021-11-06 05:33:17	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes			
1330	2021-11-05 23:03:05	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	No		
1331	2021-11-05 21:57:28	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	Yes	No	Again, the lack of use of the front end processor changes the original agreement. If things are working well, why risk the additional leachate causing problems in the area. Do birds from the overflow pond travel between it and lakes in the area with the potential of causing problems there?
1332	2021-11-05 22:30:16	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes			
1333	2021-11-05 21:49:28	Yes	B3t1c1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1334	2021-11-05 21:54:50	Yes	B3T1G3	No			
1335	2021-11-05 21:51:32	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1336	2021-11-05 21:33:28	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	
1337	2021-11-05 21:40:29	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1339	2021-11-05 21:05:42	No	B3T1H4	Yes			
1340	2021-11-05 20:50:25	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	I am very concerned about this issue as I do not want the quality of the water to be affected
1344	2021-11-05 20:04:54	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes			
1346	2021-11-05 19:28:58	Yes	B3Z4b8	Yes	Yes	No	Anytime you begin messing with safety protocols, our safety is at risk.
1347	2021-11-05 16:24:45	No	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	
1349	2021-11-05 18:58:14	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1352	2021-11-05 18:45:51	Yes	B3t1e9	Yes	No		
1357	2021-11-05 17:41:33	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1365	2021-11-05 17:06:31	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	Yes	No	Is water being tested at nearby 9 mile river and the lakes in the surrounding areas
1366	2021-11-05 17:14:34	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes			
1367	2021-11-05 16:33:44	Yes	B3t1k1	Yes	Yes	No	Like the rodents, you have no control over where this goes.
1372	2021-11-05 15:47:32	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	
1374	2021-11-05 15:53:33	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	No		
1377	2021-11-05 15:45:02	No	B3z1a1	Yes			
1379	2021-11-05 15:43:33	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes			
1380	2021-11-05 15:34:32	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1381	2021-11-05 15:39:24	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
1382	2021-11-05 15:28:06	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	We should be burning out garbage like they do in Sweden and use that energy.
1386	2021-11-05 14:46:49	Yes	B3t0a4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1387	2021-11-05 14:30:49	Yes	B3Z0R6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1389	2021-11-05 14:25:03	Yes	B3t1c8	Yes	Yes	No	



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1391	2021-11-05 13:29:03	Yes	b3t1j7	Yes	Yes	No	what is the predicted change in volume of leachate without the FEP/WSF process?
1392	2021-11-05 14:01:05	Yes	b3t1j4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1393	2021-11-05 13:48:50	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	No		
1397	2021-11-05 13:27:25	No	B3t1j1	Yes	Yes	No	The landfill is very close to a lake and many homes are relayant on deep wells. Spills and leaks can happen, and if undetected, contaminants can leach deep into the ground, contaminating groundwater, putting our wells at risk.
1398	2021-11-05 13:38:39	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes			
1399	2021-11-05 12:39:08	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	No	No	My concern is that the sorting and removal of hazardous materials prior to entering the landfill will be compromised in the proposed process. When the liners ultimately leak in the future, and leachate is detected in groundwater analytical, there will be a greater impact to water quality and remediation efforts will be complicated.
1400	2021-11-05 13:08:19	Yes	B3T1G9	Yes	Yes	No	What happens if there are illegal chemicals disposed of in the landfill? Will these affect containment issues of water or risks to folks handling during the process in the mitigation you describe above?
1403	2021-11-05 12:45:46	Yes	b3t2k4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1405	2021-11-05 11:53:21	Yes	B3t1g8	Yes	Yes	No	
1406	2021-11-05 11:32:38	Yes	b3t1h2	Yes	No		
1411	2021-11-05 10:47:19	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	No		
1413	2021-11-05 09:38:01	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1416	2021-11-04 11:40:18	No	B3Z1A2	Yes			
1417	2021-11-05 10:31:51	Yes		Yes			
1420	2021-11-05 09:52:16	No	B3Z1C1	Yes	Yes	No	
1421	2021-11-05 09:45:59	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	same as before
1422	2021-11-05 09:48:23	Yes	B3t1g4	Yes	Yes	No	
1425	2021-11-05 09:38:04	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes			
1429	2021-11-04 17:07:08	No	B3Z1A3	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1433	2021-11-05 07:26:02	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes			
1437	2021-11-05 06:56:17	Yes	B3t1n9	Yes			
1439	2021-11-05 05:54:48	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	I do not believe the above statements. You cannot control ground water.
1441	2021-11-05 06:12:23	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	No		
1442	2021-11-05 06:12:13	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
1448	2021-11-05 05:33:41	Yes	B3t1h9	No			
1450	2021-11-05 05:08:27	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes			
1451	2021-11-05 04:33:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	History of "we're sorry, we'll investigate and it won't happen again." Seriously, our water is our life, so don't mess with it.
1453	2021-11-05 00:32:01	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	Yes	No	You have built a dump in an area of lakes. Nobody wants to swim in Otter Lake and it connects to the Chain of lakes.
1457	2021-11-05 00:05:15	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	
1460	2021-11-04 22:39:01	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	No	
1461	2021-11-04 22:38:33	No	B3T1E5	Yes			
1467	2021-11-04 21:27:35	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	We've all seen the municipal and provincial governments "stringent" policies made to look dated and ineffective. Do better
1469	2021-11-04 21:04:09	No	B3Z4P8	Yes	No		
1470	2021-11-04 20:44:31	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1471	2021-11-04 19:01:28	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes			
1473	2021-11-04 20:44:19	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes			
1476	2021-11-04 18:53:37	Yes	b3t1h4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1477	2021-11-04 20:29:50	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
1478	2021-11-04 19:58:15	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	No		
1482	2021-11-04 19:55:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes		
1484	2021-11-04 19:39:29	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	No		
1486	2021-11-04 19:38:26	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes			
1488	2021-11-04 19:19:14	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	No	Deactivation not the agreement
1489	2021-11-03 16:47:23	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes			
1491	2021-11-04 19:03:04	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1495	2021-11-04 19:05:29	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes			
1497	2021-11-04 18:58:28	Yes	B3t1c6	Yes	Yes	No	
1499	2021-11-04 18:59:44	Yes	B3T1C6	No			
1501	2021-11-04 18:19:48	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1502	2021-11-04 18:39:01	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	No		
1504	2021-11-04 18:31:01	Yes	B3T1G5				
1506	2021-11-04 18:05:59	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	I live on a lake in Timberlea and the landfill will directly impact my quality of life of the landfill is deactivated
1507	2021-11-04 17:17:44	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	No		
1508	2021-11-04 17:53:34	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	
1509	2021-11-04 17:43:26	Yes	B3Z1B2	Yes	Yes	No	
1511	2021-11-04 17:35:31	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1512	2021-11-04 17:32:50	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	No		
1513	2021-11-04 17:12:24	Yes	B3t1g5	Yes	Yes	No	
1514	2021-11-04 17:10:56	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes			
1516	2021-11-04 16:49:10	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	This will make its way in to surrounding ground watee
1517	2021-11-04 16:42:28	Yes	B3t1g3	Yes	Yes	No	
1519	2021-11-04 15:32:44	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes	No		
1521	2021-11-04 16:09:33	No	B3z1n2	No			
1523	2021-11-04 16:02:08	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes			
1525	2021-11-04 16:02:40	Yes	B3t1j3	Yes			
1526	2021-11-04 15:45:33	Yes	b3z0b7	Yes	Yes	No	
1527	2021-11-04 15:42:38	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	No	once you contaminate the ground water there is no going back
1528	2021-11-04 15:50:58	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes			
1530	2021-11-04 12:00:25	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1533	2021-11-04 15:28:41	Yes	B3T1N7	No			
1534	2021-11-04 15:23:08	Yes	b3t1p1	Yes	Yes	No	do NOT deactivate the Otter Lake FEP/WSF
1540	2021-11-04 14:09:42	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1542	2021-11-04 14:13:21	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes		
1543	2021-11-04 14:20:17	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	No		
1546	2021-11-04 14:07:47	Yes	B3t1g5	Yes			
1548	2021-11-04 12:41:11	No	B3Z0C6	Yes	Yes	No	Deactivating FEP and WSF will increased the organic material, banned and unacceptable substance to the landfill. No to another sackville landfill
1549	2021-11-04 13:38:21	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes			
1550	2021-11-03 15:47:25	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes			

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1551	2021-11-04 12:47:17	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	The landfill should be closed by now. Bringing more unsorted garbage will not be a benefit to the groundwater.
1552	2021-11-04 13:19:48	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1553	2021-11-04 13:01:16	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1554	2021-11-04 13:19:04	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	
1555	2021-11-04 13:23:55	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes			
1558	2021-11-04 13:17:55	No	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1560	2021-11-04 12:48:26	Yes	b3t1h6	Yes	Yes	No	
1561	2021-11-04 12:45:07	No	b3z4m7	Yes			
1562	2021-11-04 12:45:14	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes			
1563	2021-11-04 12:19:45	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1565	2021-11-04 12:24:59	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	No		
1566	2021-11-04 12:10:16	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	No		
1567	2021-11-04 12:08:51	Yes	b3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	
1570	2021-11-04 11:57:25	Yes	B3t1e1	Yes			
1571	2021-11-04 11:52:08	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes	Yes	No	
1573	2021-11-04 11:42:15	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	No		
1574	2021-11-04 11:46:39	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes			
1575	2021-11-04 11:36:59	Yes	B3T1G2	Yes	Yes	No	
1576	2021-11-04 11:40:29	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
1578	2021-11-04 11:27:13	Yes	B3t1g6	Yes	Yes	No	I do not want the FEP/WSF deactivated
1579	2021-11-04 11:35:28	No	B3t1n8	Yes			
1580	2021-11-04 11:30:27	Yes	b3z1a2				
1586	2021-11-04 10:48:09	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1587	2021-11-04 10:41:17	Yes	B3t1j3	Yes	Yes	No	
1588	2021-11-04 08:59:10	Yes	B3t1h7	Yes	No		
1591	2021-11-04 09:07:25	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	There will be an increase in what is put into the ground due to the lack of sorting, both on site, and by households. The ground water will be impacted - period!
1592	2021-11-04 10:24:08	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes			
1593	2021-11-04 10:18:32	Yes	b3t1j7	Yes	Yes	No	
1597	2021-11-04 09:39:27	No	B3Z1B8	Yes			
1599	2021-11-04 10:04:29	Yes	B3T1L2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1602	2021-11-04 10:00:48	Yes	b3t1h1	Yes			
1604	2021-11-04 09:30:59	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	Concerned that it's not enough to stop the drainage
1606	2021-11-04 09:26:11	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	
1607	2021-11-04 09:26:37	Yes	B3z1a1	Yes	Yes	No	I would like to see high levels of waste sorting occur in order to ease my concerns.
1608	2021-11-04 09:22:40	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	No		
1610	2021-11-04 09:28:16	Yes	B3T1P1	No			
1611	2021-11-04 09:18:14	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	No		
1612	2021-11-04 09:11:23	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	
1614	2021-11-04 09:13:51	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	
1615	2021-11-04 09:18:19	Yes	b3t1e5	Yes	Yes	No	
1617	2021-11-04 09:00:39	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1618	2021-11-04 09:11:00	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	No		
1619	2021-11-04 09:06:19	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	
1625	2021-11-04 08:59:55	No	B3z4b8	Yes			
1627	2021-11-04 08:54:25	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1628	2021-11-04 08:55:29	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes			

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1630	2021-11-04 08:37:38	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	
1632	2021-11-04 08:40:01	Yes	B3t0a6	Yes	No		
1633	2021-11-04 08:42:48	Yes	B3t1k1	Yes			
1635	2021-11-04 08:02:36	No	B3Z4P2	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1640	2021-11-04 08:24:38	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	
1643	2021-11-04 08:22:13	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes			
1645	2021-11-04 08:12:52	No	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	N
1646	2021-11-04 08:04:35	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	No	
1647	2021-11-04 08:04:52	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	
1649	2021-11-04 08:03:47	Yes	B3t1n7	No			
1653	2021-11-04 07:09:27	No	B3Z0B7	Yes	Yes	No	can't afford to get this wrong
1654	2021-11-04 07:12:12	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
1657	2021-11-04 06:58:21	Yes	B3T1G2	Yes			
1661	2021-11-04 05:54:23	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1662	2021-11-04 06:16:57	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Still concerned with the quality of groundwater no matter what your promise is.
1663	2021-11-04 06:25:23	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes	No		
1665	2021-11-04 05:59:57	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1666	2021-11-04 06:08:58	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	
1669	2021-11-04 05:35:32	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	Not foolproof
1673	2021-11-04 04:03:06	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1674	2021-11-03 23:29:36	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	No		
1676	2021-11-03 23:06:06	Yes	B3t1j1	Yes	Yes	No	Your not proposing to make any changes to the means of managing the run off, only that the current process will stay the same. How is it possible that the run off would not be affected by an increased volume of un segregated waste and thus not require additional resources?
1678	2021-11-03 22:44:00	Yes	B3t0a6	Yes	No		
1685	2021-11-03 22:11:38	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	No		
1686	2021-11-03 22:03:40	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	No	More micro plastics
1687	2021-11-03 21:45:00	Yes	B3T1k1	Yes	Yes	No	There are minimal impacts now. Again the system is working fine now why change it.
1688	2021-11-03 21:28:30	No	B3z0e3	Yes	No	No	te to enter the landfill. You can never put the trust into the hands of the people that we will sort our trash properly... we never have, and we never will. The FEB/WSP is the filter the the massive laziness of our population in regards to trash.
1690	2021-11-03 21:54:38	Yes	B3t1e1	Yes	Yes	No	
1698	2021-11-03 21:19:14	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	
1700	2021-11-03 21:18:46	Yes	B3z0b6	Yes			
1701	2021-11-03 21:09:16	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	If you are no longer monitoring what is going in the landfill you cannot guarantee continued protection of ground water.
1702	2021-11-03 21:06:16	No	B3Z4B8	Yes			Don't know enough about it to comment
1704	2021-11-03 20:34:32	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	You're spending money to fix a system that isn't broken.
1705	2021-11-03 21:00:21	Yes	B3z0e2	Yes			
1707	2021-11-03 20:42:09	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes			
1708	2021-11-03 20:45:00	No	B3T1h1	Yes	No		
1709	2021-11-03 20:44:31	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes			
1711	2021-11-03 20:35:45	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes			
1713	2021-11-03 20:37:12	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes	Yes	No	



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1714	2021-11-03 20:17:03	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	No		
1717	2021-11-03 20:30:25	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes			
1719	2021-11-03 20:22:33	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	
1723	2021-11-03 20:09:36	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	No	
1724	2021-11-03 19:56:33	Yes	B3T2C7	Yes	Yes	No	I do not trust that regular testing is in fact implemented as the water in my home has occasionally been yellow, brown, and has had an odor already.
1725	2021-11-03 20:05:26	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	
1727	2021-11-03 19:19:43	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes	No	Already dealing with smelly water (no an expert the landfill may not be the cause)
1729	2021-11-03 19:34:09	No	B3z0b7	Yes			
1730	2021-11-03 19:28:16	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	
1733	2021-11-03 19:18:41	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	
1736	2021-11-03 18:59:16	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	No	
1737	2021-11-03 19:17:06	Yes	B3t0b1	Yes			
1739	2021-11-03 19:06:12	Yes	B3t1c6	Yes	Yes	No	
1743	2021-11-03 18:49:09	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes	No	
1744	2021-11-03 18:57:02	Yes	B3t1n5	Yes	Yes	No	
1745	2021-11-03 19:06:36	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes			
1746	2021-11-03 18:47:19	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	I feel that the parameters keep changing so that the numbers always look good. In other words, I don't trust the reporting
1748	2021-11-03 18:51:42	Yes	B3T1H5	Yes			
1749	2021-11-03 18:54:05	Yes	B3T1e2	Yes	Yes	No	
1750	2021-11-03 18:41:25	Yes	B3t1n9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1751	2021-11-03 18:41:30	Yes	B3t2c7	Yes	Yes	No	
1755	2021-11-03 18:21:33	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	
1756	2021-11-03 18:25:28	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1758	2021-11-03 18:01:26	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1760	2021-11-03 18:00:28	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1762	2021-11-03 18:03:46	No	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1768	2021-11-03 17:18:17	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes	No	
1769	2021-11-03 17:31:01	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	Always going to be a concern
1770	2021-11-03 17:30:19	Yes	B3t1g2	Yes	Yes	No	Landfill leaches into the ground, which in turn reaches our waterways.
1771	2021-11-03 17:23:02	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	No		
1773	2021-11-03 17:25:28	Yes	b3t1g8	Yes	Yes	No	
1777	2021-11-03 17:02:51	Yes	B3T1G3	No			
1780	2021-11-03 16:41:40	No	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	No	Deactivation will not solve this!
1784	2021-11-03 16:30:37	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	No	Blah blah
1787	2021-11-03 16:24:36	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1790	2021-11-03 15:18:48	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	Monitoring to validate effectiveness does not prevent impact. If it is found to be ineffective, it's already in the ground. Gross.
1791	2021-11-03 15:48:28	Yes	b3t1c6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1792	2021-11-03 15:54:37	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes			
1794	2021-11-03 15:02:31	Yes	B3T1E6	Yes	Yes	No	Hoseholds will not and cannot separate garbage to the level needed to prevent dangerous leaching into groundwater.
1796	2021-11-03 15:29:10	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	No		
1798	2021-11-03 15:24:18	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes			
1799	2021-11-03 15:11:55	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes		No	The groundwater that currently flows into our lakes rivers and our drinking water has enough chemicals

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Groundwater Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to groundwater quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1802	2021-11-03 15:06:44	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	
1807	2021-11-03 14:48:59	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	
1808	2021-11-03 14:48:41	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	No		
1809	2021-11-03 14:43:40	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	No		
1813	2021-11-03 14:09:13	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	I am opposed to ending the FEP/WSF.
1815	2021-11-03 14:25:59	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1819	2021-11-03 14:10:05	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	No		
1820	2021-11-03 13:05:14	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	There has been proposals to increase the facility's cell height dramatically. This would undermine the existing measures. I have a marked distrust of HRM's future intentions pertaining this site, which I believe will have it's life overly extended.
1821	2021-11-03 13:05:04	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Only a matter of time before there is leakage. I don't trust HRM staff on this...
1822	2021-11-03 12:16:49	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	No	
1823	2021-11-03 13:04:31	Yes	B3Z4M2	Yes	No		
1824	2021-11-03 12:42:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
1825	2021-11-03 12:23:10	Yes	B3t1e3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1826	2021-11-03 12:09:07	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	No		
1827	2021-11-03 12:23:59	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	Yes	No	
1828	2021-11-03 12:00:58	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	I'm not interested in "mitigation". HRM promised to maintain the waste facility at a very high level, and I expect HRM to keep its promise to the community. We will not need "mitigation" if HRM does not downgrade the quality level with which it has been running the facility. I DO NOT AGREE WITH THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS.
1829	2021-11-03 10:32:33	No	B3Z1B1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1830	2021-11-03 10:33:12	No	B3Z0C6	Yes	Yes	No	Organics create leachate and should not be buried in landfill
1831	2021-11-03 11:02:46	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1832	2021-11-03 10:55:01	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes			
1833	2021-11-03 09:50:24	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1834	2021-11-02 14:13:03	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
5	2021-12-04 13:27:05	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes			
6	2021-12-04 12:39:48	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	
8	2021-12-04 07:07:42	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	Yes	No	
9	2021-12-04 06:33:44	Yes	B3t1g9	Yes			
10	2021-12-04 02:33:16	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
11	2021-12-03 21:37:38	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
19	2021-12-03 20:20:59	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	surface water can contaminated with extra rain etc. I'm not happy with what we have now so don't reduce safety. I already drink too much bleachy water
20	2021-12-03 19:08:21	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	With climate change and more severe storms and protential damage the surface water quality will always be a risk near a landfill site.
21	2021-12-03 19:54:38	Yes	B3Z4N4	Yes	No		
22	2021-11-06 14:53:34	No	B3Z4N4	Yes	No		
31	2021-12-03 12:59:41	No	B3z4p7	Yes	Yes	No	Just stop the expansion. Ugh!
32	2021-12-03 12:35:11	No	B3Z1A7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
33	2021-11-13 15:08:28	Yes	B3Z0A2	Yes	No		
34	2021-12-03 11:45:55	Yes	B3Z0E3	Yes	Yes	No	
39	2021-12-03 11:03:31	No	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	Not enough
43	2021-12-03 10:19:56	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes			
45	2021-12-03 09:58:37	Yes	B3Z4M2	Yes			
49	2021-11-04 09:54:17	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	No		
60	2021-12-02 22:25:31	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
61	2021-12-02 20:55:00	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
62	2021-12-02 19:31:27	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
65	2021-11-03 17:45:52	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes			
69	2021-12-02 08:44:35	Yes	b3t1g1	Yes	Yes	Yes	for the reason stated in the previous answer
74	2021-12-01 17:41:09	No	B3T1P1	Yes			
76	2021-12-01 15:43:35	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	
80	2021-12-01 07:00:50	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes	Yes	No	If it not broke don't fix it. I have concerns the changes wii impact the water.
82	2021-11-30 20:25:42	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	No	
88	2021-11-30 18:37:55	No	B3Z4P7	Yes	Yes	No	Given the increased amount of waste material under the new process, what is the data to suggest that current practice will be sufficient?
90	2021-11-30 16:46:22	Yes	B3Z1C1	Yes			
99	2021-11-29 21:36:15	Yes		Yes			
101	2021-11-29 19:39:20	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes			
107	2021-11-29 11:08:59	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	Yes	No	FEP/WSF will protect our water system and should remain.
108	2021-11-29 10:32:18	Yes	B3t1g6	Yes	No		
109	2021-11-29 09:58:39	Yes	B3t1g7	Yes			
110	2021-11-29 09:21:21	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	No		
115	2021-11-28 11:44:47	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	No	I do not believe, or have confidence in, the populace to properly care and separate their individual waste streams. The front end processor provides an extra inspection point to observe potential infractions and mitigate illegal or inappropriate dumping of wastes in the landfill. Once the front end processor is removed from service, it is foolish to believe it will ever be reinstated.
117	2021-11-28 10:06:33	No	B3z1c2	Yes	No		
119	2021-11-28 06:53:55	No	B3Z0C6	Yes	Yes	No	Same as the previous question
120	2021-11-27 21:32:29	Yes	b3z0a1	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
121	2021-11-27 18:08:27	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	Yes	No	
130	2021-11-27 06:08:42	No	B3T1H6	Yes			
131	2021-11-26 18:29:35	Yes		Yes			
132	2021-11-26 15:49:04	No	B3Z1E1	Yes	No		
156	2021-11-25 17:57:02	Yes		Yes			
157	2021-11-25 17:25:24	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	What does regular monitoring mean once a month everyday? I suspect as little as possible.
161	2021-11-25 14:32:29	No	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	I don't trust it.
168	2021-11-25 11:07:39	Yes	B3T1E7	Yes	No		
169	2021-11-25 11:05:59	Yes	B3Z1N4	Yes	Yes	No	n
170	2021-11-25 10:08:36	Yes	b3t1h1	Yes	Yes	No	I has do degrade surface run off... you're adding more garbage.
172	2021-11-25 09:54:02	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	The Dillon report would be more credible if it provided actual information. I understand that erosion is being monitored. But how effective are current control measures?
181	2021-11-25 07:26:32	Yes	B3t1n5	Yes	Yes	No	We should not forget about all of these little storm events we have been seeing frequently!
185	2021-11-25 07:18:48	Yes	B3t0a6	Yes	Yes	No	Broken promises
187	2021-11-25 06:42:33	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	
192	2021-11-25 05:08:05	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes	Yes	No	
198	2021-11-24 12:21:32	Yes	B3T1L2	Yes	Yes	No	Without the added protection of the FEP/WSF these mitigation measures are no guarantee. Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill. Keep the FEP/WSF active.
201	2021-11-24 11:54:28	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/WSF active.
203	2021-11-24 09:04:21	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	No		
206	2021-11-14 07:19:32	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	Different days will yield different results and samples can be taken on days that will give favourable results only.
210	2021-11-15 19:26:29	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	You don't know because it hasn't happened yet. This is just speculation.
211	2021-11-23 19:29:41	Yes	B3z4b8	Yes			
216	2021-11-23 15:55:50	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/WSF active
217	2021-11-23 15:20:26	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes		
218	2021-11-23 15:08:55	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/WSF active.
219	2021-11-03 14:41:21	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	No		
221	2021-11-23 13:47:47	Yes	B3T1N6	No			
224	2021-11-23 12:32:20	No	B3T1H8	Yes			
236	2021-11-22 18:40:08	Yes	B3t1k1	Yes	Yes	No	
237	2021-11-22 18:02:45	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	Our lakes are too precious to risk, period.
239	2021-11-22 16:06:06	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	Otter Lake has in international reputation for its waste management. To date, there have been no issues with run-off etc but why tamper with a successful system?
241	2021-11-22 15:52:47	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes			
245	2021-11-22 15:00:25	Yes	B3t1l2	Yes			
246	2021-11-22 14:31:14	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	No		
252	2021-11-22 10:29:13	Yes	b3z1b6	Yes	Yes	No	Has a 3rd party study been completed?
256	2021-11-22 08:51:00	Yes	B3T1E1	Yes			
261	2021-11-21 21:23:53	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
262	2021-11-21 19:24:14	Yes	B3T1K8	Yes	Yes	Yes	See answer to Question 26



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
271	2021-11-21 07:36:12	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
273	2021-11-21 06:17:22	Yes					
274	2021-11-21 05:29:13	Yes	B3z0e3	Yes			
280	2021-11-20 15:12:21	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	No		
282	2021-11-20 13:50:38	No	B3t1e9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
283	2021-11-20 13:45:48	Yes	b3z1b5	Yes	Yes	Yes	As long as it is carried out and any problems are reported and addressed immediately.
286	2021-11-20 06:33:59	Yes	B3t1j9	Yes	Yes	No	How much contamination is released into Nine mile river? Explain the procedure and thresholds/limitations involved.
290	2021-11-19 20:39:47	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	No		
291	2021-11-19 20:19:27	No	B3Z4M8	Yes			
294	2021-11-19 18:47:39	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	Yes	No	
295	2021-11-05 07:00:55	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes			
296	2021-11-19 18:11:41	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	
298	2021-11-19 17:29:57	No	B3Z1A1	Yes			
299	2021-11-19 17:18:58	Yes	B3t1k8	Yes	Yes	No	
303	2021-11-19 13:43:02	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	Yes	No	Seeing fish population feminism over the years since this site has been opened
305	2021-11-18 08:56:37	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	
308	2021-11-19 13:17:59	No	b3z1a1	Yes	No		
311	2021-11-19 12:09:06	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	I disagree
318	2021-11-19 10:48:40	Yes	B3Z0B9	Yes			
319	2021-11-19 09:50:39	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
320	2021-11-04 10:08:10	Yes	B3t1h7	Yes	Yes	No	
321	2021-11-19 10:09:52	No	B3Z1A1	Yes			
322	2021-11-19 09:54:14	Yes	B3Z0C6	Yes	No		
323	2021-11-19 09:53:08	Yes	B3t1g6	Yes	Yes	No	Again lack of trust
324	2021-11-05 19:00:42	No	B3z1a4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
325	2021-11-19 09:42:37	Yes	b3t1j3				
326	2021-11-19 09:37:22	Yes	B3t1c1	Yes	Yes	No	
327	2021-11-19 09:32:40	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	Yes	No	The FEP & WSF were critical components of the contract the communities accepted. The tactics used by HRM in attempt to remove the FEP & WSF are abhorrent and not in good faith. The format of this "survey" is a disgusting reminder of the lengths policy makers will take.
333	2021-11-19 08:29:03	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	I am still in support of sorting out organic material at the front end of the landfill
334	2021-11-19 08:01:46	Yes	b3t1c1	Yes			
335	2021-11-19 07:38:52	No	B3z1a3	Yes	Yes	No	Increased organic matter in the landfill will cause an increase in surface water contamination surface
337	2021-11-18 19:34:24	Yes	B3T1c1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
338	2021-11-18 19:12:19	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
339	2021-11-18 15:25:16	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes			
341	2021-11-18 13:22:55	Yes	B3Z1A4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
345	2021-11-18 07:07:28	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	No		
346	2021-11-18 06:20:03	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	No		
347	2021-11-18 03:50:21	No	B3z0e3	Yes	Yes	No	Organic Organic waste should never be buried in a landfill. The FEP/WSF should always be operated at our landfill.
355	2021-11-17 18:26:33	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes			
357	2021-11-13 22:48:08	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
360	2021-11-17 16:39:08	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	No		
363	2021-11-17 15:28:28	Yes	B3T2K1	Yes			
365	2021-11-16 12:57:50	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	I don't trust the intent of this survey. It is plausible that the present approach to protecting surface water is affective but if the proposed changes are made all bets are off.
367	2021-11-17 12:59:17	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	No		
370	2021-11-17 12:04:06	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste does not belong in a landfill
371	2021-11-17 11:14:48	No	B3Z1C2	Yes	No		
376	2021-11-17 05:51:40	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	Same answer as prev.
377	2021-11-17 05:55:25	No	b3t1e1	Yes	Yes	No	
383	2021-11-16 20:49:29	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	No	
385	2021-11-16 20:37:49	No	B3z4e9	Yes	No		
386	2021-11-04 21:17:46	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
390	2021-11-16 19:52:37	No	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes		
393	2021-11-16 15:24:34	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
399	2021-11-16 14:36:36	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes			
400	2021-11-16 14:22:06	Yes	B3Z1A2	Yes			
401	2021-11-16 13:51:53	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes			
406	2021-11-15 16:24:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	
408	2021-11-12 11:37:48	Yes	B3z4n4	Yes			
414	2021-11-16 10:55:27	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	changes to the existing procedures put all of this at risk
415	2021-11-09 10:20:20	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	I've lived here 10 years. We didn't agree to a dump. We've been lied to and are being manipulated to accept this.
416	2021-11-16 09:46:15	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	Yes	No	this shouldn't event be a concern.
417	2021-11-16 09:33:37	Yes	B3z1b5	Yes			
424	2021-11-16 07:21:05	Yes	B3T1c7	Yes	Yes	No	
426	2021-11-16 06:54:36	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
430	2021-11-16 00:04:52	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	Why remove a system that removes inappropriate item that may contribute towards possible contamination
431	2021-11-15 23:20:55	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes			
434	2021-11-09 13:32:39	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
435	2021-11-15 21:26:49	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	Yes	No	
437	2021-11-15 21:17:27	Yes	B3z1b6	Yes			
438	2021-11-15 20:50:10	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes			
440	2021-11-15 20:07:07	Yes	B3z1b5	Yes	Yes	No	I am not at all convinced!
441	2021-11-15 19:26:51	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	It will be to late once the water being tested is dangerous.
446	2021-11-15 19:21:29	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes			
450	2021-11-15 19:12:13	No	B3Z1B6	Yes			
452	2021-11-15 18:47:18	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	Elimination of waste through intensive decomposition is a far better approach to mitigate or eliminate possible water contamination.
455	2021-11-15 17:56:20	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	Yes	No	
458	2021-11-15 16:55:07	Yes	B3t1k7				
461	2021-11-15 15:55:27	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	Yes	No	
462	2021-11-15 15:50:42	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	No	
464	2021-11-15 15:25:06	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	Employees will be busy with new duties of covering the waste at a higher frequency. I fear these less frequent tasks may fall behind.
465	2021-11-15 15:35:49	Yes		Yes			
466	2021-11-15 15:32:08	No	B3Z0P7	Yes			

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
471	2021-11-15 12:25:46	No	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	Again, you are saying you're changing none of your current practices and yet putting more untreated waste into the ground. I remain skeptical that reducing quality of waste processing while changing nothing else will have the positive outcomes you anticipate.
473	2021-11-15 12:31:09	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	No	
480	2021-11-15 10:39:20	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	
481	2021-11-15 10:56:31	Yes	B3T1n7	Yes	Yes	No	
484	2021-11-04 11:52:49	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	No		
485	2021-11-15 10:05:04	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
486	2021-11-15 08:43:10	No	B3T1J1	Yes	No		
489	2021-11-15 09:21:03	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes			
495	2021-11-15 06:32:53	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes			
500	2021-11-15 05:27:21	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	No		
506	2021-11-14 21:35:47	No	B3Z1A1	Yes			
516	2021-11-14 17:12:19	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	No		
528	2021-11-14 12:38:56	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	With removal of the front end processor there will be hazardous wastes and improper articles being disposed of in the landfill
536	2021-11-14 10:25:11	Yes	B3Z0b7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
546	2021-11-14 07:10:17	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	No	Same song and dance. Build it somewhere else and tell them how good it is!
547	2021-11-14 06:57:28	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	As previously stated
559	2021-11-13 23:27:04	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	See previous comment
560	2021-11-13 23:19:28	No	B3Z4P2	Yes	No		
564	2021-11-13 20:40:52	No	B3Z0R3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
566	2021-11-13 20:39:22	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	
567	2021-11-13 20:30:54	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes			
571	2021-11-13 17:40:32	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	Broken Promise to the community
572	2021-11-13 18:00:19	Yes	B3Z1e1	Yes			
574	2021-11-13 17:18:43	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	We have to protect the many lakes in this area
575	2021-11-13 16:53:34	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	Yes	No	A dump with decompression changes everything and with an increase of animales and birds, it will end up in our water ways too and ultimately effects our Wells.
583	2021-11-04 08:58:52	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	
593	2021-11-13 11:16:36	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
597	2021-11-13 11:05:13	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
600	2021-11-13 10:00:56	Yes	B3Z1a9	Yes	Yes	No	
604	2021-11-13 09:00:42	Yes	B3t1j7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
606	2021-11-13 08:52:45	Yes	B3Z1a2	Yes	Yes	No	
610	2021-11-13 08:24:03	Yes	B3Z0R8	Yes	Yes	No	
611	2021-11-13 08:38:30	Yes	B3t1h8	No			
612	2021-11-04 16:57:56	Yes	b3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	way too close to water (300m). mistakes happen, mechanical breakdown, deterioration, ever increasing larger storms or even the 50 year storm. I live on the river system and have seen first hand when these things happen , and usually the first to report, when the sewage treatment plant fails. when these things happen it enters the water and end up in the ocean just a few kilometers away.
626	2021-11-13 04:20:39	No	B3Z1b5	Yes			
627	2021-11-13 00:16:22	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	Bs lies
629	2021-11-12 22:56:37	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
631	2021-11-12 22:01:33	No	B3Z4P2	Yes	No		
633	2021-11-12 21:35:21	Yes	B3T1h8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
634	2021-11-12 21:34:14	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
643	2021-11-12 19:50:07	No	B3Z4N8	Yes	Yes	No	
646	2021-11-12 19:10:50	Yes	B3Z1A4	Yes	No		
652	2021-11-12 17:55:29	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes		
656	2021-11-12 18:03:42	Yes	B3t0a5	Yes	No		
657	2021-11-12 17:30:49	Yes	B3Z1P6	Yes			
659	2021-11-09 20:27:04	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	
667	2021-11-06 19:19:20	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	No		
670	2021-11-05 10:25:41	Yes	B3t0b1	Yes	Yes	No	
671	2021-11-12 14:29:04	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
672	2021-11-12 14:21:08	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	Yes	No	
674	2021-11-12 13:27:53	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
676	2021-11-12 13:29:39	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	No		
680	2021-11-12 13:13:46	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes			
681	2021-11-12 13:01:10	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	
682	2021-11-12 12:51:55	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes			
683	2021-11-12 12:22:17	Yes	B3z1a4	Yes	No		
684	2021-11-12 12:30:01	Yes	B3t1j9	Yes			
686	2021-11-12 11:39:54	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	No		
691	2021-11-12 11:31:45	No	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	No	
694	2021-11-12 11:33:06	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes			
697	2021-11-12 10:51:31	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	Same answer for surface water as for ground water.
700	2021-11-12 10:32:00	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	
703	2021-11-12 09:55:11	No	B3z0r5	Yes	Yes	No	To many variables.
705	2021-11-12 09:39:46	Yes	B3z1a3	Yes			
706	2021-11-08 17:16:47	No	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	Again test results please.
710	2021-11-12 09:30:26	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	
711	2021-11-12 09:39:05	No	B3z1a1	Yes	Yes		
714	2021-11-12 09:35:55	Yes	B3t1e5	Yes			
716	2021-11-12 09:31:18	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	
718	2021-11-12 08:56:42	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	I don't like the use of the word "proposed ". Lots of things are proposed and sound great and then that proposed idea quietly gets scraped without thought or care to the people it directly impacts the most
722	2021-11-12 08:13:27	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	The residents of the surrounding communities agreed to the placement of Otter Lake with the understanding that the FEP and WSF would be active throughout the lifespan of the facility. This move by the city is in blatant disregard of the original agreement, and while maybe not in violation of the specific provisions, is made clearly with disregard to the spirit of the agreement.
724	2021-11-12 07:53:21	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	No	Again, reducing the sorting of materials will impact the effect of this facility on the environment and communities
727	2021-11-12 08:13:51	Yes	B3Z4M9	Yes	No		
729	2021-11-12 08:16:19	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	
731	2021-11-12 07:35:00	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	No	
734	2021-11-11 16:39:38	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes			
737	2021-11-11 18:38:28	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	No		



