



P.O. Box 1749  
Halifax, Nova Scotia  
B3J 3A5 Canada

**Information Item No. 1**  
**Audit & Finance Standing Committee**  
**January 21, 2020**

**TO:** Chair and Members of Audit & Finance Standing Committee

- Original Signed -

**SUBMITTED BY:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jerry Blackwood, Director, Corporate & Customer Services

- Original Signed -

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jacques Dube, Chief Administrative Officer

**DATE:** November 1, 2019

**SUBJECT:** Management of Surplus Buildings and Land

---

**INFORMATION REPORT**

**ORIGIN**

This report originates from the Auditor General's Report, Management of Surplus Buildings and Land Recommendation #3. Corporate and Customer Services should regularly provide Regional Council with a list of properties declared surplus, associated costs, and status. (e.g.: for sale, demolition planned).

**LEGISLATIVE AUTHORITY**

*Halifax Regional Municipality Charter:*

**Section 61**

(3): "The property vested in the Municipality, absolutely or in trust, is under the exclusive management and control of the Council, unless an Act of the Legislature provides otherwise.

(5): "The Municipality may  
(b) sell property at market value when the property is no longer required for purposes of the Municipality."

*Administrative Order 50, Respecting the Disposal of Surplus Real Property Administrative Orders,*

**Section 2 (2)** "The Municipality will dispose of real property Council determines is no longer required for the purposes of the Municipality."

## **BACKGROUND**

The Auditor General's Report of November 2017 recommended Corporate & Customer Services regularly provide Regional Council with a list of properties declared surplus, associated costs, and status (e.g. for sale, demolition planned).

## **DISCUSSION**

Over the last 5 years the number of surplus buildings has decreased for various reasons.

In 2015/16 there were 14 properties; in 2016/17 - 18 Properties; in 2017/18 - 22 Properties; and 2018/19 - 24 Properties. Since April 2019, 11 properties have been disposed of, 6 of which have been demolished and the lands retained for municipal purposes. 4 properties have been sold, and 1 property sale is pending; leaving 13 properties on the Surplus Building List year to date, this fiscal year.

Since 2014/15 the cost of holding surplus properties has reduced substantially from \$770,820 to \$424,225, with the highest cost property to maintain being the Gray Arena at \$185,493. The primary costs for Gray Arena for this fiscal are electricity and janitorial services. This facility continues to be used by recreation with an operating cost of \$50,171 for the first half of the 2019/20 fiscal period. These operating costs are offset by revenue bookings.

### **Of the 24 Surplus Properties held in 2019/20:**

- 8 have been formally declared surplus pursuant to AO50 and are moving through the disposal process.
- 4 properties have been sold. One other property has sold, pending closing.
- 11 other properties have not been declared surplus pursuant to AO50. 6 of the 11 properties have been demolished and the lands are being retained for municipal purposes. 4 of those properties on Bayers Road are being retained for Street right of way purposes. Devonshire Arena and St. Andrews Center are both being retained for recreation purposes.

## **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

The operating expenses for all the vacant and surplus properties listed in Attachment 2 were in the approved Budgets of those years. There is a budget of \$353,300 in W169 for vacant/surplus buildings for 2019/20. There is an on-going cost to continue holding these buildings until they are approved for disposal, demolished, or sold.

## **RISK CONSIDERATIONS**

There remains operational risks and liabilities with retention of vacant land and structures such as vandalism and deterioration of the building, which could lead to larger liabilities for HRM. If the properties were to be retained for a longer duration, demolition planning would be recommended.

## **COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT**

N/A

**ATTACHMENTS**

Attachment A - Auditor General Report: Management of Surplus Buildings and Land, November 2017

Attachment B - Surplus Buildings List – Dated October 1, 2019

---

A copy of this report can be obtained online at [halifax.ca](http://halifax.ca) or by contacting the Office of the Municipal Clerk at 902.490.4210.

Report Prepared by: Diane Chisholm, Manager, Municipal Facilities Maintenance & Operations, Corporate & Customer Services, 902-490-1536

---

# AUDITOR GENERAL

Halifax Regional Municipality

## Management of Surplus Buildings and Land

November 2017

**November 10, 2017**

Office of the Auditor General  
Halifax Regional Municipality  
Contract Management Audit

The following audit of **Management of Surplus Buildings and Land**, completed under section 50(2) of the Halifax Regional Municipality Charter, is hereby submitted to the Audit and Finance Standing Committee of Regional Council.

