

Q4_1 (Satisfaction of Accessibility Programming) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q4_1. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Accessibility programming (example: physical / intellectual access to facilities, services, programs).	Very satisfied	Count	17	32	32	81
		% within Q4_1.	21.0%	39.5%	39.5%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	10.7%	9.6%	11.9%	10.6%
	Satisfied	Count	78	203	161	442
		% within Q4_1.	17.6%	45.9%	36.4%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	49.1%	60.8%	60.1%	58.1%
	Dissatisfied	Count	38	78	60	176
		% within Q4_1.	21.6%	44.3%	34.1%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	23.9%	23.4%	22.4%	23.1%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	26	21	15	62
		% within Q4_1.	41.9%	33.9%	24.2%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	16.4%	6.3%	5.6%	8.1%
Total	Count	159	334	268	761	
	% within Q4_1.	20.9%	43.9%	35.2%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_2 (Satisfaction of Affordability / Free Programming) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q4_2. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Affordability / free programming.	Very satisfied	Count	19	36	24	79
		% within Q4_2.	24.1%	45.6%	30.4%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	11.7%	9.7%	7.9%	9.4%
	Satisfied	Count	85	246	186	517
		% within Q4_2.	16.4%	47.6%	36.0%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	52.5%	66.5%	61.2%	61.8%
	Dissatisfied	Count	33	70	72	175
		% within Q4_2.	18.9%	40.0%	41.1%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	20.4%	18.9%	23.7%	20.9%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	25	18	22	65
		% within Q4_2.	38.5%	27.7%	33.8%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	15.4%	4.9%	7.2%	7.8%
Total	Count	162	370	304	836	
	% within Q4_2.	19.4%	44.3%	36.4%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_3 (Satisfaction of Arts and Cultural Programming) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q4_3. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Arts and cultural facilities and programs.	Very satisfied	Count	18	22	17	57
		% within Q4_3.	31.6%	38.6%	29.8%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	14.4%	9.4%	9.0%	10.4%
	Satisfied	Count	66	143	97	306
		% within Q4_3.	21.6%	46.7%	31.7%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	52.8%	60.9%	51.6%	55.8%
	Dissatisfied	Count	27	56	58	141
		% within Q4_3.	19.1%	39.7%	41.1%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	21.6%	23.8%	30.9%	25.7%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	14	14	16	44
		% within Q4_3.	31.8%	31.8%	36.4%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	11.2%	6.0%	8.5%	8.0%
Total	Count	125	235	188	548	
	% within Q4_3.	22.8%	42.9%	34.3%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_4 (Satisfaction of Bike Lanes / Cycling Facilities) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q4_4. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Bike lanes / cycling facilities.	Very satisfied	Count	30	36	21	87
		% within Q4_4.	34.5%	41.4%	24.1%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	17.4%	9.9%	6.8%	10.3%
	Satisfied	Count	49	119	72	240
		% within Q4_4.	20.4%	49.6%	30.0%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	28.5%	32.9%	23.4%	28.5%
	Dissatisfied	Count	36	118	111	265
		% within Q4_4.	13.6%	44.5%	41.9%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	20.9%	32.6%	36.0%	31.5%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	57	89	104	250
		% within Q4_4.	22.8%	35.6%	41.6%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	33.1%	24.6%	33.8%	29.7%
Total	Count	172	362	308	842	
	% within Q4_4.	20.4%	43.0%	36.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_5 (Satisfaction of Business Support Services) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q4_5. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Business support services (example: permits).	Very satisfied	Count	6	13	9	28
		% within Q4_5.	21.4%	46.4%	32.1%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	4.5%	5.7%	5.6%	5.4%
	Satisfied	Count	52	130	107	289
		% within Q4_5.	18.0%	45.0%	37.0%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	39.4%	57.3%	66.0%	55.5%
	Dissatisfied	Count	45	71	28	144
		% within Q4_5.	31.3%	49.3%	19.4%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	34.1%	31.3%	17.3%	27.6%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	29	13	18	60
		% within Q4_5.	48.3%	21.7%	30.0%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	22.0%	5.7%	11.1%	11.5%
Total	Count	132	227	162	521	
	% within Q4_5.	25.3%	43.6%	31.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_6 (Satisfaction of Cleanliness) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q4_6. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Cleanliness (example: litter & graffiti removal).	Very satisfied	Count	16	40	31	87
		% within Q4_6.	18.4%	46.0%	35.6%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	7.7%	8.5%	8.6%	8.3%
	Satisfied	Count	94	251	203	548
		% within Q4_6.	17.2%	45.8%	37.0%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	45.0%	53.1%	56.4%	52.6%
	Dissatisfied	Count	58	132	93	283
		% within Q4_6.	20.5%	46.6%	32.9%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	27.8%	27.9%	25.8%	27.2%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	41	50	33	124
		% within Q4_6.	33.1%	40.3%	26.6%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	19.6%	10.6%	9.2%	11.9%
Total	Count	209	473	360	1042	
	% within Q4_6.	20.1%	45.4%	34.5%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_7 (Satisfaction of Community Planning) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q4_7. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Community planning / land use planning and approvals.	Very satisfied	Count	5	10	9	24
		% within Q4_7.	20.8%	41.7%	37.5%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	2.8%	2.5%	2.9%	2.7%
	Satisfied	Count	45	137	111	293
		% within Q4_7.	15.4%	46.8%	37.9%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	25.0%	34.8%	35.7%	33.1%
	Dissatisfied	Count	58	149	111	318
		% within Q4_7.	18.2%	46.9%	34.9%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	32.2%	37.8%	35.7%	35.9%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	72	98	80	250
		% within Q4_7.	28.8%	39.2%	32.0%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	40.0%	24.9%	25.7%	28.2%
Total	Count	180	394	311	885	
	% within Q4_7.	20.3%	44.5%	35.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_8 (Satisfaction of Community Standards) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q4_8. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Community standards (example: by-law enforcement).	Very satisfied	Count	5	19	11	35
		% within Q4_8.	14.3%	54.3%	31.4%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	2.5%	4.7%	3.6%	3.8%
	Satisfied	Count	95	215	168	478
		% within Q4_8.	19.9%	45.0%	35.1%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	48.2%	52.7%	54.5%	52.4%
	Dissatisfied	Count	46	119	87	252
		% within Q4_8.	18.3%	47.2%	34.5%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	23.4%	29.2%	28.2%	27.6%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	51	55	42	148
		% within Q4_8.	34.5%	37.2%	28.4%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	25.9%	13.5%	13.6%	16.2%
Total	Count	197	408	308	913	
	% within Q4_8.	21.6%	44.7%	33.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_9 (Satisfaction of Diversity Programs) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q4_9. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Diversity and inclusiveness programs (example: language and culture programming).	Very satisfied	Count	24	37	20	81
		% within Q4_9.	29.6%	45.7%	24.7%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	17.5%	12.5%	8.0%	11.9%
	Satisfied	Count	74	195	134	403
		% within Q4_9.	18.4%	48.4%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	54.0%	66.1%	53.8%	59.2%
	Dissatisfied	Count	22	44	76	142
		% within Q4_9.	15.5%	31.0%	53.5%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	16.1%	14.9%	30.5%	20.9%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	17	19	19	55
		% within Q4_9.	30.9%	34.5%	34.5%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	12.4%	6.4%	7.6%	8.1%
Total	Count	137	295	249	681	
	% within Q4_9.	20.1%	43.3%	36.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_10 (Satisfaction of Economic Development) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q4_10. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Economic development (example: promoting and connecting Halifax to grow and get business, talent, and investment).	Very satisfied	Count	9	23	28	60
		% within Q4_10.	15.0%	38.3%	46.7%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	4.9%	5.8%	9.6%	6.9%
	Satisfied	Count	74	236	155	465
		% within Q4_10.	15.9%	50.8%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	40.7%	59.7%	52.9%	53.4%
	Dissatisfied	Count	60	100	86	246
		% within Q4_10.	24.4%	40.7%	35.0%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	33.0%	25.3%	29.4%	28.3%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	39	36	24	99
		% within Q4_10.	39.4%	36.4%	24.2%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	21.4%	9.1%	8.2%	11.4%
Total	Count	182	395	293	870	
	% within Q4_10.	20.9%	45.4%	33.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_11 (Satisfaction of Emergency Preparedness) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q4_11. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Emergency preparedness.	Very satisfied	Count	19	51	48	118
		% within Q4_11.	16.1%	43.2%	40.7%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	9.5%	12.2%	15.1%	12.6%
	Satisfied	Count	116	268	187	571
		% within Q4_11.	20.3%	46.9%	32.7%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	57.7%	64.1%	59.0%	61.0%
	Dissatisfied	Count	42	64	57	163
		% within Q4_11.	25.8%	39.3%	35.0%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	20.9%	15.3%	18.0%	17.4%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	24	35	25	84
		% within Q4_11.	28.6%	41.7%	29.8%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	11.9%	8.4%	7.9%	9.0%
Total	Count	201	418	317	936	
	% within Q4_11.	21.5%	44.7%	33.9%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_12 (Satisfaction of Environmental Protection and Sustainability) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q4_12. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Environmental protection and sustainability.	Very satisfied	Count	13	16	11	40
		% within Q4_12	32.5%	40.0%	27.5%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	6.7%	3.7%	3.2%	4.1%
	Satisfied	Count	94	221	132	447
		% within Q4_12	21.0%	49.4%	29.5%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	48.2%	50.7%	38.8%	46.0%
	Dissatisfied	Count	48	126	120	294
		% within Q4_12	16.3%	42.9%	40.8%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	24.6%	28.9%	35.3%	30.3%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	40	73	77	190
		% within Q4_12	21.1%	38.4%	40.5%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	20.5%	16.7%	22.6%	19.6%
Total	Count	195	436	340	971	
	% within Q4_12	20.1%	44.9%	35.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_13 (Satisfaction of Fire Services) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q4_13. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Fire services.	Very satisfied	Count	60	128	86	274
		% within Q4_13.	21.9%	46.7%	31.4%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	30.6%	29.5%	27.7%	29.1%
	Satisfied	Count	114	285	204	603
		% within Q4_13.	18.9%	47.3%	33.8%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	58.2%	65.7%	65.8%	64.1%
	Dissatisfied	Count	13	17	16	46
		% within Q4_13.	28.3%	37.0%	34.8%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	6.6%	3.9%	5.2%	4.9%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	9	4	4	17
		% within Q4_13.	52.9%	23.5%	23.5%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	4.6%	0.9%	1.3%	1.8%
Total	Count	196	434	310	940	
	% within Q4_13.	20.9%	46.2%	33.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_14 (Satisfaction of Garbage, Recycling, and Organics Collection) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q4_14. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Garbage, recycling, and organics collection.	Very satisfied	Count	50	129	105	284
		% within Q4_14.	17.6%	45.4%	37.0%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	23.5%	26.7%	29.1%	26.9%
	Satisfied	Count	103	296	212	611
		% within Q4_14.	16.9%	48.4%	34.7%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	48.4%	61.3%	58.7%	57.8%
	Dissatisfied	Count	34	42	29	105
		% within Q4_14.	32.4%	40.0%	27.6%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	16.0%	8.7%	8.0%	9.9%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	26	16	15	57
		% within Q4_14.	45.6%	28.1%	26.3%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	12.2%	3.3%	4.2%	5.4%
Total	Count	213	483	361	1057	
	% within Q4_14.	20.2%	45.7%	34.2%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_15 (Satisfaction of Halifax Public Libraries) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q4_15. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Halifax Public Libraries.	Very satisfied	Count	81	234	193	508
		% within Q4_15.	15.9%	46.1%	38.0%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	42.2%	51.3%	54.7%	50.7%
	Satisfied	Count	93	205	145	443
		% within Q4_15.	21.0%	46.3%	32.7%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	48.4%	45.0%	41.1%	44.3%
	Dissatisfied	Count	9	13	10	32
		% within Q4_15.	28.1%	40.6%	31.3%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	4.7%	2.9%	2.8%	3.2%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	9	4	5	18
		% within Q4_15.	50.0%	22.2%	27.8%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	4.7%	0.9%	1.4%	1.8%
Total	Count	192	456	353	1001	
	% within Q4_15.	19.2%	45.6%	35.3%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_16 (Satisfaction of Overall City Maintenance) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q4_16. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Overall city maintenance.	Very satisfied	Count	12	32	23	67
		% within Q4_16.	17.9%	47.8%	34.3%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	5.9%	6.8%	6.4%	6.5%
	Satisfied	Count	107	304	231	642
		% within Q4_16.	16.7%	47.4%	36.0%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	52.5%	64.3%	64.5%	62.0%
	Dissatisfied	Count	62	109	83	254
		% within Q4_16.	24.4%	42.9%	32.7%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	30.4%	23.0%	23.2%	24.5%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	23	28	21	72
		% within Q4_16.	31.9%	38.9%	29.2%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	11.3%	5.9%	5.9%	7.0%
Total	Count	204	473	358	1035	
	% within Q4_16.	19.7%	45.7%	34.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_17 (Satisfaction of Parking Enforcement) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q4_17. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Parking enforcement.	Very satisfied	Count	19	24	20	63
		% within Q4_17.	30.2%	38.1%	31.7%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	10.4%	6.1%	6.8%	7.3%
	Satisfied	Count	87	236	165	488
		% within Q4_17.	17.8%	48.4%	33.8%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	47.8%	60.2%	56.5%	56.4%
	Dissatisfied	Count	40	86	70	196
		% within Q4_17.	20.4%	43.9%	35.7%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	22.0%	21.9%	24.0%	22.6%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	36	46	37	119
		% within Q4_17.	30.3%	38.7%	31.1%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	19.8%	11.7%	12.7%	13.7%
Total	Count	182	392	292	866	
	% within Q4_17.	21.0%	45.3%	33.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_18 (Satisfaction of Police Services) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q4_18. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Police services.	Very satisfied	Count	39	100	47	186
		% within Q4_18.	21.0%	53.8%	25.3%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	19.5%	22.1%	13.9%	18.8%
	Satisfied	Count	118	289	208	615
		% within Q4_18.	19.2%	47.0%	33.8%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	59.0%	63.8%	61.4%	62.0%
	Dissatisfied	Count	30	39	48	117
		% within Q4_18.	25.6%	33.3%	41.0%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	15.0%	8.6%	14.2%	11.8%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	13	25	36	74
		% within Q4_18.	17.6%	33.8%	48.6%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	6.5%	5.5%	10.6%	7.5%
Total	Count	200	453	339	992	
	% within Q4_18.	20.2%	45.7%	34.2%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_19 (Satisfaction of Public Engagement) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q4_19. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Public engagement (example: consultation on projects like Cogswell District).	Very satisfied	Count	14	51	40	105
		% within Q4_19.	13.3%	48.6%	38.1%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	8.5%	13.1%	13.5%	12.4%
	Satisfied	Count	81	201	148	430
		% within Q4_19.	18.8%	46.7%	34.4%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	49.4%	51.7%	49.8%	50.6%
	Dissatisfied	Count	41	83	73	197
		% within Q4_19.	20.8%	42.1%	37.1%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	25.0%	21.3%	24.6%	23.2%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	28	54	36	118
		% within Q4_19.	23.7%	45.8%	30.5%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	17.1%	13.9%	12.1%	13.9%
Total	Count	164	389	297	850	
	% within Q4_19.	19.3%	45.8%	34.9%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_20 (Satisfaction of Public Transit - Conventional Bus & Ferry) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q4_20. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Public Transit - Conventional bus & ferry (example: reliability).	Very satisfied	Count	14	27	21	62
		% within Q4_20.	22.6%	43.5%	33.9%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	7.7%	6.2%	6.2%	6.5%
	Satisfied	Count	72	209	132	413
		% within Q4_20.	17.4%	50.6%	32.0%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	39.6%	47.9%	38.7%	43.1%
	Dissatisfied	Count	58	135	119	312
		% within Q4_20.	18.6%	43.3%	38.1%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	31.9%	31.0%	34.9%	32.5%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	38	65	69	172
		% within Q4_20.	22.1%	37.8%	40.1%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	20.9%	14.9%	20.2%	17.9%
Total	Count	182	436	341	959	
	% within Q4_20.	19.0%	45.5%	35.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_21 (Satisfaction of Public Transit - Access-a-bus) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q4_21. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Public Transit - Access-a-bus (example: reliability).	Very satisfied	Count	15	18	8	41
		% within Q4_21.	36.6%	43.9%	19.5%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	15.5%	13.1%	7.6%	12.1%
	Satisfied	Count	44	62	51	157
		% within Q4_21.	28.0%	39.5%	32.5%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	45.4%	45.3%	48.6%	46.3%
	Dissatisfied	Count	24	33	22	79
		% within Q4_21.	30.4%	41.8%	27.8%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	24.7%	24.1%	21.0%	23.3%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	14	24	24	62
		% within Q4_21.	22.6%	38.7%	38.7%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	14.4%	17.5%	22.9%	18.3%
Total	Count	97	137	105	339	
	% within Q4_21.	28.6%	40.4%	31.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_22 (Satisfaction of Overall Transit Service) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q4_22. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Overall transit service.	Very satisfied	Count	16	23	17	56
		% within Q4_22.	28.6%	41.1%	30.4%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	8.7%	5.4%	5.0%	5.9%
	Satisfied	Count	74	199	134	407
		% within Q4_22.	18.2%	48.9%	32.9%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	40.4%	46.6%	39.6%	42.9%
	Dissatisfied	Count	56	144	120	320
		% within Q4_22.	17.5%	45.0%	37.5%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	30.6%	33.7%	35.5%	33.8%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	37	61	67	165
		% within Q4_22.	22.4%	37.0%	40.6%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	20.2%	14.3%	19.8%	17.4%
Total	Count	183	427	338	948	
	% within Q4_22.	19.3%	45.0%	35.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_23 (Satisfaction of Indoor Recreation Facilities) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q4_23. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Indoor recreation facilities (example: community centres, pools).	Very satisfied	Count	26	53	41	120
		% within Q4_23.	21.7%	44.2%	34.2%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	14.3%	12.8%	13.3%	13.3%
	Satisfied	Count	109	259	186	554
		% within Q4_23.	19.7%	46.8%	33.6%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	59.9%	62.7%	60.2%	61.3%
	Dissatisfied	Count	25	67	57	149
		% within Q4_23.	16.8%	45.0%	38.3%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	13.7%	16.2%	18.4%	16.5%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	22	34	25	81
		% within Q4_23.	27.2%	42.0%	30.9%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	12.1%	8.2%	8.1%	9.0%
Total	Count	182	413	309	904	
	% within Q4_23.	20.1%	45.7%	34.2%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_24 (Satisfaction of Outdoor Recreation Facilities) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q4_24. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Outdoor recreation facilities (example: sports fields).	Very satisfied	Count	19	55	38	112
		% within Q4_24.	17.0%	49.1%	33.9%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	10.5%	13.5%	12.8%	12.6%
	Satisfied	Count	117	261	180	558
		% within Q4_24.	21.0%	46.8%	32.3%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	64.6%	64.0%	60.4%	62.9%
	Dissatisfied	Count	25	65	52	142
		% within Q4_24.	17.6%	45.8%	36.6%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	13.8%	15.9%	17.4%	16.0%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	20	27	28	75
		% within Q4_24.	26.7%	36.0%	37.3%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	11.0%	6.6%	9.4%	8.5%
Total	Count	181	408	298	887	
	% within Q4_24.	20.4%	46.0%	33.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_25 (Satisfaction of Recreation Programming) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q4_25. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Recreation programming (example: swimming, camps).	Very satisfied	Count	22	49	34	105
		% within Q4_25.	21.0%	46.7%	32.4%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	14.6%	14.6%	13.7%	14.3%
	Satisfied	Count	89	204	149	442
		% within Q4_25.	20.1%	46.2%	33.7%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	58.9%	60.9%	59.8%	60.1%
	Dissatisfied	Count	23	57	45	125
		% within Q4_25.	18.4%	45.6%	36.0%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	15.2%	17.0%	18.1%	17.0%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	17	25	21	63
		% within Q4_25.	27.0%	39.7%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	11.3%	7.5%	8.4%	8.6%
Total	Count	151	335	249	735	
	% within Q4_25.	20.5%	45.6%	33.9%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_26 (Satisfaction of Sidewalk Maintenance) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q4_26. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Sidewalk maintenance.	Very satisfied	Count	26	38	18	82
		% within Q4_26.	31.7%	46.3%	22.0%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	13.1%	8.5%	5.1%	8.2%
	Satisfied	Count	76	239	175	490
		% within Q4_26.	15.5%	48.8%	35.7%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	38.4%	53.3%	49.9%	49.1%
	Dissatisfied	Count	58	119	101	278
		% within Q4_26.	20.9%	42.8%	36.3%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	29.3%	26.6%	28.8%	27.9%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	38	52	57	147
		% within Q4_26.	25.9%	35.4%	38.8%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	19.2%	11.6%	16.2%	14.7%
Total	Count	198	448	351	997	
	% within Q4_26.	19.9%	44.9%	35.2%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_27 (Satisfaction of Street / Road Maintenance) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q4_27. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Street / road maintenance.	Very satisfied	Count	10	15	15	40
		% within Q4_27.	25.0%	37.5%	37.5%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	4.7%	3.1%	4.2%	3.8%
	Satisfied	Count	49	147	146	342
		% within Q4_27.	14.3%	43.0%	42.7%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	22.8%	30.7%	40.6%	32.4%
	Dissatisfied	Count	75	192	118	385
		% within Q4_27.	19.5%	49.9%	30.6%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	34.9%	40.1%	32.8%	36.5%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	81	125	81	287
		% within Q4_27.	28.2%	43.6%	28.2%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	37.7%	26.1%	22.5%	27.2%
Total	Count	215	479	360	1054	
	% within Q4_27.	20.4%	45.4%	34.2%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_28 (Satisfaction of Traffic Management) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q4_28. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Traffic management (example: signals).	Very satisfied	Count	14	30	22	66
		% within Q4_28.	21.2%	45.5%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	6.7%	6.4%	6.2%	6.4%
	Satisfied	Count	99	241	190	530
		% within Q4_28.	18.7%	45.5%	35.8%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	47.6%	51.5%	53.8%	51.5%
	Dissatisfied	Count	51	129	91	271
		% within Q4_28.	18.8%	47.6%	33.6%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	24.5%	27.6%	25.8%	26.3%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	44	68	50	162
		% within Q4_28.	27.2%	42.0%	30.9%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	21.2%	14.5%	14.2%	15.7%
Total	Count	208	468	353	1029	
	% within Q4_28.	20.2%	45.5%	34.3%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_29 (Satisfaction of Traffic / Pedestrian Safety) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q4_29. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Traffic / pedestrian safety.	Very satisfied	Count	16	31	16	63
		% within Q4_29.	25.4%	49.2%	25.4%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	7.6%	6.6%	4.5%	6.0%
	Satisfied	Count	108	220	135	463
		% within Q4_29.	23.3%	47.5%	29.2%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	51.4%	46.5%	37.6%	44.4%
	Dissatisfied	Count	45	141	109	295
		% within Q4_29.	15.3%	47.8%	36.9%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	21.4%	29.8%	30.4%	28.3%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	41	81	99	221
		% within Q4_29.	18.6%	36.7%	44.8%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	19.5%	17.1%	27.6%	21.2%
Total	Count	210	473	359	1042	
	% within Q4_29.	20.2%	45.4%	34.5%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_30 (Satisfaction of Winter Maintenance) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q4_30. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Winter maintenance (example: snow and ice removal).	Very satisfied	Count	15	25	16	56
		% within Q4_30.	26.8%	44.6%	28.6%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	6.9%	5.2%	4.5%	5.3%
	Satisfied	Count	76	210	136	422
		% within Q4_30.	18.0%	49.8%	32.2%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	35.0%	44.0%	37.9%	40.1%
	Dissatisfied	Count	68	149	115	332
		% within Q4_30.	20.5%	44.9%	34.6%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	31.3%	31.2%	32.0%	31.5%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	58	93	92	243
		% within Q4_30.	23.9%	38.3%	37.9%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	26.7%	19.5%	25.6%	23.1%
Total	Count	217	477	359	1053	
	% within Q4_30.	20.6%	45.3%	34.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q7 (Service Level) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q7. For the following Governance & Engagement service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Public engagement (consultations like this one).	Increase service levels	Count	59	129	129	317
		% within Q7.	18.6%	40.7%	40.7%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	27.2%	26.2%	35.1%	29.5%
	Maintain service levels	Count	128	336	220	684
		% within Q7.	18.7%	49.1%	32.2%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	59.0%	68.3%	59.9%	63.6%
	Decrease service levels	Count	30	27	18	75
		% within Q7.	40.0%	36.0%	24.0%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	13.8%	5.5%	4.9%	7.0%
Total	Count	217	492	367	1076	
	% within Q7.	20.2%	45.7%	34.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q8_1 (Service Level for Business Support Services) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q8_1. For the following Economic Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Business support services.	Increase service levels	Count	53	82	57	192
		% within Q8_1.	27.6%	42.7%	29.7%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	24.4%	16.7%	15.5%	17.8%
	Maintain service levels	Count	127	362	266	755
		% within Q8_1.	16.8%	47.9%	35.2%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	58.5%	73.6%	72.5%	70.2%
	Decrease service levels	Count	37	48	44	129
		% within Q8_1.	28.7%	37.2%	34.1%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	17.1%	9.8%	12.0%	12.0%
Total	Count	217	492	367	1076	
	% within Q8_1.	20.2%	45.7%	34.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q8_2 (Service Level for Community Planning) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q8_2. For the following Economic Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Community planning / development approvals.	Increase service levels	Count	69	178	166	413
		% within Q8_2.	16.7%	43.1%	40.2%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	31.8%	36.2%	45.2%	38.4%
	Maintain service levels	Count	120	281	187	588
		% within Q8_2.	20.4%	47.8%	31.8%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	55.3%	57.1%	51.0%	54.6%
	Decrease service levels	Count	28	33	14	75
		% within Q8_2.	37.3%	44.0%	18.7%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	12.9%	6.7%	3.8%	7.0%
Total	Count	217	492	367	1076	
	% within Q8_2.	20.2%	45.7%	34.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q8_3 (Service Level for Economic Development) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q8_3. For the following Economic Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Economic development.	Increase service levels	Count	79	159	129	367
		% within Q8_3.	21.5%	43.3%	35.1%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	36.4%	32.3%	35.1%	34.1%
	Maintain service levels	Count	111	300	194	605
		% within Q8_3.	18.3%	49.6%	32.1%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	51.2%	61.0%	52.9%	56.2%
	Decrease service levels	Count	27	33	44	104
		% within Q8_3.	26.0%	31.7%	42.3%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	12.4%	6.7%	12.0%	9.7%
Total	Count	217	492	367	1076	
	% within Q8_3.	20.2%	45.7%	34.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_1 (Service Level for Bike Lanes / Cycling Facilities) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q9_1. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Bike lanes / cycling facilities.	Increase service levels	Count	56	172	234	462
		% within Q9_1.	12.1%	37.2%	50.6%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	25.8%	35.0%	63.8%	42.9%
	Maintain service levels	Count	54	163	86	303
		% within Q9_1.	17.8%	53.8%	28.4%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	24.9%	33.1%	23.4%	28.2%
	Decrease service levels	Count	107	157	47	311
		% within Q9_1.	34.4%	50.5%	15.1%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	49.3%	31.9%	12.8%	28.9%
Total	Count	217	492	367	1076	
	% within Q9_1.	20.2%	45.7%	34.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_2 (Service Level for Parking Enforcement) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q9_2. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Parking enforcement.	Increase service levels	Count	32	78	84	194
		% within Q9_2.	16.5%	40.2%	43.3%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	14.7%	15.9%	22.9%	18.0%
	Maintain service levels	Count	116	312	221	649
		% within Q9_2.	17.9%	48.1%	34.1%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	53.5%	63.4%	60.2%	60.3%
	Decrease service levels	Count	69	102	62	233
		% within Q9_2.	29.6%	43.8%	26.6%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	31.8%	20.7%	16.9%	21.7%
Total	Count	217	492	367	1076	
	% within Q9_2.	20.2%	45.7%	34.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_3 (Service Level for Public Transit - Conventional Bus & Ferry) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q9_3. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Public transit - conventional bus / ferry.	Increase service levels	Count	96	262	263	621
		% within Q9_3.	15.5%	42.2%	42.4%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	44.2%	53.3%	71.7%	57.7%
	Maintain service levels	Count	103	221	102	426
		% within Q9_3.	24.2%	51.9%	23.9%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	47.5%	44.9%	27.8%	39.6%
	Decrease service levels	Count	18	9	2	29
		% within Q9_3.	62.1%	31.0%	6.9%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	8.3%	1.8%	0.5%	2.7%
Total	Count	217	492	367	1076	
	% within Q9_3.	20.2%	45.7%	34.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_4 (Service Level for Public Transit - Access-A-Bus) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q9_4. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Public transit - Access-A-Bus.	Increase service levels	Count	53	150	159	362
		% within Q9_4.	14.6%	41.4%	43.9%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	24.4%	30.5%	43.3%	33.6%
	Maintain service levels	Count	144	324	199	667
		% within Q9_4.	21.6%	48.6%	29.8%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	66.4%	65.9%	54.2%	62.0%
	Decrease service levels	Count	20	18	9	47
		% within Q9_4.	42.6%	38.3%	19.1%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	9.2%	3.7%	2.5%	4.4%
Total	Count	217	492	367	1076	
	% within Q9_4.	20.2%	45.7%	34.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_5 (Service Level for Overall Transit Service) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q9_5. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Overall transit service.	Increase service levels	Count	87	251	255	593
		% within Q9_5.	14.7%	42.3%	43.0%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	40.1%	51.0%	69.5%	55.1%
	Maintain service levels	Count	109	235	110	454
		% within Q9_5.	24.0%	51.8%	24.2%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	50.2%	47.8%	30.0%	42.2%
	Decrease service levels	Count	21	6	2	29
		% within Q9_5.	72.4%	20.7%	6.9%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	9.7%	1.2%	0.5%	2.7%
Total	Count	217	492	367	1076	
	% within Q9_5.	20.2%	45.7%	34.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_6 (Service Level for Sidewalk Maintenance) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q9_6. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Sidewalk maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	77	180	157	414
		% within Q9_6.	18.6%	43.5%	37.9%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	35.5%	36.6%	42.8%	38.5%
	Maintain service levels	Count	125	300	195	620
		% within Q9_6.	20.2%	48.4%	31.5%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	57.6%	61.0%	53.1%	57.6%
	Decrease service levels	Count	15	12	15	42
		% within Q9_6.	35.7%	28.6%	35.7%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	6.9%	2.4%	4.1%	3.9%
Total	Count	217	492	367	1076	
	% within Q9_6.	20.2%	45.7%	34.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_7 (Service Level for Street / Road Maintenance) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q9_7. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Street / road maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	141	292	185	618
		% within Q9_7.	22.8%	47.2%	29.9%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	65.0%	59.3%	50.4%	57.4%
	Maintain service levels	Count	72	196	164	432
		% within Q9_7.	16.7%	45.4%	38.0%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	33.2%	39.8%	44.7%	40.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	4	4	18	26
		% within Q9_7.	15.4%	15.4%	69.2%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	1.8%	0.8%	4.9%	2.4%
Total	Count	217	492	367	1076	
	% within Q9_7.	20.2%	45.7%	34.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_8 (Service Level for Traffic Management) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q9_8. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Traffic management.	Increase service levels	Count	83	170	111	364
		% within Q9_8.	22.8%	46.7%	30.5%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	38.2%	34.6%	30.2%	33.8%
	Maintain service levels	Count	115	302	241	658
		% within Q9_8.	17.5%	45.9%	36.6%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	53.0%	61.4%	65.7%	61.2%
	Decrease service levels	Count	19	20	15	54
		% within Q9_8.	35.2%	37.0%	27.8%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	8.8%	4.1%	4.1%	5.0%
Total	Count	217	492	367	1076	
	% within Q9_8.	20.2%	45.7%	34.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_9 (Service Level for Traffic / Pedestrian Safety) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q9_9. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Traffic / pedestrian safety.	Increase service levels	Count	72	200	215	487
		% within Q9_9.	14.8%	41.1%	44.1%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	33.2%	40.7%	58.6%	45.3%
	Maintain service levels	Count	126	272	144	542
		% within Q9_9.	23.2%	50.2%	26.6%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	58.1%	55.3%	39.2%	50.4%
Decrease service levels	Count	19	20	8	47	
	% within Q9_9.	40.4%	42.6%	17.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	8.8%	4.1%	2.2%	4.4%	
Total	Count	217	492	367	1076	
	% within Q9_9.	20.2%	45.7%	34.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_10 (Service Level for Winter Maintenance) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q9_10. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Winter maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	102	238	203	543
		% within Q9_10.	18.8%	43.8%	37.4%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	47.0%	48.4%	55.3%	50.5%
	Maintain service levels	Count	107	249	157	513
		% within Q9_10.	20.9%	48.5%	30.6%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	49.3%	50.6%	42.8%	47.7%
Decrease service levels	Count	8	5	7	20	
	% within Q9_10.	40.0%	25.0%	35.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	3.7%	1.0%	1.9%	1.9%	
Total	Count	217	492	367	1076	
	% within Q9_10.	20.2%	45.7%	34.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_1 (Service Level for Arts and Cultural Programming) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q10_1. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Arts and cultural facilities and program.	Increase service levels	Count	35	99	134	268
		% within Q10_1.	13.1%	36.9%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	16.1%	20.1%	36.5%	24.9%
	Maintain service levels	Count	95	294	205	594
		% within Q10_1.	16.0%	49.5%	34.5%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	43.8%	59.8%	55.9%	55.2%
Decrease service levels	Count	87	99	28	214	
	% within Q10_1.	40.7%	46.3%	13.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	40.1%	20.1%	7.6%	19.9%	
Total	Count	217	492	367	1076	
	% within Q10_1.	20.2%	45.7%	34.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_2 (Service Level for Cleanliness) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q10_2. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Cleanliness (litter and graffiti removal).	Increase service levels	Count	55	136	126	317
		% within Q10_2.	17.4%	42.9%	39.7%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	25.3%	27.6%	34.3%	29.5%
	Maintain service levels	Count	119	288	201	608
		% within Q10_2.	19.6%	47.4%	33.1%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	54.8%	58.5%	54.8%	56.5%
	Decrease service levels	Count	43	68	40	151
		% within Q10_2.	28.5%	45.0%	26.5%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	19.8%	13.8%	10.9%	14.0%
Total	Count	217	492	367	1076	
	% within Q10_2.	20.2%	45.7%	34.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_3 (Service Level for Community Beautification) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q10_3. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Community beautification.	Increase service levels	Count	76	156	134	366
		% within Q10_3.	20.8%	42.6%	36.6%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	35.0%	31.7%	36.6%	34.0%
	Maintain service levels	Count	137	326	227	690
		% within Q10_3.	19.9%	47.2%	32.9%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	63.1%	66.3%	62.0%	64.2%
	Decrease service levels	Count	4	10	5	19
		% within Q10_3.	21.1%	52.6%	26.3%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	1.8%	2.0%	1.4%	1.8%
Total	Count	217	492	366	1075	
	% within Q10_3.	20.2%	45.8%	34.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_4 (Service Level for Emergency Preparedness) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q10_4. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Emergency preparedness.	Increase service levels	Count	86	248	243	577
		% within Q10_4.	14.9%	43.0%	42.1%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	39.6%	50.4%	66.2%	53.6%
	Maintain service levels	Count	101	227	122	450
		% within Q10_4.	22.4%	50.4%	27.1%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	46.5%	46.1%	33.2%	41.8%
	Decrease service levels	Count	30	17	2	49
		% within Q10_4.	61.2%	34.7%	4.1%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	13.8%	3.5%	0.5%	4.6%
Total	Count	217	492	367	1076	
	% within Q10_4.	20.2%	45.7%	34.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_5 (Service Level for Environmental Protection and Sustainability) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q10_5. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Environmental protection and sustainability.	Increase service levels	Count	35	53	55	143
		% within Q10_5.	24.5%	37.1%	38.5%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	16.1%	10.8%	15.0%	13.3%
	Maintain service levels	Count	165	428	303	896
		% within Q10_5.	18.4%	47.8%	33.8%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	76.0%	87.0%	82.8%	83.3%
	Decrease service levels	Count	17	11	8	36
		% within Q10_5.	47.2%	30.6%	22.2%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	7.8%	2.2%	2.2%	3.3%
Total	Count	217	492	366	1075	
	% within Q10_5.	20.2%	45.8%	34.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_6 (Service Level for Fire Services) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q10_6. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Fire services.	Increase service levels	Count	49	71	56	176
		% within Q10_6.	27.8%	40.3%	31.8%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	22.6%	14.4%	15.3%	16.4%
	Maintain service levels	Count	157	413	306	876
		% within Q10_6.	17.9%	47.1%	34.9%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	72.4%	83.9%	83.4%	81.4%
	Decrease service levels	Count	11	8	5	24
		% within Q10_6.	45.8%	33.3%	20.8%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	5.1%	1.6%	1.4%	2.2%
Total	Count	217	492	367	1076	
	% within Q10_6.	20.2%	45.7%	34.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_7 (Service Level for Garbage, Recycling, and Organics Collection) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q10_7. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Garbage, recycling, and organics collection.	Increase service levels	Count	18	44	98	160
		% within Q10_7.	11.3%	27.5%	61.3%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	8.3%	8.9%	26.7%	14.9%
	Maintain service levels	Count	157	405	256	818
		% within Q10_7.	19.2%	49.5%	31.3%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	72.4%	82.3%	69.8%	76.0%
	Decrease service levels	Count	42	43	13	98
		% within Q10_7.	42.9%	43.9%	13.3%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	19.4%	8.7%	3.5%	9.1%
Total	Count	217	492	367	1076	
	% within Q10_7.	20.2%	45.7%	34.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_8 (Service Level for Halifax Public Libraries) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q10_8. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Halifax Public Libraries.	Increase service levels	Count	79	137	108	324
		% within Q10_8.	24.4%	42.3%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	36.4%	27.9%	29.4%	30.1%
	Maintain service levels	Count	132	335	249	716
		% within Q10_8.	18.4%	46.8%	34.8%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	60.8%	68.2%	67.8%	66.6%
	Decrease service levels	Count	6	19	10	35
		% within Q10_8.	17.1%	54.3%	28.6%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	2.8%	3.9%	2.7%	3.3%
Total	Count	217	491	367	1075	
	% within Q10_8.	20.2%	45.7%	34.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_9 (Service Level for Overall City Maintenance) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q10_9. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Overall city maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	62	149	178	389
		% within Q10_9.	15.9%	38.3%	45.8%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	28.6%	30.3%	48.5%	36.2%
	Maintain service levels	Count	133	323	183	639
		% within Q10_9.	20.8%	50.5%	28.6%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	61.3%	65.7%	49.9%	59.4%
	Decrease service levels	Count	22	20	6	48
		% within Q10_9.	45.8%	41.7%	12.5%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	10.1%	4.1%	1.6%	4.5%
Total	Count	217	492	367	1076	
	% within Q10_9.	20.2%	45.7%	34.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_10 (Service Level for Parks, Playgrounds, and Green Spaces) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q10_10. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Parks, playgrounds, and green spaces.	Increase service levels	Count	54	116	99	269
		% within Q10_10.	20.1%	43.1%	36.8%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	24.9%	23.6%	27.0%	25.0%
	Maintain service levels	Count	151	373	264	788
		% within Q10_10.	19.2%	47.3%	33.5%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	69.6%	75.8%	71.9%	73.2%
	Decrease service levels	Count	12	3	4	19
		% within Q10_10.	63.2%	15.8%	21.1%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	5.5%	0.6%	1.1%	1.8%
Total	Count	217	492	367	1076	
	% within Q10_10.	20.2%	45.7%	34.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_11 (Service Level for Police Services) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q10_11. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Police services.	Increase service levels	Count	45	82	57	184
		% within Q10_11.	24.5%	44.6%	31.0%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	20.7%	16.7%	15.5%	17.1%
	Maintain service levels	Count	144	380	265	789
		% within Q10_11.	18.3%	48.2%	33.6%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	66.4%	77.2%	72.2%	73.3%
	Decrease service levels	Count	28	30	45	103
		% within Q10_11.	27.2%	29.1%	43.7%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	12.9%	6.1%	12.3%	9.6%
Total	Count	217	492	367	1076	
	% within Q10_11.	20.2%	45.7%	34.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_12 (Service Level for Indoor Recreation Facilities) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q10_12. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Indoor recreation facilities.	Increase service levels	Count	47	105	107	259
		% within Q10_12.	18.1%	40.5%	41.3%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	21.7%	21.3%	29.2%	24.1%
	Maintain service levels	Count	141	354	254	749
		% within Q10_12.	18.8%	47.3%	33.9%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	65.0%	72.0%	69.2%	69.6%
	Decrease service levels	Count	29	33	6	68
		% within Q10_12.	42.6%	48.5%	8.8%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	13.4%	6.7%	1.6%	6.3%
Total	Count	217	492	367	1076	
	% within Q10_12.	20.2%	45.7%	34.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_13 (Service Level for Outdoor Recreation Facilities) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q10_13. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Outdoor recreation facilities.	Increase service levels	Count	42	115	115	272
		% within Q10_13.	15.4%	42.3%	42.3%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	19.4%	23.4%	31.3%	25.3%
	Maintain service levels	Count	148	345	240	733
		% within Q10_13.	20.2%	47.1%	32.7%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	68.2%	70.1%	65.4%	68.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	27	32	12	71
		% within Q10_13.	38.0%	45.1%	16.9%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	12.4%	6.5%	3.3%	6.6%
Total	Count	217	492	367	1076	
	% within Q10_13.	20.2%	45.7%	34.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_14 (Service Level for Recreation Programming) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q10_14. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Recreation programming.	Increase service levels	Count	40	107	120	267
		% within Q10_14.	15.0%	40.1%	44.9%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	18.4%	21.7%	32.7%	24.8%
	Maintain service levels	Count	145	348	232	725
		% within Q10_14.	20.0%	48.0%	32.0%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	66.8%	70.7%	63.2%	67.4%
	Decrease service levels	Count	32	37	15	84
		% within Q10_14.	38.1%	44.0%	17.9%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	14.7%	7.5%	4.1%	7.8%
Total	Count	217	492	367	1076	
	% within Q10_14.	20.2%	45.7%	34.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q11_1 (Service Level for Accessibility Programming) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q11_1. For the following Social Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Accessibility programming.	Increase service levels	Count	46	127	161	334
		% within Q11_1.	13.8%	38.0%	48.2%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	21.2%	25.8%	43.9%	31.0%
	Maintain service levels	Count	139	335	199	673
		% within Q11_1.	20.7%	49.8%	29.6%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	64.1%	68.1%	54.2%	62.5%
	Decrease service levels	Count	32	30	7	69
		% within Q11_1.	46.4%	43.5%	10.1%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	14.7%	6.1%	1.9%	6.4%
Total	Count	217	492	367	1076	
	% within Q11_1.	20.2%	45.7%	34.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q11_2 (Service Level for Affordability / Free Programming) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q11_2. For the following Social Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Affordability / free programming.	Increase service levels	Count	63	175	172	410
		% within Q11_2.	15.4%	42.7%	42.0%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	29.0%	35.6%	46.9%	38.1%
	Maintain service levels	Count	105	283	184	572
		% within Q11_2.	18.4%	49.5%	32.2%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	48.4%	57.5%	50.1%	53.2%
	Decrease service levels	Count	49	34	11	94
		% within Q11_2.	52.1%	36.2%	11.7%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	22.6%	6.9%	3.0%	8.7%
Total	Count	217	492	367	1076	
	% within Q11_2.	20.2%	45.7%	34.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q11_3 (Service Level for Diversity Programming) by Q3 (Taxes and Fees)

			Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:			Total
			Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	
Q11_3. For the following Social Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Diversity and inclusiveness programming.	Increase service levels	Count	31	140	162	333
		% within Q11_3.	9.3%	42.0%	48.6%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	14.3%	28.5%	44.1%	30.9%
	Maintain service levels	Count	120	285	183	588
		% within Q11_3.	20.4%	48.5%	31.1%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	55.3%	57.9%	49.9%	54.6%
	Decrease service levels	Count	66	67	22	155
		% within Q11_3.	42.6%	43.2%	14.2%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	30.4%	13.6%	6.0%	14.4%
Total		Count	217	492	367	1076
		% within Q11_3.	20.2%	45.7%	34.1%	100.0%
		% within Q3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q7 (Service Level) by Q4_19 (Satisfaction): Public Engagement

			Q4_19. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Public engagement (example: consultation on projects like Cogswell District).				Total
			Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	
Q7. For the following Governance & Engagement service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Public engagement (consultations like this one).	Increase service levels	Count	17	81	83	68	249
		% within Q7.	6.8%	32.5%	33.3%	27.3%	100.0%
		% within Q4_19.	16.2%	18.8%	41.9%	57.6%	29.3%
	Maintain service levels	Count	79	324	105	38	546
		% within Q7.	14.5%	59.3%	19.2%	7.0%	100.0%
		% within Q4_19.	75.2%	75.3%	53.0%	32.2%	64.2%
	Decrease service levels	Count	9	25	10	12	56
		% within Q7.	16.1%	44.6%	17.9%	21.4%	100.0%
		% within Q4_19.	8.6%	5.8%	5.1%	10.2%	6.6%
Total	Count	105	430	198	118	851	
	% within Q7.	12.3%	50.5%	23.3%	13.9%	100.0%	
	% within Q4_19.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q8_1 (Service Level) by Q8_1 (Satisfaction): Business Support Services

			Q4_5. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Business support services (example: permits).				Total
			Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	
Q8_1. For the following Economic Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Business support services.	Increase service levels	Count	3	52	48	22	125
		% within Q8_1.	2.4%	41.6%	38.4%	17.6%	100.0%
		% within Q4_5.	10.7%	18.0%	33.3%	36.7%	24.0%
	Maintain service levels	Count	23	211	81	33	348
		% within Q8_1.	6.6%	60.6%	23.3%	9.5%	100.0%
		% within Q4_5.	82.1%	73.0%	56.3%	55.0%	66.8%
	Decrease service levels	Count	2	26	15	5	48
		% within Q8_1.	4.2%	54.2%	31.3%	10.4%	100.0%
		% within Q4_5.	7.1%	9.0%	10.4%	8.3%	9.2%
Total	Count	28	289	144	60	521	
	% within Q8_1.	5.4%	55.5%	27.6%	11.5%	100.0%	
	% within Q4_5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q8_2 (Service Level) by Q4_7 (Satisfaction): Community Planning

			Q4_7. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Community planning / land use planning and approvals.				Total
			Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	
Q8_2. For the following Economic Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Community planning / development approvals.	Increase service levels	Count	6	90	142	129	367
		% within Q8_2.	1.6%	24.5%	38.7%	35.1%	100.0%
		% within Q4_7.	25.0%	30.7%	44.5%	51.6%	41.4%
	Maintain service levels	Count	17	193	158	90	458
		% within Q8_2.	3.7%	42.1%	34.5%	19.7%	100.0%
		% within Q4_7.	70.8%	65.9%	49.5%	36.0%	51.7%
	Decrease service levels	Count	1	10	19	31	61
		% within Q8_2.	1.6%	16.4%	31.1%	50.8%	100.0%
		% within Q4_7.	4.2%	3.4%	6.0%	12.4%	6.9%
Total	Count	24	293	319	250	886	
	% within Q8_2.	2.7%	33.1%	36.0%	28.2%	100.0%	
	% within Q4_7.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q8_3 (Service Level) by Q4_10 (Satisfaction): Economic Development

			Q4_10. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Economic development (example: promoting and connecting Halifax to grow and get business, talent, and investment).				Total
			Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	
Q8_3. For the following Economic Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Economic development.	Increase service levels	Count	19	135	116	54	324
		% within Q8_3.	5.9%	41.7%	35.8%	16.7%	100.0%
		% within Q4_10.	31.7%	29.0%	47.2%	54.5%	37.2%
	Maintain service levels	Count	35	290	106	36	467
		% within Q8_3.	7.5%	62.1%	22.7%	7.7%	100.0%
		% within Q4_10.	58.3%	62.2%	43.1%	36.4%	53.6%
	Decrease service levels	Count	6	41	24	9	80
		% within Q8_3.	7.5%	51.3%	30.0%	11.3%	100.0%
		% within Q4_10.	10.0%	8.8%	9.8%	9.1%	9.2%
Total	Count	60	466	246	99	871	
	% within Q8_3.	6.9%	53.5%	28.2%	11.4%	100.0%	
	% within Q4_10.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_1 (Service Level) by Q4_4 (Satisfaction): Bike Lanes / Cycling Facilities

			Q4_4. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Bike lanes / cycling facilities.				Total
			Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	
Q9_1. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Bike lanes / cycling facilities.	Increase service levels	Count	12	64	195	157	428
		% within Q9_1.	2.8%	15.0%	45.6%	36.7%	100.0%
		% within Q4_4.	13.8%	26.6%	73.6%	62.8%	50.8%
	Maintain service levels	Count	27	119	41	19	206
		% within Q9_1.	13.1%	57.8%	19.9%	9.2%	100.0%
		% within Q4_4.	31.0%	49.4%	15.5%	7.6%	24.4%
	Decrease service levels	Count	48	58	29	74	209
		% within Q9_1.	23.0%	27.8%	13.9%	35.4%	100.0%
		% within Q4_4.	55.2%	24.1%	10.9%	29.6%	24.8%
Total	Count	87	241	265	250	843	
	% within Q9_1.	10.3%	28.6%	31.4%	29.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q4_4.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_2 (Service Level) by Q4_17 (Satisfaction): Parking Enforcement

			Q4_17. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Parking enforcement.				Total
			Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	
Q9_2. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Parking enforcement.	Increase service levels	Count	2	39	70	63	174
		% within Q9_2.	1.1%	22.4%	40.2%	36.2%	100.0%
		% within Q4_17.	3.2%	8.0%	35.7%	52.9%	20.1%
	Maintain service levels	Count	40	368	79	25	512
		% within Q9_2.	7.8%	71.9%	15.4%	4.9%	100.0%
		% within Q4_17.	63.5%	75.3%	40.3%	21.0%	59.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	21	82	47	31	181
		% within Q9_2.	11.6%	45.3%	26.0%	17.1%	100.0%
		% within Q4_17.	33.3%	16.8%	24.0%	26.1%	20.9%
Total	Count	63	489	196	119	867	
	% within Q9_2.	7.3%	56.4%	22.6%	13.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q4_17.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_3 (Service Level) by Q4_20 (Satisfaction): Public Transit - Conventional Bus & Ferry

			Q4_20. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Public Transit - Conventional bus & ferry (example: reliability).				Total
			Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	
Q9_3. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Public transit - conventional bus / ferry.	Increase service levels	Count	23	172	244	157	596
		% within Q9_3.	3.9%	28.9%	40.9%	26.3%	100.0%
		% within Q4_20.	37.1%	41.5%	78.2%	91.3%	62.1%
	Maintain service levels	Count	35	232	64	13	344
		% within Q9_3.	10.2%	67.4%	18.6%	3.8%	100.0%
		% within Q4_20.	56.5%	56.0%	20.5%	7.6%	35.8%
Decrease service levels	Count	4	10	4	2	20	
	% within Q9_3.	20.0%	50.0%	20.0%	10.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q4_20.	6.5%	2.4%	1.3%	1.2%	2.1%	
Total	Count	62	414	312	172	960	
	% within Q9_3.	6.5%	43.1%	32.5%	17.9%	100.0%	
	% within Q4_20.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_4 (Service Level) by Q4_21 (Satisfaction): Public Transit - Access-A-Bus

			Q4_21. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Public Transit - Access-a-bus (example: reliability).				Total
			Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	
Q9_4. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Public transit - Access-A-Bus.	Increase service levels	Count	6	46	59	47	158
		% within Q9_4.	3.8%	29.1%	37.3%	29.7%	100.0%
		% within Q4_21.	14.6%	29.1%	74.7%	75.8%	46.5%
	Maintain service levels	Count	27	108	18	13	166
		% within Q9_4.	16.3%	65.1%	10.8%	7.8%	100.0%
		% within Q4_21.	65.9%	68.4%	22.8%	21.0%	48.8%
Decrease service levels	Count	8	4	2	2	16	
	% within Q9_4.	50.0%	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	100.0%	
	% within Q4_21.	19.5%	2.5%	2.5%	3.2%	4.7%	
Total	Count	41	158	79	62	340	
	% within Q9_4.	12.1%	46.5%	23.2%	18.2%	100.0%	
	% within Q4_21.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_5 (Service Level) by Q4_22 (Satisfaction): Overall Transit Service

			Q4_22. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Overall transit service.				Total
			Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	
Q9_5. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Overall transit service.	Increase service levels	Count	13	163	244	143	563
		% within Q9_5.	2.3%	29.0%	43.3%	25.4%	100.0%
		% within Q4_22.	23.2%	40.0%	76.3%	86.7%	59.3%
	Maintain service levels	Count	40	235	72	18	365
		% within Q9_5.	11.0%	64.4%	19.7%	4.9%	100.0%
		% within Q4_22.	71.4%	57.6%	22.5%	10.9%	38.5%
Decrease service levels	Count	3	10	4	4	21	
	% within Q9_5.	14.3%	47.6%	19.0%	19.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q4_22.	5.4%	2.5%	1.3%	2.4%	2.2%	
Total	Count	56	408	320	165	949	
	% within Q9_5.	5.9%	43.0%	33.7%	17.4%	100.0%	
	% within Q4_22.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_6 (Service Level) by Q4_26 (Satisfaction): Sidewalk Maintenance