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
738	2021-11-11 18:30:56	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	No		
740	2021-11-11 18:09:09	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	No	Increased trash that is unsorted will cause issues.
742	2021-11-11 17:24:51	No	b3t1h5	Yes	No		
744	2021-11-11 16:28:40	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	
750	2021-11-11 12:46:39	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	No		
754	2021-11-11 11:54:48	Yes	B3T2J9	Yes	No		
755	2021-11-11 12:20:24	Yes	B3Z1A6	Yes			
756	2021-11-11 12:04:58	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	
758	2021-11-11 11:30:44	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	
764	2021-11-11 09:46:30	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	A landfill is a landfill water will always create runoff usually always downhill to nine mile river for instance
765	2021-11-11 09:36:19	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	Yes	No	Your methods of ground water and surface water seem appropriate and enough. Regular monitoring is crucial, but my concern is trust in government at a time when I little to no trust. All I ask is that the waste water testing results be openly and transparently posted to your website so that the public can trust the process more.
766	2021-11-11 09:41:01	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes	Yes	No	
786	2021-11-10 20:32:28	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes			
787	2021-11-11 03:44:19	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
791	2021-11-10 20:35:01	No	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	Your word means nothing Do not break the contract.
792	2021-11-10 20:07:47	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
793	2021-11-07 06:48:23	Yes	B3Z4P7	Yes	Yes	No	How often is water testing completed on water runoff and how often is groundwater tested?
794	2021-11-10 19:48:51	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
796	2021-11-10 19:35:45	No	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
797	2021-11-10 19:02:17	Yes	B3t1j6	Yes	Yes	No	More info
802	2021-11-10 18:11:54	Yes	B3Z4P7	Yes			
803	2021-11-10 18:22:40	Yes	B3Z1A1	Yes			
811	2021-11-10 14:33:03	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	With additional waste comes with additional landfill cap resulting in additional runoff. Are the current measures able to accommodate the additional runoff?
813	2021-11-10 15:30:00	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	No		
821	2021-11-10 14:05:36	Yes	B3t2c7	Yes	Yes		
822	2021-11-10 13:59:12	Yes	B3t1g3	Yes			
824	2021-11-10 13:30:08	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes			
825	2021-11-10 12:23:51	No	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	No	I have a septic system that is used for household waste, it treats it appropriately. If I were to dump heating oil down my septic system I would be required to do a massive cleanup. Different waste requires different disposal measures. It is not ok to let toxic waste into our landfill. The current system won't appropriately handle it.
831	2021-11-03 20:37:26	Yes	B3z0b7	Yes	No		
842	2021-11-10 09:03:51	Yes	b3t1c8	Yes	No		
845	2021-11-10 08:36:21	No	B3T1K1	No			
846	2021-11-10 08:25:15	Yes	B3T0B1	Yes	Yes	No	
854	2021-11-04 08:06:12	Yes	B3Z1B8	Yes	No		
855	2021-11-10 07:20:17	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
859	2021-11-10 06:48:08	Yes	B3T1H6	No			
860	2021-11-10 06:26:28	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	No		
864	2021-11-10 06:00:53	Yes	B3t1e6	Yes	No		
865	2021-11-10 05:00:14	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
866	2021-11-10 04:46:48	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
867	2021-11-09 15:22:11	Yes	B3t1n9	Yes	No		
868	2021-11-09 22:33:05	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	
869	2021-11-04 15:25:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste should not be buried in the landfill". Run-off will be a most serious problem without all of the current systems in place or improved.
871	2021-11-09 21:27:52	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	
872	2021-11-09 21:14:13	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes			
873	2021-11-09 20:48:16	Yes	B3z1b5	Yes		No	
874	2021-11-09 21:05:55	Yes	B3Z4L5	Yes			
875	2021-11-09 20:02:17	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	No	I simply don't believe that all these wonderful claims. YOU WANT TO REMOVE THE ONE THING THAT MADE THIS LANDFILL UNIQUE, SAFE AND ADMIRERD THROUGHOUT THE WORLD.
877	2021-11-09 20:24:49	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes			
886	2021-11-09 19:32:11	Yes	B3Z1B7	Yes			
891	2021-11-09 19:10:01	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	No		
893	2021-11-09 19:01:00	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	No		
894	2021-11-09 17:54:43	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	Yes	No	Monitoring is not enough. Prevention is key.
896	2021-11-09 18:21:25	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	No		
897	2021-11-09 18:06:35	No	B3Z1A7	Yes			
898	2021-11-09 17:38:11	Yes	b3t1g7	Yes	Yes	No	while the statement does address the inspection process, it does not address what would take place if any of these things fail, other than to say repaired as soon as possible. A more definite time frame and process is needed.
899	2021-11-09 17:30:26	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	No		
902	2021-11-09 16:58:34	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	No	This is going to affect the environment no matter what there is going to be the pollution to our lakes and our streams and once it happened it's too late there will be no stopping it an hrm's not going to fix it as once again they don't follow through with their promises so every plan for this whole process it's just a waste of time unacceptable we were promised no landfill
903	2021-11-09 16:14:02	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	No	Same as my previous comment
904	2021-11-04 18:05:37	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	It's not the monitoring systems in place that I doubt, it's what happens in the event these safeguards don't work as planned. Fish kills in nine Mile?
909	2021-11-09 16:32:47	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	No		
910	2021-11-09 16:23:16	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
912	2021-11-09 16:08:32	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	
916	2021-11-09 15:49:15	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	No		
919	2021-11-09 15:28:47	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	Same as before, can't trust government
924	2021-11-09 14:51:54	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	No		
929	2021-11-09 14:29:10	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
930	2021-11-09 14:42:58	Yes	B3Z0B6	Yes			
931	2021-11-09 14:35:53	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	No	
933	2021-11-09 13:57:44	Yes	b3z1a5	Yes	Yes	No	Decreased professional sorting of waste will lead to increased runoff of detriment
936	2021-11-09 13:09:39	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	Needs to be done constantly
941	2021-11-09 13:15:03	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	Stopped fishing for trout the year after the Otter lake installation.
944	2021-11-09 13:17:56	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
946	2021-11-09 12:50:39	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
948	2021-11-09 12:30:38	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	The best measure is not removing the measures that already work.
950	2021-11-09 11:29:08	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	
954	2021-11-09 11:42:48	Yes	B3t1e7	Yes	Yes	No	What about the animals that need the water to survive. I dont believe any of the information that is being touted by the city to get to their end game
956	2021-11-09 11:49:10	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
957	2021-11-09 11:51:50	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	Yes	No	
958	2021-11-09 11:43:46	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes			
959	2021-11-04 22:16:03	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
961	2021-11-09 11:28:30	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes	Yes		If it is in the ground it will Go somewhere
962	2021-11-09 08:05:43	Yes	b3t1j3	Yes	Yes	No	Deactivation of FEP/WSF will generate an increase of future traffic both residential and commercial resulting in greater opportunaties of water quality
964	2021-11-09 11:24:08	Yes	B3T1H6	No			
965	2021-11-09 11:11:17	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	
966	2021-11-09 11:16:21	Yes	B3T1G1				
967	2021-11-09 11:05:37	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	No	
970	2021-11-09 10:50:59	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
973	2021-11-09 10:41:12	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	
975	2021-11-09 09:33:49	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	Yes	No	
978	2021-11-09 08:55:19	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	Yes	No	
985	2021-11-09 08:08:57	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	No		
987	2021-11-09 07:27:43	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	Is this monitoring done by independant monitors?
989	2021-11-09 07:30:09	Yes	B3t1c8	Yes			
990	2021-11-04 07:05:57	No	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	
991	2021-11-09 06:40:53	No	B3z1a2	Yes	Yes		
992	2021-11-09 06:15:07	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	
993	2021-11-09 05:59:40	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	How do we know the history of the inspections. They should be public record to allow review of their methodology and results.
998	2021-11-09 04:34:13	No	B3Z1B5	Yes			
999	2021-11-08 23:44:20	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	No	Closing the FEP/WSF will result in contaminating the ground water. Your report is wrong. When the FEP/WSF was put in this was one of the big areas that it was sold on that it would prevent. Now you say closing it won't have an impact. Funny how that works...
1000	2021-11-08 22:51:36	Yes	B3z1b6	Yes	Yes	No	I don't have confidence in the sincerity of a biased report, written with the purpose of justifying deactivation of this part of the facility. The residents of this area were promised by the council of the day that front end sorting would be a permanent part of the Otter Lake landfill.
1002	2021-11-08 22:17:36	Yes	b3t1j9	Yes			
1003	2021-11-08 21:52:13	Yes	B3T1K8	Yes	No		
1004	2021-11-08 21:23:27	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	Insufficient measures
1006	2021-11-08 21:03:07	Yes	B3T1h9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1008	2021-11-08 20:43:59	Yes	B3t1j6	Yes			
1010	2021-11-08 17:49:55	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	Yes	No	
1011	2021-11-08 19:40:36	Yes	B3z1a9	Yes	Yes	No	
1013	2021-11-08 19:54:19	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	No		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1015	2021-11-08 19:41:27	Yes	B3z1a9	Yes	Yes	No	My kids swim in these lakes
1023	2021-11-08 18:16:37	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	No	Still don't trust you
1025	2021-11-08 17:53:19	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	No	Problems occur after changes are made, and then go undetected or ignored, until a bigger problem is created. And then years pass until corrected.
1027	2021-11-08 17:51:15	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes			
1030	2021-11-08 17:27:06	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	Pretty sure you will lax rules as time goes on as you will complain about costs.
1031	2021-11-08 17:39:32	Yes	B3z1a7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1032	2021-11-08 17:36:13	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	No		
1033	2021-11-08 17:33:51	Yes	B3z1a8	Yes	Yes	No	I'm not sure that is enough given the proposed chang
1037	2021-11-08 17:12:36	Yes	B3T1E6	Yes	Yes	No	
1038	2021-11-08 16:58:28	Yes	B3Z0R8	Yes	Yes	No	See previous answer
1040	2021-11-08 13:18:25	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes			
1043	2021-11-08 16:48:59	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1044	2021-11-08 16:56:44	Yes	b3z0a1	Yes			
1047	2021-11-08 16:28:00	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	No	
1048	2021-11-08 16:16:45	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes	Yes	No	
1052	2021-11-08 15:41:38	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	No	Climate change is happening and things are only getting worse, I don't believe the current approach is adequate to meet potential problems
1053	2021-11-08 15:40:09	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes			
1057	2021-11-08 15:04:19	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	
1059	2021-11-08 14:44:08	Yes	B3t1j1	Yes			
1062	2021-11-08 14:15:18	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes			
1068	2021-11-08 13:00:55	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	Putting all that garbage in one area - especially unsorted garbage will have a negative impact on the whole environment
1070	2021-11-08 12:36:46	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	
1072	2021-11-08 12:39:58	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	
1073	2021-11-08 12:21:31	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	No		
1074	2021-11-08 12:18:10	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	No		
1078	2021-11-08 11:46:27	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	
1079	2021-11-08 09:58:17	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	Again, climate change. Even the most learned scientists in the world cannot predict future climate events. Yet, bureaucrats within tiny Halifax, N.S. can do so with certainty.
1081	2021-11-08 11:40:16	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	No		
1083	2021-11-08 11:40:47	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes			
1084	2021-11-08 11:15:49	No	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes		
1085	2021-11-08 11:05:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes			
1086	2021-11-08 08:45:33	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
1087	2021-11-08 10:29:46	Yes	B3t1h4	Yes	No		
1091	2021-11-08 10:03:16	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes			
1099	2021-11-08 08:47:09	Yes	B3t1g7	No			
1100	2021-11-08 08:54:54	No	B3Z1E1	Yes			
1106	2021-11-08 08:40:36	Yes	B3T0B1	Yes	Yes	No	Houses being built closer & closer to landfill
1111	2021-11-08 08:30:29	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
1125	2021-11-08 06:56:53	Yes	b3t1h5	Yes	Yes	No	The by-products of solid waste deposited in a landfill has adverse effects on the surrounding environment and humans living closer to landfill sites. Sacrificing human living conditions, safety and the environment for money is WRONG.



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1135	2021-11-07 21:03:45	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	Yes	No	
1136	2021-11-07 20:16:23	Yes	B3Z1B2	Yes	Yes	No	Enhanced monitoring and mitigation strategies need to be increased.
1138	2021-11-07 21:04:04	Yes	b3t1g4				
1141	2021-11-07 20:16:43	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	No		
1146	2021-11-07 18:25:27	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Less items to the cells the better. Keeping the FEP/WSF in place ensures fewer items go to the cell.
1148	2021-11-07 18:51:41	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	I don't know what regular monitoring means.
1150	2021-11-07 18:25:35	No	B3Z1A8	Yes	No		
1153	2021-11-07 17:54:03	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	
1154	2021-11-07 17:46:59	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes		
1156	2021-11-07 17:17:16	No	B3T1J8	Yes	No		
1162	2021-11-07 16:10:15	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1164	2021-11-07 13:15:12	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	No		
1166	2021-11-07 14:31:05	No	b3t1j1	Yes	Yes	No	Report does not document evidence supporting the statement of no impacts.
1168	2021-11-07 15:09:03	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
1171	2021-11-07 14:43:36	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes		
1177	2021-11-07 14:08:08	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes			
1179	2021-11-07 13:49:37	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	Again, the approach is sound. But the substrate over which the water flows will be different and potentially more toxic.
1180	2021-11-07 14:05:52	No	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1186	2021-11-07 13:25:33	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1195	2021-11-07 11:05:26	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	potential for contamination
1196	2021-11-07 10:50:23	Yes	B3T1E7	Yes	No		
1204	2021-11-07 10:24:43	Yes	B3t1j6	Yes	Yes	No	
1207	2021-11-07 09:37:19	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes			
1209	2021-11-07 09:00:42	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	I have seen erosion and segmentation control and the maintenance is never adequately maintained! The materials used are biodegradable and are never adequately replaced. We don't want the agervation of this dump and all that this entails send it somewhere else. This location is exhausted.
1210	2021-11-07 09:18:16	Yes	b3t1j5	Yes			
1212	2021-11-07 08:47:48	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes			
1213	2021-11-07 08:02:00	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	Increased Rodent and Bird populations, baiting and blowing garbage will ALL negatively impact waterways.
1218	2021-11-07 08:03:03	Yes	B3t1c1	Yes	Yes	No	No changes should be made
1220	2021-11-07 07:02:14	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1226	2021-11-07 06:19:12	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes		I do not have any concerns	
1227	2021-11-07 06:26:01	Yes	B3t1e9	Yes	Yes	No	
1228	2021-11-07 05:49:15	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes			
1231	2021-11-03 16:47:38	Yes	B3Z1B3	Yes	Yes	No	
1234	2021-11-03 14:07:24	No	B3t0b1	Yes	Yes		
1235	2021-11-06 22:54:07	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	
1237	2021-11-06 22:27:27	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	Letting the facility relax regulations is the start of a bad habit and I don't trust the municipality to use good judgement in the future.
1238	2021-11-06 22:11:58	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	Yes	No	
1243	2021-11-06 20:34:03	Yes	B3T1g3	Yes	Yes	No	
1245	2021-11-06 20:38:08	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	No		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1247	2021-11-06 20:16:52	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1248	2021-11-06 20:23:50	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	Yes	No	
1249	2021-11-06 19:44:30	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	Yes	No	
1251	2021-11-06 19:16:43	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1255	2021-11-06 18:36:24	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	No		
1256	2021-11-06 18:45:14	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes			
1257	2021-11-06 18:22:14	Yes	B3t1g7	Yes		No	
1262	2021-11-06 17:45:16	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	Our wetlands are precious and we need to do all we can to protect them, including keeping the FEP/WSF running.
1264	2021-11-06 16:55:43	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes			
1265	2021-11-06 17:28:55	Yes	B3t1j7	Yes	No		
1266	2021-11-06 17:02:44	Yes	B3t1h4	Yes	Yes	No	There need to be more testings
1267	2021-11-06 17:03:55	Yes	B3T1J8				
1269	2021-11-06 16:09:51	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	No		
1272	2021-11-06 16:10:29	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1277	2021-11-04 07:02:22	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1278	2021-11-04 12:01:56	No	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	No	
1283	2021-11-06 13:33:43	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
1284	2021-11-06 13:07:07	Yes	B3t1h9	No			
1286	2021-11-06 12:51:21	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	
1290	2021-11-06 11:43:02	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	No		
1291	2021-11-06 11:52:45	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1292	2021-11-06 11:38:55	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
1297	2021-11-06 11:07:59	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes			
1298	2021-11-06 09:29:17	No	B3z1a4	Yes	No		
1299	2021-11-06 09:27:10	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	No		
1303	2021-11-06 09:09:20	Yes	B3T1L2	Yes			
1304	2021-11-06 09:00:32	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	inadequate. this is porpaganda, not a survey
1305	2021-11-06 08:55:14	Yes	B3t1g9	Yes	Yes	No	All of otter lake is a concern to us residents and these changes are not good for us!
1306	2021-11-06 08:29:50	Yes	b3t1h8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1307	2021-11-06 08:25:15	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1308	2021-11-06 07:40:06	Yes		Yes			
1311	2021-11-06 08:24:50	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1316	2021-11-05 21:45:07	Yes	B3T1J4	No			
1321	2021-11-06 06:58:26	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	Yes	No	I am concern that proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF will harm the environment.
1326	2021-11-06 05:43:37	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes			
1327	2021-11-06 05:33:17	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes			
1330	2021-11-05 23:03:05	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	No		
1331	2021-11-05 21:57:28	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	No		
1332	2021-11-05 22:30:16	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes			
1333	2021-11-05 21:49:28	Yes	B3t1c1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1334	2021-11-05 21:54:50	Yes	B3T1G3	No			
1335	2021-11-05 21:51:32	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	No		
1336	2021-11-05 21:33:28	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	
1337	2021-11-05 21:40:29	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	Yes	No	
1339	2021-11-05 21:05:42	No	B3T1H4	Yes			
1340	2021-11-05 20:50:25	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1344	2021-11-05 20:04:54	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes			
1346	2021-11-05 19:28:58	Yes	B3Z4b8	Yes	Yes	No	
1347	2021-11-05 16:24:45	No	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	
1349	2021-11-05 18:58:14	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1352	2021-11-05 18:45:51	Yes	B3t1e9	Yes	No		
1357	2021-11-05 17:41:33	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	No		
1365	2021-11-05 17:06:31	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1366	2021-11-05 17:14:34	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes			
1367	2021-11-05 16:33:44	Yes	B3t1k1	Yes	Yes	No	No control. There won't be a problem until the first problem. Then you will have to use more dollars to fix.
1372	2021-11-05 15:47:32	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	
1374	2021-11-05 15:53:33	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	No		
1377	2021-11-05 15:45:02	No	B3z1a1	Yes			
1379	2021-11-05 15:43:33	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes			
1380	2021-11-05 15:34:32	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	No		
1381	2021-11-05 15:39:24	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
1382	2021-11-05 15:28:06	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	Burn our garbage for energy
1386	2021-11-05 14:46:49	Yes	B3t0a4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1387	2021-11-05 14:30:49	Yes	B3Z0R6	Yes	Yes	No	I am concerned there will be too much excess run off with the planned changes.
1389	2021-11-05 14:25:03	Yes	B3t1c8	Yes	Yes	No	
1391	2021-11-05 13:29:03	Yes	b3t1j7	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1392	2021-11-05 14:01:05	Yes	b3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	Testing frequency not enough
1393	2021-11-05 13:48:50	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	No		
1397	2021-11-05 13:27:25	No	B3t1j1	Yes	Yes	No	Leaks and spills happen, often taking a long time to detect.
1398	2021-11-05 13:38:39	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes			
1399	2021-11-05 12:39:08	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	No	No	See comment related to GW monitoring. I'm not familiar with the hydrogeology surrounding site, but would anticipate GW and SW bodies are connected.
1400	2021-11-05 13:08:19	Yes	B3T1G9	Yes	Yes	No	Same comment as per ground water...
1403	2021-11-05 12:45:46	Yes	b3t2k4	Yes	No		
1405	2021-11-05 11:53:21	Yes	B3t1g8	Yes	Yes	No	
1406	2021-11-05 11:32:38	Yes	b3t1h2	Yes	No		
1411	2021-11-05 10:47:19	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	No		
1413	2021-11-05 09:38:01	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1416	2021-11-04 11:40:18	No	B3Z1A2	Yes			
1417	2021-11-05 10:31:51	Yes		Yes			
1420	2021-11-05 09:52:16	No	B3Z1C1	Yes	No		
1421	2021-11-05 09:45:59	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	not what we agreed to
1422	2021-11-05 09:48:23	Yes	B3t1g4	Yes	Yes		
1425	2021-11-05 09:38:04	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes			
1429	2021-11-04 17:07:08	No	B3Z1A3	Yes	Yes	No	Increased contaminate runoff from removal of the FEP/WSF is a concern.
1433	2021-11-05 07:26:02	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes			
1437	2021-11-05 06:56:17	Yes	B3t1n9	Yes			
1439	2021-11-05 05:54:48	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	No		
1441	2021-11-05 06:12:23	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	No		
1442	2021-11-05 06:12:13	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	With the proposed changes, I'm concerned that the run off will be more harmful to our environment

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1448	2021-11-05 05:33:41	Yes	B3t1h9	No			
1450	2021-11-05 05:08:27	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes			
1451	2021-11-05 04:33:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Will we expect an increase in stormwater management rates on our utility bills when we should be receiving significant decreases in our taxes?
1453	2021-11-05 00:32:01	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	Yes	No	I am concerned with the propaganda in this one sided survey....
1457	2021-11-05 00:05:15	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	
1460	2021-11-04 22:39:01	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	No	
1461	2021-11-04 22:38:33	No	B3T1E5	Yes			
1467	2021-11-04 21:27:35	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	I live on half mile lake. Leave my water alone
1469	2021-11-04 21:04:09	No	B3Z4P8	Yes	No		
1470	2021-11-04 20:44:31	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	
1471	2021-11-04 19:01:28	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes			
1473	2021-11-04 20:44:19	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes			
1476	2021-11-04 18:53:37	Yes	b3t1h4	Yes	No		
1477	2021-11-04 20:29:50	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
1478	2021-11-04 19:58:15	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	No		
1482	2021-11-04 19:55:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes		
1484	2021-11-04 19:39:29	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	No		
1486	2021-11-04 19:38:26	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes			
1488	2021-11-04 19:19:14	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	No	Deactivation not the agreement
1489	2021-11-03 16:47:23	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes			
1491	2021-11-04 19:03:04	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1495	2021-11-04 19:05:29	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes			
1497	2021-11-04 18:58:28	Yes	B3t1c6	Yes	Yes	No	
1499	2021-11-04 18:59:44	Yes	B3T1C6	No			
1501	2021-11-04 18:19:48	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1502	2021-11-04 18:39:01	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	No		
1504	2021-11-04 18:31:01	Yes	B3T1G5				
1506	2021-11-04 18:05:59	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	I live on a lake and this landfill will directly impact my quality of life and property value
1507	2021-11-04 17:17:44	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	No		
1508	2021-11-04 17:53:34	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1509	2021-11-04 17:43:26	Yes	B3Z1B2	Yes	Yes	No	
1511	2021-11-04 17:35:31	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	No		
1512	2021-11-04 17:32:50	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	No		
1513	2021-11-04 17:12:24	Yes	B3t1g5	Yes	Yes	No	
1514	2021-11-04 17:10:56	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes			
1516	2021-11-04 16:49:10	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	This will affect nine mile river over time.
1517	2021-11-04 16:42:28	Yes	B3t1g3	Yes	Yes	No	
1519	2021-11-04 15:32:44	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes	No		
1521	2021-11-04 16:09:33	No	B3z1n2	No			
1523	2021-11-04 16:02:08	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes			
1525	2021-11-04 16:02:40	Yes	B3t1j3	Yes			
1526	2021-11-04 15:45:33	Yes	b3z0b7	Yes	Yes	No	
1527	2021-11-04 15:42:38	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	No	run off is run off.
1528	2021-11-04 15:50:58	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes			
1530	2021-11-04 12:00:25	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	
1533	2021-11-04 15:28:41	Yes	B3T1N7	No			
1534	2021-11-04 15:23:08	Yes	b3t1p1	Yes	Yes	No	do NOT deactivate the Otter Lake FEP/WSF



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1540	2021-11-04 14:09:42	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
1542	2021-11-04 14:13:21	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes		
1543	2021-11-04 14:20:17	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	No		
1546	2021-11-04 14:07:47	Yes	B3t1g5	Yes			
1548	2021-11-04 12:41:11	No	B3Z0C6	Yes	Yes	No	Deactivating the FEP and WSF will increased the organic material, banned and unacceptable substance to enter the landfill thus more chance to impact surface water
1549	2021-11-04 13:38:21	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes			
1550	2021-11-03 15:47:25	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes			
1551	2021-11-04 12:47:17	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	The landfill should already be decommissioned. Then we wouldn't need to worry about the impact to surface water quality.
1552	2021-11-04 13:19:48	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes	No		
1553	2021-11-04 13:01:16	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	Toxic items will not be separated.
1554	2021-11-04 13:19:04	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	
1555	2021-11-04 13:23:55	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes			
1558	2021-11-04 13:17:55	No	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1560	2021-11-04 12:48:26	Yes	b3t1h6	Yes	Yes	No	
1561	2021-11-04 12:45:07	No	b3z4m7	Yes			
1562	2021-11-04 12:45:14	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes			
1563	2021-11-04 12:19:45	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1565	2021-11-04 12:24:59	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	No		
1566	2021-11-04 12:10:16	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	No		
1567	2021-11-04 12:08:51	Yes	b3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	
1570	2021-11-04 11:57:25	Yes	B3t1e1	Yes			
1571	2021-11-04 11:52:08	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes	Yes		
1573	2021-11-04 11:42:15	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	No		
1574	2021-11-04 11:46:39	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes			
1575	2021-11-04 11:36:59	Yes	B3T1G2	Yes	Yes	No	
1576	2021-11-04 11:40:29	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
1578	2021-11-04 11:27:13	Yes	B3t1g6	Yes	Yes	No	I do not want the FEP/WSF deactivated
1579	2021-11-04 11:35:28	No	B3t1n8	Yes			
1580	2021-11-04 11:30:27	Yes	b3z1a2				
1586	2021-11-04 10:48:09	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1587	2021-11-04 10:41:17	Yes	B3t1j3	Yes	Yes	No	
1588	2021-11-04 08:59:10	Yes	B3t1h7	Yes	No		
1591	2021-11-04 09:07:25	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	This does not cover the increase in bird and rodent populations and their activity both in and around the surface water. The current approach does not adequately address this area either. What about their excrement, increase in dead and decaying bodies, and the additional garbage that will get into the surface water?
1592	2021-11-04 10:24:08	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes			
1593	2021-11-04 10:18:32	Yes	b3t1j7	Yes	Yes	No	
1597	2021-11-04 09:39:27	No	B3Z1B8	Yes			
1599	2021-11-04 10:04:29	Yes	B3T1L2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1602	2021-11-04 10:00:48	Yes	b3t1h1	Yes			
1604	2021-11-04 09:30:59	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	Same as previous
1606	2021-11-04 09:26:11	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1607	2021-11-04 09:26:37	Yes	B3z1a1	Yes	Yes	No	The testing protocols are not described in adequate detail in order to ease my concerns about the discharge going into the Nine Mile River. I would like to see high levels of waste sorting occur in order to help ease my concerns.
1608	2021-11-04 09:22:40	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	No		
1610	2021-11-04 09:28:16	Yes	B3T1P1	No			
1611	2021-11-04 09:18:14	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	No		
1612	2021-11-04 09:11:23	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	
1614	2021-11-04 09:13:51	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	
1615	2021-11-04 09:18:19	Yes	b3t1e5	Yes	Yes	No	
1617	2021-11-04 09:00:39	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1618	2021-11-04 09:11:00	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	No		
1619	2021-11-04 09:06:19	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	
1625	2021-11-04 08:59:55	No	B3z4b8	Yes			
1627	2021-11-04 08:54:25	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1628	2021-11-04 08:55:29	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes			
1630	2021-11-04 08:37:38	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	
1632	2021-11-04 08:40:01	Yes	B3t0a6	Yes	No		
1633	2021-11-04 08:42:48	Yes	B3t1k1	Yes			
1635	2021-11-04 08:02:36	No	B3Z4P2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1640	2021-11-04 08:24:38	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	
1643	2021-11-04 08:22:13	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes			
1645	2021-11-04 08:12:52	No	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	N
1646	2021-11-04 08:04:35	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	No	
1647	2021-11-04 08:04:52	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	
1649	2021-11-04 08:03:47	Yes	B3t1n7	No			
1653	2021-11-04 07:09:27	No	B3Z0B7	Yes	No		
1654	2021-11-04 07:12:12	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
1657	2021-11-04 06:58:21	Yes	B3T1G2	Yes			
1661	2021-11-04 05:54:23	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1662	2021-11-04 06:16:57	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	No issues identified but that doesn't mean they won't happen.
1663	2021-11-04 06:25:23	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes	No		
1665	2021-11-04 05:59:57	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	No		
1666	2021-11-04 06:08:58	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	No		
1669	2021-11-04 05:35:32	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	I don't feel as it will work
1673	2021-11-04 04:03:06	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	No		
1674	2021-11-03 23:29:36	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	No		
1676	2021-11-03 23:06:06	Yes	B3t1j1	Yes	Yes	No	Same response to the previous question applies to this one.
1678	2021-11-03 22:44:00	Yes	B3t0a6	Yes	No		
1685	2021-11-03 22:11:38	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	No		
1686	2021-11-03 22:03:40	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	No	More plastics in water
1687	2021-11-03 21:45:00	Yes	B3T1k1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1688	2021-11-03 21:28:30	No	B3z0e3	Yes	No		
1690	2021-11-03 21:54:38	Yes	B3t1e1	Yes	Yes	No	
1698	2021-11-03 21:19:14	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	
1700	2021-11-03 21:18:46	Yes	B3z0b6	Yes			
1701	2021-11-03 21:09:16	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	
1702	2021-11-03 21:06:16	No	B3Z4B8	Yes			Don't know enough about it to comment
1704	2021-11-03 20:34:32	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	Same answer. You're spending money to fix a system that isn't broken.