Respectfully,

*Original signed by*

Evangeline Colman-Sadd, CPA, CA  
Auditor General  
Halifax Regional Municipality

**AUDITOR  
GENERAL**

Halifax Regional Municipality

Office of the Auditor General  
Halifax Regional Municipality  
PO Box 1749, Halifax NS B3J 3A5  
Halifax.ca/auditorgeneral  
902.490.8407

## **Table of Contents**

<b>Summary</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>Recommendations and Management Responses</b> .....	<b>2</b>
<b>Audit Results</b> .....	<b>5</b>
<b>Municipal-owned land and buildings not actively reviewed to identify surplus</b> .....	<b>5</b>
<b>Improvements needed in information provided to Regional Council on surplus buildings and land</b> .....	<b>7</b>
<b>Responsibility to manage surplus properties not clearly assigned</b> .....	<b>10</b>
<b>HRM not always taking appropriate risk reduction measures for surplus properties</b> .....	<b>11</b>
<b>Information on surplus properties is scattered across business units</b> .....	<b>12</b>
<b>Background</b> .....	<b>13</b>
<b>Audit Objectives and Scope</b> .....	<b>13</b>
<b>Appendix: Audit Sample</b> .....	<b>15</b>
<b>Contact Information</b> .....	<b>16</b>

## Summary

### Conclusion

There are opportunities for Halifax Regional Municipality to improve its processes for surplus buildings and land and achieve better value-for-money. While individual business units do a good job of determining which buildings and land they do not need, HRM does not regularly review all municipal-owned properties to identify surplus properties.

Information provided to Regional Council could be improved by including all key areas, such as environmental risks, and by providing regular updates on ongoing operating costs of surplus buildings and land.

### Key Take-aways

- Real Estate is not regularly reviewing all Municipal-owned buildings and land to identify properties HRM may no longer need.
- Regional Council and property review committees need more complete information on potentially-surplus buildings and land.
- Regional Council does not get regular updates on surplus properties, including ongoing costs of holding property.
- Better information on environmental risks is needed in reports to Regional Council.
- There are some positive aspects to the process to identify potentially-surplus buildings and land.
- It is not clear which HRM business unit is responsible for managing surplus buildings and land while waiting for disposal.

### What We Audited and Why

The purpose of this audit was to determine whether Halifax Regional Municipality identifies and manages surplus buildings and land to achieve value-for-money.

As priorities change and buildings age, the Municipality may no longer need certain buildings and land. It is important that HRM identifies and disposes of vacant and surplus properties to ensure it is not paying operating costs for properties it no longer needs, or giving up revenues from the sale of such properties. In some instances, buildings or land may be of better use to a community group or for redevelopment.

## Recommendations and Management Responses

### **Recommendation 1:**

Finance and Asset Management should evaluate the property inventory review process required under Administrative Order 50 to determine whether an annual review is reasonable. If a longer review timeframe is suggested, management should recommend to Regional Council that Administrative Order 50 be amended accordingly.

### **Management Response:**

*Management accepts the recommendation. Management agrees that the requirement to facilitate at least one annual review of real property inventory is time-consuming and does not meet the municipality's needs. AO50 captures reviews and commentary from a range of stakeholders. Business Units provide input based on annual Business Plans. Council provides direction through motions. Known operational changes (facility closures), public input and community advocates all factor into this organic process, which is facilitated by Real Estate.*

*Management intends to recommend amendments to AO50 reducing the requirement for an annual review of municipal inventory and focus on potential surplus properties identified by stakeholders. A fulsome review of municipal inventory may still take place over a longer period.*

### **Recommendation 2:**

Once Administrative Order 50 has been updated, or a decision made to maintain annual reviews, Finance and Asset Management should comply with Administrative Order 50.

### **Management Response:**

*Management accepts this recommendation. Management intends to advance amendments to AO50 to facilitate compliance.*



**Recommendation 3:**

Corporate and Customer Services should regularly provide Regional Council with a list of properties declared surplus, associated costs, and current statuses (e.g.: for sale, demolition planned).