			Q4_26. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Sidewalk maintenance.				Total
			Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	
Q9_6. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Sidewalk maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	7	82	187	123	399
		% within Q9_6.	1.8%	20.6%	46.9%	30.8%	100.0%
		% within Q4_26.	8.5%	16.7%	67.3%	83.7%	40.0%
	Maintain service levels	Count	66	394	84	18	562
		% within Q9_6.	11.7%	70.1%	14.9%	3.2%	100.0%
		% within Q4_26.	80.5%	80.2%	30.2%	12.2%	56.3%
	Decrease service levels	Count	9	15	7	6	37
		% within Q9_6.	24.3%	40.5%	18.9%	16.2%	100.0%
		% within Q4_26.	11.0%	3.1%	2.5%	4.1%	3.7%
Total	Count	82	491	278	147	998	
	% within Q9_6.	8.2%	49.2%	27.9%	14.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q4_26.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_7 (Service Level) by Q4_27 (Satisfaction): Street / Road Maintenance

			Q4_27. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Street / road maintenance.				Total
			Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	
Q9_7. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Street / road maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	3	75	269	262	609
		% within Q9_7.	0.5%	12.3%	44.2%	43.0%	100.0%
		% within Q4_27.	7.5%	21.9%	69.9%	91.3%	57.7%
	Maintain service levels	Count	33	251	114	24	422
		% within Q9_7.	7.8%	59.5%	27.0%	5.7%	100.0%
		% within Q4_27.	82.5%	73.2%	29.6%	8.4%	40.0%
	Decrease service levels	Count	4	17	2	1	24
		% within Q9_7.	16.7%	70.8%	8.3%	4.2%	100.0%
		% within Q4_27.	10.0%	5.0%	0.5%	0.3%	2.3%
Total	Count	40	343	385	287	1055	
	% within Q9_7.	3.8%	32.5%	36.5%	27.2%	100.0%	
	% within Q4_27.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_8 (Service Level) by Q4_28 (Satisfaction): Traffic Management

			Q4_28. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Traffic management (example: signals).				Total
			Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	
Q9_8. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Traffic management.	Increase service levels	Count	11	76	139	127	353
		% within Q9_8.	3.1%	21.5%	39.4%	36.0%	100.0%
		% within Q4_28.	16.7%	14.3%	51.3%	78.4%	34.3%
	Maintain service levels	Count	51	421	122	30	624
		% within Q9_8.	8.2%	67.5%	19.6%	4.8%	100.0%
		% within Q4_28.	77.3%	79.3%	45.0%	18.5%	60.6%
	Decrease service levels	Count	4	34	10	5	53
		% within Q9_8.	7.5%	64.2%	18.9%	9.4%	100.0%
		% within Q4_28.	6.1%	6.4%	3.7%	3.1%	5.1%
Total	Count	66	531	271	162	1030	
	% within Q9_8.	6.4%	51.6%	26.3%	15.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q4_28.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_9 (Service Level) by Q4_29 (Satisfaction): Traffic / Pedestrian Safety

			Q4_29. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Traffic / pedestrian safety.				Total
			Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	
Q9_9. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Traffic / pedestrian safety.	Increase service levels	Count	10	88	185	199	482
		% within Q9_9.	2.1%	18.3%	38.4%	41.3%	100.0%
		% within Q4_29.	15.9%	19.0%	62.7%	90.0%	46.2%
	Maintain service levels	Count	43	357	101	18	519
		% within Q9_9.	8.3%	68.8%	19.5%	3.5%	100.0%
		% within Q4_29.	68.3%	76.9%	34.2%	8.1%	49.8%
	Decrease service levels	Count	10	19	9	4	42
		% within Q9_9.	23.8%	45.2%	21.4%	9.5%	100.0%
		% within Q4_29.	15.9%	4.1%	3.1%	1.8%	4.0%
Total	Count	63	464	295	221	1043	
	% within Q9_9.	6.0%	44.5%	28.3%	21.2%	100.0%	
	% within Q4_29.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_10 (Service Level) by Q4_30 (Satisfaction): Winter Maintenance

			Q4_30. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Winter maintenance (example: snow and ice removal).				Total
			Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	
Q9_10. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Winter maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	11	68	240	220	539
		% within Q9_10.	2.0%	12.6%	44.5%	40.8%	100.0%
		% within Q4_30.	19.6%	16.1%	72.1%	90.5%	51.1%
	Maintain service levels	Count	40	347	87	22	496
		% within Q9_10.	8.1%	70.0%	17.5%	4.4%	100.0%
		% within Q4_30.	71.4%	82.2%	26.1%	9.1%	47.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	5	7	6	1	19
		% within Q9_10.	26.3%	36.8%	31.6%	5.3%	100.0%
		% within Q4_30.	8.9%	1.7%	1.8%	0.4%	1.8%
Total	Count	56	422	333	243	1054	
	% within Q9_10.	5.3%	40.0%	31.6%	23.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q4_30.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_1 (Service Level) by Q4_3 (Satisfaction): Arts and Cultural Programming

			Q4_3. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Arts and cultural facilities and programs.				Total
			Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	
Q10_1. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Arts and cultural facilities and program.	Increase service levels	Count	9	80	55	16	160
		% within Q10_1.	5.6%	50.0%	34.4%	10.0%	100.0%
		% within Q4_3.	15.8%	26.1%	39.0%	36.4%	29.1%
	Maintain service levels	Count	28	183	61	23	295
		% within Q10_1.	9.5%	62.0%	20.7%	7.8%	100.0%
		% within Q4_3.	49.1%	59.6%	43.3%	52.3%	53.7%
	Decrease service levels	Count	20	44	25	5	94
		% within Q10_1.	21.3%	46.8%	26.6%	5.3%	100.0%
		% within Q4_3.	35.1%	14.3%	17.7%	11.4%	17.1%
Total	Count	57	307	141	44	549	
	% within Q10_1.	10.4%	55.9%	25.7%	8.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q4_3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_2 (Service Level) by Q4_6 (Satisfaction): Cleanliness

			Q4_6. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Cleanliness (example: litter & graffiti removal).				Total
			Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	
Q10_2. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Cleanliness (litter and graffiti removal).	Increase service levels	Count	12	132	106	63	313
		% within Q10_2.	3.8%	42.2%	33.9%	20.1%	100.0%
		% within Q4_6.	13.8%	24.0%	37.5%	50.8%	30.0%
	Maintain service levels	Count	61	333	146	49	589
		% within Q10_2.	10.4%	56.5%	24.8%	8.3%	100.0%
		% within Q4_6.	70.1%	60.7%	51.6%	39.5%	56.5%
	Decrease service levels	Count	14	84	31	12	141
		% within Q10_2.	9.9%	59.6%	22.0%	8.5%	100.0%
		% within Q4_6.	16.1%	15.3%	11.0%	9.7%	13.5%
Total	Count	87	549	283	124	1043	
	% within Q10_2.	8.3%	52.6%	27.1%	11.9%	100.0%	
	% within Q4_6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_3 (Service Level) by Q4_8 (Satisfaction): Community Beautification

			Q4_8. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Community standards (example: by-law enforcement).				Total
			Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	
Q10_3. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Community beautification.	Increase service levels	Count	14	157	89	59	319
		% within Q10_3.	4.4%	49.2%	27.9%	18.5%	100.0%
		% within Q4_8.	40.0%	32.8%	35.3%	39.9%	34.9%
	Maintain service levels	Count	21	315	157	87	580
		% within Q10_3.	3.6%	54.3%	27.1%	15.0%	100.0%
		% within Q4_8.	60.0%	65.8%	62.3%	58.8%	63.5%
	Decrease service levels	Count	0	7	6	2	15
		% within Q10_3.	0.0%	46.7%	40.0%	13.3%	100.0%
		% within Q4_8.	0.0%	1.5%	2.4%	1.4%	1.6%
Total	Count	35	479	252	148	914	
	% within Q10_3.	3.8%	52.4%	27.6%	16.2%	100.0%	
	% within Q4_8.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_4 (Service Level) by Q4_11 (Satisfaction): Emergency Preparedness

			Q4_11. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Emergency preparedness.				Total
			Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	
Q10_4. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Emergency preparedness.	Increase service levels	Count	59	288	106	45	498
		% within Q10_4.	11.8%	57.8%	21.3%	9.0%	100.0%
		% within Q4_11.	50.0%	50.4%	64.6%	53.6%	53.1%
	Maintain service levels	Count	52	262	50	30	394
		% within Q10_4.	13.2%	66.5%	12.7%	7.6%	100.0%
		% within Q4_11.	44.1%	45.9%	30.5%	35.7%	42.0%
	Decrease service levels	Count	7	21	8	9	45
		% within Q10_4.	15.6%	46.7%	17.8%	20.0%	100.0%
		% within Q4_11.	5.9%	3.7%	4.9%	10.7%	4.8%
Total	Count	118	571	164	84	937	
	% within Q10_4.	12.6%	60.9%	17.5%	9.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q4_11.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_5 (Service Level) by Q4_12 (Satisfaction): Environmental Protection and Sustainability

			Q4_12. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Environmental protection and sustainability.				Total
			Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	
Q10_5. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Environmental protection and sustainability.	Increase service levels	Count	2	54	33	37	126
		% within Q10_5.	1.6%	42.9%	26.2%	29.4%	100.0%
		% within Q4_12	5.0%	12.1%	11.2%	19.5%	13.0%
	Maintain service levels	Count	33	379	257	144	813
		% within Q10_5.	4.1%	46.6%	31.6%	17.7%	100.0%
		% within Q4_12	82.5%	85.0%	87.1%	75.8%	83.7%
	Decrease service levels	Count	5	13	5	9	32
		% within Q10_5.	15.6%	40.6%	15.6%	28.1%	100.0%
		% within Q4_12	12.5%	2.9%	1.7%	4.7%	3.3%
Total	Count	40	446	295	190	971	
	% within Q10_5.	4.1%	45.9%	30.4%	19.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q4_12	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_6 (Service Level) by Q4_13 (Satisfaction): Fire Services

			Q4_13. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Fire services.				Total
			Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	
Q10_6. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Fire services.	Increase service levels	Count	41	99	9	5	154
		% within Q10_6.	26.6%	64.3%	5.8%	3.2%	100.0%
		% within Q4_13.	15.0%	16.4%	19.6%	29.4%	16.4%
	Maintain service levels	Count	226	494	36	10	766
		% within Q10_6.	29.5%	64.5%	4.7%	1.3%	100.0%
		% within Q4_13.	82.5%	81.8%	78.3%	58.8%	81.4%
	Decrease service levels	Count	7	11	1	2	21
		% within Q10_6.	33.3%	52.4%	4.8%	9.5%	100.0%
		% within Q4_13.	2.6%	1.8%	2.2%	11.8%	2.2%
Total	Count	274	604	46	17	941	
	% within Q10_6.	29.1%	64.2%	4.9%	1.8%	100.0%	
	% within Q4_13.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_7 (Service Level) by Q4_14 (Satisfaction): Garbage, Recycling, and Organics Collection

			Q4_14. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Garbage, recycling, and organics collection.				Total
			Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	
Q10_7. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Garbage, recycling, and organics collection.	Increase service levels	Count	41	88	11	17	157
		% within Q10_7.	26.1%	56.1%	7.0%	10.8%	100.0%
		% within Q4_14.	14.4%	14.4%	10.5%	29.8%	14.8%
	Maintain service levels	Count	218	468	86	31	803
		% within Q10_7.	27.1%	58.3%	10.7%	3.9%	100.0%
		% within Q4_14.	76.8%	76.5%	81.9%	54.4%	75.9%
	Decrease service levels	Count	25	56	8	9	98
		% within Q10_7.	25.5%	57.1%	8.2%	9.2%	100.0%
		% within Q4_14.	8.8%	9.2%	7.6%	15.8%	9.3%
Total	Count	284	612	105	57	1058	
	% within Q10_7.	26.8%	57.8%	9.9%	5.4%	100.0%	
	% within Q4_14.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_8 (Service Level) by Q4_15 (Satisfaction): Halifax Public Libraries

			Q4_15. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Halifax Public Libraries.				Total
			Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	
Q10_8. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Halifax Public Libraries.	Increase service levels	Count	146	129	11	8	294
		% within Q10_8.	49.7%	43.9%	3.7%	2.7%	100.0%
		% within Q4_15.	28.7%	29.2%	34.4%	44.4%	29.4%
	Maintain service levels	Count	350	299	21	8	678
		% within Q10_8.	51.6%	44.1%	3.1%	1.2%	100.0%
		% within Q4_15.	68.8%	67.6%	65.6%	44.4%	67.7%
	Decrease service levels	Count	13	14	0	2	29
		% within Q10_8.	44.8%	48.3%	0.0%	6.9%	100.0%
		% within Q4_15.	2.6%	3.2%	0.0%	11.1%	2.9%
Total	Count	509	442	32	18	1001	
	% within Q10_8.	50.8%	44.2%	3.2%	1.8%	100.0%	
	% within Q4_15.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_9 (Service Level) by Q4_16 (Satisfaction): Overall City Maintenance

			Q4_16. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Overall city maintenance.				Total
			Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	
Q10_9. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Overall city maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	22	217	106	35	380
		% within Q10_9.	5.8%	57.1%	27.9%	9.2%	100.0%
		% within Q4_16.	32.8%	33.7%	41.7%	48.6%	36.7%
	Maintain service levels	Count	41	401	134	33	609
		% within Q10_9.	6.7%	65.8%	22.0%	5.4%	100.0%
		% within Q4_16.	61.2%	62.4%	52.8%	45.8%	58.8%
	Decrease service levels	Count	4	25	14	4	47
		% within Q10_9.	8.5%	53.2%	29.8%	8.5%	100.0%
		% within Q4_16.	6.0%	3.9%	5.5%	5.6%	4.5%
Total	Count	67	643	254	72	1036	
	% within Q10_9.	6.5%	62.1%	24.5%	6.9%	100.0%	
	% within Q4_16.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_11 (Service Level) by Q4_18 (Satisfaction): Police Services

			Q4_18. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Police services.				Total
			Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	
Q10_11. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Police services.	Increase service levels	Count	38	78	41	25	182
		% within Q10_11.	20.9%	42.9%	22.5%	13.7%	100.0%
		% within Q4_18.	20.4%	12.7%	35.0%	33.8%	18.3%
	Maintain service levels	Count	141	503	56	18	718
		% within Q10_11.	19.6%	70.1%	7.8%	2.5%	100.0%
		% within Q4_18.	75.8%	81.7%	47.9%	24.3%	72.3%
	Decrease service levels	Count	7	35	20	31	93
		% within Q10_11.	7.5%	37.6%	21.5%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within Q4_18.	3.8%	5.7%	17.1%	41.9%	9.4%
Total	Count	186	616	117	74	993	
	% within Q10_11.	18.7%	62.0%	11.8%	7.5%	100.0%	
	% within Q4_18.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_12 (Service Level) by Q4_23 (Satisfaction): Indoor Recreation Facilities

			Q4_23. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Indoor recreation facilities (example: community centres, pools).				Total
			Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	
Q10_12. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Indoor recreation facilities.	Increase service levels	Count	17	77	91	59	244
		% within Q10_12.	7.0%	31.6%	37.3%	24.2%	100.0%
		% within Q4_23.	14.2%	13.9%	61.1%	72.8%	27.0%
	Maintain service levels	Count	93	453	55	17	618
		% within Q10_12.	15.0%	73.3%	8.9%	2.8%	100.0%
		% within Q4_23.	77.5%	81.6%	36.9%	21.0%	68.3%
	Decrease service levels	Count	10	25	3	5	43
		% within Q10_12.	23.3%	58.1%	7.0%	11.6%	100.0%
		% within Q4_23.	8.3%	4.5%	2.0%	6.2%	4.8%
Total	Count	120	555	149	81	905	
	% within Q10_12.	13.3%	61.3%	16.5%	9.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q4_23.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_13 (Service Level) by Q4_24 (Satisfaction): Outdoor Recreation Facilities

			Q4_24. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Outdoor recreation facilities (example: sports fields).				Total
			Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	
Q10_13. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Outdoor recreation facilities.	Increase service levels	Count	19	99	83	50	251
		% within Q10_13.	7.6%	39.4%	33.1%	19.9%	100.0%
		% within Q4_24.	17.0%	17.7%	58.5%	66.7%	28.3%
	Maintain service levels	Count	87	433	56	20	596
		% within Q10_13.	14.6%	72.7%	9.4%	3.4%	100.0%
		% within Q4_24.	77.7%	77.5%	39.4%	26.7%	67.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	6	27	3	5	41
		% within Q10_13.	14.6%	65.9%	7.3%	12.2%	100.0%
		% within Q4_24.	5.4%	4.8%	2.1%	6.7%	4.6%
Total	Count	112	559	142	75	888	
	% within Q10_13.	12.6%	63.0%	16.0%	8.4%	100.0%	
	% within Q4_24.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_14 (Service Level) by Q4_25 (Satisfaction): Recreation Programming

			Q4_25. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Recreation programming (example: swimming, camps).				Total
			Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	
Q10_14. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Recreation programming.	Increase service levels	Count	21	83	74	42	220
		% within Q10_14.	9.5%	37.7%	33.6%	19.1%	100.0%
		% within Q4_25.	20.0%	18.7%	59.2%	66.7%	29.9%
	Maintain service levels	Count	73	342	48	17	480
		% within Q10_14.	15.2%	71.3%	10.0%	3.5%	100.0%
		% within Q4_25.	69.5%	77.2%	38.4%	27.0%	65.2%
	Decrease service levels	Count	11	18	3	4	36
		% within Q10_14.	30.6%	50.0%	8.3%	11.1%	100.0%
		% within Q4_25.	10.5%	4.1%	2.4%	6.3%	4.9%
Total	Count	105	443	125	63	736	
	% within Q10_14.	14.3%	60.2%	17.0%	8.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q4_25.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q11_1 (Service Level) by Q4_1 (Satisfaction): Accessibility Programming

			Q4_1. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Accessibility programming (example: physical / intellectual access to facilities, services, programs).				Total
			Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	
Q11_1. For the following Social Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Accessibility programming.	Increase service levels	Count	15	146	77	28	266
		% within Q11_1.	5.6%	54.9%	28.9%	10.5%	100.0%
		% within Q4_1.	18.5%	33.0%	43.5%	45.2%	34.9%
	Maintain service levels	Count	57	275	90	31	453
		% within Q11_1.	12.6%	60.7%	19.9%	6.8%	100.0%
		% within Q4_1.	70.4%	62.2%	50.8%	50.0%	59.4%
	Decrease service levels	Count	9	21	10	3	43
		% within Q11_1.	20.9%	48.8%	23.3%	7.0%	100.0%
		% within Q4_1.	11.1%	4.8%	5.6%	4.8%	5.6%
Total	Count	81	442	177	62	762	
	% within Q11_1.	10.6%	58.0%	23.2%	8.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q4_1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q11_2 (Service Level) by Q4_2 (Satisfaction): Affordability / Free Programming

			Q4_2. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Affordability / free programming.				Total
			Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	
Q11_2. For the following Social Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Affordability / free programming.	Increase service levels	Count	21	189	94	33	337
		% within Q11_2.	6.2%	56.1%	27.9%	9.8%	100.0%
		% within Q4_2.	26.6%	36.5%	53.7%	50.8%	40.3%
	Maintain service levels	Count	49	297	73	25	444
		% within Q11_2.	11.0%	66.9%	16.4%	5.6%	100.0%
		% within Q4_2.	62.0%	57.3%	41.7%	38.5%	53.0%
	Decrease service levels	Count	9	32	8	7	56
		% within Q11_2.	16.1%	57.1%	14.3%	12.5%	100.0%
		% within Q4_2.	11.4%	6.2%	4.6%	10.8%	6.7%
Total	Count	79	518	175	65	837	
	% within Q11_2.	9.4%	61.9%	20.9%	7.8%	100.0%	
	% within Q4_2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q11_3 (Service Level) by Q4_9 (Satisfaction): Diversity Programming

			Q4_9. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Diversity and inclusiveness programs (example: language and culture programming).				Total
			Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	
Q11_3. For the following Social Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Diversity and inclusiveness programming.	Increase service levels	Count	12	111	95	32	250
		% within Q11_3.	4.8%	44.4%	38.0%	12.8%	100.0%
		% within Q4_9.	14.8%	27.5%	66.4%	58.2%	36.7%
	Maintain service levels	Count	46	248	40	15	349
		% within Q11_3.	13.2%	71.1%	11.5%	4.3%	100.0%
		% within Q4_9.	56.8%	61.5%	28.0%	27.3%	51.2%
	Decrease service levels	Count	23	44	8	8	83
		% within Q11_3.	27.7%	53.0%	9.6%	9.6%	100.0%
		% within Q4_9.	28.4%	10.9%	5.6%	14.5%	12.2%
Total	Count	81	403	143	55	682	
	% within Q11_3.	11.9%	59.1%	21.0%	8.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q4_9.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q7 (Service Level) by Q6_1 (Importance): Public Engagement

			Q6_1. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Governance and Engagement.				Total
			Very important	Important	Not important	Not at all important	
Q7. For the following Governance & Engagement service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Public engagement (consultations like this one).	Increase service levels	Count	158	143	15	3	319
		% within Q7.	49.5%	44.8%	4.7%	0.9%	100.0%
		% within Q6_1.	33.7%	27.3%	20.5%	25.0%	29.6%
	Maintain service levels	Count	284	351	46	3	684
		% within Q7.	41.5%	51.3%	6.7%	0.4%	100.0%
		% within Q6_1.	60.6%	67.0%	63.0%	25.0%	63.5%
	Decrease service levels	Count	27	30	12	6	75
		% within Q7.	36.0%	40.0%	16.0%	8.0%	100.0%
		% within Q6_1.	5.8%	5.7%	16.4%	50.0%	7.0%
Total	Count	469	524	73	12	1078	
	% within Q7.	43.5%	48.6%	6.8%	1.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q6_1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q8_1 (Service Level) by Q6_2 (Importance): Business Support Services

			Q6_2. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Economic Development.				Total
			Very important	Important	Not important	Not at all important	
Q8_1. For the following Economic Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Business support services.	Increase service levels	Count	128	55	8	2	193
		% within Q8_1.	66.3%	28.5%	4.1%	1.0%	100.0%
		% within Q6_2.	29.8%	10.4%	8.3%	8.7%	17.9%
	Maintain service levels	Count	282	409	58	7	756
		% within Q8_1.	37.3%	54.1%	7.7%	0.9%	100.0%
		% within Q6_2.	65.7%	77.2%	60.4%	30.4%	70.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	19	66	30	14	129
		% within Q8_1.	14.7%	51.2%	23.3%	10.9%	100.0%
		% within Q6_2.	4.4%	12.5%	31.3%	60.9%	12.0%
Total	Count	429	530	96	23	1078	
	% within Q8_1.	39.8%	49.2%	8.9%	2.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q6_2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q8_2 (Service Level) by Q6_2 (Importance): Community Planning

			Q6_2. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Economic Development.				Total
			Very important	Important	Not important	Not at all important	
Q8_2. For the following Economic Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Community planning / development approvals.	Increase service levels	Count	173	212	21	9	415
		% within Q8_2.	41.7%	51.1%	5.1%	2.2%	100.0%
		% within Q6_2.	40.3%	40.0%	21.9%	39.1%	38.5%
	Maintain service levels	Count	236	286	58	8	588
		% within Q8_2.	40.1%	48.6%	9.9%	1.4%	100.0%
		% within Q6_2.	55.0%	54.0%	60.4%	34.8%	54.5%
	Decrease service levels	Count	20	32	17	6	75
		% within Q8_2.	26.7%	42.7%	22.7%	8.0%	100.0%
		% within Q6_2.	4.7%	6.0%	17.7%	26.1%	7.0%
Total	Count	429	530	96	23	1078	
	% within Q8_2.	39.8%	49.2%	8.9%	2.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q6_2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q8_3 (Service Level) by Q6_2 (Importance): Economic Development

			Q6_2. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Economic Development.				Total
			Very important	Important	Not important	Not at all important	
Q8_3. For the following Economic Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Economic development.	Increase service levels	Count	247	118	1	3	369
		% within Q8_3.	66.9%	32.0%	0.3%	0.8%	100.0%
		% within Q6_2.	57.6%	22.3%	1.0%	13.0%	34.2%
	Maintain service levels	Count	176	372	52	5	605
		% within Q8_3.	29.1%	61.5%	8.6%	0.8%	100.0%
		% within Q6_2.	41.0%	70.2%	54.2%	21.7%	56.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	6	40	43	15	104
		% within Q8_3.	5.8%	38.5%	41.3%	14.4%	100.0%
		% within Q6_2.	1.4%	7.5%	44.8%	65.2%	9.6%
Total		Count	429	530	96	23	1078
		% within Q8_3.	39.8%	49.2%	8.9%	2.1%	100.0%
		% within Q6_2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q10_1 (Service Level) by Q6_3 (Importance): Arts and Cultural Programming

			Q6_3. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Healthy Liveable Communities.				Total
			Very important	Important	Not important	Not at all important	
Q10_1. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Arts and cultural facilities and program.	Increase service levels	Count	219	48	3	0	270
		% within Q10_1.	81.1%	17.8%	1.1%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	30.4%	15.6%	7.0%	0.0%	25.0%
	Maintain service levels	Count	415	164	14	1	594
		% within Q10_1.	69.9%	27.6%	2.4%	0.2%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	57.6%	53.2%	32.6%	14.3%	55.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	86	96	26	6	214
		% within Q10_1.	40.2%	44.9%	12.1%	2.8%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	11.9%	31.2%	60.5%	85.7%	19.9%
Total		Count	720	308	43	7	1078
		% within Q10_1.	66.8%	28.6%	4.0%	0.6%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q10_2 (Service Level) by Q6_3 (Importance): Cleanliness

			Q6_3. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Healthy Liveable Communities.				Total
			Very important	Important	Not important	Not at all important	
Q10_2. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Cleanliness (litter and graffiti removal).	Increase service levels	Count	233	78	6	1	318
		% within Q10_2.	73.3%	24.5%	1.9%	0.3%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	32.4%	25.3%	14.0%	14.3%	29.5%
	Maintain service levels	Count	411	171	23	4	609
		% within Q10_2.	67.5%	28.1%	3.8%	0.7%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	57.1%	55.5%	53.5%	57.1%	56.5%
	Decrease service levels	Count	76	59	14	2	151
		% within Q10_2.	50.3%	39.1%	9.3%	1.3%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	10.6%	19.2%	32.6%	28.6%	14.0%
Total		Count	720	308	43	7	1078
		% within Q10_2.	66.8%	28.6%	4.0%	0.6%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q10_3 (Service Level) by Q6_3 (Importance): Community Beautification

			Q6_3. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Healthy Liveable Communities.				Total
			Very important	Important	Not important	Not at all important	
Q10_3. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Community beautification.	Increase service levels	Count	265	92	9	2	368
		% within Q10_3.	72.0%	25.0%	2.4%	0.5%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	36.9%	29.9%	20.9%	28.6%	34.2%
	Maintain service levels	Count	445	210	31	4	690
		% within Q10_3.	64.5%	30.4%	4.5%	0.6%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	61.9%	68.2%	72.1%	57.1%	64.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	9	6	3	1	19
		% within Q10_3.	47.4%	31.6%	15.8%	5.3%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	1.3%	1.9%	7.0%	14.3%	1.8%
Total	Count	719	308	43	7	1077	
	% within Q10_3.	66.8%	28.6%	4.0%	0.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q6_3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_4 (Service Level) by Q6_3 (Importance): Emergency Preparedness

			Q6_3. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Healthy Liveable Communities.				Total
			Very important	Important	Not important	Not at all important	
Q10_4. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Emergency preparedness.	Increase service levels	Count	479	94	6	0	579
		% within Q10_4.	82.7%	16.2%	1.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	66.5%	30.5%	14.0%	0.0%	53.7%
	Maintain service levels	Count	231	190	26	3	450
		% within Q10_4.	51.3%	42.2%	5.8%	0.7%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	32.1%	61.7%	60.5%	42.9%	41.7%
	Decrease service levels	Count	10	24	11	4	49
		% within Q10_4.	20.4%	49.0%	22.4%	8.2%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	1.4%	7.8%	25.6%	57.1%	4.5%
Total	Count	720	308	43	7	1078	
	% within Q10_4.	66.8%	28.6%	4.0%	0.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q6_3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_5 (Service Level) by Q6_3 (Importance): Environmental Protection and Sustainability

			Q6_3. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Healthy Liveable Communities.				Total
			Very important	Important	Not important	Not at all important	
Q10_5. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Environmental protection and sustainability.	Increase service levels	Count	96	42	5	2	145
		% within Q10_5.	66.2%	29.0%	3.4%	1.4%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	13.4%	13.6%	11.6%	28.6%	13.5%
	Maintain service levels	Count	606	252	34	4	896
		% within Q10_5.	67.6%	28.1%	3.8%	0.4%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	84.3%	81.8%	79.1%	57.1%	83.2%
	Decrease service levels	Count	17	14	4	1	36
		% within Q10_5.	47.2%	38.9%	11.1%	2.8%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	2.4%	4.5%	9.3%	14.3%	3.3%
Total	Count	719	308	43	7	1077	
	% within Q10_5.	66.8%	28.6%	4.0%	0.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q6_3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_6 (Service Level) by Q6_3 (Importance): Fire Services

			Q6_3. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Healthy Liveable Communities.				Total
			Very important	Important	Not important	Not at all important	
Q10_6. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Fire services.	Increase service levels	Count	125	44	5	3	177
		% within Q10_6.	70.6%	24.9%	2.8%	1.7%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	17.4%	14.3%	11.6%	42.9%	16.4%
	Maintain service levels	Count	583	257	33	4	877
		% within Q10_6.	66.5%	29.3%	3.8%	0.5%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	81.0%	83.4%	76.7%	57.1%	81.4%
	Decrease service levels	Count	12	7	5	0	24
		% within Q10_6.	50.0%	29.2%	20.8%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	1.7%	2.3%	11.6%	0.0%	2.2%
Total	Count	720	308	43	7	1078	
	% within Q10_6.	66.8%	28.6%	4.0%	0.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q6_3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_7 (Service Level) by Q6_3 (Importance): Garbage, Recycling, and Organics Collection

			Q6_3. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Healthy Liveable Communities.				Total
			Very important	Important	Not important	Not at all important	
Q10_7. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Garbage, recycling, and organics collection.	Increase service levels	Count	131	27	3	0	161
		% within Q10_7.	81.4%	16.8%	1.9%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	18.2%	8.8%	7.0%	0.0%	14.9%
	Maintain service levels	Count	554	237	25	3	819
		% within Q10_7.	67.6%	28.9%	3.1%	0.4%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	76.9%	76.9%	58.1%	42.9%	76.0%
	Decrease service levels	Count	35	44	15	4	98
		% within Q10_7.	35.7%	44.9%	15.3%	4.1%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	4.9%	14.3%	34.9%	57.1%	9.1%
Total	Count	720	308	43	7	1078	
	% within Q10_7.	66.8%	28.6%	4.0%	0.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q6_3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_8 (Service Level) by Q6_3 (Importance): Halifax Public Libraries

			Q6_3. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Healthy Liveable Communities.				Total
			Very important	Important	Not important	Not at all important	
Q10_8. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Halifax Public Libraries.	Increase service levels	Count	219	91	15	1	326
		% within Q10_8.	67.2%	27.9%	4.6%	0.3%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	30.5%	29.5%	34.9%	14.3%	30.3%
	Maintain service levels	Count	477	209	24	6	716
		% within Q10_8.	66.6%	29.2%	3.4%	0.8%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	66.3%	67.9%	55.8%	85.7%	66.5%
	Decrease service levels	Count	23	8	4	0	35
		% within Q10_8.	65.7%	22.9%	11.4%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	3.2%	2.6%	9.3%	0.0%	3.2%
Total	Count	719	308	43	7	1077	
	% within Q10_8.	66.8%	28.6%	4.0%	0.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q6_3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_9 (Service Level) by Q6_3 (Importance): Overall City Maintenance

			Q6_3. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Healthy Liveable Communities.				Total
			Very important	Important	Not important	Not at all important	
Q10_9. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Overall city maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	308	78	5	0	391
		% within Q10_9.	78.8%	19.9%	1.3%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	42.8%	25.3%	11.6%	0.0%	36.3%
	Maintain service levels	Count	389	214	29	7	639
		% within Q10_9.	60.9%	33.5%	4.5%	1.1%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	54.0%	69.5%	67.4%	100.0%	59.3%
	Decrease service levels	Count	23	16	9	0	48
		% within Q10_9.	47.9%	33.3%	18.8%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	3.2%	5.2%	20.9%	0.0%	4.5%
Total	Count	720	308	43	7	1078	
	% within Q10_9.	66.8%	28.6%	4.0%	0.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q6_3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_10 (Service Level) by Q6_3 (Importance): Parks, Playgrounds, and Green Spaces

			Q6_3. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Healthy Liveable Communities.				Total
			Very important	Important	Not important	Not at all important	
Q10_10. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Parks, playgrounds, and green spaces.	Increase service levels	Count	186	73	10	2	271
		% within Q10_10.	68.6%	26.9%	3.7%	0.7%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	25.8%	23.7%	23.3%	28.6%	25.1%
	Maintain service levels	Count	524	231	30	3	788
		% within Q10_10.	66.5%	29.3%	3.8%	0.4%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	72.8%	75.0%	69.8%	42.9%	73.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	10	4	3	2	19
		% within Q10_10.	52.6%	21.1%	15.8%	10.5%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	1.4%	1.3%	7.0%	28.6%	1.8%
Total	Count	720	308	43	7	1078	
	% within Q10_10.	66.8%	28.6%	4.0%	0.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q6_3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_11 (Service Level) by Q6_3 (Importance): Police Services

			Q6_3. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Healthy Liveable Communities.				Total
			Very important	Important	Not important	Not at all important	
Q10_11. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Police services.	Increase service levels	Count	117	57	7	3	184
		% within Q10_11.	63.6%	31.0%	3.8%	1.6%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	16.3%	18.5%	16.3%	42.9%	17.1%
	Maintain service levels	Count	534	222	30	4	790
		% within Q10_11.	67.6%	28.1%	3.8%	0.5%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	74.2%	72.1%	69.8%	57.1%	73.3%
	Decrease service levels	Count	69	29	6	0	104
		% within Q10_11.	66.3%	27.9%	5.8%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	9.6%	9.4%	14.0%	0.0%	9.6%
Total	Count	720	308	43	7	1078	
	% within Q10_11.	66.8%	28.6%	4.0%	0.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q6_3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_12 (Service Level) by Q6_3 (Importance): Indoor Recreation Facilities

			Q6_3. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Healthy Liveable Communities.				Total
			Very important	Important	Not important	Not at all important	
Q10_12. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Indoor recreation facilities.	Increase service levels	Count	200	55	4	1	260
		% within Q10_12.	76.9%	21.2%	1.5%	0.4%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	27.8%	17.9%	9.3%	14.3%	24.1%
	Maintain service levels	Count	497	225	23	5	750
		% within Q10_12.	66.3%	30.0%	3.1%	0.7%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	69.0%	73.1%	53.5%	71.4%	69.6%
	Decrease service levels	Count	23	28	16	1	68
		% within Q10_12.	33.8%	41.2%	23.5%	1.5%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	3.2%	9.1%	37.2%	14.3%	6.3%
Total	Count	720	308	43	7	1078	
	% within Q10_12.	66.8%	28.6%	4.0%	0.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q6_3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_13 (Service Level) by Q6_3 (Importance): Outdoor Recreation Facilities

			Q6_3. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Healthy Liveable Communities.				Total
			Very important	Important	Not important	Not at all important	
Q10_13. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Outdoor recreation facilities.	Increase service levels	Count	215	52	5	1	273
		% within Q10_13.	78.8%	19.0%	1.8%	0.4%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	29.9%	16.9%	11.6%	14.3%	25.3%
	Maintain service levels	Count	476	228	25	5	734
		% within Q10_13.	64.9%	31.1%	3.4%	0.7%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	66.1%	74.0%	58.1%	71.4%	68.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	29	28	13	1	71
		% within Q10_13.	40.8%	39.4%	18.3%	1.4%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	4.0%	9.1%	30.2%	14.3%	6.6%
Total	Count	720	308	43	7	1078	
	% within Q10_13.	66.8%	28.6%	4.0%	0.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q6_3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_14 (Service Level) by Q6_3 (Importance): Recreation Programming

			Q6_3. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Healthy Liveable Communities.				Total
			Very important	Important	Not important	Not at all important	
Q10_14. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Recreation programming.	Increase service levels	Count	213	49	4	2	268
		% within Q10_14.	79.5%	18.3%	1.5%	0.7%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	29.6%	15.9%	9.3%	28.6%	24.9%
	Maintain service levels	Count	477	222	23	4	726
		% within Q10_14.	65.7%	30.6%	3.2%	0.6%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	66.3%	72.1%	53.5%	57.1%	67.3%
	Decrease service levels	Count	30	37	16	1	84
		% within Q10_14.	35.7%	44.0%	19.0%	1.2%	100.0%
		% within Q6_3.	4.2%	12.0%	37.2%	14.3%	7.8%
Total	Count	720	308	43	7	1078	
	% within Q10_14.	66.8%	28.6%	4.0%	0.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q6_3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_1 (Service Level) by Q6_4 (Importance): Bike Lanes / Cycling Facilities

			Q6_4. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Transportation.				Total
			Very important	Important	Not important	Not at all important	
Q9_1. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Bike lanes / cycling facilities.	Increase service levels	Count	330	124	8	0	462
		% within Q9_1.	71.4%	26.8%	1.7%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Q6_4.	50.8%	32.0%	22.2%	0.0%	42.9%
	Maintain service levels	Count	170	125	9	1	305
		% within Q9_1.	55.7%	41.0%	3.0%	0.3%	100.0%
		% within Q6_4.	26.2%	32.3%	25.0%	20.0%	28.3%
	Decrease service levels	Count	150	138	19	4	311
		% within Q9_1.	48.2%	44.4%	6.1%	1.3%	100.0%
		% within Q6_4.	23.1%	35.7%	52.8%	80.0%	28.8%
Total	Count	650	387	36	5	1078	
	% within Q9_1.	60.3%	35.9%	3.3%	0.5%	100.0%	
	% within Q6_4.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_2 (Service Level) by Q6_4 (Importance): Parking Enforcement

			Q6_4. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Transportation.				Total
			Very important	Important	Not important	Not at all important	
Q9_2. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Parking enforcement.	Increase service levels	Count	132	60	1	1	194
		% within Q9_2.	68.0%	30.9%	0.5%	0.5%	100.0%
		% within Q6_4.	20.3%	15.5%	2.8%	20.0%	18.0%
	Maintain service levels	Count	384	237	27	3	651
		% within Q9_2.	59.0%	36.4%	4.1%	0.5%	100.0%
		% within Q6_4.	59.1%	61.2%	75.0%	60.0%	60.4%
	Decrease service levels	Count	134	90	8	1	233
		% within Q9_2.	57.5%	38.6%	3.4%	0.4%	100.0%
		% within Q6_4.	20.6%	23.3%	22.2%	20.0%	21.6%
Total	Count	650	387	36	5	1078	
	% within Q9_2.	60.3%	35.9%	3.3%	0.5%	100.0%	
	% within Q6_4.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_3 (Service Level) by Q6_4 (Importance): Public Transit - Conventional Bus & Ferry

			Q6_4. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Transportation.				Total
			Very important	Important	Not important	Not at all important	
Q9_3. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Public transit - conventional bus / ferry.	Increase service levels	Count	456	158	8	0	622
		% within Q9_3.	73.3%	25.4%	1.3%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Q6_4.	70.2%	40.8%	22.2%	0.0%	57.7%
	Maintain service levels	Count	184	218	21	4	427
		% within Q9_3.	43.1%	51.1%	4.9%	0.9%	100.0%
		% within Q6_4.	28.3%	56.3%	58.3%	80.0%	39.6%
	Decrease service levels	Count	10	11	7	1	29
		% within Q9_3.	34.5%	37.9%	24.1%	3.4%	100.0%
		% within Q6_4.	1.5%	2.8%	19.4%	20.0%	2.7%
Total	Count	650	387	36	5	1078	
	% within Q9_3.	60.3%	35.9%	3.3%	0.5%	100.0%	
	% within Q6_4.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_4 (Service Level) by Q6_4 (Importance): Public Transit - Access-A-Bus

			Q6_4. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Transportation.				Total
			Very important	Important	Not important	Not at all important	
Q9_4. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Public transit - Access-A-Bus.	Increase service levels	Count	261	99	4	0	364
		% within Q9_4.	71.7%	27.2%	1.1%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Q6_4.	40.2%	25.6%	11.1%	0.0%	33.8%
	Maintain service levels	Count	365	270	30	2	667
		% within Q9_4.	54.7%	40.5%	4.5%	0.3%	100.0%
		% within Q6_4.	56.2%	69.8%	83.3%	40.0%	61.9%
Decrease service levels	Count	24	18	2	3	47	
	% within Q9_4.	51.1%	38.3%	4.3%	6.4%	100.0%	
	% within Q6_4.	3.7%	4.7%	5.6%	60.0%	4.4%	
Total	Count	650	387	36	5	1078	
	% within Q9_4.	60.3%	35.9%	3.3%	0.5%	100.0%	
	% within Q6_4.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_5 (Service Level) by Q6_4 (Importance): Overall Transit Service

			Q6_4. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Transportation.				Total
			Very important	Important	Not important	Not at all important	
Q9_5. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Overall transit service.	Increase service levels	Count	437	151	6	0	594
		% within Q9_5.	73.6%	25.4%	1.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Q6_4.	67.2%	39.0%	16.7%	0.0%	55.1%
	Maintain service levels	Count	206	223	23	3	455
		% within Q9_5.	45.3%	49.0%	5.1%	0.7%	100.0%
		% within Q6_4.	31.7%	57.6%	63.9%	60.0%	42.2%
Decrease service levels	Count	7	13	7	2	29	
	% within Q9_5.	24.1%	44.8%	24.1%	6.9%	100.0%	
	% within Q6_4.	1.1%	3.4%	19.4%	40.0%	2.7%	
Total	Count	650	387	36	5	1078	
	% within Q9_5.	60.3%	35.9%	3.3%	0.5%	100.0%	
	% within Q6_4.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_6 (Service Level) by Q6_4 (Importance): Sidewalk Maintenance

			Q6_4. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Transportation.				Total
			Very important	Important	Not important	Not at all important	
Q9_6. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Sidewalk maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	272	133	10	1	416
		% within Q9_6.	65.4%	32.0%	2.4%	0.2%	100.0%
		% within Q6_4.	41.8%	34.4%	27.8%	20.0%	38.6%
	Maintain service levels	Count	355	238	23	4	620
		% within Q9_6.	57.3%	38.4%	3.7%	0.6%	100.0%
		% within Q6_4.	54.6%	61.5%	63.9%	80.0%	57.5%
Decrease service levels	Count	23	16	3	0	42	
	% within Q9_6.	54.8%	38.1%	7.1%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q6_4.	3.5%	4.1%	8.3%	0.0%	3.9%	
Total	Count	650	387	36	5	1078	
	% within Q9_6.	60.3%	35.9%	3.3%	0.5%	100.0%	
	% within Q6_4.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_7 (Service Level) by Q6_4 (Importance): Street / Road Maintenance

			Q6_4. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Transportation.				Total
			Very important	Important	Not important	Not at all important	
Q9_7. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Street / road maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	368	231	20	1	620
		% within Q9_7.	59.4%	37.3%	3.2%	0.2%	100.0%
		% within Q6_4.	56.6%	59.7%	55.6%	20.0%	57.5%
	Maintain service levels	Count	263	150	15	4	432
		% within Q9_7.	60.9%	34.7%	3.5%	0.9%	100.0%
		% within Q6_4.	40.5%	38.8%	41.7%	80.0%	40.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	19	6	1	0	26
		% within Q9_7.	73.1%	23.1%	3.8%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Q6_4.	2.9%	1.6%	2.8%	0.0%	2.4%
Total	Count	650	387	36	5	1078	
	% within Q9_7.	60.3%	35.9%	3.3%	0.5%	100.0%	
	% within Q6_4.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_8 (Service Level) by Q6_4 (Importance): Traffic Management

			Q6_4. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Transportation.				Total
			Very important	Important	Not important	Not at all important	
Q9_8. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Traffic management.	Increase service levels	Count	238	115	12	0	365
		% within Q9_8.	65.2%	31.5%	3.3%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Q6_4.	36.6%	29.7%	33.3%	0.0%	33.9%
	Maintain service levels	Count	381	255	19	4	659
		% within Q9_8.	57.8%	38.7%	2.9%	0.6%	100.0%
		% within Q6_4.	58.6%	65.9%	52.8%	80.0%	61.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	31	17	5	1	54
		% within Q9_8.	57.4%	31.5%	9.3%	1.9%	100.0%
		% within Q6_4.	4.8%	4.4%	13.9%	20.0%	5.0%
Total	Count	650	387	36	5	1078	
	% within Q9_8.	60.3%	35.9%	3.3%	0.5%	100.0%	
	% within Q6_4.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_9 (Service Level) by Q6_4 (Importance): Traffic / Pedestrian Safety

			Q6_4. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Transportation.				Total
			Very important	Important	Not important	Not at all important	
Q9_9. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Traffic / pedestrian safety.	Increase service levels	Count	351	130	8	0	489
		% within Q9_9.	71.8%	26.6%	1.6%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Q6_4.	54.0%	33.6%	22.2%	0.0%	45.4%
	Maintain service levels	Count	275	238	25	4	542
		% within Q9_9.	50.7%	43.9%	4.6%	0.7%	100.0%
		% within Q6_4.	42.3%	61.5%	69.4%	80.0%	50.3%
	Decrease service levels	Count	24	19	3	1	47
		% within Q9_9.	51.1%	40.4%	6.4%	2.1%	100.0%
		% within Q6_4.	3.7%	4.9%	8.3%	20.0%	4.4%
Total	Count	650	387	36	5	1078	
	% within Q9_9.	60.3%	35.9%	3.3%	0.5%	100.0%	
	% within Q6_4.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_10 (Service Level) by Q6_4 (Importance): Winter Maintenance

			Q6_4. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Transportation.				Total
			Very important	Important	Not important	Not at all important	
Q9_10. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Winter maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	351	179	14	1	545
		% within Q9_10.	64.4%	32.8%	2.6%	0.2%	100.0%
		% within Q6_4.	54.0%	46.3%	38.9%	20.0%	50.6%
	Maintain service levels	Count	286	202	21	4	513
		% within Q9_10.	55.8%	39.4%	4.1%	0.8%	100.0%
		% within Q6_4.	44.0%	52.2%	58.3%	80.0%	47.6%
	Decrease service levels	Count	13	6	1	0	20
		% within Q9_10.	65.0%	30.0%	5.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Q6_4.	2.0%	1.6%	2.8%	0.0%	1.9%
Total		Count	650	387	36	5	1078
		% within Q9_10.	60.3%	35.9%	3.3%	0.5%	100.0%
		% within Q6_4.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q11_1 (Service Level) by Q6_6 (Importance): Accessibility Programming

			Q6_6. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Social Development.				Total
			Very important	Important	Not important	Not at all important	
Q11_1. For the following Social Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Accessibility programming.	Increase service levels	Count	213	110	10	3	336
		% within Q11_1.	63.4%	32.7%	3.0%	0.9%	100.0%
		% within Q6_6.	53.4%	22.9%	6.9%	5.7%	31.2%
	Maintain service levels	Count	185	351	112	25	673
		% within Q11_1.	27.5%	52.2%	16.6%	3.7%	100.0%
		% within Q6_6.	46.4%	73.0%	77.2%	47.2%	62.4%
	Decrease service levels	Count	1	20	23	25	69
		% within Q11_1.	1.4%	29.0%	33.3%	36.2%	100.0%
		% within Q6_6.	0.3%	4.2%	15.9%	47.2%	6.4%
Total		Count	399	481	145	53	1078
		% within Q11_1.	37.0%	44.6%	13.5%	4.9%	100.0%
		% within Q6_6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q11_2 (Service Level) by Q6_6 (Importance): Affordability / Free Programming

			Q6_6. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Social Development.				Total
			Very important	Important	Not important	Not at all important	
Q11_2. For the following Social Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Affordability / free programming.	Increase service levels	Count	234	162	11	5	412
		% within Q11_2.	56.8%	39.3%	2.7%	1.2%	100.0%
		% within Q6_6.	58.6%	33.7%	7.6%	9.4%	38.2%
	Maintain service levels	Count	160	298	96	18	572
		% within Q11_2.	28.0%	52.1%	16.8%	3.1%	100.0%
		% within Q6_6.	40.1%	62.0%	66.2%	34.0%	53.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	5	21	38	30	94
		% within Q11_2.	5.3%	22.3%	40.4%	31.9%	100.0%
		% within Q6_6.	1.3%	4.4%	26.2%	56.6%	8.7%
Total		Count	399	481	145	53	1078
		% within Q11_2.	37.0%	44.6%	13.5%	4.9%	100.0%
		% within Q6_6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q11_3 (Service Level) by Q6_6 (Importance): Diversity Programming

			Q6_6. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Social Development.				Total	
			Very important	Important	Not important	Not at all important		
Q11_3. For the following Social Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Diversity and inclusiveness programming.	Increase service levels	Count	219	109	6	1	335	
		% within Q11_3.	65.4%	32.5%	1.8%	0.3%	100.0%	
		Maintain service levels	% within Q6_6.	54.9%	22.7%	4.1%	1.9%	31.1%
			Count	173	332	73	10	588
			% within Q11_3.	29.4%	56.5%	12.4%	1.7%	100.0%
			% within Q6_6.	43.4%	69.0%	50.3%	18.9%	54.5%
		Decrease service levels	Count	7	40	66	42	155
			% within Q11_3.	4.5%	25.8%	42.6%	27.1%	100.0%
			% within Q6_6.	1.8%	8.3%	45.5%	79.2%	14.4%
			Count	399	481	145	53	1078
Total		% within Q11_3.	37.0%	44.6%	13.5%	4.9%	100.0%	
		% within Q6_6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q1 (FSA) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

		D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total	
		Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No		
Q1. Select the first three digits of your postal code.	B3H	Count	4	3	53	60
		% within Q1.	6.7%	5.0%	88.3%	100.0%
		% within D6.	5.6%	9.7%	5.8%	5.9%
	B3J	Count	2	1	40	43
		% within Q1.	4.7%	2.3%	93.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	2.8%	3.2%	4.4%	4.2%
	B4C	Count	4	0	32	36
		% within Q1.	11.1%	0.0%	88.9%	100.0%
		% within D6.	5.6%	0.0%	3.5%	3.6%
	B2Y	Count	1	1	48	50
		% within Q1.	2.0%	2.0%	96.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	1.4%	3.2%	5.3%	4.9%
	B2V	Count	3	0	29	32
		% within Q1.	9.4%	0.0%	90.6%	100.0%
		% within D6.	4.2%	0.0%	3.2%	3.2%
	B2W	Count	6	6	69	81
		% within Q1.	7.4%	7.4%	85.2%	100.0%
		% within D6.	8.3%	19.4%	7.6%	8.0%
	B2X	Count	4	1	26	31
		% within Q1.	12.9%	3.2%	83.9%	100.0%
		% within D6.	5.6%	3.2%	2.9%	3.1%
	B2Z	Count	2	1	11	14
		% within Q1.	14.3%	7.1%	78.6%	100.0%
		% within D6.	2.8%	3.2%	1.2%	1.4%
	B3A	Count	4	2	67	73
		% within Q1.	5.5%	2.7%	91.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	5.6%	6.5%	7.4%	7.2%
	B3B	Count	0	0	3	3
		% within Q1.	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%
	B3E	Count	1	3	10	14
		% within Q1.	7.1%	21.4%	71.4%	100.0%
		% within D6.	1.4%	9.7%	1.1%	1.4%
	B3G	Count	3	0	18	21
		% within Q1.	14.3%	0.0%	85.7%	100.0%
		% within D6.	4.2%	0.0%	2.0%	2.1%
	B3K	Count	6	3	79	88
		% within Q1.	6.8%	3.4%	89.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	8.3%	9.7%	8.7%	8.7%
	B3L	Count	2	2	49	53
		% within Q1.	3.8%	3.8%	92.5%	100.0%
		% within D6.	2.8%	6.5%	5.4%	5.2%
	B3M	Count	5	0	56	61
		% within Q1.	8.2%	0.0%	91.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	6.9%	0.0%	6.2%	6.0%
	B3N	Count	2	4	32	38
		% within Q1.	5.3%	10.5%	84.2%	100.0%
		% within D6.	2.8%	12.9%	3.5%	3.8%
	B3P	Count	1	1	18	20
		% within Q1.	5.0%	5.0%	90.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	1.4%	3.2%	2.0%	2.0%