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1705	2021-11-03 21:00:21	Yes	B3z0e2	Yes			
1707	2021-11-03 20:42:09	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes			
1708	2021-11-03 20:45:00	No	B3T1h1	Yes	No		
1709	2021-11-03 20:44:31	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes			
1711	2021-11-03 20:35:45	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes			
1713	2021-11-03 20:37:12	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes	Yes	No	
1714	2021-11-03 20:17:03	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	No		
1717	2021-11-03 20:30:25	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes			
1719	2021-11-03 20:22:33	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	
1723	2021-11-03 20:09:36	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	No	
1724	2021-11-03 19:56:33	Yes	B3T2C7	Yes	Yes	No	Again, the water in my village has already been yellow, brown and contained an odor.
1725	2021-11-03 20:05:26	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	
1727	2021-11-03 19:19:43	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	No		
1729	2021-11-03 19:34:09	No	B3z0b7	Yes			
1730	2021-11-03 19:28:16	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	
1733	2021-11-03 19:18:41	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	
1736	2021-11-03 18:59:16	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	No	
1737	2021-11-03 19:17:06	Yes	B3t0b1	Yes			
1739	2021-11-03 19:06:12	Yes	B3t1c6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1743	2021-11-03 18:49:09	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes	No	
1744	2021-11-03 18:57:02	Yes	B3t1n5	Yes	Yes	No	Would prefer more preventative measures as opposed to reactive measures
1745	2021-11-03 19:06:36	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes			
1746	2021-11-03 18:47:19	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1748	2021-11-03 18:51:42	Yes	B3T1H5	Yes			
1749	2021-11-03 18:54:05	Yes	B3T1e2	Yes	Yes	No	
1750	2021-11-03 18:41:25	Yes	B3t1n9	Yes	Yes	No	The landfill should not be decommissioned
1751	2021-11-03 18:41:30	Yes	B3t2c7	Yes	Yes	No	
1755	2021-11-03 18:21:33	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	
1756	2021-11-03 18:25:28	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1758	2021-11-03 18:01:26	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	No		
1760	2021-11-03 18:00:28	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1762	2021-11-03 18:03:46	No	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1768	2021-11-03 17:18:17	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes			
1769	2021-11-03 17:31:01	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	N/A
1770	2021-11-03 17:30:19	Yes	B3t1g2	Yes	Yes	No	Again, more landfill means further contamination. It's just common sense. You can't mitigate it all.
1771	2021-11-03 17:23:02	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	No		
1773	2021-11-03 17:25:28	Yes	b3t1g8	Yes	Yes	No	
1777	2021-11-03 17:02:51	Yes	B3T1G3	No			
1780	2021-11-03 16:41:40	No	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1784	2021-11-03 16:30:37	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	No	
1787	2021-11-03 16:24:36	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1790	2021-11-03 15:18:48	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	Again, your testing mechanism aren't going to matter once the impact has already happened.
1791	2021-11-03 15:48:28	Yes	b3t1c6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1792	2021-11-03 15:54:37	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes			
1794	2021-11-03 15:02:31	Yes	B3T1E6	Yes	No		
1796	2021-11-03 15:29:10	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	No		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Impact to Surface Water Quality							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the impact to surface water quality?	Does the existing approach address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the existing approach does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1798	2021-11-03 15:24:18	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes			
1799	2021-11-03 15:11:55	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	
1802	2021-11-03 15:06:44	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	
1807	2021-11-03 14:48:59	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	No		
1808	2021-11-03 14:48:41	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	No		
1809	2021-11-03 14:43:40	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	No		
1813	2021-11-03 14:09:13	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	I am opposed to ending the FEP/WSF.
1815	2021-11-03 14:25:59	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	No		
1819	2021-11-03 14:10:05	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	No		
1820	2021-11-03 13:05:14	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	Yes	Once again I posit this facility will be enlarge due to HRM's future budget and these problems will only be exacerbated.
1821	2021-11-03 13:05:04	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Again surface water quality will suffer if the FEP and WSF are removed.
1822	2021-11-03 12:16:49	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	No		
1823	2021-11-03 13:04:31	Yes	B3Z4M2	Yes	No		
1824	2021-11-03 12:42:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
1825	2021-11-03 12:23:10	Yes	B3t1e3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1826	2021-11-03 12:09:07	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	No		
1827	2021-11-03 12:23:59	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	Yes	No	
1828	2021-11-03 12:00:58	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	I'm not interested in "mitigation". HRM promised to maintain the waste facility at a very high level, and I expect HRM to keep its promise to the community. We will not need "mitigation" if HRM does not downgrade the quality level with which it has been running the facility. I DO NOT AGREE WITH THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS.
1829	2021-11-03 10:32:33	No	B3Z1B1	Yes		Yes	
1830	2021-11-03 10:33:12	No	B3Z0C6	Yes	Yes	No	The landfill should not be located near Otter Lake
1831	2021-11-03 11:02:46	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	No		
1832	2021-11-03 10:55:01	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes			
1833	2021-11-03 09:50:24	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1834	2021-11-02 14:13:03	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	We never know when the next 100year storm is coming. Sample all you want, once the damage is done it is too late. "LEAVE OTTER LAKE ALONE"



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
5	2021-12-04 13:27:05	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes			
6	2021-12-04 12:39:48	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	
8	2021-12-04 07:07:42	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	Yes	No	
9	2021-12-04 06:33:44	Yes	B3t1g9	Yes			
10	2021-12-04 02:33:16	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	I have lived near the landfill for [REDACTED] years. I did not want the landfill near my residential area. Having the FEP/WSF there gives me confidence that I can sell my home in the future at market value. Don't want my area to be a Sackville landfill comparison.
11	2021-12-03 21:37:38	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	No	The community accepted this in good faith that it be run to the best possible standard. How is taking something away from the process going to still allow it to operate at top performance? I guess this will serve as a warning to other communities who are approached to welcome such a facility that things may start out well but could end badly.
19	2021-12-03 20:20:59	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
20	2021-12-03 19:08:21	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	The original agreement should stand. HRM made an agreement with the BLT community. The only acceptable waste agreed to by the residents of BLT was that processed by the FEP/WSF.
21	2021-12-03 19:54:38	Yes	B3Z4N4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
22	2021-11-06 14:53:34	No	B3Z4N4	Yes	Yes	No	
31	2021-12-03 12:59:41	No	B3z4p7	Yes	Yes	No	FINALLY, HONOUR the final agreement. This expansion proposal is just "dirty". No pun intended!
32	2021-12-03 12:35:11	No	B3Z1A7	Yes		Yes	Although our garbage separation is quite successful, I doubt that it removes all decomposable materials
33	2021-11-13 15:08:28	Yes	B3Z0A2	Yes	Yes	No	To remove any system which was design to help the environment will always have a negative impact on the environment. The FEP/WSF was put in place to reduce the impact on the environment and reducing the effect to the residents in the area. If the FEP/WSF is stilling removing waste that isn't suppose to be disposed there in the first place.
34	2021-12-03 11:45:55	Yes	B3Z0E3	Yes	Yes	No	
39	2021-12-03 11:03:31	No	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	
43	2021-12-03 10:19:56	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes			
45	2021-12-03 09:58:37	Yes	B3Z4M2	Yes			
49	2021-11-04 09:54:17	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	Yes	Yes	It is always told to us in a manner that tries to pacify us but is never what actually happens in the long run. I need to think about the people, their children and all the community. It always comes down to the almighty dollar and not the benefit of the people living here and paying big bucks in taxes.
60	2021-12-02 22:25:31	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
61	2021-12-02 20:55:00	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
62	2021-12-02 19:31:27	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	Yes	No	Lack of trust.
65	2021-11-03 17:45:52	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes			
69	2021-12-02 08:44:35	Yes	b3t1g1	Yes	Yes	Yes	In the referenced agreement does it permit HRM, the other signatory, the discretion for them to decide that enough recognizable unprocessed organics is being processed. On the contrary, the agreement states that all recognizable organics be processed before entering the landfill cell.
74	2021-12-01 17:41:09	No	B3T1P1	Yes			
76	2021-12-01 15:43:35	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
80	2021-12-01 07:00:50	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes	Yes	No	All I know is whatever they are doing it is working. I have been on a tour of the site twice I have come away thoroughly impressed with how things are operating. Would hate to see that changed.
82	2021-11-30 20:25:42	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	No	
88	2021-11-30 18:37:55	No	B3Z4P7	Yes	No		
90	2021-11-30 16:46:22	Yes	B3Z1C1	Yes			
99	2021-11-29 21:36:15	Yes		Yes			
101	2021-11-29 19:39:20	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes			
107	2021-11-29 11:08:59	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	Yes	No	A group of professionals and volunteers that monitor the communities interest. You just have to look at HRM's solid waste program and realize they are in it to save money and not look after the community or the cities interest.
108	2021-11-29 10:32:18	Yes	B3t1g6	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
109	2021-11-29 09:58:39	Yes	B3t1g7	Yes			
110	2021-11-29 09:21:21	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	Compliant is a term for adequate now, but the Timberlea community is growing and old standards are no longer acceptable.
115	2021-11-28 11:44:47	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	No	Lots of claims but no proof. I don't believe you.
117	2021-11-28 10:06:33	No	B3z1c2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
119	2021-11-28 06:53:55	No	B3Z0C6	Yes	Yes	No	There's always a loophole
120	2021-11-27 21:32:29	Yes	b3z0a1	Yes	Yes	No	It's a pretty simple agreement, and it would take "both side" of the agreement to remove conditions that were put in place to protect the community. It is very simple... honour the agreement.
121	2021-11-27 18:08:27	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	Yes	No	
130	2021-11-27 06:08:42	No	B3T1H6	Yes			
131	2021-11-26 18:29:35	Yes		Yes			
132	2021-11-26 15:49:04	No	B3Z1E1	Yes	No		
156	2021-11-25 17:57:02	Yes		Yes			
157	2021-11-25 17:25:24	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
161	2021-11-25 14:32:29	No	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe residents sort waste to a level that a successful operation requires.
168	2021-11-25 11:07:39	Yes	B3T1E7	Yes	Yes	No	Good luck trying to permit a future landfill if it is demonstrated that the city will try and get out of their permitting commitments as soon as it is convenient to them.
169	2021-11-25 11:05:59	Yes	B3Z1N4	Yes	Yes	No	n
170	2021-11-25 10:08:36	Yes	b3t1h1	Yes	Yes	No	if that is the case why was it not run like that in the first place.
172	2021-11-25 09:54:02	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	No		
181	2021-11-25 07:26:32	Yes	B3t1n5	Yes	Yes	No	If the 'spirit' of the 1999 agreement was honoured, this would not be happening. The whole idea was to keep it clean, not save money.
185	2021-11-25 07:18:48	Yes	B3t0a6	Yes	Yes	No	Again government is not telling the truth. Rather they are manipulating words and intent. Please don't ruin our community
187	2021-11-25 06:42:33	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	The explanation above states "most" of the current waste is considered inert. That is not ALL of the waste. That does not honour the original agreement.
192	2021-11-25 05:08:05	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes	Yes	No	
198	2021-11-24 12:21:32	Yes	B3T1L2	Yes	Yes	No	the current tonnages HRM presents today are not stagnant. With the closure of The FEP/WSF, community growth, increased population, an aging facility... The numbers can and will change. What happens then?

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
201	2021-11-24 11:54:28	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/WSF active.
203	2021-11-24 09:04:21	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	Every question in this survey is biased to convince us as homeowners to just give support to the decommissioning of the FEP/WSF. Typical government - the bulk of the responses here could be NO but its all about \$\$.
206	2021-11-14 07:19:32	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	I have lived here for over 25 years and when we were selected for the landfill we didn't want it as any other community didn't want it. We were promised it was a 25 year only so I said well we will have our turn and then it will go somewhere else so our property values will return afterwards. I, and my family, are still here and want the agreement honoured!
210	2021-11-15 19:26:29	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	
211	2021-11-23 19:29:41	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes			
216	2021-11-23 15:55:50	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/WSF active
217	2021-11-23 15:20:26	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes			
218	2021-11-23 15:08:55	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/WSF active.
219	2021-11-03 14:41:21	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	This does not excuse breaking the agreement
221	2021-11-23 13:47:47	Yes	B3T1N6	No			
224	2021-11-23 12:32:20	No	B3T1H8	Yes			
236	2021-11-22 18:40:08	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	
237	2021-11-22 18:02:45	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	Enough is enough, the entire site should have already been decommissioned.
239	2021-11-22 16:06:06	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	The intention was to have an innovative facility with the two successful garbage processing features. Why fix something that is working and the envy of many in the world?
241	2021-11-22 15:52:47	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes			
245	2021-11-22 15:00:25	Yes	B3T1J2	Yes			
246	2021-11-22 14:31:14	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	Yes		
252	2021-11-22 10:29:13	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
256	2021-11-22 08:51:00	Yes	B3T1E1	Yes			
261	2021-11-21 21:23:53	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	By eliminating FEP it is understood that there will be an increase in food waste entering the site. The green cart program may have reduced the amount of food waste put in garbage bags but it has not eliminated it. Therefore shutting it down will lead to a degradation of conditions at the facility and a reduction of area residents protections from all the problems covered in previous questions.
262	2021-11-21 19:24:14	Yes	B3T1K8	Yes	Yes	No	According to the CMC, the actions taken by Mirror NS and HRM with the proposed changes result in a major modification of both the conditions of the operating permit and the terms of the 1999 contractual agreement between HRM and the Halifax Wastewater Resource Society.
271	2021-11-21 07:36:12	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
273	2021-11-21 06:17:22	Yes					
274	2021-11-21 05:29:13	Yes	B3Z0E3	Yes			
280	2021-11-20 15:12:21	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
282	2021-11-20 13:50:38	No	B3t1e9	Yes	Yes	No	The original agreement the residents signed off on was that the front end processors will be used. If the fep/wsf are taken offline and it becomes a detriment to my community, the politicians will be held to account. I don't like to take my scientific advice from politicians.
283	2021-11-20 13:45:48	Yes	b3z1b5	Yes	Yes	No	The agreement with the communities comes under pressure every few years and is changed.
286	2021-11-20 06:33:59	Yes	B3t1j9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
290	2021-11-19 20:39:47	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	No	
291	2021-11-19 20:19:27	No	B3Z4M8	Yes			
294	2021-11-19 18:47:39	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	Yes	No	
295	2021-11-05 07:00:55	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes			
296	2021-11-19 18:11:41	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	
298	2021-11-19 17:29:57	No	B3Z1A1	Yes			
299	2021-11-19 17:18:58	Yes	B3t1k8	Yes	Yes	No	
303	2021-11-19 13:43:02	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	Yes	No	You are not listening to the tax payers of the community and their concerns of smell, rodents, decrease in property values cause of the rodent problem. Would government officials be ok having rats running around their yards when their 2 year old is out playing ? Disgusting and not safe !!!
305	2021-11-18 08:56:37	Yes		Yes	Yes		
308	2021-11-19 13:17:59	No	b3z1a1	Yes	Yes	No	
311	2021-11-19 12:09:06	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	The way you have formulated the survey indicates you are untrustworthy
318	2021-11-19 10:48:40	Yes	B3Z0B9	Yes			
319	2021-11-19 09:50:39	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	Yes	we as citizens are not being listened to big business rules the day, we are expected to pay and have no say.
320	2021-11-04 10:08:10	Yes	B3t1h7	Yes	Yes	No	
321	2021-11-19 10:09:52	No	B3Z1A1	Yes			
322	2021-11-19 09:54:14	Yes	B3Z0C6	Yes	Yes	No	A Promise is a Promise. Don't twist the words as you know the intent!
323	2021-11-19 09:53:08	Yes	B3t1g6	Yes	Yes	No	No it sounds like a lawyer side stepping in wording what was promised to the community by the politicians of the day. This is why the lack of trust! Legal loop holes and playing on wording make this seem like we are dealing with a sleazy ambulance chaser rather than people we are paying.
324	2021-11-05 19:00:42	No	B3z1a4	Yes	Yes	No	Completely disagree with your agreement interpretation narrative. Honour the original intent of the agreement.
325	2021-11-19 09:42:37	Yes	b3t1j3				
326	2021-11-19 09:37:22	Yes	B3t1c1	Yes	Yes	No	Screwing over the locals. Great job morons.
327	2021-11-19 09:32:40	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	Yes	No	The FEP & WSF were critical components of the contract the communities accepted. The tactics used by HRM in attempt to remove the FEP & WSF are abhorrent and not in good faith. The format of this "survey" is a disgusting reminder of the lengths policy makers will take.
333	2021-11-19 08:29:03	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	NO!! only "Acceptable waste" should be included therefore there MUST be a process to address unacceptable waste that arrives at the facility. Just because "most of the waste" that arrives at the facility is inert, does NOT mean we eliminate the processes and facilities to deal with the non-inert waste that arrives at the facility.



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
334	2021-11-19 08:01:46	Yes	b3t1c1	Yes			
335	2021-11-19 07:38:52	No	B3z1a3	Yes	Yes	No	It will be an experiment to see if the FEP/WSF actually will work. We don't want the risk of the negative outcome
337	2021-11-18 19:34:24	Yes	B3T1c1	Yes	Yes	No	Although it's not specifically noted in the agreement, this change goes against the spirit of the law where it's assumed that the dump operations are as originally designed. We again shouldn't be reverting operations to a less effective plan
338	2021-11-18 19:12:19	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
339	2021-11-18 15:25:16	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes			
341	2021-11-18 13:22:55	Yes	B3Z1A4	Yes	Yes	No	I understand the logic of the argument against keeping the FEP but there is no actual proof that the situation will not degrade. Do you think the people who live close by the landfill are incapable of contributing to this decision? Why do they and so many others want the FEP to remain? How much money will wealthy HRM "lose" if the FEP continues?
345	2021-11-18 07:07:28	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	Again the trust factor whatever the new plans may be ... what assurances are there they will be upheld and what is the plan for a go forward if the current plans fail?
346	2021-11-18 06:20:03	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes	No	The agreement has been in place for 20 years! The area is growing in the interest of the neighborhood leave the things alone!
347	2021-11-18 03:50:21	No	B3z0e3	Yes	Yes	No	This entire survey is a sales pitch. Full of smoke and mirrors. I'm ashamed to be a member of the community that put this govt in power if this is the type of underhanded dealing they're OK with. Repulsive!
355	2021-11-17 18:26:33	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes			
357	2021-11-13 22:48:08	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
360	2021-11-17 16:39:08	Yes	B3t1g5	Yes	Yes	No	Move this out of the community - the contract is over
363	2021-11-17 15:28:28	Yes	B3T2K1	Yes			
365	2021-11-16 12:57:50	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	This is nothing more than a complete betrayal of the BLT community. This community made it very clear when the otter lake facility was proposed they did not want a dump. To imply that we misunderstood the promises made at the time are at best insulting and frankly a cynical declaration of HRM's intent.
367	2021-11-17 12:59:17	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste is not "inert", "stable" or "residual". It is not "acceptable material." It is not what this facility was designed for. Why does HRM want to go backwards in how they treat/handle waste?
370	2021-11-17 12:04:06	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	HRM is continuing to go against all of the feedback from community meetings and community monitoring committee and remove safeguards promised and which were likely the only reason this was originally approved! Honour the original agreement!
371	2021-11-17 11:14:48	No	B3Z1C2	Yes	Yes	No	The original agreement was made to protect residents
376	2021-11-17 05:51:40	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	The community did not agree to this no matter how you try to twist words.
377	2021-11-17 05:55:25	No	b3t1e1	Yes	Yes	No	
383	2021-11-16 20:49:29	Yes	B3t1j1	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
385	2021-11-16 20:37:49	No	B3z4e9	Yes	Yes	No	Pretty disingenuous logic. You skirted most of the rules by diverting institutional waste outside HRM where it need not be treated polluting other parts of NS, and now now want to avoid treating what remains in HRM. Extending the life of the dump by piling garbage higher violates the spirit of the agreement
386	2021-11-04 21:17:46	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	No	If this way is working, why change it.
390	2021-11-16 19:52:37	No	B3T1G3	Yes			
393	2021-11-16 15:24:34	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	How does HRM know this statement (taken from above) is true: "While the FEP/WSF was designed as a mechanism to biostabilize putrescible waste (e.g., food waste), it provides little if any benefit to the environment today as the composition of waste and quantity has changed significantly since the development of Otter Lake in the late 1990s."
399	2021-11-16 14:36:36	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes			
400	2021-11-16 14:22:06	Yes	B3Z1A2	Yes			
401	2021-11-16 13:51:53	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes			
406	2021-11-15 16:24:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	This part however, is VERY specific on how HRM interprets the agreement to be able to deactivate. Part of the agreement was also 25 years, has HRM found a new site as of yet?
408	2021-11-12 11:37:48	Yes	B3z4n4	Yes			
414	2021-11-16 10:55:27	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	no monitoring - how do you know what is acceptable waste - the concerns of the dump were addressed with this procedure. Changes add to the concerns. who defines acceptable - the company trying to reduce costs or the residents who will be impacted now and into the future.
415	2021-11-09 10:20:20	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	I've lived here [REDACTED] years. We didn't agree to a dump. We've been lied to and are being manipulated to accept this.
416	2021-11-16 09:46:15	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	Yes	No	its dumb. just leave the community as it is.
417	2021-11-16 09:33:37	Yes	B3z1b5	Yes			
424	2021-11-16 07:21:05	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	Twist it around all you want; the community was made a promise. You want to break it.
426	2021-11-16 06:54:36	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	Most of the waste currently delivered to and disposed of at Otter Lake is considered Inert Materials or Residual Materials, such that it does not need to be biostabilized prior to landfilling. I removed "most" of the arsenic from your drinking water. Here...take a sip. This proposed change is a departure from the original agreement.
430	2021-11-16 00:04:52	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	Decreasing the existing process dishonours the original agreement. Leave it alone or improve the system
431	2021-11-15 23:20:55	Yes	B3T1g1	Yes			
434	2021-11-09 13:32:39	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
435	2021-11-15 21:26:49	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	Yes	No	This change is unacceptable to the the community!
437	2021-11-15 21:17:27	Yes	B3z1b6	Yes			
438	2021-11-15 20:50:10	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes			
440	2021-11-15 20:07:07	Yes	B3z1b5	Yes	Yes	No	Broken promises for landfill sites, shame on you!!!! on you
441	2021-11-15 19:26:51	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	Why change what was originally agreed and decided upon regarding the sorting of the garbage. It protects our priceless drinking water.
446	2021-11-15 19:21:29	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes			
450	2021-11-15 19:12:13	No	B3Z1B6	Yes			

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
452	2021-11-15 18:47:18	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	You have misled the public. This "survey" is biased in that in the various "explanations" you defend your choice and offer no countering arguments. I was troubled before I took this "survey" ... I am left feeling utterly outraged. Shame on you!!!!
455	2021-11-15 17:56:20	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	Yes	No	
458	2021-11-15 16:55:07	Yes	B3t1k7				
461	2021-11-15 15:55:27	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	Yes	No	When this facility originally opened there was odour coming from the facility. That odour could be smelled throughout the community. If you are not going to honour the original agreement. I say shut the facility down.
462	2021-11-15 15:50:42	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	No	I do not believe that making people sort out the garbage is beneficial
464	2021-11-15 15:25:06	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	I think the green cart program is great, but I highly doubt compliance is as high as we're lead to believe. Especially from industrial, commercial, and high density residential sectors.
465	2021-11-15 15:35:49	Yes		Yes			
466	2021-11-15 15:32:08	No	B3Z0P7	Yes			
471	2021-11-15 12:25:46	No	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	You don't really know what the outcome will be, do you? If you're wrong, the resulting damage may be irreversible! You are taking an awful gamble to save money, and that feels like you neither value the environment nor your responsibility to the people you serve who live in it.
473	2021-11-15 12:31:09	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	No	I have no doubt that many people are trying to sort their waste. BUT YOU CAN'T USE THAT AS YOUR EXCUSE to remove the FEP. That is an unknown. Why, why, why do you to change a landfill that is on the world map for it's innovative technology????
480	2021-11-15 10:39:20	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	Stick to the original agreement
481	2021-11-15 10:56:31	Yes	B3t1n7	Yes	Yes	No	
484	2021-11-04 11:52:49	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	Yes	No	the agreement for the town dump in "my backyard ie otter lake" was agreed to for 25 years back in 1997. although having a dump so close was upsetting, it was "our turn" to have it. how very frustrating to have that deadline extended AND changes made (ie. no longer will 4000 tons of decaying matter be discovered prior to burial, as in 2019). It's time to locate the dump elsewhere.
485	2021-11-15 10:05:04	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
486	2021-11-15 08:43:10	No	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	No	No hard evidence has been given that HRM will remain compliant. Data could easily be collected (decrease in food waste/non-compliant materials picked up by the FEP/WSF, as one example) that would go a long way in addressing concerns, but to the best of my knowledge, all assertions are based on conjecture only.
489	2021-11-15 09:21:03	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes			
495	2021-11-15 06:32:53	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes			
500	2021-11-15 05:27:21	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	We are already passed the original timeline for the landfill from the initial agreement.
506	2021-11-14 21:35:47	No	B3Z1A1	Yes			
516	2021-11-14 17:12:19	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	Yes	na
528	2021-11-14 12:38:56	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	Removing the front end processor is against the agreement that the communities made with the HRM. The agreement stipulates that only 'Acceptable Waste' shall be landfilled, this will not be able to be monitored without the front end processing

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
536	2021-11-14 10:25:11	Yes	B3z0b7	Yes	Yes	No	Remaining compliant and doing everything possible to ensure that surrounding communities are not adversely impacted by the landfill are not the same thing. I expect that this facility operates at more than the minimum required to honor an agreement. The recurring "medium risk" concerns are not acceptable and could add up to a disaster.
546	2021-11-14 07:10:17	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	No	Shame on you !!! Put the dump in the south end and see how they take it
547	2021-11-14 06:57:28	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	As stated previously
559	2021-11-13 23:27:04	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	Weasel words that hedge the underlying obligations with platitudes. While I'm happy that HRM citizens have 'stepped up' more than expected at the time of the agreement, the reality is that expectations have evolved and - most importantly - STANDARDS SHOULD NOW BE HIGHER.
560	2021-11-13 23:19:28	No	B3Z4P2	Yes	No		
564	2021-11-13 20:40:52	No	B3Z0R3	Yes	Yes	No	I do not believe that very little food waste goes through the FEP/WSF, why wouldn't you want to bio stabilize before putting in the landfill. Doesn't make sense to me.
566	2021-11-13 20:39:22	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	What a joke
567	2021-11-13 20:30:54	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes			
571	2021-11-13 17:40:32	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	Break this promise with the community and create a municipality with no moral integrity to negotiate deals with any communities in the future. HRM should not be looking at the legal speak in this contract like some shifty, morally bereft con-man. Push this through and good luck with any future community deals.
572	2021-11-13 18:00:19	Yes	B3z1e1	Yes			
574	2021-11-13 17:18:43	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	More than twenty years have gone by and now it's another community's turn to take this process on. We did not agree to this forever.
575	2021-11-13 16:53:34	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	Yes	No	That agreement is the only reason there is land fill in our community.
583	2021-11-04 08:58:52	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	
593	2021-11-13 11:16:36	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
597	2021-11-13 11:05:13	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
600	2021-11-13 10:00:56	Yes	B3z1a9	Yes	Yes	No	
604	2021-11-13 09:00:42	Yes	B3t1j7	Yes	Yes	No	There is no community protection
606	2021-11-13 08:52:45	Yes	B3z1a2	Yes	Yes	No	
610	2021-11-13 08:24:03	Yes	B3Z0R8	Yes	Yes	No	
611	2021-11-13 08:38:30	Yes	B3t1h8	No			
612	2021-11-04 16:57:56	Yes	b3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	GFY
626	2021-11-13 04:20:39	No	B3z1b5	Yes			
627	2021-11-13 00:16:22	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	Again bs lies. You had a contract to shut down 25 yrs and yet your still here I my community
629	2021-11-12 22:56:37	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	No		
631	2021-11-12 22:01:33	No	B3Z4P2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
633	2021-11-12 21:35:21	Yes	B3T1h8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
634	2021-11-12 21:34:14	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
643	2021-11-12 19:50:07	No	B3Z4N8	Yes	Yes	No	
646	2021-11-12 19:10:50	Yes	B3Z1A4	Yes	Yes	Yes	



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
652	2021-11-12 17:55:29	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	Stop trying to go back on an agreement that was made to the surrounding communities to allow for a landfill to be out in just to save some money.
656	2021-11-12 18:03:42	Yes	B3t0a5	Yes	Yes	No	
657	2021-11-12 17:30:49	Yes	B3Z1P6	Yes			
659	2021-11-09 20:27:04	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	
667	2021-11-06 19:19:20	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	If it helps in anyway, the FEP should be maintained. No one wants a landfill in their community but when you have one, you want all measures available to be taken to make it a clean, odour free and environmentally safe as it can be. Every bit helps
670	2021-11-05 10:25:41	Yes	B3t0b1	Yes	Yes	No	
671	2021-11-12 14:29:04	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
672	2021-11-12 14:21:08	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	Yes	No	
674	2021-11-12 13:27:53	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	Not true
676	2021-11-12 13:29:39	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	Trends in waste treatment change over time and losing these positions set a negative precedence for future staffing of the landfill
680	2021-11-12 13:13:46	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes			
681	2021-11-12 13:01:10	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	
682	2021-11-12 12:51:55	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes			
683	2021-11-12 12:22:17	Yes	B3z1a4	Yes	Yes	No	I don't understand all that is proposed and the concerns but my hope is that we aren't risking animals, environment or unsightly smells and blowing garbage to save a bit of money. If it's working well, why change it. There's more to life than money.
684	2021-11-12 12:30:01	Yes	B3t1j9	Yes			
686	2021-11-12 11:39:54	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	Yes	No	I would love to save money, but history shows, this is not always the best approach. Double checking always keeps us safer from those who continue to not follow protocol
691	2021-11-12 11:31:45	No	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	No	Thought we learned from the Sackville Landfill. Why were they brought in in the first place If it didn't matter?
694	2021-11-12 11:33:06	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes			
697	2021-11-12 10:51:31	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	An agreement is an agreement.
700	2021-11-12 10:32:00	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	Stick to the original agreement. The public is not interested in these changes. Give up and move on already
703	2021-11-12 09:55:11	No	B3z0r5	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe waste can be sorted to the same degree This is not an improvement
705	2021-11-12 09:39:46	Yes	B3z1a3	Yes			
706	2021-11-08 17:16:47	No	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	
710	2021-11-12 09:30:26	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	
711	2021-11-12 09:39:05	No	B3z1a1	Yes	Yes		
714	2021-11-12 09:35:55	Yes	B3t1e5	Yes			
716	2021-11-12 09:31:18	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	
718	2021-11-12 08:56:42	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	People will still throw out food waste in privacy bags and over time when that waste is not being treated rodents, birds, odours, etc will get out of control

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
722	2021-11-12 08:13:27	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	The residents of the surrounding communities agreed to the placement of Otter Lake with the understanding that the FEP and WSF would be active throughout the lifespan of the facility. This move by the city is in blatant disregard of the original agreement, and while maybe not in violation of the specific provisions, is made clearly with disregard to the spirit of the agreement.
724	2021-11-12 07:53:21	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	No	This agreement with the CMC is like any other agreement that large business and HRM Council have, they don't follow the recommendations or support of these community groups. It's easy for other councillors to vote for the elimination of this process as the facility doesn't impact their communities. Let's honour the original expiry date agreement of this facility.
727	2021-11-12 08:13:51	Yes	B3Z4M9	Yes	Yes	No	More non stabilized waste than now is still more going into fill. Not an improvement.
729	2021-11-12 08:16:19	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	
731	2021-11-12 07:35:00	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	No	
734	2021-11-11 16:39:38	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes			
737	2021-11-11 18:38:28	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	Yes	No	
738	2021-11-11 18:30:56	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	
740	2021-11-11 18:09:09	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	The site was allowed to be built because of the sorting facility. To take it away is to stab this community in the back.
742	2021-11-11 17:24:51	No	B3T1H5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
744	2021-11-11 16:28:40	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	
750	2021-11-11 12:46:39	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	No	At the very least the FEP is a safety net to insure that all of the material being landfilled is "inert" or "residual". If the FEP is removed there is no safeguard in place and any future changes in the waste stream heading for landfill will not be detected or remediated. If the FEP is removed, the Otter Lake Landfill must be decommissioned.
754	2021-11-11 11:54:48	Yes	B3T2J9	Yes	Yes	No	With the increase of residence and businesses will the system be able to handle the ongoing increase in disposable products
755	2021-11-11 12:20:24	Yes	B3Z1A6	Yes			
756	2021-11-11 12:04:58	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	No support for breaking promises. Original agreement has already been altered
758	2021-11-11 11:30:44	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	The agreement that was made was in the best interests of our community in 1999. You are trying to change it to save money. That is not honouring the community by allowing this to happen.
764	2021-11-11 09:46:30	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	An agreement is an agreement signed years ago to not allow food waste into the landfill is money and profit more important to those running otter lake
765	2021-11-11 09:36:19	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
766	2021-11-11 09:41:01	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	No	
786	2021-11-10 20:32:28	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes			
787	2021-11-11 03:44:19	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
791	2021-11-10 20:35:01	No	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	I do not care what you say in all the above documentation. Take the dump from our area. The sooner the better. We are tired of having it in our back yard. We have had our turn. It is up to another area to be dumped on. hve uylota
792	2021-11-10 20:07:47	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	You need to honor your agreement.

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
793	2021-11-07 06:48:23	Yes	B3Z4P7	Yes	Yes	No	If I recall correctly the public and the community were told the landfill would only operate for 20 years.
794	2021-11-10 19:48:51	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
796	2021-11-10 19:35:45	No	B3t1c7	Yes	No		
797	2021-11-10 19:02:17	Yes	B3t1j6	Yes	Yes	No	Why are you breaking the agreement
802	2021-11-10 18:11:54	Yes	B3Z4P7	Yes			
803	2021-11-10 18:22:40	Yes	B3Z1A1	Yes			
811	2021-11-10 14:33:03	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	The agreement should mandate the FEP and WSF
813	2021-11-10 15:30:00	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	Nobody wanted this dump in their backyard, nobody. WE were promised that the organics would be removed to deter an increase in the rodent population. If you want to change the agreement with us, CLOSE THE DUMP. Find another community to take Halifax's Garbage.
821	2021-11-10 14:05:36	Yes	B3t2c7	Yes	Yes	No	Honour the agreement. Otherwise you may as throw every thing here back into the landfill. If it's not honoured anything said going forward is useless.
822	2021-11-10 13:59:12	Yes	B3t1g3	Yes			
824	2021-11-10 13:30:08	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes			
825	2021-11-10 12:23:51	No	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	No	To quote your own words "Most of the waste currently delivered"... Most of is not all. So some non acceptable waste WILL make it in. And that is against the agreement.
831	2021-11-03 20:37:26	Yes	B3z0b7	Yes	Yes	No	
842	2021-11-10 09:03:51	Yes	b3t1c8	Yes	Yes	No	Green cart programs still rely on the households to follow the guidelines of what can go in to a garbage bag. The statement of most of the waste is a vague measure that indicates there is still an unknown amount or unreported amount. 51% could be considered a "most" measure.
845	2021-11-10 08:36:21	No	B3T1K1	No			
846	2021-11-10 08:25:15	Yes	B3T0B1	Yes	Yes	No	
854	2021-11-04 08:06:12	Yes	B3Z1B8	Yes	Yes	No	"Acceptable waste" is too broad and the fact that it was originally poorly written is a problem
855	2021-11-10 07:20:17	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	
859	2021-11-10 06:48:08	Yes	B3T1H6	No			
860	2021-11-10 06:26:28	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	The green cart program could be so much more successful. Businesses put out all the sorting bins, but they all go in one once they are collected and leave the building - the bin marked Garbage which goes to Otter Lake.
864	2021-11-10 06:00:53	Yes	B3t1e6	Yes	Yes	No	
865	2021-11-10 05:00:14	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
866	2021-11-10 04:46:48	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	This is one of the most skewed surveys I've ever seen. Nothing impartial about this. I have no faith in HRM's ability to manage this.
867	2021-11-09 15:22:11	Yes	B3t1n9	Yes	Yes	No	We don't want a non sorted landfill
868	2021-11-09 22:33:05	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	It's not cool to look for loopholes in the agreement to fit your own agenda
869	2021-11-04 15:25:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Again. Organic waste should not be buried in the landfill".HRM is not providing any alternate solutions and appears to be committed to breaking the promise made to the public by retiring the FEP and WSF and not honouring the contractual Agreement that HRM signed with the public's agent (HWRS) in 1999.
871	2021-11-09 21:27:52	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
872	2021-11-09 21:14:13	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes			
873	2021-11-09 20:48:16	Yes	B3z1b5	Yes	Yes	No	It seems this survey is designed for you to justify forcing us to proceed with a bad bad idea. I will be there protesting at the landfill entrance. We've had the landfill in outer backyard for the last 20 years and to say there is no negative impact is not true. You can smell a stink when you pass the Timberlea exit on many days.
874	2021-11-09 21:05:55	Yes	B3Z4L5	Yes			
875	2021-11-09 20:02:17	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	No	By your own admission, the agreement stipulates that only waste bio-stabilized through the FEP is acceptable. You are putting the safety of our communities at risk for the sake of saving 2 million dollars. That is a pittance in the budget of HRM and it makes zero sense to me to remove something that has been working so well for 20 years.
877	2021-11-09 20:24:49	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes			
886	2021-11-09 19:32:11	Yes	B3Z1B7	Yes			
891	2021-11-09 19:10:01	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	
893	2021-11-09 19:01:00	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	
894	2021-11-09 17:54:43	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	Yes	No	Referencing terms of an agreement from 1999 is insufficient given we are now living in a different world, with different materials being disposed of. Be current and be proactive and honor the intent of this agreement - to protect the local people living near the waste facility. The response of HRM in this regard is completely disheartening.
896	2021-11-09 18:21:25	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	There is still a large amount of food waste going to Otter Lake
897	2021-11-09 18:06:35	No	B3Z1A7	Yes			
898	2021-11-09 17:38:11	Yes	b3t1g7	Yes	Yes	No	If the process was deemed necessary originally, to say that "The agreement does not specifically mandate" sounds more like a cop out than a scientific reason why it would no longer be needed.
899	2021-11-09 17:30:26	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	Yes	No	If the system we have is not the best in the world why do governments from all around the world come here to see the system.
902	2021-11-09 16:58:34	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	No	Sad working their way around not honouring the promise of not making it a landfill it's supposed to be a waste treatment facility not a landfill you are ruining the community there's going to be an increase in pollution air water land and increasing infestation in rodents and birds and then an increase again in-depth just due to poisoning from your baiting practices
903	2021-11-09 16:14:02	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	No	Same as my previous comment. Also what happened to the 20 year agreement?
904	2021-11-04 18:05:37	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	Once again, qualitative commentary for a quantitative issue. Show us the numbers. The raw data. By passing the community monitoring committee's role in the operation of Otter Lake is a misuse of power. It's almost as bad as this thinly veiled PR scheme you're trying to pass off as a survey.
909	2021-11-09 16:32:47	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	Yes	No	Seems that the current interpretation of the agreement is different than what the community was led to understand when Otter Lake was under development



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
910	2021-11-09 16:23:16	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	There are still many houses that I never see green carts in front of, suggesting there is a portion of organic waste going to the landfill. I would want to see data supporting the amount of organics delivered, which is not in the mitigation plan.
912	2021-11-09 16:08:32	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	
916	2021-11-09 15:49:15	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	Yes	No	Getting to the details of this is beyond the fact that residents of this area were told otherwise. Of course you can analyze this agreement and make your statements but ultimately, this is not what the public was told.
919	2021-11-09 15:28:47	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	Can't trust government
924	2021-11-09 14:51:54	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	No	Landfilling compostable materials is not acceptable. As residents we're asked to do our part and separate, HRM should do theirs
929	2021-11-09 14:29:10	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
930	2021-11-09 14:42:58	Yes	B3Z0B6	Yes			
931	2021-11-09 14:35:53	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes		Yes	
933	2021-11-09 13:57:44	Yes	b3z1a5	Yes	Yes	No	Without FEP/WSF oversight, compliance cannot be maintained. This is in contravention to the spirit of the initial agreement
936	2021-11-09 13:09:39	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	No		
941	2021-11-09 13:15:03	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
944	2021-11-09 13:17:56	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	
946	2021-11-09 12:50:39	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	Even if you are not breaking the original Community Agreement by letter, you are most certainly breaking it in spirit. This is nothing more than a cost saving measure being introduced to the detriment of the surrounding area of Otter Lake.
948	2021-11-09 12:30:38	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	The agreement very clearly was intended to have the FEP/WSF as part of how they were going to make this site a well run and safe site. Removing it would be breaking the commitment made to the local community that this site would not be turned into an old fashioned landfill with all the problems that come with that sort of facility.
950	2021-11-09 11:29:08	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	Great job legal team looking for wording loopholes
954	2021-11-09 11:42:48	Yes	B3t1e7	Yes	Yes	No	Using a loophole in an agreement that was meant to protect both the environment and the residents is deplorable. There is still waste being brought in that creates horrible smells and rodent issues. The problem still exists
956	2021-11-09 11:49:10	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Should have been gone years ago
957	2021-11-09 11:51:50	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	Yes	No	
958	2021-11-09 11:43:46	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes			
959	2021-11-04 22:16:03	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	the legally binding "acceptable waste" has been achieved though biostabilization with the FEP/WSF; what scientifically proven eco-friendly alternative can replace it?
961	2021-11-09 11:28:30	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	If the dynamics change it should be moved somewhere that is further away from a population
962	2021-11-09 08:05:43	Yes	b3t1j3	Yes	Yes	No	HRM cannot guarantee current tonnages will not increase as our population continues to increase.
964	2021-11-09 11:24:08	Yes	B3T1H6	No			
965	2021-11-09 11:11:17	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	
966	2021-11-09 11:16:21	Yes	B3T1G1				
967	2021-11-09 11:05:37	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	No	
970	2021-11-09 10:50:59	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	If it ain't broke don't fix it.