**Management Response:**

*Management accepts the recommendation. Staff currently report surplus property status and holding costs annually through the annual business plan and presentation to Council. When a property is brought forward for surplus consideration, AO50 Surplus Property Reports to Council provide operating and holding cost information for each property. Corporate and Customer Services will increase reporting of operating costs and the status of surplus properties through Audit and Finance Committee twice per year.*

**Recommendation 4:**

Finance and Asset Management should include environmental risks in the standard section of reports to property review committees and Regional Council. Environmental risks should be evaluated and the Real Estate division should disclose all such risks it is aware of.

**Management Response:**

*Management accepts the recommendation. Real Estate currently discloses known risks. In most instances in collaboration with Legal Services property disposals are sold “as is-where is” with the risk transfer to the purchaser. Risk and Environmental sections were adopted in 2015 as standard mandatory components of reports to Regional Council. Notwithstanding the property review packages, the technical review committee is part of the property review and within that process risks become more readily identified. When information is available and identified, Management intends to strengthen the Risk and Environmental sections in the surplus property packages provided to working committees and Council.*

**Recommendation 5:**

Finance and Asset Management should request a legal review for properties recommended for community use before asking Regional Council to approve them as surplus. The results should be included in the report to Regional Council.

**Management Response:**

*Management accepts the recommendation. This informally occurs between Legal Services and Real Estate for all categories of properties. Real Estate will engage Legal and Risk Management Services and request a title report as part of the review for Community Interest properties.*

**Recommendation 6:**

As part of the requirements of Administrative Order 50 for disposal of surplus properties, Finance and Asset Management should assign responsibility for managing surplus properties.

**Management Response:**

*Management accepts the recommendation. Relevant Senior Managers are represented on the AO50 Steering Committee and are accountable for input and decision approvals respecting interim management of surplus properties. Real Estate staff also meet quarterly to provide updates on surplus property status. Management intends to improve clarity around assigning responsibility for managing surplus properties through the established Technical and Steering Committees and in its recommendation reports to Regional Council.*

**Recommendation 7:**

HRM should establish lease or facility agreements with outside groups occupying all municipal-owned properties.

**Management Response:**

*Management accepts the recommendation. Amendments to AO50 under the Community Category are pending and may include criteria to dispose of leased properties to current tenants. Community group leases and facility agreements are often legacy matters, some dating back to pre-amalgamation, and prove to be challenging situations requiring the balancing of interests. Real Estate will continue to support Parks and Recreation, who are generally responsible for such assets.*

**Recommendation 8:**

Finance and Asset Management should track detailed information on surplus or vacant property in a central file, including: status, comments, building condition, and environmental assessments.

**Management Response:**

*Management accepts the recommendation. Real Estate has developed a comprehensive listing of AO50 approved properties including status and comments. HRM's Enterprise Asset Management Program initiative will further enhance the tracking and sharing of such information corporately.*

## Audit Results

### Municipal-owned land and buildings not actively reviewed to identify surplus

The Municipality's Real Estate division is not complying with HRM policy (Administrative Order 50) which requires an annual review of all municipal-owned buildings and land to identify properties which HRM may no longer need. Currently, Real Estate staff only considers properties by request from business units, councillors, or citizens. This means some buildings and land may not get reviewed over several years.

Reviewing all municipal buildings and land each year would be time consuming. HRM may wish to amend its policy to provide for a regular review over a longer timeframe. For example, it could review all properties every three years. Whether the policy is amended or stays as is, Real Estate management will need to comply with the Administrative Order.

Individual business units track the buildings and land they are responsible for. We found business units have good processes to regularly review and identify those properties which they no longer need. Once a unit does not need a building or land, staff remove it from their inventory and refer the property to Administrative Order 50 for review.

HRM has a list of all Municipal-owned buildings and land for financial statement purposes. However, we expected the Real Estate division to have a complete list of buildings and land that includes information such as whether the property is currently being used by the Municipality, or is occupied, leased, vacant, or awaiting sale or disposal. This type of information could be used as a management tool to help Real Estate ensure it reviews properties in compliance with municipal policy.

#### **Admin Order 50**

• • •

*Administrative Order 50, Respecting the Disposal of Surplus Real Property outlines the process to declare and dispose of surplus buildings and land. This policy directs Real Estate and Land Management staff to "facilitate at least one annual review of the Municipality's real property inventory in order to identify potentially surplus properties."*

**Recommendation 1**

Finance and Asset Management should evaluate the property inventory review process required under Administrative Order 50 to determine whether an annual review is reasonable. If a longer review timeframe is suggested, management should recommend to Regional Council that Administrative Order 50 be amended accordingly.