B3R	Count	0	0	12	12
	% within Q1.	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within D6.	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	1.2%
B3S	Count	1	1	21	23
	% within Q1.	4.3%	4.3%	91.3%	100.0%
	% within D6.	1.4%	3.2%	2.3%	2.3%
B3T	Count	1	1	56	58
	% within Q1.	1.7%	1.7%	96.6%	100.0%
	% within D6.	1.4%	3.2%	6.2%	5.7%
B3V	Count	0	0	8	8
	% within Q1.	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within D6.	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.8%
B3Z	Count	4	0	32	36
	% within Q1.	11.1%	0.0%	88.9%	100.0%
	% within D6.	5.6%	0.0%	3.5%	3.6%
B4A	Count	2	0	33	35
	% within Q1.	5.7%	0.0%	94.3%	100.0%
	% within D6.	2.8%	0.0%	3.6%	3.5%
B4B	Count	1	0	27	28
	% within Q1.	3.6%	0.0%	96.4%	100.0%
	% within D6.	1.4%	0.0%	3.0%	2.8%
B4E	Count	3	1	19	23
	% within Q1.	13.0%	4.3%	82.6%	100.0%
	% within D6.	4.2%	3.2%	2.1%	2.3%
B4G	Count	0	0	8	8
	% within Q1.	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within D6.	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.8%
B0J	Count	9	0	44	53
	% within Q1.	17.0%	0.0%	83.0%	100.0%
	% within D6.	12.5%	0.0%	4.8%	5.2%
B0N	Count	1	0	9	10
	% within Q1.	10.0%	0.0%	90.0%	100.0%
	% within D6.	1.4%	0.0%	1.0%	1.0%
B2T	Count	0	0	1	1
	% within Q1.	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within D6.	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%
Total	Count	72	31	910	1013
	% within Q1.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q2 (Value of Property Tax) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q2. Thinking about all the programs and services you receive from the municipality, please indicate the degree to which you believe you receive good or poor value for the level of property taxes that you currently pay?	Very good value	Count	3	5	42	50
		% within Q2.	6.0%	10.0%	84.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	4.3%	16.7%	4.8%	5.1%
	Good value	Count	27	11	460	498
		% within Q2.	5.4%	2.2%	92.4%	100.0%
		% within D6.	39.1%	36.7%	52.6%	51.1%
	Poor value	Count	22	7	207	236
		% within Q2.	9.3%	3.0%	87.7%	100.0%
		% within D6.	31.9%	23.3%	23.7%	24.2%
	Very poor value	Count	10	4	48	62
		% within Q2.	16.1%	6.5%	77.4%	100.0%
		% within D6.	14.5%	13.3%	5.5%	6.4%
	I do not pay property tax	Count	7	3	118	128
		% within Q2.	5.5%	2.3%	92.2%	100.0%
		% within D6.	10.1%	10.0%	13.5%	13.1%
Total	Count	69	30	875	974	
	% within Q2.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q3 (Taxes and Fees) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:	Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Count	19	8	165	192
		% within Q3.	9.9%	4.2%	85.9%	100.0%
		% within D6.	26.4%	25.8%	18.2%	19.0%
	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Count	35	13	418	466
		% within Q3.	7.5%	2.8%	89.7%	100.0%
		% within D6.	48.6%	41.9%	46.0%	46.1%
	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	Count	18	10	325	353
		% within Q3.	5.1%	2.8%	92.1%	100.0%
		% within D6.	25.0%	32.3%	35.8%	34.9%
Total	Count	72	31	908	1011	
	% within Q3.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_1 (Satisfaction of Accessibility Programming) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q4_1. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Accessibility programming (example: physical / intellectual access to facilities, services, programs).	Very satisfied	Count	5	2	69	76
		% within Q4_1.	6.6%	2.6%	90.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	8.2%	10.0%	10.8%	10.6%
	Satisfied	Count	35	12	372	419
		% within Q4_1.	8.4%	2.9%	88.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	57.4%	60.0%	58.5%	58.4%
	Dissatisfied	Count	10	4	152	166
		% within Q4_1.	6.0%	2.4%	91.6%	100.0%
		% within D6.	16.4%	20.0%	23.9%	23.2%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	11	2	43	56
		% within Q4_1.	19.6%	3.6%	76.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	18.0%	10.0%	6.8%	7.8%
Total	Count	61	20	636	717	
	% within Q4_1.	8.5%	2.8%	88.7%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_2 (Satisfaction of Affordability / Free Programming) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q4_2. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Affordability / free programming.	Very satisfied	Count	6	2	67	75
		% within Q4_2.	8.0%	2.7%	89.3%	100.0%
		% within D6.	10.3%	8.7%	9.4%	9.5%
	Satisfied	Count	32	13	445	490
		% within Q4_2.	6.5%	2.7%	90.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	55.2%	56.5%	62.8%	62.0%
	Dissatisfied	Count	11	7	148	166
		% within Q4_2.	6.6%	4.2%	89.2%	100.0%
		% within D6.	19.0%	30.4%	20.9%	21.0%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	9	1	49	59
		% within Q4_2.	15.3%	1.7%	83.1%	100.0%
		% within D6.	15.5%	4.3%	6.9%	7.5%
Total	Count	58	23	709	790	
	% within Q4_2.	7.3%	2.9%	89.7%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_3 (Satisfaction of Arts and Cultural Programming) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q4_3. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Arts and cultural facilities and programs.	Very satisfied	Count	7	1	46	54
		% within Q4_3.	13.0%	1.9%	85.2%	100.0%
		% within D6.	13.5%	6.3%	10.4%	10.5%
	Satisfied	Count	26	10	250	286
		% within Q4_3.	9.1%	3.5%	87.4%	100.0%
		% within D6.	50.0%	62.5%	56.3%	55.9%
	Dissatisfied	Count	13	2	118	133
		% within Q4_3.	9.8%	1.5%	88.7%	100.0%
		% within D6.	25.0%	12.5%	26.6%	26.0%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	6	3	30	39
		% within Q4_3.	15.4%	7.7%	76.9%	100.0%
		% within D6.	11.5%	18.8%	6.8%	7.6%
Total	Count	52	16	444	512	
	% within Q4_3.	10.2%	3.1%	86.7%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_4 (Satisfaction of Bike Lanes / Cycling Facilities) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q4_4. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Bike lanes / cycling facilities.	Very satisfied	Count	6	3	69	78
		% within Q4_4.	7.7%	3.8%	88.5%	100.0%
		% within D6.	9.7%	13.0%	9.8%	9.9%
	Satisfied	Count	21	4	204	229
		% within Q4_4.	9.2%	1.7%	89.1%	100.0%
		% within D6.	33.9%	17.4%	28.9%	29.0%
	Dissatisfied	Count	17	10	225	252
		% within Q4_4.	6.7%	4.0%	89.3%	100.0%
		% within D6.	27.4%	43.5%	31.9%	31.9%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	18	6	207	231
		% within Q4_4.	7.8%	2.6%	89.6%	100.0%
		% within D6.	29.0%	26.1%	29.4%	29.2%
Total	Count	62	23	705	790	
	% within Q4_4.	7.8%	2.9%	89.2%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_5 (Satisfaction of Business Support Services) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q4_5. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Business support services (example: permits).	Very satisfied	Count	2	0	22	24
		% within Q4_5.	8.3%	0.0%	91.7%	100.0%
		% within D6.	4.3%	0.0%	5.1%	4.9%
	Satisfied	Count	23	6	247	276
		% within Q4_5.	8.3%	2.2%	89.5%	100.0%
		% within D6.	50.0%	50.0%	57.3%	56.4%
	Dissatisfied	Count	14	4	118	136
		% within Q4_5.	10.3%	2.9%	86.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	30.4%	33.3%	27.4%	27.8%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	7	2	44	53
		% within Q4_5.	13.2%	3.8%	83.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	15.2%	16.7%	10.2%	10.8%
Total	Count	46	12	431	489	
	% within Q4_5.	9.4%	2.5%	88.1%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_6 (Satisfaction of Cleanliness) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q4_6. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Cleanliness (example: litter & graffiti removal).	Very satisfied	Count	8	2	69	79
		% within Q4_6.	10.1%	2.5%	87.3%	100.0%
		% within D6.	11.6%	7.1%	7.8%	8.1%
	Satisfied	Count	40	16	463	519
		% within Q4_6.	7.7%	3.1%	89.2%	100.0%
		% within D6.	58.0%	57.1%	52.4%	53.0%
	Dissatisfied	Count	16	7	242	265
		% within Q4_6.	6.0%	2.6%	91.3%	100.0%
		% within D6.	23.2%	25.0%	27.4%	27.0%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	5	3	109	117
		% within Q4_6.	4.3%	2.6%	93.2%	100.0%
		% within D6.	7.2%	10.7%	12.3%	11.9%
Total	Count	69	28	883	980	
	% within Q4_6.	7.0%	2.9%	90.1%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_7 (Satisfaction of Community Planning) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q4_7. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Community planning / land use planning and approvals.	Very satisfied	Count	0	0	22	22
		% within Q4_7.	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	2.7%
	Satisfied	Count	22	12	243	277
		% within Q4_7.	7.9%	4.3%	87.7%	100.0%
		% within D6.	34.9%	44.4%	33.0%	33.5%
	Dissatisfied	Count	18	8	274	300
		% within Q4_7.	6.0%	2.7%	91.3%	100.0%
		% within D6.	28.6%	29.6%	37.2%	36.3%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	23	7	198	228
		% within Q4_7.	10.1%	3.1%	86.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	36.5%	25.9%	26.9%	27.6%
Total	Count	63	27	737	827	
	% within Q4_7.	7.6%	3.3%	89.1%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_8 (Satisfaction of Community Standards) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q4_8. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Community standards (example: by-law enforcement).	Very satisfied	Count	2	1	30	33
		% within Q4_8.	6.1%	3.0%	90.9%	100.0%
		% within D6.	3.1%	3.8%	3.9%	3.9%
	Satisfied	Count	38	23	389	450
		% within Q4_8.	8.4%	5.1%	86.4%	100.0%
		% within D6.	58.5%	88.5%	50.9%	52.6%
	Dissatisfied	Count	14	0	222	236
		% within Q4_8.	5.9%	0.0%	94.1%	100.0%
		% within D6.	21.5%	0.0%	29.1%	27.6%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	11	2	123	136
		% within Q4_8.	8.1%	1.5%	90.4%	100.0%
		% within D6.	16.9%	7.7%	16.1%	15.9%
Total	Count	65	26	764	855	
	% within Q4_8.	7.6%	3.0%	89.4%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_9 (Satisfaction of Diversity Programming) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q4_9. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Diversity and inclusiveness programs (example: language and culture programming).	Very satisfied	Count	5	1	66	72
		% within Q4_9.	6.9%	1.4%	91.7%	100.0%
		% within D6.	9.4%	3.8%	11.7%	11.2%
	Satisfied	Count	30	17	338	385
		% within Q4_9.	7.8%	4.4%	87.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	56.6%	65.4%	60.1%	60.1%
	Dissatisfied	Count	11	4	123	138
		% within Q4_9.	8.0%	2.9%	89.1%	100.0%
		% within D6.	20.8%	15.4%	21.9%	21.5%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	7	4	35	46
		% within Q4_9.	15.2%	8.7%	76.1%	100.0%
		% within D6.	13.2%	15.4%	6.2%	7.2%
Total	Count	53	26	562	641	
	% within Q4_9.	8.3%	4.1%	87.7%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_10 (Satisfaction of Economic Development) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q4_10. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Economic development (example: promoting and connecting Halifax to grow and get business, talent, and investment).	Very satisfied	Count	3	1	51	55
		% within Q4_10.	5.5%	1.8%	92.7%	100.0%
		% within D6.	5.0%	4.0%	7.0%	6.7%
	Satisfied	Count	33	12	397	442
		% within Q4_10.	7.5%	2.7%	89.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	55.0%	48.0%	54.2%	54.0%
	Dissatisfied	Count	12	10	209	231
		% within Q4_10.	5.2%	4.3%	90.5%	100.0%
		% within D6.	20.0%	40.0%	28.5%	28.2%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	12	2	76	90
		% within Q4_10.	13.3%	2.2%	84.4%	100.0%
		% within D6.	20.0%	8.0%	10.4%	11.0%
Total	Count	60	25	733	818	
	% within Q4_10.	7.3%	3.1%	89.6%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_11 (Satisfaction of Emergency Preparedness) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q4_11. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Emergency preparedness.	Very satisfied	Count	6	1	102	109
		% within Q4_11.	5.5%	0.9%	93.6%	100.0%
		% within D6.	9.0%	3.6%	13.0%	12.4%
	Satisfied	Count	36	23	477	536
		% within Q4_11.	6.7%	4.3%	89.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	53.7%	82.1%	60.9%	61.0%
	Dissatisfied	Count	13	4	139	156
		% within Q4_11.	8.3%	2.6%	89.1%	100.0%
		% within D6.	19.4%	14.3%	17.8%	17.8%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	12	0	65	77
		% within Q4_11.	15.6%	0.0%	84.4%	100.0%
		% within D6.	17.9%	0.0%	8.3%	8.8%
Total	Count	67	28	783	878	
	% within Q4_11.	7.6%	3.2%	89.2%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_12 (Satisfaction of Environmental Protection and Sustainability) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q4_12. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Environmental protection and sustainability.	Very satisfied	Count	3	1	34	38
		% within Q4_12	7.9%	2.6%	89.5%	100.0%
		% within D6.	4.3%	3.6%	4.2%	4.2%
	Satisfied	Count	36	15	358	409
		% within Q4_12	8.8%	3.7%	87.5%	100.0%
		% within D6.	52.2%	53.6%	44.0%	44.9%
	Dissatisfied	Count	17	7	265	289
		% within Q4_12	5.9%	2.4%	91.7%	100.0%
		% within D6.	24.6%	25.0%	32.6%	31.7%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	13	5	157	175
		% within Q4_12	7.4%	2.9%	89.7%	100.0%
		% within D6.	18.8%	17.9%	19.3%	19.2%
Total	Count	69	28	814	911	
	% within Q4_12	7.6%	3.1%	89.4%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_13 (Satisfaction of Fire Services) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q4_13. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Fire services.	Very satisfied	Count	19	6	235	260
		% within Q4_13.	7.3%	2.3%	90.4%	100.0%
		% within D6.	29.2%	21.4%	29.8%	29.5%
	Satisfied	Count	43	19	501	563
		% within Q4_13.	7.6%	3.4%	89.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	66.2%	67.9%	63.6%	63.9%
	Dissatisfied	Count	1	2	40	43
		% within Q4_13.	2.3%	4.7%	93.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	1.5%	7.1%	5.1%	4.9%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	2	1	12	15
		% within Q4_13.	13.3%	6.7%	80.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	3.1%	3.6%	1.5%	1.7%
Total	Count	65	28	788	881	
	% within Q4_13.	7.4%	3.2%	89.4%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_14 (Satisfaction of Garbage, Recycling, and Organics Collection) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q4_14. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Garbage, recycling, and organics collection.	Very satisfied	Count	21	5	242	268
		% within Q4_14.	7.8%	1.9%	90.3%	100.0%
		% within D6.	29.2%	17.2%	27.1%	27.0%
	Satisfied	Count	35	15	523	573
		% within Q4_14.	6.1%	2.6%	91.3%	100.0%
		% within D6.	48.6%	51.7%	58.6%	57.7%
	Dissatisfied	Count	7	6	86	99
		% within Q4_14.	7.1%	6.1%	86.9%	100.0%
		% within D6.	9.7%	20.7%	9.6%	10.0%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	9	3	41	53
		% within Q4_14.	17.0%	5.7%	77.4%	100.0%
		% within D6.	12.5%	10.3%	4.6%	5.3%
Total	Count	72	29	892	993	
	% within Q4_14.	7.3%	2.9%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_15 (Satisfaction of Halifax Public Libraries) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q4_15. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Halifax Public Libraries.	Very satisfied	Count	35	15	431	481
		% within Q4_15.	7.3%	3.1%	89.6%	100.0%
		% within D6.	53.0%	51.7%	51.0%	51.2%
	Satisfied	Count	25	13	376	414
		% within Q4_15.	6.0%	3.1%	90.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	37.9%	44.8%	44.5%	44.0%
	Dissatisfied	Count	3	0	27	30
		% within Q4_15.	10.0%	0.0%	90.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	4.5%	0.0%	3.2%	3.2%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	3	1	11	15
		% within Q4_15.	20.0%	6.7%	73.3%	100.0%
		% within D6.	4.5%	3.4%	1.3%	1.6%
Total	Count	66	29	845	940	
	% within Q4_15.	7.0%	3.1%	89.9%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_16 (Satisfaction of Overall City Maintenance) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q4_16. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Overall city maintenance.	Very satisfied	Count	3	1	58	62
		% within Q4_16.	4.8%	1.6%	93.5%	100.0%
		% within D6.	4.5%	3.2%	6.6%	6.4%
	Satisfied	Count	39	21	550	610
		% within Q4_16.	6.4%	3.4%	90.2%	100.0%
		% within D6.	59.1%	67.7%	62.6%	62.5%
	Dissatisfied	Count	20	5	212	237
		% within Q4_16.	8.4%	2.1%	89.5%	100.0%
		% within D6.	30.3%	16.1%	24.1%	24.3%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	4	4	59	67
		% within Q4_16.	6.0%	6.0%	88.1%	100.0%
		% within D6.	6.1%	12.9%	6.7%	6.9%
Total	Count	66	31	879	976	
	% within Q4_16.	6.8%	3.2%	90.1%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_17 (Satisfaction of Parking Enforcement) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q4_17. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Parking enforcement.	Very satisfied	Count	6	1	46	53
		% within Q4_17.	11.3%	1.9%	86.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	9.4%	4.2%	6.4%	6.5%
	Satisfied	Count	41	16	409	466
		% within Q4_17.	8.8%	3.4%	87.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	64.1%	66.7%	56.5%	57.4%
	Dissatisfied	Count	10	4	170	184
		% within Q4_17.	5.4%	2.2%	92.4%	100.0%
		% within D6.	15.6%	16.7%	23.5%	22.7%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	7	3	99	109
		% within Q4_17.	6.4%	2.8%	90.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	10.9%	12.5%	13.7%	13.4%
Total	Count	64	24	724	812	
	% within Q4_17.	7.9%	3.0%	89.2%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_18 (Satisfaction of Police Services) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q4_18. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Police services.	Very satisfied	Count	8	6	160	174
		% within Q4_18.	4.6%	3.4%	92.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	11.9%	20.7%	19.1%	18.7%
	Satisfied	Count	42	18	523	583
		% within Q4_18.	7.2%	3.1%	89.7%	100.0%
		% within D6.	62.7%	62.1%	62.6%	62.6%
	Dissatisfied	Count	9	4	96	109
		% within Q4_18.	8.3%	3.7%	88.1%	100.0%
		% within D6.	13.4%	13.8%	11.5%	11.7%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	8	1	57	66
		% within Q4_18.	12.1%	1.5%	86.4%	100.0%
		% within D6.	11.9%	3.4%	6.8%	7.1%
Total	Count	67	29	836	932	
	% within Q4_18.	7.2%	3.1%	89.7%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_19 (Satisfaction of Public Engagement) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q4_19. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Public engagement (example: consultation on projects like Cogswell District).	Very satisfied	Count	5	2	91	98
		% within Q4_19.	5.1%	2.0%	92.9%	100.0%
		% within D6.	8.5%	9.1%	12.6%	12.2%
	Satisfied	Count	26	13	370	409
		% within Q4_19.	6.4%	3.2%	90.5%	100.0%
		% within D6.	44.1%	59.1%	51.3%	51.0%
	Dissatisfied	Count	17	4	166	187
		% within Q4_19.	9.1%	2.1%	88.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	28.8%	18.2%	23.0%	23.3%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	11	3	94	108
		% within Q4_19.	10.2%	2.8%	87.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	18.6%	13.6%	13.0%	13.5%
Total	Count	59	22	721	802	
	% within Q4_19.	7.4%	2.7%	89.9%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_20 (Satisfaction of Transit - Conventional Bus & Ferry) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q4_20. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Public Transit - Conventional bus & ferry (example: reliability).	Very satisfied	Count	4	2	50	56
		% within Q4_20.	7.1%	3.6%	89.3%	100.0%
		% within D6.	6.1%	7.7%	6.2%	6.2%
	Satisfied	Count	31	11	338	380
		% within Q4_20.	8.2%	2.9%	88.9%	100.0%
		% within D6.	47.0%	42.3%	41.8%	42.2%
	Dissatisfied	Count	16	7	278	301
		% within Q4_20.	5.3%	2.3%	92.4%	100.0%
		% within D6.	24.2%	26.9%	34.4%	33.4%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	15	6	143	164
		% within Q4_20.	9.1%	3.7%	87.2%	100.0%
		% within D6.	22.7%	23.1%	17.7%	18.2%
Total	Count	66	26	809	901	
	% within Q4_20.	7.3%	2.9%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_21 (Satisfaction of Transit - Access-a-bus) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total	
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No		
Q4_21. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Public Transit - Access-a-bus (example: reliability).	Very satisfied	Count	2	2	33	37	
		% within Q4_21.	5.4%	5.4%	89.2%	100.0%	
		% within D6.	7.4%	13.3%	12.2%	11.9%	
	Satisfied	Count	16	3	123	142	
		% within Q4_21.	11.3%	2.1%	86.6%	100.0%	
		% within D6.	59.3%	20.0%	45.6%	45.5%	
		Dissatisfied	Count	6	5	63	74
			% within Q4_21.	8.1%	6.8%	85.1%	100.0%
			% within D6.	22.2%	33.3%	23.3%	23.7%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	3	5	51	59	
		% within Q4_21.	5.1%	8.5%	86.4%	100.0%	
		% within D6.	11.1%	33.3%	18.9%	18.9%	
Total	Count	27	15	270	312		
	% within Q4_21.	8.7%	4.8%	86.5%	100.0%		
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

Q4_22 (Satisfaction of Overall Transit Service) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total	
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No		
Q4_22. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Overall transit service.	Very satisfied	Count	5	3	42	50	
		% within Q4_22.	10.0%	6.0%	84.0%	100.0%	
		% within D6.	7.6%	10.7%	5.3%	5.6%	
	Satisfied	Count	33	11	334	378	
		% within Q4_22.	8.7%	2.9%	88.4%	100.0%	
		% within D6.	50.0%	39.3%	41.8%	42.3%	
		Dissatisfied	Count	16	9	283	308
			% within Q4_22.	5.2%	2.9%	91.9%	100.0%
			% within D6.	24.2%	32.1%	35.4%	34.5%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	12	5	140	157	
		% within Q4_22.	7.6%	3.2%	89.2%	100.0%	
		% within D6.	18.2%	17.9%	17.5%	17.6%	
Total	Count	66	28	799	893		
	% within Q4_22.	7.4%	3.1%	89.5%	100.0%		
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

Q4_23 (Satisfaction of Indoor Recreation Facilities) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q4_23. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Indoor recreation facilities (example: community centres, pools).	Very satisfied	Count	12	5	93	110
		% within Q4_23.	10.9%	4.5%	84.5%	100.0%
		% within D6.	19.7%	18.5%	12.2%	12.9%
	Satisfied	Count	32	18	473	523
		% within Q4_23.	6.1%	3.4%	90.4%	100.0%
		% within D6.	52.5%	66.7%	62.0%	61.5%
	Dissatisfied	Count	8	1	136	145
		% within Q4_23.	5.5%	0.7%	93.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	13.1%	3.7%	17.8%	17.0%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	9	3	61	73
		% within Q4_23.	12.3%	4.1%	83.6%	100.0%
		% within D6.	14.8%	11.1%	8.0%	8.6%
Total	Count	61	27	763	851	
	% within Q4_23.	7.2%	3.2%	89.7%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_24 (Satisfaction of Outdoor Recreation Facilities) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q4_24. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Outdoor recreation facilities (example: sports fields).	Very satisfied	Count	10	4	88	102
		% within Q4_24.	9.8%	3.9%	86.3%	100.0%
		% within D6.	15.9%	14.3%	11.9%	12.2%
	Satisfied	Count	32	19	477	528
		% within Q4_24.	6.1%	3.6%	90.3%	100.0%
		% within D6.	50.8%	67.9%	64.3%	63.4%
	Dissatisfied	Count	14	1	120	135
		% within Q4_24.	10.4%	0.7%	88.9%	100.0%
		% within D6.	22.2%	3.6%	16.2%	16.2%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	7	4	57	68
		% within Q4_24.	10.3%	5.9%	83.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	11.1%	14.3%	7.7%	8.2%
Total	Count	63	28	742	833	
	% within Q4_24.	7.6%	3.4%	89.1%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_25 (Satisfaction of Recreation Programming) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q4_25. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Recreation programming (example: swimming, camps).	Very satisfied	Count	8	2	88	98
		% within Q4_25.	8.2%	2.0%	89.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	15.4%	8.7%	14.3%	14.2%
	Satisfied	Count	29	16	369	414
		% within Q4_25.	7.0%	3.9%	89.1%	100.0%
		% within D6.	55.8%	69.6%	60.1%	60.1%
	Dissatisfied	Count	8	3	109	120
		% within Q4_25.	6.7%	2.5%	90.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	15.4%	13.0%	17.8%	17.4%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	7	2	48	57
		% within Q4_25.	12.3%	3.5%	84.2%	100.0%
		% within D6.	13.5%	8.7%	7.8%	8.3%
Total	Count	52	23	614	689	
	% within Q4_25.	7.5%	3.3%	89.1%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_26 (Satisfaction of Sidewalk Maintenance) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q4_26. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Sidewalk maintenance.	Very satisfied	Count	9	1	66	76
		% within Q4_26.	11.8%	1.3%	86.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	13.2%	3.3%	7.8%	8.1%
	Satisfied	Count	27	15	422	464
		% within Q4_26.	5.8%	3.2%	90.9%	100.0%
		% within D6.	39.7%	50.0%	50.1%	49.3%
	Dissatisfied	Count	22	8	231	261
		% within Q4_26.	8.4%	3.1%	88.5%	100.0%
		% within D6.	32.4%	26.7%	27.4%	27.7%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	10	6	124	140
		% within Q4_26.	7.1%	4.3%	88.6%	100.0%
		% within D6.	14.7%	20.0%	14.7%	14.9%
Total	Count	68	30	843	941	
	% within Q4_26.	7.2%	3.2%	89.6%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_27 (Satisfaction of Street / Road Maintenance) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q4_27. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Street / road maintenance.	Very satisfied	Count	4	1	29	34
		% within Q4_27.	11.8%	2.9%	85.3%	100.0%
		% within D6.	5.6%	3.3%	3.3%	3.4%
	Satisfied	Count	22	12	295	329
		% within Q4_27.	6.7%	3.6%	89.7%	100.0%
		% within D6.	31.0%	40.0%	33.1%	33.2%
	Dissatisfied	Count	23	11	330	364
		% within Q4_27.	6.3%	3.0%	90.7%	100.0%
		% within D6.	32.4%	36.7%	37.1%	36.7%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	22	6	236	264
		% within Q4_27.	8.3%	2.3%	89.4%	100.0%
		% within D6.	31.0%	20.0%	26.5%	26.6%
Total	Count	71	30	890	991	
	% within Q4_27.	7.2%	3.0%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_28 (Satisfaction of Traffic Management) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q4_28. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Traffic management (example: signals).	Very satisfied	Count	7	0	53	60
		% within Q4_28.	11.7%	0.0%	88.3%	100.0%
		% within D6.	10.3%	0.0%	6.1%	6.2%
	Satisfied	Count	34	19	449	502
		% within Q4_28.	6.8%	3.8%	89.4%	100.0%
		% within D6.	50.0%	65.5%	51.6%	51.9%
	Dissatisfied	Count	13	8	233	254
		% within Q4_28.	5.1%	3.1%	91.7%	100.0%
		% within D6.	19.1%	27.6%	26.8%	26.3%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	14	2	135	151
		% within Q4_28.	9.3%	1.3%	89.4%	100.0%
		% within D6.	20.6%	6.9%	15.5%	15.6%
Total	Count	68	29	870	967	
	% within Q4_28.	7.0%	3.0%	90.0%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_29 (Satisfaction of Traffic / Pedestrian Safety) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q4_29. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Traffic / pedestrian safety.	Very satisfied	Count	4	1	53	58
		% within Q4_29.	6.9%	1.7%	91.4%	100.0%
		% within D6.	5.8%	3.3%	6.0%	5.9%
	Satisfied	Count	31	17	386	434
		% within Q4_29.	7.1%	3.9%	88.9%	100.0%
		% within D6.	44.9%	56.7%	43.8%	44.2%
	Dissatisfied	Count	16	9	256	281
		% within Q4_29.	5.7%	3.2%	91.1%	100.0%
		% within D6.	23.2%	30.0%	29.0%	28.6%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	18	3	187	208
		% within Q4_29.	8.7%	1.4%	89.9%	100.0%
		% within D6.	26.1%	10.0%	21.2%	21.2%
Total	Count	69	30	882	981	
	% within Q4_29.	7.0%	3.1%	89.9%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_30 (Satisfaction of Winter Maintenance) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q4_30. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Winter maintenance (example: snow and ice removal).	Very satisfied	Count	4	2	44	50
		% within Q4_30.	8.0%	4.0%	88.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	5.8%	6.7%	4.9%	5.1%
	Satisfied	Count	32	9	361	402
		% within Q4_30.	8.0%	2.2%	89.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	46.4%	30.0%	40.6%	40.6%
	Dissatisfied	Count	15	12	284	311
		% within Q4_30.	4.8%	3.9%	91.3%	100.0%
		% within D6.	21.7%	40.0%	31.9%	31.4%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	18	7	201	226
		% within Q4_30.	8.0%	3.1%	88.9%	100.0%
		% within D6.	26.1%	23.3%	22.6%	22.9%
Total	Count	69	30	890	989	
	% within Q4_30.	7.0%	3.0%	90.0%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q5 (Satisfaction of Services) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q5. Overall, how satisfied are you with the delivery of all the services provided by the municipality?	Very satisfied	Count	2	3	31	36
		% within Q5.	5.6%	8.3%	86.1%	100.0%
		% within D6.	2.9%	9.7%	3.5%	3.6%
	Satisfied	Count	39	19	558	616
		% within Q5.	6.3%	3.1%	90.6%	100.0%
		% within D6.	55.7%	61.3%	62.4%	61.9%
	Dissatisfied	Count	24	7	269	300
		% within Q5.	8.0%	2.3%	89.7%	100.0%
		% within D6.	34.3%	22.6%	30.1%	30.2%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	5	2	36	43
		% within Q5.	11.6%	4.7%	83.7%	100.0%
		% within D6.	7.1%	6.5%	4.0%	4.3%
Total	Count	70	31	894	995	
	% within Q5.	7.0%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q6_1 (Importance of Governance and Engagement) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q6_1. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Governance and Engagement.	Very important	Count	31	14	390	435
		% within Q6_1.	7.1%	3.2%	89.7%	100.0%
		% within D6.	43.1%	45.2%	42.9%	42.9%
	Important	Count	32	13	450	495
		% within Q6_1.	6.5%	2.6%	90.9%	100.0%
		% within D6.	44.4%	41.9%	49.5%	48.9%
	Not important	Count	9	4	58	71
		% within Q6_1.	12.7%	5.6%	81.7%	100.0%
		% within D6.	12.5%	12.9%	6.4%	7.0%
	Not at all important	Count	0	0	12	12
		% within Q6_1.	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	1.2%
	Total	Count	72	31	910	1013
		% within Q6_1.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q6_2 (Importance of Economic Development) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q6_2. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Economic Development.	Very important	Count	28	14	365	407
		% within Q6_2.	6.9%	3.4%	89.7%	100.0%
		% within D6.	38.9%	45.2%	40.1%	40.2%
	Important	Count	38	14	446	498
		% within Q6_2.	7.6%	2.8%	89.6%	100.0%
		% within D6.	52.8%	45.2%	49.0%	49.2%
	Not important	Count	5	2	82	89
		% within Q6_2.	5.6%	2.2%	92.1%	100.0%
		% within D6.	6.9%	6.5%	9.0%	8.8%
	Not at all important	Count	1	1	17	19
		% within Q6_2.	5.3%	5.3%	89.5%	100.0%
		% within D6.	1.4%	3.2%	1.9%	1.9%
Total	Count	72	31	910	1013	
	% within Q6_2.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q6_3 (Importance of Healthy Liveable Communities) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q6_3. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Healthy Liveable Communities.	Very important	Count	50	25	608	683
		% within Q6_3.	7.3%	3.7%	89.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	69.4%	80.6%	66.8%	67.4%
	Important	Count	19	4	260	283
		% within Q6_3.	6.7%	1.4%	91.9%	100.0%
		% within D6.	26.4%	12.9%	28.6%	27.9%
	Not important	Count	2	2	36	40
		% within Q6_3.	5.0%	5.0%	90.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	2.8%	6.5%	4.0%	3.9%
	Not at all important	Count	1	0	6	7
		% within Q6_3.	14.3%	0.0%	85.7%	100.0%
		% within D6.	1.4%	0.0%	0.7%	0.7%
Total	Count	72	31	910	1013	
	% within Q6_3.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q6_4 (Importance of Transportation) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q6_4. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Transportation.	Very important	Count	39	18	560	617
		% within Q6_4.	6.3%	2.9%	90.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	54.2%	58.1%	61.5%	60.9%
	Important	Count	30	12	320	362
		% within Q6_4.	8.3%	3.3%	88.4%	100.0%
		% within D6.	41.7%	38.7%	35.2%	35.7%
	Not important	Count	2	0	28	30
		% within Q6_4.	6.7%	0.0%	93.3%	100.0%
		% within D6.	2.8%	0.0%	3.1%	3.0%
	Not at all important	Count	1	1	2	4
		% within Q6_4.	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	1.4%	3.2%	0.2%	0.4%
Total	Count	72	31	910	1013	
	% within Q6_4.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q6_5 (Importance of Service Delivery) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q6_5. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Service Delivery.	Very important	Count	22	6	242	270
		% within Q6_5.	8.1%	2.2%	89.6%	100.0%
		% within D6.	30.6%	19.4%	26.6%	26.7%
	Important	Count	40	21	546	607
		% within Q6_5.	6.6%	3.5%	90.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	55.6%	67.7%	60.0%	59.9%
	Not important	Count	7	3	115	125
		% within Q6_5.	5.6%	2.4%	92.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	9.7%	9.7%	12.6%	12.3%
	Not at all important	Count	3	1	7	11
		% within Q6_5.	27.3%	9.1%	63.6%	100.0%
		% within D6.	4.2%	3.2%	0.8%	1.1%
Total	Count	72	31	910	1013	
	% within Q6_5.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q6_6 (Importance of Social Development) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q6_6. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Social Development.	Very important	Count	23	12	348	383
		% within Q6_6.	6.0%	3.1%	90.9%	100.0%
		% within D6.	31.9%	38.7%	38.2%	37.8%
	Important	Count	39	18	398	455
		% within Q6_6.	8.6%	4.0%	87.5%	100.0%
		% within D6.	54.2%	58.1%	43.7%	44.9%
	Not important	Count	4	0	127	131
		% within Q6_6.	3.1%	0.0%	96.9%	100.0%
		% within D6.	5.6%	0.0%	14.0%	12.9%
	Not at all important	Count	6	1	37	44
		% within Q6_6.	13.6%	2.3%	84.1%	100.0%
		% within D6.	8.3%	3.2%	4.1%	4.3%
Total	Count	72	31	910	1013	
	% within Q6_6.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q7 (Service Level) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q7. For the following Governance & Engagement service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Public engagement (consultations like this one).	Increase service levels	Count	20	9	274	303
		% within Q7.	6.6%	3.0%	90.4%	100.0%
		% within D6.	27.8%	29.0%	30.1%	29.9%
	Maintain service levels	Count	48	20	575	643
		% within Q7.	7.5%	3.1%	89.4%	100.0%
		% within D6.	66.7%	64.5%	63.2%	63.5%
	Decrease service levels	Count	4	2	61	67
		% within Q7.	6.0%	3.0%	91.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	5.6%	6.5%	6.7%	6.6%
	Total	Count	72	31	910	1013
		% within Q7.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q8_1 (Service Level of Business Support Services) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q8_1. For the following Economic Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Business support services.	Increase service levels	Count	8	9	164	181
		% within Q8_1.	4.4%	5.0%	90.6%	100.0%
		% within D6.	11.1%	29.0%	18.0%	17.9%
	Maintain service levels	Count	61	19	637	717
		% within Q8_1.	8.5%	2.6%	88.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	84.7%	61.3%	70.0%	70.8%
	Decrease service levels	Count	3	3	109	115
		% within Q8_1.	2.6%	2.6%	94.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	4.2%	9.7%	12.0%	11.4%
	Total	Count	72	31	910	1013
		% within Q8_1.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q8_2 (Service Level of Community Planning) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q8_2. For the following Economic Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Community planning / development approvals.	Increase service levels	Count	32	13	346	391
		% within Q8_2.	8.2%	3.3%	88.5%	100.0%
		% within D6.	44.4%	41.9%	38.0%	38.6%
	Maintain service levels	Count	38	17	498	553
		% within Q8_2.	6.9%	3.1%	90.1%	100.0%
		% within D6.	52.8%	54.8%	54.7%	54.6%
	Decrease service levels	Count	2	1	66	69
		% within Q8_2.	2.9%	1.4%	95.7%	100.0%
		% within D6.	2.8%	3.2%	7.3%	6.8%
Total	Count	72	31	910	1013	
	% within Q8_2.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q8_3 (Service Level of Economic Development) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q8_3. For the following Economic Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Economic development.	Increase service levels	Count	25	13	309	347
		% within Q8_3.	7.2%	3.7%	89.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	34.7%	41.9%	34.0%	34.3%
	Maintain service levels	Count	45	15	514	574
		% within Q8_3.	7.8%	2.6%	89.5%	100.0%
		% within D6.	62.5%	48.4%	56.5%	56.7%
	Decrease service levels	Count	2	3	87	92
		% within Q8_3.	2.2%	3.3%	94.6%	100.0%
		% within D6.	2.8%	9.7%	9.6%	9.1%
Total	Count	72	31	910	1013	
	% within Q8_3.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_1 (Service Level of Bike Lanes / Cycling Facilities) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q9_1. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Bike lanes / cycling facilities.	Increase service levels	Count	33	16	391	440
		% within Q9_1.	7.5%	3.6%	88.9%	100.0%
		% within D6.	45.8%	51.6%	43.0%	43.4%
	Maintain service levels	Count	18	12	255	285
		% within Q9_1.	6.3%	4.2%	89.5%	100.0%
		% within D6.	25.0%	38.7%	28.0%	28.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	21	3	264	288
		% within Q9_1.	7.3%	1.0%	91.7%	100.0%
		% within D6.	29.2%	9.7%	29.0%	28.4%
Total	Count	72	31	910	1013	
	% within Q9_1.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_2 (Service Level of Parking Enforcement) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q9_2. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Parking enforcement.	Increase service levels	Count	12	6	160	178
		% within Q9_2.	6.7%	3.4%	89.9%	100.0%
		% within D6.	16.7%	19.4%	17.6%	17.6%
	Maintain service levels	Count	47	17	551	615
		% within Q9_2.	7.6%	2.8%	89.6%	100.0%
		% within D6.	65.3%	54.8%	60.5%	60.7%
	Decrease service levels	Count	13	8	199	220
		% within Q9_2.	5.9%	3.6%	90.5%	100.0%
		% within D6.	18.1%	25.8%	21.9%	21.7%
Total	Count	72	31	910	1013	
	% within Q9_2.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_3 (Service Level of Public Transit - Conventional Bus & Ferry) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q9_3. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Public transit - conventional bus / ferry.	Increase service levels	Count	42	18	540	600
		% within Q9_3.	7.0%	3.0%	90.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	58.3%	58.1%	59.3%	59.2%
	Maintain service levels	Count	28	11	347	386
		% within Q9_3.	7.3%	2.8%	89.9%	100.0%
		% within D6.	38.9%	35.5%	38.1%	38.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	2	2	23	27
		% within Q9_3.	7.4%	7.4%	85.2%	100.0%
		% within D6.	2.8%	6.5%	2.5%	2.7%
Total	Count	72	31	910	1013	
	% within Q9_3.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_4 (Service Level of Public Transit - Access-A-Bus) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q9_4. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Public transit - Access-A-Bus.	Increase service levels	Count	24	12	310	346
		% within Q9_4.	6.9%	3.5%	89.6%	100.0%
		% within D6.	33.3%	38.7%	34.1%	34.2%
	Maintain service levels	Count	44	17	565	626
		% within Q9_4.	7.0%	2.7%	90.3%	100.0%
		% within D6.	61.1%	54.8%	62.1%	61.8%
	Decrease service levels	Count	4	2	35	41
		% within Q9_4.	9.8%	4.9%	85.4%	100.0%
		% within D6.	5.6%	6.5%	3.8%	4.0%
Total	Count	72	31	910	1013	
	% within Q9_4.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_5 (Service Level of Overall Transit Service) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q9_5. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Overall transit service.	Increase service levels	Count	40	16	520	576
		% within Q9_5.	6.9%	2.8%	90.3%	100.0%
		% within D6.	55.6%	51.6%	57.1%	56.9%
	Maintain service levels	Count	31	14	367	412
		% within Q9_5.	7.5%	3.4%	89.1%	100.0%
		% within D6.	43.1%	45.2%	40.3%	40.7%
	Decrease service levels	Count	1	1	23	25
		% within Q9_5.	4.0%	4.0%	92.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	1.4%	3.2%	2.5%	2.5%
Total	Count	72	31	910	1013	
	% within Q9_5.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_6 (Service Level of Sidewalk Maintenance) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q9_6. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Sidewalk maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	31	12	352	395
		% within Q9_6.	7.8%	3.0%	89.1%	100.0%
		% within D6.	43.1%	38.7%	38.7%	39.0%
	Maintain service levels	Count	39	17	525	581
		% within Q9_6.	6.7%	2.9%	90.4%	100.0%
		% within D6.	54.2%	54.8%	57.7%	57.4%
	Decrease service levels	Count	2	2	33	37
		% within Q9_6.	5.4%	5.4%	89.2%	100.0%
		% within D6.	2.8%	6.5%	3.6%	3.7%
Total	Count	72	31	910	1013	
	% within Q9_6.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_7 (Service Level of Street / Road Maintenance) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q9_7. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Street / road maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	48	19	516	583
		% within Q9_7.	8.2%	3.3%	88.5%	100.0%
		% within D6.	66.7%	61.3%	56.7%	57.6%
	Maintain service levels	Count	21	11	375	407
		% within Q9_7.	5.2%	2.7%	92.1%	100.0%
		% within D6.	29.2%	35.5%	41.2%	40.2%
	Decrease service levels	Count	3	1	19	23
		% within Q9_7.	13.0%	4.3%	82.6%	100.0%
		% within D6.	4.2%	3.2%	2.1%	2.3%
Total	Count	72	31	910	1013	
	% within Q9_7.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_8 (Service Level of Traffic Management) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q9_8. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Traffic management.	Increase service levels	Count	18	11	314	343
		% within Q9_8.	5.2%	3.2%	91.5%	100.0%
		% within D6.	25.0%	35.5%	34.5%	33.9%
	Maintain service levels	Count	50	20	553	623
		% within Q9_8.	8.0%	3.2%	88.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	69.4%	64.5%	60.8%	61.5%
	Decrease service levels	Count	4	0	43	47
		% within Q9_8.	8.5%	0.0%	91.5%	100.0%
		% within D6.	5.6%	0.0%	4.7%	4.6%
Total	Count	72	31	910	1013	
	% within Q9_8.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_9 (Service Level of Traffic / Pedestrian Safety) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q9_9. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Traffic / pedestrian safety.	Increase service levels	Count	36	12	413	461
		% within Q9_9.	7.8%	2.6%	89.6%	100.0%
		% within D6.	50.0%	38.7%	45.4%	45.5%
	Maintain service levels	Count	35	17	460	512
		% within Q9_9.	6.8%	3.3%	89.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	48.6%	54.8%	50.5%	50.5%
	Decrease service levels	Count	1	2	37	40
		% within Q9_9.	2.5%	5.0%	92.5%	100.0%
		% within D6.	1.4%	6.5%	4.1%	3.9%
Total	Count	72	31	910	1013	
	% within Q9_9.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_10 (Service Level of Winter Maintenance) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q9_10. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Winter maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	34	18	462	514
		% within Q9_10.	6.6%	3.5%	89.9%	100.0%
		% within D6.	47.2%	58.1%	50.8%	50.7%
	Maintain service levels	Count	37	12	432	481
		% within Q9_10.	7.7%	2.5%	89.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	51.4%	38.7%	47.5%	47.5%
	Decrease service levels	Count	1	1	16	18
		% within Q9_10.	5.6%	5.6%	88.9%	100.0%
		% within D6.	1.4%	3.2%	1.8%	1.8%
Total	Count	72	31	910	1013	
	% within Q9_10.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_1 (Service Level of Arts and Cultural Programming) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q10_1. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Arts and cultural facilities and program.	Increase service levels	Count	22	13	227	262
		% within Q10_1.	8.4%	5.0%	86.6%	100.0%
		% within D6.	30.6%	41.9%	24.9%	25.9%
	Maintain service levels	Count	42	17	499	558
		% within Q10_1.	7.5%	3.0%	89.4%	100.0%
		% within D6.	58.3%	54.8%	54.8%	55.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	8	1	184	193
		% within Q10_1.	4.1%	0.5%	95.3%	100.0%
		% within D6.	11.1%	3.2%	20.2%	19.1%
Total	Count	72	31	910	1013	
	% within Q10_1.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_2 (Service Level of Cleanliness) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q10_2. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Cleanliness (litter and graffiti removal).	Increase service levels	Count	21	12	267	300
		% within Q10_2.	7.0%	4.0%	89.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	29.2%	38.7%	29.3%	29.6%
	Maintain service levels	Count	45	17	516	578
		% within Q10_2.	7.8%	2.9%	89.3%	100.0%
		% within D6.	62.5%	54.8%	56.7%	57.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	6	2	127	135
		% within Q10_2.	4.4%	1.5%	94.1%	100.0%
		% within D6.	8.3%	6.5%	14.0%	13.3%
Total	Count	72	31	910	1013	
	% within Q10_2.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_3 (Service Level of Community Beautification) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q10_3. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Community beautification.	Increase service levels	Count	31	10	305	346
		% within Q10_3.	9.0%	2.9%	88.2%	100.0%
		% within D6.	43.1%	32.3%	33.6%	34.2%
	Maintain service levels	Count	38	20	590	648
		% within Q10_3.	5.9%	3.1%	91.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	52.8%	64.5%	64.9%	64.0%
	Decrease service levels	Count	3	1	14	18
		% within Q10_3.	16.7%	5.6%	77.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	4.2%	3.2%	1.5%	1.8%
Total	Count	72	31	909	1012	
	% within Q10_3.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_4 (Service Level of Emergency Preparedness) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q10_4. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Emergency preparedness.	Increase service levels	Count	33	17	502	552
		% within Q10_4.	6.0%	3.1%	90.9%	100.0%
		% within D6.	45.8%	54.8%	55.2%	54.5%
	Maintain service levels	Count	37	13	369	419
		% within Q10_4.	8.8%	3.1%	88.1%	100.0%
		% within D6.	51.4%	41.9%	40.5%	41.4%
	Decrease service levels	Count	2	1	39	42
		% within Q10_4.	4.8%	2.4%	92.9%	100.0%
		% within D6.	2.8%	3.2%	4.3%	4.1%
Total	Count	72	31	910	1013	
	% within Q10_4.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_5 (Service Level of Environmental Protection and Sustainability) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q10_5. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Environmental protection and sustainability.	Increase service levels	Count	10	2	120	132
		% within Q10_5.	7.6%	1.5%	90.9%	100.0%
		% within D6.	13.9%	6.5%	13.2%	13.0%
	Maintain service levels	Count	60	27	763	850
		% within Q10_5.	7.1%	3.2%	89.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	83.3%	87.1%	83.9%	84.0%
	Decrease service levels	Count	2	2	26	30
		% within Q10_5.	6.7%	6.7%	86.7%	100.0%
		% within D6.	2.8%	6.5%	2.9%	3.0%
Total	Count	72	31	909	1012	
	% within Q10_5.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_6 (Service Level of Fire Services) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q10_6. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Fire services.	Increase service levels	Count	15	8	146	169
		% within Q10_6.	8.9%	4.7%	86.4%	100.0%
		% within D6.	20.8%	25.8%	16.0%	16.7%
	Maintain service levels	Count	55	22	746	823
		% within Q10_6.	6.7%	2.7%	90.6%	100.0%
		% within D6.	76.4%	71.0%	82.0%	81.2%
	Decrease service levels	Count	2	1	18	21
		% within Q10_6.	9.5%	4.8%	85.7%	100.0%
		% within D6.	2.8%	3.2%	2.0%	2.1%
Total	Count	72	31	910	1013	
	% within Q10_6.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_7 (Service Level of Garbage, Recycling, and Organics Collection) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q10_7. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Garbage, recycling, and organics collection.	Increase service levels	Count	11	5	137	153
		% within Q10_7.	7.2%	3.3%	89.5%	100.0%
		% within D6.	15.3%	16.1%	15.1%	15.1%
	Maintain service levels	Count	55	24	696	775
		% within Q10_7.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	76.4%	77.4%	76.5%	76.5%
	Decrease service levels	Count	6	2	77	85
		% within Q10_7.	7.1%	2.4%	90.6%	100.0%
		% within D6.	8.3%	6.5%	8.5%	8.4%
Total	Count	72	31	910	1013	
	% within Q10_7.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_8 (Service Level of Halifax Public Libraries) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q10_8. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Halifax Public Libraries.	Increase service levels	Count	19	13	282	314
		% within Q10_8.	6.1%	4.1%	89.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	26.4%	41.9%	31.0%	31.0%
	Maintain service levels	Count	51	18	597	666
		% within Q10_8.	7.7%	2.7%	89.6%	100.0%
		% within D6.	70.8%	58.1%	65.7%	65.8%
	Decrease service levels	Count	2	0	30	32
		% within Q10_8.	6.3%	0.0%	93.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	2.8%	0.0%	3.3%	3.2%
Total	Count	72	31	909	1012	
	% within Q10_8.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_9 (Service Level of Overall City Maintenance) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q10_9. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Overall city maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	23	14	333	370
		% within Q10_9.	6.2%	3.8%	90.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	31.9%	45.2%	36.6%	36.5%
	Maintain service levels	Count	45	17	541	603
		% within Q10_9.	7.5%	2.8%	89.7%	100.0%
		% within D6.	62.5%	54.8%	59.5%	59.5%
	Decrease service levels	Count	4	0	36	40
		% within Q10_9.	10.0%	0.0%	90.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	5.6%	0.0%	4.0%	3.9%
Total	Count	72	31	910	1013	
	% within Q10_9.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_10 (Service Level of Parks, Playgrounds, and Green Spaces) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q10_10. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Parks, playgrounds, and green spaces.	Increase service levels	Count	14	8	233	255
		% within Q10_10.	5.5%	3.1%	91.4%	100.0%
		% within D6.	19.4%	25.8%	25.6%	25.2%
	Maintain service levels	Count	58	23	663	744
		% within Q10_10.	7.8%	3.1%	89.1%	100.0%
		% within D6.	80.6%	74.2%	72.9%	73.4%
	Decrease service levels	Count	0	0	14	14
		% within Q10_10.	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	1.4%
Total	Count	72	31	910	1013	
	% within Q10_10.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_11 (Service Level of Police Services) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q10_11. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Police services.	Increase service levels	Count	14	3	149	166
		% within Q10_11.	8.4%	1.8%	89.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	19.4%	9.7%	16.4%	16.4%
	Maintain service levels	Count	51	24	678	753
		% within Q10_11.	6.8%	3.2%	90.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	70.8%	77.4%	74.5%	74.3%
	Decrease service levels	Count	7	4	83	94
		% within Q10_11.	7.4%	4.3%	88.3%	100.0%
		% within D6.	9.7%	12.9%	9.1%	9.3%
Total	Count	72	31	910	1013	
	% within Q10_11.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_12 (Service Level of Indoor Recreation Facilities) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q10_12. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Indoor recreation facilities.	Increase service levels	Count	19	10	220	249
		% within Q10_12.	7.6%	4.0%	88.4%	100.0%
		% within D6.	26.4%	32.3%	24.2%	24.6%
	Maintain service levels	Count	49	20	640	709
		% within Q10_12.	6.9%	2.8%	90.3%	100.0%
		% within D6.	68.1%	64.5%	70.3%	70.0%
	Decrease service levels	Count	4	1	50	55
		% within Q10_12.	7.3%	1.8%	90.9%	100.0%
		% within D6.	5.6%	3.2%	5.5%	5.4%
Total	Count	72	31	910	1013	
	% within Q10_12.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_13 (Service Level of Outdoor Recreation Facilities) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q10_13. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Outdoor recreation facilities.	Increase service levels	Count	22	8	230	260
		% within Q10_13.	8.5%	3.1%	88.5%	100.0%
		% within D6.	30.6%	25.8%	25.3%	25.7%
	Maintain service levels	Count	46	23	623	692
		% within Q10_13.	6.6%	3.3%	90.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	63.9%	74.2%	68.5%	68.3%
	Decrease service levels	Count	4	0	57	61
		% within Q10_13.	6.6%	0.0%	93.4%	100.0%
		% within D6.	5.6%	0.0%	6.3%	6.0%
Total	Count	72	31	910	1013	
	% within Q10_13.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_14 (Service Level of Recreation Programming) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q10_14. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Recreation programming.	Increase service levels	Count	21	10	223	254
		% within Q10_14.	8.3%	3.9%	87.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	29.2%	32.3%	24.5%	25.1%
	Maintain service levels	Count	48	20	621	689
		% within Q10_14.	7.0%	2.9%	90.1%	100.0%
		% within D6.	66.7%	64.5%	68.2%	68.0%
	Decrease service levels	Count	3	1	66	70
		% within Q10_14.	4.3%	1.4%	94.3%	100.0%
		% within D6.	4.2%	3.2%	7.3%	6.9%
Total	Count	72	31	910	1013	
	% within Q10_14.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q11_1 (Service Level of Accessibility Programming) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q11_1. For the following Social Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Accessibility programming.	Increase service levels	Count	25	14	279	318
		% within Q11_1.	7.9%	4.4%	87.7%	100.0%
		% within D6.	34.7%	45.2%	30.7%	31.4%
	Maintain service levels	Count	43	16	579	638
		% within Q11_1.	6.7%	2.5%	90.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	59.7%	51.6%	63.6%	63.0%
	Decrease service levels	Count	4	1	52	57
		% within Q11_1.	7.0%	1.8%	91.2%	100.0%
		% within D6.	5.6%	3.2%	5.7%	5.6%
Total	Count	72	31	910	1013	
	% within Q11_1.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q11_2 (Service Level of Affordability / Free Programming) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q11_2. For the following Social Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Affordability / free programming.	Increase service levels	Count	34	17	347	398
		% within Q11_2.	8.5%	4.3%	87.2%	100.0%
		% within D6.	47.2%	54.8%	38.1%	39.3%
	Maintain service levels	Count	32	12	490	534
		% within Q11_2.	6.0%	2.2%	91.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	44.4%	38.7%	53.8%	52.7%
	Decrease service levels	Count	6	2	73	81
		% within Q11_2.	7.4%	2.5%	90.1%	100.0%
		% within D6.	8.3%	6.5%	8.0%	8.0%
Total	Count	72	31	910	1013	
	% within Q11_2.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q11_3 (Service Level of Diversity Programming) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
Q11_3. For the following Social Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Diversity and inclusiveness programming.	Increase service levels	Count	26	15	284	325
		% within Q11_3.	8.0%	4.6%	87.4%	100.0%
		% within D6.	36.1%	48.4%	31.2%	32.1%
	Maintain service levels	Count	38	13	505	556
		% within Q11_3.	6.8%	2.3%	90.8%	100.0%
		% within D6.	52.8%	41.9%	55.5%	54.9%
	Decrease service levels	Count	8	3	121	132
		% within Q11_3.	6.1%	2.3%	91.7%	100.0%
		% within D6.	11.1%	9.7%	13.3%	13.0%
Total	Count	72	31	910	1013	
	% within Q11_3.	7.1%	3.1%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