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
973	2021-11-09 10:41:12	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	
975	2021-11-09 09:33:49	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	Yes	No	
978	2021-11-09 08:55:19	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	Yes	No	
985	2021-11-09 08:08:57	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
987	2021-11-09 07:27:43	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	A deal is a deal. You honor it or you lose the respect of the people not just in our community but the entire HRM.
989	2021-11-09 07:30:09	Yes	B3t1c8	Yes			
990	2021-11-04 07:05:57	No	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	
991	2021-11-09 06:40:53	No	B3z1a2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
992	2021-11-09 06:15:07	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	
993	2021-11-09 05:59:40	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	The agreement said stabilized waste, You say above organic material is not stabilized, therefore this is still a violation of the agreement.
998	2021-11-09 04:34:13	No	B3Z1B5	Yes			
999	2021-11-08 23:44:20	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	No	So you are saying in the fine print this is how we plan to try and screw over the CMC. Then asking the question does this information address your concerns. Slow clap for whoever designed this survey and we will see if it holds up in court.
1000	2021-11-08 22:51:36	Yes	B3z1b6	Yes	Yes	No	I don't have confidence in the sincerity of a biased report, written with the purpose of justifying deactivation of this part of the facility. The residents of this area were promised by the council of the day that front end sorting would be a permanent part of the Otter Lake landfill.
1002	2021-11-08 22:17:36	Yes	b3t1j9	Yes			
1003	2021-11-08 21:52:13	Yes	B3T1K8	Yes	Yes	No	
1004	2021-11-08 21:23:27	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	No		
1006	2021-11-08 21:03:07	Yes	B3T1h9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1008	2021-11-08 20:43:59	Yes	B3t1j6	Yes			
1010	2021-11-08 17:49:55	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	Yes	No	This agreement was made to protect the community and the proposed changes will have a negative impact and go against the original agreement.
1011	2021-11-08 19:40:36	Yes	B3z1a9	Yes	Yes	No	
1013	2021-11-08 19:54:19	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	
1015	2021-11-08 19:41:27	Yes	B3z1a9	Yes	Yes	No	"Most of the waste" is very different than ALL of the waste. "Most" is not good enough
1023	2021-11-08 18:16:37	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	No	You are only concerned with saving 2 million dollars. Things have been working well all this time and now you are going to screw it all up. this why people don't trust government.
1025	2021-11-08 17:53:19	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	No	
1027	2021-11-08 17:51:15	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes			
1030	2021-11-08 17:27:06	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	You keep bringing up changes every few years. How can you ask this question and not think people are unhappy?
1031	2021-11-08 17:39:32	Yes	B3z1a7	Yes	Yes	No	
1032	2021-11-08 17:36:13	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	No		
1033	2021-11-08 17:33:51	Yes	B3z1a8	Yes	Yes	No	I'm not sure that is enough given the proposed changes
1037	2021-11-08 17:12:36	Yes	B3T1E6	Yes	Yes	No	
1038	2021-11-08 16:58:28	Yes	B3Z0R8	Yes	Yes	No	I do not believe the green bin system is 100%. Why take away the added protection of the FEP.
1040	2021-11-08 13:18:25	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes			
1043	2021-11-08 16:48:59	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1044	2021-11-08 16:56:44	Yes	b3z0a1	Yes			
1047	2021-11-08 16:28:00	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	No	Seriously? I also remember that we were promised that the landfill would only last 20 years.
1048	2021-11-08 16:16:45	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes	Yes	No	Government loopholes to screw the community and benefit a budget. Best way to lose any respect. The committee was formed for a reason, people fought for their community's best interest and it is not being honoured.
1052	2021-11-08 15:41:38	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	No	Specifically speaking the city is trying to wiggle out of an agreement, unless they are prepared to have the landfill operation in their back yard they should be grateful to the people of this district.
1053	2021-11-08 15:40:09	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes			
1057	2021-11-08 15:04:19	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	No one would have build more than half million dollar homes here if they knew this agreement wouldn't be honoured and that this system would be shut off
1059	2021-11-08 14:44:08	Yes	B3t1j1	Yes			
1062	2021-11-08 14:15:18	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes			
1068	2021-11-08 13:00:55	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	It's not right that you make an agreement with a community to put something that nobody wants and then come back and try to save money by renegeing on your part of the deal to make it a clean solution
1070	2021-11-08 12:36:46	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	
1072	2021-11-08 12:39:58	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	
1073	2021-11-08 12:21:31	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes		No	
1074	2021-11-08 12:18:10	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	No	will the drivers be looking closer at the bags going into there trucks to make sure people are following the rules
1078	2021-11-08 11:46:27	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1079	2021-11-08 09:58:17	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	<a href="https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/nova-scotia/residents-otter-lake-council-changes-1.6118189">https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/nova-scotia/residents-otter-lake-council-changes-1.6118189</a> A long court case may provide the clarification needed.
1081	2021-11-08 11:40:16	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	No	
1083	2021-11-08 11:40:47	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes			
1084	2021-11-08 11:15:49	No	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes		
1085	2021-11-08 11:05:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Whereas you state, if needed, equipment can be reactivated. This an indication your recommendations may not work.
1086	2021-11-08 08:45:33	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
1087	2021-11-08 10:29:46	Yes	B3t1h4	Yes	Yes	No	I didn't know there was an original agreement to worry about, but it brings up the subject of relying on the residents to properly use their green bins and garbage sorting. Are you really relying on that? After the increase in public dumping?
1091	2021-11-08 10:03:16	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes			
1099	2021-11-08 08:47:09	Yes	B3t1g7	No			
1100	2021-11-08 08:54:54	No	B3Z1E1	Yes			
1106	2021-11-08 08:40:36	Yes	B3T0B1	Yes	Yes	No	Trying to make changes to original agreement
1111	2021-11-08 08:30:29	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
1125	2021-11-08 06:56:53	Yes	b3t1h5	Yes	Yes	No	The by-products of solid waste deposited in a landfill has adverse effects on the surrounding environment and humans living closer to landfill sites. Sacrificing human living conditions, safety and the environment for money is WRONG.
1135	2021-11-07 21:03:45	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1136	2021-11-07 20:16:23	Yes	B3Z1B2	Yes	Yes	No	There is no defensible reason for altering the agreement that was put in place when the Otter Lake facility was out in our neighborhood. There have been numerous reports that indicate that there is still a large proportion of the population not managing their waste properly thereby increasing the tonnage of waste to the landfill.
1138	2021-11-07 21:04:04	Yes	b3t1g4				
1141	2021-11-07 20:16:43	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	Basically what you are saying is that the concerns of residents is secondary and that since you don't live in the area it doesn't matter
1146	2021-11-07 18:25:27	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	The agreement is a contract. FEP/WSF is part of the contract - honor it!
1148	2021-11-07 18:51:41	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	HRM can spin this anyway they like. Honour the agreement!!
1150	2021-11-07 18:25:35	No	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1153	2021-11-07 17:54:03	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	Otter Lake has already caused numerous problems in our community, including odours and a terrible rat problem. Any proposed changes should be focussed on making things BETTER, SAFER, MORE environmentally friendly, not the other way around. It is time to find a new site.
1154	2021-11-07 17:46:59	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes		
1156	2021-11-07 17:17:16	No	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	Stop being lazy, no one cares about "technically". There was an agreement with the community and you know you'll have trouble implementing a new site due to NIMBY. Rather than standing by the deal you're counting on a lack of participation during covid so you can be a lazy ass civil "servant"
1162	2021-11-07 16:10:15	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	Yes	Yes	My greatest concern going forward is that the type of waste which has changed, will change again, and while there is a plan to keep this FEP in place, ready to work, what will happen in the meantime?
1164	2021-11-07 13:15:12	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	Yes	No	Along with the written agreement, there were verbal agreements at the time that the processor and stabilization facility would remain as long as the landfill was open. organic waste is down to 44,000 tonnes but that is still a significant amount of odorous organic waste for the local community to deal with the ramifications of.
1166	2021-11-07 14:31:05	No	b3t1j1	Yes	No		
1168	2021-11-07 15:09:03	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	If the waste is not gone through then you cannot advise that only acceptable waste is being put in the landfill
1171	2021-11-07 14:43:36	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	You say the amount of organic waste sent to the land fill is 10% but you do not say 10% of what amount so it is unclear how much organic waste is actually sent to otter lake. I think all organic waste must be separated and treated before going in the land fill
1177	2021-11-07 14:08:08	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes			
1179	2021-11-07 13:49:37	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	Rather than defunding the facility, if we truly believe that the FEP/WSF is no longer necessary, we should be investing funds required for its operation in newer and better tech. Otter Lake was world-class. Make it world-class again.
1180	2021-11-07 14:05:52	No	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1186	2021-11-07 13:25:33	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1195	2021-11-07 11:05:26	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	we need to stay with the original plan



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1196	2021-11-07 10:50:23	Yes	B3T1E7	Yes	Yes	No	I feel that once council begins to make changes that will be it. They will never reinstate the current measures if problems arise.
1204	2021-11-07 10:24:43	Yes	B3t1j6	Yes	Yes	No	
1207	2021-11-07 09:37:19	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes			
1209	2021-11-07 09:00:42	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	Why should we have to deal with this and be taxed the same as everyone else. We shouldn't have to fight for clean air in our own backyard. We want this dump shut down. Fall river is a good spot for a dump send it there.
1210	2021-11-07 09:18:16	Yes	b3t1j5	Yes			
1212	2021-11-07 08:47:48	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes			
1213	2021-11-07 08:02:00	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	Putting a community and the environment at risk through loop holes in an agreement that the community entered into in good faith, trusting the municipality is despicable behaviour and 100% is eroding trust. ALL eyes from ALL communities are intently watching how the Municipality respects this agreement and treats the community that is DIRECTLY affected by these changes.
1218	2021-11-07 08:03:03	Yes	B3t1c1	Yes	Yes	No	No changes should be made
1220	2021-11-07 07:02:14	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1226	2021-11-07 06:19:12	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1227	2021-11-07 06:26:01	Yes	B3t1e9	Yes	Yes	No	
1228	2021-11-07 05:49:15	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes			
1231	2021-11-03 16:47:38	Yes	B3Z1B3	Yes	Yes	No	Do not agree with this interpretation
1234	2021-11-03 14:07:24	No	B3t0b1	Yes	Yes		
1235	2021-11-06 22:54:07	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	
1237	2021-11-06 22:27:27	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	It is easier to turn off restrictions than to turn them back on if the trends in waste diversion change.
1238	2021-11-06 22:11:58	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	Yes	No	
1243	2021-11-06 20:34:03	Yes	B3T1g3	Yes	Yes	No	
1245	2021-11-06 20:38:08	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	
1247	2021-11-06 20:16:52	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1248	2021-11-06 20:23:50	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	Yes	No	Why would we believe this? When will the next change be?
1249	2021-11-06 19:44:30	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	Yes	No	
1251	2021-11-06 19:16:43	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1255	2021-11-06 18:36:24	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	No	Things have worked well as a direct result of the current process. Please don't mess with his process. It's working.
1256	2021-11-06 18:45:14	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes			
1257	2021-11-06 18:22:14	Yes	B3t1g7	Yes	No		
1262	2021-11-06 17:45:16	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	Even with the long history of green carts in HRM, I don't think you can trust the residents to properly sort
1264	2021-11-06 16:55:43	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes			
1265	2021-11-06 17:28:55	Yes	B3t1j7	Yes	No		
1266	2021-11-06 17:02:44	Yes	B3t1h4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1267	2021-11-06 17:03:55	Yes	B3T1J8				
1269	2021-11-06 16:09:51	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	No	This dump was never suppose to handle organic material, as a life long resident of the area I remember being assured at community meetings and communication that this type of change in function would not happen. It is disgraceful that this is being proposed and it threatens the health and safety of residents.
1272	2021-11-06 16:10:29	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1277	2021-11-04 07:02:22	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	I don't see the logic. How is having 10% of waste going to the landfill not "acceptable waste" keeping the commitment/promise to the community?
1278	2021-11-04 12:01:56	No	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	No	
1283	2021-11-06 13:33:43	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
1284	2021-11-06 13:07:07	Yes	B3t1h9	No			
1286	2021-11-06 12:51:21	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	
1290	2021-11-06 11:43:02	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	the city always dishonours agreements. we were promised an end date and now you talk of an extension to the closing of the site. another broken promise
1291	2021-11-06 11:52:45	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	Yes	No	
1292	2021-11-06 11:38:55	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	Without the sorting in place, there is no way to make ensure the system works. You don't live here, you don't have to deal with it!
1297	2021-11-06 11:07:59	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes			
1298	2021-11-06 09:29:17	No	B3z1a4	Yes	Yes	No	I understand that there is still significant levels of putrescible waste that FEP/WSF was designed to biostabilize, and will no longer be biostabilized.
1299	2021-11-06 09:27:10	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	No		
1303	2021-11-06 09:09:20	Yes	B3T1L2	Yes			
1304	2021-11-06 09:00:32	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	inadequate. this is porpaganda, not a survey
1305	2021-11-06 08:55:14	Yes	B3t1g9	Yes	Yes	No	Honour the original agreement and do not change it
1306	2021-11-06 08:29:50	Yes	b3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	Start putting organics in...what is next?
1307	2021-11-06 08:25:15	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1308	2021-11-06 07:40:06	Yes		Yes			
1311	2021-11-06 08:24:50	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1316	2021-11-05 21:45:07	Yes	B3T1J4	No			
1321	2021-11-06 06:58:26	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	Yes	No	I am concern that proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF will harm the environment.
1326	2021-11-06 05:43:37	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes			
1327	2021-11-06 05:33:17	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes			
1330	2021-11-05 23:03:05	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	No		
1331	2021-11-05 21:57:28	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	Yes	No	Multi-unit buildings are increasing rapidly. These are potential sources of unseparated waste. The current landfill seems to be working but now HRM will start tinkering. If front end separation will reduce the risk, it should continue. If it costs extra money, it should be a small price for the whole of HRM to not have the landfill in their back yard!
1332	2021-11-05 22:30:16	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes			
1333	2021-11-05 21:49:28	Yes	B3t1c1	Yes	Yes	No	The community is thriving and growing. In my opinion changes to the agreement should not in any way enable an increase in waste not any negative effects be allowed to be increased even if only marginally.
1334	2021-11-05 21:54:50	Yes	B3T1G3	No			
1335	2021-11-05 21:51:32	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	No		
1336	2021-11-05 21:33:28	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	I flat out do NOT trust Mayor Savage and the council.
1337	2021-11-05 21:40:29	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	Yes	No	A commitment was made to the population of the Impacted area. Honour it
1339	2021-11-05 21:05:42	No	B3T1H4	Yes			
1340	2021-11-05 20:50:25	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	
1344	2021-11-05 20:04:54	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes			

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1346	2021-11-05 19:28:58	Yes	B3Z4b8	Yes	Yes	No	Typical government double speak employed when budgets are difficult.
1347	2021-11-05 16:24:45	No	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	A deal is a deal. Risking an environmental disaster to save money is unconscionable.
1349	2021-11-05 18:58:14	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes	Yes	No	I think there's a "letter of the agreement" and "spirit of the agreement" issue here. I know people who give their free time to sit on the monitoring committee in the spirit of community benefit. I think it's a betrayal to those people and the community to change the operations of the landfill to add more waste to the landfill.
1352	2021-11-05 18:45:51	Yes	B3t1e9	Yes	Yes	No	The agreement must be kept in place as that is the nature of agreements.. and also why the facility was allowed to be place here in the first place. There most certainly will be blockades and class action lawsuits if any changes to the agreement are made the will cause an negative impact to the community
1357	2021-11-05 17:41:33	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	Yes	No	HRM staff and councilors are naive to think that once the front end processing is removed that people are not going to throw organics back into the waste stream
1365	2021-11-05 17:06:31	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	Yes	No	The agreement was signed as is and should be honored
1366	2021-11-05 17:14:34	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes			
1367	2021-11-05 16:33:44	Yes	B3t1k1	Yes	Yes	No	We had to live with it for all these years but the measures gave us some reassurance that you cared. We currently get a sulfur smell from time to time but they take care of it. With all of the current is in place, there is no hope.
1372	2021-11-05 15:47:32	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	
1374	2021-11-05 15:53:33	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	
1377	2021-11-05 15:45:02	No	B3z1a1	Yes			
1379	2021-11-05 15:43:33	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes			
1380	2021-11-05 15:34:32	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	Personal sorting is not nearly as successful as indicated, and without sorting and oversight significant organic waste will go to the landfill.
1381	2021-11-05 15:39:24	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
1382	2021-11-05 15:28:06	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	We need more capital in our community like a new fire hall and community Center
1386	2021-11-05 14:46:49	Yes	B3t0a4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1387	2021-11-05 14:30:49	Yes	B3Z0R6	Yes	Yes	No	I am still concerned about the impact of increased residual material if the FEP/WSP is deactivated.
1389	2021-11-05 14:25:03	Yes	B3t1c8	Yes	Yes	No	
1391	2021-11-05 13:29:03	Yes	b3t1j7	Yes	Yes	No	HRM should not strive to be simply compliant, but rather, like the citizens RRR's we should strive to do better.
1392	2021-11-05 14:01:05	Yes	b3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	Even though it is not specified, changing the process goes against the intent of the agreement
1393	2021-11-05 13:48:50	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	Yes	No	Citizens are not sorting their waste properly. I know this because, every week, I clean up the mess left behind by my neighbours' waste. I've found organic in black garbage bags and blue recycle bags that have been ripped open by crows. Without the FEP/WSF, a significant amount of organic waste will end up in the landfill. In 2021, that is entirely unacceptable.
1397	2021-11-05 13:27:25	No	B3t1j1	Yes	Yes	No	
1398	2021-11-05 13:38:39	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes			

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1399	2021-11-05 12:39:08	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	No	No	Again, if the process for removing hazardous waste prior to landfilling is compromised, so too will be the commitment to landfilling only inert material.
1400	2021-11-05 13:08:19	Yes	B3T1G9	Yes	Yes	No	Again, without the FEP/WSF how do you know waste is Acceptable? This is not addressed in the report. Are we assuming there will be no delinquency in what is being discarded in black bags in future? This should be added as a potential risk in the report as well as what impacts increase in organic waste as well as chemicals would have ...
1403	2021-11-05 12:45:46	Yes	b3t2k4	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	
1405	2021-11-05 11:53:21	Yes	B3t1g8	Yes	Yes	No	This sounds like trying to weasel out of an agreement by getting technical with terminology. This is HRM blatantly trying to go back on contracts and promises to save a buck at the expense of the community. Plain as day.
1406	2021-11-05 11:32:38	Yes	b3t1h2	Yes	Yes	No	According to HRM staff, 4,097 tonnes of putrescible organics – organic matter liable to turn putrid with decay – were found in the residential garbage that arrived at the Otter Lake facility in 2019. The tonnage represents 10-12 per cent of the residential waste received that year.
1411	2021-11-05 10:47:19	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1413	2021-11-05 09:38:01	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	Why change a system/process that is working for one that could have negative results. I think the community has been more than understanding in putting up with this facility in our backyard.
1416	2021-11-04 11:40:18	No	B3Z1A2	Yes			
1417	2021-11-05 10:31:51	Yes		Yes			
1420	2021-11-05 09:52:16	No	B3Z1C1	Yes	Yes	No	
1421	2021-11-05 09:45:59	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	if this agreement changes, then we were duped
1422	2021-11-05 09:48:23	Yes	B3t1g4	Yes			
1425	2021-11-05 09:38:04	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes			
1429	2021-11-04 17:07:08	No	B3Z1A3	Yes	Yes	No	There is a purpose for the FEP/WSF and we don't need to be an experiment for removing it to see what happens. We need to remove as much organic materials as possible
1433	2021-11-05 07:26:02	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes			
1437	2021-11-05 06:56:17	Yes	B3t1n9	Yes			
1439	2021-11-05 05:54:48	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	Proposed changes break the agreement. I was here and at the public forums. I do not care what was in the contract/agreement. The Community was told (by Mirror and Dillon if I recall correctly!) that the FEP/WSF would be in place to prevent all concerns in this survey. Now they are saying that the FEP/WSF are not required? Not buying that!
1441	2021-11-05 06:12:23	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	The dump already should of been closed looking at the original site plan it was never ment to run this long
1442	2021-11-05 06:12:13	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	To cut a process just so that it will save money and make it more profitable. This will put the health and quality of the people who directly live/work/go to school at jeopardy
1448	2021-11-05 05:33:41	Yes	B3t1h9	No			
1450	2021-11-05 05:08:27	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes			
1451	2021-11-05 04:33:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Wordsmithing does not cut it here. We were also promised a connector highway from Timberlea to Hammonds Plains for closer access to airport....where is that?



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1453	2021-11-05 00:32:01	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	Yes	No	Why does HRM keep going against the agreement and extending the life of this dump. If the Fep/WSF system isn't necessary why have so many articles talking about how it's cutting edge been written about it.
1457	2021-11-05 00:05:15	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	
1460	2021-11-04 22:39:01	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	No	
1461	2021-11-04 22:38:33	No	B3T1E5	Yes			
1467	2021-11-04 21:27:35	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	Government trying to back out of promises made to tax payers. Shocking.
1469	2021-11-04 21:04:09	No	B3Z4P8	Yes	Yes	No	You are mischaracterising the agreement with the Halifax Waste/Resource Society.
1470	2021-11-04 20:44:31	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	Honor your commitment to the residents of the area
1471	2021-11-04 19:01:28	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes			
1473	2021-11-04 20:44:19	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes			
1476	2021-11-04 18:53:37	Yes	b3t1h4	Yes	Yes	No	
1477	2021-11-04 20:29:50	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes		
1478	2021-11-04 19:58:15	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	I'm still concerned. There are many people who still do not use the green bin and put all their garbage in the black bag. Even when they are reported nothing happens.
1482	2021-11-04 19:55:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes		
1484	2021-11-04 19:39:29	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	Yes		
1486	2021-11-04 19:38:26	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes			
1488	2021-11-04 19:19:14	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	No	Splitting hairs to save some money at communities' expense
1489	2021-11-03 16:47:23	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes			
1491	2021-11-04 19:03:04	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1495	2021-11-04 19:05:29	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes			
1497	2021-11-04 18:58:28	Yes	B3t1c6	Yes	Yes	No	
1499	2021-11-04 18:59:44	Yes	B3T1C6	No			
1501	2021-11-04 18:19:48	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	No	Having listened to the concerns raised by the other members of the Otter Lake Monitoring Committee, I am not convinced that the information being presented here by the city is accurate. I am not an expert in waste management systems, but trust that those who are familiar with the agreement believe it needs to be upheld.
1502	2021-11-04 18:39:01	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	
1504	2021-11-04 18:31:01	Yes	B3T1G5				
1506	2021-11-04 18:05:59	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	You will not know the impact until it's too late. It's unacceptable to go back on your agreement to save dollars. This facility is within very few kilometers of my HOME
1507	2021-11-04 17:17:44	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1508	2021-11-04 17:53:34	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1509	2021-11-04 17:43:26	Yes	B3Z1B2	Yes	Yes	No	
1511	2021-11-04 17:35:31	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	Still think it's needed to ensure proper sorting
1512	2021-11-04 17:32:50	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes			
1513	2021-11-04 17:12:24	Yes	B3t1g5	Yes	No		
1514	2021-11-04 17:10:56	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes			
1516	2021-11-04 16:49:10	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	Honour what you signed with the neighbouring communities.
1517	2021-11-04 16:42:28	Yes	B3t1g3	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1519	2021-11-04 15:32:44	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes	Yes	No	The green cart is fine as long as you have compliance, but I think the compliance rate is not as good as you are saying. It's similar to COVID vaccination compliance. People try and skirt the regulations, especially "anonymous" apartment dwellers who throw everything onto their green bags and toss it into the building's dumpster. THIS is why you need separation processes.
1521	2021-11-04 16:09:33	No	B3z1n2	No			
1523	2021-11-04 16:02:08	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes			
1525	2021-11-04 16:02:40	Yes	B3t1j3	Yes			
1526	2021-11-04 15:45:33	Yes	b3z0b7	Yes	Yes	No	
1527	2021-11-04 15:42:38	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	No	it is just more dumping on us.
1528	2021-11-04 15:50:58	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes			
1530	2021-11-04 12:00:25	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	I appreciate the current system
1533	2021-11-04 15:28:41	Yes	B3T1N7	No			
1534	2021-11-04 15:23:08	Yes	b3t1p1	Yes	Yes	No	do NOT deactivate the Otter Lake FEP/WSF
1540	2021-11-04 14:09:42	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	It is appear to that HRM is looking to save a buck, and Mirror group really does not care in that they get paid anyway. It would give them the right to close this facility with job loss
1542	2021-11-04 14:13:21	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	The landfill was to be removed from our area after a period of time not to go on indefinitely
1543	2021-11-04 14:20:17	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	
1546	2021-11-04 14:07:47	Yes	B3t1g5	Yes			
1548	2021-11-04 12:41:11	No	B3Z0C6	Yes	Yes	No	The original agreement signed with the public in 1999 was in principal to ensure the landfill would be and remain essentially an inner landfill, The FEP and WSF should be upgraded or replaced with something better but never should be retire . The output of WSF was never meet to be bury in the landfill and without the FEP the operator cannot diver banned and unacceptable material
1549	2021-11-04 13:38:21	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes			
1550	2021-11-03 15:47:25	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes			
1551	2021-11-04 12:47:17	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	Why would we try to get away with just"remain compliant with its commitments"? Shouldn't we be trying to make things even better, not trying to save a few pennies.
1552	2021-11-04 13:19:48	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes	Yes	No	We were promised the landfill would only be in our community for 25 years. Another location should be chosen, as promised. People are not always composting properly at source.
1553	2021-11-04 13:01:16	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	For the sake of a savings of \$2 million & taking a risk that the community where I live will be negatively impacted, is it really worth taking the risk?
1554	2021-11-04 13:19:04	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	
1555	2021-11-04 13:23:55	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes			
1558	2021-11-04 13:17:55	No	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1560	2021-11-04 12:48:26	Yes	b3t1h6	Yes	Yes	No	
1561	2021-11-04 12:45:07	No	b3z4m7	Yes			
1562	2021-11-04 12:45:14	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes			
1563	2021-11-04 12:19:45	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1565	2021-11-04 12:24:59	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes		
1566	2021-11-04 12:10:16	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1567	2021-11-04 12:08:51	Yes	b3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	unacceptable.
1570	2021-11-04 11:57:25	Yes	B3t1e1	Yes			

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1571	2021-11-04 11:52:08	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes			
1573	2021-11-04 11:42:15	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	Legal jargon..no proof existing arrangement isn't needed
1574	2021-11-04 11:46:39	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes			
1575	2021-11-04 11:36:59	Yes	B3T1G2	Yes	Yes	No	
1576	2021-11-04 11:40:29	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
1578	2021-11-04 11:27:13	Yes	B3t1g6	Yes	Yes	No	I do not want the FEP/WSF deactivated. Without the FEP more unacceptable materials will enter the landfill and nothing will be monitored. The reason that people don't put unwanted items in the garbage is because they know it is being monitored.
1579	2021-11-04 11:35:28	No	B3t1n8	Yes			
1580	2021-11-04 11:30:27	Yes	b3z1a2				
1586	2021-11-04 10:48:09	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1587	2021-11-04 10:41:17	Yes	B3t1j3	Yes	Yes	No	
1588	2021-11-04 08:59:10	Yes	B3t1h7	Yes	No		
1591	2021-11-04 09:07:25	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	The original agreement was and still is inadequate!
1592	2021-11-04 10:24:08	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes			
1593	2021-11-04 10:18:32	Yes	b3t1j7	Yes	Yes	No	
1597	2021-11-04 09:39:27	No	B3Z1B8	Yes			
1599	2021-11-04 10:04:29	Yes	B3T1L2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1602	2021-11-04 10:00:48	Yes	b3t1h1	Yes			
1604	2021-11-04 09:30:59	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	honour original agreement
1606	2021-11-04 09:26:11	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	We will see you in court to decide exactly what is in the agreement and what isn't, I guess.
1607	2021-11-04 09:26:37	Yes	B3z1a1	Yes	Yes	No	I am not confident that the removal of FEP/WSF system will not result in the placement of only "Acceptable Waste" within the landfill. There will likely be an increase risk of 'unacceptable waste' getting landfilled if this is done.
1608	2021-11-04 09:22:40	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	The original agreement also specified that the landfill would be closed by now. Please begin the process of looking for a new site.
1610	2021-11-04 09:28:16	Yes	B3T1P1	No			
1611	2021-11-04 09:18:14	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	It doesn't add anything to decrease my concern
1612	2021-11-04 09:11:23	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	
1614	2021-11-04 09:13:51	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes			
1615	2021-11-04 09:18:19	Yes	b3t1e5	Yes	Yes	No	
1617	2021-11-04 09:00:39	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	I expect there will be more likelihood of people not bothering to compost if they know they can get away with there being no front end inspection of dumped materials.
1618	2021-11-04 09:11:00	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	No	This survey is biased. Leaders are not responding to emails and letters from the community.
1619	2021-11-04 09:06:19	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	
1625	2021-11-04 08:59:55	No	B3z4b8	Yes			
1627	2021-11-04 08:54:25	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1628	2021-11-04 08:55:29	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes			
1630	2021-11-04 08:37:38	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	
1632	2021-11-04 08:40:01	Yes	B3t0a6	Yes	Yes	No	Put this facility in your back yard
1633	2021-11-04 08:42:48	Yes	B3t1k1	Yes			
1635	2021-11-04 08:02:36	No	B3Z4P2	Yes	Yes	No	Lesson learned for residents - if it is not in the contract in writing, and was a "gentlemen's agreement" it can be invalidated when required. Disappointing approach and explanation, especially given CMC public opposition to these changes.