**Management Response**

*Management accepts the recommendation. Management agrees that the requirement to facilitate at least one annual review of real property inventory is time-consuming and does not meet the municipality's needs. AO50 captures reviews and commentary from a range of stakeholders. Business Units provide input based on annual Business Plans. Council provides direction through motions. Known operational changes (facility closures), public input and community advocates all factor into this organic process, which is facilitated by Real Estate.*

*Management intends to recommend amendments to AO50 reducing the requirement for an annual review of municipal inventory and focus on potential surplus properties identified by stakeholders. A fulsome review of municipal inventory may still take place over a longer period*

**Recommendation 2**

Once Administrative Order 50 has been updated, or a decision made to maintain annual reviews, Finance and Asset Management should comply with Administrative Order 50.

**Management Response**

*Management accepts this recommendation. Management intends to advance amendments to AO50 to facilitate compliance.*



## Improvements needed in information provided to Regional Council on surplus buildings and land

Halifax Regional Council does not receive regular updates on all the Municipality's surplus buildings and land, including ongoing operating costs and updated status (e.g.: for sale, demolition planned). Such information would ensure councillors are aware of ongoing operating costs or delays in disposal.

Ongoing costs can be significant as surplus buildings and land may remain vacant for long periods. Eight of the 20 buildings in our sample stayed in the Municipality's inventory for two years or more. Of these eight, two were sold after three years, one building was demolished after two years, and the remaining five are still in inventory.

While there are positive aspects to the Municipality's process to review surplus buildings and land, information on environmental risks and potential legal issues which could arise on disposal is often missing. We found the information provided to Regional Council when properties are first recommended as surplus has improved in recent years, but there are still gaps in what Regional Council receives.

**Recommendation 3**

Corporate and Customer Services should regularly provide Regional Council with a list of properties declared surplus, associated costs, and current statuses (e.g.: for sale, demolition planned).

**Management Response**

*Management accepts the recommendation. Staff currently report surplus property status and holding costs annually through the annual business plan and presentation to Council. When a property is brought forward for surplus consideration, AO50 Surplus Property Reports to Council provide operating and holding cost information for each property. Corporate and Customer Services will increase reporting of operating costs and the status of surplus properties through Audit and Finance Committee twice per year.*

HRM's review and challenge process to evaluate potentially-surplus buildings and land has a number of good points. Two committees consider properties to assess whether the Municipality needs them or should dispose of them. The composition and work of these committees is described in the table below.

Technical Review Committee	Property Steering Committee
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Members are technical or professional specialists across business units</li> <li>• Review properties to determine if they are of use to their business unit</li> <li>• Asked to provide relevant technical information to Real Estate for consideration</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Members are management-level staff across business units</li> <li>• Meetings held to discuss potentially-surplus properties</li> <li>• Consider commentary from the Technical Review Committee</li> <li>• Provide advice to Real Estate to ensure the Municipality's properties are adequate to support its programs and services</li> <li>• Recommend to Regional Council how property should be disposed of (market value, sold to community group, other)</li> </ul>

We found building conditions were properly considered in the review process. Building condition was often mentioned in review committee notes and in reports to Regional Council. Sixteen of 20 buildings in our sample had building condition assessments or

other information on the building's state. The remaining four have been sold, demolished, or are scheduled to be demolished.

Real Estate staff maintains the responses and discussion from both committees. They told us the committees' work helps select which properties to recommend as surplus to Regional Council.

The committees' standard processes could be improved by considering environmental risks or all legal issues which could arise. Discussion of these matters may happen, but it is ad hoc rather than part of the standard process. This is discussed further below.

### **Environmental risk**

The committees and Regional Council are not always getting complete information on environmental risks. This is a standard section in the surplus property reports; however, it was not always detailed for each property. Potential environmental risks could impact decisions on surplus property.

Environmental risks include underground fuel tanks, previous oil spills, and hazardous building materials. They are higher with older buildings, such as those Regional Council is declaring surplus.

Management told us it is standard practice to sell surplus properties on an as-is basis. This includes properties sold to community groups. In these cases, presenting potential environmental risks to Regional Council would provide better information for interested community groups if properties are declared surplus.