D1 (Gender) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?	Man	Count	33	16	404	453
		% within D1.	7.3%	3.5%	89.2%	100.0%
		% within D6.	49.3%	53.3%	46.1%	46.6%
	Woman	Count	34	14	464	512
		% within D1.	6.6%	2.7%	90.6%	100.0%
		% within D6.	50.7%	46.7%	53.0%	52.6%
	Non-binary	Count	0	0	8	8
		% within D1.	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.8%
Total	Count	67	30	876	973	
	% within D1.	6.9%	3.1%	90.0%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

D2 (Age) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
D2. How old are you?	18-34 years old	Count	16	3	211	230
		% within D2.	7.0%	1.3%	91.7%	100.0%
		% within D6.	22.9%	10.0%	23.8%	23.3%
	35-54 years old	Count	26	16	349	391
		% within D2.	6.6%	4.1%	89.3%	100.0%
		% within D6.	37.1%	53.3%	39.3%	39.6%
	55 and older	Count	28	11	327	366
		% within D2.	7.7%	3.0%	89.3%	100.0%
		% within D6.	40.0%	36.7%	36.9%	37.1%
Total	Count	70	30	887	987	
	% within D2.	7.1%	3.0%	89.9%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

D3 (Income) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
D3. What was your 2018 total household income before taxes?	Less than \$30,000	Count	9	4	77	90
		% within D3.	10.0%	4.4%	85.6%	100.0%
		% within D6.	14.8%	14.3%	9.8%	10.3%
	\$30,000 to less than \$50,000	Count	12	2	121	135
		% within D3.	8.9%	1.5%	89.6%	100.0%
		% within D6.	19.7%	7.1%	15.5%	15.5%
	\$50,000 to less than \$75,000	Count	6	3	177	186
		% within D3.	3.2%	1.6%	95.2%	100.0%
		% within D6.	9.8%	10.7%	22.6%	21.4%
	\$75,000 to less than \$100,000	Count	13	6	127	146
		% within D3.	8.9%	4.1%	87.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	21.3%	21.4%	16.2%	16.8%
	\$100,000 to less than \$125,000	Count	7	5	112	124
		% within D3.	5.6%	4.0%	90.3%	100.0%
		% within D6.	11.5%	17.9%	14.3%	14.2%
	\$125,000 to less than \$150,000	Count	10	5	77	92
		% within D3.	10.9%	5.4%	83.7%	100.0%
		% within D6.	16.4%	17.9%	9.8%	10.6%
	Over \$150,000	Count	4	3	91	98
		% within D3.	4.1%	3.1%	92.9%	100.0%
		% within D6.	6.6%	10.7%	11.6%	11.3%
Total	Count	61	28	782	871	
	% within D3.	7.0%	3.2%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

D4 (Employment Status) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
D4. What is your employment status?	Employed full time	Count	38	15	507	560
		% within D4.	6.8%	2.7%	90.5%	100.0%
		% within D6.	53.5%	51.7%	57.4%	56.9%
	Employed part time	Count	6	1	53	60
		% within D4.	10.0%	1.7%	88.3%	100.0%
		% within D6.	8.5%	3.4%	6.0%	6.1%
	Unemployed and currently looking for work	Count	1	1	18	20
		% within D4.	5.0%	5.0%	90.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	1.4%	3.4%	2.0%	2.0%
	Unemployed and not currently looking for work	Count	0	0	6	6
		% within D4.	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.6%
	Student	Count	3	2	20	25
		% within D4.	12.0%	8.0%	80.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	4.2%	6.9%	2.3%	2.5%
	Retired	Count	16	7	191	214
		% within D4.	7.5%	3.3%	89.3%	100.0%
		% within D6.	22.5%	24.1%	21.6%	21.7%
Homemaker	Count	0	0	12	12	
	% within D4.	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	1.2%	
Self-employed	Count	4	3	60	67	
	% within D4.	6.0%	4.5%	89.6%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	5.6%	10.3%	6.8%	6.8%	
Unable to work	Count	3	0	17	20	
	% within D4.	15.0%	0.0%	85.0%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	4.2%	0.0%	1.9%	2.0%	
Total	Count	71	29	884	984	
	% within D4.	7.2%	2.9%	89.8%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

D5 (Person with Disabilities) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?	Yes	Count	14	3	118	135
		% within D5.	10.4%	2.2%	87.4%	100.0%
		% within D6.	20.9%	10.7%	13.5%	13.9%
	No	Count	53	25	755	833
		% within D5.	6.4%	3.0%	90.6%	100.0%
		% within D6.	79.1%	89.3%	86.5%	86.1%
Total	Count	67	28	873	968	
	% within D5.	6.9%	2.9%	90.2%	100.0%	
	% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

D7 (Ethnic Identity) by D6 (Acadian or Francophone)

			D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?			Total
			Yes - Acadian	Yes - Francophone	No	
D7. What is your ethnic identity?	White	Count	58	22	744	824
		% within D7.	7.0%	2.7%	90.3%	100.0%
		% within D6.	84.1%	84.6%	87.9%	87.6%
South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Count	Count	0	0	11	11
		% within D7.	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	1.2%
Chinese	Count	Count	0	1	6	7
		% within D7.	0.0%	14.3%	85.7%	100.0%
		% within D6.	0.0%	3.8%	0.7%	0.7%
Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Count	Count	2	1	30	33
		% within D7.	6.1%	3.0%	90.9%	100.0%
		% within D6.	2.9%	3.8%	3.5%	3.5%
Black (example: African Canadian)	Count	Count	1	0	9	10
		% within D7.	10.0%	0.0%	90.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	1.4%	0.0%	1.1%	1.1%
Filipino	Count	Count	0	0	1	1
		% within D7.	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%
Latin American	Count	Count	0	0	3	3
		% within D7.	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.3%
Arab	Count	Count	0	0	3	3
		% within D7.	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.3%
Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	Count	Count	0	1	0	1
		% within D7.	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	0.0%	3.8%	0.0%	0.1%
West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Count	Count	1	0	0	1
		% within D7.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Korean	Count	Count	0	0	2	2
		% within D7.	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%
First Nations (example: North American Indian; includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Count	Count	1	0	10	11
		% within D7.	9.1%	0.0%	90.9%	100.0%
		% within D6.	1.4%	0.0%	1.2%	1.2%
Métis	Count	Count	4	0	3	7
		% within D7.	57.1%	0.0%	42.9%	100.0%
		% within D6.	5.8%	0.0%	0.4%	0.7%
Inuk	Count	Count	0	0	1	1
		% within D7.	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within D6.	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%
Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	Count	Count	2	1	23	26
		% within D7.	7.7%	3.8%	88.5%	100.0%
		% within D6.	2.9%	3.8%	2.7%	2.8%
Total	Count	Count	69	26	846	941
		% within D7.	7.3%	2.8%	89.9%	100.0%
		% within D6.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q1 (FSA) by D2 (Age)

		D2. How old are you?			Total	
		18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older		
Q1. Select the first three digits of your postal code.	B3H	Count	18	25	17	60
		% within Q1.	30.0%	41.7%	28.3%	100.0%
		% within D2.	7.8%	6.1%	4.4%	5.9%
B3J	Count	17	13	12	42	
	% within Q1.	40.5%	31.0%	28.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	7.4%	3.2%	3.1%	4.1%	
B4C	Count	4	15	17	36	
	% within Q1.	11.1%	41.7%	47.2%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	1.7%	3.7%	4.4%	3.5%	
B2Y	Count	12	16	24	52	
	% within Q1.	23.1%	30.8%	46.2%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	5.2%	3.9%	6.3%	5.1%	
B2V	Count	4	10	17	31	
	% within Q1.	12.9%	32.3%	54.8%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	1.7%	2.5%	4.4%	3.0%	
B2W	Count	15	36	29	80	
	% within Q1.	18.8%	45.0%	36.3%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	6.5%	8.8%	7.6%	7.8%	
B2X	Count	5	14	13	32	
	% within Q1.	15.6%	43.8%	40.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	2.2%	3.4%	3.4%	3.1%	
B2Z	Count	3	4	10	17	
	% within Q1.	17.6%	23.5%	58.8%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	1.3%	1.0%	2.6%	1.7%	
B3A	Count	25	27	23	75	
	% within Q1.	33.3%	36.0%	30.7%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	10.8%	6.6%	6.0%	7.3%	
B3B	Count	1	1	1	3	
	% within Q1.	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	0.4%	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	
B3E	Count	2	8	4	14	
	% within Q1.	14.3%	57.1%	28.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	0.9%	2.0%	1.0%	1.4%	
B3G	Count	2	11	8	21	
	% within Q1.	9.5%	52.4%	38.1%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	0.9%	2.7%	2.1%	2.1%	
B3K	Count	28	30	28	86	
	% within Q1.	32.6%	34.9%	32.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	12.1%	7.4%	7.3%	8.4%	
B3L	Count	17	16	19	52	
	% within Q1.	32.7%	30.8%	36.5%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	7.4%	3.9%	4.9%	5.1%	
B3M	Count	12	26	26	64	
	% within Q1.	18.8%	40.6%	40.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	5.2%	6.4%	6.8%	6.3%	
B3N	Count	6	19	13	38	
	% within Q1.	15.8%	50.0%	34.2%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	2.6%	4.7%	3.4%	3.7%	
B3P	Count	7	4	8	19	
	% within Q1.	36.8%	21.1%	42.1%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	3.0%	1.0%	2.1%	1.9%	

B3R	Count	3	4	5	12
	% within Q1.	25.0%	33.3%	41.7%	100.0%
	% within D2.	1.3%	1.0%	1.3%	1.2%
B3S	Count	7	9	7	23
	% within Q1.	30.4%	39.1%	30.4%	100.0%
	% within D2.	3.0%	2.2%	1.8%	2.3%
B3T	Count	13	28	16	57
	% within Q1.	22.8%	49.1%	28.1%	100.0%
	% within D2.	5.6%	6.9%	4.2%	5.6%
B3V	Count	0	3	6	9
	% within Q1.	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
	% within D2.	0.0%	0.7%	1.6%	0.9%
B3Z	Count	6	22	9	37
	% within Q1.	16.2%	59.5%	24.3%	100.0%
	% within D2.	2.6%	5.4%	2.3%	3.6%
B4A	Count	3	15	16	34
	% within Q1.	8.8%	44.1%	47.1%	100.0%
	% within D2.	1.3%	3.7%	4.2%	3.3%
B4B	Count	7	10	12	29
	% within Q1.	24.1%	34.5%	41.4%	100.0%
	% within D2.	3.0%	2.5%	3.1%	2.8%
B4E	Count	6	10	9	25
	% within Q1.	24.0%	40.0%	36.0%	100.0%
	% within D2.	2.6%	2.5%	2.3%	2.4%
B4G	Count	2	4	2	8
	% within Q1.	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	100.0%
	% within D2.	0.9%	1.0%	0.5%	0.8%
B0J	Count	6	23	27	56
	% within Q1.	10.7%	41.1%	48.2%	100.0%
	% within D2.	2.6%	5.7%	7.0%	5.5%
B0N	Count	0	4	5	9
	% within Q1.	0.0%	44.4%	55.6%	100.0%
	% within D2.	0.0%	1.0%	1.3%	0.9%
B2T	Count	0	0	1	1
	% within Q1.	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within D2.	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.1%
Total	Count	231	407	384	1022
	% within Q1.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q2 (Value of Property Tax) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q2. Thinking about all the programs and services you receive from the municipality, please indicate the degree to which you believe you receive good or poor value for the level of property taxes that you currently pay?	Very good value	Count	9	17	24	50
		% within Q2.	18.0%	34.0%	48.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	4.2%	4.3%	6.5%	5.1%
	Good value	Count	90	203	208	501
		% within Q2.	18.0%	40.5%	41.5%	100.0%
		% within D2.	41.7%	51.0%	56.4%	51.0%
	Poor value	Count	43	110	86	239
		% within Q2.	18.0%	46.0%	36.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	19.9%	27.6%	23.3%	24.3%
	Very poor value	Count	10	32	22	64
		% within Q2.	15.6%	50.0%	34.4%	100.0%
		% within D2.	4.6%	8.0%	6.0%	6.5%
I do not pay property tax	Count	64	36	29	129	
	% within Q2.	49.6%	27.9%	22.5%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	29.6%	9.0%	7.9%	13.1%	
Total	Count	216	398	369	983	
	% within Q2.	22.0%	40.5%	37.5%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q3 (Taxes and Fees) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:	Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Count	32	92	76	200
		% within Q3.	16.0%	46.0%	38.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	13.9%	22.6%	19.8%	19.6%
	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Count	94	197	179	470
		% within Q3.	20.0%	41.9%	38.1%	100.0%
		% within D2.	40.7%	48.4%	46.7%	46.0%
	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	Count	105	118	128	351
		% within Q3.	29.9%	33.6%	36.5%	100.0%
		% within D2.	45.5%	29.0%	33.4%	34.4%
	Total	Count	231	407	383	1021
		% within Q3.	22.6%	39.9%	37.5%	100.0%
		% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q4_1 (Satisfaction of Accessibility Programming) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q4_1. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Accessibility programming (example: physical / intellectual access to facilities, services, programs).	Very satisfied	Count	19	34	25	78
		% within Q4_1.	24.4%	43.6%	32.1%	100.0%
		% within D2.	11.4%	11.9%	9.4%	10.9%
	Satisfied	Count	93	160	161	414
		% within Q4_1.	22.5%	38.6%	38.9%	100.0%
		% within D2.	56.0%	55.9%	60.5%	57.7%
	Dissatisfied	Count	39	72	58	169
		% within Q4_1.	23.1%	42.6%	34.3%	100.0%
		% within D2.	23.5%	25.2%	21.8%	23.5%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	15	20	22	57
		% within Q4_1.	26.3%	35.1%	38.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	9.0%	7.0%	8.3%	7.9%
Total	Count	166	286	266	718	
	% within Q4_1.	23.1%	39.8%	37.0%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_2 (Satisfaction of Affordability / Free Programming) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q4_2. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Affordability / free programming.	Very satisfied	Count	17	32	26	75
		% within Q4_2.	22.7%	42.7%	34.7%	100.0%
		% within D2.	9.9%	9.8%	8.8%	9.5%
	Satisfied	Count	109	190	192	491
		% within Q4_2.	22.2%	38.7%	39.1%	100.0%
		% within D2.	63.4%	58.5%	64.9%	61.9%
	Dissatisfied	Count	37	82	48	167
		% within Q4_2.	22.2%	49.1%	28.7%	100.0%
		% within D2.	21.5%	25.2%	16.2%	21.1%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	9	21	30	60
		% within Q4_2.	15.0%	35.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	5.2%	6.5%	10.1%	7.6%
Total	Count	172	325	296	793	
	% within Q4_2.	21.7%	41.0%	37.3%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_3 (Satisfaction of Arts and Cultural Programming) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q4_3. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Arts and cultural facilities and programs.	Very satisfied	Count	11	26	17	54
		% within Q4_3.	20.4%	48.1%	31.5%	100.0%
		% within D2.	9.6%	13.7%	8.1%	10.5%
	Satisfied	Count	61	99	130	290
		% within Q4_3.	21.0%	34.1%	44.8%	100.0%
		% within D2.	53.0%	52.1%	61.6%	56.2%
	Dissatisfied	Count	36	53	44	133
		% within Q4_3.	27.1%	39.8%	33.1%	100.0%
		% within D2.	31.3%	27.9%	20.9%	25.8%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	7	12	20	39
		% within Q4_3.	17.9%	30.8%	51.3%	100.0%
		% within D2.	6.1%	6.3%	9.5%	7.6%
Total	Count	115	190	211	516	
	% within Q4_3.	22.3%	36.8%	40.9%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_4 (Satisfaction of Bike Lanes / Cycling Facilities) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q4_4. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Bike lanes / cycling facilities.	Very satisfied	Count	18	36	25	79
		% within Q4_4.	22.8%	45.6%	31.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	8.6%	11.5%	9.1%	9.9%
	Satisfied	Count	56	82	90	228
		% within Q4_4.	24.6%	36.0%	39.5%	100.0%
		% within D2.	26.8%	26.2%	32.6%	28.6%
	Dissatisfied	Count	79	96	85	260
		% within Q4_4.	30.4%	36.9%	32.7%	100.0%
		% within D2.	37.8%	30.7%	30.8%	32.6%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	56	99	76	231
		% within Q4_4.	24.2%	42.9%	32.9%	100.0%
		% within D2.	26.8%	31.6%	27.5%	28.9%
Total	Count	209	313	276	798	
	% within Q4_4.	26.2%	39.2%	34.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_5 (Satisfaction of Business Support Services) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q4_5. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Business support services (example: permits).	Very satisfied	Count	5	13	6	24
		% within Q4_5.	20.8%	54.2%	25.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	5.2%	6.7%	3.0%	4.9%
	Satisfied	Count	52	95	125	272
		% within Q4_5.	19.1%	34.9%	46.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	53.6%	49.0%	63.5%	55.7%
	Dissatisfied	Count	30	64	45	139
		% within Q4_5.	21.6%	46.0%	32.4%	100.0%
		% within D2.	30.9%	33.0%	22.8%	28.5%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	10	22	21	53
		% within Q4_5.	18.9%	41.5%	39.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	10.3%	11.3%	10.7%	10.9%
Total	Count	97	194	197	488	
	% within Q4_5.	19.9%	39.8%	40.4%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_6 (Satisfaction of Cleanliness) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q4_6. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Cleanliness (example: litter & graffiti removal).	Very satisfied	Count	25	32	26	83
		% within Q4_6.	30.1%	38.6%	31.3%	100.0%
		% within D2.	11.2%	8.2%	6.9%	8.4%
	Satisfied	Count	118	199	205	522
		% within Q4_6.	22.6%	38.1%	39.3%	100.0%
		% within D2.	52.9%	51.0%	54.7%	52.8%
	Dissatisfied	Count	61	109	99	269
		% within Q4_6.	22.7%	40.5%	36.8%	100.0%
		% within D2.	27.4%	27.9%	26.4%	27.2%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	19	50	45	114
		% within Q4_6.	16.7%	43.9%	39.5%	100.0%
		% within D2.	8.5%	12.8%	12.0%	11.5%
Total	Count	223	390	375	988	
	% within Q4_6.	22.6%	39.5%	38.0%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_7 (Satisfaction of Community Planning) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q4_7. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Community planning / land use planning and approvals.	Very satisfied	Count	8	8	6	22
		% within Q4_7.	36.4%	36.4%	27.3%	100.0%
		% within D2.	4.4%	2.5%	1.8%	2.6%
	Satisfied	Count	64	111	107	282
		% within Q4_7.	22.7%	39.4%	37.9%	100.0%
		% within D2.	35.2%	34.4%	32.2%	33.7%
	Dissatisfied	Count	69	122	116	307
		% within Q4_7.	22.5%	39.7%	37.8%	100.0%
		% within D2.	37.9%	37.8%	34.9%	36.7%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	41	82	103	226
		% within Q4_7.	18.1%	36.3%	45.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	22.5%	25.4%	31.0%	27.0%
Total	Count	182	323	332	837	
	% within Q4_7.	21.7%	38.6%	39.7%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_8 (Satisfaction of Community Standards) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q4_8. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Community standards (example: by-law enforcement).	Very satisfied	Count	11	12	11	34
		% within Q4_8.	32.4%	35.3%	32.4%	100.0%
		% within D2.	6.0%	3.4%	3.3%	3.9%
	Satisfied	Count	89	199	168	456
		% within Q4_8.	19.5%	43.6%	36.8%	100.0%
		% within D2.	48.9%	56.9%	50.1%	52.6%
	Dissatisfied	Count	54	88	99	241
		% within Q4_8.	22.4%	36.5%	41.1%	100.0%
		% within D2.	29.7%	25.1%	29.6%	27.8%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	28	51	57	136
		% within Q4_8.	20.6%	37.5%	41.9%	100.0%
		% within D2.	15.4%	14.6%	17.0%	15.7%
Total	Count	182	350	335	867	
	% within Q4_8.	21.0%	40.4%	38.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_9 (Satisfaction of Diversity Programming) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q4_9. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Diversity and inclusiveness programs (example: language and culture programming).	Very satisfied	Count	18	36	21	75
		% within Q4_9.	24.0%	48.0%	28.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	12.5%	14.1%	8.5%	11.6%
	Satisfied	Count	77	151	160	388
		% within Q4_9.	19.8%	38.9%	41.2%	100.0%
		% within D2.	53.5%	59.0%	64.5%	59.9%
	Dissatisfied	Count	41	52	45	138
		% within Q4_9.	29.7%	37.7%	32.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	28.5%	20.3%	18.1%	21.3%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	8	17	22	47
		% within Q4_9.	17.0%	36.2%	46.8%	100.0%
		% within D2.	5.6%	6.6%	8.9%	7.3%
Total	Count	144	256	248	648	
	% within Q4_9.	22.2%	39.5%	38.3%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_10 (Satisfaction of Economic Development) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q4_10. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Economic development (example: promoting and connecting Halifax to grow and get business, talent, and investment).	Very satisfied	Count	21	21	13	55
		% within Q4_10.	38.2%	38.2%	23.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	10.8%	6.4%	4.3%	6.7%
	Satisfied	Count	96	169	178	443
		% within Q4_10.	21.7%	38.1%	40.2%	100.0%
		% within D2.	49.5%	51.2%	58.9%	53.6%
	Dissatisfied	Count	58	108	68	234
		% within Q4_10.	24.8%	46.2%	29.1%	100.0%
		% within D2.	29.9%	32.7%	22.5%	28.3%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	19	32	43	94
		% within Q4_10.	20.2%	34.0%	45.7%	100.0%
		% within D2.	9.8%	9.7%	14.2%	11.4%
Total	Count	194	330	302	826	
	% within Q4_10.	23.5%	40.0%	36.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_11 (Satisfaction of Emergency Preparedness) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q4_11. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Emergency preparedness.	Very satisfied	Count	29	49	32	110
		% within Q4_11.	26.4%	44.5%	29.1%	100.0%
		% within D2.	15.0%	13.4%	9.6%	12.4%
	Satisfied	Count	104	224	213	541
		% within Q4_11.	19.2%	41.4%	39.4%	100.0%
		% within D2.	53.9%	61.4%	64.2%	60.8%
	Dissatisfied	Count	42	63	54	159
		% within Q4_11.	26.4%	39.6%	34.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	21.8%	17.3%	16.3%	17.9%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	18	29	33	80
		% within Q4_11.	22.5%	36.3%	41.3%	100.0%
		% within D2.	9.3%	7.9%	9.9%	9.0%
Total	Count	193	365	332	890	
	% within Q4_11.	21.7%	41.0%	37.3%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_12 (Satisfaction of Environmental Protection and Sustainability) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q4_12. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Environmental protection and sustainability.	Very satisfied	Count	11	19	9	39
		% within Q4_12	28.2%	48.7%	23.1%	100.0%
		% within D2.	5.1%	5.3%	2.6%	4.2%
	Satisfied	Count	65	177	180	422
		% within Q4_12	15.4%	41.9%	42.7%	100.0%
		% within D2.	30.4%	49.0%	52.0%	45.8%
	Dissatisfied	Count	88	100	98	286
		% within Q4_12	30.8%	35.0%	34.3%	100.0%
		% within D2.	41.1%	27.7%	28.3%	31.1%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	50	65	59	174
		% within Q4_12	28.7%	37.4%	33.9%	100.0%
		% within D2.	23.4%	18.0%	17.1%	18.9%
Total	Count	214	361	346	921	
	% within Q4_12	23.2%	39.2%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_13 (Satisfaction of Fire Services) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q4_13. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Fire services.	Very satisfied	Count	43	118	95	256
		% within Q4_13.	16.8%	46.1%	37.1%	100.0%
		% within D2.	23.8%	33.4%	26.7%	28.8%
	Satisfied	Count	131	207	236	574
		% within Q4_13.	22.8%	36.1%	41.1%	100.0%
		% within D2.	72.4%	58.6%	66.3%	64.5%
	Dissatisfied	Count	5	23	17	45
		% within Q4_13.	11.1%	51.1%	37.8%	100.0%
		% within D2.	2.8%	6.5%	4.8%	5.1%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	2	5	8	15
		% within Q4_13.	13.3%	33.3%	53.3%	100.0%
		% within D2.	1.1%	1.4%	2.2%	1.7%
Total	Count	181	353	356	890	
	% within Q4_13.	20.3%	39.7%	40.0%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_14 (Satisfaction of Garbage, Recycling, and Organics Collection) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q4_14. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Garbage, recycling, and organics collection.	Very satisfied	Count	64	102	101	267
		% within Q4_14.	24.0%	38.2%	37.8%	100.0%
		% within D2.	28.4%	25.4%	26.8%	26.6%
	Satisfied	Count	127	238	216	581
		% within Q4_14.	21.9%	41.0%	37.2%	100.0%
		% within D2.	56.4%	59.4%	57.3%	57.9%
	Dissatisfied	Count	24	32	45	101
		% within Q4_14.	23.8%	31.7%	44.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	10.7%	8.0%	11.9%	10.1%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	10	29	15	54
		% within Q4_14.	18.5%	53.7%	27.8%	100.0%
		% within D2.	4.4%	7.2%	4.0%	5.4%
Total	Count	225	401	377	1003	
	% within Q4_14.	22.4%	40.0%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_15 (Satisfaction of Halifax Public Libraries) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q4_15. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Halifax Public Libraries.	Very satisfied	Count	130	188	161	479
		% within Q4_15.	27.1%	39.2%	33.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	60.7%	49.3%	45.6%	50.5%
	Satisfied	Count	79	178	166	423
		% within Q4_15.	18.7%	42.1%	39.2%	100.0%
		% within D2.	36.9%	46.7%	47.0%	44.6%
	Dissatisfied	Count	5	7	17	29
		% within Q4_15.	17.2%	24.1%	58.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	2.3%	1.8%	4.8%	3.1%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	0	8	9	17
		% within Q4_15.	0.0%	47.1%	52.9%	100.0%
		% within D2.	0.0%	2.1%	2.5%	1.8%
Total	Count	214	381	353	948	
	% within Q4_15.	22.6%	40.2%	37.2%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_16 (Satisfaction of Overall City Maintenance) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q4_16. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Overall city maintenance.	Very satisfied	Count	13	26	23	62
		% within Q4_16.	21.0%	41.9%	37.1%	100.0%
		% within D2.	5.7%	6.6%	6.4%	6.3%
	Satisfied	Count	158	230	220	608
		% within Q4_16.	26.0%	37.8%	36.2%	100.0%
		% within D2.	69.6%	58.4%	61.3%	62.0%
	Dissatisfied	Count	49	111	85	245
		% within Q4_16.	20.0%	45.3%	34.7%	100.0%
		% within D2.	21.6%	28.2%	23.7%	25.0%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	7	27	31	65
		% within Q4_16.	10.8%	41.5%	47.7%	100.0%
		% within D2.	3.1%	6.9%	8.6%	6.6%
Total	Count	227	394	359	980	
	% within Q4_16.	23.2%	40.2%	36.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_17 (Satisfaction of Parking Enforcement) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q4_17. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Parking enforcement.	Very satisfied	Count	16	21	19	56
		% within Q4_17.	28.6%	37.5%	33.9%	100.0%
		% within D2.	8.4%	6.4%	6.3%	6.8%
	Satisfied	Count	92	182	192	466
		% within Q4_17.	19.7%	39.1%	41.2%	100.0%
		% within D2.	48.2%	55.3%	63.6%	56.7%
	Dissatisfied	Count	49	81	60	190
		% within Q4_17.	25.8%	42.6%	31.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	25.7%	24.6%	19.9%	23.1%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	34	45	31	110
		% within Q4_17.	30.9%	40.9%	28.2%	100.0%
		% within D2.	17.8%	13.7%	10.3%	13.4%
Total	Count	191	329	302	822	
	% within Q4_17.	23.2%	40.0%	36.7%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_18 (Satisfaction of Police Services) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q4_18. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Police services.	Very satisfied	Count	29	66	80	175
		% within Q4_18.	16.6%	37.7%	45.7%	100.0%
		% within D2.	14.6%	17.6%	21.7%	18.6%
	Satisfied	Count	114	236	235	585
		% within Q4_18.	19.5%	40.3%	40.2%	100.0%
		% within D2.	57.6%	63.1%	63.9%	62.2%
	Dissatisfied	Count	33	44	36	113
		% within Q4_18.	29.2%	38.9%	31.9%	100.0%
		% within D2.	16.7%	11.8%	9.8%	12.0%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	22	28	17	67
		% within Q4_18.	32.8%	41.8%	25.4%	100.0%
		% within D2.	11.1%	7.5%	4.6%	7.1%
Total	Count	198	374	368	940	
	% within Q4_18.	21.1%	39.8%	39.1%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_19 (Satisfaction of Public Engagement) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q4_19. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Public engagement (example: consultation on projects like Cogswell District).	Very satisfied	Count	27	45	26	98
		% within Q4_19.	27.6%	45.9%	26.5%	100.0%
		% within D2.	14.6%	14.2%	8.6%	12.2%
	Satisfied	Count	90	160	164	414
		% within Q4_19.	21.7%	38.6%	39.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	48.6%	50.3%	54.5%	51.5%
	Dissatisfied	Count	52	71	66	189
		% within Q4_19.	27.5%	37.6%	34.9%	100.0%
		% within D2.	28.1%	22.3%	21.9%	23.5%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	16	42	45	103
		% within Q4_19.	15.5%	40.8%	43.7%	100.0%
		% within D2.	8.6%	13.2%	15.0%	12.8%
Total	Count	185	318	301	804	
	% within Q4_19.	23.0%	39.6%	37.4%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_20 (Satisfaction of Transit - Conventional Bus & Ferry) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q4_20. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Public Transit - Conventional bus & ferry (example: reliability).	Very satisfied	Count	11	26	20	57
		% within Q4_20.	19.3%	45.6%	35.1%	100.0%
		% within D2.	5.1%	7.1%	6.1%	6.3%
	Satisfied	Count	65	144	177	386
		% within Q4_20.	16.8%	37.3%	45.9%	100.0%
		% within D2.	30.2%	39.2%	54.0%	42.4%
	Dissatisfied	Count	90	124	87	301
		% within Q4_20.	29.9%	41.2%	28.9%	100.0%
		% within D2.	41.9%	33.8%	26.5%	33.1%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	49	73	44	166
		% within Q4_20.	29.5%	44.0%	26.5%	100.0%
		% within D2.	22.8%	19.9%	13.4%	18.2%
Total	Count	215	367	328	910	
	% within Q4_20.	23.6%	40.3%	36.0%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_21 (Satisfaction of Transit - Access-a-bus) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q4_21. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Public Transit - Access-a-bus (example: reliability).	Very satisfied	Count	10	15	12	37
		% within Q4_21.	27.0%	40.5%	32.4%	100.0%
		% within D2.	17.5%	12.4%	8.6%	11.7%
	Satisfied	Count	22	53	72	147
		% within Q4_21.	15.0%	36.1%	49.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	38.6%	43.8%	51.8%	46.4%
	Dissatisfied	Count	13	29	34	76
		% within Q4_21.	17.1%	38.2%	44.7%	100.0%
		% within D2.	22.8%	24.0%	24.5%	24.0%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	12	24	21	57
		% within Q4_21.	21.1%	42.1%	36.8%	100.0%
		% within D2.	21.1%	19.8%	15.1%	18.0%
Total	Count	57	121	139	317	
	% within Q4_21.	18.0%	38.2%	43.8%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_22 (Satisfaction of Overall Transit Service) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q4_22. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Overall transit service.	Very satisfied	Count	11	24	16	51
		% within Q4_22.	21.6%	47.1%	31.4%	100.0%
		% within D2.	5.2%	6.6%	5.0%	5.7%
	Satisfied	Count	68	145	168	381
		% within Q4_22.	17.8%	38.1%	44.1%	100.0%
		% within D2.	31.9%	39.8%	52.7%	42.5%
	Dissatisfied	Count	91	124	92	307
		% within Q4_22.	29.6%	40.4%	30.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	42.7%	34.1%	28.8%	34.3%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	43	71	43	157
		% within Q4_22.	27.4%	45.2%	27.4%	100.0%
		% within D2.	20.2%	19.5%	13.5%	17.5%
Total	Count	213	364	319	896	
	% within Q4_22.	23.8%	40.6%	35.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_23 (Satisfaction of Indoor Recreation Facilities) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q4_23. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Indoor recreation facilities (example: community centres, pools).	Very satisfied	Count	24	53	36	113
		% within Q4_23.	21.2%	46.9%	31.9%	100.0%
		% within D2.	13.6%	14.6%	11.2%	13.2%
	Satisfied	Count	116	209	202	527
		% within Q4_23.	22.0%	39.7%	38.3%	100.0%
		% within D2.	65.9%	57.7%	62.9%	61.4%
	Dissatisfied	Count	25	57	60	142
		% within Q4_23.	17.6%	40.1%	42.3%	100.0%
		% within D2.	14.2%	15.7%	18.7%	16.5%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	11	43	23	77
		% within Q4_23.	14.3%	55.8%	29.9%	100.0%
		% within D2.	6.3%	11.9%	7.2%	9.0%
Total	Count	176	362	321	859	
	% within Q4_23.	20.5%	42.1%	37.4%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_24 (Satisfaction of Outdoor Recreation Facilities) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q4_24. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Outdoor recreation facilities (example: sports fields).	Very satisfied	Count	29	45	30	104
		% within Q4_24.	27.9%	43.3%	28.8%	100.0%
		% within D2.	15.0%	12.6%	10.3%	12.3%
	Satisfied	Count	130	217	182	529
		% within Q4_24.	24.6%	41.0%	34.4%	100.0%
		% within D2.	67.4%	60.6%	62.3%	62.8%
	Dissatisfied	Count	19	61	60	140
		% within Q4_24.	13.6%	43.6%	42.9%	100.0%
		% within D2.	9.8%	17.0%	20.5%	16.6%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	15	35	20	70
		% within Q4_24.	21.4%	50.0%	28.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	7.8%	9.8%	6.8%	8.3%
Total	Count	193	358	292	843	
	% within Q4_24.	22.9%	42.5%	34.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_25 (Satisfaction of Recreation Programming) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q4_25. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Recreation programming (example: swimming, camps).	Very satisfied	Count	24	46	28	98
		% within Q4_25.	24.5%	46.9%	28.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	16.6%	14.7%	11.7%	14.1%
	Satisfied	Count	93	180	147	420
		% within Q4_25.	22.1%	42.9%	35.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	64.1%	57.7%	61.3%	60.3%
	Dissatisfied	Count	20	59	41	120
		% within Q4_25.	16.7%	49.2%	34.2%	100.0%
		% within D2.	13.8%	18.9%	17.1%	17.2%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	8	27	24	59
		% within Q4_25.	13.6%	45.8%	40.7%	100.0%
		% within D2.	5.5%	8.7%	10.0%	8.5%
Total	Count	145	312	240	697	
	% within Q4_25.	20.8%	44.8%	34.4%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_26 (Satisfaction of Sidewalk Maintenance) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q4_26. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Sidewalk maintenance.	Very satisfied	Count	27	27	23	77
		% within Q4_26.	35.1%	35.1%	29.9%	100.0%
		% within D2.	11.9%	7.2%	6.6%	8.1%
	Satisfied	Count	114	183	169	466
		% within Q4_26.	24.5%	39.3%	36.3%	100.0%
		% within D2.	50.4%	49.1%	48.8%	49.3%
	Dissatisfied	Count	69	99	98	266
		% within Q4_26.	25.9%	37.2%	36.8%	100.0%
		% within D2.	30.5%	26.5%	28.3%	28.1%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	16	64	56	136
		% within Q4_26.	11.8%	47.1%	41.2%	100.0%
		% within D2.	7.1%	17.2%	16.2%	14.4%
Total	Count	226	373	346	945	
	% within Q4_26.	23.9%	39.5%	36.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_27 (Satisfaction of Street / Road Maintenance) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q4_27. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Street / road maintenance.	Very satisfied	Count	12	12	11	35
		% within Q4_27.	34.3%	34.3%	31.4%	100.0%
		% within D2.	5.2%	3.0%	2.9%	3.5%
	Satisfied	Count	80	132	119	331
		% within Q4_27.	24.2%	39.9%	36.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	34.9%	33.3%	31.6%	33.1%
	Dissatisfied	Count	95	133	142	370
		% within Q4_27.	25.7%	35.9%	38.4%	100.0%
		% within D2.	41.5%	33.6%	37.8%	37.0%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	42	119	104	265
		% within Q4_27.	15.8%	44.9%	39.2%	100.0%
		% within D2.	18.3%	30.1%	27.7%	26.5%
Total	Count	229	396	376	1001	
	% within Q4_27.	22.9%	39.6%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_28 (Satisfaction of Traffic Management) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q4_28. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Traffic management (example: signals).	Very satisfied	Count	20	17	24	61
		% within Q4_28.	32.8%	27.9%	39.3%	100.0%
		% within D2.	8.8%	4.4%	6.6%	6.2%
	Satisfied	Count	100	190	215	505
		% within Q4_28.	19.8%	37.6%	42.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	44.2%	49.0%	59.2%	51.7%
	Dissatisfied	Count	73	103	84	260
		% within Q4_28.	28.1%	39.6%	32.3%	100.0%
		% within D2.	32.3%	26.5%	23.1%	26.6%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	33	78	40	151
		% within Q4_28.	21.9%	51.7%	26.5%	100.0%
		% within D2.	14.6%	20.1%	11.0%	15.5%
Total	Count	226	388	363	977	
	% within Q4_28.	23.1%	39.7%	37.2%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_29 (Satisfaction of Traffic / Pedestrian Safety) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q4_29. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Traffic / pedestrian safety.	Very satisfied	Count	19	21	17	57
		% within Q4_29.	33.3%	36.8%	29.8%	100.0%
		% within D2.	8.3%	5.3%	4.6%	5.8%
	Satisfied	Count	85	178	177	440
		% within Q4_29.	19.3%	40.5%	40.2%	100.0%
		% within D2.	37.3%	45.2%	48.2%	44.5%
	Dissatisfied	Count	71	102	114	287
		% within Q4_29.	24.7%	35.5%	39.7%	100.0%
		% within D2.	31.1%	25.9%	31.1%	29.0%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	53	93	59	205
		% within Q4_29.	25.9%	45.4%	28.8%	100.0%
		% within D2.	23.2%	23.6%	16.1%	20.7%
Total	Count	228	394	367	989	
	% within Q4_29.	23.1%	39.8%	37.1%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_30 (Satisfaction of Winter Maintenance) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q4_30. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Winter maintenance (example: snow and ice removal).	Very satisfied	Count	19	15	17	51
		% within Q4_30.	37.3%	29.4%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within D2.	8.4%	3.8%	4.5%	5.1%
	Satisfied	Count	81	172	155	408
		% within Q4_30.	19.9%	42.2%	38.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	36.0%	43.2%	41.2%	40.8%
	Dissatisfied	Count	81	113	122	316
		% within Q4_30.	25.6%	35.8%	38.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	36.0%	28.4%	32.4%	31.6%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	44	98	82	224
		% within Q4_30.	19.6%	43.8%	36.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	19.6%	24.6%	21.8%	22.4%
Total	Count	225	398	376	999	
	% within Q4_30.	22.5%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q5 (Satisfaction of Services) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q5. Overall, how satisfied are you with the delivery of all the services provided by the municipality?	Very satisfied	Count	5	16	16	37
		% within Q5.	13.5%	43.2%	43.2%	100.0%
		% within D2.	2.2%	4.0%	4.2%	3.7%
	Satisfied	Count	145	239	234	618
		% within Q5.	23.5%	38.7%	37.9%	100.0%
		% within D2.	64.4%	59.6%	61.9%	61.6%
	Dissatisfied	Count	71	126	110	307
		% within Q5.	23.1%	41.0%	35.8%	100.0%
		% within D2.	31.6%	31.4%	29.1%	30.6%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	4	20	18	42
		% within Q5.	9.5%	47.6%	42.9%	100.0%
		% within D2.	1.8%	5.0%	4.8%	4.2%
Total	Count	225	401	378	1004	
	% within Q5.	22.4%	39.9%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q6_1 (Importance of Governance and Engagement) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q6_1. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Governance and Engagement.	Very important	Count	91	168	180	439
		% within Q6_1.	20.7%	38.3%	41.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	39.4%	41.3%	46.9%	43.0%
	Important	Count	121	209	172	502
		% within Q6_1.	24.1%	41.6%	34.3%	100.0%
		% within D2.	52.4%	51.4%	44.8%	49.1%
	Not important	Count	16	24	29	69
		% within Q6_1.	23.2%	34.8%	42.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	6.9%	5.9%	7.6%	6.8%
	Not at all important	Count	3	6	3	12
		% within Q6_1.	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	1.3%	1.5%	0.8%	1.2%
	Total	Count	231	407	384	1022
		% within Q6_1.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q6_2 (Importance of Economic Development) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q6_2. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Economic Development.	Very important	Count	100	162	152	414
		% within Q6_2.	24.2%	39.1%	36.7%	100.0%
		% within D2.	43.3%	39.8%	39.6%	40.5%
	Important	Count	105	206	190	501
		% within Q6_2.	21.0%	41.1%	37.9%	100.0%
		% within D2.	45.5%	50.6%	49.5%	49.0%
	Not important	Count	22	30	37	89
		% within Q6_2.	24.7%	33.7%	41.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	9.5%	7.4%	9.6%	8.7%
	Not at all important	Count	4	9	5	18
		% within Q6_2.	22.2%	50.0%	27.8%	100.0%
		% within D2.	1.7%	2.2%	1.3%	1.8%
Total	Count	231	407	384	1022	
	% within Q6_2.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q6_3 (Importance of Healthy Liveable Communities) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q6_3. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Healthy Liveable Communities.	Very important	Count	165	267	254	686
		% within Q6_3.	24.1%	38.9%	37.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	71.4%	65.6%	66.1%	67.1%
	Important	Count	53	123	113	289
		% within Q6_3.	18.3%	42.6%	39.1%	100.0%
		% within D2.	22.9%	30.2%	29.4%	28.3%
	Not important	Count	11	15	14	40
		% within Q6_3.	27.5%	37.5%	35.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	4.8%	3.7%	3.6%	3.9%
	Not at all important	Count	2	2	3	7
		% within Q6_3.	28.6%	28.6%	42.9%	100.0%
		% within D2.	0.9%	0.5%	0.8%	0.7%
Total	Count	231	407	384	1022	
	% within Q6_3.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q6_4 (Importance of Transportation) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q6_4. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Transportation.	Very important	Count	169	244	203	616
		% within Q6_4.	27.4%	39.6%	33.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	73.2%	60.0%	52.9%	60.3%
	Important	Count	60	146	165	371
		% within Q6_4.	16.2%	39.4%	44.5%	100.0%
		% within D2.	26.0%	35.9%	43.0%	36.3%
	Not important	Count	2	14	15	31
		% within Q6_4.	6.5%	45.2%	48.4%	100.0%
		% within D2.	0.9%	3.4%	3.9%	3.0%
	Not at all important	Count	0	3	1	4
		% within Q6_4.	0.0%	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	0.0%	0.7%	0.3%	0.4%
Total	Count	231	407	384	1022	
	% within Q6_4.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q6_5 (Importance of Service Delivery) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q6_5. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Service Delivery.	Very important	Count	46	99	129	274
		% within Q6_5.	16.8%	36.1%	47.1%	100.0%
		% within D2.	19.9%	24.3%	33.6%	26.8%
	Important	Count	126	266	217	609
		% within Q6_5.	20.7%	43.7%	35.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	54.5%	65.4%	56.5%	59.6%
	Not important	Count	53	38	37	128
		% within Q6_5.	41.4%	29.7%	28.9%	100.0%
		% within D2.	22.9%	9.3%	9.6%	12.5%
	Not at all important	Count	6	4	1	11
		% within Q6_5.	54.5%	36.4%	9.1%	100.0%
		% within D2.	2.6%	1.0%	0.3%	1.1%
Total	Count	231	407	384	1022	
	% within Q6_5.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q6_6 (Importance of Social Development) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q6_6. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Social Development.	Very important	Count	95	149	138	382
		% within Q6_6.	24.9%	39.0%	36.1%	100.0%
		% within D2.	41.1%	36.6%	35.9%	37.4%
	Important	Count	94	186	178	458
		% within Q6_6.	20.5%	40.6%	38.9%	100.0%
		% within D2.	40.7%	45.7%	46.4%	44.8%
	Not important	Count	25	52	61	138
		% within Q6_6.	18.1%	37.7%	44.2%	100.0%
		% within D2.	10.8%	12.8%	15.9%	13.5%
	Not at all important	Count	17	20	7	44
		% within Q6_6.	38.6%	45.5%	15.9%	100.0%
		% within D2.	7.4%	4.9%	1.8%	4.3%
Total	Count	231	407	384	1022	
	% within Q6_6.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q7 (Service Level) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q7. For the following Governance & Engagement service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Public engagement (consultations like this one).	Increase service levels	Count	74	110	117	301
		% within Q7.	24.6%	36.5%	38.9%	100.0%
		% within D2.	32.0%	27.0%	30.5%	29.5%
	Maintain service levels	Count	144	268	242	654
		% within Q7.	22.0%	41.0%	37.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	62.3%	65.8%	63.0%	64.0%
	Decrease service levels	Count	13	29	25	67
		% within Q7.	19.4%	43.3%	37.3%	100.0%
		% within D2.	5.6%	7.1%	6.5%	6.6%
	Total	Count	231	407	384	1022
		% within Q7.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q8_1 (Service Level of Business Support Services) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q8_1. For the following Economic Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Business support services.	Increase service levels	Count	41	77	68	186
		% within Q8_1.	22.0%	41.4%	36.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	17.7%	18.9%	17.7%	18.2%
	Maintain service levels	Count	160	291	267	718
		% within Q8_1.	22.3%	40.5%	37.2%	100.0%
		% within D2.	69.3%	71.5%	69.5%	70.3%
	Decrease service levels	Count	30	39	49	118
		% within Q8_1.	25.4%	33.1%	41.5%	100.0%
		% within D2.	13.0%	9.6%	12.8%	11.5%
	Total	Count	231	407	384	1022
		% within Q8_1.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q8_2 (Service Level of Community Planning) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q8_2. For the following Economic Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Community planning / development approvals.	Increase service levels	Count	90	153	154	397
		% within Q8_2.	22.7%	38.5%	38.8%	100.0%
		% within D2.	39.0%	37.6%	40.1%	38.8%
	Maintain service levels	Count	126	230	200	556
		% within Q8_2.	22.7%	41.4%	36.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	54.5%	56.5%	52.1%	54.4%
	Decrease service levels	Count	15	24	30	69
		% within Q8_2.	21.7%	34.8%	43.5%	100.0%
		% within D2.	6.5%	5.9%	7.8%	6.8%
Total	Count	231	407	384	1022	
	% within Q8_2.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q8_3 (Service Level of Economic Development) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q8_3. For the following Economic Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Economic development.	Increase service levels	Count	86	152	119	357
		% within Q8_3.	24.1%	42.6%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within D2.	37.2%	37.3%	31.0%	34.9%
	Maintain service levels	Count	119	226	231	576
		% within Q8_3.	20.7%	39.2%	40.1%	100.0%
		% within D2.	51.5%	55.5%	60.2%	56.4%
	Decrease service levels	Count	26	29	34	89
		% within Q8_3.	29.2%	32.6%	38.2%	100.0%
		% within D2.	11.3%	7.1%	8.9%	8.7%
Total	Count	231	407	384	1022	
	% within Q8_3.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_1 (Service Level of Bike Lanes / Cycling Facilities) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q9_1. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Bike lanes / cycling facilities.	Increase service levels	Count	132	177	137	446
		% within Q9_1.	29.6%	39.7%	30.7%	100.0%
		% within D2.	57.1%	43.5%	35.7%	43.6%
	Maintain service levels	Count	59	106	122	287
		% within Q9_1.	20.6%	36.9%	42.5%	100.0%
		% within D2.	25.5%	26.0%	31.8%	28.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	40	124	125	289
		% within Q9_1.	13.8%	42.9%	43.3%	100.0%
		% within D2.	17.3%	30.5%	32.6%	28.3%
Total	Count	231	407	384	1022	
	% within Q9_1.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_2 (Service Level of Parking Enforcement) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q9_2. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Parking enforcement.	Increase service levels	Count	39	70	72	181
		% within Q9_2.	21.5%	38.7%	39.8%	100.0%
		% within D2.	16.9%	17.2%	18.8%	17.7%
	Maintain service levels	Count	114	254	246	614
		% within Q9_2.	18.6%	41.4%	40.1%	100.0%
		% within D2.	49.4%	62.4%	64.1%	60.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	78	83	66	227
		% within Q9_2.	34.4%	36.6%	29.1%	100.0%
		% within D2.	33.8%	20.4%	17.2%	22.2%
Total	Count	231	407	384	1022	
	% within Q9_2.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_3 (Service Level of Public Transit - Conventional Bus & Ferry) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q9_3. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Public transit - conventional bus / ferry.	Increase service levels	Count	155	237	207	599
		% within Q9_3.	25.9%	39.6%	34.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	67.1%	58.2%	53.9%	58.6%
	Maintain service levels	Count	73	156	167	396
		% within Q9_3.	18.4%	39.4%	42.2%	100.0%
		% within D2.	31.6%	38.3%	43.5%	38.7%
	Decrease service levels	Count	3	14	10	27
		% within Q9_3.	11.1%	51.9%	37.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	1.3%	3.4%	2.6%	2.6%
Total	Count	231	407	384	1022	
	% within Q9_3.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_4 (Service Level of Public Transit - Access-A-Bus) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q9_4. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Public transit - Access-A-Bus.	Increase service levels	Count	71	133	144	348
		% within Q9_4.	20.4%	38.2%	41.4%	100.0%
		% within D2.	30.7%	32.7%	37.5%	34.1%
	Maintain service levels	Count	147	257	228	632
		% within Q9_4.	23.3%	40.7%	36.1%	100.0%
		% within D2.	63.6%	63.1%	59.4%	61.8%
	Decrease service levels	Count	13	17	12	42
		% within Q9_4.	31.0%	40.5%	28.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	5.6%	4.2%	3.1%	4.1%
Total	Count	231	407	384	1022	
	% within Q9_4.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_5 (Service Level of Overall Transit Service) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q9_5. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Overall transit service.	Increase service levels	Count	151	232	194	577
		% within Q9_5.	26.2%	40.2%	33.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	65.4%	57.0%	50.5%	56.5%
	Maintain service levels	Count	77	164	177	418
		% within Q9_5.	18.4%	39.2%	42.3%	100.0%
		% within D2.	33.3%	40.3%	46.1%	40.9%
	Decrease service levels	Count	3	11	13	27
		% within Q9_5.	11.1%	40.7%	48.1%	100.0%
		% within D2.	1.3%	2.7%	3.4%	2.6%
Total	Count	231	407	384	1022	
	% within Q9_5.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_6 (Service Level of Sidewalk Maintenance) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q9_6. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Sidewalk maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	82	153	157	392
		% within Q9_6.	20.9%	39.0%	40.1%	100.0%
		% within D2.	35.5%	37.6%	40.9%	38.4%
	Maintain service levels	Count	140	239	210	589
		% within Q9_6.	23.8%	40.6%	35.7%	100.0%
		% within D2.	60.6%	58.7%	54.7%	57.6%
	Decrease service levels	Count	9	15	17	41
		% within Q9_6.	22.0%	36.6%	41.5%	100.0%
		% within D2.	3.9%	3.7%	4.4%	4.0%
Total	Count	231	407	384	1022	
	% within Q9_6.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_7 (Service Level of Street / Road Maintenance) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q9_7. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Street / road maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	119	231	238	588
		% within Q9_7.	20.2%	39.3%	40.5%	100.0%
		% within D2.	51.5%	56.8%	62.0%	57.5%
	Maintain service levels	Count	101	168	141	410
		% within Q9_7.	24.6%	41.0%	34.4%	100.0%
		% within D2.	43.7%	41.3%	36.7%	40.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	11	8	5	24
		% within Q9_7.	45.8%	33.3%	20.8%	100.0%
		% within D2.	4.8%	2.0%	1.3%	2.3%
Total	Count	231	407	384	1022	
	% within Q9_7.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_8 (Service Level of Traffic Management) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q9_8. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Traffic management.	Increase service levels	Count	82	156	111	349
		% within Q9_8.	23.5%	44.7%	31.8%	100.0%
		% within D2.	35.5%	38.3%	28.9%	34.1%
	Maintain service levels	Count	134	237	252	623
		% within Q9_8.	21.5%	38.0%	40.4%	100.0%
		% within D2.	58.0%	58.2%	65.6%	61.0%
	Decrease service levels	Count	15	14	21	50
		% within Q9_8.	30.0%	28.0%	42.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	6.5%	3.4%	5.5%	4.9%
Total	Count	231	407	384	1022	
	% within Q9_8.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_9 (Service Level of Traffic / Pedestrian Safety) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q9_9. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Traffic / pedestrian safety.	Increase service levels	Count	104	192	169	465
		% within Q9_9.	22.4%	41.3%	36.3%	100.0%
		% within D2.	45.0%	47.2%	44.0%	45.5%
	Maintain service levels	Count	115	199	199	513
		% within Q9_9.	22.4%	38.8%	38.8%	100.0%
		% within D2.	49.8%	48.9%	51.8%	50.2%
	Decrease service levels	Count	12	16	16	44
		% within Q9_9.	27.3%	36.4%	36.4%	100.0%
		% within D2.	5.2%	3.9%	4.2%	4.3%
Total	Count	231	407	384	1022	
	% within Q9_9.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_10 (Service Level of Winter Maintenance) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q9_10. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Winter maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	114	203	201	518
		% within Q9_10.	22.0%	39.2%	38.8%	100.0%
		% within D2.	49.4%	49.9%	52.3%	50.7%
	Maintain service levels	Count	110	198	177	485
		% within Q9_10.	22.7%	40.8%	36.5%	100.0%
		% within D2.	47.6%	48.6%	46.1%	47.5%
	Decrease service levels	Count	7	6	6	19
		% within Q9_10.	36.8%	31.6%	31.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	3.0%	1.5%	1.6%	1.9%
Total	Count	231	407	384	1022	
	% within Q9_10.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_1 (Service Level of Arts and Cultural Programming) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q10_1. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Arts and cultural facilities and program.	Increase service levels	Count	70	103	87	260
		% within Q10_1.	26.9%	39.6%	33.5%	100.0%
		% within D2.	30.3%	25.3%	22.7%	25.4%
	Maintain service levels	Count	126	225	212	563
		% within Q10_1.	22.4%	40.0%	37.7%	100.0%
		% within D2.	54.5%	55.3%	55.2%	55.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	35	79	85	199
		% within Q10_1.	17.6%	39.7%	42.7%	100.0%
		% within D2.	15.2%	19.4%	22.1%	19.5%
Total	Count	231	407	384	1022	
	% within Q10_1.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_2 (Service Level of Cleanliness) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q10_2. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Cleanliness (litter and graffiti removal).	Increase service levels	Count	73	120	108	301
		% within Q10_2.	24.3%	39.9%	35.9%	100.0%
		% within D2.	31.6%	29.5%	28.1%	29.5%
	Maintain service levels	Count	123	238	222	583
		% within Q10_2.	21.1%	40.8%	38.1%	100.0%
		% within D2.	53.2%	58.5%	57.8%	57.0%
	Decrease service levels	Count	35	49	54	138
		% within Q10_2.	25.4%	35.5%	39.1%	100.0%
		% within D2.	15.2%	12.0%	14.1%	13.5%
Total	Count	231	407	384	1022	
	% within Q10_2.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_3 (Service Level of Community Beautification) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q10_3. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Community beautification.	Increase service levels	Count	76	129	146	351
		% within Q10_3.	21.7%	36.8%	41.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	32.9%	31.7%	38.1%	34.4%
	Maintain service levels	Count	150	272	231	653
		% within Q10_3.	23.0%	41.7%	35.4%	100.0%
		% within D2.	64.9%	66.8%	60.3%	64.0%
	Decrease service levels	Count	5	6	6	17
		% within Q10_3.	29.4%	35.3%	35.3%	100.0%
		% within D2.	2.2%	1.5%	1.6%	1.7%
Total	Count	231	407	383	1021	
	% within Q10_3.	22.6%	39.9%	37.5%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_4 (Service Level of Emergency Preparedness) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q10_4. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Emergency preparedness.	Increase service levels	Count	161	208	181	550
		% within Q10_4.	29.3%	37.8%	32.9%	100.0%
		% within D2.	69.7%	51.1%	47.1%	53.8%
	Maintain service levels	Count	61	180	188	429
		% within Q10_4.	14.2%	42.0%	43.8%	100.0%
		% within D2.	26.4%	44.2%	49.0%	42.0%
	Decrease service levels	Count	9	19	15	43
		% within Q10_4.	20.9%	44.2%	34.9%	100.0%
		% within D2.	3.9%	4.7%	3.9%	4.2%
Total	Count	231	407	384	1022	
	% within Q10_4.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_5 (Service Level of Environmental Protection and Sustainability) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q10_5. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Environmental protection and sustainability.	Increase service levels	Count	25	44	68	137
		% within Q10_5.	18.2%	32.1%	49.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	10.8%	10.8%	17.7%	13.4%
	Maintain service levels	Count	201	347	304	852
		% within Q10_5.	23.6%	40.7%	35.7%	100.0%
		% within D2.	87.0%	85.5%	79.2%	83.4%
	Decrease service levels	Count	5	15	12	32
		% within Q10_5.	15.6%	46.9%	37.5%	100.0%
		% within D2.	2.2%	3.7%	3.1%	3.1%
Total	Count	231	406	384	1021	
	% within Q10_5.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_6 (Service Level of Fire Services) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q10_6. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Fire services.	Increase service levels	Count	40	60	69	169
		% within Q10_6.	23.7%	35.5%	40.8%	100.0%
		% within D2.	17.3%	14.7%	18.0%	16.5%
	Maintain service levels	Count	185	338	308	831
		% within Q10_6.	22.3%	40.7%	37.1%	100.0%
		% within D2.	80.1%	83.0%	80.2%	81.3%
	Decrease service levels	Count	6	9	7	22
		% within Q10_6.	27.3%	40.9%	31.8%	100.0%
		% within D2.	2.6%	2.2%	1.8%	2.2%
Total	Count	231	407	384	1022	
	% within Q10_6.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_7 (Service Level of Garbage, Recycling, and Organics Collection) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q10_7. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Garbage, recycling, and organics collection.	Increase service levels	Count	39	55	55	149
		% within Q10_7.	26.2%	36.9%	36.9%	100.0%
		% within D2.	16.9%	13.5%	14.3%	14.6%
	Maintain service levels	Count	173	319	293	785
		% within Q10_7.	22.0%	40.6%	37.3%	100.0%
		% within D2.	74.9%	78.4%	76.3%	76.8%
	Decrease service levels	Count	19	33	36	88
		% within Q10_7.	21.6%	37.5%	40.9%	100.0%
		% within D2.	8.2%	8.1%	9.4%	8.6%
Total	Count	231	407	384	1022	
	% within Q10_7.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_8 (Service Level of Halifax Public Libraries) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q10_8. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Halifax Public Libraries.	Increase service levels	Count	60	125	126	311
		% within Q10_8.	19.3%	40.2%	40.5%	100.0%
		% within D2.	26.0%	30.7%	32.9%	30.5%
	Maintain service levels	Count	162	271	244	677
		% within Q10_8.	23.9%	40.0%	36.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	70.1%	66.6%	63.7%	66.3%
	Decrease service levels	Count	9	11	13	33
		% within Q10_8.	27.3%	33.3%	39.4%	100.0%
		% within D2.	3.9%	2.7%	3.4%	3.2%
Total	Count	231	407	383	1021	
	% within Q10_8.	22.6%	39.9%	37.5%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_9 (Service Level of Overall City Maintenance) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q10_9. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Overall city maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	98	160	114	372
		% within Q10_9.	26.3%	43.0%	30.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	42.4%	39.3%	29.7%	36.4%
	Maintain service levels	Count	127	232	250	609
		% within Q10_9.	20.9%	38.1%	41.1%	100.0%
		% within D2.	55.0%	57.0%	65.1%	59.6%
	Decrease service levels	Count	6	15	20	41
		% within Q10_9.	14.6%	36.6%	48.8%	100.0%
		% within D2.	2.6%	3.7%	5.2%	4.0%
Total	Count	231	407	384	1022	
	% within Q10_9.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_10 (Service Level of Parks, Playgrounds, and Green Spaces) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q10_10. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Parks, playgrounds, and green spaces.	Increase service levels	Count	61	111	87	259
		% within Q10_10.	23.6%	42.9%	33.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	26.4%	27.3%	22.7%	25.3%
	Maintain service levels	Count	169	290	288	747
		% within Q10_10.	22.6%	38.8%	38.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	73.2%	71.3%	75.0%	73.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	1	6	9	16
		% within Q10_10.	6.3%	37.5%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within D2.	0.4%	1.5%	2.3%	1.6%
Total	Count	231	407	384	1022	
	% within Q10_10.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_11 (Service Level of Police Services) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q10_11. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Police services.	Increase service levels	Count	24	64	87	175
		% within Q10_11.	13.7%	36.6%	49.7%	100.0%
		% within D2.	10.4%	15.7%	22.7%	17.1%
	Maintain service levels	Count	166	303	280	749
		% within Q10_11.	22.2%	40.5%	37.4%	100.0%
		% within D2.	71.9%	74.4%	72.9%	73.3%
	Decrease service levels	Count	41	40	17	98
		% within Q10_11.	41.8%	40.8%	17.3%	100.0%
		% within D2.	17.7%	9.8%	4.4%	9.6%
Total	Count	231	407	384	1022	
	% within Q10_11.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_12 (Service Level of Indoor Recreation Facilities) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q10_12. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Indoor recreation facilities.	Increase service levels	Count	55	115	79	249
		% within Q10_12.	22.1%	46.2%	31.7%	100.0%
		% within D2.	23.8%	28.3%	20.6%	24.4%
	Maintain service levels	Count	166	270	277	713
		% within Q10_12.	23.3%	37.9%	38.8%	100.0%
		% within D2.	71.9%	66.3%	72.1%	69.8%
	Decrease service levels	Count	10	22	28	60
		% within Q10_12.	16.7%	36.7%	46.7%	100.0%
		% within D2.	4.3%	5.4%	7.3%	5.9%
Total	Count	231	407	384	1022	
	% within Q10_12.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_13 (Service Level of Outdoor Recreation Facilities) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q10_13. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Outdoor recreation facilities.	Increase service levels	Count	53	122	87	262
		% within Q10_13.	20.2%	46.6%	33.2%	100.0%
		% within D2.	22.9%	30.0%	22.7%	25.6%
	Maintain service levels	Count	165	263	269	697
		% within Q10_13.	23.7%	37.7%	38.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	71.4%	64.6%	70.1%	68.2%
	Decrease service levels	Count	13	22	28	63
		% within Q10_13.	20.6%	34.9%	44.4%	100.0%
		% within D2.	5.6%	5.4%	7.3%	6.2%
Total	Count	231	407	384	1022	
	% within Q10_13.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_14 (Service Level of Recreation Programming) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q10_14. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Recreation programming.	Increase service levels	Count	55	113	88	256
		% within Q10_14.	21.5%	44.1%	34.4%	100.0%
		% within D2.	23.8%	27.8%	22.9%	25.0%
	Maintain service levels	Count	157	272	262	691
		% within Q10_14.	22.7%	39.4%	37.9%	100.0%
		% within D2.	68.0%	66.8%	68.2%	67.6%
	Decrease service levels	Count	19	22	34	75
		% within Q10_14.	25.3%	29.3%	45.3%	100.0%
		% within D2.	8.2%	5.4%	8.9%	7.3%
Total	Count	231	407	384	1022	
	% within Q10_14.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q11_1 (Service Level of Accessibility Programming) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q11_1. For the following Social Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Accessibility programming.	Increase service levels	Count	83	105	130	318
		% within Q11_1.	26.1%	33.0%	40.9%	100.0%
		% within D2.	35.9%	25.8%	33.9%	31.1%
	Maintain service levels	Count	129	280	237	646
		% within Q11_1.	20.0%	43.3%	36.7%	100.0%
		% within D2.	55.8%	68.8%	61.7%	63.2%
	Decrease service levels	Count	19	22	17	58
		% within Q11_1.	32.8%	37.9%	29.3%	100.0%
		% within D2.	8.2%	5.4%	4.4%	5.7%
Total	Count	231	407	384	1022	
	% within Q11_1.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q11_2 (Service Level of Affordability / Free Programming) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q11_2. For the following Social Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Affordability / free programming.	Increase service levels	Count	103	144	148	395
		% within Q11_2.	26.1%	36.5%	37.5%	100.0%
		% within D2.	44.6%	35.4%	38.5%	38.6%
	Maintain service levels	Count	103	230	211	544
		% within Q11_2.	18.9%	42.3%	38.8%	100.0%
		% within D2.	44.6%	56.5%	54.9%	53.2%
	Decrease service levels	Count	25	33	25	83
		% within Q11_2.	30.1%	39.8%	30.1%	100.0%
		% within D2.	10.8%	8.1%	6.5%	8.1%
Total	Count	231	407	384	1022	
	% within Q11_2.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q11_3 (Service Level of Diversity Programming) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
Q11_3. For the following Social Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Diversity and inclusiveness programming.	Increase service levels	Count	95	112	114	321
		% within Q11_3.	29.6%	34.9%	35.5%	100.0%
		% within D2.	41.1%	27.5%	29.7%	31.4%
	Maintain service levels	Count	102	241	221	564
		% within Q11_3.	18.1%	42.7%	39.2%	100.0%
		% within D2.	44.2%	59.2%	57.6%	55.2%
	Decrease service levels	Count	34	54	49	137
		% within Q11_3.	24.8%	39.4%	35.8%	100.0%
		% within D2.	14.7%	13.3%	12.8%	13.4%
Total	Count	231	407	384	1022	
	% within Q11_3.	22.6%	39.8%	37.6%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