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1640	2021-11-04 08:24:38	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	
1643	2021-11-04 08:22:13	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes			
1645	2021-11-04 08:12:52	No	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	N
1646	2021-11-04 08:04:35	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	No	This will only work if people commit to sorting their own garbage correctly, which will not happen.
1647	2021-11-04 08:04:52	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	
1649	2021-11-04 08:03:47	Yes	B3t1n7	No			
1653	2021-11-04 07:09:27	No	B3Z0B7	Yes	Yes	No	
1654	2021-11-04 07:12:12	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
1657	2021-11-04 06:58:21	Yes	B3T1G2	Yes			
1661	2021-11-04 05:54:23	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	If a better system is desired then come up with a better solution than just turning it off and thus creating other problems for 5 site and surrounding communities. Non solutions are not acceptable
1662	2021-11-04 06:16:57	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	We were promised in meeting after meeting before this site was selected that no changes would happen to the front load processing. This is a fight by the residents that should not have to be taking place. Period!!
1663	2021-11-04 06:25:23	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1665	2021-11-04 05:59:57	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1666	2021-11-04 06:08:58	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	If you lived in this community would you want the change? No you wouldn't and stop thinking we want it changed
1669	2021-11-04 05:35:32	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	No		
1673	2021-11-04 04:03:06	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1674	2021-11-03 23:29:36	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	Yes	No	You say that now MOST of goods being delivered to landfill CAN NOW BE CLASSED AS INERT MATERIALS. Nice sly. None of this information is verified and is just more garble. Our community has doubled in population and you can't start now to tinker around with what has worked so well for 20+ years to save a few bucks!!!
1676	2021-11-03 23:06:06	Yes	B3t1j1	Yes	Yes	No	The additional processes of sorting and treatment have no negative effect on the waste management process, if thier removal has no positive impact how can it be justified
1678	2021-11-03 22:44:00	Yes	B3t0a6	Yes	Yes	No	Again, disregards concerns
1685	2021-11-03 22:11:38	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	Yes	No	
1686	2021-11-03 22:03:40	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	No	Changes to the original agreement are not okay
1687	2021-11-03 21:45:00	Yes	B3T1k1	Yes	Yes	No	The original agreement and program should be honored and followed to ensure compliance with the hosting agreement. Even though the green cart program is in place this should be looked at as a multiple barrier approach to maintain and perhaps enhance the protection measures for the landfill.
1688	2021-11-03 21:28:30	No	B3z0e3	Yes	Yes	No	You are just using vague words to try and save some money. Trusting residents with the assumed success of the green cart program is foolish. We are lazy and careless people, the FEB/WSF is our safeguard against our inherent stupidity.
1690	2021-11-03 21:54:38	Yes	B3t1e1	Yes	Yes	No	
1698	2021-11-03 21:19:14	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes		
1700	2021-11-03 21:18:46	Yes	B3z0b6	Yes			
1701	2021-11-03 21:09:16	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	Speculative and lobbying to save money. This is going back on commitments to the communities
1702	2021-11-03 21:06:16	No	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	There is no guarantee that the information you are providing is accurate



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1704	2021-11-03 20:34:32	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	You're basing your position on a dubious reading of the agreement and proceeding in BAD FAITH with the intent of the agreement.
1705	2021-11-03 21:00:21	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes			
1707	2021-11-03 20:42:09	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes			
1708	2021-11-03 20:45:00	No	B3T1h1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1709	2021-11-03 20:44:31	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes			
1711	2021-11-03 20:35:45	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes			
1713	2021-11-03 20:37:12	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes	Yes	No	
1714	2021-11-03 20:17:03	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1717	2021-11-03 20:30:25	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes			
1719	2021-11-03 20:22:33	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	
1723	2021-11-03 20:09:36	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	No	
1724	2021-11-03 19:56:33	Yes	B3T2C7	Yes	Yes	No	Not all people properly dispose of or separate waste.
1725	2021-11-03 20:05:26	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	
1727	2021-11-03 19:19:43	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes	No	I've been told they had to fight hard to secure the agreement, and the current procedures get national recognition, I would like to see what they fought so hard for to stay in place
1729	2021-11-03 19:34:09	No	B3Z0b7	Yes			
1730	2021-11-03 19:28:16	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	
1733	2021-11-03 19:18:41	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	
1736	2021-11-03 18:59:16	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	No	An agreement is a contract... breaking the contract will cost
1737	2021-11-03 19:17:06	Yes	B3t0b1	Yes			
1739	2021-11-03 19:06:12	Yes	B3t1c6	Yes	Yes	No	
1743	2021-11-03 18:49:09	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes	No	Proper community consultation is required, and there seems to be a constant request for change without notice. This is our community, the landfill is a tenant. Stop trying to save money instead of the environment and our community
1744	2021-11-03 18:57:02	Yes	B3t1n5	Yes	Yes	No	
1745	2021-11-03 19:06:36	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes			
1746	2021-11-03 18:47:19	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	People do not sort properly. The current system ensures things go to their proper place
1748	2021-11-03 18:51:42	Yes	B3T1H5	Yes			
1749	2021-11-03 18:54:05	Yes	B3T1e2	Yes	Yes	No	
1750	2021-11-03 18:41:25	Yes	B3t1n9	Yes	Yes	No	
1751	2021-11-03 18:41:30	Yes	B3t2c7	Yes	Yes	No	
1755	2021-11-03 18:21:33	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	The system is working beautifully the way it is now. Changing it will be a huge detriment to our community. We never would have agreed to it initially if we thought that they would go back on their word. You can put certain other procedures in place, but the fact is there will be more smell, more rats and more damage to the environment by changing how this is currently run.
1756	2021-11-03 18:25:28	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1758	2021-11-03 18:01:26	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	You still get such waste, and it still needs to be treated
1760	2021-11-03 18:00:28	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1762	2021-11-03 18:03:46	No	B3T1J7	Yes	No		
1768	2021-11-03 17:18:17	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes			
1769	2021-11-03 17:31:01	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	Need to stick with original agreement and close it and move it somewhere else
1770	2021-11-03 17:30:19	Yes	B3t1g2	Yes	Yes	No	Nice try at playing playing words. An agreement is an agreement.

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1771	2021-11-03 17:23:02	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	You're interpreting a document to suit your needs. Absolutely disgraceful.
1773	2021-11-03 17:25:28	Yes	b3t1g8	Yes	Yes	No	
1777	2021-11-03 17:02:51	Yes	B3T1G3	No			
1780	2021-11-03 16:41:40	No	B3T1G4	Yes	No		
1784	2021-11-03 16:30:37	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	No	Blah blah.
1787	2021-11-03 16:24:36	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1790	2021-11-03 15:18:48	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	This deactivation is driven my cost and not by what is right for the community. The right thing to do for the community is to meet the obligations that were previously agreed to. If not, go put this facility in some rich white neighbourhood.
1791	2021-11-03 15:48:28	Yes	b3t1c6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1792	2021-11-03 15:54:37	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes			
1794	2021-11-03 15:02:31	Yes	B3T1E6	Yes	Yes	No	Unacceptable waste will go into the landfill once FEP/WSF is removed. As stated earlier relying on households to sort their rubbish has been shown to not be reliable
1796	2021-11-03 15:29:10	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1798	2021-11-03 15:24:18	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes			
1799	2021-11-03 15:11:55	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	
1802	2021-11-03 15:06:44	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	
1807	2021-11-03 14:48:59	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	This is using a technicality that should be implicit in the spirit of the agreement
1808	2021-11-03 14:48:41	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	No	These terms were Agreed to as a condition of siting the landfill. You can't break the terms of the agreement and there is precedent for the department of the environment to not allow these measures to take place. It was unanimously passed in the legislature. Nothing has changed since 2013.
1809	2021-11-03 14:43:40	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1813	2021-11-03 14:09:13	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	I want the original agreement to be upheld, protecting the investment I made in property in the area and protecting my quality of life living in surrounding communities.
1815	2021-11-03 14:25:59	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	We were part of the community in 1999 when this all took place, so we are well aware of what went on. We have done our part by taking on this facility for 25 years, and now it's time the Municipality lived up to its side of the bargain.
1819	2021-11-03 14:10:05	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	No	The city is nitpicking the wording of this agreement and that is not okay
1820	2021-11-03 13:05:14	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	This is simply an obfuscation to cover a Peninsular HRM betrayal of a promise & eco-racism. Beechville's proximity to this facility has the stink of Africville. Yeah, that dump was next door too. Shame.
1821	2021-11-03 13:05:04	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	I am so upset that this is even being considered...I don't believe the "sunshine and lollipops" information on this survey. My understanding at the time was that the FEP/WSF is included in the agreement. Without this agreement the project would not have been approved. I could not open the link for the agreement.
1822	2021-11-03 12:16:49	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	No	Beachside you are still not adhering to what was agreed upon originally. If you are taking out the equipment, the agreement is broken and the landfill closed
1823	2021-11-03 13:04:31	Yes	B3Z4M2	Yes	Yes	No	Agreement is an agreement
1824	2021-11-03 12:42:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	Let's ensure any hazardous waste is identified

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Honouring the Original Community Agreement							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with honouring the original Community Agreement?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1825	2021-11-03 12:23:10	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	Yes	No	
1826	2021-11-03 12:09:07	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	No	You say commitments are being met but in 2021 there should be more stringent requirements not less. Despite what you say the dump still stinks off and on. I don't believe that what you are proposing will benefit the residents/ businesses in this area
1827	2021-11-03 12:23:59	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	Yes	No	
1828	2021-11-03 12:00:58	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	I'm not interested in "mitigation". HRM promised to maintain the waste facility at a very high level, and I expect HRM to keep its promise to the community. We will not need "mitigation" if HRM does not downgrade the quality level with which it has been running the facility. I DO NOT AGREE WITH THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS.
1829	2021-11-03 10:32:33	No	B3Z1B1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1830	2021-11-03 10:33:12	No	B3Z0C6	Yes	Yes	No	If organics arrive at Otter Lake, the FEP/WSF are required
1831	2021-11-03 11:02:46	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	No	I am opposed to this changing. Keep it intact don't endanger the health and property values
1832	2021-11-03 10:55:01	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes			
1833	2021-11-03 09:50:24	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	I believe that the agreement reached in 1999 addressed many concerns of those that live close to Otter Lake and that by trying to invoke perceived loopholes in order to bring major changes to an agreement is unacceptable. The original agreement and its intent should be respected, if not it brings HRMs credibility in question.
1834	2021-11-02 14:13:03	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	You cant pick and choose what parts of the agreement you want. We do not want this added risk. A promise was made to us when we allowed the land fill to go here. Why do you keep asking Please stop. Keep your promise, honor ALL of the agreement. Leave Otter Lake Alone.

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
5	2021-12-04 13:27:05	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes			
6	2021-12-04 12:39:48	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	
8	2021-12-04 07:07:42	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	Yes	No	
9	2021-12-04 06:33:44	Yes	B3t1g9	Yes			
10	2021-12-04 02:33:16	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	Leaving the FEP/WSF there in case it's needed in the future, does not give confidence that it's not needed.
11	2021-12-03 21:37:38	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	No	I am concerned that if anything should happen the repairs to fix the equipment would be too great and then the government would just look at us and say sorry for your luck. That really sucks!
19	2021-12-03 20:20:59	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
20	2021-12-03 19:08:21	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	If you need to keep the FEP/WSF 'just in case', obviously what HRM is trying to do is risky and shouldn't be done! Keep the FEP/WSF.
21	2021-12-03 19:54:38	Yes	B3Z4N4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
22	2021-11-06 14:53:34	No	B3Z4N4	Yes	No		
31	2021-12-03 12:59:41	No	B3z4p7	Yes	Yes	No	Just honour the original agreement!
32	2021-12-03 12:35:11	No	B3Z1A7	Yes	Yes	No	It should not be deactivated to begin with.
33	2021-11-13 15:08:28	Yes	B3Z0A2	Yes	Yes	No	To have the system in standby kind of show that everyone currently do not know the total degree of impact the deactivation will have. Giving more reason to keep the FEP/WSP in operation
34	2021-12-03 11:45:55	Yes	B3Z0E3	Yes	Yes	No	
39	2021-12-03 11:03:31	No	B3Z4B8	Yes	No		
43	2021-12-03 10:19:56	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes			
45	2021-12-03 09:58:37	Yes	B3Z4M2	Yes			
49	2021-11-04 09:54:17	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	Yes	No	I don't trust them. everything said is usually sugar coated.
60	2021-12-02 22:25:31	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
61	2021-12-02 20:55:00	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	There are always promises that aren't kept
62	2021-12-02 19:31:27	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
65	2021-11-03 17:45:52	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes			
69	2021-12-02 08:44:35	Yes	b3t1g1	Yes	Yes	No	the affected community does not trust HRM's discretionary powers it grants itself as to when the fep and wsf is reactivated. Moreover, the dillon study in their assumptions did not provide approval for the absence of the fep & wsf should the ICI return. In fact, their stated assumption was that the FEP/WSF would continue to be exported..
74	2021-12-01 17:41:09	No	B3T1P1	Yes			
76	2021-12-01 15:43:35	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	No		
80	2021-12-01 07:00:50	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
82	2021-11-30 20:25:42	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes		
88	2021-11-30 18:37:55	No	B3Z4P7	Yes	Yes	No	What is the decision process that will lead to deactivation? What would be the trigger points to start deactivation?
90	2021-11-30 16:46:22	Yes	B3Z1C1	Yes			
99	2021-11-29 21:36:15	Yes		Yes			
101	2021-11-29 19:39:20	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes			
107	2021-11-29 11:08:59	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	Yes	No	Once it's gone and the city staff save their money from firing the staff, it will be used for downtown projects. Since this project started the city has tried to destroy it with cheaper options. I am tired of the city forgetting about Timberlea. It's time we start dealing with our waste and stop trying to cut corners.
108	2021-11-29 10:32:18	Yes	B3t1g6	Yes	Yes	I do not have any concerns	



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
109	2021-11-29 09:58:39	Yes	B3t1g7	Yes			
110	2021-11-29 09:21:21	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	
115	2021-11-28 11:44:47	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	No	The people will be gone. The money to restart won't exist. Excuses upon excuses will be provided to not restart. It'll interfere with the bottom line which is why they want to shut it down
117	2021-11-28 10:06:33	No	B3z1c2	Yes	No		
119	2021-11-28 06:53:55	No	B3Z0C6	Yes	Yes	No	What are the costs to store and maintain unused equipment and what lengths would the city go to to avoid reinstating it? It's always easier and less costly in the long run to maintain and use something than discard and subsequently reactivate it
120	2021-11-27 21:32:29	Yes	b3z0a1	Yes	Yes	No	
121	2021-11-27 18:08:27	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	Yes	No	
130	2021-11-27 06:08:42	No	B3T1H6	Yes			
131	2021-11-26 18:29:35	Yes		Yes			
132	2021-11-26 15:49:04	No	B3Z1E1	Yes	No		
156	2021-11-25 17:57:02	Yes		Yes			
157	2021-11-25 17:25:24	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	It shouldn't be inactivated ever and be built some where else beside a shopping district.
161	2021-11-25 14:32:29	No	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	I don't trust that it will happen. Not in a million years.
168	2021-11-25 11:07:39	Yes	B3T1E7	Yes	No		
169	2021-11-25 11:05:59	Yes	B3Z1N4	Yes	Yes	No	n
170	2021-11-25 10:08:36	Yes	b3t1h1	Yes	Yes	No	you say you're going to keep the equipment but believe as time goes on it will disappear and you all play ignorant and say "I don't know what happened to it"
172	2021-11-25 09:54:02	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	If there are issues, they will need to be considerable in order for the facilities to be put back into service. Once staff is gone, it's gone.
181	2021-11-25 07:26:32	Yes	B3t1n5	Yes	Yes	No	All of these precautions sound wonderful but how long can this be continued? Once you shut it down, the possibility of a restart becomes more remote with each passing cycle.
185	2021-11-25 07:18:48	Yes	B3t0a6	Yes	Yes	No	Government will lie. This survey is proof in itself of the deception
187	2021-11-25 06:42:33	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	
192	2021-11-25 05:08:05	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes	Yes	No	
198	2021-11-24 12:21:32	Yes	B3T1L2	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ridiculous. Makes more sense to keep it active. If it's not broke, why fix it!
201	2021-11-24 11:54:28	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/WSF active.
203	2021-11-24 09:04:21	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	No		
206	2021-11-14 07:19:32	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	It would be another fight to reopen and the damage will have been done too long by that time!
210	2021-11-15 19:26:29	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	No		
211	2021-11-23 19:29:41	Yes	B3z4b8	Yes			
216	2021-11-23 15:55:50	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/WSF active"
217	2021-11-23 15:20:26	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes			
218	2021-11-23 15:08:55	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/WSF active.
219	2021-11-03 14:41:21	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	No		
221	2021-11-23 13:47:47	Yes	B3T1N6	No			
224	2021-11-23 12:32:20	No	B3T1H8	Yes			
236	2021-11-22 18:40:08	Yes	B3t1k1	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
237	2021-11-22 18:02:45	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	Dormant mechanical equipment doesn't last for long. By the time you decide that it needs to be turned on again it will all have to be repurchased and that cost will serve as another deterrent to turning it back on to fix what's gone wrong by turning it off in the first place.
239	2021-11-22 16:06:06	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	There is nothing to indicate how much reactivating the system would cost. If problems arise, it could cost more than two million to fix things and/or reactivate the system.
241	2021-11-22 15:52:47	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes			
245	2021-11-22 15:00:25	Yes	B3T1I2	Yes			
246	2021-11-22 14:31:14	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	Yes		
252	2021-11-22 10:29:13	Yes	b3z1b6	Yes	No		
256	2021-11-22 08:51:00	Yes	B3T1E1	Yes			
261	2021-11-21 21:23:53	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	I am less concerned with the ability and more concerned with the willingness of HRM Council to restart it even if that would be in the best interests of area residents.
262	2021-11-21 19:24:14	Yes	B3T1K8	Yes	Yes	No	There is no way to retroactively correct what has already been buried. All of the extra loads of waste that was added to the landfill daily, since the shutdown of the system, will not be removed and put through FEP/WSF System as it would have been done if the system was not deactivated.
271	2021-11-21 07:36:12	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
273	2021-11-21 06:17:22	Yes					
274	2021-11-21 05:29:13	Yes	B3Z0E3	Yes			
280	2021-11-20 15:12:21	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	No		
282	2021-11-20 13:50:38	No	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	This is being implemented as a money saver. Everything listed above is basically what is going on now but without the operation expense and paying for labour. This seems like an opportunity for some money to be, instead of paying for labour, to instead be pocketed by someone who is doing just fine already.
283	2021-11-20 13:45:48	Yes	b3z1b5	Yes	Yes	No	After a few years, if not in use, I suspect we shall hear it was too costly to maintain.
286	2021-11-20 06:33:59	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
290	2021-11-19 20:39:47	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
291	2021-11-19 20:19:27	No	B3Z4M8	Yes			
294	2021-11-19 18:47:39	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	Yes	No	
295	2021-11-05 07:00:55	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes			
296	2021-11-19 18:11:41	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	
298	2021-11-19 17:29:57	No	B3Z1A1	Yes			
299	2021-11-19 17:18:58	Yes	B3T1K8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
303	2021-11-19 13:43:02	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	
305	2021-11-18 08:56:37	Yes		Yes			
308	2021-11-19 13:17:59	No	b3z1a1	Yes	Yes	No	What is the testing schedule? This is not mentioned.
311	2021-11-19 12:09:06	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	You are making assumptions that are not true
318	2021-11-19 10:48:40	Yes	B3Z0B9	Yes			
319	2021-11-19 09:50:39	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	we are given a rosy picture of the landfill and no real way to address the closure of the facility.
320	2021-11-04 10:08:10	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	Yes	No	I do not feel that this will be brought back once removed
321	2021-11-19 10:09:52	No	B3Z1A1	Yes			
322	2021-11-19 09:54:14	Yes	B3Z0C6	Yes	No		
323	2021-11-19 09:53:08	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	No because there is no proof it will be maintained? Trust is low

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
324	2021-11-05 19:00:42	No	B3z1a4	Yes	No		
325	2021-11-19 09:42:37	Yes	b3t1j3				
326	2021-11-19 09:37:22	Yes	B3t1c1	Yes	No		
327	2021-11-19 09:32:40	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	No		
333	2021-11-19 08:29:03	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
334	2021-11-19 08:01:46	Yes	b3t1c1	Yes			
335	2021-11-19 07:38:52	No	B3r1a3	Yes	Yes	No	It will be much more difficult to return to the existing system than you are proposing. Very difficult to turn bad and more costly to fix, so will be too expensive to do so
337	2021-11-18 19:34:24	Yes	B3T1c1	Yes	Yes	No	I want to know who is monitoring and checking that these procedures are performed to the letter of the agreement. There doesn't appear to be oversight of these procedures. If rather spend the money to use the equipment then maintain it as it was put in place as an effective component of the process
338	2021-11-18 19:12:19	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
339	2021-11-18 15:25:16	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes			
341	2021-11-18 13:22:55	Yes	B3Z1A4	Yes	Yes	No	Why are you not specifying under what conditions the FEP will go back into operation? If the FEP is not needed now, why are intelligent HRM citizens upset? Why the non-transparency?
345	2021-11-18 07:07:28	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	No		
346	2021-11-18 06:20:03	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	No		
347	2021-11-18 03:50:21	No	B3z0e3	Yes	Yes	No	Organic I have ever confidence that our govt will never reactive the the system, unless there is enormous pressure form the community. Something they seem to be willing to ignore. Organic waste should never be buried in a landfill. The FEP/WSF should always be operated at our landfill.
355	2021-11-17 18:26:33	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes			
357	2021-11-13 22:48:08	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
360	2021-11-17 16:39:08	Yes	B3t1g5	Yes	No		
363	2021-11-17 15:28:28	Yes	B3T2K1	Yes			
365	2021-11-16 12:57:50	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	Just another promise that will be broken. 2 years from now we'll hear how the costs to reactive will put too much strain on the HRM's budget. This community is angry ! We have been lied to ! There is no trust and this survey illustrates why !
367	2021-11-17 12:59:17	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	
370	2021-11-17 12:04:06	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	HRM does not negotiate in good faith or honour agreements as evidenced by multiple attempts to remove the fewp whenever they loose
371	2021-11-17 11:14:48	No	B3Z1C2	Yes	Yes	No	The damage that can occur will be done reactivation only proves you shouldn't have deactivated it.leaving it as a reactive plan is not OK. We need to be proactive
376	2021-11-17 05:51:40	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	We all know that once somethingbis shut down to save money, it never gets restarted.
377	2021-11-17 05:55:25	No	b3t1e1	Yes	No		
383	2021-11-16 20:49:29	Yes	B3t1j1	Yes	Yes	No	
385	2021-11-16 20:37:49	No	B3z4e9	Yes	No		
386	2021-11-04 21:17:46	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	No	
390	2021-11-16 19:52:37	No	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes		If not in used there will be problems reactivating.

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
393	2021-11-16 15:24:34	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Finding people with the skills and knowledge to operate the FEP/WSF may not be easy. Also, the technology for FEP/WSF-type processes has almost certainly improved since 1999 and the Otter Lake facility should incorporate improvements if/when reactivated.
399	2021-11-16 14:36:36	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes			
400	2021-11-16 14:22:06	Yes	B3Z1A2	Yes			
401	2021-11-16 13:51:53	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes			
406	2021-11-15 16:24:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	We all know this will never be reactivated, great due diligence on throwing a survey monkey out there to make it look like community engagement though.
408	2021-11-12 11:37:48	Yes	B3z4n4	Yes			
414	2021-11-16 10:55:27	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	bottom line is money - once gone it will be more difficult to reactivate - as you are experiencing in trying to deactivate!
415	2021-11-09 10:20:20	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	I've lived here 20 years. We didn't agree to a dump. We've been lied to and are being manipulated to accept this.
416	2021-11-16 09:46:15	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	No		
417	2021-11-16 09:33:37	Yes	B3z1b5	Yes			
424	2021-11-16 07:21:05	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
426	2021-11-16 06:54:36	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	No		
430	2021-11-16 00:04:52	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	If that much work is required to keep it on standby then leave it running. Let's be real with each other once removed it won't be back
431	2021-11-15 23:20:55	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes			
434	2021-11-09 13:32:39	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	No		
435	2021-11-15 21:26:49	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	Yes	No	
437	2021-11-15 21:17:27	Yes	B3z1b6	Yes			
438	2021-11-15 20:50:10	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes			
440	2021-11-15 20:07:07	Yes	B3z1b5	Yes	Yes	No	How much is the environment worth to you? Hazardous waste as poisoned the streams and rivers in this area already and we have learned nothing! and we have learned nothing
441	2021-11-15 19:26:51	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	No		
446	2021-11-15 19:21:29	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes			
450	2021-11-15 19:12:13	No	B3Z1B6	Yes			
452	2021-11-15 18:47:18	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	Once discontinued, you will offer arguments against reinstating it. Better you simply keep it in place.
455	2021-11-15 17:56:20	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	No		
458	2021-11-15 16:55:07	Yes	B3t1k7				
461	2021-11-15 15:55:27	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	Yes	No	Honour the original agreement
462	2021-11-15 15:50:42	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	No	
464	2021-11-15 15:25:06	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	This helps, but what priority will regular maintenance see once the equipment is shut down? Just because its off doesn't mean it can't fall into disrepair. Bearings may settle, rust may form. Will this maintenance be defunded next year?
465	2021-11-15 15:35:49	Yes		Yes			
466	2021-11-15 15:32:08	No	B3Z0P7	Yes			
471	2021-11-15 12:25:46	No	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	Pardon my language, but this is BS. You've focused on the operational side, but it's far more difficult, politically, to undo mistakes we've made with the environment than it is to protect the environment in the first place!



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
473	2021-11-15 12:31:09	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	No	And when would you determine all these wonderful things could be done? Before or after the environment is polluted
480	2021-11-15 10:39:20	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	No		
481	2021-11-15 10:56:31	Yes	B3t1n7	Yes	No		
484	2021-11-04 11:52:49	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	Yes	No	the damage has already been done by burying slow decaying material, restarting may be too little too late/
485	2021-11-15 10:05:04	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
486	2021-11-15 08:43:10	No	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	No	There is no time line given for how long the equipment will remain in this state, and if there is a requirement to activate, is there a budget to address any additional costs incurred in correcting any deficiencies that will have occurred during the inactivation period.
489	2021-11-15 09:21:03	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes			
495	2021-11-15 06:32:53	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes			
500	2021-11-15 05:27:21	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	I am not confident that facilities will be kept functional should they need to be put into production
506	2021-11-14 21:35:47	No	B3Z1A1	Yes			
516	2021-11-14 17:12:19	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	Yes	na
528	2021-11-14 12:38:56	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	Equipment is rarely put back into use, especially if it is saving the HRM money. This removal goes against reducing our footprint and sets us back years. If HRM goes ahead with this it will destroy the trust of the communities and that will be difficult to regain. This is the wrong thing to do
536	2021-11-14 10:25:11	Yes	B3z0b7	Yes	Yes	No	Once the system is shut down, although maintained, I have very little faith that the municipality will ever run it again. What degree of degradation to the conditions surrounding the landfill is acceptable to HRM? I suspect it is much higher than what those of us living here would choose to live with.
546	2021-11-14 07:10:17	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	No	If it is reactivate it will be too late and everything you said would happen did and destroying my community in the process
547	2021-11-14 06:57:28	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	No		
559	2021-11-13 23:27:04	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
560	2021-11-13 23:19:28	No	B3Z4P2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
564	2021-11-13 20:40:52	No	B3Z0R3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
566	2021-11-13 20:39:22	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	
567	2021-11-13 20:30:54	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes			
571	2021-11-13 17:40:32	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	Broken Promises. Hollow facts especially promising something on the foundation of a broken promise. Shame on this council for even considering this.
572	2021-11-13 18:00:19	Yes	B3z1e1	Yes			
574	2021-11-13 17:18:43	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	No		
575	2021-11-13 16:53:34	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	Yes	No	Plan is very shortsighted. Any savings will be eaten up by mitigation strategies if they even happen. Will set back environmental efforts. Reactivation of FEP/WSF will never happen when this is found to be disastrous. Very disappointed in HRM's ST thinking. Questionnaire is biased; only presents positive, neglects to share disadvantages if proposed mitigation strategies are not fully implemented.
583	2021-11-04 08:58:52	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
593	2021-11-13 11:16:36	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	My concern is that in the short term, maintaining facilities and equipment will be tolerated. I have no doubt that after a short time the costs associated with this maintenance will be scrutinized as a potential budgetary savings. It will be abandoned and the equipment sold off to offset costs.
597	2021-11-13 11:05:13	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes	Yes	No	We're concerned as to how long the above measures will remain in place - is this for an indefinite period, or is a deadline date being considered?
600	2021-11-13 10:00:56	Yes	B3z1a9	Yes		No	
604	2021-11-13 09:00:42	Yes	B3t1j7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
606	2021-11-13 08:52:45	Yes	B3z1a2	Yes	Yes	No	
610	2021-11-13 08:24:03	Yes	B3Z0R8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
611	2021-11-13 08:38:30	Yes	B3t1h8	No			
612	2021-11-04 16:57:56	Yes	b3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	staffing during pandemic is brutal. even if it was opened, presumably an emergency, where would staff come from? i work for the government...standby doesnt really exist. this mechanical equipment will just sit there and it will not work without expensive price to reinstate. you are trying to save 2 million and i expect it would be half that price just to maintain equipment in proper standby mode.
626	2021-11-13 04:20:39	No	B3z1b5	Yes			
627	2021-11-13 00:16:22	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	
629	2021-11-12 22:56:37	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes			
631	2021-11-12 22:01:33	No	B3Z4P2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
633	2021-11-12 21:35:21	Yes	B3T1h8	Yes	Yes	No	
634	2021-11-12 21:34:14	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
643	2021-11-12 19:50:07	No	B3Z4N8	Yes	Yes	No	
646	2021-11-12 19:10:50	Yes	B3Z1A4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
652	2021-11-12 17:55:29	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	The maintenance will not be done on this as said and when the time comes to have to use FEP again it won't work like it should. Just keep it in operation like promised!
656	2021-11-12 18:03:42	Yes	B3t0a5	Yes	Yes	No	
657	2021-11-12 17:30:49	Yes	B3Z1P6	Yes			
659	2021-11-09 20:27:04	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	
667	2021-11-06 19:19:20	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	Once it is decommissioned, it will be very difficult to convince operators and government agencies to reactivate.
670	2021-11-05 10:25:41	Yes	B3t0b1	Yes	Yes	No	
671	2021-11-12 14:29:04	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
672	2021-11-12 14:21:08	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	Yes	No	
674	2021-11-12 13:27:53	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	No		
676	2021-11-12 13:29:39	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
680	2021-11-12 13:13:46	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes			
681	2021-11-12 13:01:10	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	
682	2021-11-12 12:51:55	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes			
683	2021-11-12 12:22:17	Yes	B3z1a4	Yes	No		
684	2021-11-12 12:30:01	Yes	B3t1j9	Yes			
686	2021-11-12 11:39:54	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	Yes	No	Once the damage is done, it is harder and more expensive to come back. We need to look after our environment
691	2021-11-12 11:31:45	No	B3T1N8	Yes	No		
694	2021-11-12 11:33:06	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes			

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
697	2021-11-12 10:51:31	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	These facilities can deteriorate if unused for any period of time.
700	2021-11-12 10:32:00	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	No		
703	2021-11-12 09:55:11	No	B3z0r5	Yes	Yes	No	This should be upgraded no idea on how to restart the FEP/WSF
705	2021-11-12 09:39:46	Yes	B3z1a3	Yes			
706	2021-11-08 17:16:47	No	B3Z1A9	Yes	No		
710	2021-11-12 09:30:26	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	
711	2021-11-12 09:39:05	No	B3z1a1	Yes	Yes	No	
714	2021-11-12 09:35:55	Yes	B3t1e5	Yes			
716	2021-11-12 09:31:18	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	No		
718	2021-11-12 08:56:42	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	Fine, minimal maintenance but when or if they decide to start it up again means they wait until it stinks so bad it will still take a long time for it to clear the air. Starting it back up again won't fix the issue overnight
722	2021-11-12 08:13:27	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	The residents of the surrounding communities agreed to the placement of Otter Lake with the understanding that the FEP and WSF would be active throughout the lifespan of the facility. This move by the city is in blatant disregard of the original agreement, and while maybe not in violation of the specific provisions, is made clearly with disregard to the spirit of the agreement.
724	2021-11-12 07:53:21	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	No	Sorry, but once shut down, it will not be reinstated. This will be dismantled before we know about it. This facility is already past it's promised expiry date, we don't need more changes or possible impacts to the communities.
727	2021-11-12 08:13:51	Yes	B3Z4M9	Yes	Yes	No	All this extra work and cost to maintain a system not in active use could be better used in continuing to use it as in past successfully.
729	2021-11-12 08:16:19	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	
731	2021-11-12 07:35:00	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	No		
734	2021-11-11 16:39:38	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes			
737	2021-11-11 18:38:28	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	No		
738	2021-11-11 18:30:56	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	
740	2021-11-11 18:09:09	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	No	
742	2021-11-11 17:24:51	No	b3t1h5	Yes	Yes	Yes	If this facility is shutdown, it will never be reopened.
744	2021-11-11 16:28:40	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	If we have to put the measures back in place someone will have to admit they were wrong, which anyone with the power to make decisions has a very hard time doing so I don't believe it would actually happen
750	2021-11-11 12:46:39	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	No	It sounds like a very expensive plan to mothball a working and important part of the system. It seems to me to be highly unlikely that the FEP would ever be returned to operation if it was taken offline as proposed. It seems more likely that the equipment would eventually be scrapped or sold.
754	2021-11-11 11:54:48	Yes	B3T2J9	Yes	Yes	No	For the money saving, its gling to cost more to maintain in storage and if have to reactivate. Its a system that is working, why stop.
755	2021-11-11 12:20:24	Yes	B3Z1A6	Yes			
756	2021-11-11 12:04:58	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	Keep all controls in place

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
758	2021-11-11 11:30:44	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	Once you take something away and use that money for other things that you deem more important, it will unlikely ever be brought back. You are not thinking about our community and the agreement that was placed originally. If that information was given then that things may change, our community would never have agreed to have the landfill placed there.
764	2021-11-11 09:46:30	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	Usually once a system is shut down that is that an operator always can come up with a reason why it cannot be put back into operation history shows such in NS
765	2021-11-11 09:36:19	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	No		
766	2021-11-11 09:41:01	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes	No		
786	2021-11-10 20:32:28	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes			
787	2021-11-11 03:44:19	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
791	2021-11-10 20:35:01	No	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	You have already made up your minds to break the contract. Your word is worth nothing. Government can not be trusted.tdn
792	2021-11-10 20:07:47	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	These things cant just stop and start on a dime. If it is shut down for any length of time, it will take time to hire and / or retrain workers to run the machines if you ever needed to restart it again.
793	2021-11-07 06:48:23	Yes	B3Z4P7	Yes	Yes	No	See previous comments please
794	2021-11-10 19:48:51	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
796	2021-11-10 19:35:45	No	B3t1c7	Yes			
797	2021-11-10 19:02:17	Yes	B3t1j6	Yes	Yes	No	More info
802	2021-11-10 18:11:54	Yes	B3Z4P7	Yes			
803	2021-11-10 18:22:40	Yes	B3Z1A1	Yes			
811	2021-11-10 14:33:03	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	How long would the facilities be maintained if needed?
813	2021-11-10 15:30:00	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	Cities always need revenue, budgets are always stretched. If the front end is deactivated the city will never find that money to reactivate down the road. Also when you don't operate machines they won't work properly when you need them. The cost to reactivate facilities are always greater than forecasted..
821	2021-11-10 14:05:36	Yes	B3t2c7	Yes	Yes	No	Once it ends there will always be an excuse to restart it.
822	2021-11-10 13:59:12	Yes	B3t1g3	Yes			
824	2021-11-10 13:30:08	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes			
825	2021-11-10 12:23:51	No	B3Z1A8	Yes	No		
831	2021-11-03 20:37:26	Yes	B3r0b7	Yes	Yes		
842	2021-11-10 09:03:51	Yes	b3t1c8	Yes	No		
845	2021-11-10 08:36:21	No	B3T1K1	No			
846	2021-11-10 08:25:15	Yes	B3T0B1	Yes	Yes	No	
854	2021-11-04 08:06:12	Yes	B3Z1B8	Yes	Yes	No	There is no indication how long money will be spent to maintain an area that is not being used.
855	2021-11-10 07:20:17	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	Once deactivated it is unlikely the HRM will reinvest money into re-commissioning this equipment
859	2021-11-10 06:48:08	Yes	B3T1H6	No			
860	2021-11-10 06:26:28	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	I am sorry, but I do not trust that this will be honored if any large cost savings are reported. And again the Timberlea area will suffer because of it all.
864	2021-11-10 06:00:53	Yes	B3t1e6	Yes	Yes	No	What measures are in place to decide when to turn it on if needed?
865	2021-11-10 05:00:14	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
866	2021-11-10 04:46:48	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	Nice try. You will never reactivate this if you get permission to stop..
867	2021-11-09 15:22:11	Yes	B3t1n9	Yes	Yes	No	We don't want a non sorted landfill