#### **Recommendation 4**

Finance and Asset Management should include environmental risks in the standard section of reports to property review committees and Regional Council. Environmental risks should be evaluated and the Real Estate division should disclose all such risks it is aware of.

#### **Management Response**

*Management accepts the recommendation. Real Estate currently discloses known risks. In most instances in collaboration with Legal Services property disposals are sold "as is-where is" with the risk transfer to the purchaser. Risk and Environmental sections were adopted in 2015 as standard mandatory components of reports to Regional Council. Notwithstanding the property review packages, the technical review committee is part of the property review and within that process risks become more readily identified. When information is available and identified, Management intends to strengthen the Risk and Environmental sections in the surplus property packages provided to working committees and Council.*

### **Legal reviews**

When properties may be sold, a legal review would provide useful information for Regional Council. It would identify potential issues such as not having clear ownership title, which could impact how quickly a sale can be completed.

Legal reviews are generally not done when properties are recommended to Regional Council as surplus. HRM does not have a standard timeframe for legal reviews on buildings or land which may be repurposed, sold, or demolished. Title, or other legal issues, might prevent the municipality from selling certain properties.

Completing legal reviews earlier could help identify potential issues and avoid delays or surprises during disposal. When surplus buildings or land are sold at market value, the interested buyers may have the resources to quickly resolve legal issues during the sale. However, there have been examples of lengthy delays when a community group wanted to buy a surplus building or land parcel and title or other legal issues have arisen.

#### **Recommendation 5**

Finance and Asset Management should request a legal review for properties recommended for community use before asking Regional Council to approve them as surplus. The results should be included in the report to Regional Council.

#### **Management Response**

*Management accepts the recommendation. This informally occurs between Legal Services and Real Estate for all categories of properties. Real Estate will engage Legal and Risk Management Services and request a title report as part of the review for Community Interest properties.*

### **Responsibility to manage surplus properties not clearly assigned**

It is not clear which HRM business unit is responsible for managing buildings and land once properties are determined surplus to a business unit, or have been declared surplus by Halifax Regional Council. This makes it difficult to manage surplus buildings and land as it creates confusion about who is responsible for decision making and costs.

Parks and Recreation, and Corporate and Customer Services are often involved in managing surplus properties, such as operating and maintaining buildings or making decisions to demolish them. Management in these business units told us they are concerned with the lack of policy direction on who is responsible to manage surplus properties. They do not feel they have the authority to make decisions on these properties while waiting for disposal.



### **Recommendation 6**

As part of the requirements of Administrative Order 50 for disposal of surplus properties, Finance and Asset Management should assign responsibility for managing surplus properties.

#### **Management Response**

*Management accepts the recommendation. Relevant Senior Managers are represented on the AO50 Steering Committee and are accountable for input and decision approvals respecting interim management of surplus properties. Real Estate staff also meet quarterly to provide updates on surplus property status. Management intends to improve clarity around assigning responsibility for managing surplus properties through the established Technical and Steering Committees and in its recommendation reports to Regional Council.*

## **HRM not always taking appropriate risk reduction measures for surplus properties**

In some instances, community groups may be using buildings which could be declared surplus by HRM. The Municipality does not always have a lease or facility management agreement with these community groups which would clearly establish rights and responsibilities of HRM and the community group. The Municipality could be liable if something happened in these buildings. These factors should be considered when developing plans and timelines for the properties so the Municipality is protected from potential liabilities while the property is under review.

### **Recommendation 7**

HRM should establish lease or facility agreements with outside groups occupying municipal-owned properties.

#### **Management Response**

*Management accepts the recommendation. Amendments to AO50 under the Community Category are pending and may include criteria to dispose of leased properties to current tenants. Community group leases and facility agreements are often legacy matters, some dating back to pre-amalgamation, and prove to be challenging situations requiring the balancing of interests. Real Estate will continue to support Parks and Recreation, who are generally responsible for such assets.*

## Information on surplus properties is scattered across business units

There are no central files for surplus property, and information on a property's status is not always tracked or may be recorded incorrectly. The Municipal Facilities Maintenance and Operations division tracks operating costs for surplus and vacant buildings. Information, such as building condition assessments, environmental assessments, and other relevant information is scattered across various business units and divisions.

This means risks could be identified which the division responsible for disposing of the property may not be aware of, or known issues may have been fixed but properties have not been sold because Real Estate does not know the concerns were remedied.