D1 (Gender) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?	Man	Count	109	176	175	460
		% within D1.	23.7%	38.3%	38.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	50.0%	44.6%	46.9%	46.7%
	Woman	Count	105	215	198	518
		% within D1.	20.3%	41.5%	38.2%	100.0%
		% within D2.	48.2%	54.4%	53.1%	52.5%
	Non-binary	Count	4	4	0	8
		% within D1.	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	1.8%	1.0%	0.0%	0.8%
Total	Count	218	395	373	986	
	% within D1.	22.1%	40.1%	37.8%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

D3 (Income) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
D3. What was your 2018 total household income before taxes?	Less than \$30,000	Count	40	18	32	90
		% within D3.	44.4%	20.0%	35.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	18.4%	5.1%	10.3%	10.2%
	\$30,000 to less than \$50,000	Count	37	38	61	136
		% within D3.	27.2%	27.9%	44.9%	100.0%
		% within D2.	17.1%	10.8%	19.7%	15.5%
	\$50,000 to less than \$75,000	Count	43	63	83	189
		% within D3.	22.8%	33.3%	43.9%	100.0%
		% within D2.	19.8%	17.8%	26.8%	21.5%
	\$75,000 to less than \$100,000	Count	35	59	54	148
		% within D3.	23.6%	39.9%	36.5%	100.0%
		% within D2.	16.1%	16.7%	17.4%	16.8%
	\$100,000 to less than \$125,000	Count	31	56	38	125
		% within D3.	24.8%	44.8%	30.4%	100.0%
		% within D2.	14.3%	15.9%	12.3%	14.2%
	\$125,000 to less than \$150,000	Count	21	52	20	93
		% within D3.	22.6%	55.9%	21.5%	100.0%
		% within D2.	9.7%	14.7%	6.5%	10.6%
	Over \$150,000	Count	10	67	22	99
		% within D3.	10.1%	67.7%	22.2%	100.0%
		% within D2.	4.6%	19.0%	7.1%	11.3%
	Total	Count	217	353	310	880
		% within D3.	24.7%	40.1%	35.2%	100.0%
		% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

D4 (Employment Status) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
D4. What is your employment status?	Employed full time	Count	170	300	93	563
		% within D4.	30.2%	53.3%	16.5%	100.0%
		% within D2.	74.9%	75.9%	25.0%	56.6%
	Employed part time	Count	17	24	22	63
		% within D4.	27.0%	38.1%	34.9%	100.0%
		% within D2.	7.5%	6.1%	5.9%	6.3%
	Unemployed and currently looking for work	Count	6	10	4	20
		% within D4.	30.0%	50.0%	20.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	2.6%	2.5%	1.1%	2.0%
	Unemployed and not currently looking for work	Count	0	2	3	5
		% within D4.	0.0%	40.0%	60.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	0.0%	0.5%	0.8%	0.5%
	Student	Count	23	2	0	25
		% within D4.	92.0%	8.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	10.1%	0.5%	0.0%	2.5%
	Retired	Count	0	3	211	214
		% within D4.	0.0%	1.4%	98.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	0.0%	0.8%	56.7%	21.5%
	Homemaker	Count	3	7	4	14
		% within D4.	21.4%	50.0%	28.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	1.3%	1.8%	1.1%	1.4%
	Self-employed	Count	8	40	22	70
		% within D4.	11.4%	57.1%	31.4%	100.0%
		% within D2.	3.5%	10.1%	5.9%	7.0%
Unable to work	Count	0	7	13	20	
	% within D4.	0.0%	35.0%	65.0%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	0.0%	1.8%	3.5%	2.0%	
Total	Count	227	395	372	994	
	% within D4.	22.8%	39.7%	37.4%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

D5 (Person with Disabilities) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?	Yes	Count	24	44	66	134
		% within D5.	17.9%	32.8%	49.3%	100.0%
		% within D2.	10.9%	11.6%	18.3%	14.0%
	No	Count	196	336	294	826
		% within D5.	23.7%	40.7%	35.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	89.1%	88.4%	81.7%	86.0%
Total	Count	220	380	360	960	
	% within D5.	22.9%	39.6%	37.5%	100.0%	
	% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

D6 (Acadian or Francophone) by D2 (Age)

		D2. How old are you?			Total	
		18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older		
D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?	Yes - Acadian	Count	16	26	28	70
		% within D6.	22.9%	37.1%	40.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	7.0%	6.6%	7.7%	7.1%
	Yes - Francophone	Count	3	16	11	30
		% within D6.	10.0%	53.3%	36.7%	100.0%
		% within D2.	1.3%	4.1%	3.0%	3.0%
	No	Count	211	349	327	887
		% within D6.	23.8%	39.3%	36.9%	100.0%
		% within D2.	91.7%	89.3%	89.3%	89.9%
Total		Count	230	391	366	987
		% within D6.	23.3%	39.6%	37.1%	100.0%
		% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

D7 (Ethnic Identity) by D2 (Age)

			D2. How old are you?			Total
			18-34 years old	35-54 years old	55 and older	
D7. What is your ethnic identity?	White	Count	180	321	317	818
		% within D7.	22.0%	39.2%	38.8%	100.0%
		% within D2.	84.1%	87.0%	89.8%	87.4%
South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankann etc.)	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankann etc.)	Count	6	4	2	12
		% within D7.	50.0%	33.3%	16.7%	100.0%
		% within D2.	2.8%	1.1%	0.6%	1.3%
Chinese	Chinese	Count	3	3	1	7
		% within D7.	42.9%	42.9%	14.3%	100.0%
		% within D2.	1.4%	0.8%	0.3%	0.7%
Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Count	8	15	10	33
		% within D7.	24.2%	45.5%	30.3%	100.0%
		% within D2.	3.7%	4.1%	2.8%	3.5%
Black (example: African Canadian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Count	2	4	4	10
		% within D7.	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	0.9%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%
Filipino	Filipino	Count	1	0	0	1
		% within D7.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Latin American	Latin American	Count	3	0	0	3
		% within D7.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
Arab	Arab	Count	2	1	0	3
		% within D7.	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	0.9%	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%
Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	Count	0	1	0	1
		% within D7.	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.1%
West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Count	0	1	0	1
		% within D7.	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.1%
Korean	Korean	Count	0	1	1	2
		% within D7.	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%
First Nations (example: North American Indian; includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	First Nations (example: North American Indian; includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Count	1	4	6	11
		% within D7.	9.1%	36.4%	54.5%	100.0%
		% within D2.	0.5%	1.1%	1.7%	1.2%
Métis	Métis	Count	1	4	2	7
		% within D7.	14.3%	57.1%	28.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	0.5%	1.1%	0.6%	0.7%
Inuk	Inuk	Count	0	0	1	1
		% within D7.	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within D2.	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.1%
Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	Count	7	10	9	26
		% within D7.	26.9%	38.5%	34.6%	100.0%
		% within D2.	3.3%	2.7%	2.5%	2.8%
Total	Total	Count	214	369	353	936
		% within D7.	22.9%	39.4%	37.7%	100.0%
		% within D2.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q1 (FSA) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

		D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total	
		Yes	No		
Q1. Select the first three digits of your postal code.	B3H	Count	8	55	63
		% within Q1.	12.7%	87.3%	100.0%
		% within D5.	5.6%	6.5%	6.4%
B3J	Count	2	40	42	
	% within Q1.	4.8%	95.2%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	1.4%	4.7%	4.2%	
B4C	Count	8	26	34	
	% within Q1.	23.5%	76.5%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	5.6%	3.1%	3.4%	
B2Y	Count	6	45	51	
	% within Q1.	11.8%	88.2%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	4.2%	5.3%	5.2%	
B2V	Count	1	30	31	
	% within Q1.	3.2%	96.8%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	0.7%	3.5%	3.1%	
B2W	Count	12	65	77	
	% within Q1.	15.6%	84.4%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	8.4%	7.7%	7.8%	
B2X	Count	2	27	29	
	% within Q1.	6.9%	93.1%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	1.4%	3.2%	2.9%	
B2Z	Count	2	13	15	
	% within Q1.	13.3%	86.7%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	1.4%	1.5%	1.5%	
B3A	Count	11	59	70	
	% within Q1.	15.7%	84.3%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	7.7%	7.0%	7.1%	
B3B	Count	0	3	3	
	% within Q1.	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	0.0%	0.4%	0.3%	
B3E	Count	1	13	14	
	% within Q1.	7.1%	92.9%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	0.7%	1.5%	1.4%	
B3G	Count	3	16	19	
	% within Q1.	15.8%	84.2%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	2.1%	1.9%	1.9%	
B3K	Count	16	70	86	
	% within Q1.	18.6%	81.4%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	11.2%	8.3%	8.7%	
B3L	Count	8	42	50	
	% within Q1.	16.0%	84.0%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	5.6%	5.0%	5.1%	
B3M	Count	15	46	61	
	% within Q1.	24.6%	75.4%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	10.5%	5.4%	6.2%	
B3N	Count	8	29	37	
	% within Q1.	21.6%	78.4%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	5.6%	3.4%	3.7%	

B3P	Count	5	15	20
	% within Q1.	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%
	% within D5.	3.5%	1.8%	2.0%
B3R	Count	1	12	13
	% within Q1.	7.7%	92.3%	100.0%
	% within D5.	0.7%	1.4%	1.3%
B3S	Count	6	16	22
	% within Q1.	27.3%	72.7%	100.0%
	% within D5.	4.2%	1.9%	2.2%
B3T	Count	4	53	57
	% within Q1.	7.0%	93.0%	100.0%
	% within D5.	2.8%	6.3%	5.8%
B3V	Count	2	6	8
	% within Q1.	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%
	% within D5.	1.4%	0.7%	0.8%
B3Z	Count	4	30	34
	% within Q1.	11.8%	88.2%	100.0%
	% within D5.	2.8%	3.5%	3.4%
B4A	Count	2	31	33
	% within Q1.	6.1%	93.9%	100.0%
	% within D5.	1.4%	3.7%	3.3%
B4B	Count	3	24	27
	% within Q1.	11.1%	88.9%	100.0%
	% within D5.	2.1%	2.8%	2.7%
B4E	Count	4	17	21
	% within Q1.	19.0%	81.0%	100.0%
	% within D5.	2.8%	2.0%	2.1%
B4G	Count	0	8	8
	% within Q1.	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within D5.	0.0%	0.9%	0.8%
B0J	Count	7	46	53
	% within Q1.	13.2%	86.8%	100.0%
	% within D5.	4.9%	5.4%	5.4%
B0N	Count	2	9	11
	% within Q1.	18.2%	81.8%	100.0%
	% within D5.	1.4%	1.1%	1.1%
B2T	Count	0	1	1
	% within Q1.	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within D5.	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%
Total	Count	143	847	990
	% within Q1.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q2 (Value of Property Tax) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q2. Thinking about all the programs and services you receive from the municipality, please indicate the degree to which you believe you receive good or poor value for the level of property taxes that you currently pay?	Very good value	Count	6	43	49
		% within Q2.	12.2%	87.8%	100.0%
		% within D5.	4.3%	5.3%	5.1%
	Good value	Count	54	442	496
		% within Q2.	10.9%	89.1%	100.0%
		% within D5.	39.1%	54.2%	52.0%
	Poor value	Count	37	191	228
		% within Q2.	16.2%	83.8%	100.0%
		% within D5.	26.8%	23.4%	23.9%
	Very poor value	Count	15	41	56
		% within Q2.	26.8%	73.2%	100.0%
		% within D5.	10.9%	5.0%	5.9%
	I do not pay property tax	Count	26	98	124
		% within Q2.	21.0%	79.0%	100.0%
		% within D5.	18.8%	12.0%	13.0%
Total	Count	138	815	953	
	% within Q2.	14.5%	85.5%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q3 (Taxes and Fees) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:	Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Count	32	153	185
		% within Q3.	17.3%	82.7%	100.0%
		% within D5.	22.7%	18.1%	18.7%
	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to maintain others	Count	60	389	449
		% within Q3.	13.4%	86.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	42.6%	45.9%	45.4%
	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	Count	49	305	354
		% within Q3.	13.8%	86.2%	100.0%
		% within D5.	34.8%	36.0%	35.8%
Total	Count	141	847	988	
	% within Q3.	14.3%	85.7%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_1 (Satisfaction of Accessibility Programming) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q4_1. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Accessibility programming (example: physical / intellectual access to facilities, services, programs).	Very satisfied	Count	8	67	75
		% within Q4_1.	10.7%	89.3%	100.0%
		% within D5.	7.1%	11.4%	10.7%
	Satisfied	Count	52	364	416
		% within Q4_1.	12.5%	87.5%	100.0%
		% within D5.	46.0%	61.9%	59.3%
	Dissatisfied	Count	34	124	158
		% within Q4_1.	21.5%	78.5%	100.0%
		% within D5.	30.1%	21.1%	22.5%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	19	33	52
		% within Q4_1.	36.5%	63.5%	100.0%
		% within D5.	16.8%	5.6%	7.4%
Total	Count	113	588	701	
	% within Q4_1.	16.1%	83.9%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_2 (Satisfaction of Affordability / Free Programming) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q4_2. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Affordability / free programming.	Very satisfied	Count	10	63	73
		% within Q4_2.	13.7%	86.3%	100.0%
		% within D5.	8.5%	9.6%	9.5%
	Satisfied	Count	70	410	480
		% within Q4_2.	14.6%	85.4%	100.0%
		% within D5.	59.8%	62.6%	62.2%
	Dissatisfied	Count	23	136	159
		% within Q4_2.	14.5%	85.5%	100.0%
		% within D5.	19.7%	20.8%	20.6%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	14	46	60
		% within Q4_2.	23.3%	76.7%	100.0%
		% within D5.	12.0%	7.0%	7.8%
Total	Count	117	655	772	
	% within Q4_2.	15.2%	84.8%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_3 (Satisfaction of Arts and Cultural Programming) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q4_3. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Arts and cultural facilities and programs.	Very satisfied	Count	8	43	51
		% within Q4_3.	15.7%	84.3%	100.0%
		% within D5.	7.7%	10.9%	10.2%
	Satisfied	Count	52	233	285
		% within Q4_3.	18.2%	81.8%	100.0%
		% within D5.	50.0%	58.8%	57.0%
	Dissatisfied	Count	30	97	127
		% within Q4_3.	23.6%	76.4%	100.0%
		% within D5.	28.8%	24.5%	25.4%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	14	23	37
		% within Q4_3.	37.8%	62.2%	100.0%
		% within D5.	13.5%	5.8%	7.4%
Total	Count	104	396	500	
	% within Q4_3.	20.8%	79.2%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_4 (Satisfaction of Bike Lanes / Cycling Facilities) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q4_4. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Bike lanes / cycling facilities.	Very satisfied	Count	12	62	74
		% within Q4_4.	16.2%	83.8%	100.0%
		% within D5.	11.2%	9.4%	9.6%
	Satisfied	Count	30	194	224
		% within Q4_4.	13.4%	86.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	28.0%	29.3%	29.1%
	Dissatisfied	Count	30	216	246
		% within Q4_4.	12.2%	87.8%	100.0%
		% within D5.	28.0%	32.6%	31.9%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	35	191	226
		% within Q4_4.	15.5%	84.5%	100.0%
		% within D5.	32.7%	28.8%	29.4%
Total	Count	107	663	770	
	% within Q4_4.	13.9%	86.1%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_5 (Satisfaction of Business Support Services) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q4_5. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Business support services (example: permits).	Very satisfied	Count	8	17	25
		% within Q4_5.	32.0%	68.0%	100.0%
		% within D5.	10.5%	4.2%	5.2%
	Satisfied	Count	42	230	272
		% within Q4_5.	15.4%	84.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	55.3%	57.2%	56.9%
	Dissatisfied	Count	18	113	131
		% within Q4_5.	13.7%	86.3%	100.0%
		% within D5.	23.7%	28.1%	27.4%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	8	42	50
		% within Q4_5.	16.0%	84.0%	100.0%
		% within D5.	10.5%	10.4%	10.5%
Total	Count	76	402	478	
	% within Q4_5.	15.9%	84.1%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_6 (Satisfaction of Cleanliness) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q4_6. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Cleanliness (example: litter & graffiti removal).	Very satisfied	Count	9	68	77
		% within Q4_6.	11.7%	88.3%	100.0%
		% within D5.	6.5%	8.3%	8.0%
	Satisfied	Count	70	441	511
		% within Q4_6.	13.7%	86.3%	100.0%
		% within D5.	50.7%	53.7%	53.3%
	Dissatisfied	Count	37	225	262
		% within Q4_6.	14.1%	85.9%	100.0%
		% within D5.	26.8%	27.4%	27.3%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	22	87	109
		% within Q4_6.	20.2%	79.8%	100.0%
		% within D5.	15.9%	10.6%	11.4%
Total	Count	138	821	959	
	% within Q4_6.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_7 (Satisfaction of Community Planning) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q4_7. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Community planning / land use planning and approvals.	Very satisfied	Count	5	18	23
		% within Q4_7.	21.7%	78.3%	100.0%
		% within D5.	4.1%	2.6%	2.8%
	Satisfied	Count	36	240	276
		% within Q4_7.	13.0%	87.0%	100.0%
		% within D5.	29.3%	34.9%	34.1%
	Dissatisfied	Count	34	256	290
		% within Q4_7.	11.7%	88.3%	100.0%
		% within D5.	27.6%	37.3%	35.8%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	48	173	221
		% within Q4_7.	21.7%	78.3%	100.0%
		% within D5.	39.0%	25.2%	27.3%
Total	Count	123	687	810	
	% within Q4_7.	15.2%	84.8%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_8 (Satisfaction of Community Standards) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q4_8. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Community standards (example: by-law enforcement).	Very satisfied	Count	4	29	33
		% within Q4_8.	12.1%	87.9%	100.0%
		% within D5.	3.2%	4.1%	3.9%
	Satisfied	Count	62	385	447
		% within Q4_8.	13.9%	86.1%	100.0%
		% within D5.	49.6%	53.8%	53.2%
	Dissatisfied	Count	28	202	230
		% within Q4_8.	12.2%	87.8%	100.0%
		% within D5.	22.4%	28.2%	27.3%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	31	100	131
		% within Q4_8.	23.7%	76.3%	100.0%
		% within D5.	24.8%	14.0%	15.6%
Total	Count	125	716	841	
	% within Q4_8.	14.9%	85.1%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_9 (Satisfaction of Diversity Programming) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q4_9. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Diversity and inclusiveness programs (example: language and culture programming).	Very satisfied	Count	10	62	72
		% within Q4_9.	13.9%	86.1%	100.0%
		% within D5.	10.5%	11.7%	11.5%
	Satisfied	Count	47	326	373
		% within Q4_9.	12.6%	87.4%	100.0%
		% within D5.	49.5%	61.3%	59.5%
	Dissatisfied	Count	23	116	139
		% within Q4_9.	16.5%	83.5%	100.0%
		% within D5.	24.2%	21.8%	22.2%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	15	28	43
		% within Q4_9.	34.9%	65.1%	100.0%
		% within D5.	15.8%	5.3%	6.9%
Total	Count	95	532	627	
	% within Q4_9.	15.2%	84.8%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_10 (Satisfaction of Economic Development) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q4_10. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Economic development (example: promoting and connecting Halifax to grow and get business, talent, and investment).	Very satisfied	Count	12	44	56
		% within Q4_10.	21.4%	78.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	9.8%	6.5%	7.0%
	Satisfied	Count	65	371	436
		% within Q4_10.	14.9%	85.1%	100.0%
		% within D5.	53.3%	54.6%	54.4%
	Dissatisfied	Count	28	201	229
		% within Q4_10.	12.2%	87.8%	100.0%
		% within D5.	23.0%	29.6%	28.6%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	17	63	80
		% within Q4_10.	21.3%	78.8%	100.0%
		% within D5.	13.9%	9.3%	10.0%
Total	Count	122	679	801	
	% within Q4_10.	15.2%	84.8%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_11 (Satisfaction of Emergency Preparedness) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q4_11. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Emergency preparedness.	Very satisfied	Count	10	98	108
		% within Q4_11.	9.3%	90.7%	100.0%
		% within D5.	8.0%	13.4%	12.6%
	Satisfied	Count	75	455	530
		% within Q4_11.	14.2%	85.8%	100.0%
		% within D5.	60.0%	62.0%	61.7%
	Dissatisfied	Count	21	127	148
		% within Q4_11.	14.2%	85.8%	100.0%
		% within D5.	16.8%	17.3%	17.2%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	19	54	73
		% within Q4_11.	26.0%	74.0%	100.0%
		% within D5.	15.2%	7.4%	8.5%
Total	Count	125	734	859	
	% within Q4_11.	14.6%	85.4%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_12 (Satisfaction of Environmental Protection and Sustainability) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q4_12. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Environmental protection and sustainability.	Very satisfied	Count	3	33	36
		% within Q4_12	8.3%	91.7%	100.0%
		% within D5.	2.3%	4.3%	4.0%
	Satisfied	Count	55	350	405
		% within Q4_12	13.6%	86.4%	100.0%
		% within D5.	42.3%	46.1%	45.5%
	Dissatisfied	Count	34	246	280
		% within Q4_12	12.1%	87.9%	100.0%
		% within D5.	26.2%	32.4%	31.5%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	38	131	169
		% within Q4_12	22.5%	77.5%	100.0%
		% within D5.	29.2%	17.2%	19.0%
Total	Count	130	760	890	
	% within Q4_12	14.6%	85.4%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_13 (Satisfaction of Fire Services) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q4_13. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Fire services.	Very satisfied	Count	35	219	254
		% within Q4_13.	13.8%	86.2%	100.0%
		% within D5.	28.0%	29.8%	29.5%
	Satisfied	Count	82	471	553
		% within Q4_13.	14.8%	85.2%	100.0%
		% within D5.	65.6%	64.1%	64.3%
	Dissatisfied	Count	6	36	42
		% within Q4_13.	14.3%	85.7%	100.0%
		% within D5.	4.8%	4.9%	4.9%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	2	9	11
		% within Q4_13.	18.2%	81.8%	100.0%
		% within D5.	1.6%	1.2%	1.3%
Total	Count	125	735	860	
	% within Q4_13.	14.5%	85.5%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_14 (Satisfaction of Garbage, Recycling, and Organics Collection) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q4_14. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Garbage, recycling, and organics collection.	Very satisfied	Count	27	234	261
		% within Q4_14.	10.3%	89.7%	100.0%
		% within D5.	19.3%	28.2%	26.9%
	Satisfied	Count	83	485	568
		% within Q4_14.	14.6%	85.4%	100.0%
		% within D5.	59.3%	58.4%	58.5%
	Dissatisfied	Count	14	81	95
		% within Q4_14.	14.7%	85.3%	100.0%
		% within D5.	10.0%	9.7%	9.8%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	16	31	47
		% within Q4_14.	34.0%	66.0%	100.0%
		% within D5.	11.4%	3.7%	4.8%
Total	Count	140	831	971	
	% within Q4_14.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_15 (Satisfaction of Halifax Public Libraries) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q4_15. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Halifax Public Libraries.	Very satisfied	Count	53	420	473
		% within Q4_15.	11.2%	88.8%	100.0%
		% within D5.	41.4%	53.0%	51.4%
	Satisfied	Count	69	337	406
		% within Q4_15.	17.0%	83.0%	100.0%
		% within D5.	53.9%	42.6%	44.1%
	Dissatisfied	Count	2	25	27
		% within Q4_15.	7.4%	92.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	1.6%	3.2%	2.9%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	4	10	14
		% within Q4_15.	28.6%	71.4%	100.0%
		% within D5.	3.1%	1.3%	1.5%
Total	Count	128	792	920	
	% within Q4_15.	13.9%	86.1%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_16 (Satisfaction of Overall City Maintenance) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q4_16. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Overall city maintenance.	Very satisfied	Count	9	53	62
		% within Q4_16.	14.5%	85.5%	100.0%
		% within D5.	6.7%	6.4%	6.5%
	Satisfied	Count	78	526	604
		% within Q4_16.	12.9%	87.1%	100.0%
		% within D5.	57.8%	64.0%	63.1%
	Dissatisfied	Count	36	196	232
		% within Q4_16.	15.5%	84.5%	100.0%
		% within D5.	26.7%	23.8%	24.2%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	12	47	59
		% within Q4_16.	20.3%	79.7%	100.0%
		% within D5.	8.9%	5.7%	6.2%
Total	Count	135	822	957	
	% within Q4_16.	14.1%	85.9%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_17 (Satisfaction of Parking Enforcement) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q4_17. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Parking enforcement.	Very satisfied	Count	9	42	51
		% within Q4_17.	17.6%	82.4%	100.0%
		% within D5.	7.8%	6.2%	6.4%
	Satisfied	Count	60	404	464
		% within Q4_17.	12.9%	87.1%	100.0%
		% within D5.	52.2%	59.4%	58.4%
	Dissatisfied	Count	23	152	175
		% within Q4_17.	13.1%	86.9%	100.0%
		% within D5.	20.0%	22.4%	22.0%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	23	82	105
		% within Q4_17.	21.9%	78.1%	100.0%
		% within D5.	20.0%	12.1%	13.2%
Total	Count	115	680	795	
	% within Q4_17.	14.5%	85.5%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_18 (Satisfaction of Police Services) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q4_18. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Police services.	Very satisfied	Count	23	146	169
		% within Q4_18.	13.6%	86.4%	100.0%
		% within D5.	17.0%	18.9%	18.6%
	Satisfied	Count	81	497	578
		% within Q4_18.	14.0%	86.0%	100.0%
		% within D5.	60.0%	64.2%	63.6%
	Dissatisfied	Count	16	86	102
		% within Q4_18.	15.7%	84.3%	100.0%
		% within D5.	11.9%	11.1%	11.2%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	15	45	60
		% within Q4_18.	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%
		% within D5.	11.1%	5.8%	6.6%
Total	Count	135	774	909	
	% within Q4_18.	14.9%	85.1%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_19 (Satisfaction of Public Engagement) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q4_19. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Public engagement (example: consultation on projects like Cogswell District).	Very satisfied	Count	6	92	98
		% within Q4_19.	6.1%	93.9%	100.0%
		% within D5.	5.9%	13.5%	12.5%
	Satisfied	Count	37	367	404
		% within Q4_19.	9.2%	90.8%	100.0%
		% within D5.	36.3%	53.9%	51.6%
	Dissatisfied	Count	34	148	182
		% within Q4_19.	18.7%	81.3%	100.0%
		% within D5.	33.3%	21.7%	23.2%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	25	74	99
		% within Q4_19.	25.3%	74.7%	100.0%
		% within D5.	24.5%	10.9%	12.6%
Total	Count	102	681	783	
	% within Q4_19.	13.0%	87.0%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_20 (Satisfaction of Transit - Conventional Bus & Ferry) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q4_20. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Public Transit - Conventional bus & ferry (example: reliability).	Very satisfied	Count	5	51	56
		% within Q4_20.	8.9%	91.1%	100.0%
		% within D5.	4.1%	6.7%	6.4%
	Satisfied	Count	47	332	379
		% within Q4_20.	12.4%	87.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	38.2%	43.9%	43.1%
	Dissatisfied	Count	36	248	284
		% within Q4_20.	12.7%	87.3%	100.0%
		% within D5.	29.3%	32.8%	32.3%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	35	126	161
		% within Q4_20.	21.7%	78.3%	100.0%
		% within D5.	28.5%	16.6%	18.3%
Total	Count	123	757	880	
	% within Q4_20.	14.0%	86.0%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_21 (Satisfaction of Transit - Access-a-bus) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q4_21. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Public Transit - Access-a-bus (example: reliability).	Very satisfied	Count	6	31	37
		% within Q4_21.	16.2%	83.8%	100.0%
		% within D5.	9.2%	12.9%	12.1%
	Satisfied	Count	29	115	144
		% within Q4_21.	20.1%	79.9%	100.0%
		% within D5.	44.6%	47.9%	47.2%
	Dissatisfied	Count	11	58	69
		% within Q4_21.	15.9%	84.1%	100.0%
		% within D5.	16.9%	24.2%	22.6%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	19	36	55
		% within Q4_21.	34.5%	65.5%	100.0%
		% within D5.	29.2%	15.0%	18.0%
Total	Count	65	240	305	
	% within Q4_21.	21.3%	78.7%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_22 (Satisfaction of Overall Transit Service) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q4_22. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Overall transit service.	Very satisfied	Count	4	46	50
		% within Q4_22.	8.0%	92.0%	100.0%
		% within D5.	3.4%	6.1%	5.7%
	Satisfied	Count	44	334	378
		% within Q4_22.	11.6%	88.4%	100.0%
		% within D5.	37.0%	44.4%	43.3%
	Dissatisfied	Count	33	258	291
		% within Q4_22.	11.3%	88.7%	100.0%
		% within D5.	27.7%	34.3%	33.4%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	38	115	153
		% within Q4_22.	24.8%	75.2%	100.0%
		% within D5.	31.9%	15.3%	17.5%
Total	Count	119	753	872	
	% within Q4_22.	13.6%	86.4%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_23 (Satisfaction of Indoor Recreation Facilities) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q4_23. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Indoor recreation facilities (example: community centres, pools).	Very satisfied	Count	12	98	110
		% within Q4_23.	10.9%	89.1%	100.0%
		% within D5.	10.7%	13.7%	13.3%
	Satisfied	Count	74	439	513
		% within Q4_23.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	66.1%	61.3%	62.0%
	Dissatisfied	Count	11	125	136
		% within Q4_23.	8.1%	91.9%	100.0%
		% within D5.	9.8%	17.5%	16.4%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	15	54	69
		% within Q4_23.	21.7%	78.3%	100.0%
		% within D5.	13.4%	7.5%	8.3%
Total	Count	112	716	828	
	% within Q4_23.	13.5%	86.5%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_24 (Satisfaction of Outdoor Recreation Facilities) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q4_24. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Outdoor recreation facilities (example: sports fields).	Very satisfied	Count	10	91	101
		% within Q4_24.	9.9%	90.1%	100.0%
		% within D5.	9.1%	13.0%	12.5%
	Satisfied	Count	73	445	518
		% within Q4_24.	14.1%	85.9%	100.0%
		% within D5.	66.4%	63.6%	64.0%
	Dissatisfied	Count	14	113	127
		% within Q4_24.	11.0%	89.0%	100.0%
		% within D5.	12.7%	16.1%	15.7%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	13	51	64
		% within Q4_24.	20.3%	79.7%	100.0%
		% within D5.	11.8%	7.3%	7.9%
Total	Count	110	700	810	
	% within Q4_24.	13.6%	86.4%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_25 (Satisfaction of Recreation Programming) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q4_25. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Recreation programming (example: swimming, camps).	Very satisfied	Count	10	86	96
		% within Q4_25.	10.4%	89.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	11.0%	14.8%	14.3%
	Satisfied	Count	59	355	414
		% within Q4_25.	14.3%	85.7%	100.0%
		% within D5.	64.8%	61.1%	61.6%
	Dissatisfied	Count	11	99	110
		% within Q4_25.	10.0%	90.0%	100.0%
		% within D5.	12.1%	17.0%	16.4%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	11	41	52
		% within Q4_25.	21.2%	78.8%	100.0%
		% within D5.	12.1%	7.1%	7.7%
Total	Count	91	581	672	
	% within Q4_25.	13.5%	86.5%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_26 (Satisfaction of Sidewalk Maintenance) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q4_26. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Sidewalk maintenance.	Very satisfied	Count	9	65	74
		% within Q4_26.	12.2%	87.8%	100.0%
		% within D5.	7.1%	8.2%	8.0%
	Satisfied	Count	41	417	458
		% within Q4_26.	9.0%	91.0%	100.0%
		% within D5.	32.3%	52.5%	49.7%
	Dissatisfied	Count	44	211	255
		% within Q4_26.	17.3%	82.7%	100.0%
		% within D5.	34.6%	26.6%	27.7%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	33	101	134
		% within Q4_26.	24.6%	75.4%	100.0%
		% within D5.	26.0%	12.7%	14.5%
Total	Count	127	794	921	
	% within Q4_26.	13.8%	86.2%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_27 (Satisfaction of Street / Road Maintenance) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q4_27. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Street / road maintenance.	Very satisfied	Count	6	29	35
		% within Q4_27.	17.1%	82.9%	100.0%
		% within D5.	4.3%	3.5%	3.6%
	Satisfied	Count	33	290	323
		% within Q4_27.	10.2%	89.8%	100.0%
		% within D5.	23.9%	34.9%	33.3%
	Dissatisfied	Count	51	309	360
		% within Q4_27.	14.2%	85.8%	100.0%
		% within D5.	37.0%	37.1%	37.1%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	48	204	252
		% within Q4_27.	19.0%	81.0%	100.0%
		% within D5.	34.8%	24.5%	26.0%
Total	Count	138	832	970	
	% within Q4_27.	14.2%	85.8%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_28 (Satisfaction of Traffic Management) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q4_28. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Traffic management (example: signals).	Very satisfied	Count	12	48	60
		% within Q4_28.	20.0%	80.0%	100.0%
		% within D5.	9.1%	5.9%	6.3%
	Satisfied	Count	62	437	499
		% within Q4_28.	12.4%	87.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	47.0%	53.7%	52.7%
	Dissatisfied	Count	31	216	247
		% within Q4_28.	12.6%	87.4%	100.0%
		% within D5.	23.5%	26.5%	26.1%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	27	113	140
		% within Q4_28.	19.3%	80.7%	100.0%
		% within D5.	20.5%	13.9%	14.8%
Total	Count	132	814	946	
	% within Q4_28.	14.0%	86.0%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_29 (Satisfaction of Traffic / Pedestrian Safety) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q4_29. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Traffic / pedestrian safety.	Very satisfied	Count	11	44	55
		% within Q4_29.	20.0%	80.0%	100.0%
		% within D5.	8.3%	5.3%	5.7%
	Satisfied	Count	52	379	431
		% within Q4_29.	12.1%	87.9%	100.0%
		% within D5.	39.1%	45.8%	44.8%
	Dissatisfied	Count	33	239	272
		% within Q4_29.	12.1%	87.9%	100.0%
		% within D5.	24.8%	28.9%	28.3%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	37	166	203
		% within Q4_29.	18.2%	81.8%	100.0%
		% within D5.	27.8%	20.0%	21.1%
Total	Count	133	828	961	
	% within Q4_29.	13.8%	86.2%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_30 (Satisfaction of Winter Maintenance) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q4_30. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Winter maintenance (example: snow and ice removal).	Very satisfied	Count	6	46	52
		% within Q4_30.	11.5%	88.5%	100.0%
		% within D5.	4.3%	5.5%	5.4%
	Satisfied	Count	37	357	394
		% within Q4_30.	9.4%	90.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	26.8%	43.1%	40.7%
	Dissatisfied	Count	44	257	301
		% within Q4_30.	14.6%	85.4%	100.0%
		% within D5.	31.9%	31.0%	31.1%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	51	169	220
		% within Q4_30.	23.2%	76.8%	100.0%
		% within D5.	37.0%	20.4%	22.8%
Total	Count	138	829	967	
	% within Q4_30.	14.3%	85.7%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q5 (Satisfaction of Services) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q5. Overall, how satisfied are you with the delivery of all the services provided by the municipality?	Very satisfied	Count	4	33	37
		% within Q5.	10.8%	89.2%	100.0%
		% within D5.	2.9%	4.0%	3.8%
	Satisfied	Count	74	537	611
		% within Q5.	12.1%	87.9%	100.0%
		% within D5.	52.9%	64.3%	62.7%
	Dissatisfied	Count	47	237	284
		% within Q5.	16.5%	83.5%	100.0%
		% within D5.	33.6%	28.4%	29.1%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	15	28	43
		% within Q5.	34.9%	65.1%	100.0%
		% within D5.	10.7%	3.4%	4.4%
Total	Count	140	835	975	
	% within Q5.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q6_1 (Importance of Governance and Engagement) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q6_1. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Governance and Engagement.	Very important	Count	71	360	431
		% within Q6_1.	16.5%	83.5%	100.0%
		% within D5.	49.7%	42.5%	43.5%
	Important	Count	56	424	480
		% within Q6_1.	11.7%	88.3%	100.0%
		% within D5.	39.2%	50.1%	48.5%
	Not important	Count	15	52	67
		% within Q6_1.	22.4%	77.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	10.5%	6.1%	6.8%
	Not at all important	Count	1	11	12
		% within Q6_1.	8.3%	91.7%	100.0%
		% within D5.	0.7%	1.3%	1.2%
Total	Count	143	847	990	
	% within Q6_1.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q6_2 (Importance of Economic Development) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q6_2. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Economic Development.	Very important	Count	48	351	399
		% within Q6_2.	12.0%	88.0%	100.0%
		% within D5.	33.6%	41.4%	40.3%
	Important	Count	74	409	483
		% within Q6_2.	15.3%	84.7%	100.0%
		% within D5.	51.7%	48.3%	48.8%
	Not important	Count	18	70	88
		% within Q6_2.	20.5%	79.5%	100.0%
		% within D5.	12.6%	8.3%	8.9%
	Not at all important	Count	3	17	20
		% within Q6_2.	15.0%	85.0%	100.0%
		% within D5.	2.1%	2.0%	2.0%
Total	Count	143	847	990	
	% within Q6_2.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q6_3 (Importance of Healthy Liveable Communities) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q6_3. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Healthy Liveable Communities.	Very important	Count	93	570	663
		% within Q6_3.	14.0%	86.0%	100.0%
		% within D5.	65.0%	67.3%	67.0%
	Important	Count	42	241	283
		% within Q6_3.	14.8%	85.2%	100.0%
		% within D5.	29.4%	28.5%	28.6%
	Not important	Count	5	32	37
		% within Q6_3.	13.5%	86.5%	100.0%
		% within D5.	3.5%	3.8%	3.7%
	Not at all important	Count	3	4	7
		% within Q6_3.	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
		% within D5.	2.1%	0.5%	0.7%
Total	Count	143	847	990	
	% within Q6_3.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q6_4 (Importance of Transportation) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q6_4. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Transportation.	Very important	Count	93	508	601
		% within Q6_4.	15.5%	84.5%	100.0%
		% within D5.	65.0%	60.0%	60.7%
	Important	Count	44	312	356
		% within Q6_4.	12.4%	87.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	30.8%	36.8%	36.0%
	Not important	Count	5	23	28
		% within Q6_4.	17.9%	82.1%	100.0%
		% within D5.	3.5%	2.7%	2.8%
	Not at all important	Count	1	4	5
		% within Q6_4.	20.0%	80.0%	100.0%
		% within D5.	0.7%	0.5%	0.5%
Total	Count	143	847	990	
	% within Q6_4.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q6_5 (Importance of Service Delivery) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q6_5. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Service Delivery.	Very important	Count	46	219	265
		% within Q6_5.	17.4%	82.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	32.2%	25.9%	26.8%
	Important	Count	83	508	591
		% within Q6_5.	14.0%	86.0%	100.0%
		% within D5.	58.0%	60.0%	59.7%
	Not important	Count	10	113	123
		% within Q6_5.	8.1%	91.9%	100.0%
		% within D5.	7.0%	13.3%	12.4%
	Not at all important	Count	4	7	11
		% within Q6_5.	36.4%	63.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	2.8%	0.8%	1.1%
Total	Count	143	847	990	
	% within Q6_5.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q6_6 (Importance of Social Development) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q6_6. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Social Development.	Very important	Count	65	308	373
		% within Q6_6.	17.4%	82.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	45.5%	36.4%	37.7%
	Important	Count	57	386	443
		% within Q6_6.	12.9%	87.1%	100.0%
		% within D5.	39.9%	45.6%	44.7%
	Not important	Count	12	120	132
		% within Q6_6.	9.1%	90.9%	100.0%
		% within D5.	8.4%	14.2%	13.3%
	Not at all important	Count	9	33	42
		% within Q6_6.	21.4%	78.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	6.3%	3.9%	4.2%
Total	Count	143	847	990	
	% within Q6_6.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q7 (Service Level) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q7. For the following Governance & Engagement service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Public engagement (consultations like this one).	Increase service levels	Count	61	234	295
		% within Q7.	20.7%	79.3%	100.0%
		% within D5.	42.7%	27.6%	29.8%
	Maintain service levels	Count	72	556	628
		% within Q7.	11.5%	88.5%	100.0%
		% within D5.	50.3%	65.6%	63.4%
	Decrease service levels	Count	10	57	67
		% within Q7.	14.9%	85.1%	100.0%
		% within D5.	7.0%	6.7%	6.8%
	Total	Count	143	847	990
		% within Q7.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q8_1 (Service Level of Business Support Services) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q8_1. For the following Economic Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Business support services.	Increase service levels	Count	29	151	180
		% within Q8_1.	16.1%	83.9%	100.0%
		% within D5.	20.3%	17.8%	18.2%
	Maintain service levels	Count	95	600	695
		% within Q8_1.	13.7%	86.3%	100.0%
		% within D5.	66.4%	70.8%	70.2%
	Decrease service levels	Count	19	96	115
		% within Q8_1.	16.5%	83.5%	100.0%
		% within D5.	13.3%	11.3%	11.6%
	Total	Count	143	847	990
		% within Q8_1.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q8_2 (Service Level of Community Planning) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q8_2. For the following Economic Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Community planning / development approvals.	Increase service levels	Count	56	327	383
		% within Q8_2.	14.6%	85.4%	100.0%
		% within D5.	39.2%	38.6%	38.7%
	Maintain service levels	Count	76	463	539
		% within Q8_2.	14.1%	85.9%	100.0%
		% within D5.	53.1%	54.7%	54.4%
	Decrease service levels	Count	11	57	68
		% within Q8_2.	16.2%	83.8%	100.0%
		% within D5.	7.7%	6.7%	6.9%
Total	Count	143	847	990	
	% within Q8_2.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q8_3 (Service Level of Economic Development) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q8_3. For the following Economic Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Economic development.	Increase service levels	Count	45	291	336
		% within Q8_3.	13.4%	86.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	31.5%	34.4%	33.9%
	Maintain service levels	Count	80	481	561
		% within Q8_3.	14.3%	85.7%	100.0%
		% within D5.	55.9%	56.8%	56.7%
	Decrease service levels	Count	18	75	93
		% within Q8_3.	19.4%	80.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	12.6%	8.9%	9.4%
Total	Count	143	847	990	
	% within Q8_3.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_1 (Service Level of Bike Lanes / Cycling Facilities) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q9_1. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Bike lanes / cycling facilities.	Increase service levels	Count	56	376	432
		% within Q9_1.	13.0%	87.0%	100.0%
		% within D5.	39.2%	44.4%	43.6%
	Maintain service levels	Count	38	239	277
		% within Q9_1.	13.7%	86.3%	100.0%
		% within D5.	26.6%	28.2%	28.0%
	Decrease service levels	Count	49	232	281
		% within Q9_1.	17.4%	82.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	34.3%	27.4%	28.4%
Total	Count	143	847	990	
	% within Q9_1.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_2 (Service Level of Parking Enforcement) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q9_2. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Parking enforcement.	Increase service levels	Count	34	139	173
		% within Q9_2.	19.7%	80.3%	100.0%
		% within D5.	23.8%	16.4%	17.5%
	Maintain service levels	Count	84	521	605
		% within Q9_2.	13.9%	86.1%	100.0%
		% within D5.	58.7%	61.5%	61.1%
Decrease service levels	Count	25	187	212	
	% within Q9_2.	11.8%	88.2%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	17.5%	22.1%	21.4%	
Total		Count	143	847	990
		% within Q9_2.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q9_3 (Service Level of Conventional Bus & Ferry) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q9_3. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Public transit - conventional bus / ferry.	Increase service levels	Count	84	492	576
		% within Q9_3.	14.6%	85.4%	100.0%
		% within D5.	58.7%	58.1%	58.2%
	Maintain service levels	Count	56	333	389
		% within Q9_3.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	39.2%	39.3%	39.3%
Decrease service levels	Count	3	22	25	
	% within Q9_3.	12.0%	88.0%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	2.1%	2.6%	2.5%	
Total		Count	143	847	990
		% within Q9_3.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q9_4 (Service Level of Public Transit - Access-A-Bus) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q9_4. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Public transit - Access-A-Bus.	Increase service levels	Count	75	261	336
		% within Q9_4.	22.3%	77.7%	100.0%
		% within D5.	52.4%	30.8%	33.9%
	Maintain service levels	Count	62	552	614
		% within Q9_4.	10.1%	89.9%	100.0%
		% within D5.	43.4%	65.2%	62.0%
Decrease service levels	Count	6	34	40	
	% within Q9_4.	15.0%	85.0%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	4.2%	4.0%	4.0%	
Total		Count	143	847	990
		% within Q9_4.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q9_5 (Service Level of Overall Transit Service) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q9_5. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Overall transit service.	Increase service levels	Count	79	475	554
		% within Q9_5.	14.3%	85.7%	100.0%
		% within D5.	55.2%	56.1%	56.0%
	Maintain service levels	Count	60	349	409
		% within Q9_5.	14.7%	85.3%	100.0%
		% within D5.	42.0%	41.2%	41.3%
	Decrease service levels	Count	4	23	27
		% within Q9_5.	14.8%	85.2%	100.0%
		% within D5.	2.8%	2.7%	2.7%
Total		Count	143	847	990
		% within Q9_5.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q9_6 (Service Level of Sidewalk Maintenance) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q9_6. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Sidewalk maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	76	305	381
		% within Q9_6.	19.9%	80.1%	100.0%
		% within D5.	53.1%	36.0%	38.5%
	Maintain service levels	Count	63	508	571
		% within Q9_6.	11.0%	89.0%	100.0%
		% within D5.	44.1%	60.0%	57.7%
	Decrease service levels	Count	4	34	38
		% within Q9_6.	10.5%	89.5%	100.0%
		% within D5.	2.8%	4.0%	3.8%
Total		Count	143	847	990
		% within Q9_6.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q9_7 (Service Level of Street / Road Maintenance) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q9_7. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Street / road maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	97	467	564
		% within Q9_7.	17.2%	82.8%	100.0%
		% within D5.	67.8%	55.1%	57.0%
	Maintain service levels	Count	44	359	403
		% within Q9_7.	10.9%	89.1%	100.0%
		% within D5.	30.8%	42.4%	40.7%
	Decrease service levels	Count	2	21	23
		% within Q9_7.	8.7%	91.3%	100.0%
		% within D5.	1.4%	2.5%	2.3%
Total		Count	143	847	990
		% within Q9_7.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q9_8 (Service Level of Traffic Management) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q9_8. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Traffic management.	Increase service levels	Count	55	276	331
		% within Q9_8.	16.6%	83.4%	100.0%
		% within D5.	38.5%	32.6%	33.4%
	Maintain service levels	Count	84	526	610
		% within Q9_8.	13.8%	86.2%	100.0%
		% within D5.	58.7%	62.1%	61.6%
	Decrease service levels	Count	4	45	49
		% within Q9_8.	8.2%	91.8%	100.0%
		% within D5.	2.8%	5.3%	4.9%
Total	Count	143	847	990	
	% within Q9_8.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_9 (Service Level of Traffic / Pedestrian Safety) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q9_9. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Traffic / pedestrian safety.	Increase service levels	Count	76	376	452
		% within Q9_9.	16.8%	83.2%	100.0%
		% within D5.	53.1%	44.4%	45.7%
	Maintain service levels	Count	61	436	497
		% within Q9_9.	12.3%	87.7%	100.0%
		% within D5.	42.7%	51.5%	50.2%
	Decrease service levels	Count	6	35	41
		% within Q9_9.	14.6%	85.4%	100.0%
		% within D5.	4.2%	4.1%	4.1%
Total	Count	143	847	990	
	% within Q9_9.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_10 (Service Level of Winter Maintenance) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q9_10. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Winter maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	101	396	497
		% within Q9_10.	20.3%	79.7%	100.0%
		% within D5.	70.6%	46.8%	50.2%
	Maintain service levels	Count	42	432	474
		% within Q9_10.	8.9%	91.1%	100.0%
		% within D5.	29.4%	51.0%	47.9%
	Decrease service levels	Count	0	19	19
		% within Q9_10.	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within D5.	0.0%	2.2%	1.9%
Total	Count	143	847	990	
	% within Q9_10.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_1 (Service Level of Arts and Cultural Programming) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q10_1. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Arts and cultural facilities and program.	Increase service levels	Count	40	217	257
		% within Q10_1.	15.6%	84.4%	100.0%
		% within D5.	28.0%	25.6%	26.0%
	Maintain service levels	Count	68	485	553
		% within Q10_1.	12.3%	87.7%	100.0%
		% within D5.	47.6%	57.3%	55.9%
	Decrease service levels	Count	35	145	180
		% within Q10_1.	19.4%	80.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	24.5%	17.1%	18.2%
Total	Count	143	847	990	
	% within Q10_1.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_2 (Service Level of Cleanliness) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q10_2. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Cleanliness (litter and graffiti removal).	Increase service levels	Count	38	252	290
		% within Q10_2.	13.1%	86.9%	100.0%
		% within D5.	26.6%	29.8%	29.3%
	Maintain service levels	Count	86	480	566
		% within Q10_2.	15.2%	84.8%	100.0%
		% within D5.	60.1%	56.7%	57.2%
	Decrease service levels	Count	19	115	134
		% within Q10_2.	14.2%	85.8%	100.0%
		% within D5.	13.3%	13.6%	13.5%
Total	Count	143	847	990	
	% within Q10_2.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_3 (Service Level of Community Beautification) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q10_3. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Community beautification.	Increase service levels	Count	65	271	336
		% within Q10_3.	19.3%	80.7%	100.0%
		% within D5.	45.5%	32.0%	34.0%
	Maintain service levels	Count	74	562	636
		% within Q10_3.	11.6%	88.4%	100.0%
		% within D5.	51.7%	66.4%	64.3%
	Decrease service levels	Count	4	13	17
		% within Q10_3.	23.5%	76.5%	100.0%
		% within D5.	2.8%	1.5%	1.7%
Total	Count	143	846	989	
	% within Q10_3.	14.5%	85.5%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_4 (Service Level of Emergency Preparedness) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q10_4. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Emergency preparedness.	Increase service levels	Count	79	460	539
		% within Q10_4.	14.7%	85.3%	100.0%
		% within D5.	55.2%	54.3%	54.4%
	Maintain service levels	Count	58	352	410
		% within Q10_4.	14.1%	85.9%	100.0%
		% within D5.	40.6%	41.6%	41.4%
	Decrease service levels	Count	6	35	41
		% within Q10_4.	14.6%	85.4%	100.0%
		% within D5.	4.2%	4.1%	4.1%
Total		Count	143	847	990
		% within Q10_4.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q10_5 (Service Level of Environmental Protection and Sustainability) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q10_5. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Environmental protection and sustainability.	Increase service levels	Count	30	103	133
		% within Q10_5.	22.6%	77.4%	100.0%
		% within D5.	21.1%	12.2%	13.4%
	Maintain service levels	Count	110	718	828
		% within Q10_5.	13.3%	86.7%	100.0%
		% within D5.	77.5%	84.8%	83.7%
	Decrease service levels	Count	2	26	28
		% within Q10_5.	7.1%	92.9%	100.0%
		% within D5.	1.4%	3.1%	2.8%
Total		Count	142	847	989
		% within Q10_5.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q10_6 (Service Level of Fire Services) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q10_6. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Fire services.	Increase service levels	Count	34	125	159
		% within Q10_6.	21.4%	78.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	23.8%	14.8%	16.1%
	Maintain service levels	Count	107	706	813
		% within Q10_6.	13.2%	86.8%	100.0%
		% within D5.	74.8%	83.4%	82.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	2	16	18
		% within Q10_6.	11.1%	88.9%	100.0%
		% within D5.	1.4%	1.9%	1.8%
Total		Count	143	847	990
		% within Q10_6.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q10_7 (Service Level of Garbage, Recycling, and Organics Collection) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q10_7. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Garbage, recycling, and organics collection.	Increase service levels	Count	28	123	151
		% within Q10_7.	18.5%	81.5%	100.0%
		% within D5.	19.6%	14.5%	15.3%
	Maintain service levels	Count	102	651	753
		% within Q10_7.	13.5%	86.5%	100.0%
		% within D5.	71.3%	76.9%	76.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	13	73	86
		% within Q10_7.	15.1%	84.9%	100.0%
		% within D5.	9.1%	8.6%	8.7%
Total	Count	143	847	990	
	% within Q10_7.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_8 (Service Level of Halifax Public Libraries) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q10_8. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Halifax Public Libraries.	Increase service levels	Count	50	249	299
		% within Q10_8.	16.7%	83.3%	100.0%
		% within D5.	35.2%	29.4%	30.2%
	Maintain service levels	Count	88	570	658
		% within Q10_8.	13.4%	86.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	62.0%	67.3%	66.5%
	Decrease service levels	Count	4	28	32
		% within Q10_8.	12.5%	87.5%	100.0%
		% within D5.	2.8%	3.3%	3.2%
Total	Count	142	847	989	
	% within Q10_8.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_9 (Service Level of Overall City Maintenance) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q10_9. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Overall city maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	56	303	359
		% within Q10_9.	15.6%	84.4%	100.0%
		% within D5.	39.2%	35.8%	36.3%
	Maintain service levels	Count	83	508	591
		% within Q10_9.	14.0%	86.0%	100.0%
		% within D5.	58.0%	60.0%	59.7%
	Decrease service levels	Count	4	36	40
		% within Q10_9.	10.0%	90.0%	100.0%
		% within D5.	2.8%	4.3%	4.0%
Total	Count	143	847	990	
	% within Q10_9.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_10 (Service Level of Parks, Etc.) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q10_10. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Parks, playgrounds, and green spaces.	Increase service levels	Count	46	199	245
		% within Q10_10.	18.8%	81.2%	100.0%
		% within D5.	32.2%	23.5%	24.7%
	Maintain service levels	Count	94	637	731
		% within Q10_10.	12.9%	87.1%	100.0%
		% within D5.	65.7%	75.2%	73.8%
	Decrease service levels	Count	3	11	14
		% within Q10_10.	21.4%	78.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	2.1%	1.3%	1.4%
Total	Count	143	847	990	
	% within Q10_10.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_11 (Service Level of Police Services) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q10_11. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Police services.	Increase service levels	Count	39	119	158
		% within Q10_11.	24.7%	75.3%	100.0%
		% within D5.	27.3%	14.0%	16.0%
	Maintain service levels	Count	90	649	739
		% within Q10_11.	12.2%	87.8%	100.0%
		% within D5.	62.9%	76.6%	74.6%
	Decrease service levels	Count	14	79	93
		% within Q10_11.	15.1%	84.9%	100.0%
		% within D5.	9.8%	9.3%	9.4%
Total	Count	143	847	990	
	% within Q10_11.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_12 (Service Level of Indoor Recreation Facilities) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q10_12. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Indoor recreation facilities.	Increase service levels	Count	46	197	243
		% within Q10_12.	18.9%	81.1%	100.0%
		% within D5.	32.2%	23.3%	24.5%
	Maintain service levels	Count	92	601	693
		% within Q10_12.	13.3%	86.7%	100.0%
		% within D5.	64.3%	71.0%	70.0%
	Decrease service levels	Count	5	49	54
		% within Q10_12.	9.3%	90.7%	100.0%
		% within D5.	3.5%	5.8%	5.5%
Total	Count	143	847	990	
	% within Q10_12.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_13 (Service Level of Outdoor Recreation Facilities) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q10_13. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Outdoor recreation facilities.	Increase service levels	Count	44	210	254
		% within Q10_13.	17.3%	82.7%	100.0%
		% within D5.	30.8%	24.8%	25.7%
	Maintain service levels	Count	91	586	677
		% within Q10_13.	13.4%	86.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	63.6%	69.2%	68.4%
	Decrease service levels	Count	8	51	59
		% within Q10_13.	13.6%	86.4%	100.0%
		% within D5.	5.6%	6.0%	6.0%
Total	Count	143	847	990	
	% within Q10_13.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_14 (Service Level of Recreation Programming) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q10_14. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Recreation programming.	Increase service levels	Count	51	200	251
		% within Q10_14.	20.3%	79.7%	100.0%
		% within D5.	35.7%	23.6%	25.4%
	Maintain service levels	Count	84	586	670
		% within Q10_14.	12.5%	87.5%	100.0%
		% within D5.	58.7%	69.2%	67.7%
	Decrease service levels	Count	8	61	69
		% within Q10_14.	11.6%	88.4%	100.0%
		% within D5.	5.6%	7.2%	7.0%
Total	Count	143	847	990	
	% within Q10_14.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q11_1 (Service Level of Accessibility Programming) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q11_1. For the following Social Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Accessibility programming.	Increase service levels	Count	74	234	308
		% within Q11_1.	24.0%	76.0%	100.0%
		% within D5.	51.7%	27.6%	31.1%
	Maintain service levels	Count	63	561	624
		% within Q11_1.	10.1%	89.9%	100.0%
		% within D5.	44.1%	66.2%	63.0%
	Decrease service levels	Count	6	52	58
		% within Q11_1.	10.3%	89.7%	100.0%
		% within D5.	4.2%	6.1%	5.9%
Total	Count	143	847	990	
	% within Q11_1.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q11_2 (Service Level of Affordability / Free Programming) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q11_2. For the following Social Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Affordability / free programming.	Increase service levels	Count	78	301	379
		% within Q11_2.	20.6%	79.4%	100.0%
		% within D5.	54.5%	35.5%	38.3%
	Maintain service levels	Count	53	478	531
		% within Q11_2.	10.0%	90.0%	100.0%
		% within D5.	37.1%	56.4%	53.6%
Decrease service levels	Count	12	68	80	
	% within Q11_2.	15.0%	85.0%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	8.4%	8.0%	8.1%	
Total	Count	143	847	990	
	% within Q11_2.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q11_3 (Service Level of Diversity Programming) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
Q11_3. For the following Social Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Diversity and inclusiveness programming.	Increase service levels	Count	59	258	317
		% within Q11_3.	18.6%	81.4%	100.0%
		% within D5.	41.3%	30.5%	32.0%
	Maintain service levels	Count	64	476	540
		% within Q11_3.	11.9%	88.1%	100.0%
		% within D5.	44.8%	56.2%	54.5%
Decrease service levels	Count	20	113	133	
	% within Q11_3.	15.0%	85.0%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	14.0%	13.3%	13.4%	
Total	Count	143	847	990	
	% within Q11_3.	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