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
868	2021-11-09 22:33:05	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	
869	2021-11-04 15:25:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	I cannot understand that you can activate the FEP/WSF without adjustments, repairs or upgrades which I am certain the city of Halifax will not want to add to the cost of operating Otter Lake Landfill Site.
871	2021-11-09 21:27:52	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	
872	2021-11-09 21:14:13	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes			
873	2021-11-09 20:48:16	Yes	B3z1b5	Yes	Yes	No	By the time you realize and admit a mistake was made , it will be to late. The damage will already be done.
874	2021-11-09 21:05:55	Yes	B3Z4L5	Yes			
875	2021-11-09 20:02:17	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	No	Why is this question even here? Do you think we're all stupid enough to believe you will maintain expensive machinery "just in case"??? Is THAT supposed to comfort us when you system fails and our wells are poisoned?
877	2021-11-09 20:24:49	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes			
886	2021-11-09 19:32:11	Yes	B3Z1B7	Yes			
891	2021-11-09 19:10:01	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
893	2021-11-09 19:01:00	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	
894	2021-11-09 17:54:43	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	Yes	No	There are no monitoring or security systems in place. It is insufficient to completely rely on "walk through" inspections to maintain the integrity of this facility.
896	2021-11-09 18:21:25	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	No	Ageing unused equipment will not be maintained to the same standards as currently operating equipment
897	2021-11-09 18:06:35	No	B3Z1A7	Yes			
898	2021-11-09 17:38:11	Yes	b3t1g7	Yes	No		
899	2021-11-09 17:30:26	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	Yes	No	Because you don't know the answer and don't care about the community or the people in it.
902	2021-11-09 16:58:34	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	No	It shouldn't be deactivated so there would be no need to reactivate later when this fails because at this point it will be too late just keep it running the way it is bad enough we have to deal with the treatment facility it is unfair to make it a landfill now
903	2021-11-09 16:14:02	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	No	As we all know it is difficult to reverse a project once changed. As numerous examples already established ie. the 20 year promise
904	2021-11-04 18:05:37	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No		
909	2021-11-09 16:32:47	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	No		
910	2021-11-09 16:23:16	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
912	2021-11-09 16:08:32	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	
916	2021-11-09 15:49:15	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	No		
919	2021-11-09 15:28:47	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	Government will go back on its word
924	2021-11-09 14:51:54	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	No	What about the employees working in the wsf?
929	2021-11-09 14:29:10	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	No		
930	2021-11-09 14:42:58	Yes	B3Z0B6	Yes			
931	2021-11-09 14:35:53	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	No	
933	2021-11-09 13:57:44	Yes	b3z1a5	Yes	No	Yes	
936	2021-11-09 13:09:39	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	When saving money is the key focus. The reactivation will be so hung up in Bureaucracy it will never happen or it will be to late
941	2021-11-09 13:15:03	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	If the facility responsible for the front end work is not being used , is it maintained so it can be used there or at some other location?
944	2021-11-09 13:17:56	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	
946	2021-11-09 12:50:39	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	No		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
948	2021-11-09 12:30:38	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	All equipment if it isn't used degrades. Once it is off there is no chance you would turn it back on or that it could even be turned on. Do not turn it off.
950	2021-11-09 11:29:08	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	No	
954	2021-11-09 11:42:48	Yes	B3t1e7	Yes	Yes	No	I think it's all a load of crap intended to cover wolves in sheeps clothing. The almighty dollar does not trump human lives and livelihoods.
956	2021-11-09 11:49:10	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	No		
957	2021-11-09 11:51:50	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	Yes	No	
958	2021-11-09 11:43:46	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes			
959	2021-11-04 22:16:03	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	I have low confidence that once stopped, that to restart the FEP/WSF may take more effort to get everything in running order quickly and effectively again as the process to restart may need a long community and interagency consultation, if this research/survey is any indication for future changes
961	2021-11-09 11:28:30	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes	Yes	No	
962	2021-11-09 08:05:43	Yes	b3t1j3	Yes	Yes	No	I am fearful that the reactivation of FEP/WSF will be delayed or may not be capable of restarting should the closure be extended
964	2021-11-09 11:24:08	Yes	B3T1H6	No			
965	2021-11-09 11:11:17	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	No		
966	2021-11-09 11:16:21	Yes	B3T1G1				
967	2021-11-09 11:05:37	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	No	We need to keep the system.
970	2021-11-09 10:50:59	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No		
973	2021-11-09 10:41:12	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	
975	2021-11-09 09:33:49	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
978	2021-11-09 08:55:19	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	Yes	No	
985	2021-11-09 08:08:57	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	No		
987	2021-11-09 07:27:43	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	It's easier (and often cheaper) to keep a system running than it is to start and stop it.
989	2021-11-09 07:30:09	Yes	B3t1e8	Yes			
990	2021-11-04 07:05:57	No	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	
991	2021-11-09 06:40:53	No	B3z1a2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
992	2021-11-09 06:15:07	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	No		
993	2021-11-09 05:59:40	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	No	It sounds like reactivation would not be possible.
998	2021-11-09 04:34:13	No	B3Z1B5	Yes			
999	2021-11-08 23:44:20	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	No	When you realize all of these risks hit and become issues and you should have never deactivated it in the first place. You will just say it is too expensive to fix the problem to reactivate it.
1000	2021-11-08 22:51:36	Yes	B3z1b6	Yes	Yes	No	I don't have confidence in the sincerity of a biased report, written with the purpose of justifying deactivation of this part of the facility. The residents of this area were promised by the council of the day that front end sorting would be a permanent part of the Otter Lake landfill.
1002	2021-11-08 22:17:36	Yes	b3t1j9	Yes			
1003	2021-11-08 21:52:13	Yes	B3T1K8	Yes	Yes	No	
1004	2021-11-08 21:23:27	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	
1006	2021-11-08 21:03:07	Yes	B3T1h9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1008	2021-11-08 20:43:59	Yes	B3t1j6	Yes			

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1010	2021-11-08 17:49:55	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	Yes	No	Once the current process has been removed and money saved from doing so the government and heads of the Otter Lake waste facility will not go back to the original way of doing things if necessary due to the cost savings and politics.
1011	2021-11-08 19:40:36	Yes	B3z1a9	Yes	Yes	No	
1013	2021-11-08 19:54:19	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	
1015	2021-11-08 19:41:27	Yes	B3z1a9	Yes	Yes	No	If you anticipate having to reinstall the program, the research must not be 100% sound in its conclusion of removal
1023	2021-11-08 18:16:37	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	No	We will have to fight to get it back.
1025	2021-11-08 17:53:19	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	No	Once changes are made, they seldom become undone.
1027	2021-11-08 17:51:15	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes			
1030	2021-11-08 17:27:06	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	Would you bet your life that this will easily happen? Doubt it because you have no clue if it will.
1031	2021-11-08 17:39:32	Yes	B3z1a7	Yes	No		
1032	2021-11-08 17:36:13	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	No		
1033	2021-11-08 17:33:51	Yes	B3z1a8	Yes	Yes	No	It may be too late. Damages may be irreversible
1037	2021-11-08 17:12:36	Yes	B3T1E6	Yes	Yes	No	Once removed it will never be re-established!
1038	2021-11-08 16:58:28	Yes	B3Z0R8	Yes	Yes	No	Historically, it is much more difficult to implement systems that have been shut down after the fact especially if they cost additional tax dollars.
1040	2021-11-08 13:18:25	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes			
1043	2021-11-08 16:48:59	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	No		
1044	2021-11-08 16:56:44	Yes	b3z0a1	Yes			
1047	2021-11-08 16:28:00	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	No	
1048	2021-11-08 16:16:45	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes	No		
1052	2021-11-08 15:41:38	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	No	This is a city that doesn't fill pot holes, repair sidewalks and make a mess out of snow clearing, and you think we should have faith in the city that is trying to get out of its agreement with this district? Shame on the city.
1053	2021-11-08 15:40:09	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes			
1057	2021-11-08 15:04:19	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	
1059	2021-11-08 14:44:08	Yes	B3t1j1	Yes			
1062	2021-11-08 14:15:18	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes			
1068	2021-11-08 13:00:55	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	This is a purely financial decision and once you have turned this off, you will never have 'enough' money to turn it back on. Leave it on!!!
1070	2021-11-08 12:36:46	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	
1072	2021-11-08 12:39:58	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	
1073	2021-11-08 12:21:31	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	No		
1074	2021-11-08 12:18:10	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	No	should be some kind of plan if this one doesnt work the way it should. An effectiveness check needs to be done and if it doesnt pass a process needs to be in place to control the issue
1078	2021-11-08 11:46:27	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	No		
1079	2021-11-08 09:58:17	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	Every year the first snowstorm in HRM is not properly serviced because of equipment breakdown due to inadequate maintenance - and this is a yearly occurrence.
1081	2021-11-08 11:40:16	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	No	
1083	2021-11-08 11:40:47	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes			
1084	2021-11-08 11:15:49	No	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1085	2021-11-08 11:05:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	I suspect there will be much opposition from HRM and Mirror to reactivate.
1086	2021-11-08 08:45:33	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
1087	2021-11-08 10:29:46	Yes	B3t1h4	Yes	Yes	No	This survey is giving me more concerns than I had to begin with. If it doesn't get used, it'll develop problems. That's common knowledge with machines.
1091	2021-11-08 10:03:16	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes			
1099	2021-11-08 08:47:09	Yes	B3t1g7	No			
1100	2021-11-08 08:54:54	No	B3Z1E1	Yes			
1106	2021-11-08 08:40:36	Yes	B3T0B1	Yes	Yes	No	Still a negative impact on community
1111	2021-11-08 08:30:29	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
1125	2021-11-08 06:56:53	Yes	b3t1h5	Yes	Yes	No	Machines are meant to be in use. They do not age well sitting around and getting rusty.
1135	2021-11-07 21:03:45	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	Yes	No	
1136	2021-11-07 20:16:23	Yes	B3Z1B2	Yes	Yes	No	Information provided does not give any parameters for how/when the facility would or could be deactivated. History has shown that once something like this is changed, ie in this case deactivated, there is never the objective will to evaluate and "change back" to the previous way of functioning.
1138	2021-11-07 21:04:04	Yes	b3t1g4				
1141	2021-11-07 20:16:43	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	My understanding is that there has been no by getting allowance for the reactivation
1146	2021-11-07 18:25:27	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	There is no accounting in the proposed changes of the cost of keeping assets idle. There is also no accounting of the cost of reactivation of 23 year old equipment, if such aged equipment can be reactivated at all.
1148	2021-11-07 18:51:41	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	Once HRM deactivates this, it will NEVER be activated again. There will be no going back
1150	2021-11-07 18:25:35	No	B3Z1A8	Yes	No		
1153	2021-11-07 17:54:03	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	It should not be removed at all, so discussing how it can be reactivated "if necessary" is foolish. IT IS NECESSARY. Our environment and our community deserves better!
1154	2021-11-07 17:46:59	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes		
1156	2021-11-07 17:17:16	No	B3T1J8	Yes	No		
1162	2021-11-07 16:10:15	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	Yes	No	TIMING is the biggest question -- if there is a change in the waste arriving, will the re-start be within the week, the month, or the year following? How will the waste arriving be monitored if the system is deactivated?
1164	2021-11-07 13:15:12	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	Yes	No	When equipment sits idle for years, reactivation is not sustainable
1166	2021-11-07 14:31:05	No	b3t1j1	Yes	Yes	No	Report should include reactive criteria, process, critical success factors, recovery mock tests (disaster recovery).
1168	2021-11-07 15:09:03	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	
1171	2021-11-07 14:43:36	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	We all know once it stops it is really hard to get it started again, too much red tape
1177	2021-11-07 14:08:08	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes			
1179	2021-11-07 13:49:37	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	What is the turn-around time and cost for de-mothballing the facility if required?
1180	2021-11-07 14:05:52	No	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1186	2021-11-07 13:25:33	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes	No		
1195	2021-11-07 11:05:26	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	time to reactivate



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1196	2021-11-07 10:50:23	Yes	B3T1E7	Yes	Yes	No	FEP re-instatement will be based on a decision by council, and I feel they will be more interested in saving the money than they will be in restarting the FEP.
1204	2021-11-07 10:24:43	Yes	B3t1j6	Yes	Yes	No	
1207	2021-11-07 09:37:19	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes			
1209	2021-11-07 09:00:42	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	What does this cost of decommissioning and starting up again. If we don't have the incinerator going we are going to get smelled out of our homes. The rodents will increase and it will be a terrible place to live. Send you garbage somewhere else if is how you want to manage it
1210	2021-11-07 09:18:16	Yes	b3t1j5	Yes			
1212	2021-11-07 08:47:48	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes			
1213	2021-11-07 08:02:00	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	No	I have been involved with a number of decommissioning projects. It is extremely naive to think that this equipment can be restarted with little to no cost at some time in the future. What funding has been set aside to restart/replace this equipment as part of re-starting/recommissioning?
1218	2021-11-07 08:03:03	Yes	B3t1c1	Yes	Yes	No	No changes should be made
1220	2021-11-07 07:02:14	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	No	How long will the equipment be maintained?
1226	2021-11-07 06:19:12	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	
1227	2021-11-07 06:26:01	Yes	B3t1e9	Yes	Yes	No	
1228	2021-11-07 05:49:15	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes			
1231	2021-11-03 16:47:38	Yes	B3Z1B3	Yes	Yes	No	
1234	2021-11-03 14:07:24	No	B3t0b1	Yes	Yes		
1235	2021-11-06 22:54:07	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	It would have negative impacts
1237	2021-11-06 22:27:27	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	Idle machinery tends to become garbage
1238	2021-11-06 22:11:58	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	Yes	No	
1243	2021-11-06 20:34:03	Yes	B3T1g3	Yes	Yes	No	They already are needed
1245	2021-11-06 20:38:08	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	No		
1247	2021-11-06 20:16:52	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1248	2021-11-06 20:23:50	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	No		
1249	2021-11-06 19:44:30	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	Yes	No	Once the funds in the HRM budget have been reallocated it will be almost impossible to get them back.
1251	2021-11-06 19:16:43	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	
1255	2021-11-06 18:36:24	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	No		
1256	2021-11-06 18:45:14	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes			
1257	2021-11-06 18:22:14	Yes	B3t1g7	Yes	No		
1262	2021-11-06 17:45:16	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	No		
1264	2021-11-06 16:55:43	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes			
1265	2021-11-06 17:28:55	Yes	B3t1j7	Yes	No		
1266	2021-11-06 17:02:44	Yes	B3t1h4	Yes	Yes	No	
1267	2021-11-06 17:03:55	Yes	B3T1J8				
1269	2021-11-06 16:09:51	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	No	I do not believe that it will be maintained and even if it is the ramifications of the change in function will effect residents for decades.
1272	2021-11-06 16:10:29	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	No		
1277	2021-11-04 07:02:22	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	Sounds costly. Why not just run it. I also read that it won't come online at least for the first 5 years for any reason. Is that true? What conditions need to exist to trigger powering it up?
1278	2021-11-04 12:01:56	No	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1283	2021-11-06 13:33:43	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes			
1284	2021-11-06 13:07:07	Yes	B3t1h9	No			
1286	2021-11-06 12:51:21	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	
1290	2021-11-06 11:43:02	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	No	a typical bureaucratic response; there will always be some lame excuse for re-activating difficulties.
1291	2021-11-06 11:52:45	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1292	2021-11-06 11:38:55	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1297	2021-11-06 11:07:59	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes			
1298	2021-11-06 09:29:17	No	B3z1a4	Yes	Yes	No	I don't trust that it would be re-activated in time to mitigate any issues that arise.
1299	2021-11-06 09:27:10	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	No		
1303	2021-11-06 09:09:20	Yes	B3T1I2	Yes			
1304	2021-11-06 09:00:32	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	No	do not shut it down
1305	2021-11-06 08:55:14	Yes	B3t1g9	Yes	Yes	No	Once it is done and money is being saved , it won't get changed if it doesn't work
1306	2021-11-06 08:29:50	Yes	b3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	Will cost \$\$\$\$ , what if budgets are tight? Won't happen.
1307	2021-11-06 08:25:15	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1308	2021-11-06 07:40:06	Yes		Yes			
1311	2021-11-06 08:24:50	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1316	2021-11-05 21:45:07	Yes	B3Y1J4	No			
1321	2021-11-06 06:58:26	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	Yes	No	This isn't about looking after HRM waste it's about saving money. I don't trust HRM staff care about my community or what they place in the ground. They care about reducing cost and we need to start dealing with our environment and protecting it.
1326	2021-11-06 05:43:37	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes			
1327	2021-11-06 05:33:17	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes			
1330	2021-11-05 23:03:05	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	No		
1331	2021-11-05 21:57:28	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	Yes	No	Although I am not concerned that it can be restarted, I am concerned that the effects of not using it will not be realized until later and it may be too late. The ability to restart may be a red herring that misses the benefit that it's continued use has.
1332	2021-11-05 22:30:16	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes			
1333	2021-11-05 21:49:28	Yes	B3t1c1	Yes	Yes	No	
1334	2021-11-05 21:54:50	Yes	B3T1G3	No			
1335	2021-11-05 21:51:32	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	No		
1336	2021-11-05 21:33:28	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes		
1337	2021-11-05 21:40:29	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	No		
1339	2021-11-05 21:05:42	No	B3T1H4	Yes			
1340	2021-11-05 20:50:25	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	
1344	2021-11-05 20:04:54	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes			
1346	2021-11-05 19:28:58	Yes	B3Z4b8	Yes	Yes	No	How about an independent source reassure us rather than those invested in proving their own agenda
1347	2021-11-05 16:24:45	No	B3T1K1	Yes	No		
1349	2021-11-05 18:58:14	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes	Yes	No	This addresses the technical concerns - I'm concerned about political will and inertia. I do not foresee any municipal government adding to its costs if things are "good enough" regardless of what that means in the future.
1352	2021-11-05 18:45:51	Yes	B3t1e9	Yes	No		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1357	2021-11-05 17:41:33	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	Yes	Yes	Hrm and Mirror will never reactive these once stopped. They will always come up with reasons, starting with cost and no doubt eventually claim the systems are no longer viable due to "unforeseen circumstances"
1365	2021-11-05 17:06:31	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	Yes	No	Stated that inspection will occur but what timeline
1366	2021-11-05 17:14:34	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes			
1367	2021-11-05 16:33:44	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	Yes	What are the metrics to regenerate it? Is there a financial penalty?
1372	2021-11-05 15:47:32	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	No	
1374	2021-11-05 15:53:33	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	I am concerned not with the INABILITY to reinstate but the UNWILLINGNESS to do so due to cost
1377	2021-11-05 15:45:02	No	B3Z1A1	Yes			
1379	2021-11-05 15:43:33	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes			
1380	2021-11-05 15:34:32	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1381	2021-11-05 15:39:24	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
1382	2021-11-05 15:28:06	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	
1386	2021-11-05 14:46:49	Yes	B3t0a4	Yes	No		
1387	2021-11-05 14:30:49	Yes	B3Z0R6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1389	2021-11-05 14:25:03	Yes	B3t1c8	Yes	No		
1391	2021-11-05 13:29:03	Yes	b3t1j7	Yes	Yes	No	Without the process to track the percent of non-sorted waste, how will you monitor if it needs to be restarted. Like closing the gate after the cows left the barn.
1392	2021-11-05 14:01:05	Yes	b3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	Realistically once off it will not be restarted
1393	2021-11-05 13:48:50	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	Yes	No	If the FEP/WSF is unnecessary, why maintain it? Clearly deactivating the FEP/WSF has to do purely with financial considerations when it is the environment that is important.
1397	2021-11-05 13:27:25	No	B3t1j1	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe these measures will happen.
1398	2021-11-05 13:38:39	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes			
1399	2021-11-05 12:39:08	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	No information is provided on timelines required for a restart of operations. If data shows a change needs to be made, how long until it can happen?
1400	2021-11-05 13:08:19	Yes	B3T1G9	Yes	No		
1403	2021-11-05 12:45:46	Yes	b3t2k4	Yes	No		
1405	2021-11-05 11:53:21	Yes	B3t1g8	Yes	No		
1406	2021-11-05 11:32:38	Yes	b3t1h2	Yes	Yes	No	Without equipment maintenance contracts equipment could easily fall out of being able to be returned to service
1411	2021-11-05 10:47:19	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	No		
1413	2021-11-05 09:38:01	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	And what will it cost to re-activate the system once it has been disabled.
1416	2021-11-04 11:40:18	No	B3Z1A2	Yes			
1417	2021-11-05 10:31:51	Yes		Yes			
1420	2021-11-05 09:52:16	No	B3Z1C1	Yes	No		
1421	2021-11-05 09:45:59	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	should not be deactivated in the first place
1422	2021-11-05 09:48:23	Yes	B3t1g4	Yes			
1425	2021-11-05 09:38:04	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes			
1429	2021-11-04 17:07:08	No	B3Z1A3	Yes	Yes	No	There will be a large cost to recommission the FEP/WSF processes and will be a justification to keep it decommissioned even if there is an identified problem due to increased organic matter in the landfill after the FEP/WSF is removed
1433	2021-11-05 07:26:02	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes			
1437	2021-11-05 06:56:17	Yes	B3t1n9	Yes			

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1439	2021-11-05 05:54:48	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	This does not prove the items above will be done. Are there financial penalties is a neutral 3rd party SME finds the above is not being done?
1441	2021-11-05 06:12:23	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	No		
1442	2021-11-05 06:12:13	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	No	It doesn't need to be take out of the process. It was put in place for a reason and this will effect the surrounding communities directly. All to save a profit, shameful
1448	2021-11-05 05:33:41	Yes	B3t1h9	No			
1450	2021-11-05 05:08:27	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes			
1451	2021-11-05 04:33:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	No faith based on previous history and experience with such entities.
1453	2021-11-05 00:32:01	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	Yes	No	Stop experimenting in our neighborhood and hold up your end of the bargain.. how long does it take HRM to do anything useful. Look at the state of the VG. And you've been building the hospital for 3 years in Bayer's lake and it's still just a hole....
1457	2021-11-05 00:05:15	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	
1460	2021-11-04 22:39:01	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	No	
1461	2021-11-04 22:38:33	No	B3T1E5	Yes			
1467	2021-11-04 21:27:35	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	Why even offer this if you are so confident the FEP/WSF are no longer needed?? This is so obviously a cost cutting measure to trim expenses just in time to raise management bonuses and salaries.
1469	2021-11-04 21:04:09	No	B3Z4P8	Yes	Yes	No	I don't believe the FEP/WSF would be restarted under any conditions. HRM cannot be trusted to live up to its commitments.
1470	2021-11-04 20:44:31	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	Honor your commitment to the residents and taxpayers
1471	2021-11-04 19:01:28	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes			
1473	2021-11-04 20:44:19	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes			
1476	2021-11-04 18:53:37	Yes	b3t1h4	Yes	Yes	No	I have no faith in the politicians . restarting the process. they will come up with all the excuses as they usually do.
1477	2021-11-04 20:29:50	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
1478	2021-11-04 19:58:15	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	No		
1482	2021-11-04 19:55:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes		
1484	2021-11-04 19:39:29	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1486	2021-11-04 19:38:26	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes			
1488	2021-11-04 19:19:14	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	No	Lack of trust, we have to keep coming back to fight cuts
1489	2021-11-03 16:47:23	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes			
1491	2021-11-04 19:03:04	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	No		
1495	2021-11-04 19:05:29	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes			
1497	2021-11-04 18:58:28	Yes	B3t1c6	Yes	Yes	No	
1499	2021-11-04 18:59:44	Yes	B3T1C6	No			
1501	2021-11-04 18:19:48	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	No	If we are continuing to pay for regular maintenance and upkeep, what is the actual cost savings going to be?
1502	2021-11-04 18:39:01	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	No	There is no experience in out there to compare to this situation. How long will deactivation last? Why are you keeping it, if you feel your process will improve things?
1504	2021-11-04 18:31:01	Yes	B3T1G5				
1506	2021-11-04 18:05:59	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	No	Once it's turned off, noone will turn it back on. It's your responsibility to keep our neighborhood safe from garbage, odour rodents and to keep our water clean.
1507	2021-11-04 17:17:44	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	No		
1508	2021-11-04 17:53:34	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1509	2021-11-04 17:43:26	Yes	B3Z1B2	Yes	Yes	No	



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1511	2021-11-04 17:35:31	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	
1512	2021-11-04 17:32:50	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes			
1513	2021-11-04 17:12:24	Yes	B3t1g5	Yes	No		
1514	2021-11-04 17:10:56	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes			
1516	2021-11-04 16:49:10	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	If you decommission it, it will be harder to put back in as staff, maintenance, etc would all have to be done which would be more costly and you would deem it too expensive to put back in to service
1517	2021-11-04 16:42:28	Yes	B3t1g3	Yes	Yes	No	
1519	2021-11-04 15:32:44	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes	Yes	No	These measures sound fine but I'm not convinced that they'll be implemented.
1521	2021-11-04 16:09:33	No	B3z1n2	No			
1523	2021-11-04 16:02:08	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes			
1525	2021-11-04 16:02:40	Yes	B3t1j3	Yes			
1526	2021-11-04 15:45:33	Yes	b3z0b7	Yes	Yes	No	
1527	2021-11-04 15:42:38	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes		No	Too mush BS.
1528	2021-11-04 15:50:58	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes			
1530	2021-11-04 12:00:25	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1533	2021-11-04 15:28:41	Yes	B3T1N7	No			
1534	2021-11-04 15:23:08	Yes	b3t1p1	Yes	Yes	No	do NOT deactivate the Otter Lake FEP/WSF
1540	2021-11-04 14:09:42	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes		Job losses
1542	2021-11-04 14:13:21	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes		
1543	2021-11-04 14:20:17	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	No	
1546	2021-11-04 14:07:47	Yes	B3t1g5	Yes			
1548	2021-11-04 12:41:11	No	B3Z0C6	Yes	Yes	No	Once the FEP is remove I don't believed it will never be deactivated. Cost of operation of the FEP should not trump the environment and the future of my kids
1549	2021-11-04 13:38:21	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes			
1550	2021-11-03 15:47:25	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes			
1551	2021-11-04 12:47:17	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	No, no, no, if you deactivate the FEP/WSF then it will never be reactivated. Maintenance will be scaled back, over time and then will stop. The land will be considered too valuable and eventually sold.
1552	2021-11-04 13:19:48	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes	Yes	No	Sounds good but I'm not sure it will all be followed
1553	2021-11-04 13:01:16	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	It may equal the cost of maintenance. This part of the proposal indicates to me that the experts are not even confident their proposal is going to work.
1554	2021-11-04 13:19:04	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	Cost
1555	2021-11-04 13:23:55	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes			
1558	2021-11-04 13:17:55	No	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1560	2021-11-04 12:48:26	Yes	b3t1h6	Yes	No		
1561	2021-11-04 12:45:07	No	b3z4m7	Yes			
1562	2021-11-04 12:45:14	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes			
1563	2021-11-04 12:19:45	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	The proposed efforts are to save money, I don't trust that you'd spend realize the error and commit to refunding the original process
1565	2021-11-04 12:24:59	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes			
1566	2021-11-04 12:10:16	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	No		
1567	2021-11-04 12:08:51	Yes	b3t1e2	Yes	Yes	No	this does not answer the concern.
1570	2021-11-04 11:57:25	Yes	B3t1e1	Yes			
1571	2021-11-04 11:52:08	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes			
1573	2021-11-04 11:42:15	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	No	Do we wait until the rats take over and then reactivate?

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1574	2021-11-04 11:46:39	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes			
1575	2021-11-04 11:36:59	Yes	B3T1G2	Yes	Yes	No	
1576	2021-11-04 11:40:29	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
1578	2021-11-04 11:27:13	Yes	B3t1g6	Yes	Yes	No	I do not want the FEP/WSF deactivated
1579	2021-11-04 11:35:28	No	B3t1n8	Yes			
1580	2021-11-04 11:30:27	Yes	b3z1a2				
1586	2021-11-04 10:48:09	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	No	
1587	2021-11-04 10:41:17	Yes	B3t1j3	Yes	Yes	No	
1588	2021-11-04 08:59:10	Yes	B3t1h7	Yes	No		
1591	2021-11-04 09:07:25	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	Current activities minimally address all areas of the landfill. We could do so much better.
1592	2021-11-04 10:24:08	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes			
1593	2021-11-04 10:18:32	Yes	b3t1j7	Yes	Yes	No	
1597	2021-11-04 09:39:27	No	B3Z1B8	Yes			
1599	2021-11-04 10:04:29	Yes	B3T1L2	Yes	No		
1602	2021-11-04 10:00:48	Yes	b3t1h1	Yes			
1604	2021-11-04 09:30:59	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	If it's not used for a long time. It could not work right when needed
1606	2021-11-04 09:26:11	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	No		
1607	2021-11-04 09:26:37	Yes	B3z1a1	Yes	Yes	No	I believe the FEP/WSF system is currently "needed". As such, my concerns are not addressed if the system will only be activated "as needed" - the system will be needed on an ongoing basis.
1608	2021-11-04 09:22:40	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	Staffing the unit is not addressed in the plan.
1610	2021-11-04 09:28:16	Yes	B3T1P1	No			
1611	2021-11-04 09:18:14	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	No		
1612	2021-11-04 09:11:23	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	No	
1614	2021-11-04 09:13:51	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes			
1615	2021-11-04 09:18:19	Yes	b3t1e5	Yes	Yes	No	
1617	2021-11-04 09:00:39	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	While it sounds like facility will be mothballed and monitored, there is no information on how quickly it can be brought back in line, or what circumstances would indicate how you will know if it should be brought back on-line.
1618	2021-11-04 09:11:00	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	No	You have no actual idea of the cost of this
1619	2021-11-04 09:06:19	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	No	
1625	2021-11-04 08:59:55	No	B3z4b8	Yes			
1627	2021-11-04 08:54:25	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1628	2021-11-04 08:55:29	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes			
1630	2021-11-04 08:37:38	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	No	
1632	2021-11-04 08:40:01	Yes	B3t0a6	Yes	Yes	No	If there is a chance it would need to be reinstated why are we taking that chance
1633	2021-11-04 08:42:48	Yes	B3t1k1	Yes			
1635	2021-11-04 08:02:36	No	B3Z4P2	Yes	Yes	No	Clearly a failure in models and recommendations for shutting them down if they need to be turned back on. So what are penalties/enhanced controls and reporting expected as consequence. Given reliance on documents to control narrative to shut them off, it needs to be fully documented as part of plan to switch off.
1640	2021-11-04 08:24:38	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	No		
1643	2021-11-04 08:22:13	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes			
1645	2021-11-04 08:12:52	No	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	No	N
1646	2021-11-04 08:04:35	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	No	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1647	2021-11-04 08:04:52	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	No	
1649	2021-11-04 08:03:47	Yes	B3t1n7	No			
1653	2021-11-04 07:09:27	No	B320B7	Yes	Yes	No	if it goes, it won't come back
1654	2021-11-04 07:12:12	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes			
1657	2021-11-04 06:58:21	Yes	B3T1G2	Yes			
1661	2021-11-04 05:54:23	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1662	2021-11-04 06:16:57	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Cutting cost is all you people see and want to see! This is going against anything that was promised in the past and what we were all afraid of happening. Again this shows us how government continues to operate and doesn't care about the people.
1663	2021-11-04 06:25:23	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1665	2021-11-04 05:59:57	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1666	2021-11-04 06:08:58	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	No	
1669	2021-11-04 05:35:32	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	No	I feel it will still affect my community
1673	2021-11-04 04:03:06	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	No	If you are trying to save \$2 million, what is the cost of the upkeep? And if these need to be put back into operation, where will the money come from to reactivate them and consistently keep them running?
1674	2021-11-03 23:29:36	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	Yes	No	UNACCEPTABLE to de-activate, hence no reason to worry about re-activation. FULL STOP.
1676	2021-11-03 23:06:06	Yes	B3t1j1	Yes	Yes	No	Equipment not being used for an extended time will ultimately fall into disrepair. And the recommissioning will almost always cost more than replacement
1678	2021-11-03 22:44:00	Yes	B3t0a6	Yes	Yes	No	This is a money saving decision, at the expense of the neighborhood - money saved will not be willingly spent again
1685	2021-11-03 22:11:38	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	No		
1686	2021-11-03 22:03:40	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	No		
1687	2021-11-03 21:45:00	Yes	B3T1k1	Yes	Yes	No	I believe that if the FEP is shut down it will never be reactivated as it has a financial impact to HRM to reactivate.
1688	2021-11-03 21:28:30	No	B3z0e3	Yes	Yes	No	Sorry... I just don't believe any of this. Your decision to shut down the FEP/WSF makes anything you promise suspect.
1690	2021-11-03 21:54:38	Yes	B3t1e1	Yes	Yes	No	
1698	2021-11-03 21:19:14	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes			
1700	2021-11-03 21:18:46	Yes	B3z0b6	Yes			
1701	2021-11-03 21:09:16	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	No	
1702	2021-11-03 21:06:16	No	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	Because my version of necessary and your version of necessary, may not be the same
1704	2021-11-03 20:34:32	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	No	Once a 25-year old system as elaborate as the FEP/WSF is taken out of mothballs, its reactivation will cost more than the original system.
1705	2021-11-03 21:00:21	Yes	B3z0e2	Yes			
1707	2021-11-03 20:42:09	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes			
1708	2021-11-03 20:45:00	No	B3T1h1	Yes	No		
1709	2021-11-03 20:44:31	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes			
1711	2021-11-03 20:35:45	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes			
1713	2021-11-03 20:37:12	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes	Yes	No	
1714	2021-11-03 20:17:03	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1717	2021-11-03 20:30:25	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes			
1719	2021-11-03 20:22:33	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	No	
1723	2021-11-03 20:09:36	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	No	
1724	2021-11-03 19:56:33	Yes	B3T2C7	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1725	2021-11-03 20:05:26	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	No	
1727	2021-11-03 19:19:43	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes	No	
1729	2021-11-03 19:34:09	No	B3z0b7	Yes			
1730	2021-11-03 19:28:16	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	No	
1733	2021-11-03 19:18:41	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes		No	
1736	2021-11-03 18:59:16	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	No		
1737	2021-11-03 19:17:06	Yes	B3t0b1	Yes			
1739	2021-11-03 19:06:12	Yes	B3t1c6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1743	2021-11-03 18:49:09	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	Yes	Yes	If it can so readily be reactivated how about we leave it intacted
1744	2021-11-03 18:57:02	Yes	B3t1n5	Yes	Yes	No	
1745	2021-11-03 19:06:36	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes			
1746	2021-11-03 18:47:19	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	No		
1748	2021-11-03 18:51:42	Yes	B3T1H5	Yes			
1749	2021-11-03 18:54:05	Yes	B3T1e2	Yes	No		
1750	2021-11-03 18:41:25	Yes	B3t1n9	Yes	Yes	No	The landfill should not be deactivated.
1751	2021-11-03 18:41:30	Yes	B3t2c7	Yes	Yes	No	
1755	2021-11-03 18:21:33	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	I have lost faith in the powers that be and do not trust that they will follow through correctly with any of this.
1756	2021-11-03 18:25:28	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1758	2021-11-03 18:01:26	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	No	I still do not agree with your doing away with this.
1760	2021-11-03 18:00:28	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1762	2021-11-03 18:03:46	No	B3T1J7	Yes	No		
1768	2021-11-03 17:18:17	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes			
1769	2021-11-03 17:31:01	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	No		
1770	2021-11-03 17:30:19	Yes	B3t1g2	Yes	No		
1771	2021-11-03 17:23:02	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	No		
1773	2021-11-03 17:25:28	Yes	b3t1g8	Yes	Yes	No	
1777	2021-11-03 17:02:51	Yes	B3T1G3	No			
1780	2021-11-03 16:41:40	No	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	No	Deactivation does not solve the issue
1784	2021-11-03 16:30:37	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	No	If you will be maintaining it.use it
1787	2021-11-03 16:24:36	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1790	2021-11-03 15:18:48	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	No		
1791	2021-11-03 15:48:28	Yes	b3t1c6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1792	2021-11-03 15:54:37	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes			
1794	2021-11-03 15:02:31	Yes	B3T1E6	Yes	Yes	No	Once HRM has the monetary savings from deactivation they will find excuses to not respond the money needed to reactivate it. Also I have concerns on the length of time it would take to reactivate.
1796	2021-11-03 15:29:10	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	No		
1798	2021-11-03 15:24:18	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes			
1799	2021-11-03 15:11:55	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	No	
1802	2021-11-03 15:06:44	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	
1807	2021-11-03 14:48:59	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	No		
1808	2021-11-03 14:48:41	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	No	
1809	2021-11-03 14:43:40	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	No		
1813	2021-11-03 14:09:13	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	No	Relying on all of these extra measures, instead of honouring the original agreement, seems unnecessary. If we can't keep the FEP/WSF in place, why would we maintain the many additional measures described? Too much faith for me to place in the municipality.
1815	2021-11-03 14:25:59	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	No		



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Inability to Reactivate the FEP/WSF							
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with the inability to reactivate the FEP/WSF if necessary?	Does this information address your concerns?	If No, please indicate why the information provided does not address your concerns and/or any additional concerns you may have with this specific item (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1819	2021-11-03 14:10:05	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	No		
1820	2021-11-03 13:05:14	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	No	I simply have difficulty trusting HRM.
1821	2021-11-03 13:05:04	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes		Don't deactivate to start with. Save \$2 million that will cost many times that down the road.
1822	2021-11-03 12:16:49	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	No	If you are already committed to going back on one agreement why should anyone in the community believe that this will come back if needed. Once you save the money you could care less about those in the communities dealing with aftermath.
1823	2021-11-03 13:04:31	Yes	B3Z4M2	Yes	Yes	No	mothballing the FEP/WSF will be expensive.
1824	2021-11-03 12:42:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	I believe it will depend on the length of time deactivated. A prolonged period could be a concern.
1825	2021-11-03 12:23:10	Yes	B3t1e3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
1826	2021-11-03 12:09:07	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	No	First off if it's not going to cause any issues, as you say, then using the ability to be reactivated if needed is a strange argument. Are you sure or are you not? Secondly, we all know that saying it's easy to reactivate and actually getting it done can take a long time. Trying to get simple things like crosswalks and street lights can take years because of all the paperwork and red tape.
1827	2021-11-03 12:23:59	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	Yes	No	
1828	2021-11-03 12:00:58	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	I'm not interested in "mitigation". HRM promised to maintain the waste facility at a very high level, and I expect HRM to keep its promise to the community. We will not need "mitigation" if HRM does not downgrade the quality level with which it has been running the facility. I DO NOT AGREE WITH THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS.
1829	2021-11-03 10:32:33	No	B3Z1B1	Yes	No		
1830	2021-11-03 10:33:12	No	B3Z0C6	Yes	Yes	No	The FEP & WSF must remain active throughout operations
1831	2021-11-03 11:02:46	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	No	I am opposed to the removal of the fep and wsf
1832	2021-11-03 10:55:01	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes			
1833	2021-11-03 09:50:24	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	No	Once it is deactivated it stands to reason that it will be very difficult to reactivate. There is a substantial cost involved in reactivation and that will certainly be the main deterrent brought up by HRM.
1834	2021-11-02 14:13:03	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	No	You are in a dream if you thing reactivation a mothballed system 5,10,15 years from now is financially viable. Industry experts would disagree across many many less corrosive and inert environments. Keep it working, keep it maintained. Let it do the job it is meant to do.