During our testing, we noted the following examples of staff not having the most current information.

- One building was listed as sold when it had been demolished two years earlier and the land is for sale.
- A building was listed as planning for demolition but the building had been demolished two years earlier.

We found there are no documented plans for managing most of the properties in our sample. There was documentation from committee meetings which included updates for some of the buildings we selected but this information is not kept in a central file. Several business units referenced not always knowing what was happening with surplus properties.

Given the length of time buildings can remain vacant or surplus and under review, it is important to have a plan that details how to manage the property that can be accessed by multiple divisions while waiting for decisions to be made or property to be disposed of. This would help ensure the appropriate cost and risk reduction measures can be taken as soon as possible.

Complete and accurate files for surplus properties are important for planning, making decisions, and communication across business units and to Regional Council.

### **Recommendation 8**

Finance and Asset Management should track detailed information on surplus or vacant property in a central file, including: status, comments, building condition, and environmental assessments.

### **Management Response**

*Management accepts the recommendation. Real Estate has developed a comprehensive listing of AO50 approved properties including status and comments. HRM's Enterprise Asset Management Program initiative will further enhance the tracking and sharing of such information corporately.*

## Background

Over time, Halifax Regional Municipality may no longer need certain properties. *HRM Administrative Order 50, Respecting the Disposal of Surplus Real Property*, was approved by Council in April 2013 after issues were brought forward from the public during the approval to sell a former school, Saint Patrick's-Alexandra School. This Administrative Order outlines the process to declare property surplus with six categories for disposal.

1. Economic Development – strategic properties
2. Community Interest – community use
3. Ordinary Sale – routine
4. Remnant – remaining or subdivided lands
5. Extraordinary – historic or legal distinction
6. Intergovernmental Transfer – requested by another level of government

Under Administrative Order 50, the Real Estate division of Finance and Asset Management is responsible for coordinating reviews of potentially-surplus buildings and land.

Since the Administrative Order came into effect, the Municipality has declared 27 buildings surplus. From April 2013 to March 2017, HRM has spent \$2.5 million in operating costs on surplus buildings.

## Audit Objectives and Scope

We completed a performance audit of vacant and surplus building and land management activities at HRM business units and entities subject to Administrative Order 50 (excludes Halifax Water).

The purpose of the audit was to determine whether HRM identifies and manages surplus buildings and land to achieve value-for-money.

The objectives of the audit were to determine whether HRM:

- has adequate processes to identify vacant and surplus buildings and land;
- economically manages vacant and surplus buildings and land to ensure value-for-money is achieved, while considering risk; and
- decisions concerning vacant and surplus buildings and land are supported by adequate analysis.

We developed criteria for the audit. These were discussed with, and accepted as appropriate by, senior management at Finance and Asset Management.

We sampled buildings and land from a list of potentially-surplus, surplus, and vacant property for the audit period from April 1, 2013 to June 20, 2017.

Our sample included 30 land parcels (subdivided land, parking lots, parkland, and street closures) and 20 buildings (former schools, fire stations, recreation and community centres, residential homes, an arena, and a library).

Our audit approach included: reviewing applicable legislation, policies, guidelines and processes; examining vacant and surplus property files on a sample basis; and interviews with management.

This audit was conducted in accordance with the Canadian Standard for Assurance Engagements (CSAE) 3001 – Direct Engagements published by Chartered Professional Accountants of Canada.

We apply the Canadian Standard on Quality Control 1, and our staff follow the Chartered Professional Accountants of Nova Scotia Code of Conduct.

## Appendix: Audit Sample

Our audit sample included the following buildings and land which were presented to Regional Council with a recommendation they be declared surplus. Not all properties were approved as surplus by Regional Council.