D1 (Gender) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?	Man	Count	55	397	452
		% within D1.	12.2%	87.8%	100.0%
		% within D5.	41.4%	48.4%	47.4%
	Woman	Count	74	420	494
		% within D1.	15.0%	85.0%	100.0%
		% within D5.	55.6%	51.2%	51.8%
	Non-binary	Count	4	3	7
		% within D1.	57.1%	42.9%	100.0%
		% within D5.	3.0%	0.4%	0.7%
Total	Count	133	820	953	
	% within D1.	14.0%	86.0%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

D2 (Age) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
D2. How old are you?	18-34 years old	Count	24	196	220
		% within D2.	10.9%	89.1%	100.0%
		% within D5.	17.9%	23.7%	22.9%
	35-54 years old	Count	44	336	380
		% within D2.	11.6%	88.4%	100.0%
		% within D5.	32.8%	40.7%	39.6%
	55 and older	Count	66	294	360
		% within D2.	18.3%	81.7%	100.0%
		% within D5.	49.3%	35.6%	37.5%
Total	Count	134	826	960	
	% within D2.	14.0%	86.0%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

D3 (Income) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
D3. What was your 2018 total household income before taxes?	Less than \$30,000	Count	27	59	86
		% within D3.	31.4%	68.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	23.7%	8.0%	10.1%
	\$30,000 to less than \$50,000	Count	36	96	132
		% within D3.	27.3%	72.7%	100.0%
		% within D5.	31.6%	13.0%	15.5%
	\$50,000 to less than \$75,000	Count	18	167	185
		% within D3.	9.7%	90.3%	100.0%
		% within D5.	15.8%	22.6%	21.7%
	\$75,000 to less than \$100,000	Count	12	133	145
		% within D3.	8.3%	91.7%	100.0%
		% within D5.	10.5%	18.0%	17.0%
	\$100,000 to less than \$125,000	Count	9	114	123
		% within D3.	7.3%	92.7%	100.0%
		% within D5.	7.9%	15.4%	14.4%
	\$125,000 to less than \$150,000	Count	5	82	87
		% within D3.	5.7%	94.3%	100.0%
		% within D5.	4.4%	11.1%	10.2%
	Over \$150,000	Count	7	88	95
		% within D3.	7.4%	92.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	6.1%	11.9%	11.1%
Total	Count	114	739	853	
	% within D3.	13.4%	86.6%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

D4 (Employment Status) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
D4. What is your employment status?	Employed full time	Count	51	491	542
		% within D4.	9.4%	90.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	37.8%	59.3%	56.3%
	Employed part time	Count	10	51	61
		% within D4.	16.4%	83.6%	100.0%
		% within D5.	7.4%	6.2%	6.3%
	Unemployed and currently looking for work	Count	4	15	19
		% within D4.	21.1%	78.9%	100.0%
		% within D5.	3.0%	1.8%	2.0%
	Unemployed and not currently looking for work	Count	2	4	6
		% within D4.	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
		% within D5.	1.5%	0.5%	0.6%
Student	Count	4	20	24	
	% within D4.	16.7%	83.3%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	3.0%	2.4%	2.5%	
Retired	Count	39	173	212	
	% within D4.	18.4%	81.6%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	28.9%	20.9%	22.0%	
Homemaker	Count	1	13	14	
	% within D4.	7.1%	92.9%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	0.7%	1.6%	1.5%	
Self-employed	Count	7	59	66	
	% within D4.	10.6%	89.4%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	5.2%	7.1%	6.9%	
Unable to work	Count	17	2	19	
	% within D4.	89.5%	10.5%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	12.6%	0.2%	2.0%	
Total	Count	135	828	963	
	% within D4.	14.0%	86.0%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

D6 (Acadian or Francophone) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?	Yes - Acadian	Count	14	53	67
		% within D6.	20.9%	79.1%	100.0%
		% within D5.	10.4%	6.4%	6.9%
	Yes - Francophone	Count	3	25	28
		% within D6.	10.7%	89.3%	100.0%
		% within D5.	2.2%	3.0%	2.9%
	No	Count	118	755	873
		% within D6.	13.5%	86.5%	100.0%
		% within D5.	87.4%	90.6%	90.2%
Total	Count	135	833	968	
	% within D6.	13.9%	86.1%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

D7 (Ethnic Identity) by D5 (Person with Disabilities)

			D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?		Total
			Yes	No	
D7. What is your ethnic identity?	White	Count	105	699	804
		% within D7.	13.1%	86.9%	100.0%
		% within D5.	82.7%	88.4%	87.6%
	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankann etc.)	Count	0	12	12
		% within D7.	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within D5.	0.0%	1.5%	1.3%
	Chinese	Count	1	7	8
		% within D7.	12.5%	87.5%	100.0%
		% within D5.	0.8%	0.9%	0.9%
	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Count	5	26	31
		% within D7.	16.1%	83.9%	100.0%
		% within D5.	3.9%	3.3%	3.4%
	Black (example: African Canadian)	Count	1	7	8
		% within D7.	12.5%	87.5%	100.0%
		% within D5.	0.8%	0.9%	0.9%
	Filipino	Count	0	1	1
		% within D7.	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within D5.	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%
	Latin American	Count	0	2	2
		% within D7.	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
% within D5.		0.0%	0.3%	0.2%	
Arab	Count	0	3	3	
	% within D7.	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	0.0%	0.4%	0.3%	
Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	Count	0	1	1	
	% within D7.	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	
West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Count	1	0	1	
	% within D5.	0.8%	0.0%	0.1%	
Korean	Count	0	2	2	
	% within D7.	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	0.0%	0.3%	0.2%	
First Nations (example: North American Indian; includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Count	3	8	11	
	% within D5.	2.4%	1.0%	1.2%	
Métis	Count	3	4	7	
	% within D7.	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	2.4%	0.5%	0.8%	
Inuk	Count	0	1	1	
	% within D7.	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	
Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	Count	8	18	26	
	% within D7.	30.8%	69.2%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	6.3%	2.3%	2.8%	
Total	Count	127	791	918	
	% within D7.	13.8%	86.2%	100.0%	
	% within D5.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q1 (FSA) by D4 (Employment Status)

		D4. What is your employment status?										Total
		Employed full time	Employed part time	Unemployed and currently looking for work	Unemployed and not currently looking for work	Student	Retired	Homemaker	Self-employed	Unable to work		
Q1. Select the first three digits of your postal code.	Count	33	4	2	1	2	14	0	7	0	63	
	% within Q1.	52.4%	6.3%	3.2%	1.6%	3.2%	22.2%	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within D4.	5.7%	6.3%	10.0%	16.7%	7.7%	6.1%	0.0%	9.7%	0.0%	6.1%	
B3J	Count	31	3	0	0	1	5	0	2	1	43	
	% within Q1.	72.1%	7.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	11.6%	0.0%	4.7%	2.3%	100.0%	
	% within D4.	5.4%	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	2.2%	0.0%	2.8%	5.0%	4.2%	
B4C	Count	17	2	0	1	2	11	1	0	1	35	
	% within Q1.	48.6%	5.7%	0.0%	2.9%	5.7%	31.4%	2.9%	0.0%	2.9%	100.0%	
	% within D4.	3.0%	3.1%	0.0%	16.7%	7.7%	4.8%	7.1%	0.0%	5.0%	3.4%	
B2Y	Count	28	5	0	0	1	15	0	3	0	52	
	% within Q1.	53.8%	9.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	28.8%	0.0%	5.8%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within D4.	4.9%	7.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	6.6%	0.0%	4.2%	0.0%	5.1%	
B2V	Count	16	0	2	0	1	12	0	1	1	33	
	% within Q1.	48.5%	0.0%	6.1%	0.0%	3.0%	36.4%	0.0%	3.0%	3.0%	100.0%	
	% within D4.	2.8%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%	3.8%	5.3%	0.0%	1.4%	5.0%	3.2%	
B2W	Count	50	7	1	0	1	19	1	7	1	87	
	% within Q1.	57.5%	8.0%	1.1%	0.0%	1.1%	21.8%	1.1%	8.0%	1.1%	100.0%	
	% within D4.	8.7%	10.9%	5.0%	0.0%	3.8%	8.3%	7.1%	9.7%	5.0%	8.5%	
B2X	Count	18	2	1	0	0	10	1	1	0	33	
	% within Q1.	54.5%	6.1%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	30.3%	3.0%	3.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within D4.	3.1%	3.1%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.4%	7.1%	1.4%	0.0%	3.2%	
B2Z	Count	8	0	0	0	0	4	1	1	0	14	
	% within Q1.	57.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	28.6%	7.1%	7.1%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within D4.	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	7.1%	1.4%	0.0%	1.4%	
B3A	Count	41	4	1	1	1	10	3	4	4	69	
	% within Q1.	59.4%	5.8%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	14.5%	4.3%	5.8%	5.8%	100.0%	
	% within D4.	7.1%	6.3%	5.0%	16.7%	3.8%	4.4%	21.4%	5.6%	20.0%	6.7%	
B3B	Count	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	
	% within Q1.	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within D4.	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	
B3E	Count	9	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	1	14	
	% within Q1.	64.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	21.4%	0.0%	7.1%	7.1%	100.0%	
	% within D4.	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	1.4%	5.0%	1.4%	
B3G	Count	11	1	1	0	0	3	0	2	2	20	
	% within Q1.	55.0%	5.0%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15.0%	0.0%	10.0%	10.0%	100.0%	
	% within D4.	1.9%	1.6%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	2.8%	10.0%	2.0%	
B3K	Count	46	12	4	0	5	15	0	8	0	90	
	% within Q1.	51.1%	13.3%	4.4%	0.0%	5.6%	16.7%	0.0%	8.9%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within D4.	8.0%	18.8%	20.0%	0.0%	19.2%	6.6%	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%	8.8%	
B3L	Count	33	2	0	0	2	13	0	1	1	52	
	% within Q1.	63.5%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	25.0%	0.0%	1.9%	1.9%	100.0%	
	% within D4.	5.7%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%	5.7%	0.0%	1.4%	5.0%	5.1%	
B3M	Count	32	3	2	0	0	16	1	6	1	61	
	% within Q1.	52.5%	4.9%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	26.2%	1.6%	9.8%	1.6%	100.0%	
	% within D4.	5.6%	4.7%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.0%	7.1%	8.3%	5.0%	6.0%	
B3N	Count	18	4	2	0	1	6	1	4	1	37	
	% within Q1.	48.6%	10.8%	5.4%	0.0%	2.7%	16.2%	2.7%	10.8%	2.7%	100.0%	
	% within D4.	3.1%	6.3%	10.0%	0.0%	3.8%	2.6%	7.1%	5.6%	5.0%	3.6%	
B3P	Count	11	0	0	1	1	4	0	1	2	20	
	% within Q1.	55.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	5.0%	20.0%	0.0%	5.0%	10.0%	100.0%	
	% within D4.	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	3.8%	1.8%	0.0%	1.4%	10.0%	2.0%	
B3R	Count	9	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	13	
	% within Q1.	69.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	23.1%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within D4.	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	
B3S	Count	12	2	1	0	0	5	0	2	1	23	
	% within Q1.	52.2%	8.7%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	21.7%	0.0%	8.7%	4.3%	100.0%	
	% within D4.	2.1%	3.1%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	2.8%	5.0%	2.2%	
B3T	Count	40	2	3	0	1	8	1	0	1	56	
	% within Q1.	71.4%	3.6%	5.4%	0.0%	1.8%	14.3%	1.8%	0.0%	1.8%	100.0%	
	% within D4.	7.0%	3.1%	15.0%	0.0%	3.8%	3.5%	7.1%	0.0%	5.0%	5.5%	
B3V	Count	3	0	0	0	0	4	0	2	0	9	
	% within Q1.	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	44.4%	0.0%	22.2%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within D4.	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%	0.9%	
B3Z	Count	24	2	0	0	1	8	0	2	1	38	
	% within Q1.	63.2%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	21.1%	0.0%	5.3%	2.6%	100.0%	
	% within D4.	4.2%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	3.5%	0.0%	2.8%	5.0%	3.7%	
B4A	Count	13	2	0	0	1	13	1	4	0	34	
	% within Q1.	38.2%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	38.2%	2.9%	11.8%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within D4.	2.3%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	5.7%	7.1%	5.6%	0.0%	3.3%	
B4B	Count	17	0	0	0	1	5	2	1	0	26	
	% within Q1.	65.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	19.2%	7.7%	3.8%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within D4.	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	2.2%	14.3%	1.4%	0.0%	2.5%	
B4E	Count	17	0	0	1	2	3	0	2	0	25	
	% within Q1.	68.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%	8.0%	12.0%	0.0%	8.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within D4.	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	7.7%	1.3%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%	2.4%	
B4G	Count	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	8	
	% within Q1.	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within D4.	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%	0.8%	
B0J	Count	25	6	0	1	1	14	0	8	0	55	
	% within Q1.	45.5%	10.9%	0.0%	1.8%	1.8%	25.5%	0.0%	14.5%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within D4.	4.3%	9.4%	0.0%	16.7%	3.8%	6.1%	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%	5.4%	
B0N	Count	5	1	0	0	1	3	0	0	1	11	
	% within Q1.	45.5%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	27.3%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	100.0%	
	% within D4.	0.9%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	1.1%	

Q4_10 (Satisfaction of Economic Development) by D4 (Employment Status)

			D4. What is your employment status?								Total	
			Employed full time	Employed part time	Unemployed and currently looking for work	Unemployed and not currently looking for work	Student	Retired	Homemaker	Self-employed		Unable to work
Q4_10. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Economic development (example: promoting and connecting Halifax to grow and get business, talent, and investment).	Very satisfied	Count	35	2	0	0	4	10	1	3	1	56
		% within Q4_10. % within D4.	62.5% 7.5%	3.6% 3.9%	0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0%	7.1% 18.2%	17.9% 5.7%	1.8% 8.3%	5.4% 4.5%	1.8% 6.7%	100.0% 6.7%
	Satisfied	Count	248	28	8	4	11	105	6	29	9	448
		% within Q4_10. % within D4.	55.4% 52.9%	6.3% 54.9%	1.8% 47.1%	0.9% 80.0%	2.5% 50.0%	23.4% 59.7%	1.3% 50.0%	6.5% 43.9%	2.0% 60.0%	100.0% 53.8%
	Dissatisfied	Count	134	16	6	1	6	42	5	26	3	239
		% within Q4_10. % within D4.	56.1% 28.6%	6.7% 31.4%	2.5% 35.3%	0.4% 20.0%	2.5% 27.3%	17.6% 23.9%	2.1% 41.7%	10.9% 39.4%	1.3% 20.0%	100.0% 28.7%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	52	5	3	0	1	19	0	8	2	90
		% within Q4_10. % within D4.	57.8% 11.1%	5.6% 9.8%	3.3% 17.6%	0.0% 0.0%	1.1% 4.5%	21.1% 10.8%	0.0% 0.0%	8.9% 12.1%	2.2% 13.3%	100.0% 10.8%
Total	Count	469	51	17	5	22	176	12	66	15	833	
	% within Q4_10. % within D4.	56.3% 100.0%	6.1% 100.0%	2.0% 100.0%	0.6% 100.0%	2.6% 100.0%	21.1% 100.0%	1.4% 100.0%	7.9% 100.0%	1.8% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	

Q4_11 (Satisfaction of Emergency Preparedness) by D4 (Employment Status)

			D4. What is your employment status?								Total	
			Employed full time	Employed part time	Unemployed and currently looking for work	Unemployed and not currently looking for work	Student	Retired	Homemaker	Self-employed		Unable to work
Q4_11. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Emergency preparedness.	Very satisfied	Count	74	6	1	0	3	19	3	4	0	110
		% within Q4_11. % within D4.	67.3% 14.7%	5.5% 10.5%	0.9% 5.3%	0.0% 0.0%	2.7% 13.0%	17.3% 10.2%	2.7% 30.0%	3.6% 6.1%	0.0% 0.0%	100.0% 12.4%
	Satisfied	Count	301	40	8	4	15	120	4	38	12	542
		% within Q4_11. % within D4.	55.5% 59.6%	7.4% 70.2%	1.5% 42.1%	0.7% 80.0%	2.8% 65.2%	22.1% 64.2%	0.7% 40.0%	7.0% 57.6%	2.2% 66.7%	100.0% 60.9%
	Dissatisfied	Count	91	6	3	0	4	32	2	18	4	160
		% within Q4_11. % within D4.	56.9% 18.0%	3.8% 10.5%	1.9% 15.8%	0.0% 0.0%	2.5% 17.4%	20.0% 17.1%	1.3% 20.0%	11.3% 27.3%	2.5% 22.2%	100.0% 18.0%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	39	5	7	1	1	16	1	6	2	78
		% within Q4_11. % within D4.	50.0% 7.7%	6.4% 8.8%	9.0% 36.8%	1.3% 20.0%	1.3% 4.3%	20.5% 8.6%	1.3% 10.0%	7.7% 9.1%	2.6% 11.1%	100.0% 8.8%
Total	Count	505	57	19	5	23	187	10	66	18	890	
	% within Q4_11. % within D4.	56.7% 100.0%	6.4% 100.0%	2.1% 100.0%	0.6% 100.0%	2.6% 100.0%	21.0% 100.0%	1.1% 100.0%	7.4% 100.0%	2.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	

Q4_12 (Satisfaction of Environmental Protection and Sustainability) by D4 (Employment Status)

			D4. What is your employment status?								Total	
			Employed full time	Employed part time	Unemployed and currently looking for work	Unemployed and not currently looking for work	Student	Retired	Homemaker	Self-employed		Unable to work
Q4_12. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Environmental protection and sustainability.	Very satisfied	Count	28	1	0	0	1	4	1	3	0	38
		% within Q4_12 % within D4.	73.7% 5.4%	2.6% 1.8%	0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0%	2.6% 4.2%	10.5% 1.9%	2.6% 9.1%	7.9% 4.5%	0.0% 0.0%	100.0% 4.1%
	Satisfied	Count	238	20	5	4	6	104	5	30	11	423
		% within Q4_12 % within D4.	56.3% 46.1%	4.7% 35.1%	1.2% 26.3%	0.9% 66.7%	1.4% 25.0%	24.6% 50.2%	1.2% 45.5%	7.1% 44.8%	2.6% 57.9%	100.0% 45.7%
	Dissatisfied	Count	155	25	4	1	12	63	3	22	3	288
		% within Q4_12 % within D4.	53.8% 30.0%	8.7% 43.9%	1.4% 21.1%	0.3% 16.7%	4.2% 50.0%	21.9% 30.4%	1.0% 27.3%	7.6% 32.8%	1.0% 15.8%	100.0% 31.1%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	95	11	10	1	5	36	2	12	5	177
		% within Q4_12 % within D4.	53.7% 18.4%	6.2% 19.3%	5.6% 52.6%	0.6% 16.7%	2.8% 20.8%	20.3% 17.4%	1.1% 18.2%	6.8% 17.9%	2.8% 26.3%	100.0% 19.1%
Total	Count	516	57	19	6	24	207	11	67	19	926	
	% within Q4_12 % within D4.	55.7% 100.0%	6.2% 100.0%	2.1% 100.0%	0.6% 100.0%	2.6% 100.0%	22.4% 100.0%	1.2% 100.0%	7.2% 100.0%	2.1% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	

Q4_13 (Satisfaction of Fire Services) by D4 (Employment Status)

			D4. What is your employment status?								Total	
			Employed full time	Employed part time	Unemployed and currently looking for work	Unemployed and not currently looking for work	Student	Retired	Homemaker	Self-employed		Unable to work
Q4_13. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Fire services.	Very satisfied	Count	142	14	4	3	5	61	4	22	5	260
		% within Q4_13. % within D4.	54.6% 28.6%	5.4% 30.4%	1.5% 23.5%	1.2% 60.0%	1.9% 25.0%	23.5% 28.1%	1.5% 36.4%	8.5% 33.8%	1.9% 27.8%	100.0% 29.1%
	Satisfied	Count	324	29	10	2	15	142	6	37	11	576
		% within Q4_13. % within D4.	56.3% 65.3%	5.0% 63.0%	1.7% 58.8%	0.3% 40.0%	2.6% 75.0%	24.7% 65.4%	1.0% 54.5%	6.4% 56.9%	1.9% 61.1%	100.0% 64.4%
	Dissatisfied	Count	27	2	2	0	0	8	1	4	0	44
		% within Q4_13. % within D4.	61.4% 5.4%	4.5% 4.3%	4.5% 11.8%	0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0%	18.2% 3.7%	2.3% 9.1%	9.1% 6.2%	0.0% 0.0%	100.0% 4.9%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	3	1	1	0	0	6	0	2	2	15
		% within Q4_13. % within D4.	20.0% 0.6%	6.7% 2.2%	6.7% 5.9%	0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0%	40.0% 2.8%	0.0% 0.0%	13.3% 3.1%	13.3% 11.1%	100.0% 1.7%
Total	Count	496	46	17	5	20	217	11	65	18	895	
	% within Q4_13. % within D4.	55.4% 100.0%	5.1% 100.0%	1.9% 100.0%	0.6% 100.0%	2.2% 100.0%	24.2% 100.0%	1.2% 100.0%	7.3% 100.0%	2.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	

Q4_14 (Satisfaction of Garbage, Recycling, and Organics Collection) by D4 (Employment Status)

			D4. What is your employment status?									
			Employed full time	Employed part time	Unemployed and currently looking for work	Unemployed and not currently looking for work	Student	Retired	Homemaker	Self-employed	Unable to work	Total
Q4_14. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Garbage, recycling, and organics collection.	Very satisfied	Count	148	15	2	1	12	67	6	17	4	272
		% within Q4_14. % within D4.	54.4% 26.2%	5.5% 24.2%	0.7% 10.0%	0.4% 16.7%	4.4% 48.0%	24.6% 30.0%	2.2% 46.2%	6.3% 23.6%	1.5% 20.0%	100.0% 27.1%
	Satisfied	Count	335	33	13	3	11	127	7	42	13	584
		% within Q4_14. % within D4.	57.4% 59.4%	5.7% 53.2%	2.2% 65.0%	0.5% 50.0%	1.9% 44.0%	21.7% 57.0%	1.2% 53.8%	7.2% 58.3%	2.2% 65.0%	100.0% 58.1%
	Dissatisfied	Count	49	9	2	1	1	23	0	10	2	97
		% within Q4_14. % within D4.	50.5% 8.7%	9.3% 14.5%	2.1% 10.0%	1.0% 16.7%	1.0% 4.0%	23.7% 10.3%	0.0% 0.0%	10.3% 13.9%	2.1% 10.0%	100.0% 9.7%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	32	5	3	1	1	6	0	3	1	52
		% within Q4_14. % within D4.	61.5% 5.7%	9.6% 8.1%	5.8% 15.0%	1.9% 16.7%	1.9% 4.0%	11.5% 2.7%	0.0% 0.0%	5.8% 4.2%	1.9% 5.0%	100.0% 5.2%
	Total	Count	564	62	20	6	25	223	13	72	20	1005
		% within Q4_14. % within D4.	56.1% 100.0%	6.2% 100.0%	2.0% 100.0%	0.6% 100.0%	2.5% 100.0%	22.2% 100.0%	1.3% 100.0%	7.2% 100.0%	2.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%

Q4_15 (Satisfaction of Halifax Public Libraries) by D4 (Employment Status)

			D4. What is your employment status?									
			Employed full time	Employed part time	Unemployed and currently looking for work	Unemployed and not currently looking for work	Student	Retired	Homemaker	Self-employed	Unable to work	Total
Q4_15. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Halifax Public Libraries.	Very satisfied	Count	272	35	10	4	17	102	6	35	5	486
		% within Q4_15. % within D4.	56.0% 51.2%	7.2% 56.5%	2.1% 52.6%	0.8% 66.7%	3.5% 73.9%	21.0% 47.4%	1.2% 42.9%	7.2% 54.7%	1.0% 27.8%	100.0% 51.1%
	Satisfied	Count	239	23	9	1	6	99	7	26	12	422
		% within Q4_15. % within D4.	56.6% 45.0%	5.5% 37.1%	2.1% 47.4%	0.2% 16.7%	1.4% 26.1%	23.5% 46.0%	1.7% 50.0%	6.2% 40.6%	2.8% 66.7%	100.0% 44.3%
	Dissatisfied	Count	15	1	0	1	0	7	1	3	0	28
		% within Q4_15. % within D4.	53.6% 2.8%	3.6% 1.6%	0.0% 0.0%	3.6% 16.7%	0.0% 0.0%	25.0% 3.3%	3.6% 7.1%	10.7% 4.7%	0.0% 0.0%	100.0% 2.9%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	5	3	0	0	0	7	0	0	1	16
		% within Q4_15. % within D4.	31.3% 0.9%	18.8% 4.8%	0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0%	43.8% 3.3%	0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0%	6.3% 5.6%	100.0% 1.7%
	Total	Count	531	62	19	6	23	215	14	64	18	952
		% within Q4_15. % within D4.	55.8% 100.0%	6.5% 100.0%	2.0% 100.0%	0.6% 100.0%	2.4% 100.0%	22.6% 100.0%	1.5% 100.0%	6.7% 100.0%	1.9% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%

Q4_16 (Satisfaction of Overall City Maintenance) by D4 (Employment Status)

			D4. What is your employment status?									
			Employed full time	Employed part time	Unemployed and currently looking for work	Unemployed and not currently looking for work	Student	Retired	Homemaker	Self-employed	Unable to work	Total
Q4_16. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Overall city maintenance.	Very satisfied	Count	33	5	1	0	4	12	2	5	2	64
		% within Q4_16. % within D4.	51.6% 5.9%	7.8% 8.2%	1.6% 5.6%	0.0% 0.0%	6.3% 15.4%	18.8% 5.6%	3.1% 14.3%	7.8% 7.1%	3.1% 10.5%	100.0% 6.5%
	Satisfied	Count	361	41	11	4	17	131	8	35	10	618
		% within Q4_16. % within D4.	58.4% 64.5%	6.6% 67.2%	1.8% 61.1%	0.6% 66.7%	2.8% 65.4%	21.2% 61.5%	1.3% 57.1%	5.7% 50.0%	1.0% 52.6%	100.0% 62.6%
	Dissatisfied	Count	133	15	3	0	5	51	4	21	6	238
		% within Q4_16. % within D4.	55.9% 23.8%	6.3% 24.6%	1.3% 16.7%	0.0% 0.0%	2.1% 19.2%	21.4% 23.9%	1.7% 28.6%	8.8% 30.0%	2.5% 31.6%	100.0% 24.1%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	33	0	3	2	0	19	0	9	1	67
		% within Q4_16. % within D4.	49.3% 5.9%	0.0% 0.0%	4.5% 16.7%	3.0% 33.3%	0.0% 0.0%	28.4% 8.9%	0.0% 0.0%	13.4% 12.9%	1.5% 5.3%	100.0% 6.8%
	Total	Count	560	61	18	6	26	213	14	70	19	987
		% within Q4_16. % within D4.	56.7% 100.0%	6.2% 100.0%	1.8% 100.0%	0.6% 100.0%	2.6% 100.0%	21.6% 100.0%	1.4% 100.0%	7.1% 100.0%	1.9% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%

Q4_17 (Satisfaction of Parking Enforcement) by D4 (Employment Status)

			D4. What is your employment status?									
			Employed full time	Employed part time	Unemployed and currently looking for work	Unemployed and not currently looking for work	Student	Retired	Homemaker	Self-employed	Unable to work	Total
Q4_17. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Parking enforcement.	Very satisfied	Count	32	6	1	0	1	11	2	2	1	56
		% within Q4_17. % within D4.	57.1% 6.7%	10.7% 13.0%	1.8% 7.1%	0.0% 0.0%	1.8% 5.3%	19.6% 6.0%	3.6% 15.4%	3.6% 3.6%	1.8% 6.7%	100.0% 6.8%
	Satisfied	Count	258	20	6	3	16	118	7	33	10	471
		% within Q4_17. % within D4.	54.8% 54.2%	4.2% 43.5%	1.3% 42.9%	0.6% 75.0%	3.4% 84.2%	25.1% 64.8%	1.5% 53.8%	7.0% 58.9%	2.1% 66.7%	100.0% 57.1%
	Dissatisfied	Count	120	11	6	0	1	34	2	10	1	185
		% within Q4_17. % within D4.	64.9% 25.2%	5.9% 23.9%	3.2% 42.9%	0.0% 0.0%	0.5% 5.3%	18.4% 18.7%	1.1% 15.4%	5.4% 17.9%	0.5% 6.7%	100.0% 22.4%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	66	9	1	1	1	19	2	11	3	113
		% within Q4_17. % within D4.	58.4% 13.9%	8.0% 19.6%	0.9% 7.1%	0.9% 25.0%	0.9% 5.3%	16.8% 10.4%	1.8% 15.4%	9.7% 19.6%	2.7% 20.0%	100.0% 13.7%
	Total	Count	476	46	14	4	19	182	13	56	15	825
		% within Q4_17. % within D4.	57.7% 100.0%	5.6% 100.0%	1.7% 100.0%	0.5% 100.0%	2.3% 100.0%	22.1% 100.0%	1.6% 100.0%	6.8% 100.0%	1.8% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%

Q10_7 (Service Level of Garbage, Recycling, and Organics Collection) by D4 (Employment Status)

			D4. What is your employment status?									Total
			Employed full time	Employed part time	Unemployed and currently looking for work	Unemployed and not currently looking for work	Student	Retired	Homemaker	Self-employed	Unable to work	
Q10_7. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Garbage, recycling, and organics collection.	Increase service levels	Count	78	16	6	2	6	32	3	6	4	153
		% within Q10_7. % within D4.	51.0% 13.6%	10.5% 25.0%	3.9% 30.0%	1.3% 33.3%	3.9% 23.1%	20.9% 14.0%	2.0% 21.4%	3.9% 8.3%	2.6% 20.0%	14.9%
	Maintain service levels	Count	439	47	14	3	18	176	10	60	16	783
		% within Q10_7. % within D4.	56.1% 76.3%	6.0% 73.4%	1.8% 70.0%	0.4% 50.0%	2.3% 69.2%	22.5% 77.2%	1.3% 71.4%	7.7% 83.3%	2.0% 80.0%	76.4%
	Decrease service levels	Count	58	1	0	1	2	20	1	6	0	89
		% within Q10_7. % within D4.	65.2% 10.1%	1.1% 1.6%	0.0% 0.0%	1.1% 16.7%	2.2% 7.7%	22.5% 8.8%	1.1% 7.1%	6.7% 8.3%	0.0% 0.0%	8.7%
Total	Count	575	64	20	6	26	228	14	72	20	1025	
	% within Q10_7. % within D4.	56.1% 100.0%	6.2% 100.0%	2.0% 100.0%	0.6% 100.0%	2.5% 100.0%	22.2% 100.0%	1.4% 100.0%	7.0% 100.0%	2.0% 100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_8 (Service Level of Halifax Public Libraries) by D4 (Employment Status)

			D4. What is your employment status?									Total
			Employed full time	Employed part time	Unemployed and currently looking for work	Unemployed and not currently looking for work	Student	Retired	Homemaker	Self-employed	Unable to work	
Q10_8. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Halifax Public Libraries.	Increase service levels	Count	156	20	6	2	8	82	4	25	7	310
		% within Q10_8. % within D4.	50.3% 27.1%	6.5% 31.3%	1.9% 30.0%	0.6% 33.3%	2.6% 30.8%	26.5% 36.1%	1.3% 28.6%	8.1% 34.7%	2.3% 35.0%	100.0% 30.3%
	Maintain service levels	Count	403	42	13	4	16	136	10	45	13	682
		% within Q10_8. % within D4.	59.1% 70.1%	6.2% 65.6%	1.9% 65.0%	0.6% 66.7%	2.3% 61.5%	19.9% 59.9%	1.5% 71.4%	6.6% 62.5%	1.9% 65.0%	100.0% 66.6%
	Decrease service levels	Count	16	2	1	0	2	9	0	2	0	32
		% within Q10_8. % within D4.	50.0% 2.8%	6.3% 3.1%	3.1% 5.0%	0.0% 0.0%	6.3% 7.7%	28.1% 4.0%	0.0% 0.0%	6.3% 2.8%	0.0% 0.0%	100.0% 3.1%
Total	Count	575	64	20	6	26	227	14	72	20	1024	
	% within Q10_8. % within D4.	56.2% 100.0%	6.3% 100.0%	2.0% 100.0%	0.6% 100.0%	2.5% 100.0%	22.2% 100.0%	1.4% 100.0%	7.0% 100.0%	2.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	

Q10_9 (Service Level of Overall City Maintenance) by D4 (Employment Status)

			D4. What is your employment status?									Total
			Employed full time	Employed part time	Unemployed and currently looking for work	Unemployed and not currently looking for work	Student	Retired	Homemaker	Self-employed	Unable to work	
Q10_9. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Overall city maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	225	26	9	2	10	63	6	21	10	372
		% within Q10_9. % within D4.	60.5% 39.1%	7.0% 40.6%	2.4% 45.0%	0.5% 33.3%	2.7% 38.5%	16.9% 27.6%	1.6% 42.9%	5.6% 29.2%	2.7% 50.0%	100.0% 36.3%
	Maintain service levels	Count	330	36	10	4	15	151	7	46	10	609
		% within Q10_9. % within D4.	54.2% 57.4%	5.9% 56.3%	1.6% 50.0%	0.7% 66.7%	2.5% 57.7%	24.8% 66.2%	1.1% 50.0%	7.6% 63.9%	1.6% 50.0%	100.0% 59.4%
	Decrease service levels	Count	20	2	1	0	1	14	1	5	0	44
		% within Q10_9. % within D4.	45.5% 3.5%	4.5% 3.1%	2.3% 5.0%	0.0% 0.0%	2.3% 3.8%	31.8% 6.1%	2.3% 7.1%	11.4% 6.9%	0.0% 0.0%	100.0% 4.3%
Total	Count	575	64	20	6	26	228	14	72	20	1025	
	% within Q10_9. % within D4.	56.1% 100.0%	6.2% 100.0%	2.0% 100.0%	0.6% 100.0%	2.5% 100.0%	22.2% 100.0%	1.4% 100.0%	7.0% 100.0%	2.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	

Q10_10 (Service Level of Parks, Playgrounds, and Green Spaces) by D4 (Employment Status)

			D4. What is your employment status?									Total
			Employed full time	Employed part time	Unemployed and currently looking for work	Unemployed and not currently looking for work	Student	Retired	Homemaker	Self-employed	Unable to work	
Q10_10. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Parks, playgrounds, and green spaces.	Increase service levels	Count	147	18	8	2	8	41	5	19	10	258
		% within Q10_10. % within D4.	57.0% 25.6%	7.0% 28.1%	3.1% 40.0%	0.8% 33.3%	3.1% 30.8%	15.9% 18.0%	1.9% 35.7%	7.4% 26.4%	3.9% 50.0%	100.0% 25.2%
	Maintain service levels	Count	422	43	12	4	18	182	9	53	9	752
		% within Q10_10. % within D4.	56.1% 73.4%	5.7% 67.2%	1.6% 60.0%	0.5% 66.7%	2.4% 69.2%	24.2% 79.8%	1.2% 64.3%	7.0% 73.6%	1.2% 45.0%	100.0% 73.4%
	Decrease service levels	Count	8	3	0	0	0	5	0	0	1	15
		% within Q10_10. % within D4.	40.0% 1.0%	20.0% 4.7%	0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0%	33.3% 2.2%	0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0%	6.7% 5.0%	100.0% 1.5%
Total	Count	575	64	20	6	26	228	14	72	20	1025	
	% within Q10_10. % within D4.	56.1% 100.0%	6.2% 100.0%	2.0% 100.0%	0.6% 100.0%	2.5% 100.0%	22.2% 100.0%	1.4% 100.0%	7.0% 100.0%	2.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	

Q10_11 (Service Level of Police Services) by D4 (Employment Status)

			D4. What is your employment status?									Total
			Employed full time	Employed part time	Unemployed and currently looking for work	Unemployed and not currently looking for work	Student	Retired	Homemaker	Self-employed	Unable to work	
Q10_11. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Police services.	Increase service levels	Count	87	8	2	3	4	49	3	7	9	172
		% within Q10_11. % within D4.	50.6% 15.1%	4.7% 12.5%	1.2% 10.0%	1.7% 50.0%	2.3% 15.4%	28.5% 21.5%	1.7% 21.4%	4.1% 9.7%	5.2% 45.0%	100.0% 16.8%
	Maintain service levels	Count	426	48	11	3	18	171	11	56	11	755
		% within Q10_11. % within D4.	56.4% 74.1%	6.4% 75.0%	1.5% 55.0%	0.4% 50.0%	2.4% 69.2%	22.6% 75.0%	1.5% 78.6%	7.4% 77.8%	1.5% 55.0%	100.0% 73.7%
	Decrease service levels	Count	62	8	7	0	4	8	0	9	0	98
		% within Q10_11. % within D4.	63.3% 10.8%	8.2% 12.5%	7.1% 35.0%	0.0% 0.0%	4.1% 15.4%	8.2% 3.5%	0.0% 0.0%	9.2% 12.5%	0.0% 0.0%	100.0% 9.6%
Total	Count	575	64	20	6	26	228	14	72	20	1025	
	% within Q10_11. % within D4.	56.1% 100.0%	6.2% 100.0%	2.0% 100.0%	0.6% 100.0%	2.5% 100.0%	22.2% 100.0%	1.4% 100.0%	7.0% 100.0%	2.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	