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF						
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
5	2021-12-04 13:27:05	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes		
6	2021-12-04 12:39:48	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	No	
8	2021-12-04 07:07:42	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	Yes	
9	2021-12-04 06:33:44	Yes	B3t1g9	Yes		
10	2021-12-04 02:33:16	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No	
11	2021-12-03 21:37:38	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	No	
19	2021-12-03 20:20:59	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	No	
20	2021-12-03 19:08:21	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	The original agreement should be kept in place. The residents of the BLT community deserve no less! We cannot trust any agreement HRM makes in future!
21	2021-12-03 19:54:38	Yes	B3Z4N4	Yes	No	
22	2021-11-06 14:53:34	No	B3Z4N4	Yes	No	
31	2021-12-03 12:59:41	No	B3z4p7	Yes	Yes	That there is an original agreement in place and now the proposal goes back on that. Just dirty. What's the point of agreements, even the proposals in this expansion if down the road their broken.
32	2021-12-03 12:35:11	No	B3Z1A7	Yes	No	
33	2021-11-13 15:08:28	Yes	B3Z0A2	Yes	Yes	The FEP/WSP currently works to reduce waste that isn't suppose to be dumped in the first place. The environment does not have a price tag and all measures should be used to protect it and its residents. The FEP should be kept as per agreement. Until rules/ monitoring can be added / increased to reduce the waste from arriving to the site in the first place the FEP/WSP is required.
34	2021-12-03 11:45:55	Yes	B3Z0E3	Yes	No	
39	2021-12-03 11:03:31	No	B3Z4B8	Yes	No	
43	2021-12-03 10:19:56	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes		
45	2021-12-03 09:58:37	Yes	B3Z4M2	Yes		
49	2021-11-04 09:54:17	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	Yes	Disease and exposure of unsightly smells and odors.
60	2021-12-02 22:25:31	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	All of them
61	2021-12-02 20:55:00	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No	
62	2021-12-02 19:31:27	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	Yes	Raising our taxes due to some unforeseen problem.
65	2021-11-03 17:45:52	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes		
69	2021-12-02 08:44:35	Yes	b3t1g1	Yes	Yes	The betrayal of HRM to the letter and spirit of the 1999 Agreement.
74	2021-12-01 17:41:09	No	B3T1P1	Yes		
76	2021-12-01 15:43:35	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	No	
80	2021-12-01 07:00:50	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes	No	
82	2021-11-30 20:25:42	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	No	
88	2021-11-30 18:37:55	No	B3Z4P7	Yes	Yes	The FEP/WSF is a process that has been working well and exemplary globally. It seems that a cost-saving of what sounds like 10% is not worth the risk of failure of dismantling the process. There is an implicit assumption that the public will do a good enough job at sorting waste which is likely inaccurate.
90	2021-11-30 16:46:22	Yes	B3Z1C1	Yes		
99	2021-11-29 21:36:15	Yes		Yes		
101	2021-11-29 19:39:20	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes		
107	2021-11-29 11:08:59	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	Yes	The city staff do not seem to understand or care about protecting the Environment. The HRM's solid waste program is a failure, just look at the garbage on the side of the road and the waste residents place in bags. To know we need the FEP/WSF program.
108	2021-11-29 10:32:18	Yes	B3t1g6	Yes	No	
109	2021-11-29 09:58:39	Yes	B3t1g7	Yes		
110	2021-11-29 09:21:21	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	Property values will drop as the smells and rat infestation continues
115	2021-11-28 11:44:47	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	everything was put in place because of the Mount Uniacke landfill. It is from what we learned. Now they want to deactivate it.
117	2021-11-28 10:06:33	No	B3z1c2	Yes	No	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF						
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
119	2021-11-28 06:53:55	No	B3Z0C6	Yes	Yes	The potential for more waste materials to be diverted from other facilities to Otter Lake
120	2021-11-27 21:32:29	Yes	b3z0a1	Yes	Yes	HONOR THE AGREEMENT... and in case I wasn't clear... HONOR THE AGREEMENT. Make as many changes as you like, when the current facility is shut down and you can find another community that will agree to a less effective system.
121	2021-11-27 18:08:27	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	Yes	
130	2021-11-27 06:08:42	No	B3T1H6	Yes		
131	2021-11-26 18:29:35	Yes		Yes		
132	2021-11-26 15:49:04	No	B3Z1E1	Yes	No	
156	2021-11-25 17:57:02	Yes		Yes		
157	2021-11-25 17:25:24	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	This process should not be allowed to go forward.
161	2021-11-25 14:32:29	No	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	The report that was commissioned was inconclusive and HRMs claims cannot be substantiated. A deal was made, now stick to it!
168	2021-11-25 11:07:39	Yes	B3T1E7	Yes	Yes	Why can the city not take no as an answer? Also this survey is designed to skew responses and is more propaganda then it is consultation. Please do effective consultation.
169	2021-11-25 11:05:59	Yes	B3Z1N4	Yes	No	
170	2021-11-25 10:08:36	Yes	b3t1h1	Yes	Yes	If you get this what will be next on you list, besides I thought this dump was supposed to be relocated after so many years?
172	2021-11-25 09:54:02	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	The Dillon report indicates that a significant amount of hazardous waste is currently being sorted out. With the sorting facility gone, it would go in the landfill. The report does not address this consequence.
181	2021-11-25 07:26:32	Yes	B3t1n5	Yes	No	
185	2021-11-25 07:18:48	Yes	B3t0a6	Yes	Yes	Most concerning is the depth of government manipulation to get their desired outcome.
187	2021-11-25 06:42:33	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	Property values of residents homes nearby
192	2021-11-25 05:08:05	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes	Yes	
198	2021-11-24 12:21:32	Yes	B3T1L2	Yes	Yes	
201	2021-11-24 11:54:28	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/WSF active.
203	2021-11-24 09:04:21	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	No	
206	2021-11-14 07:19:32	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	This was a very biased survey that most HRM residents don't care about as long as it keeps the landfill out of their back yards, therefore this survey should have only been sent to the residents in the surrounding area within 5 Kms.
210	2021-11-15 19:26:29	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	Safeguard to ensure that hospital, industrial and commercial waste will be eliminated
211	2021-11-23 19:29:41	Yes	B3z4b8	Yes		
216	2021-11-23 15:55:50	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	noise pollution, Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/WSF active
217	2021-11-23 15:20:26	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes		
218	2021-11-23 15:08:55	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	Organic waste material should not be buried in a landfill, keep the FEP/WSF active.
219	2021-11-03 14:41:21	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	No	
221	2021-11-23 13:47:47	Yes	B3T1N6	No		
224	2021-11-23 12:32:20	No	B3T1H8	Yes		
236	2021-11-22 18:40:08	Yes	B3t1k1	Yes	Yes	
237	2021-11-22 18:02:45	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	Leave it as is or decommission the entire site. It's time for some other area to take a turn.
239	2021-11-22 16:06:06	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	No	
241	2021-11-22 15:52:47	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes		
245	2021-11-22 15:00:25	Yes	B3t1l2	Yes		
246	2021-11-22 14:31:14	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	Yes	
252	2021-11-22 10:29:13	Yes	b3z1b6	Yes	No	
256	2021-11-22 08:51:00	Yes	B3T1E1	Yes		
261	2021-11-21 21:23:53	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	No	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF						
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
262	2021-11-21 19:24:14	Yes	B3T1K8	Yes	Yes	Since you will be adding 8 to 10 additional truckloads of waste daily, will you have to increase the landfill capacity? It seems like this can have a great impact on residents, as you will be moving closer to residential areas.
271	2021-11-21 07:36:12	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	No	
273	2021-11-21 06:17:22	Yes				
274	2021-11-21 05:29:13	Yes	B3z0e3	Yes		
280	2021-11-20 15:12:21	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	Yes	Do not deactivate.
282	2021-11-20 13:50:38	No	B3t1e9	Yes	No	
283	2021-11-20 13:45:48	Yes	b3z1b5	Yes	Yes	Mainly, that it will be expanded and expanded and end up contaminating many wells.
286	2021-11-20 06:33:59	Yes	B3t1j9	Yes	No	
290	2021-11-19 20:39:47	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	
291	2021-11-19 20:19:27	No	B3Z4M8	Yes		
294	2021-11-19 18:47:39	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	Yes	Value of our homes/properties in Timberlea
295	2021-11-05 07:00:55	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes		
296	2021-11-19 18:11:41	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	
298	2021-11-19 17:29:57	No	B3Z1A1	Yes		
299	2021-11-19 17:18:58	Yes	B3t1k8	Yes	No	
303	2021-11-19 13:43:02	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	Yes	Rats in the area ! Since moving here the rat issue has been increasing steadily ! I can't even take my 2 year old out to play in our backyard without seeing rats running around. I'm sure if this was in a government officials backyard this would be ok
305	2021-11-18 08:56:37	Yes		Yes		
308	2021-11-19 13:17:59	No	b3z1a1	Yes	No	
311	2021-11-19 12:09:06	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No	
318	2021-11-19 10:48:40	Yes	B3Z0B9	Yes		
319	2021-11-19 09:50:39	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	No	
320	2021-11-04 10:08:10	Yes	B3t1h7	Yes	Yes	
321	2021-11-19 10:09:52	No	B3Z1A1	Yes		
322	2021-11-19 09:54:14	Yes	B3Z0C6	Yes	No	
323	2021-11-19 09:53:08	Yes	B3t1g6	Yes	No	
324	2021-11-05 19:00:42	No	B3z1a4	Yes	No	
325	2021-11-19 09:42:37	Yes	b3t1j3			
326	2021-11-19 09:37:22	Yes	B3t1c1	Yes	No	
327	2021-11-19 09:32:40	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	Yes	
333	2021-11-19 08:29:03	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	The agreement with the community for having a landfill in our area includes a FEP/WSF. I believe this part of the agreement should be honored as long as the landfill exists.
334	2021-11-19 08:01:46	Yes	b3t1c1	Yes		
335	2021-11-19 07:38:52	No	B3z1a3	Yes	Yes	Tourist use of the Bluff trail system and other outdoor activities on the area
337	2021-11-18 19:34:24	Yes	B3T1c1	Yes	Yes	Overall this is reverting on a process that is working and is effective. There is not outline in the plan as to who will be monitoring and where the oversight lies. Further clarification of how changes will be analyzed need to be communicated. Overall we seem to be moving backwards.
338	2021-11-18 19:12:19	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	No	
339	2021-11-18 15:25:16	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes		
341	2021-11-18 13:22:55	Yes	B3Z1A4	Yes	Yes	If removing the FEP is a good deal for the people of HRM and especially the good people who live near the facility, HRM should be making this issue a non-issue, not me.
345	2021-11-18 07:07:28	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	No	
346	2021-11-18 06:20:03	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	No	
347	2021-11-18 03:50:21	No	B3z0e3	Yes	Yes	This survey is a sham and our govt should be ashamed of themselves for creating it. Organic waste Organic waste should never be buried in a landfill. The FEP/WSF should always be operated at our landfill.



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF						
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
355	2021-11-17 18:26:33	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes		
357	2021-11-13 22:48:08	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes		
360	2021-11-17 16:39:08	Yes	B3t1g5	Yes	No	
363	2021-11-17 15:28:28	Yes	B3T2K1	Yes		
365	2021-11-16 12:57:50	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	This survThis survey is not a consultation, it's a sneaky attempt to influence people ! HRM needs to keep it's promise to the BLT community !
367	2021-11-17 12:59:17	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	This changed is only targeted at saving money. There is no good reason to deactivate FEP/WSF. HRM should be leaders in this area, not go backwards. This survey is an attempt by HRM to justify their actions.
370	2021-11-17 12:04:06	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	Organic waste does not belong in a landfill
371	2021-11-17 11:14:48	No	B3Z1C2	Yes	Yes	If it's not broken don't try to change/fix it
376	2021-11-17 05:51:40	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes	Yes	You asked prev to increase the height and extend the time of closure. We agreed based on smart processing. You are changing that. I want to cemetery shut it down now.
377	2021-11-17 05:55:25	No	b3t1e1	Yes	No	
383	2021-11-16 20:49:29	Yes	B3t1j1	Yes	Yes	The value of my house going down!!!
385	2021-11-16 20:37:49	No	B3z4e9	Yes	Yes	I am dismayed that council only wants to pay lip service to the environment and the commitment they made to residents. Imagine if this facility were in the south end. Bet the bail and switch would not be happening.
386	2021-11-04 21:17:46	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	No	
390	2021-11-16 19:52:37	No	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	
393	2021-11-16 15:24:34	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	1. Re-establishing a budget for operating the FEP/WSF once de-activated may not be easy or possible. 2. HRM's population is growing at an increased rate, and waste production will also increase. Otter Lake's FEP/WSF should be upgraded to current technology during the "paused" phase.
399	2021-11-16 14:36:36	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes		
400	2021-11-16 14:22:06	Yes	B3Z1A2	Yes		
401	2021-11-16 13:51:53	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes		
406	2021-11-15 16:24:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	When will a new landfill location be announced? The agreement which was so eloquently explained earlier also had a timeline. Kindly advise
408	2021-11-12 11:37:48	Yes	B3z4n4	Yes		
414	2021-11-16 10:55:27	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	age of the dump - promise to discontinue as promised
415	2021-11-09 10:20:20	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	I've lived here 15 years. We didn't agree to a dump. We've been lied to and are being manipulated to accept this.
416	2021-11-16 09:46:15	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	Yes	its going to ruin our community. its a nice community and up and coming and this will ruin it. leave it alone
417	2021-11-16 09:33:37	Yes	B3z1b5	Yes		
424	2021-11-16 07:21:05	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	No	
426	2021-11-16 06:54:36	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	No	
430	2021-11-16 00:04:52	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	Why would we agree to removing part of a system even if it only removes a small part of unacceptable waste it should not be removed. If there is better technology then add it
431	2021-11-15 23:20:55	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes		
434	2021-11-09 13:32:39	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	No	
435	2021-11-15 21:26:49	Yes	B3T0A5	Yes	No	
437	2021-11-15 21:17:27	Yes	B3z1b6	Yes		
438	2021-11-15 20:50:10	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes		
440	2021-11-15 20:07:07	Yes	B3z1b5	Yes	Yes	
441	2021-11-15 19:26:51	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	No	
446	2021-11-15 19:21:29	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes		
450	2021-11-15 19:12:13	No	B3Z1B6	Yes		
452	2021-11-15 18:47:18	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	Yes	I have noted my concerns in previous answers.

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF						
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
455	2021-11-15 17:56:20	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	Yes	
458	2021-11-15 16:55:07	Yes	B3t1k7			
461	2021-11-15 15:55:27	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	No	
462	2021-11-15 15:50:42	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	No	
464	2021-11-15 15:25:06	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	I have little faith in the general public (Especially Industrial, Commercial, and High Density Residential sectors) to follow waste sorting guidelines. I believe the most effective way to ensure waste goes to the correct facility is on-site sorting.
465	2021-11-15 15:35:49	Yes		Yes		
466	2021-11-15 15:32:08	No	B3Z0P7	Yes		
471	2021-11-15 12:25:46	No	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	What will you do if you're wrong? I predict you'll drag your heels and resist putting the equipment back into service, and years will drag on with the environmental impacts compounding. I dread this outcome.
473	2021-11-15 12:31:09	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	No	
480	2021-11-15 10:39:20	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	No	
481	2021-11-15 10:56:31	Yes	B3t1n7	Yes	No	
484	2021-11-04 11:52:49	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	Yes	the agreement for the town dump in "my backyard ie otter lake" was agreed to for 25 years back in 1997. although having a dump so close was upsetting, it was "our turn" to have it. how very frustrating to have that deadline extended AND changes made (ie. no longer will 4000 tons of decaying matter be discovered prior to burial, as in 2019), it's time to locate the dump elsewhere.
485	2021-11-15 10:05:04	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	No	
486	2021-11-15 08:43:10	No	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	We have had industry from all over the world come to NS to see what we have done with our landfill because we are leading the way for the environment. To walk this back because it costs too much is not the message we should be sending to the world, especially given the heat and light the environment is being given around the world.
489	2021-11-15 09:21:03	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes		
495	2021-11-15 06:32:53	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes		
500	2021-11-15 05:27:21	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	No	
506	2021-11-14 21:35:47	No	B3Z1A1	Yes		
516	2021-11-14 17:12:19	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	Once when things change the government never give it back. Taking away the FEP will allow for the dumping of garbage with no one watching. I'm old enough to know when people are not watching employees do what they like and so do the three level of Government.
528	2021-11-14 12:38:56	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	This goes against an agreement with the communities and it is not an environmentally sound decision. This is a money driven decision. The HRM has no right to go back on its word about protecting the communities around the landfill.
536	2021-11-14 10:25:11	Yes	B3z0b7	Yes	No	
546	2021-11-14 07:10:17	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	The level of trust from the beginning. But you will do what you are going to do anyway. Thanks for wasting my time
547	2021-11-14 06:57:28	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	No	
559	2021-11-13 23:27:04	Yes	B3Z1B5	Yes	No	
560	2021-11-13 23:19:28	No	B3Z4P2	Yes	Yes	
564	2021-11-13 20:40:52	No	B3Z0R3	Yes	No	
566	2021-11-13 20:39:22	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	
567	2021-11-13 20:30:54	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes		
571	2021-11-13 17:40:32	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	Keep your promise to this community. Integrity is important. This survey clearly designed for the results to be skewed in favour of deactivation. Shameful display. Do better.
572	2021-11-13 18:00:19	Yes	B3z1e1	Yes		
574	2021-11-13 17:18:43	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	Just want the site gone

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF						
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
575	2021-11-13 16:53:34	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	Yes	When this facility was opened, it was leading the way when it comes to waste disposal and now you want us to go backwards especially with everyone's concerns around the environment.
583	2021-11-04 08:58:52	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	
593	2021-11-13 11:16:36	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	No	
597	2021-11-13 11:05:13	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes	No	
600	2021-11-13 10:00:56	Yes	B3z1a9	Yes		
604	2021-11-13 09:00:42	Yes	B3t1j7	Yes	No	
606	2021-11-13 08:52:45	Yes	B3z1a2	Yes		
610	2021-11-13 08:24:03	Yes	B3Z0R8	Yes		
611	2021-11-13 08:38:30	Yes	B3t1h8	No		
612	2021-11-04 16:57:56	Yes	b3t1c7	Yes	Yes	just honour the original agreement. i suggest that a new set of eyes be brought in. these lifelong partnerships get complacent. how about the opinion of a different operations group and especially a different consulting firm. Dillon seems to do an awful lot of work the HRM...
626	2021-11-13 04:20:39	No	B3z1b5	Yes		
627	2021-11-13 00:16:22	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	Its something we didn't want a landfill site in the first place but you and the government ignored the tax payers and communities and then you deactivated the one thing you know helps the process of shit in the landfill but you ignore it because you cheap and rather line your own pockets with the money. It's time for workers to speak up to tell exactly what is the truth
629	2021-11-12 22:56:37	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes		
631	2021-11-12 22:01:33	No	B3Z4P2	Yes	No	
633	2021-11-12 21:35:21	Yes	B3T1h8	Yes	Yes	It's disgusting what your trying to do to our community! Imagine if you lived here
634	2021-11-12 21:34:14	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes		
643	2021-11-12 19:50:07	No	B3Z4N8	Yes	No	
646	2021-11-12 19:10:50	Yes	B3Z1A4	Yes	No	
652	2021-11-12 17:55:29	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	No	
656	2021-11-12 18:03:42	Yes	B3t0a5	Yes	No	
657	2021-11-12 17:30:49	Yes	B3Z1P6	Yes		
659	2021-11-09 20:27:04	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	
667	2021-11-06 19:19:20	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes		
670	2021-11-05 10:25:41	Yes	B3t0b1	Yes	No	
671	2021-11-12 14:29:04	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	Yes	
672	2021-11-12 14:21:08	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	Yes	I'm concerned this survey itself is not meant to actually change any outcome and it's just to make people feel like they've been able to voice concerns.
674	2021-11-12 13:27:53	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	No	
676	2021-11-12 13:29:39	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	No	
680	2021-11-12 13:13:46	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes		
681	2021-11-12 13:01:10	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	The environmental output planned is concerning
682	2021-11-12 12:51:55	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes		
683	2021-11-12 12:22:17	Yes	B3z1a4	Yes	No	
684	2021-11-12 12:30:01	Yes	B3t1j9	Yes		
686	2021-11-12 11:39:54	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	No	
691	2021-11-12 11:31:45	No	B3T1N8	Yes	No	
694	2021-11-12 11:33:06	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes		
697	2021-11-12 10:51:31	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	No	
700	2021-11-12 10:32:00	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	
703	2021-11-12 09:55:11	No	B3z0r5	Yes	No	
705	2021-11-12 09:39:46	Yes	B3z1a3	Yes		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF						
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
706	2021-11-08 17:16:47	No	B3Z1A9	Yes	No	
710	2021-11-12 09:30:26	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	
711	2021-11-12 09:39:05	No	B3z1a1	Yes	No	
714	2021-11-12 09:35:55	Yes	B3t1e5	Yes		
716	2021-11-12 09:31:18	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	No	
718	2021-11-12 08:56:42	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	No	
722	2021-11-12 08:13:27	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	This survey is clearly designed to minimize and dismiss concerns of community members. The survey data obtained is basically useless from a statistical standpoint due to the extreme bias of the survey design. I have forwarded a link to the survey to the province for review and context around the data collected.
724	2021-11-12 07:53:21	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	No	
727	2021-11-12 08:13:51	Yes	B3Z4M9	Yes	No	
729	2021-11-12 08:16:19	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	Why don't you cut the salaries of overpaid politicians and government employees instead of reducing the quality of services you offer for ever increasing taxes.
731	2021-11-12 07:35:00	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	No	
734	2021-11-11 16:39:38	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes		
737	2021-11-11 18:38:28	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	Yes	The development within our community may be greatly impacted by this decision. Our community is strong and growing. Also this survey is not a good survey- this is not how you collect data on a topic. It severely worries me that your biased survey making skills is proof that you have no idea what it means to properly communicate and be a part of a community
738	2021-11-11 18:30:56	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	No	
740	2021-11-11 18:09:09	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	Aside from HRM looking to walk away from their responsibility to protect the environment and the community that accepted this landfill, the market value for homes will be negatively impacted by this move.
742	2021-11-11 17:24:51	No	b3t1h5	Yes	Yes	People are notoriously lazy, and their will always be those that will continue to put items that should not go in garbage into to the black bag hidden from view.
744	2021-11-11 16:28:40	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	Reduction of my property value! Air quality for those who already have breathing problems, impacts to our environment, pest increase (not just birds and rats), etc.
750	2021-11-11 12:46:39	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	No	
754	2021-11-11 11:54:48	Yes	B3T2J9	Yes	No	
755	2021-11-11 12:20:24	Yes	B3Z1A6	Yes		
756	2021-11-11 12:04:58	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	Residents are not being listened too.
758	2021-11-11 11:30:44	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	As I have previously stated, you are taking away something that was agreed upon. It will be worse for our community. You are being greedy trying to save money at a communities expense.
764	2021-11-11 09:46:30	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	What about the employees? Where are they going?
765	2021-11-11 09:36:19	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	No	
766	2021-11-11 09:41:01	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes	Yes	
786	2021-11-10 20:32:28	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes		
787	2021-11-11 03:44:19	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes		
791	2021-11-10 20:35:01	No	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	Contracts are not meant to be broken. The residents would not have agreed too the dump if you had not lied to us.
792	2021-11-10 20:07:47	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	No	
793	2021-11-07 06:48:23	Yes	B3Z4P7	Yes	Yes	Methane, groundwater contamination and the source of organics in the landfill
794	2021-11-10 19:48:51	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No	
796	2021-11-10 19:35:45	No	B3t1c7	Yes		
797	2021-11-10 19:02:17	Yes	B3t1j6	Yes	Yes	
802	2021-11-10 18:11:54	Yes	B3Z4P7	Yes		
803	2021-11-10 18:22:40	Yes	B3Z1A1	Yes		
811	2021-11-10 14:33:03	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	No	



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF						
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
813	2021-11-10 15:30:00	Yes	B3T1h9	Yes	Yes	The betrayal of our community by the City of Halifax
821	2021-11-10 14:05:36	Yes	B3t2c7	Yes	Yes	Any future sites are at risk if the original agreement isn't honoured.
822	2021-11-10 13:59:12	Yes	B3t1g3	Yes		
824	2021-11-10 13:30:08	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes		
825	2021-11-10 12:23:51	No	B3Z1A8	Yes	No	
831	2021-11-03 20:37:26	Yes	B3z0b7	Yes		
842	2021-11-10 09:03:51	Yes	b3t1c8	Yes	No	
845	2021-11-10 08:36:21	No	B3T1K1	No		
846	2021-11-10 08:25:15	Yes	B3T0B1	Yes	No	
854	2021-11-04 08:06:12	Yes	B3Z1B8	Yes	No	
855	2021-11-10 07:20:17	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	As a resident of the area I feel that HRM does not have a long term plan and is taking a trial and error approach. Unfortunately it is the residents who lose when assumptions are incorrect.
859	2021-11-10 06:48:08	Yes	B3T1H6	No		
860	2021-11-10 06:26:28	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	I am concerned that this is politically motivated and that if the city is looking to save money, there should be other areas that they should look at.
864	2021-11-10 06:00:53	Yes	B3t1e6	Yes	Yes	10% is a significant amount of food waste to ignore and is not setting and example for future generations
865	2021-11-10 05:00:14	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes		
866	2021-11-10 04:46:48	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	I am concerned with HRM's near total handoff of municipal responsibilities to the private sector in general. Profit will always rule. One group of companies in particular. And don't give me your public tender BS. You can skew a tender like you can skew this survey.
867	2021-11-09 15:22:11	Yes	B3t1n9	Yes	Yes	We don't want a non sorted landfill
868	2021-11-09 22:33:05	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	
869	2021-11-04 15:25:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	You are proposing breaking a contractual formal agreement from 1999 and am again quite certain that if you do this change at Otter Lake. That it and we, will never be able to revert to this state-of-the art facility.
871	2021-11-09 21:27:52	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	
872	2021-11-09 21:14:13	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes		
873	2021-11-09 20:48:16	Yes	B3z1b5	Yes	Yes	Negative environmental effects. This is an extreme step backwards
874	2021-11-09 21:05:55	Yes	B3Z4L5	Yes		
875	2021-11-09 20:02:17	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	No	
877	2021-11-09 20:24:49	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes		
886	2021-11-09 19:32:11	Yes	B3Z1B7	Yes		
891	2021-11-09 19:10:01	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	No	
893	2021-11-09 19:01:00	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	No	
894	2021-11-09 17:54:43	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	Yes	HRM is doing less waste management and adding more waste. I am concerned about the health of the local resident and the health of the environment. HRM needs to do better.
896	2021-11-09 18:21:25	No	B3Z1B5	Yes	No	
897	2021-11-09 18:06:35	No	B3Z1A7	Yes		
898	2021-11-09 17:38:11	Yes	b3t1g7	Yes	No	
899	2021-11-09 17:30:26	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	Yes	The city made an agreement when this was opened. Honor the agreement! Stop trying to fix what isn't broken. It works pretty good, so stop thinking you are so much smarter than the people that designed it.
902	2021-11-09 16:58:34	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	
903	2021-11-09 16:14:02	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	Yes	History shows the inability of HRM to fulfill promises made to the community prior to the opening of landfills. We only have to remember the landfill of Middle Sackville/amount Uniacke. Additionally when the landfill in Otter Lake was first operational our community remembers the smell of stench which was very prevalent depending on the wind direction.

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF						
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
904	2021-11-04 18:05:37	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	You have a duty and commitment to the surround community that was established when otter Lake landfill was agreed upon by the community. Now you're bypassing the CMCs role and doing what you want, regardless of the original agreement. Shame on you.
909	2021-11-09 16:32:47	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	No	
910	2021-11-09 16:23:16	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	While the survey addressed how the equipment would be maintained if it needed to be put back in service it did not address what conditions would lead it to be put into service, or whether personnel would be available to operate the equipment.
912	2021-11-09 16:08:32	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	Job loss
916	2021-11-09 15:49:15	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	No	
919	2021-11-09 15:28:47	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	It all stinks
924	2021-11-09 14:51:54	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	This may discourage residents from sorting and sets a bad precedent with regards to knowingly landfilling organics
929	2021-11-09 14:29:10	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	Recently bought in Timberlea and had concerns about being so close to Otter Lake, luckily after some initial research found out that the process at Otter Lake is very well done and has little concern for human health. Worried that by changing this, our initial concerns could come true.
930	2021-11-09 14:42:58	Yes	B3Z0B6	Yes		
931	2021-11-09 14:35:53	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	
933	2021-11-09 13:57:44	Yes	b3z1a5	Yes	No	
936	2021-11-09 13:09:39	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	Keep it running as it is or because we are recycling and sorting more instead of running the Processor full time run it half time and save one million. Be careful with this. Our Community and Enviroment are #1.
941	2021-11-09 13:15:03	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	No	
944	2021-11-09 13:17:56	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	
946	2021-11-09 12:50:39	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	Yes	
948	2021-11-09 12:30:38	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	No	
950	2021-11-09 11:29:08	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	Yes	
954	2021-11-09 11:42:48	Yes	B3t1e7	Yes	Yes	The undercover way this was done is sickening. The public is only engaged at the end stage so you can pat yourselves on the back and say we did a good job. Meanwhile the residents of the community are Fubar. Thanks again hrm
956	2021-11-09 11:49:10	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	No	
957	2021-11-09 11:51:50	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes	Yes	
958	2021-11-09 11:43:46	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes		
959	2021-11-04 22:16:03	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	No	
961	2021-11-09 11:28:30	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes	Yes	
962	2021-11-09 08:05:43	Yes	b3t1j3	Yes	No	
964	2021-11-09 11:24:08	Yes	B3T1H6	No		
965	2021-11-09 11:11:17	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	No	
966	2021-11-09 11:16:21	Yes	B3T1G1			
967	2021-11-09 11:05:37	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	I am concerned with all of it. It is working fine, let's not break an already working system.
970	2021-11-09 10:50:59	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No	
973	2021-11-09 10:41:12	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	Yes	
975	2021-11-09 09:33:49	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	No	
978	2021-11-09 08:55:19	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	Yes	Plan is very shortsighted. Any savings will be eaten up by mitigation strategies if they even happen. Will set back environmental efforts. Reactivation of FEP/WSF will never happen when this is found to be disastrous. Very disappointed in HRM's ST thinking. Questionnaire is biased; only presents positive, neglects to share disadvantages if proposed mitigation strategies are not fully implemented.
985	2021-11-09 08:08:57	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	No	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF						
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
987	2021-11-09 07:27:43	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	An agreement was made between the city and the community. This agreement needs to be honoured.
989	2021-11-09 07:30:09	Yes	B3t1c8	Yes		
990	2021-11-04 07:05:57	No	B3T1G6	Yes	No	
991	2021-11-09 06:40:53	No	B3z1a2	Yes	Yes	
992	2021-11-09 06:15:07	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	No	
993	2021-11-09 05:59:40	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	Yes	I believe this survey was biased with loaded questions. This makes me feel like everything about this change has ulterior motives and should be resolved via third party.
998	2021-11-09 04:34:13	No	B3Z1B5	Yes		
999	2021-11-08 23:44:20	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	This whole survey is ridiculously biased. You waste all of this money on consultants, lawyers etc. When the only problem is how can we save a couple million a year. Then overbuild a solution. The results are it cripples the surrounding community and loses all credibility as you went back on your promises to the CMC. The option should still be on the table to not deactivate the FEP/WSF!
1000	2021-11-08 22:51:36	Yes	B3z1b6	Yes	Yes	I don't have confidence in the sincerity of a biased report, written with the purpose of justifying deactivation of this part of the facility. The residents of this area were promised by the council of the day that front end sorting would be a permanent part of the Otter Lake landfill.
1002	2021-11-08 22:17:36	Yes	b3t1j9	Yes		
1003	2021-11-08 21:52:13	Yes	B3T1K8	Yes	No	
1004	2021-11-08 21:23:27	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	No	
1006	2021-11-08 21:03:07	Yes	B3T1h9	Yes	Yes	Just don't do it. Enough said.
1008	2021-11-08 20:43:59	Yes	B3t1j6	Yes		
1010	2021-11-08 17:49:55	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	Yes	I am concerned that the communities concerns will not actually be taken into account. I fear that this is all to decrease the budget and does not have the communities best interests at heart.
1011	2021-11-08 19:40:36	Yes	B3z1a9	Yes	No	
1013	2021-11-08 19:54:19	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	No	
1015	2021-11-08 19:41:27	Yes	B3z1a9	Yes	Yes	
1023	2021-11-08 18:16:37	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	Yes	There will be more things changed, more promises made and we would be crazy to trust you.
1025	2021-11-08 17:53:19	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes	No	
1027	2021-11-08 17:51:15	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes		
1030	2021-11-08 17:27:06	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	Your desire to continuously make changes to save costs. Who knows what you will do next and then next. We did our time with this facility. Time to give it to another. If so confident, put it in your community.
1031	2021-11-08 17:39:32	Yes	B3z1a7	Yes	Yes	I am proud of the leadership role Halifax had taken If anything we should be enhancing the FEP/WSF
1032	2021-11-08 17:36:13	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	No	
1033	2021-11-08 17:33:51	Yes	B3z1a8	Yes	No	
1037	2021-11-08 17:12:36	Yes	B3T1E6	Yes	Yes	
1038	2021-11-08 16:58:28	Yes	B3Z0R8	Yes	Yes	Overall all I am concerned about the environmental impact of removing the FEP. This is not the time to remove layers of protection. The environment needs us to do what we can to ensure we are doing everything we can to compost and recycle anything that can be rather than place it in land fills.
1040	2021-11-08 13:18:25	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes		
1043	2021-11-08 16:48:59	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	No	
1044	2021-11-08 16:56:44	Yes	b3z0a1	Yes		
1047	2021-11-08 16:28:00	Yes	B3Z1L2	Yes	No	
1048	2021-11-08 16:16:45	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF						
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1052	2021-11-08 15:41:38	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	Yes	I do not trust the city to have the best interests of this district in mind, they are serving their own wants and finding an easy way out of doing the right thing.
1053	2021-11-08 15:40:09	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes		
1057	2021-11-08 15:04:19	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	No	
1059	2021-11-08 14:44:08	Yes	B3t1j1	Yes		
1062	2021-11-08 14:15:18	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes		
1068	2021-11-08 13:00:55	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	It is abundantly clear that this decision is a financial one and not one that is based on science or the environment. You made an agreement to operate a clean facility and now are trying to back out of it to save some money.
1070	2021-11-08 12:36:46	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	The community members that have been unemployed due to the slowdown of the facility
1072	2021-11-08 12:39:58	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	
1073	2021-11-08 12:21:31	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	No	
1074	2021-11-08 12:18:10	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	No	
1078	2021-11-08 11:46:27	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	This area is growing rapidly therefore the amount of garbage generated will increase ie diapers, how will the facility cope with all the garbage without impacting the pristine environment that surrounds it?
1079	2021-11-08 09:58:17	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	The agreement with the residents of BLT was a necessity because of the difficulty finding a site. This backpedaling on the agreement seals the fate on future site selection. It will be impossible.
1081	2021-11-08 11:40:16	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	No	
1083	2021-11-08 11:40:47	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes		
1084	2021-11-08 11:15:49	No	B3T1J4	Yes		
1085	2021-11-08 11:05:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	I strongly suspect this will have a negative impact on the value of home prices.
1086	2021-11-08 08:45:33	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes		
1087	2021-11-08 10:29:46	Yes	B3t1h4	Yes	Yes	I've never had issues with the landfill there, never noticed it beyond the truck traffic. No smells, no complaints. I think that's a good thing.
1091	2021-11-08 10:03:16	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes		
1099	2021-11-08 08:47:09	Yes	B3t1g7	No		
1100	2021-11-08 08:54:54	No	B3Z1E1	Yes		
1106	2021-11-08 08:40:36	Yes	B3T0B1	Yes	Yes	
1111	2021-11-08 08:30:29	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes		
1125	2021-11-08 06:56:53	Yes	b3t1h5	Yes	Yes	The by-products of solid waste deposited in a landfill has adverse effects on the surrounding environment and humans living closer to landfill sites. Sacrificing human living conditions, safety and the environment for money is WRONG.
1135	2021-11-07 21:03:45	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	Yes	
1136	2021-11-07 20:16:23	Yes	B3Z1B2	Yes	Yes	Increased garbage, odours, leaching into groundwater and especially the expected amount of rodents in the neighbouring communities.
1138	2021-11-07 21:04:04	Yes	b3t1g4			
1141	2021-11-07 20:16:43	Yes	B3t1e2	Yes	No	
1146	2021-11-07 18:25:27	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	If we move to this proposed system, we are abandoning the use of a precautionary approach where measures are put in place to prevent damage. We will be placed in a circumstance where we can only react to bad outcomes after they have happened. This is a clear violation of the spirit of the agreement and especially the clause that allows only 'acceptable waste' to be deposited in the landfill.
1148	2021-11-07 18:51:41	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	Will continue to devalue the environment.
1150	2021-11-07 18:25:35	No	B3Z1A8	Yes	No	
1153	2021-11-07 17:54:03	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	My concern is the laziness of it all, and attempt to cut costs and save time and effort by making everyone's garbage the problem of Timberlea residents. Under no circumstances should our government be cutting costs at the expense of the environment, even in marginal amounts.