Buildings	Land
Bloomfield Centre	1291 Mineville Road, Mineville
Cherry Brook Community Centre	232 Crichton Avenue, Dartmouth
Fall River Recreation Centre	330 Windmill Road, Dartmouth
Fall River West School	48 Rodney Road, Dartmouth
Fire Station 32 – Mooseland *	Briar Lane, Parcel BL-1, Halifax
Fire Station 57 - St. Margaret's Bay *	Coronet Ave., Halifax
Fire Station 60 / Herring Cove Community Centre	Forbes Street, Halifax
Gerald B. Gray Memorial Arena	Gerard's Island, Spry Bay
Harbourside Elementary School	Kuhn Road, Dartmouth
Khyber Centre for the Arts	Lot G-01 Gorsebrook Ave, Halifax
Prince Arthur Junior High School	Lot P-2 Loriann Drive, Porters Lake
Red Cross Building	Lot RRL-12 Donaldson Ave., Halifax
Residential Dwelling - Joseph Howe Drive	Lyle Street, Dartmouth
St. Patrick's High School / Quinpool Education Centre	North Street, Dartmouth *
St. Patrick's-Alexandra School	Old Yankeetown Road, Hammonds Plains
Halifax Memorial Library	Portion of Blenheim Terrace, Halifax
	Prince Arthur Junior High School (Ball Field)
	Ridgemount Drive, Porters Lake
	St. Margarets Bay Road, Boutliers Point
	West Point Drive, Hammonds Plains

*\*Not approved as surplus by Regional Council.*

Our audit sample also included four buildings and 10 land parcels which are under review to determine if they are surplus to HRM's needs. Since these are in process, they are not included above.

## Contact Information

Office of the Auditor General  
Halifax Regional Municipality  
PO Box 1749  
Halifax NS B3J 3A5

Phone: 902 490 8407

Email: [auditorgeneral@halifax.ca](mailto:auditorgeneral@halifax.ca)

Website: <http://www.halifax.ca/auditorgeneral/>

Twitter: [@Halifax AG](https://twitter.com/HalifaxAG)

**ATTACHMENT B**

**HALIFAX REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY-SURPLUS BUILDINGS (HRM) FISCAL 2019/20 (October 1, 2019)**