Q10_12 (Service Level of Indoor Recreation Facilities) by D4 (Employment Status)

			D4. What is your employment status?									Total
			Employed full time	Employed part time	Unemployed and currently looking for work	Unemployed and not currently looking for work	Student	Retired	Homemaker	Self-employed	Unable to work	
Q10_12. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Indoor recreation facilities.	Increase service levels	Count	152	20	7	3	7	40	5	9	6	249
		% within Q10_12. % within D4.	61.0% 26.4%	8.0% 31.3%	2.8% 35.0%	1.2% 50.0%	2.8% 26.9%	16.1% 17.5%	2.0% 35.7%	3.6% 12.5%	2.4% 30.0%	100.0% 24.3%
	Maintain service levels	Count	391	40	13	3	18	172	9	54	13	713
		% within Q10_12. % within D4.	54.8% 68.0%	5.6% 62.5%	1.8% 65.0%	0.4% 50.0%	2.5% 69.2%	24.1% 75.4%	1.3% 64.3%	7.6% 75.0%	1.8% 65.0%	100.0% 69.6%
	Decrease service levels	Count	32	4	0	0	1	16	0	9	1	63
		% within Q10_12. % within D4.	50.8% 5.6%	6.3% 6.3%	0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0%	1.6% 3.8%	25.4% 7.0%	0.0% 0.0%	14.3% 12.5%	1.6% 5.0%	100.0% 6.1%
Total	Count	575	64	20	6	26	228	14	72	20	1025	
	% within Q10_12. % within D4.	56.1% 100.0%	6.2% 100.0%	2.0% 100.0%	0.6% 100.0%	2.5% 100.0%	22.2% 100.0%	1.4% 100.0%	7.0% 100.0%	2.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	

Q10_13 (Service Level of Outdoor Recreation Facilities) by D4 (Employment Status)

			D4. What is your employment status?									Total
			Employed full time	Employed part time	Unemployed and currently looking for work	Unemployed and not currently looking for work	Student	Retired	Homemaker	Self-employed	Unable to work	
Q10_13. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Outdoor recreation facilities.	Increase service levels	Count	154	30	7	2	7	44	4	10	6	264
		% within Q10_13. % within D4.	58.3% 26.8%	11.4% 46.9%	2.7% 35.0%	0.8% 33.3%	2.7% 26.9%	16.7% 19.3%	1.5% 28.6%	3.8% 13.9%	2.3% 30.0%	100.0% 25.8%
	Maintain service levels	Count	385	32	12	4	18	168	10	54	13	696
		% within Q10_13. % within D4.	55.3% 67.0%	4.6% 50.0%	1.7% 60.0%	0.6% 66.7%	2.6% 69.2%	24.1% 73.7%	1.4% 71.4%	7.8% 75.0%	1.9% 65.0%	100.0% 67.9%
	Decrease service levels	Count	36	2	1	0	1	16	0	8	1	65
		% within Q10_13. % within D4.	55.4% 6.3%	3.1% 3.1%	1.5% 5.0%	0.0% 0.0%	1.5% 3.8%	24.6% 7.0%	0.0% 0.0%	12.3% 11.1%	1.5% 5.0%	100.0% 6.3%
Total	Count	575	64	20	6	26	228	14	72	20	1025	
	% within Q10_13. % within D4.	56.1% 100.0%	6.2% 100.0%	2.0% 100.0%	0.6% 100.0%	2.5% 100.0%	22.2% 100.0%	1.4% 100.0%	7.0% 100.0%	2.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	

Q10_14 (Service Level of Recreation Programming) by D4 (Employment Status)

			D4. What is your employment status?									Total
			Employed full time	Employed part time	Unemployed and currently looking for work	Unemployed and not currently looking for work	Student	Retired	Homemaker	Self-employed	Unable to work	
Q10_14. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Recreation programming.	Increase service levels	Count	152	27	8	2	6	37	5	10	9	256
		% within Q10_14. % within D4.	59.4% 26.4%	10.5% 42.2%	3.1% 40.0%	0.8% 33.3%	2.3% 23.1%	14.5% 16.2%	2.0% 35.7%	3.9% 13.9%	3.5% 45.0%	100.0% 25.0%
	Maintain service levels	Count	383	35	12	4	19	170	8	52	10	693
		% within Q10_14. % within D4.	55.3% 66.6%	5.1% 54.7%	1.7% 60.0%	0.6% 66.7%	2.7% 73.1%	24.5% 74.6%	1.2% 57.1%	7.5% 72.2%	1.4% 50.0%	100.0% 67.6%
	Decrease service levels	Count	40	2	0	0	1	21	1	10	1	76
		% within Q10_14. % within D4.	52.6% 7.0%	2.6% 3.1%	0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0%	1.3% 3.8%	27.6% 9.2%	1.3% 7.1%	13.2% 13.9%	1.3% 5.0%	100.0% 7.4%
Total	Count	575	64	20	6	26	228	14	72	20	1025	
	% within Q10_14. % within D4.	56.1% 100.0%	6.2% 100.0%	2.0% 100.0%	0.6% 100.0%	2.5% 100.0%	22.2% 100.0%	1.4% 100.0%	7.0% 100.0%	2.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	

Q11_1 (Service Level of Accessibility Programming) by D4 (Employment Status)

			D4. What is your employment status?									Total
			Employed full time	Employed part time	Unemployed and currently looking for work	Unemployed and not currently looking for work	Student	Retired	Homemaker	Self-employed	Unable to work	
Q11_1. For the following Social Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Accessibility programming.	Increase service levels	Count	164	27	10	1	12	71	4	18	13	320
		% within Q11_1. % within D4.	51.3% 28.5%	8.4% 42.2%	3.1% 50.0%	0.3% 16.7%	3.8% 46.2%	22.2% 31.1%	1.3% 28.6%	5.6% 25.0%	4.1% 65.0%	100.0% 31.2%
	Maintain service levels	Count	368	34	10	5	13	147	10	46	7	640
		% within Q11_1. % within D4.	57.5% 64.0%	5.3% 53.1%	1.6% 50.0%	0.8% 83.3%	2.0% 50.0%	23.0% 64.5%	1.6% 71.4%	7.2% 63.9%	1.1% 35.0%	100.0% 62.4%
	Decrease service levels	Count	43	3	0	0	1	10	0	8	0	65
		% within Q11_1. % within D4.	66.2% 7.5%	4.6% 4.7%	0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0%	1.5% 3.8%	15.4% 4.4%	0.0% 0.0%	12.3% 11.1%	0.0% 0.0%	100.0% 6.3%
Total	Count	575	64	20	6	26	228	14	72	20	1025	
	% within Q11_1. % within D4.	56.1% 100.0%	6.2% 100.0%	2.0% 100.0%	0.6% 100.0%	2.5% 100.0%	22.2% 100.0%	1.4% 100.0%	7.0% 100.0%	2.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	

Q11_2 (Service Level of Affordability / Free Programming) by D4 (Employment Status)

			D4. What is your employment status?									Total
			Employed full time	Employed part time	Unemployed and currently looking for work	Unemployed and not currently looking for work	Student	Retired	Homemaker	Self-employed	Unable to work	
Q11_2. For the following Social Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Affordability / free programming.	Increase service levels	Count	209	31	14	3	14	73	7	22	16	389
		% within Q11_2. % within D4.	53.7% 36.3%	8.0% 48.4%	3.6% 70.0%	0.8% 50.0%	3.6% 53.8%	18.8% 32.0%	1.8% 50.0%	5.7% 30.6%	4.1% 80.0%	100.0% 38.0%
	Maintain service levels	Count	308	32	6	3	11	140	6	42	3	551
		% within Q11_2. % within D4.	55.9% 53.6%	5.8% 50.0%	1.1% 30.0%	0.5% 50.0%	2.0% 42.3%	25.4% 61.4%	1.1% 42.9%	7.6% 58.3%	0.5% 15.0%	100.0% 53.8%
	Decrease service levels	Count	58	1	0	0	1	15	1	8	1	85
		% within Q11_2. % within D4.	68.2% 10.1%	1.2% 1.6%	0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0%	1.2% 3.8%	17.6% 6.6%	1.2% 7.1%	9.4% 11.1%	1.2% 5.0%	100.0% 8.3%
Total	Count	575	64	20	6	26	228	14	72	20	1025	
	% within Q11_2. % within D4.	56.1% 100.0%	6.2% 100.0%	2.0% 100.0%	0.6% 100.0%	2.5% 100.0%	22.2% 100.0%	1.4% 100.0%	7.0% 100.0%	2.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	

Q11_3 (Service Level of Diversity Programming) by D4 (Employment Status)

			D4. What is your employment status?									Total
			Employed full time	Employed part time	Unemployed and currently looking for work	Unemployed and not currently looking for work	Student	Retired	Homemaker	Self-employed	Unable to work	
Q11_3. For the following Social Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Diversity and inclusiveness programming.	Increase service levels	Count	165	27	12	1	13	67	4	21	11	321
		% within Q11_3. % within D4.	51.4% 28.7%	8.4% 42.2%	3.7% 60.0%	0.3% 16.7%	4.0% 50.0%	20.9% 29.4%	1.2% 28.6%	6.5% 29.2%	3.4% 55.0%	100.0% 31.3%
	Maintain service levels	Count	322	29	8	5	12	135	9	37	8	565
		% within Q11_3. % within D4.	57.0% 56.0%	5.1% 45.3%	1.4% 40.0%	0.9% 83.3%	2.1% 46.2%	23.9% 59.2%	1.6% 64.3%	6.5% 51.4%	1.4% 40.0%	100.0% 55.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	88	8	0	0	1	26	1	14	1	139
		% within Q11_3. % within D4.	63.3% 15.3%	5.8% 12.5%	0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0%	0.7% 3.8%	18.7% 11.4%	0.7% 7.1%	10.1% 19.4%	0.7% 5.0%	100.0% 13.6%
Total	Count	575	64	20	6	26	228	14	72	20	1025	
	% within Q11_3. % within D4.	56.1% 100.0%	6.2% 100.0%	2.0% 100.0%	0.6% 100.0%	2.5% 100.0%	22.2% 100.0%	1.4% 100.0%	7.0% 100.0%	2.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	

D1 (Gender) by D4 (Employment Status)

			D4. What is your employment status?									Total
			Employed full time	Employed part time	Unemployed and currently looking for work	Unemployed and not currently looking for work	Student	Retired	Homemaker	Self-employed	Unable to work	
D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?	Man	Count	263	18	7	1	10	109	3	38	7	456
		% within D1.	57.7%	3.9%	1.5%	0.2%	2.2%	23.9%	0.7%	8.3%	1.5%	100.0%
		% within D4.	48.3%	29.0%	38.9%	20.0%	41.7%	49.5%	23.1%	56.7%	35.0%	46.8%
	Woman	Count	277	42	10	4	14	111	10	29	13	510
		% within D1.	54.3%	8.2%	2.0%	0.8%	2.7%	21.8%	2.0%	5.7%	2.5%	100.0%
		% within D4.	50.8%	67.7%	55.6%	80.0%	58.3%	50.5%	76.9%	43.3%	65.0%	52.4%
Non-binary	Count	5	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	
	% within D1.	62.5%	25.0%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within D4.	0.9%	3.2%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	
Total	Count	545	62	18	5	24	220	13	67	20	974	
	% within D1. % within D4.	56.0% 100.0%	6.4% 100.0%	1.8% 100.0%	0.5% 100.0%	2.5% 100.0%	22.6% 100.0%	1.3% 100.0%	6.9% 100.0%	2.1% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	

Q1 (FSA) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total		
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian; includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk		Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	
Q1: Select the first three digits of your postal code.	B3H	Count	51	2	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	58
		% within Q1	87.9%	3.4%	0.0%	1.7%	1.7%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D7	6.1%	16.7%	0.0%	3.0%	10.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	6.0%
	B3J	Count	36	0	0	2	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	42
		% within Q1	85.7%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%	2.4%	2.4%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D7	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%	0.0%	10.0%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.4%
	B4C	Count	34	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	37
		% within Q1	91.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D7	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.9%
	B2V	Count	44	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	48
		% within Q1	91.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D7	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%
	B2V	Count	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
		% within Q1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D7	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%
	B2W	Count	68	0	0	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	78
		% within Q1	87.2%	0.0%	0.0%	6.4%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D7	8.1%	0.0%	0.0%	15.2%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.1%
	B2X	Count	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
		% within Q1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D7	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%
	B2Z	Count	13	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
		% within Q1	92.9%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D7	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%
	B3A	Count	62	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	73
		% within Q1	84.9%	4.1%	0.0%	5.5%	1.4%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D7	7.4%	25.0%	0.0%	12.1%	10.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.6%
	B3B	Count	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
		% within Q1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D7	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
	B3E	Count	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
		% within Q1	92.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D7	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%
	B3E	Count	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21
		% within Q1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D7	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%
	B3K	Count	60	1	2	11	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	84
		% within Q1	71.4%	1.2%	2.4%	13.1%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	93.0%
		% within D7	7.1%	8.3%	25.0%	33.3%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	30.8%
	B3L	Count	42	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	47
		% within Q1	89.4%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%
		% within D7	5.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%
	B3M	Count	46	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	0	0	56
		% within Q1	82.1%	1.8%	0.0%	1.8%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	3.6%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	93.0%
		% within D7	5.5%	8.3%	0.0%	3.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	11.5%
	B3N	Count	32	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35
		% within Q1	91.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D7	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%
	B3P	Count	15	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
		% within Q1	83.3%	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%
		% within D7	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%
	B3R	Count	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
		% within Q1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D7	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%
	B3S	Count	17	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22
		% within Q1	77.3%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%
		% within D7	2.0%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%
	B3T	Count	53	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	58
		% within Q1	94.6%	1.8%	0.0%	1.8%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D7	6.3%	8.3%	0.0%	3.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.8%
	B3V	Count	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
		% within Q1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D7	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%
	B3Z	Count	33	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35
		% within Q1	94.3%	2.9%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D7	3.9%	8.3%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%
	B4A	Count	25	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30
		% within Q1	83.3%	3.3%	3.3%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%
		% within D7	3.0%	8.3%	12.5%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%
	B4B	Count	24	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
		% within Q1	92.3%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D7	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%
	B4E	Count	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	21
		% within Q1	81.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%
		% within D7	2.0%															

Q4_4 (Satisfaction of Bike Lanes / Cycling Facilities) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total	
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian, includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	Total
Q4_4: Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Bike lanes / cycling facilities.	Very satisfied	Count	64	0	1	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	73
		% within Q4_4	87.7%	0.0%	1.4%	2.7%	1.4%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	2.7%	1.4%	100.0%
	Satisfied	Count	186	5	1	13	4	1	1	1	0	0	2	4	4	4	222
		% within Q4_4	83.8%	2.3%	0.5%	5.9%	1.8%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	1.8%	1.8%	100.0%	
Dissatisfied	Count	211	3	2	5	2	0	1	2	1	0	1	3	0	7	238	
	% within Q4_4	88.7%	1.3%	0.8%	2.1%	0.8%	0.0%	0.4%	0.8%	0.4%	0.0%	0.4%	1.3%	0.0%	2.9%	100.0%	
Very dissatisfied	Count	191	1	0	4	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	1	10	214	
	% within Q4_4	89.3%	0.5%	0.0%	1.9%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	1.9%	0.5%	4.7%	100.0%	
Total	Count	652	9	4	24	9	1	3	3	1	1	1	10	7	22	747	
	% within Q4_4	87.3%	1.2%	0.5%	3.2%	1.2%	0.1%	0.4%	0.4%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	1.3%	0.9%	2.9%	100.0%	

Q4_5 (Satisfaction of Business Support Services) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian, includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	Total
Q4_5: Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Business support services (example: permits).	Very satisfied	Count	21	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	24
		% within Q4_5	87.5%	0.0%	4.2%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	Satisfied	Count	228	1	2	13	1	1	1	1	0	6	3	1	8	266
		% within Q4_5	85.7%	0.4%	0.8%	4.9%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	2.3%	1.1%	0.4%	3.0%	100.0%
Dissatisfied	Count	115	2	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	3	131	
	% within Q4_5	87.8%	1.5%	0.0%	3.8%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	1.5%	0.0%	100.0%	
Very dissatisfied	Count	43	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	51	
	% within Q4_5	84.3%	0.0%	0.0%	7.8%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	2.0%	0.0%	2.0%	100.0%	
Total	Count	407	3	3	23	3	1	1	2	1	9	1	1	12	472	
	% within Q4_5	86.2%	0.6%	0.6%	4.9%	0.6%	0.2%	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%	1.9%	1.1%	0.2%	2.5%	100.0%	

Q4_6 (Satisfaction of Cleanliness) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total	
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian, includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	Total
Q4_6: Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Cleanliness (example: litter & graffiti removal).	Very satisfied	Count	63	1	1	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	75	
		% within Q4_6	84.0%	1.3%	1.3%	5.3%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	2.7%	0.0%	100.0%
	Satisfied	Count	425	10	6	11	7	0	2	3	1	2	8	2	0	14	462
		% within Q4_6	88.4%	2.0%	1.2%	2.2%	1.4%	0.0%	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%	0.4%	1.6%	0.4%	0.0%	2.8%	100.0%
Dissatisfied	Count	229	1	1	11	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	2	7	256	
	% within Q4_6	89.5%	0.4%	0.4%	4.3%	0.8%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.8%	0.0%	100.0%	
Very dissatisfied	Count	96	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	108	
	% within Q4_6	88.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.9%	1.9%	100.0%	
Total	Count	813	12	8	32	10	1	3	3	1	1	1	2	12	7	25	931
	% within Q4_6	87.3%	1.3%	0.9%	3.4%	1.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.8%	0.1%	2.7%	100.0%

Q4_7 (Satisfaction of Community Planning) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total	
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian, includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	Total
Q4_7: Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Community planning / land use planning and approvals.	Very satisfied	Count	15	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	22	
		% within Q4_7	68.2%	0.0%	4.5%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%	13.6%	100.0%
	Satisfied	Count	228	4	1	10	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	3	264
		% within Q4_7	90.2%	1.5%	0.4%	3.8%	0.8%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Dissatisfied	Count	252	1	1	8	4	0	3	3	0	0	0	5	2	1	6	268
	% within Q4_7	88.1%	0.3%	0.3%	2.8%	1.4%	0.0%	1.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	0.7%	0.3%	2.1%	100.0%
Very dissatisfied	Count	188	0	0	10	2	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	0	12	210
	% within Q4_7	86.1%	0.0%	0.0%	4.6%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.9%	0.9%	0.0%	5.6%	100.0%
Total	Count	691	5	3	29	8	1	3	3	1	1	1	11	6	1	24	788
	% within Q4_7	87.7%	0.6%	0.4%	3.7%	1.0%	0.1%	0.4%	0.4%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	1.4%	0.8%	0.1%	3.0%	100.0%

Q4_8 (Satisfaction of Community Standards) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total	
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian, includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	Total
Q4_8: Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Community standards (example: by-law enforcement).	Very satisfied	Count	29	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	34	
		% within Q4_8	85.3%	0.0%	2.8%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	Satisfied	Count	382	7	1	12	4	1	0	1	0	1	0	6	4	1	433
		% within Q4_8	88.4%	1.6%	0.2%	2.8%	0.9%	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	1.4%	0.9%	0.2%	2.5%
Dissatisfied	Count	195	0	1	11	3	0	0	1	0	0	1	3	1	0	8	224
	% within Q4_8	87.1%	0.0%	0.4%	4.9%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	1.3%	0.4%	0.0%	3.6%	100.0%
Very dissatisfied	Count	111	0	0	39	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	5	125
	% within Q4_8	88.8%	0.0%	0.8%	3.2%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.8%	0.0%	4.0%	100.0%
Total	Count	717	7	4	28	8	1	2	2	1	1	1	2	11	6	24	815
	% within Q4_8	88.0%	0.9%	0.5%	3.4%	1.0%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	1.3%	0.7%	0.1%	2.9%	100.0%

Q4_14 (Satisfaction of Garbage, Recycling, and Organics Collection) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total			
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian, includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	Total		
Q4_14. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Garbage, recycling, and organics collection.	Very satisfied	Count	220	3	2	4	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	263	
		% within Q4_14	87.7%	1.2%	0.8%	2.3%	0.8%	0.4%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	100.0%
		% within D7	27.7%	27.3%	25.0%	18.8%	20.0%	100.0%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	42.9%	0.0%	0.0%	28.0%	27.6%
	Satisfied	Count	479	8	6	17	6	0	1	2	0	1	1	2	2	0	0	16	540
		% within Q4_14	86.5%	1.5%	1.1%	3.1%	1.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%	0.2%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	100.0%
		% within D7	58.0%	72.7%	75.0%	53.1%	60.0%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%	100.0%	50.0%	16.7%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	64.0%	57.4%
	Dissatisfied	Count	83	0	0	4	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	2	93
		% within Q4_14	87.4%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	100.0%
		% within D7	10.1%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	10.1%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	35	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	0	0	46
		% within Q4_14	78.1%	0.0%	0.0%	10.9%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	4.3%	2.2%	2.2%	0.0%	100.0%	
		% within D7	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	15.6%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	16.7%	14.3%	100.0%	0.0%	4.9%	
Total	Count	624	11	8	32	10	1	3	3	1	1	2	12	7	1	25	841		
	% within Q4_14	87.6%	1.2%	0.9%	3.4%	1.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%	1.3%	0.7%	0.1%	0.1%	2.7%	100.0%		
	% within D7	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_15 (Satisfaction of Halifax Public Libraries) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total			
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian, includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	Total		
Q4_15. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Halifax Public Libraries.	Very satisfied	Count	400	7	5	13	5	1	1	1	1	0	0	3	1	1	12	462	
		% within Q4_15	87.7%	1.5%	1.1%	2.8%	1.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	1.3%	0.6%	0.2%	2.6%	100.0%	
		% within D7	52.5%	58.3%	62.5%	40.6%	50.0%	100.0%	33.3%	33.3%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	50.0%	42.9%	100.0%	48.0%	51.9%	
	Satisfied	Count	338	4	1	15	5	0	2	2	0	0	2	5	4	0	0	11	389
		% within Q4_15	86.9%	1.0%	0.3%	3.9%	1.3%	0.0%	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	1.3%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%	100.0%
		% within D7	43.8%	33.3%	12.5%	46.9%	60.0%	0.0%	66.7%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	41.7%	57.1%	0.0%	0.0%	44.0%	43.7%
	Dissatisfied	Count	21	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	27
		% within Q4_15	77.8%	3.7%	3.7%	7.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.4%	100.0%
		% within D7	2.7%	8.3%	12.5%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	3.0%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	8	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
		% within Q4_15	66.7%	0.0%	8.3%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D7	1.0%	0.0%	12.5%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
Total	Count	772	12	8	32	10	1	3	3	1	1	2	12	7	1	25	890		
	% within Q4_15	86.7%	1.3%	0.9%	3.6%	1.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%	1.3%	0.8%	0.1%	0.1%	2.8%	100.0%		
	% within D7	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_16 (Satisfaction of Overall City Maintenance) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total			
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian, includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	Total		
Q4_16. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Overall city maintenance.	Very satisfied	Count	46	1	1	5	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	2	61	
		% within Q4_16	75.4%	1.6%	1.6%	8.2%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	1.6%	0.0%	3.3%	100.0%	
		% within D7	5.7%	8.3%	12.5%	15.2%	10.0%	100.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	16.7%	0.0%	8.0%	6.6%	
	Satisfied	Count	510	10	7	14	8	0	2	2	0	0	2	6	3	0	0	17	562
		% within Q4_16	87.6%	1.7%	1.2%	2.4%	1.4%	0.0%	0.3%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	1.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	100.0%
		% within D7	63.0%	83.3%	87.5%	42.4%	80.0%	0.0%	66.7%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	68.0%	62.8%
	Dissatisfied	Count	196	1	0	11	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	4	2	1	5	223	
		% within Q4_16	87.9%	0.4%	0.0%	4.9%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	1.8%	0.9%	0.4%	2.2%	100.0%	
		% within D7	24.2%	8.3%	0.0%	33.3%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	100.0%	20.0%	24.1%	
	Very dissatisfied	Count	27	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	61
		% within Q4_16	83.4%	0.0%	0.0%	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	100.0%
		% within D7	7.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%	6.6%
Total	Count	809	12	8	33	10	1	3	3	1	1	2	12	6	1	25	927		
	% within Q4_16	87.3%	1.3%	0.9%	3.6%	1.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%	1.3%	0.6%	0.1%	0.1%	2.7%	100.0%		
	% within D7	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_17 (Satisfaction of Parking Enforcement) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total			
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian, includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	Total		
Q4_17. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Parking enforcement.	Very satisfied	Count	45	2	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	54	
		% within Q4_17	83.3%	3.7%	1.9%	1.9%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%	0.0%	100.0%	
		% within D7	6.8%	16.7%	16.7%	3.2%	22.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	20.0%	100.0%	0.0%	7.0%	
	Satisfied	Count	389	7	4	12	4	1	0	1	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	13	445
		% within Q4_17	88.6%	1.6%	0.9%	2.7%	0.9%	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	100.0%
		% within D7	60.0%	58.3%	66.7%	38.7%	44.4%	100.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	41.7%	80.0%	0.0%	0.0%	58.1%	58.2%
	Dissatisfied	Count	138	3	1	12	2	0	2	0	1	1	1	2	0	0	6	168	
		% within Q4_17	82.1%	1.8%	0.6%	7.1%	1.2%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	100.0%	
		% within D7	20.8%	25.0%	16.7%	38.7%	22.2%	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	50.0%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	27.3%	21.8%	
	Very dissatisfied	Count	82	0	0	8	1	0	1	2	0	0	1	4	0	0	3	101	
		% within Q4_17	81.2%	0.0%	0.0%	5.9%	1.0%	0.0%	1.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	100.0%	
		% within D7	12.4%	0.0%	0.0%	19.4%	11.1%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%	100.0%	50.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	13.6%	13.1%	
Total	Count	663	12	6	31	9	1	3	3	1	1	2	12	5	1	22	772		
	% within Q4_17	85.9%	1.6%	0.8%	4.0%	1.2%	0.1%	0.4%	0.4%	0.1%	0.1%	1.6%	0.6%	0.1%	0.1%	2.8%	100.0%		
	% within D7	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

Q4_18 (Satisfaction of Police Services) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total		
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian, includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	Total	
Q4_18. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Police services.	Very satisfied	Count	147	1	2	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	4	164	
		% within Q4_18	88.0%	0.6%	1.2%	2.4%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	1.2%	0.6%	2.4%	100.0%
		% within D7	18.0%	14.3%	33.3%	13.3%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	28.6%	100.0%	16.7%	18.6%

Q4_19 (Satisfaction of Public Engagement) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total	
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian, includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	Total	
Q4_19. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Public engagement (example: consultation on projects like Cogswell District).	Very satisfied	Count	83	1	1	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	1	94	
		% within Q4_19	88.3%	1.1%	1.1%	3.2%	1.1%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	1.1%	1.1%	100.0%	
		% within D7	12.5%	16.7%	25.0%	10.0%	12.5%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	18.2%	16.7%	4.3%	12.4%	
	Satisfied	Count	345	5	2	17	5	1	1	1	1	0	0	4	2	9	393
		% within Q4_19	87.8%	1.3%	0.5%	4.3%	1.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.5%	2.3%	100.0%
		% within D7	52.0%	83.3%	50.0%	56.7%	62.5%	100.0%	33.3%	33.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	36.4%	33.3%	39.1%	51.0%
	Dissatisfied	Count	151	0	1	7	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	10	176
		% within Q4_19	85.6%	0.0%	0.6%	4.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	5.7%	100.0%
		% within D7	22.8%	0.0%	25.0%	23.3%	12.5%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	9.1%	16.7%	43.5%	23.1%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	84	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	2	3	98
		% within Q4_19	85.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.1%	2.0%	3.1%	100.0%
		% within D7	12.7%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	36.4%	33.3%	13.0%	12.9%
Total	Count	663	6	4	30	9	1	3	3	1	1	1	11	6	23	761	
	% within Q4_19	87.1%	0.8%	0.5%	3.9%	1.1%	0.1%	0.4%	0.4%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	1.4%	0.8%	3.0%	100.0%	
	% within D7	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_20 (Satisfaction of Transit - Conventional Bus & Ferry) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total		
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian, includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	Total	
Q4_20. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Public Transit - Conventional bus & ferry (example: reliability).	Very satisfied	Count	42	1	1	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	54	
		% within Q4_20	77.8%	1.9%	1.9%	3.7%	1.9%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.4%	1.9%	0.0%	1.9%	100.0%
		% within D7	5.7%	8.3%	16.7%	6.5%	10.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	14.3%	0.0%	4.0%	6.3%
	Satisfied	Count	329	5	2	15	7	1	0	1	1	1	0	3	3	0	5	372
		% within Q4_20	86.4%	1.3%	0.5%	4.0%	1.9%	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.8%	0.0%	1.3%	100.0%
		% within D7	44.3%	41.7%	33.3%	48.4%	70.0%	100.0%	0.0%	33.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	42.9%	0.0%	20.0%	43.4%
	Dissatisfied	Count	245	5	1	11	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	3	279
		% within Q4_20	87.8%	1.8%	0.4%	3.9%	0.4%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.7%	0.4%	0.0%	3.2%	100.0%
		% within D7	33.0%	41.7%	16.7%	35.5%	10.0%	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	14.3%	0.0%	36.0%	32.5%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	127	1	2	3	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	1	10	153
		% within Q4_20	83.0%	0.7%	1.3%	2.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	2.0%	1.3%	0.7%	6.5%	100.0%
		% within D7	17.1%	8.3%	33.3%	9.7%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	25.0%	28.6%	100.0%	40.0%	17.8%
Total	Count	743	12	6	31	10	1	3	1	1	1	1	12	7	1	25	858	
	% within Q4_20	86.6%	1.4%	0.7%	3.6%	1.2%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	1.4%	0.8%	0.1%	2.9%	100.0%	
	% within D7	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_21 (Satisfaction of Transit - Access-a-bus) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian, includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	Total		
Q4_21. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Public Transit - Access-a-bus (example: reliability).	Very satisfied	Count	28	1	1	2	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	36	
		% within Q4_21	77.8%	2.8%	2.8%	5.6%	2.8%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%	2.8%	0.0%	100.0%	
		% within D7	11.7%	20.0%	50.0%	11.1%	20.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	20.0%	0.0%	12.1%	
	Satisfied	Count	169	4	1	6	2	0	0	1	0	3	2	2	3	134
		% within Q4_21	81.3%	3.0%	0.7%	4.5%	3.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	2.3%	1.5%	2.3%	100.0%	
		% within D7	45.6%	80.0%	50.0%	33.3%	80.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	42.9%	40.0%	27.3%	45.0%	
	Dissatisfied	Count	60	0	0	7	0	0	1	0	2	1	1	2	2	74
		% within Q4_21	81.1%	0.0%	0.0%	9.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	2.7%	1.4%	1.4%	2.7%	100.0%	
		% within D7	25.1%	0.0%	0.0%	38.9%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%	14.3%	20.0%	18.2%	24.8%	
	Very dissatisfied	Count	42	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	6	5	54
		% within Q4_21	77.8%	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	1.9%	11.1%	100.0%	
		% within D7	12.6%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	28.6%	20.0%	54.5%	18.1%	
Total	Count	239	5	2	18	5	1	2	1	2	7	5	11	28	288	
	% within Q4_21	80.2%	1.7%	0.7%	6.0%	1.7%	0.3%	0.7%	0.3%	0.7%	2.3%	1.7%	3.7%	100.0%		
	% within D7	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

Q4_22 (Satisfaction of Overall Transit Service) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total		
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian, includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	Total	
Q4_22. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Overall transit service.	Very satisfied	Count	37	2	1	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	48	
		% within Q4_22	77.1%	4.2%	2.1%	4.2%	2.1%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	2.1%	0.0%	2.1%	100.0%
		% within D7	5.0%	20.0%	16.7%	6.5%	11.1%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	14.3%	0.0%	4.2%	5.6%
	Satisfied	Count	323	4	1	14	6	1	0	1	0	1	0	4	4	0	7	369
		% within Q4_22	87.8%	1.1%	0.3%	3.8%	1.6%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	4.1%	1.1%	0.0%	1.9%	100.0%
		% within D7	43.7%	40.0%	16.7%	45.2%	66.7%	100.0%	33.3%	33.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	41.7%	57.1%	0.0%	29.2%	43.3%
	Dissatisfied	Count	255	4	2	12	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	2	3	0	6	287
		% within Q4_22	88.9%	1.4%	0.7%	4.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.0%	0.0%	2.1%	100.0%
		% within D7	34.5%	40.0%	33.3%	38.7%	11.1%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	25.0%	0.0%	25.0%	33.8%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	124	0	2	3	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	2	1	10	147
		% within Q4_22	84.4%	0.0%	1.4%	2.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	1.4%	1.4%	0.7%	6.8%	100.0%
		% within D7	16.8%	0.0%	33.3%	9.7%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	16.7%	28.6%	100.0%	41.7%	17.3%
Total	Count	739	10	6	31	9	1	3	3	1	1	1	12	7	1	24	850	
	% within Q4_22	86.9%	1.2%	0.7%	3.6%	1.1%	0.1%	0.4%	0.4%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	1.4%	0.8%	0.1%	2.8%	100.0%	
	% within D7	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_23 (Satisfaction of Indoor Recreation Facilities) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total		
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian, includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	Total	
Q4_23. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Indoor recreation facilities (example: community centres, pools).	Very satisfied	Count	96	2	2	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	109		
		% within Q4_23	87.2%	1.8%	1.8%	2.8%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D7	13.6%	22.2%	25.0%	9.4%	10.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	30.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	13.5%
	Satisfied	Count	429	4	5	19	3	0	3	3	1	1	0	6	2	0	14	490
		% within Q4_23	87.6%	0.8%	1.0%	3.9%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	0.6%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	1.2%	0.4%	0.0%	2.9%	100.0%
		% within D7	61.3%	44.4%	62.5%	59.4%	30.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	60.0%	33.3%	0.0%	66.7%	60.6%
	Dissatisfied	Count	124	3	1	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4	140
		% within Q4_23	88.6%	2.1%	0.7%	2.1%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.7%	2.9%	

Q6_3 (Importance of Healthy Liveable Communities) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total			
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian, includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	Total		
Q6_3: Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Healthy Liveable Communities.	Very important	Count	563	9	0	23	6	1	3	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	21	651	
		% within Q6_3	86.5%	1.4%	0.9%	3.5%	0.9%	0.2%	0.5%	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	1.2%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	100.0%
		% within D7	66.9%	75.0%	75.0%	69.7%	60.0%	100.0%	100.0%	66.7%	100.0%	100.0%	50.0%	66.7%	85.7%	0.0%	0.0%	80.8%	67.7%
	Important	Count	243	2	2	9	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	3	1	1	4	269
		% within Q6_3	90.3%	0.7%	0.7%	3.3%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	1.1%	0.4%	0.4%	1.5%	100.0%	
		% within D7	28.9%	16.7%	25.0%	27.3%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	25.0%	14.3%	100.0%	15.4%	28.0%	
	Not important	Count	29	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	35	
		% within Q6_3	82.9%	2.9%	0.0%	2.9%	5.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	100.0%	
		% within D7	3.4%	8.3%	0.0%	3.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	3.6%	
	Not at all important	Count	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	
		% within Q6_3	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
		% within D7	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	
Total	Count	841	12	8	33	10	1	3	3	1	1	2	12	7	1	26	961		
	% within Q6_3	87.5%	1.2%	0.8%	3.4%	1.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	1.2%	0.7%	0.1%	2.7%	100.0%		
	% within D7	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

Q6_4 (Importance of Transportation) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total		
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian, includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	Total	
Q6_4: Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Transportation.	Very important	Count	512	9	2	17	6	1	2	2	1	2	4	5	0	20	584	
		% within Q6_4	87.7%	1.5%	0.3%	2.9%	1.0%	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%	0.7%	0.9%	0.0%	3.4%	100.0%	
		% within D7	60.9%	75.0%	25.0%	51.5%	60.0%	100.0%	66.7%	66.7%	100.0%	100.0%	33.3%	71.4%	0.0%	0.0%	76.9%	60.8%
	Important	Count	299	3	6	15	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	7	2	1	6	344
		% within Q6_4	86.9%	0.8%	1.7%	4.4%	0.9%	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.6%	0.3%	1.7%	100.0%
		% within D7	35.6%	25.0%	75.0%	45.0%	30.0%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	58.3%	28.6%	100.0%	23.1%	35.9%
	Not important	Count	26	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	23
		% within Q6_4	89.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D7	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%
	Not at all important	Count	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
		% within Q6_4	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D7	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
Total	Count	841	12	8	33	10	1	3	3	1	1	2	12	7	1	26	961	
	% within Q6_4	87.5%	1.2%	0.8%	3.4%	1.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	1.2%	0.7%	0.1%	2.7%	100.0%	
	% within D7	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q6_5 (Importance of Service Delivery) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total			
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian, includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	Total		
Q6_5: Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Service Delivery.	Very important	Count	224	2	2	11	2	1	1	0	0	0	1	6	2	1	7	260	
		% within Q6_5	86.2%	0.8%	0.8%	4.2%	0.8%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	0.8%	0.4%	2.7%	100.0%	
		% within D7	26.6%	16.7%	25.0%	33.3%	20.0%	100.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	28.6%	100.0%	26.9%	27.1%	
	Important	Count	506	9	4	19	5	0	1	1	1	1	5	5	0	0	18	575	
		% within Q6_5	88.0%	1.6%	0.7%	3.3%	0.9%	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.9%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	100.0%	
		% within D7	60.2%	75.0%	50.0%	57.6%	50.0%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	100.0%	0.0%	50.0%	41.7%	71.4%	0.0%	0.0%	69.2%	59.8%
	Not important	Count	104	1	2	2	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	116
		% within Q6_5	89.7%	0.9%	1.7%	1.7%	2.6%	0.0%	0.9%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	100.0%	
		% within D7	12.4%	8.3%	25.0%	6.1%	30.0%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	12.1%	
	Not at all important	Count	7	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	
		% within Q6_5	70.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
		% within D7	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	
Total	Count	841	12	8	33	10	1	3	3	1	1	2	12	7	1	26	961		
	% within Q6_5	87.5%	1.2%	0.8%	3.4%	1.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	1.2%	0.7%	0.1%	2.7%	100.0%		
	% within D7	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

Q6_6 (Importance of Social Development) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total		
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian, includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	Total	
Q6_6: Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Social Development.	Very important	Count	319	4	0	18	3	0	2	1	0	0	1	2	3	0	15	368
		% within Q6_6	86.7%	1.1%	0.0%	4.9%	0.8%	0.0%	0.5%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.8%	0.0%	4.1%	100.0%
		% within D7	37.9%	33.3%	0.0%	54.5%	30.0%	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	16.7%	42.9%	0.0%	57.7%	38.3%
	Important	Count	365	6	5	13	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	4	0	9	438
		% within Q6_6	87.9%	1.4%	1.1%	3.0%	1.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	1.1%	0.9%	0.0%	2.1%	100.0%
		% within D7	45.8%	50.0%	62.5%	39.4%	50.0%	100.0%	33.3%	33.3%	100.0%	100.0%	50.0%	41.7%	57.1%	0.0%	34.6%	45.6%
	Not important	Count	103	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	1	2	117
		% within Q6_6	88.0%	1.7%	1.7%	0.9%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%	0.9%	1.7%	100.0%
		% within D7	12.2%	16.7%	25.0%	3.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	41.7%	0.0%	100.0%	7.7%	12.2%
	Not at all important	Count	34	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	38
		% within Q6_6	89.5%	0.0%	2.6%	2.6%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D7	4.0%	0.0%	12.5%	3.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%
Total	Count	841	12	8	33	10	1	3	3	1	1	2	12	7	1	26	961	
	% within Q6_6	87.5%	1.2%	0.8%	3.4%	1.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	1.2%	0.7%	0.1%	2.7%	100.0%	
	% within D7	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q7 (Service Level) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total		
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian, includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	Total	
Q7: For the following Governance & Engagement service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Public engagement (consultations like this one).	Increase service levels	Count	239	4	2	19	3	0	2	2	0	0	2	3	5	0	13	292
		% within Q7	81.8%	1.4%	0.7%	6.5%	1.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.7%	0.0%	4.5%	100.0%
		% within D7	28.4%	33.3%	25.0%	57.6%	30.0%	0.0%	66.7%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	16.7%	42.9%	0.0%	50.0%	30.4%
	Maintain service levels	Count	544	8	5	13	7	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	2	1	1	605
		% within Q7	89.9%	1.3%	0.8%	2.1%	1.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	1.5%				

Q8_1 (Service Level of Business Support Services) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total		
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian; includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	Total	
Q8_1: For the following Economic Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Business support services.	Increase service levels	Count	142	6	1	9	4	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	5	172
	% within Q8_1		82.6%	3.5%	0.6%	5.2%	2.3%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	1.2%	0.6%	0.0%	2.9%	100.0%	
	% within D7		16.9%	50.0%	12.5%	27.3%	40.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	14.3%	0.0%	19.2%	17.9%
Maintain service levels	Count	609	6	6	22	4	1	1	1	0	0	2	10	6	1	17	686	
	% within Q8_1		88.8%	0.9%	0.9%	3.2%	0.6%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%	1.5%	0.9%	0.1%	2.5%	100.0%	
	% within D7		72.4%	50.0%	75.0%	66.7%	40.0%	100.0%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	83.3%	85.7%	100.0%	65.4%	71.4%	
Decrease service levels	Count	90	0	1	2	2	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	103	
	% within Q8_1		87.4%	0.0%	1.0%	1.9%	1.9%	0.0%	1.0%	1.9%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.9%	100.0%	
	% within D7		10.7%	0.0%	12.5%	6.1%	20.0%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15.4%	10.7%	
Total	Count	841	12	8	33	10	1	3	3	1	1	2	12	7	1	26	961	
% within Q8_1		87.5%	1.2%	0.8%	3.4%	1.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	1.2%	0.7%	0.1%	2.7%	100.0%	
% within D7		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q8_2 (Service Level of Community Planning) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total	
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian; includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	Total
Q8_2: For the following Economic Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Community planning / development approvals.	Increase service levels	Count	314	8	1	18	6	0	3	0	0	1	5	5	0	14	376
	% within Q8_2		83.5%	2.1%	0.3%	4.8%	1.6%	0.0%	0.8%	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	1.3%	1.3%	0.0%	3.7%	100.0%
	% within D7		37.3%	66.7%	12.5%	54.5%	60.0%	0.0%	100.0%	33.3%	0.0%	50.0%	41.7%	71.4%	0.0%	53.8%	39.1%
Maintain service levels	Count	470	4	7	13	4	1	0	1	1	1	0	2	2	1	11	522
	% within Q8_2		90.0%	0.8%	1.3%	2.5%	0.8%	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.4%	0.4%	0.2%	2.1%	100.0%
	% within D7		55.9%	33.3%	87.5%	39.4%	40.0%	100.0%	0.0%	33.3%	100.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	28.6%	100.0%	42.3%
Decrease service levels	Count	57	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	63
	% within Q8_2		90.5%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	100.0%
	% within D7		6.8%	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	6.8%
Total	Count	841	12	8	33	10	1	3	3	1	1	2	12	7	1	26	961
% within Q8_2		87.5%	1.2%	0.8%	3.4%	1.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	1.2%	0.7%	0.1%	2.7%	100.0%
% within D7		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q8_3 (Service Level of Economic Development) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total	
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian; includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	Total
Q8_3: For the following Economic Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Economic development.	Increase service levels	Count	287	10	2	15	8	0	1	1	1	1	6	2	0	6	341
	% within Q8_3		84.2%	2.9%	0.6%	4.4%	2.3%	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	1.8%	0.6%	0.0%	1.8%	100.0%
	% within D7		34.1%	83.3%	25.0%	45.5%	80.0%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	100.0%	0.0%	50.0%	28.6%	0.0%	23.1%	35.5%
Maintain service levels	Count	461	2	6	16	2	1	1	2	0	0	0	5	3	1	16	533
	% within Q8_3		89.2%	0.4%	1.1%	3.0%	0.4%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.9%	0.2%	3.0%	100.0%
	% within D7		57.2%	16.7%	75.0%	48.5%	20.0%	100.0%	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%	50.0%	41.7%	71.4%	100.0%	61.5%	56.1%
Decrease service levels	Count	73	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	81
	% within Q8_3		90.1%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	4.9%	100.0%
	% within D7		8.7%	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	15.4%	8.4%
Total	Count	841	12	8	33	10	1	3	3	1	1	2	12	7	1	26	961
% within Q8_3		87.5%	1.2%	0.8%	3.4%	1.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	1.2%	0.7%	0.1%	2.7%	100.0%
% within D7		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q9_1 (Service Level of Bike Lanes / Cycling Facilities) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total		
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian; includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	Total	
Q9_1: For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Bike lanes / cycling facilities.	Increase service levels	Count	369	4	2	15	7	0	3	1	1	1	3	3	0	18	421	
	% within Q9_1		87.6%	1.0%	0.5%	1.9%	1.7%	0.0%	0.7%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.7%	0.7%	0.0%	4.3%	100.0%	
	% within D7		43.9%	33.3%	25.0%	24.2%	70.0%	0.0%	100.0%	33.3%	100.0%	100.0%	50.0%	25.0%	42.9%	0.0%	69.2%	43.8%
Maintain service levels	Count	237	5	4	17	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	3	3	277	
	% within Q9_1		56.6%	1.8%	1.4%	6.1%	0.7%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	1.4%	1.1%	0.0%	1.1%	100.0%
	% within D7		29.2%	41.7%	50.0%	51.5%	20.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	33.3%	42.9%	0.0%	11.5%	28.9%
Decrease service levels	Count	235	3	2	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	253	
	% within Q9_1		89.4%	1.1%	0.8%	3.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	0.4%	0.4%	1.9%	100.0%
	% within D7		27.9%	25.0%	25.0%	24.2%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	41.7%	14.3%	100.0%	19.2%	27.4%	
Total	Count	841	12	8	33	10	1	3	3	1	1	2	12	7	1	26	961	
% within Q9_1		87.5%	1.2%	0.8%	3.4%	1.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	1.2%	0.7%	0.1%	2.7%	100.0%	
% within D7		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_2 (Service Level of Parking Enforcement) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total	
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian; includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	Total
Q9_2: For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Parking enforcement.	Increase service levels	Count	146	7	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	5	165
	% within Q9_2		88.5%	0.2%	0.0%	3.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	1.8%	0.0%	3.0%	100.0%
	% within D7		17.4%	8.3%	12.5%	15.2%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	19.2%
Maintain service levels	Count	516	10	5	20	6	1	1	0	0	1	0	9	5	1	18	594
	% within Q9_2		86.9%	1.7%	0.8%	3.4%	1.0%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	0.8%	0.2%	3.0%	100.0%
	% within D7		61.4%	83.3%	62.5%	60.6%	60.0%	100.0%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	75.0%	71.4%	100.0%	69.2%
Decrease service levels	Count	179	1	2	8	3	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	3	202
	% within Q9_2		89.6%	0.5%	1.0%	4.0%	1.5%	0.0%	1.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	1.5%	100.0%
	% within D7		21.3%	8.3%	25.0%	24.2%	30.0%	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	28.6%	0.0%	11.5%	21.0%
Total	Count	841	12	8	33	10	1	3	3	1	1	2	12	7	1	26	961
% within Q9_2		87.5%	1.2%	0.8%	3.4%	1.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	1.2%	0.7%	0.1%	2.7%	100.0%
% within D7		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q9_3 (Service Level of Public Transit - Conventional Bus & Ferry) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total	
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian; includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	Total
Q9_3: For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Public transit - conventional bus / ferry.	Increase service levels	Count	492	6	4	17	4	0	3	0	0	0	7	3	1	22	565
	% within Q9_3		87.1%	1.1%	0.7%	3.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	0.5%	0.2%	3.9%	100.0%
	% within D7																

Q9_4 (Service Level of Public Transit - Access-A-Bus) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total		
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian, includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)		
Q9_4 For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Public transit - Access-A-Bus.	Increase service levels	Count	280	4	1	13	5	0	3	2	0	0	1	0	0	14	323	
	% within Q9_4		85.1%	1.2%	0.3%	4.0%	1.5%	0.0%	0.9%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.2%	0.0%	4.3%	100.0%	
	% within D7		33.3%	33.3%	12.5%	39.4%	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	16.7%	57.1%	0.0%	53.8%	34.2%
	Maintain service levels	Count	529	8	7	19	4	1	0	1	1	1	1	10	3	1	11	597
% within Q9_4		88.6%	1.3%	1.2%	3.2%	0.7%	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	1.7%	0.5%	0.2%	1.8%	100.0%	
% within D7		62.9%	66.7%	87.5%	57.6%	40.0%	100.0%	0.0%	33.3%	100.0%	100.0%	50.0%	83.3%	42.9%	100.0%	42.3%	62.1%	
Decrease service levels	Count	32	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	35	
	% within Q9_4		9.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	100.0%	
	% within D7		3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	3.6%	
	Total	Count	841	12	8	33	10	1	3	3	1	1	2	12	7	1	26	961
% within Q9_4		87.5%	1.2%	0.8%	3.4%	1.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	1.2%	0.7%	0.1%	2.7%	100.0%	
% within D7		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_5 (Service Level of Overall Transit Service) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total	
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian, includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	
Q9_5 For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Overall transit service.	Increase service levels	Count	462	9	5	16	6	0	3	3	1	1	2	7	5	1	20
	% within Q9_5		85.4%	1.7%	0.9%	3.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.6%	0.6%	0.2%	0.4%	1.3%	0.9%	0.2%	3.7%	56.3%
	% within D7		54.9%	75.0%	62.5%	48.5%	60.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	58.3%	71.4%	100.0%	0.0%	78.9%
	Maintain service levels	Count	350	3	3	17	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	5
% within Q9_5		89.9%	0.8%	0.8%	4.3%	1.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.5%	0.0%	1.3%	100.0%
% within D7		42.2%	25.0%	37.5%	51.5%	40.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	41.7%	28.6%	0.0%	19.2%	41.1%
Decrease service levels	Count	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	25
	% within Q9_5		96.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%	100.0%
	% within D7		2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	2.9%
	Total	Count	841	12	8	33	10	1	3	3	1	1	2	12	7	1	26
% within Q9_5		87.5%	1.2%	0.8%	3.4%	1.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	1.2%	0.7%	0.1%	2.7%	100.0%
% within D7		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q9_6 (Service Level of Sidewalk Maintenance) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total	
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian, includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	
Q9_6 For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Sidewalk maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	319	5	2	17	4	0	2	1	0	0	1	6	4	1	11
	% within Q9_6		85.5%	1.3%	0.5%	4.6%	1.1%	0.0%	0.5%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	1.6%	1.1%	0.3%	2.9%
	% within D7		37.9%	41.7%	25.0%	51.5%	40.0%	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	57.1%	100.0%	42.3%
	Maintain service levels	Count	488	7	8	16	5	1	1	2	1	1	1	6	2	0	14
% within Q9_6		88.4%	1.3%	1.1%	2.9%	0.9%	0.2%	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	1.1%	0.5%	0.0%	2.5%	100.0%
% within D7		58.0%	58.3%	75.0%	48.5%	50.0%	100.0%	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%	100.0%	50.0%	50.0%	42.9%	0.0%	53.8%	
Decrease service levels	Count	34	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	36
	% within Q9_6		94.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%	100.0%
	% within D7		4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	3.7%
	Total	Count	841	12	8	33	10	1	3	3	1	1	2	12	7	1	26
% within Q9_6		87.5%	1.2%	0.8%	3.4%	1.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	1.2%	0.7%	0.1%	2.7%	100.0%
% within D7		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q9_7 (Service Level of Street / Road Maintenance) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total	
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian, includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	
Q9_7 For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Street / road maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	479	10	5	19	8	0	3	2	1	0	2	4	5	1	16
	% within Q9_7		86.0%	1.8%	0.9%	3.4%	1.1%	0.0%	0.5%	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%	0.4%	1.8%	0.9%	0.2%	2.9%
	% within D7		57.0%	83.3%	62.5%	57.6%	60.0%	0.0%	100.0%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	66.7%	71.4%	100.0%
	Maintain service levels	Count	342	2	3	13	4	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	4	2	0
% within Q9_7		89.8%	0.5%	0.8%	3.4%	1.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.5%	0.0%	2.4%	100.0%
% within D7		40.7%	16.7%	37.5%	39.4%	40.0%	100.0%	0.0%	33.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	28.6%	0.0%	34.8%	
Decrease service levels	Count	20	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	23
	% within Q9_7		87.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	100.0%
	% within D7		2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	2.4%
	Total	Count	841	12	8	33	10	1	3	3	1	1	2	12	7	1	26
% within Q9_7		87.5%	1.2%	0.8%	3.4%	1.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	1.2%	0.7%	0.1%	2.7%	100.0%
% within D7		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q9_8 (Service Level of Traffic Management) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total	
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian, includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	
Q9_8 For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Traffic management.	Increase service levels	Count	282	5	2	14	2	0	2	1	1	0	2	2	0	5	322
	% within Q9_8		87.6%	1.6%	0.6%	4.3%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	1.6%	0.0%	1.6%	100.0%
	% within D7		33.5%	41.7%	25.0%	42.4%	20.0%	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	0.0%	50.0%	41.7%	28.6%	0.0%	33.5%
	Maintain service levels	Count	517	7	8	18	8	1	1	2	0	0	1	7	5	1	19
% within Q9_8		87.2%	1.2%	1.0%	3.0%	1.3%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	1.2%	0.8%	0.2%	3.2%	100.0%
% within D7		61.5%	58.3%	75.0%	54.5%	80.0%	100.0%	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	58.3%	71.4%	100.0%	73.1%	
Decrease service levels	Count	42	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	46
	% within Q9_8		91.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	100.0%
	% within D7		5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%	
	Total	Count	841	12	8	33	10	1	3	3	1	1	2	12	7	1	26
% within Q9_8		87.5%	1.2%	0.8%	3.4%	1.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	1.2%	0.7%	0.1%	2.7%	100.0%
% within D7		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q9_9 (Service Level of Traffic / Pedestrian Safety) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total	
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian, includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	
Q9_9 For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Traffic / pedestrian safety.	Increase service levels	Count	382	7	1	16	5	0	2	1	0	0	1	5	1	20	446
	% within Q9_9		86.7%	1.6%	0.2%	3.6%	1.1%	0.0%	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.9%	1.1%	0.2%	4.5%	100.0%
	% within D7		45.4%	58.3%	12.5%	48.5%	50.0%	0.0%	66.7%								