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF						
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1154	2021-11-07 17:46:59	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes		
1156	2021-11-07 17:17:16	No	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	Do your damn job and find a new site, then build it however you want. We've done our part.
1162	2021-11-07 16:10:15	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	Yes	When this area is "filled" completely, will there be a plan to expand further? Will the expansion be a simple landfill, or will the sorting system which has kept Otter Lake so good be implemented elsewhere?
1164	2021-11-07 13:15:12	Yes	B3T1H4	Yes	Yes	Concerns regarding environmental racism, that the policies in place are not in the best interest of the surrounding community, and that the policies put in place are discriminatory
1166	2021-11-07 14:31:05	No	b3t1j1	Yes	Yes	The report is incomplete with regards to evidence of known issues at other facilities that do not have FEP/WSF facilities. The report does not give evidence estimated cost of increased monitoring and testing functions due to the FEP/WSF decommission
1168	2021-11-07 15:09:03	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No	
1171	2021-11-07 14:43:36	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	We still have along way to go with our separation program in HRM, I see everyday, apartment building which are supposed to separate not doing so, the same for businesses. Reality is not everyone is complying with protocols so it is important that Pre sorting continue.
1177	2021-11-07 14:08:08	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes		
1179	2021-11-07 13:49:37	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	The deactivation feels like a short-sighted budgeting exercise. The facility should either be upgraded if we anticipate using it for many years; or it should be wound down and replaced with another, newer facility at a different site.
1180	2021-11-07 14:05:52	No	B3T1j4	Yes	No	
1186	2021-11-07 13:25:33	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes	No	
1195	2021-11-07 11:05:26	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	
1196	2021-11-07 10:50:23	Yes	B3T1E7	Yes	No	
1204	2021-11-07 10:24:43	Yes	B3t1j6	Yes	Yes	
1207	2021-11-07 09:37:19	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes		
1209	2021-11-07 09:00:42	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	Respect your commitment. This is short sighted.
1210	2021-11-07 09:18:16	Yes	b3t1j5	Yes		
1212	2021-11-07 08:47:48	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes		
1213	2021-11-07 08:02:00	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes	Yes	The savings being proposed are much too small to warrant breaking trust with a community and putting the community and environment at risk. VERY DISAPPOINTED TO SEE HRM MOVING IN THIS DIRECTION.
1218	2021-11-07 08:03:03	Yes	B3t1c1	Yes	Yes	No changes should be made
1220	2021-11-07 07:02:14	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes	Yes	Will this lead to the Otter Lake site being extended? Any potential effects on land values in the area?
1226	2021-11-07 06:19:12	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	
1227	2021-11-07 06:26:01	Yes	B3t1e9	Yes	No	
1228	2021-11-07 05:49:15	Yes	B3Z1A8	Yes		
1231	2021-11-03 16:47:38	Yes	B3Z1B3	Yes	Yes	
1234	2021-11-03 14:07:24	No	B3t0b1	Yes	Yes	HSW
1235	2021-11-06 22:54:07	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	
1237	2021-11-06 22:27:27	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	No	
1238	2021-11-06 22:11:58	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	Yes	
1243	2021-11-06 20:34:03	Yes	B3T1g3	Yes	Yes	our community shouldn't even have the dump anymore and this deactivation is only going to make it worse. It's disrespectful to the BLT community
1245	2021-11-06 20:38:08	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	Pleas honour the agreement from 1999 to the residents of Timberlea.
1247	2021-11-06 20:16:52	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	No	
1248	2021-11-06 20:23:50	Yes	B3T1E4	Yes	Yes	
1249	2021-11-06 19:44:30	Yes	B3T1E2	Yes	Yes	This is a really misleading and one sided community consultation process that does not address concerns adequately or constructively seek to engage feedback.
1251	2021-11-06 19:16:43	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	No	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF						
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1255	2021-11-06 18:36:24	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes		We have a formula that works. Why is this being put at risk. If you proceed and then have to revert back to the current process the cost of that could be significant. \$2m is what percent of the current budget.
1256	2021-11-06 18:45:14	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes		
1257	2021-11-06 18:22:14	Yes	B3t1g7	Yes	No	
1262	2021-11-06 17:45:16	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	No	
1264	2021-11-06 16:55:43	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes		
1265	2021-11-06 17:28:55	Yes	B3t1j7	Yes	No	
1266	2021-11-06 17:02:44	Yes	B3t1h4	Yes	No	
1267	2021-11-06 17:03:55	Yes	B3T1J8			
1269	2021-11-06 16:09:51	Yes	B3T1J1	Yes	Yes	I am concerned that the healthy and safety of residents is being sacrificed to save a few dollars and facilitate a quick fix for our waste disposal problem.
1272	2021-11-06 16:10:29	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	No	
1277	2021-11-04 07:02:22	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	I'd be more open to innovation. This approach simply feels wrong and the risk is too great.
1278	2021-11-04 12:01:56	No	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	
1283	2021-11-06 13:33:43	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes		
1284	2021-11-06 13:07:07	Yes	B3t1h9	No		
1286	2021-11-06 12:51:21	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	No	
1290	2021-11-06 11:43:02	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	Yes	loss of jobs and increased risk to the environment. 2 million dollars is a small amount when considered to the total budget for HRM
1291	2021-11-06 11:52:45	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	No	
1292	2021-11-06 11:38:55	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No	
1297	2021-11-06 11:07:59	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes		
1298	2021-11-06 09:29:17	No	B3Z1a4	Yes	No	
1299	2021-11-06 09:27:10	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	No	
1303	2021-11-06 09:09:20	Yes	B3T1L2	Yes		
1304	2021-11-06 09:00:32	Yes	B3Z1A9	Yes	Yes	promote less consumption and waste.
1305	2021-11-06 08:55:14	Yes	B3t1g9	Yes	Yes	Don't change
1306	2021-11-06 08:29:50	Yes	b3t1h8	Yes	Yes	City deceived the community, will continue. I guarantee that if this was in a high tax paying area this would not happen
1307	2021-11-06 08:25:15	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	
1308	2021-11-06 07:40:06	Yes		Yes		
1311	2021-11-06 08:24:50	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	
1316	2021-11-05 21:45:07	Yes	B3T1J4	No		
1321	2021-11-06 06:58:26	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	Yes	HRM staff do not have our communities best interest in mind. They only want to save money and we need to look after the environment.
1326	2021-11-06 05:43:37	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes		
1327	2021-11-06 05:33:17	Yes	B3t1h9	Yes		
1330	2021-11-05 23:03:05	Yes	B3T1C1	Yes	No	
1331	2021-11-05 21:57:28	No	B3Z1A5	Yes	Yes	Related to this survey, the field provided are not conducive to thoughtful and thorough responses. This was completed on an iPad and rereading my comments was not possible and were limited in the number of characters. Therefore my responses could not be reread or completed thoroughly to provide all of my concerns.
1332	2021-11-05 22:30:16	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes		
1333	2021-11-05 21:49:28	Yes	B3t1c1	Yes	Yes	I'm concerned that there may be adverse effects. Although there is a chance to get the agreement back in place, the time it would take to get the government to act on enforcing it is scary
1334	2021-11-05 21:54:50	Yes	B3T1G3	No		
1335	2021-11-05 21:51:32	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	No	
1336	2021-11-05 21:33:28	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	No	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF						
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1337	2021-11-05 21:40:29	Yes	B3t1g1	Yes	Yes	Have. To really think about this
1339	2021-11-05 21:05:42	No	B3T1H4	Yes		
1340	2021-11-05 20:50:25	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	Yes	
1344	2021-11-05 20:04:54	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes		
1346	2021-11-05 19:28:58	Yes	B3Z4b8	Yes	Yes	
1347	2021-11-05 16:24:45	No	B3T1K1	Yes	No	
1349	2021-11-05 18:58:14	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes	No	
1352	2021-11-05 18:45:51	Yes	B3t1e9	Yes	No	
1357	2021-11-05 17:41:33	Yes	B3Z0E2	Yes	Yes	I am disgusted with HRM staff and councilors for going back on the original agreement made with the community. We had a world class facility but now it will be shameful!
1365	2021-11-05 17:06:31	Yes	B3T1C8	Yes	Yes	My largest concern is the non transparent way that the risks are being managed
1366	2021-11-05 17:14:34	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes		
1367	2021-11-05 16:33:44	Yes	B3t1k1	Yes	Yes	I think this is very disappointing and disheartening that this is even an issue. We deserve more. We deserve as tax payers to have this agreement honored. What is your plan when our property values decrease.
1372	2021-11-05 15:47:32	Yes	B3Z1B6	Yes	Yes	
1374	2021-11-05 15:53:33	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	No	
1377	2021-11-05 15:45:02	No	B3z1a1	Yes		
1379	2021-11-05 15:43:33	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes		
1380	2021-11-05 15:34:32	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	Yes	Economic impact of lost jobs.
1381	2021-11-05 15:39:24	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes		
1382	2021-11-05 15:28:06	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes	Yes	
1386	2021-11-05 14:46:49	Yes	B3t0a4	Yes	No	
1387	2021-11-05 14:30:49	Yes	B3Z0R6	Yes	No	
1389	2021-11-05 14:25:03	Yes	B3t1c8	Yes	No	
1391	2021-11-05 13:29:03	Yes	b3t1j7	Yes	Yes	The flagrant disregard to honoring the contract by the city should be a warning to the next district required to have it in their backyard!!! Lake Echo-Preston, Sambro, Bedford-HmdsPlains, Musquo.Hbr, Hubbards
1392	2021-11-05 14:01:05	Yes	b3t1j4	Yes	Yes	It represents a major be away from the original intent and design of the system. This "after the fact" change does not honour the original promises and assurances to the surrounding neighborhood
1393	2021-11-05 13:48:50	No	B3Z1A1	Yes	No	
1397	2021-11-05 13:27:25	No	B3t1j1	Yes	Yes	The landfill is too close to a vastly growing residential area.
1398	2021-11-05 13:38:39	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes		
1399	2021-11-05 12:39:08	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	I'm concerned with the Dillon reports (2020-11-30) methodology. No rationale is provided for how certain activities were deemed "none identified". Justifications should be made for why risks were included or excluded.
1400	2021-11-05 13:08:19	Yes	B3T1G9	Yes	Yes	I am concerned not by increase in rodents and birds in the facility but also impacts of them in the community. We see a far amount of rats and rodents now in the area ... this will only increase if organics etc. increase and are not treated before landfill. The report does not address this as a potential risk..
1403	2021-11-05 12:45:46	Yes	b3t2k4	Yes	No	
1405	2021-11-05 11:53:21	Yes	B3t1g8	Yes	No	
1406	2021-11-05 11:32:38	Yes	b3t1h2	Yes	No	
1411	2021-11-05 10:47:19	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	No	
1413	2021-11-05 09:38:01	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	The BLT community is the fastest growing area in HRM. I don't think the new home owners, especially Brunello Estates or the golf course, want additional odours or birds or rodents in the area.
1416	2021-11-04 11:40:18	No	B3Z1A2	Yes		
1417	2021-11-05 10:31:51	Yes		Yes		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF						
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1420	2021-11-05 09:52:16	No	B3Z1C1	Yes	No	
1421	2021-11-05 09:45:59	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	HRM breaking their agreement...this area has been built up in a big way around this landfill(Brunello for example) and these people dont have any idea what this change will make to their neighbourhood, but the increase in smell and rodents will be enough for property values to plummet.
1422	2021-11-05 09:48:23	Yes	B3t1g4	Yes		
1425	2021-11-05 09:38:04	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes		
1429	2021-11-04 17:07:08	No	B3Z1A3	Yes	Yes	HRM and the province should be implementing measures to reduce incoming wastes to the landfills, especially organics but also other consumer waste. It is costing us too much to allow these wastes into our disposal streams - environment, climate change, cost of management
1433	2021-11-05 07:26:02	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes		
1437	2021-11-05 06:56:17	Yes	B3T1n9	Yes		
1439	2021-11-05 05:54:48	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	No	
1441	2021-11-05 06:12:23	Yes	B3T1e2	Yes	No	
1442	2021-11-05 06:12:13	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	Concern with the removal of a step that was put in place in 2014. My question is why? It always has and always will come down to money. This will save mine for the company, not for the surrounding communities. This will attract more rodents and will affect the surrounding communities more so then it already does. Do not change the process!
1448	2021-11-05 05:33:41	Yes	B3t1h9	No		
1450	2021-11-05 05:08:27	Yes	B3T1N5	Yes		
1451	2021-11-05 04:33:43	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Increased traffic, contaminants, smell, rodents and decrease in property values with no compensation to residents.
1453	2021-11-05 00:32:01	Yes	B3T1H7	Yes	Yes	What happened to closing this in a time frame that has already passed???
1457	2021-11-05 00:05:15	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	No	
1460	2021-11-04 22:39:01	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	No	
1461	2021-11-04 22:38:33	No	B3T1E5	Yes		
1467	2021-11-04 21:27:35	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	My concern is that at times like this, with what we have gone through in our society, that the greed to cut costs to funnel to the top of the ladder is still the number one priority for government. Disgusting.
1469	2021-11-04 21:04:09	No	B3Z4P8	Yes	Yes	HRM made commitments to the community in return for hosting the landfill. It intends to renege on them. I have lost all trust in the staff and elected officials. I hope that the Province has the integrity that HRM lacks.
1470	2021-11-04 20:44:31	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	That no matter what this will go ahead even though a commitment was made to the residents and taxpayers
1471	2021-11-04 19:01:28	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes		
1473	2021-11-04 20:44:19	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes		
1476	2021-11-04 18:53:37	Yes	b3t1h4	Yes	Yes	today's youth have no interest in recycling, therefore i expect more waste in the garbage.
1477	2021-11-04 20:29:50	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes		
1478	2021-11-04 19:58:15	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	No	
1482	2021-11-04 19:55:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes		
1484	2021-11-04 19:39:29	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes	No	
1486	2021-11-04 19:38:26	Yes	B3T1N9	Yes		
1488	2021-11-04 19:19:14	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	No	
1489	2021-11-03 16:47:23	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes		
1491	2021-11-04 19:03:04	Yes	b3t1h9	Yes	No	
1495	2021-11-04 19:05:29	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes		
1497	2021-11-04 18:58:28	Yes	B3t1c6	Yes		
1499	2021-11-04 18:59:44	Yes	B3T1C6	No		



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF						
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1501	2021-11-04 18:19:48	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes	Yes	I am concerned that the city it not upholding it's agreement, and that the proposed changes will negatively affect our property value.
1502	2021-11-04 18:39:01	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	Yes	height of cells
1504	2021-11-04 18:31:01	Yes	B3T1G5			
1506	2021-11-04 18:05:59	Yes	B3T1G6	Yes	Yes	Going back on your agreement to the community
1507	2021-11-04 17:17:44	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	No	
1508	2021-11-04 17:53:34	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes	Yes	
1509	2021-11-04 17:43:26	Yes	B3Z1B2	Yes		
1511	2021-11-04 17:35:31	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	No	
1512	2021-11-04 17:32:50	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes		
1513	2021-11-04 17:12:24	Yes	B3t1g5	Yes	No	
1514	2021-11-04 17:10:56	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes		
1516	2021-11-04 16:49:10	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	Property values will be impacted, the environment of my area will be affected. Honour the agreement that was signed
1517	2021-11-04 16:42:28	Yes	B3t1g3	Yes	Yes	
1519	2021-11-04 15:32:44	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes	No	
1521	2021-11-04 16:09:33	No	B3z1n2	No		
1523	2021-11-04 16:02:08	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes		
1525	2021-11-04 16:02:40	Yes	B3t1j3	Yes		
1526	2021-11-04 15:45:33	Yes	b3z0b7	Yes	Yes	
1527	2021-11-04 15:42:38	Yes	B3T1G7	Yes	Yes	we were given a deal that we agreed to. You tried to change the deal and we said no. why the Hell are you still trying to shove something down our throats when we don't want it?
1528	2021-11-04 15:50:58	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes		
1530	2021-11-04 12:00:25	Yes	B3T1J3	Yes	No	
1533	2021-11-04 15:28:41	Yes	B3T1N7	No		
1534	2021-11-04 15:23:08	Yes	b3t1p1	Yes	Yes	do NOT deactivate the Otter Lake FEP/WSF
1540	2021-11-04 14:09:42	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	With these areas being shut down and in time the landfill area will result in a climatic mess all for a f
1542	2021-11-04 14:13:21	Yes	B3t1j4	Yes		
1543	2021-11-04 14:20:17	Yes	B3T1K1	Yes	Yes	
1546	2021-11-04 14:07:47	Yes	B3t1g5	Yes		
1548	2021-11-04 12:41:11	No	B3Z0C6	Yes	Yes	If this landfill will remain in operation for the next 40 year the FEP and WSF is presently the best option we have to protect our environment and ensure the proper stewardship of this facility and our environment.
1549	2021-11-04 13:38:21	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes		
1550	2021-11-03 15:47:25	Yes	B3T1C7	Yes		
1551	2021-11-04 12:47:17	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	We already went through this process a short while ago. What has changed? Certainly nothing for the residents of the area. Stop trying to save money for absolutely no benefit to the area residents. We have something that works. Leave it alone. This survey leaves a lot to be desired. One sided doesn't begin to describe it.
1552	2021-11-04 13:19:48	Yes	B3Z1A3	Yes	Yes	Why is there no plan to move the facility to another community, as promised.
1553	2021-11-04 13:01:16	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes		
1554	2021-11-04 13:19:04	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	Yes	Quality of life as a resident. Decreased property values.
1555	2021-11-04 13:23:55	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes		
1558	2021-11-04 13:17:55	No	B3T1J7	Yes	Yes	
1560	2021-11-04 12:48:26	Yes	b3t1h6	Yes	Yes	
1561	2021-11-04 12:45:07	No	b3z4m7	Yes		
1562	2021-11-04 12:45:14	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes		
1563	2021-11-04 12:19:45	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	No	
1565	2021-11-04 12:24:59	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF						
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1566	2021-11-04 12:10:16	Yes	B3T1J8	Yes	No	
1567	2021-11-04 12:08:51	Yes	b3t1e2	Yes	Yes	lowers housing market desirability. These "answers" are insufficient.
1570	2021-11-04 11:57:25	Yes	B3t1e1	Yes		
1571	2021-11-04 11:52:08	Yes	B3t1j5	Yes		
1573	2021-11-04 11:42:15	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	Yes	
1574	2021-11-04 11:46:39	Yes	B3t1k7	Yes		
1575	2021-11-04 11:36:59	Yes	B3T1G2	Yes	Yes	Decline in Property values
1576	2021-11-04 11:40:29	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes		
1578	2021-11-04 11:27:13	Yes	B3t1g6	Yes	Yes	I do not want the FEP/WSF deactivated
1579	2021-11-04 11:35:28	No	B3t1n8	Yes		
1580	2021-11-04 11:30:27	Yes	b3z1a2			
1586	2021-11-04 10:48:09	Yes	B3T1J7	Yes	No	
1587	2021-11-04 10:41:17	Yes	B3t1j3	Yes	Yes	
1588	2021-11-04 08:59:10	Yes	B3t1h7	Yes	No	
1591	2021-11-04 09:07:25	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	You have provided inadequate space to cover all items of concern. This is unacceptable reporting.
1592	2021-11-04 10:24:08	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes		
1593	2021-11-04 10:18:32	Yes	b3t1j7	Yes	No	
1597	2021-11-04 09:39:27	No	B3Z1B8	Yes		
1599	2021-11-04 10:04:29	Yes	B3T1L2	Yes	No	
1602	2021-11-04 10:00:48	Yes	b3t1h1	Yes		
1604	2021-11-04 09:30:59	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	No	
1606	2021-11-04 09:26:11	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	No	
1607	2021-11-04 09:26:37	Yes	B3z1a1	Yes	No	
1608	2021-11-04 09:22:40	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	No	
1610	2021-11-04 09:28:16	Yes	B3T1P1	No		
1611	2021-11-04 09:18:14	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	No	
1612	2021-11-04 09:11:23	Yes	B3T1G5	Yes	Yes	
1614	2021-11-04 09:13:51	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes		
1615	2021-11-04 09:18:19	Yes	b3t1e5	Yes	Yes	illegal dumping
1617	2021-11-04 09:00:39	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	How long will it take to bring systems back up, if necessary. How will you be monitoring the landfill yo know if it will be necessary to bring facilities back online?
1618	2021-11-04 09:11:00	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	Leadship's lack of response to direct outreach from community members
1619	2021-11-04 09:06:19	Yes	B3T1H2	Yes	Yes	
1625	2021-11-04 08:59:55	No	B3z4b8	Yes		
1627	2021-11-04 08:54:25	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	No	
1628	2021-11-04 08:55:29	Yes	B3T1E5	Yes		
1630	2021-11-04 08:37:38	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	
1632	2021-11-04 08:40:01	Yes	B3t0a6	Yes	No	
1633	2021-11-04 08:42:48	Yes	B3t1k1	Yes		
1635	2021-11-04 08:02:36	No	B3Z4P2	Yes	No	
1640	2021-11-04 08:24:38	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	Yes	
1643	2021-11-04 08:22:13	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes		
1645	2021-11-04 08:12:52	No	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	N
1646	2021-11-04 08:04:35	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	Yes	
1647	2021-11-04 08:04:52	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	Yes	If it's not broken, don't fix it. This is a functional facility and should remain as is. Preventative rather than reactive.
1649	2021-11-04 08:03:47	Yes	B3t1n7	No		
1653	2021-11-04 07:09:27	No	B3Z0B7	Yes	No	
1654	2021-11-04 07:12:12	Yes	B3T1H8	Yes		

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF						
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1657	2021-11-04 06:58:21	Yes	B3T1G2	Yes		
1661	2021-11-04 05:54:23	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	No	
1662	2021-11-04 06:16:57	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Decrease in property values continues to be a huge concern. Who wants to live close to a dump that the government refuses to honour the agreement they made to the residents in the past! Who knows what will come down the road?? Disgraceful is the only word I can think of.
1663	2021-11-04 06:25:23	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes	No	
1665	2021-11-04 05:59:57	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	No	
1666	2021-11-04 06:08:58	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	
1669	2021-11-04 05:35:32	Yes	B3T1H6	Yes	Yes	
1673	2021-11-04 04:03:06	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	No	
1674	2021-11-03 23:29:36	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	No	
1676	2021-11-03 23:06:06	Yes	B3t1j1	Yes	Yes	It would appear that any study conducted to examine the efficacy of the removal of such systems was rushed, and poorly conducted
1678	2021-11-03 22:44:00	Yes	B3t0a6	Yes	No	
1685	2021-11-03 22:11:38	Yes	B3T0A4	Yes	No	
1686	2021-11-03 22:03:40	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	Yes	Overall harm to the eco system
1687	2021-11-03 21:45:00	Yes	B3T1k1	Yes	No	
1688	2021-11-03 21:28:30	No	B3z0e3	Yes	Yes	I sincerely believe that the services provide a critical environmental protection and thus are immune to political interference. The environment takes precedence over economy, politics, healthcare, welfare, etc.
1690	2021-11-03 21:54:38	Yes	B3t1e1	Yes	Yes	
1698	2021-11-03 21:19:14	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes		
1700	2021-11-03 21:18:46	Yes	B3z0b6	Yes		
1701	2021-11-03 21:09:16	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	Yes	It goes directly against the agreement with the communities and I do not agree you will be able to maintain a high level of standards of the landfill if you remove these two systems. No amount of lobbying or posturing is going to change my opinion on this
1702	2021-11-03 21:06:16	No	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	Not interested
1704	2021-11-03 20:34:32	Yes	B3Z4B8	Yes	Yes	I have expressed my concerns and the questionnaire has rejected my comments as invalid? Why?
1705	2021-11-03 21:00:21	Yes	B3z0e2	Yes		
1707	2021-11-03 20:42:09	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes		
1708	2021-11-03 20:45:00	No	B3T1h1	Yes	No	
1709	2021-11-03 20:44:31	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes		
1711	2021-11-03 20:35:45	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes		
1713	2021-11-03 20:37:12	Yes	B3t1p1	Yes	Yes	
1714	2021-11-03 20:17:03	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	No	
1717	2021-11-03 20:30:25	Yes	B3T2K4	Yes		
1719	2021-11-03 20:22:33	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes	Yes	
1723	2021-11-03 20:09:36	Yes	B3T1P1	Yes	No	
1724	2021-11-03 19:56:33	Yes	B3T2C7	Yes	No	
1725	2021-11-03 20:05:26	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	No	
1727	2021-11-03 19:19:43	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	No	
1729	2021-11-03 19:34:09	No	B3z0b7	Yes		
1730	2021-11-03 19:28:16	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	No	
1733	2021-11-03 19:18:41	Yes	B3t1j8	Yes	Yes	This will drastically decrease the value of homes in the area and should not be altered when the community fought for it to remain in place
1736	2021-11-03 18:59:16	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	Yes	
1737	2021-11-03 19:17:06	Yes	B3t0b1	Yes		
1739	2021-11-03 19:06:12	Yes	B3t1c6	Yes	Yes	

Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF						
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1743	2021-11-03 18:49:09	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes	No	
1744	2021-11-03 18:57:02	Yes	B3t1n5	Yes	Yes	
1745	2021-11-03 19:06:36	Yes	B3t1h6	Yes		
1746	2021-11-03 18:47:19	Yes	B3T1E9	Yes	No	
1748	2021-11-03 18:51:42	Yes	B3T1H5	Yes		
1749	2021-11-03 18:54:05	Yes	B3T1e2	Yes	No	
1750	2021-11-03 18:41:25	Yes	B3t1n9	Yes	No	
1751	2021-11-03 18:41:30	Yes	B3t2c7	Yes	No	
1755	2021-11-03 18:21:33	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	I have lost all trust. If they want this new type of system then they can put it somewhere else. Put it in their own backyard and see how they would like it.
1756	2021-11-03 18:25:28	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	No	
1758	2021-11-03 18:01:26	Yes	B3T1J5	Yes	No	
1760	2021-11-03 18:00:28	Yes	B3T1H1	Yes	No	
1762	2021-11-03 18:03:46	No	B3T1J7	Yes	No	
1768	2021-11-03 17:18:17	Yes	B3T1N4	Yes		
1769	2021-11-03 17:31:01	Yes	B3T1N7	Yes	No	
1770	2021-11-03 17:30:19	Yes	B3t1g2	Yes	Yes	Our properties values decreasing due to a stench of a dump to close to home!
1771	2021-11-03 17:23:02	Yes	B3T1N3	Yes	No	
1773	2021-11-03 17:25:28	Yes	b3t1g8	Yes	No	
1777	2021-11-03 17:02:51	Yes	B3T1G3	No		
1780	2021-11-03 16:41:40	No	B3T1G4	Yes	No	
1784	2021-11-03 16:30:37	Yes	B3t1n3	Yes	No	
1787	2021-11-03 16:24:36	Yes	B3T1G4	Yes	No	
1790	2021-11-03 15:18:48	Yes	B3T1J9	Yes	No	
1791	2021-11-03 15:48:28	Yes	b3t1c6	Yes	No	
1792	2021-11-03 15:54:37	Yes	B3T1G3	Yes		
1794	2021-11-03 15:02:31	Yes	B3T1E6	Yes	No	
1796	2021-11-03 15:29:10	Yes	B3T1J6	Yes	No	
1798	2021-11-03 15:24:18	Yes	B3T1C6	Yes		
1799	2021-11-03 15:11:55	Yes	B3t1c7	Yes	Yes	
1802	2021-11-03 15:06:44	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No	
1807	2021-11-03 14:48:59	Yes	B3t1h8	Yes	No	
1808	2021-11-03 14:48:41	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	
1809	2021-11-03 14:43:40	Yes	B3T1N6	Yes	No	
1813	2021-11-03 14:09:13	Yes	B3T1K7	Yes	Yes	Quality of life, value of property and pride in my community with in an in odour, rodent populations and litter that are likely if the agreement to maintain the FEP/WSF is broken.
1815	2021-11-03 14:25:59	Yes	B3T0A6	Yes	Yes	If the Municipality goes ahead with this, I will have lost all of my trust in the organization and its people. Please keep your word.
1819	2021-11-03 14:10:05	Yes	B3T1G8	Yes	No	
1820	2021-11-03 13:05:14	Yes	B3T1H9	Yes	Yes	Expansion & over extension of this site.
1821	2021-11-03 13:05:04	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	When Otter Lake was placed in our community (a done deal) even before the options went to Council. The entire survey etc is not transparent/council is in conflict of interest - NIMBY...I pray the DOE turns it down. HRM will not save money in the long term.
1822	2021-11-03 12:16:49	Yes	B3t1n8	Yes	Yes	No one seems to care about those who live here and KNOW what we see smell and deal with already with this equipment in place. Stop listening to financials and book keepers and listen to the communities that elected you!!
1823	2021-11-03 13:04:31	Yes	B3Z4M2	Yes	No	
1824	2021-11-03 12:42:22	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	No	
1825	2021-11-03 12:23:10	Yes	B3t1e3	Yes	Yes	Rodent and odour control is an absolute must
1826	2021-11-03 12:09:07	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes	No	



Respondents within 5km of FEP/WSF Based on Postal Code - Survey Responses to Other Items Related to the Proposed Deactivation of the FEP/WSF						
Respondent	Start Date	Do you live within 5 km of the FEP/WSF?	Please provide your postal code. E.g., A1A2B2	With respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF, do you have concerns regarding potential negative impacts?	Are you concerned with other items with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF?	Please indicate any additional specific items of concern with respect to the proposed deactivation of the Otter Lake FEP/WSF (400 character limit):
		Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1827	2021-11-03 12:23:59	Yes	B3T1E3	Yes	No	
1828	2021-11-03 12:00:58	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	I'm not interested in "mitigation". HRM promised to maintain the waste facility at a very high level, and I expect HRM to keep its promise to the community. We will not need "mitigation" if HRM does not downgrade the quality level with which it has been running the facility. I DO NOT AGREE WITH THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS.
1829	2021-11-03 10:32:33	No	B3Z1B1	Yes	No	
1830	2021-11-03 10:33:12	No	B3Z0C6	Yes	Yes	The landfill would not be here today without the FEP & WSF. Why are no other solutions being presented?
1831	2021-11-03 11:02:46	Yes	B3T1N8	Yes	Yes	I oppose the removal of the fep and wsf
1832	2021-11-03 10:55:01	Yes	B3T1E8	Yes		
1833	2021-11-03 09:50:24	Yes	B3T1J4	Yes	Yes	Mainly the integrity of HRM in introducing other such projects within the Municipality. If they are not able to honour this agreement there will certainly be concerns/ trust issues for future agreements.
1834	2021-11-02 14:13:03	Yes	B3T1G1	Yes	Yes	If you keep doing this and pusing the community in this way. You risk further eroding any trust the public has with our electyed bodies. You will also prove you can not be trusted to ever site another waste facility ever again. Or any other environmentally sensitive facility. A promise was made. Do not break this trust. LEAVE OTTER LAKE ALONE