Building	Location	Actual 2014-2015 Operating Costs	Actual 2015-2016 Operating Costs	Actual 2016-2017 Operating Costs	Actual 2017-2018 Operating Costs	Variance From 2017-2018 to 2018/19	Actual 2018-2019 Operating Costs	Actual 2019-20 (first 6 months)	Declared Surplus Pursuant to AO50	Status	Occupied/Vacant	Comments
Black Point School Building	Hwy #3, 37 Gilbert Lane	\$ 3,271.78	\$ 1,291.06	\$1,684.23	\$ 736.47	\$370.31	\$366.16	\$0.00	Yes	Under Review	Vacant	3 access easements required to sell property
Bloomfield Centre Commons Building	2786 Agricola Street	\$10,874.20	\$11,718.31	\$11,542.38	\$ 4,528.04	\$4,528.04	\$0.00	\$0.00	Yes	Sale process to commence in early 2020	Vacant	
Bloomfield Centre Fielding Building	2786 Agricola Street	\$17,136.16	\$11,776.24	\$8,989.86	\$ 4,528.04	\$4,528.04	\$0.00	\$0.00				
Bloomfield Centre Main Building	2786 Agricola Street	\$227,711.08	\$64,451.05	\$53,416.87	\$ 48,681.32	\$33,200.41	\$15,480.91	\$1,819.96				
Devonshire Arena	3305 Devonshire Avenue				\$ 54,802.62	\$46,978.18	\$7,824.44	\$2,350.86	No	Building demolished - April 2019, lands being retained for future Recreation Center build		
Eastern Shore Consolidated School	28875 Hwy. #7				\$ 418.38	-\$954.47	\$1,372.85	\$0.00	No	Under Review	Vacant	Awaiting assessment for AO50 going to Council in summer 2019. (winterized 2018). Real Estate has recommended demolition.
Fall River West School	1018 Fall River Road				\$ 2,781.21	-\$3,105.04	\$5,886.25	\$1,938.75	Yes	Sold		
Fire Station #32, Mooseland	4032 Mooseland Rd, Mooseland	\$ 11,160.35	\$ 8,738.88	\$5,316.88	\$19,803.81	\$17,625.47	\$2,178.34	\$2,346.96	No	Lease Pending	Occupied	Community use via less than market value lease (pending)
Fire Station #37, Elderbank	5802 Hwy 357, Elderbank	\$4,097.28	\$2,784.15	\$1,278.26	\$ 1,159.74	-\$101.56	\$1,261.30	\$1,477.71	Yes	Under Review	Occupied	Land exchange required to address a portion of the building encroaching on private property may require Council approval as per the HRM Charter. Seeking clarification.
Fire Station #51, Upper Hammonds Plains	948 Pockwock Rd, Upper Hammonds Plains	\$18,977.61	\$8,102.72	\$6,088.38	\$ 6,433.01	-\$821.65	\$7,254.66	\$5,552.00	Yes	For Sale	Vacant	Categorized Community Interest AO50 / Still being used by Fire for storage.
Fire Station #53, Terence Bay	Terence Bay	\$26,905.42	\$36,511.59	\$35,327.71	\$27,353.01	-\$1,271.81	\$28,624.82	\$790.14	Yes	Sold		
Fire Station #62, Harrietsfield	1070 Old Sambro Road				\$ -	-\$2,537.52	\$2,537.52	\$683.63	Yes	Sold		
Former Always & Forever	145 Main St.			\$6,472.85	\$12,448.41	\$6,576.43	\$5,871.98	\$780.18	No	Awaiting Demo	Vacant	Police use for training occasionally. Property required for ROW, building would be demolished in future
Gray Arena	15 Monique Avenue, Dartmouth						\$185,492.96	\$50,171.14	Yes	For Sale		Arena declared surplus, although still being used. Majority of costs are electricity, cleaning, and snow removal. Council has directed community consultation on future use prior to sale
Halifax Memorial Library	5381 Spring Garden Rd., Halifax			\$124,392.35	\$90,146.30	\$61,104.06	\$29,042.24	\$3,041.54	Yes	Under Review	Vacant	Recommendation report presented to Council. A follow-up report is scheduled for Summer 2019; status quo for maintaining/monitoring the building-winterized.
Red Cross Building (Former)	1940 Gottingen Street, Halifax	\$136,444.55	\$117,979.93	\$110,731.41	\$54,190.64	\$25,647.39	\$28,543.25	\$8,955.96	Yes	Under Option	Vacant	Option Agreement in place with Mi'kmaw Native Friendship Centre
Residential	6810 Bayers Road, Halifax				\$ -	-\$842.79	\$1,133.87	\$339.60	No	Demolished in April 2019 - property required for ROW		
Residential	6850 Bayers Road	\$2,655.59	\$6,289.53	\$6,911.22	\$ 2,271.99	\$697.02	\$1,574.97	\$635.66	No	Demolished in April 2019 - property required for ROW		
Residential	6830 Bayers Road	\$974.61	\$9,191.16	\$5,735.37	\$ 1,416.87	-\$621.49	\$2,038.36	\$360.66	No	Demolished in April 2019 - property required for ROW		
Residential	6838 Bayers Road	\$4,907.25	\$20,049.30	\$4,079.50	\$ 2,153.16	\$1,339.73	\$813.43	\$353.85	No	Demolished in April 2019 - property required for ROW		
Residential	6863 Vaughan Avenue.				\$12,448.41	\$11,431.74	\$1,016.67	\$0.00	No	Sold		
Sheet Harbour Transfer Station Building	21611 Hwy #7 Sheet Harbour	\$888.40	\$956.68	\$402.38	\$ 810.49	\$51.91	\$758.58	\$380.29		Under Review	Vacant	Under review
St. Andrews Recreation Centre	3380 Burnstead, Halifax				\$ -	-\$70,189.80	\$70,189.80	\$4,698.31	No	Building demolished and new recreation center building on same site		
St. Pats Alexander School	Brunswick Street, Halifax	\$304,816.45	\$71,232.67	\$51,830.87	\$35,439.95	\$21,594.25	\$13,845.70	\$6,298.71	Yes	Pending Sale	Vacant	Under Sale Agreement; closing pending
Teachery Museum Building	9 Spring St, Bedford			\$5,787.36	\$ 4,177.50	\$1,103.51	\$3,073.99	\$1,040.82	Yes	For Sale	Vacant	Community Interest Category for disposal
White House	3790 MacKintosh St, Halifax			\$27,179.80	\$14,159.82	\$6,117.25	\$8,042.57	\$4,772.29	No	Lands not surplus	Vacant	Future site of new Municipal Operations Depot
Totals for surplus/transitional buildings April 1, 2019 - March 31, 2020 (YTD)		\$770,820.73	\$371,073.27	\$467,167.68	\$400,889.19	-\$23,336.43	\$424,225.62	\$98,789.02				
Buildings in red print to be deleted off listing March 31, 2020												