Q8_10 (Service Level of Winter Maintenance) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total		
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian; includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)		
Q8_10. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Winter maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	415	9	5	20	6	0	2	3	1	1	2	6	4	0	17	
	% within Q8_10, % within D7.		84.5%	1.8%	1.0%	4.1%	1.2%	0.0%	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%	0.4%	1.2%	0.8%	0.0%	3.5%	100.0%	
	Maintain service levels	Count	410	3	3	13	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	6	3	1	9	454
	% within Q8_10, % within D7.		90.3%	0.7%	0.7%	2.9%	0.9%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.7%	0.2%	2.0%	100.0%
Decrease service levels	Count	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	
	% within Q8_10, % within D7.		100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Total	Count	841	12	8	33	10	1	3	3	1	1	2	12	7	1	26	961	
	% within Q8_10, % within D7.		87.5%	1.2%	0.8%	3.4%	1.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	1.2%	0.7%	0.1%	2.7%	100.0%	

Q10_1 (Service Level of Arts and Cultural Programming) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total		
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian; includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)		
Q10_1. For the following Healthy Livable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Arts and cultural facilities and program.	Increase service levels	Count	201	3	1	13	4	0	3	1	0	1	1	2	2	0	12	
	% within Q10_1, % within D7.		81.4%	1.2%	0.4%	5.3%	1.6%	0.0%	1.2%	0.4%	0.0%	0.4%	0.4%	2.0%	0.8%	0.0%	4.9%	
	Maintain service levels	Count	471	8	5	15	6	1	0	2	1	0	1	4	5	1	12	532
	% within Q10_1, % within D7.		88.5%	1.5%	0.9%	2.8%	1.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.8%	0.9%	0.2%	2.3%	100.0%
Decrease service levels	Count	169	1	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	182	
	% within Q10_1, % within D7.		52.9%	0.5%	1.1%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	100.0%
Total	Count	841	12	8	33	10	1	3	3	1	1	2	12	7	1	26	961	
	% within Q10_1, % within D7.		87.5%	1.2%	0.8%	3.4%	1.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	1.2%	0.7%	0.1%	2.7%	100.0%	

Q10_2 (Service Level of Cleanliness) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total		
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian; includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)		
Q10_2. For the following Healthy Livable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Cleanliness (litter and graffiti removal).	Increase service levels	Count	244	6	2	17	1	0	2	1	1	0	1	3	2	1	9	
	% within Q10_2, % within D7.		84.1%	2.1%	0.7%	5.9%	0.3%	0.0%	0.7%	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	1.0%	0.7%	0.3%	3.1%	
	Maintain service levels	Count	488	6	5	12	3	1	0	1	0	0	1	7	5	0	12	547
	% within Q10_2, % within D7.		89.2%	1.1%	0.9%	2.2%	1.3%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%	1.3%	0.9%	0.0%	2.2%	100.0%
Decrease service levels	Count	109	0	1	4	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	5	
	% within Q10_2, % within D7.		87.9%	0.0%	0.8%	3.2%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%	
Total	Count	841	12	8	33	10	1	3	3	1	1	1	12	7	1	26	961	
	% within Q10_2, % within D7.		87.5%	1.2%	0.8%	3.4%	1.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	1.2%	0.7%	0.1%	2.7%	100.0%	

Q10_3 (Service Level of Community Beautification) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total		
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian; includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)		
Q10_3. For the following Healthy Livable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Community beautification.	Increase service levels	Count	275	8	1	19	8	0	3	0	0	0	3	2	0	14		
	% within Q10_3, % within D7.		83.1%	2.4%	0.3%	5.7%	1.8%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.6%	0.0%	4.2%		
	Maintain service levels	Count	552	4	7	13	3	1	0	3	1	1	0	2	9	5	1	12
	% within Q10_3, % within D7.		90.0%	0.7%	1.1%	2.1%	0.5%	0.2%	0.0%	0.5%	0.2%	0.0%	0.3%	1.5%	0.8%	0.2%	2.0%	
Decrease service levels	Count	13	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	
	% within Q10_3, % within D7.		81.3%	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Total	Count	840	12	8	33	10	1	3	3	1	1	1	12	7	1	26	960	
	% within Q10_3, % within D7.		87.5%	1.3%	0.8%	3.4%	1.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	1.2%	0.7%	0.1%	2.7%	100.0%	

Q10_4 (Service Level of Emergency Preparedness) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total		
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian; includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)		
Q10_4. For the following Healthy Livable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Emergency preparedness.	Increase service levels	Count	450	6	3	22	5	0	3	2	0	1	2	4	4	0	19	
	% within Q10_4, % within D7.		86.4%	1.2%	0.8%	4.2%	1.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.4%	0.0%	0.2%	0.4%	0.8%	0.8%	0.0%	3.6%	
	Maintain service levels	Count	355	6	5	10	5	1	0	1	1	0	0	6	3	1	7	401
	% within Q10_4, % within D7.		88.5%	1.5%	1.2%	2.5%	1.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	1.2%	0.2%	1.7%	
Decrease service levels	Count	36	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	39	
	% within Q10_4, % within D7.		82.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Total	Count	841	12	8	33	10	1	3	3	1	1	1	12	7	1	26	961	
	% within Q10_4, % within D7.		87.5%	1.2%	0.8%	3.4%	1.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	1.2%	0.7%	0.1%	2.7%	100.0%	

Q10_5 (Service Level of Environmental Protection and Sustainability) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total	
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian; includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	
Q10_5. For the following Healthy Livable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Environmental protection and sustainability.	Increase service levels	Count	105	1	1	10	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	8	
	% within Q10_5, % within D7.		78.9%	0.8%	0.8%	7.5%	1.5%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	2.3%	0.8%	6.0%	
	Maintain service levels	Count	708	11	7	23	7	1	2	3	3	0	1	1	6	1	18
	% within Q10_5, % within D7.		88.6%	1.4%	0.9%	2.8%	0.9%	0.1%	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%	0.1%	1.1%	0.8%	0.1%	2.3%	
Decrease service levels	Count	27	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	
	% within Q10_5, % within D7.		96.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Total	Count	840	12	8	33	10	1	3	3	1	1	1	12	7	1	26	960
	% within Q10_5, % within D7.		87.5%	1.2%	0.8%	3.4%	1.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	1.2%	0.7%	0.1%	2.7%	100.0%

Q10_11 (Service Level of Police Services) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total	
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian; includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	
Q10_11: For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Police services.	Increase service levels	Count	131	2	1	9	4	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	9
	% within Q10_11		81.9%	1.3%	0.6%	5.6%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%
	% within D7		15.8%	16.7%	12.5%	27.3%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	34.8%
	% within D7		89.8%	1.3%	1.0%	2.4%	0.7%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	1.4%	1.0%	0.1%	1.4%
Maintain service levels	Count	641	9	7	17	5	2	2	1	0	1	10	7	1	1	0	7
	% within Q10_11		76.2%	75.0%	87.5%	51.2%	50.0%	100.0%	86.7%	66.7%	100.0%	0.0%	50.0%	83.3%	100.0%	100.0%	38.5%
	% within D7		79.3%	1.1%	0.0%	8.0%	1.1%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%
	% within D7		8.2%	8.3%	0.0%	21.2%	10.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	26.9%
Decrease service levels	Count	49	1	0	7	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	7
	% within Q10_11		8.2%	8.3%	0.0%	21.2%	10.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	26.9%
	% within D7		87.5%	1.2%	0.8%	3.4%	1.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	1.2%	0.7%	0.1%	2.7%
	% within D7		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total	Count	841	12	8	33	10	1	3	3	1	1	2	12	7	1	26	
% within Q10_11		87.5%	1.2%	0.8%	3.4%	1.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	1.2%	0.7%	0.1%	2.7%	
% within D7		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_12 (Service Level of Indoor Recreation Facilities) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total	
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian; includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	
Q10_12: For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Indoor recreation facilities.	Increase service levels	Count	186	4	2	18	5	0	2	0	1	0	1	3	2	1	10
	% within Q10_12		79.1%	1.7%	0.9%	7.7%	2.1%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.4%	1.3%	0.9%	0.4%	4.3%
	% within D7		22.1%	33.3%	25.0%	54.5%	50.0%	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	50.0%	25.0%	28.6%	100.0%	38.5%
	% within D7		60.7%	7.7%	6.6%	14.3%	3.3%	1.1%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	1.1%	1.2%	0.7%	0.0%	1.6%
Maintain service levels	Count	607	7	6	14	3	1	1	3	0	0	1	1	6	5	0	16
	% within Q10_12		90.2%	1.0%	0.9%	2.1%	0.4%	0.1%	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	1.2%	0.7%	0.0%	2.4%
	% within D7		72.2%	58.3%	75.0%	42.4%	30.0%	100.0%	33.3%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	50.0%	66.7%	71.4%	0.0%	61.5%
	% within D7		48.0%	1.0%	0.0%	1.9%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Decrease service levels	Count	48	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	53
	% within Q10_12		90.6%	1.9%	0.0%	1.9%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	% within D7		5.7%	8.3%	0.0%	3.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	% within D7		841	12	8	33	10	1	3	3	1	1	2	12	7	1	26
Total	% within Q10_12		87.5%	1.2%	0.8%	3.4%	1.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	1.2%	0.7%	0.1%	2.7%
% within D7		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_13 (Service Level of Outdoor Recreation Facilities) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total	
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian; includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	
Q10_13: For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Outdoor recreation facilities.	Increase service levels	Count	195	5	1	19	6	0	2	1	1	0	2	2	2	0	8
	% within Q10_13		79.9%	2.0%	0.4%	7.8%	2.5%	0.0%	0.8%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%	0.0%	3.3%
	% within D7		23.2%	41.7%	12.5%	57.6%	60.0%	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	16.7%	28.6%	0.0%	30.8%
	% within D7		590	7	7	14	4	1	1	2	0	1	0	9	5	1	18
Maintain service levels	Count	89.4%	1.1%	1.1%	2.1%	0.6%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	1.4%	0.8%	0.2%	2.7%	
	% within Q10_13		70.2%	58.3%	87.5%	42.4%	40.0%	100.0%	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	75.0%	71.4%	100.0%	69.2%
	% within D7		56.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	% within D7		6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Decrease service levels	Count	56	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	57
	% within Q10_13		98.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	% within D7		6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	% within D7		841	12	8	33	10	1	3	3	1	1	2	12	7	1	26
Total	% within Q10_13		87.5%	1.2%	0.8%	3.4%	1.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	1.2%	0.7%	0.1%	2.7%
% within D7		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_14 (Service Level of Recreation Programming) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total	
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian; includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	
Q10_14: For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Recreation programming.	Increase service levels	Count	191	4	3	17	6	0	2	1	0	0	2	3	3	1	9
	% within Q10_14		78.9%	1.7%	1.2%	7.0%	2.5%	0.0%	0.8%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	1.2%	1.2%	0.4%	3.7%
	% within D7		22.7%	33.3%	37.5%	51.5%	60.0%	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	25.0%	42.9%	100.0%	34.8%
	% within D7		593	8	6	15	3	1	2	1	0	1	0	9	4	0	14
Maintain service levels	Count	90.3%	1.2%	0.8%	2.3%	0.5%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	1.4%	0.8%	0.0%	2.1%	
	% within Q10_14		70.5%	66.7%	62.5%	45.5%	30.0%	100.0%	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	75.0%	57.1%	0.0%	53.8%
	% within D7		91.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%
	% within D7		6.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.5%
Decrease service levels	Count	57	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	% within Q10_14		91.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%
	% within D7		6.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.5%
	% within D7		87.5%	1.2%	0.8%	3.4%	1.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	1.2%	0.7%	0.1%	2.7%
Total	% within Q10_14		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q11_1 (Service Level of Accessibility Programming) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7: What is your ethnic identity?														Total	
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian; includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	
Q11_1: For the following Social Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Accessibility programming.	Increase service levels	Count	256	3	1	18	4	0	2	1	0	0	2	5	3	0	12
	% within Q11_1		83.4%	1.0%	0.3%	5.9%	1.3%	0.0%	0.7%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.6%	1.0%	0.0%	3.9%
	% within D7		30.4%	25.0%	12.5%	54.5%	40.0%	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	41.7%	42.9%	0.0%	46.2%
	% within D7		540	8	6	14	5	1	2	1	0	1	0	4	4	1	12
Maintain service levels	Count	90.0%	1.3%	1.0%	2.3%	0.8%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.7%	0.7%	0.2%	2.0%	
	% within Q11_1		64.2%	66.7%	75.0%	42.4%	50.0%	100.0%	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	33.3%	57.1%	100.0%	46.2%
	% within D7		45.0%	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%
	% within D7		5.4%	8.3%	12.5%	3.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%
Decrease service levels	Count	841	12	8	33	10	1	3	3	1							

Q11_2 (Service Level of Affordability / Free Programming) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7. What is your ethnic identity?														Total			
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian, includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)			
Q11_2. For the following Social Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Affordability / free programming.	Increase service levels	Count	317	5	0	23	6	0	1	0	0	1	2	2	5	0	13	378	
	% within Q11_2		83.9%	1.3%	0.0%	6.1%	1.6%	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	0.5%	1.1%	1.3%	0.0%	3.4%	100.0%	
	% within D7		37.7%	41.7%	0.0%	69.7%	60.0%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	33.3%	71.4%	0.0%	50.0%	39.3%	100.0%
	Maintain service levels	Count	456	5	7	9	3	1	2	1	1	0	0	7	2	2	1	13	508
	% within Q11_2		89.8%	1.0%	1.4%	1.8%	0.6%	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.4%	0.2%	2.6%	100.0%	
	% within D7		54.2%	41.7%	87.5%	27.2%	30.0%	100.0%	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	58.3%	28.6%	100.0%	50.0%	52.9%	100.0%
Decrease service levels	Count	88	2	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	73	
	% within Q11_2		90.7%	2.7%	1.3%	1.3%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within D7		8.1%	16.7%	12.5%	3.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.8%	100.0%
Total	Count	841	12	8	33	10	1	3	3	1	1	2	12	7	1	26	961		
% within Q11_2		87.5%	1.2%	0.8%	3.4%	1.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	1.2%	0.7%	0.1%	2.7%	100.0%		
% within D7		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q11_3 (Service Level of Diversity Programming) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7. What is your ethnic identity?														Total			
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian, includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)			
Q11_3. For the following Social Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Diversity and inclusiveness programming.	Increase service levels	Count	247	5	2	23	6	0	2	2	0	1	2	4	3	0	14	311	
	% within Q11_3		79.4%	1.6%	0.6%	7.4%	1.9%	0.0%	0.6%	0.6%	0.0%	0.3%	0.6%	1.3%	1.0%	0.0%	4.5%	100.0%	
	% within D7		29.4%	41.7%	29.2%	69.7%	60.0%	0.0%	66.7%	66.7%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	33.3%	42.9%	0.0%	53.8%	32.4%	100.0%
	Maintain service levels	Count	482	7	4	8	3	1	1	0	1	0	0	4	4	4	1	11	527
	% within Q11_3		91.5%	1.3%	0.8%	1.5%	0.6%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.8%	0.2%	2.1%	100.0%	
	% within D7		57.3%	58.3%	50.0%	24.2%	30.0%	100.0%	33.3%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	57.1%	100.0%	42.3%	54.8%	100.0%
Decrease service levels	Count	112	0	2	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	1	123	
	% within Q11_3		91.1%	0.0%	1.6%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D7		13.3%	0.0%	25.0%	6.1%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	12.9%	100.0%
Total	Count	841	12	8	33	10	1	3	3	1	1	2	12	7	1	26	961		
% within Q11_3		87.5%	1.2%	0.8%	3.4%	1.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	1.2%	0.7%	0.1%	2.7%	100.0%		
% within D7		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

D1 (Gender) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7. What is your ethnic identity?														Total		
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian, includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)		
D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?	Man	Count	379	6	1	12	3	0	2	0	1	0	9	1	0	12	425	
	% within D1		88.9%	1.4%	0.2%	2.8%	0.7%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	2.1%	0.2%	0.0%	2.8%	100.0%	
	% within D7		46.2%	54.5%	14.3%	37.5%	30.0%	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	81.8%	16.7%	0.0%	48.0%	45.6%	100.0%
	Woman	Count	435	5	6	20	7	1	1	3	3	2	2	5	1	13	501	
	% within D1		86.8%	1.0%	1.2%	4.0%	1.4%	0.2%	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%	0.4%	0.4%	1.0%	0.2%	2.6%	100.0%	
	% within D7		53.2%	45.5%	85.7%	62.5%	70.0%	100.0%	33.3%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	18.2%	83.3%	100.0%	52.0%	53.8%	100.0%
Non-binary	Count	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	
% within D1		100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
% within D7		0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	100.0%
Total	Count	819	11	7	32	10	1	3	3	1	1	2	11	6	1	25	851	
% within D1		87.6%	1.2%	0.8%	3.4%	1.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	1.2%	0.6%	0.1%	2.7%	100.0%	
% within D7		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

D2 (Age) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7. What is your ethnic identity?														Total			
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian, includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)			
D2. How old are you?	18-34 years old	Count	180	6	3	8	2	1	3	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	7	214	
	% within D2		84.1%	2.6%	1.4%	3.7%	0.9%	0.5%	1.4%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%	0.3%	3.0%	100.0%	
	% within D7		22.0%	50.0%	42.9%	24.2%	20.0%	100.0%	100.0%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	14.3%	0.0%	26.9%	22.9%	100.0%
	35-54 years old	Count	321	4	3	15	4	0	0	1	1	1	1	4	4	0	10	369	
	% within D2		87.0%	1.1%	0.8%	4.1%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	1.1%	1.1%	0.0%	2.7%	100.0%	
	% within D7		39.2%	33.3%	42.9%	45.5%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	100.0%	100.0%	50.0%	36.4%	57.1%	0.0%	38.5%	39.4%	100.0%
55 and older	Count	317	2	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	1	9	353		
% within D2		89.8%	0.6%	0.3%	2.8%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	1.7%	0.6%	0.3%	2.5%	100.0%	
% within D7		38.8%	16.7%	14.3%	30.3%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	54.5%	28.6%	100.0%	34.6%	37.7%	100.0%
Total	Count	818	12	7	33	10	1	3	3	1	1	2	11	7	1	26	936		
% within D2		87.4%	1.3%	0.7%	3.5%	1.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	1.2%	0.7%	0.1%	2.8%	100.0%		
% within D7		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

D3 (Income) by D7 (Ethnic Identity)

		D7. What is your ethnic identity?														Total		
		White	South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan etc.)	Chinese	Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Latin American	Arab	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian, Thai, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Korean	First Nations (example: North American Indian, includes Status and Non-Status Indians)	Métis	Inuk	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)		
D3. What was your 2018 total household income before taxes?	Less than \$30,000	Count	68	1	0	7	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	5	87
	% within D3		78.2%	0.0%	1.1%	8.0%	1.1%	1.1%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	5.7%	100.0%
	% within D7		9.3%	0.0%	14.3%	24.1%	12.5%	100.0%	66.7%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.8%	100.0%
	\$30,000 to less than \$50,000	Count	111	2	0	3	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	2	3	0	3	129
	% within D3		86.0%	1.6%	0.0%	2.3%	1.6%	0.0%	0.8%	0.8%	0.0%	0.8%	0.8%	1.6%	2.3%	0.0%	2.3%	100.0%
	% within D7		15.2%	20.0%	0.0%	10.3%	12.5%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	100.0%	50.0%	25.0%	75.0%	0.0%	12.5%	15.5%
\$50,000 to less than \$75,000	Count	164	2	2	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	183	
% within D3		89.8%	1.1%	1.1%	4.4%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%	1.6%	100.0%	
% within D7		22.5%	20.0%	28.6%	27.6%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	25.0%	0.0%	12.5%	22.0%	100.0%
\$75,000 to less than \$100,000	Count	114	5	2	4	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	2	0	6	139	
% within D3		82.0%	3.6%	1.4%	2.9%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.4%	0.0%	4.3%	100.0%	
% within D7		15.7%	50.0%	28.6%	13.8%	37.5%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	16.7%	100.0%
\$100,000 to less than \$125,000	Count	107	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	3	117	
% within D3		91.5%	0.0%	0.8%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.9%	2.6%	100.0%
% within D7		14.7%	0.0%	14.3%	10.3													

Q2 (Value of Property Tax) by Q1 (FSA)

		Q1 - Select the first three digits of your postal code																												Total						
		BH	BSJ	BAC	BVY	BVZ	BWV	BXV	BZZ	BAA	BAB	BAC	BAD	BAE	BAF	BAG	BAH	BAI	BAJ	BAK	BAL	BAM	BAN	BAO	BAQ	BAR	BAS	BAT	BAU	BAV	BAW	BAZ	Total			
Q2. Thinking about all the programs and services you receive from the municipality, please indicate the degree to which you believe you receive good value for the money you pay for the property taxes you pay to your community?	Very good value	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Poor value	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Very poor value	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
I do not pay property tax	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Q3 (Taxes and Fees) by Q1 (FSA)

		Q1 - Select the first three digits of your postal code																												Total						
		BH	BSJ	BAC	BVY	BVZ	BWV	BXV	BZZ	BAA	BAB	BAC	BAD	BAE	BAF	BAG	BAH	BAI	BAJ	BAK	BAL	BAM	BAN	BAO	BAQ	BAR	BAS	BAT	BAU	BAV	BAW	BAZ	Total			
Q3. When the municipality is increasing the municipal taxes, please indicate which of the following reasons are closest to your point of view. (The municipality would...)	Decrease taxes and fees.	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Increase municipal services.	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Q4_1 (Satisfaction of Accessibility Programming) by Q1 (FSA)

		Q1 - Select the first three digits of your postal code																												Total						
		BH	BSJ	BAC	BVY	BVZ	BWV	BXV	BZZ	BAA	BAB	BAC	BAD	BAE	BAF	BAG	BAH	BAI	BAJ	BAK	BAL	BAM	BAN	BAO	BAQ	BAR	BAS	BAT	BAU	BAV	BAW	BAZ	Total			
Q4_1. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following services provided by the municipality: Accessibility programming (e.g., accessible transit, accessible facilities, services, programs).	Very satisfied	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Satisfied	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dissatisfied	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	% within Q1	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Q4_2 (Satisfaction of Affordability / Free Programming) by Q1 (FSA)

		Q1 - Select the first three digits of your postal code																												Total
		BH	BSJ	BAC	BVY	BVZ	BWV	BXV	BZZ	BAA	BAB	BAC	BAD	BAE	BAF	BAG	BAH	BAI	BAJ	BAK	BAL									

Q6_4 (Importance of Transportation) by Q1 (FSA)

		Q1 - Select the five most important goals of your local council																															
		B3H	B3J	B3C	B3V	B3W	B3X	B3Z	B3A	B3B	B3E	B3G	B3H	B3I	B3J	B3K	B3L	B3M	B3N	B3P	B3R	B3S	B3T	B3V	B3Z	B4A	B4B	B4E	B4J	B4I	B4K	B4T	Total
Q6_4 - Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to your Transportation	Very important	Count	4	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11
	% within Q6_4	Count	6.2%	5.7%	2.9%	5.1%	2.9%	6.6%	2.9%	6.6%	0.9%	0.9%	1.8%	3.8%	5.5%	6.2%	4.9%	1.8%	0.9%	2.3%	4.9%	1.8%	0.9%	1.1%	5.5%	2.4%	2.9%	0.8%	2.3%	4.9%	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%
	% within Q1	Count	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11
	% within Q1	Count	5.2%	1.8%	4.1%	5.2%	4.4%	10.8%	4.4%	2.3%	4.4%	0.8%	1.0%	2.0%	6.7%	4.7%	5.7%	2.3%	1.8%	1.8%	2.1%	6.7%	0.8%	4.1%	3.9%	2.4%	0.8%	2.4%	4.9%	4.8%	1.3%	1.0%	100.0%
Not important	Count	4	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	% within Q6_4	Count	11.1%	2.8%	5.6%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	% within Q1	Count	6.0%	2.3%	5.4%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	% within Q1	Count	11.1%	2.8%	5.6%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	Count	47	44	37	54	34	88	103	118	76	144	22	91	54	85	39	20	13	20	60	9	40	30	60	9	40	30	29	8	58	11	1078	
	% within Q6_4	Count	6.2%	4.1%	3.4%	5.0%	3.1%	1.7%	1.1%	0.4%	1.3%	2.0%	8.4%	5.0%	6.0%	3.8%	1.9%	1.2%	2.3%	5.6%	0.8%	3.7%	3.2%	2.8%	0.7%	5.4%	1.0%	1.0%	0.1%	100.0%			
	% within Q1	Count	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Q1	Count	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q6_5 (Importance of Service Delivery) by Q1 (FSA)

		Q1 - Select the five most important goals of your local council																															
		B3H	B3J	B3C	B3V	B3W	B3X	B3Z	B3A	B3B	B3E	B3G	B3H	B3I	B3J	B3K	B3L	B3M	B3N	B3P	B3R	B3S	B3T	B3V	B3Z	B4A	B4B	B4E	B4J	B4I	B4K	B4T	Total
Q6_5 - Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to your Service Delivery	Very important	Count	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	
	% within Q6_5	Count	4.0%	3.8%	1.7%	3.8%	2.7%	9.3%	3.8%	2.7%	7.2%	0.2%	1.4%	2.1%	8.8%	4.8%	5.2%	4.1%	1.4%	1.7%	2.4%	6.0%	1.7%	4.1%	1.7%	2.1%	2.1%	1.4%	7.2%	1.0%	1.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q1	Count	10.0%	25.0%	13.5%	20.4%	23.5%	30.7%	33.3%	44.4%	27.0%	0.0%	28.6%	27.3%	30.9%	35.9%	31.1%	30.0%	20.0%	38.9%	28.0%	31.7%	63.0%	30.0%	14.3%	20.0%	21.4%	20.0%	36.2%	37.0%	30.0%	37.0%	
	% within Q1	Count	44	24	23	30	22	40	19	48	10	44	14	44	39	22	13	11	36	4	20	23	17	17	17	13	31	17	17	13	31	17	
Not important	Count	6	9	3	7	4	11	3	1	9	9	1	9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
	% within Q6_5	Count	11.8%	2.9%	5.6%	13.1%	11.8%	12.5%	5.1%	5.8%	11.4%	23.0%	15.0%	12.5%	10.3%	15.0%	14.6%	6.2%	0.0%	5.0%	3.0%	3.0%	0.0%	1.0%	3.0%	3.0%	1.6%	16.7%	17.9%	12.0%	8.6%	0.0%	13.2%
	% within Q1	Count	6.0%	2.3%	5.4%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	% within Q1	Count	11.1%	2.8%	5.6%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	Count	17	44	37	54	34	88	103	118	76	144	22	91	54	85	39	20	13	20	60	9	40	30	60	9	40	30	29	8	58	11	1078	
	% within Q6_5	Count	6.2%	4.1%	3.4%	5.0%	3.2%	8.2%	3.1%	1.7%	7.1%	0.4%	1.3%	2.0%	8.4%	5.0%	6.0%	3.8%	1.9%	1.2%	2.3%	5.6%	0.8%	3.7%	3.2%	2.8%	0.7%	5.4%	1.0%	1.0%	0.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q1	Count	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Q1	Count	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q6_6 (Importance of Social Development) by Q1 (FSA)

		Q1 - Select the five most important goals of your local council																															
		B3H	B3J	B3C	B3V	B3W	B3X	B3Z	B3A	B3B	B3E	B3G	B3H	B3I	B3J	B3K	B3L	B3M	B3N	B3P	B3R	B3S	B3T	B3V	B3Z	B4A	B4B	B4E	B4J	B4I	B4K	B4T	Total
Q6_6 - Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to your Social Development	Very important	Count	20	20	19	9	24	10	7	30	0	0	10	41	21	23	24	7	7	7	7	20	3	13	9	10	2	10	6	1	389		
	% within Q6_6	Count	7.0%	5.0%	2.0%	4.5%	2.3%	6.0%	2.9%	1.8%	9.0%	0.0%	0.8%	2.0%	12.0%	5.3%	5.5%	6.6%	1.8%	1.8%	2.3%	5.6%	0.8%	3.3%	2.3%	6.0%	2.3%	0.5%	4.5%	1.5%	0.3%	100.0%	
	% within Q1	Count	41.8%	24.0%	21.6%	16.3%	14.7%	21.7%	21.2%	22.1%	38.7%	0.0%	21.4%	37.7%	21.4%	27.3%	24.2%	24.2%	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%
	% within Q1	Count	41.8%	24.0%	21.6%	16.3%	14.7%	21.7%	21.2%	22.1%	38.7%	0.0%	21.4%	37.7%	21.4%	27.3%	24.2%	24.2%	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%
Not important	Count	9	4	6	9	4	14	3	2	7	1	3	9	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		
	% within Q6_6	Count	3.0%	1.0%	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%	3.5%	1.1%	0.6%	2.3%	0.3%	0.8%	2.0%	1.9%	2.3%	2.3%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	
	% within Q1	Count	18.4%	5.1%	21.6%	16.3%	11.8%	15.9%	9.1%	11.1%	2.3%	25.0%	14.3%	13.9%	3.9%	9.3%	12.2%	2.8%	10.0%	23.1%	12.0%	13.3%	33.3%	17.5%	14.3%	13.3%	21.4%	37.0%	19.0%	0.1%	0.2%	13.5%	
	% within Q1	Count	6.2%	4.1%	3.4%	5.0%	3.2%	8.2%	3.1%	1.7%	7.1%	0.4%	1.3%	2.0%	8.4%	5.0%	6.0%	3.8%	1.9%	1.2%	2.3%	5.6%	0.8%	3.7%	3.2%	2.8%	0.7%	5.4%	1.0%	1.0%	0.1%	100.0%	
Total	Count	29	44	37	54	34	88	103	118	76	144	22	91	54	85	39	20	13	20	60	9	40	30	60	9	40	30	29	8	58	11	1078	
	% within Q6_6	Count	10.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Q1	Count	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Q1	Count	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q7 (Service Level) by Q1 (FSA)

		Q1 - Select the five most important goals of your local council																															
		B3H	B3J	B3C	B3V	B3W	B3X	B3Z	B3A	B3B	B3E	B3G	B3H	B3I	B3J	B3K	B3L	B3M	B3N	B3P	B3R	B3S	B3T	B3V	B3Z	B4A	B4B	B4E	B4J	B4I	B4K	B4T	Total
Q7 - For the following Increase service levels	Count	5	10	10	14	5	24	7	10	0	0	10	37	10	27	23	24	7	7	7	7	20	3	13	9	10	2	10	6	1	389		
	% within Q7	Count	5.0%	2.0%	2.0%	4.4%	1.6%	7.5%	2.2%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	3.1%	11.1%	4.1%	6.0%	6.2%	1.8%	1.8%	2.3%	5.6%	0.8%	3.3%	2.3%	6.0%	2.3%	0.5%	4.5%	1.5%	0.3%	100.0%	
	% within Q1	Count	23.8%	24.0%	21.6%	16.3%	14.7%	21.7%	21.2%	22.1%	38.7%	0.0%	21.4%	37.7%	21.4%	27.3%	24.2%	24.2%	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%
	% within Q1	Count	23.8%	24.0%	21.6%	16.3%	14.7%	21.7%	21.2%	22.1%	38.7%	0.0%	21.4%	37.7%	21.4%</																		

D5 (Person with Disabilities) by Q1 (FSA)

		Q1 - Select the first three digits of your postal code																												Total		
		B3H	B3J	B4C	B7Y	B7V	B7W	B7X	B7Z	B8A	B8B	B8E	B8G	B8K	B8L	B8M	B8N	B8P	B8R	B8S	B8T	B8V	B8Z	B4A	B4B	B4E	B4G	B8J	B8K	B8T		
D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?	Yes	Count	0	2	0	1	1	12	0	1	11	0	1	14	0	11	0	4	0	1	2	0	4	0	4	0	4	0	1	2	0	14
	% within D5	5.6%	1.4%	5.6%	4.2%	0.7%	8.4%	1.4%	1.4%	7.7%	0.0%	0.7%	2.1%	11.2%	5.6%	10.5%	5.6%	3.5%	0.7%	4.2%	2.8%	1.4%	2.8%	1.4%	2.1%	2.8%	0.0%	4.9%	1.4%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q1	12.7%	4.8%	23.5%	11.6%	3.2%	15.6%	6.9%	13.2%	0.0%	7.1%	15.8%	16.0%	24.6%	21.6%	20.0%	7.7%	27.3%	7.0%	25.0%	11.8%	6.1%	11.1%	10.0%	0.0%	13.2%	16.2%	0.0%	14.4%	0.0%	100.0%	
No	Count	92	40	26	48	30	65	27	13	50	3	13	10	70	42	80	27	15	10	3	8	30	21	17	8	44	17	44	8	84		
	% within D5	6.6%	4.7%	3.1%	5.3%	3.5%	7.7%	3.2%	1.9%	7.0%	0.4%	1.5%	1.9%	8.3%	5.0%	5.4%	3.4%	1.8%	1.4%	1.9%	6.3%	0.7%	3.5%	3.7%	2.8%	2.0%	0.9%	5.4%	1.1%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q1	87.3%	95.2%	76.5%	88.4%	96.8%	84.4%	93.1%	96.8%	98.0%	92.9%	98.3%	94.3%	81.4%	84.0%	79.0%	79.4%	75.0%	93.3%	77.2%	92.0%	75.0%	89.2%	93.0%	96.0%	81.0%	100.0%	96.6%	81.3%	100.0%	98.6%	0.0%
Total	Count	63	42	31	51	31	77	29	15	70	3	14	10	80	50	87	37	20	13	27	10	34	21	17	8	54	11	52	1	92		
	% within D5	6.6%	4.2%	3.4%	5.2%	3.1%	7.8%	2.9%	1.9%	7.1%	0.3%	1.4%	1.9%	8.7%	5.1%	6.2%	3.7%	2.0%	1.3%	2.2%	5.8%	0.8%	3.4%	3.3%	2.7%	2.1%	0.8%	5.4%	1.1%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

D6 (Acadian or Francophone) by Q1 (FSA)

		Q1 - Select the first three digits of your postal code																												Total			
		B3H	B3J	B4C	B7Y	B7V	B7W	B7X	B7Z	B8A	B8B	B8E	B8G	B8K	B8L	B8M	B8N	B8P	B8R	B8S	B8T	B8V	B8Z	B4A	B4B	B4E	B4G	B8J	B8K	B8T			
D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?	Yes - Acadian	Count	2	4	1	3	6	4	2	4	0	1	3	6	2	5	2	1	0	1	1	0	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0			
	% within D6	5.8%	2.8%	5.6%	1.4%	4.2%	8.3%	5.6%	2.8%	5.6%	0.0%	1.4%	4.2%	8.3%	2.8%	6.9%	2.8%	1.4%	0.0%	1.4%	1.4%	0.0%	5.6%	2.8%	1.4%	4.2%	0.0%	12.5%	1.4%	0.0%	100.0%		
	% within Q1	6.7%	4.7%	11.1%	2.0%	6.4%	7.4%	12.2%	14.3%	5.5%	0.0%	7.1%	14.3%	6.8%	3.8%	8.2%	6.3%	5.0%	0.0%	4.3%	1.7%	0.0%	11.1%	5.0%	3.8%	13.0%	0.0%	17.0%	10.0%	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%	100.0%
Yes - Francophone	Count	3	1	0	1	0	6	1	1	1	2	0	3	0	2	0	4	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
	% within D6	9.1%	3.2%	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%	19.4%	3.2%	3.2%	6.0%	0.0%	9.7%	0.0%	9.7%	6.5%	0.0%	12.9%	3.2%	0.0%	3.2%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	% within Q1	5.0%	2.3%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	7.4%	3.2%	7.1%	2.3%	0.0%	27.4%	0.0%	3.4%	3.8%	0.0%	10.3%	5.0%	0.0%	4.3%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
No	Count	50	40	30	48	30	66	26	11	67	3	10	18	79	46	80	32	18	12	21	9	30	32	27	19	8	44	17	44	8	84		
	% within D6	5.8%	4.4%	3.5%	5.3%	3.2%	7.6%	2.9%	1.2%	7.4%	0.3%	1.1%	2.0%	8.7%	5.4%	6.2%	3.5%	2.0%	1.3%	2.3%	6.2%	0.9%	3.5%	3.0%	2.1%	0.9%	4.8%	1.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q1	88.3%	95.3%	88.9%	96.0%	96.8%	87.2%	89.2%	78.4%	91.9%	100.0%	71.4%	88.7%	89.8%	93.2%	97.2%	84.2%	92.0%	100.0%	91.3%	96.6%	100.0%	89.2%	94.3%	96.0%	82.8%	100.0%	83.3%	90.5%	100.0%	99.8%	0.0%	100.0%
Total	Count	60	43	31	52	31	83	35	16	71	3	14	21	89	53	87	38	20	14	23	10	34	21	17	8	54	11	52	1	92			
	% within D6	6.0%	4.2%	3.6%	4.9%	3.2%	8.0%	3.1%	1.4%	7.2%	0.3%	1.4%	2.1%	8.7%	5.2%	6.0%	3.8%	2.0%	1.2%	2.3%	5.7%	0.8%	3.6%	3.0%	2.8%	2.3%	0.8%	5.2%	1.0%	0.1%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

D7 (Ethnic Identity) by Q1 (FSA)

		Q1 - Select the first three digits of your postal code																												Total									
		B3H	B3J	B4C	B7Y	B7V	B7W	B7X	B7Z	B8A	B8B	B8E	B8G	B8K	B8L	B8M	B8N	B8P	B8R	B8S	B8T	B8V	B8Z	B4A	B4B	B4E	B4G	B8J	B8K	B8T									
D7. What is your ethnic identity?	White	Count	51	36	34	44	29	68	25	15	62	2	13	21	60	42	66	32	15	11	17	53	7	33	25	17	5	44	10	0	84								
	% within D7	61%	4.3%	4.2%	5.2%	3.3%	8.1%	3.0%	1.5%	2.8%	7.0%	0.2%	1.5%	2.8%	7.1%	5.0%	5.3%	3.8%	1.8%	1.3%	2.0%	6.3%	3.0%	3.0%	2.3%	2.0%	0.6%	5.2%	1.2%	0.1%	0.0%	84.7%							
	% within Q1	87.9%	85.7%	91.5%	91.7%	100.0%	87.2%	100.0%	92.9%	84.3%	100.0%	92.3%	71.4%	89.4%	83.3%	100.0%	77.2%	94.8%	100.0%	94.3%	83.3%	100.0%	77.2%	94.8%	83.3%	82.3%	82.0%	84.6%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	87.5%	0.0%	100.0%					
South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan, etc.)	Count	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
	% within D7	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%					
	% within Q1	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%				
Chinese	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
	% within D7	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
	% within Q1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%		
Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Count	1	2	1	1	0	0	1	1	4	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0						
	% within D7	3.0%	6.1%	3.0%	3.0%	0.0%	16.2%	0.0%	3.0%	12.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
	% within Q1	1.3%	4.8%	2.3%	2.3%	0.0%	14.5%	0.0%	7.1%	8.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.3%	0.0%	1.8%	2.8%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%		
Filipino	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
	% within D7	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q1	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
Latin American	Count	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
	% within D7	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q1	1.3%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
Arab	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
	% within D7	0.0%	39.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	% within Q1	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0																	

Q1 (FSA) by D1 (Gender)

		D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total	
		Man	Woman	Non-binary		
Q1. Select the first three digits of your postal code.	B3H	Count	28	33	1	62
		% within Q1.	45.2%	53.2%	1.6%	100.0%
		% within D1.	6.0%	6.3%	12.5%	6.2%
B3J	Count	21	18	1	40	
	% within Q1.	52.5%	45.0%	2.5%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	4.5%	3.4%	12.5%	4.0%	
B4C	Count	18	18	0	36	
	% within Q1.	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	3.8%	3.4%	0.0%	3.6%	
B2Y	Count	30	22	0	52	
	% within Q1.	57.7%	42.3%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	6.4%	4.2%	0.0%	5.2%	
B2V	Count	20	11	0	31	
	% within Q1.	64.5%	35.5%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	4.3%	2.1%	0.0%	3.1%	
B2W	Count	42	37	0	79	
	% within Q1.	53.2%	46.8%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	9.0%	7.0%	0.0%	7.9%	
B2X	Count	15	16	0	31	
	% within Q1.	48.4%	51.6%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	3.2%	3.0%	0.0%	3.1%	
B2Z	Count	7	6	0	13	
	% within Q1.	53.8%	46.2%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	1.5%	1.1%	0.0%	1.3%	
B3A	Count	26	47	0	73	
	% within Q1.	35.6%	64.4%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	5.5%	8.9%	0.0%	7.3%	
B3B	Count	3	0	0	3	
	% within Q1.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	
B3E	Count	7	7	0	14	
	% within Q1.	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	1.5%	1.3%	0.0%	1.4%	
B3G	Count	10	12	0	22	
	% within Q1.	45.5%	54.5%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	2.1%	2.3%	0.0%	2.2%	
B3K	Count	36	49	2	87	
	% within Q1.	41.4%	56.3%	2.3%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	7.7%	9.3%	25.0%	8.7%	
B3L	Count	22	27	1	50	
	% within Q1.	44.0%	54.0%	2.0%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	4.7%	5.1%	12.5%	5.0%	
B3M	Count	34	26	0	60	
	% within Q1.	56.7%	43.3%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	7.2%	4.9%	0.0%	6.0%	
B3N	Count	9	25	1	35	
	% within Q1.	25.7%	71.4%	2.9%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	1.9%	4.7%	12.5%	3.5%	

B3P	Count	6	12	0	18
	% within Q1.	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%	100.0%
	% within D1.	1.3%	2.3%	0.0%	1.8%
B3R	Count	5	8	0	13
	% within Q1.	38.5%	61.5%	0.0%	100.0%
	% within D1.	1.1%	1.5%	0.0%	1.3%
B3S	Count	14	9	0	23
	% within Q1.	60.9%	39.1%	0.0%	100.0%
	% within D1.	3.0%	1.7%	0.0%	2.3%
B3T	Count	21	36	0	57
	% within Q1.	36.8%	63.2%	0.0%	100.0%
	% within D1.	4.5%	6.8%	0.0%	5.7%
B3V	Count	3	5	1	9
	% within Q1.	33.3%	55.6%	11.1%	100.0%
	% within D1.	0.6%	0.9%	12.5%	0.9%
B3Z	Count	20	17	1	38
	% within Q1.	52.6%	44.7%	2.6%	100.0%
	% within D1.	4.3%	3.2%	12.5%	3.8%
B4A	Count	19	15	0	34
	% within Q1.	55.9%	44.1%	0.0%	100.0%
	% within D1.	4.1%	2.8%	0.0%	3.4%
B4B	Count	13	16	0	29
	% within Q1.	44.8%	55.2%	0.0%	100.0%
	% within D1.	2.8%	3.0%	0.0%	2.9%
B4E	Count	16	9	0	25
	% within Q1.	64.0%	36.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	% within D1.	3.4%	1.7%	0.0%	2.5%
B4G	Count	6	1	0	7
	% within Q1.	85.7%	14.3%	0.0%	100.0%
	% within D1.	1.3%	0.2%	0.0%	0.7%
B0J	Count	17	36	0	53
	% within Q1.	32.1%	67.9%	0.0%	100.0%
	% within D1.	3.6%	6.8%	0.0%	5.3%
B0N	Count	0	10	0	10
	% within Q1.	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	% within D1.	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	1.0%
B2T	Count	1	0	0	1
	% within Q1.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	% within D1.	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Total	Count	469	528	8	1005
	% within Q1.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q2 (Value of Property Tax) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q2. Thinking about all the programs and services you receive from the municipality, please indicate the degree to which you believe you receive good or poor value for the level of property taxes that you currently pay?	Very good value	Count	24	24	0	48
		% within Q2.	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	5.3%	4.7%	0.0%	5.0%
	Good value	Count	236	258	1	495
		% within Q2.	47.7%	52.1%	0.2%	100.0%
		% within D1.	52.0%	50.9%	12.5%	51.1%
	Poor value	Count	113	124	0	237
		% within Q2.	47.7%	52.3%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	24.9%	24.5%	0.0%	24.5%
	Very poor value	Count	34	24	3	61
		% within Q2.	55.7%	39.3%	4.9%	100.0%
		% within D1.	7.5%	4.7%	37.5%	6.3%
I do not pay property tax	Count	47	77	4	128	
	% within Q2.	36.7%	60.2%	3.1%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	10.4%	15.2%	50.0%	13.2%	
Total	Count	454	507	8	969	
	% within Q2.	46.9%	52.3%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q3 (Taxes and Fees) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:	Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Count	112	86	2	200
		% within Q3.	56.0%	43.0%	1.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	23.9%	16.3%	25.0%	19.9%
	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal	Count	204	253	1	458
		% within Q3.	44.5%	55.2%	0.2%	100.0%
		% within D1.	43.5%	48.0%	12.5%	45.6%
	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	Count	153	188	5	346
		% within Q3.	44.2%	54.3%	1.4%	100.0%
		% within D1.	32.6%	35.7%	62.5%	34.5%
	Total	Count	469	527	8	1004
		% within Q3.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%
		% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q4_1 (Satisfaction of Accessibility Programming) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q4_1. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Accessibility programming (example: physical / intellectual access to facilities, services, programs).	Very satisfied	Count	39	32	1	72
		% within Q4_1.	54.2%	44.4%	1.4%	100.0%
		% within D1.	12.2%	8.3%	14.3%	10.1%
	Satisfied	Count	201	210	3	414
		% within Q4_1.	48.6%	50.7%	0.7%	100.0%
		% within D1.	63.0%	54.7%	42.9%	58.3%
	Dissatisfied	Count	60	102	3	165
		% within Q4_1.	36.4%	61.8%	1.8%	100.0%
		% within D1.	18.8%	26.6%	42.9%	23.2%
Very dissatisfied	Count	19	40	0	59	
	% within Q4_1.	32.2%	67.8%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	6.0%	10.4%	0.0%	8.3%	
Total	Count	319	384	7	710	
	% within Q4_1.	44.9%	54.1%	1.0%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_2 (Satisfaction of Affordability / Free Programming) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q4_2. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Affordability / free programming.	Very satisfied	Count	37	34	0	71
		% within Q4_2.	52.1%	47.9%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	10.7%	7.9%	0.0%	9.1%
	Satisfied	Count	227	255	4	486
		% within Q4_2.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%
		% within D1.	65.6%	59.3%	57.1%	62.1%
	Dissatisfied	Count	57	105	2	164
		% within Q4_2.	34.8%	64.0%	1.2%	100.0%
		% within D1.	16.5%	24.4%	28.6%	20.9%
Very dissatisfied	Count	25	36	1	62	
	% within Q4_2.	40.3%	58.1%	1.6%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	7.2%	8.4%	14.3%	7.9%	
Total	Count	346	430	7	783	
	% within Q4_2.	44.2%	54.9%	0.9%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_3 (Satisfaction of Arts and Cultural Programming) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q4_3. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Arts and cultural facilities and programs.	Very satisfied	Count	30	20	0	50
		% within Q4_3.	60.0%	40.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	12.7%	7.5%	0.0%	9.9%
	Satisfied	Count	153	133	1	287
		% within Q4_3.	53.3%	46.3%	0.3%	100.0%
		% within D1.	64.8%	50.0%	25.0%	56.7%
	Dissatisfied	Count	39	89	3	131
		% within Q4_3.	29.8%	67.9%	2.3%	100.0%
		% within D1.	16.5%	33.5%	75.0%	25.9%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	14	24	0	38
		% within Q4_3.	36.8%	63.2%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	5.9%	9.0%	0.0%	7.5%
Total	Count	236	266	4	506	
	% within Q4_3.	46.6%	52.6%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_4 (Satisfaction of Bike Lanes / Cycling Facilities) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q4_4. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Bike lanes / cycling facilities.	Very satisfied	Count	50	27	0	77
		% within Q4_4.	64.9%	35.1%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	13.3%	6.8%	0.0%	9.9%
	Satisfied	Count	100	125	2	227
		% within Q4_4.	44.1%	55.1%	0.9%	100.0%
		% within D1.	26.5%	31.6%	25.0%	29.1%
	Dissatisfied	Count	116	132	4	252
		% within Q4_4.	46.0%	52.4%	1.6%	100.0%
		% within D1.	30.8%	33.4%	50.0%	32.3%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	111	111	2	224
		% within Q4_4.	49.6%	49.6%	0.9%	100.0%
		% within D1.	29.4%	28.1%	25.0%	28.7%
Total	Count	377	395	8	780	
	% within Q4_4.	48.3%	50.6%	1.0%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_5 (Satisfaction of Business Support Services) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q4_5. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Business support services (example: permits).	Very satisfied	Count	15	7	0	22
		% within Q4_5.	68.2%	31.8%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	5.8%	3.1%	0.0%	4.5%
	Satisfied	Count	135	138	1	274
		% within Q4_5.	49.3%	50.4%	0.4%	100.0%
		% within D1.	52.3%	60.8%	50.0%	56.3%
	Dissatisfied	Count	78	59	1	138
		% within Q4_5.	56.5%	42.8%	0.7%	100.0%
		% within D1.	30.2%	26.0%	50.0%	28.3%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	30	23	0	53
		% within Q4_5.	56.6%	43.4%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	11.6%	10.1%	0.0%	10.9%
Total	Count	258	227	2	487	
	% within Q4_5.	53.0%	46.6%	0.4%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_6 (Satisfaction of Cleanliness) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q4_6. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Cleanliness (example: litter & graffiti removal).	Very satisfied	Count	33	42	1	76
		% within Q4_6.	43.4%	55.3%	1.3%	100.0%
		% within D1.	7.3%	8.2%	12.5%	7.8%
	Satisfied	Count	246	264	4	514
		% within Q4_6.	47.9%	51.4%	0.8%	100.0%
		% within D1.	54.2%	51.6%	50.0%	52.8%
	Dissatisfied	Count	122	145	1	268
		% within Q4_6.	45.5%	54.1%	0.4%	100.0%
		% within D1.	26.9%	28.3%	12.5%	27.5%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	53	61	2	116
		% within Q4_6.	45.7%	52.6%	1.7%	100.0%
		% within D1.	11.7%	11.9%	25.0%	11.9%
Total	Count	454	512	8	974	
	% within Q4_6.	46.6%	52.6%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_7 (Satisfaction of Community Planning) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q4_7. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Community planning / land use planning and approvals.	Very satisfied	Count	11	11	0	22
		% within Q4_7.	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	2.7%	2.7%	0.0%	2.7%
	Satisfied	Count	147	133	1	281
		% within Q4_7.	52.3%	47.3%	0.4%	100.0%
		% within D1.	35.9%	32.1%	20.0%	33.9%
	Dissatisfied	Count	149	150	1	300
		% within Q4_7.	49.7%	50.0%	0.3%	100.0%
		% within D1.	36.4%	36.2%	20.0%	36.2%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	102	120	3	225
		% within Q4_7.	45.3%	53.3%	1.3%	100.0%
		% within D1.	24.9%	29.0%	60.0%	27.2%
Total	Count	409	414	5	828	
	% within Q4_7.	49.4%	50.0%	0.6%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_8 (Satisfaction of Community Standards) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q4_8. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Community standards (example: by-law enforcement).	Very satisfied	Count	21	12	0	33
		% within Q4_8.	63.6%	36.4%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	5.0%	2.8%	0.0%	3.9%
	Satisfied	Count	217	234	2	453
		% within Q4_8.	47.9%	51.7%	0.4%	100.0%
		% within D1.	51.2%	55.1%	40.0%	53.0%
	Dissatisfied	Count	125	109	1	235
		% within Q4_8.	53.2%	46.4%	0.4%	100.0%
		% within D1.	29.5%	25.6%	20.0%	27.5%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	61	70	2	133
		% within Q4_8.	45.9%	52.6%	1.5%	100.0%
		% within D1.	14.4%	16.5%	40.0%	15.6%
Total	Count	424	425	5	854	
	% within Q4_8.	49.6%	49.8%	0.6%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_9 (Satisfaction of Diversity Programming) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q4_9. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Diversity and inclusiveness programs (example: language and culture programming).	Very satisfied	Count	49	25	0	74
		% within Q4_9.	66.2%	33.8%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	17.0%	7.2%	0.0%	11.5%
	Satisfied	Count	175	205	4	384
		% within Q4_9.	45.6%	53.4%	1.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	60.6%	59.2%	66.7%	59.9%
	Dissatisfied	Count	47	87	2	136
		% within Q4_9.	34.6%	64.0%	1.5%	100.0%
		% within D1.	16.3%	25.1%	33.3%	21.2%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	18	29	0	47
		% within Q4_9.	38.3%	61.7%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	6.2%	8.4%	0.0%	7.3%
Total	Count	289	346	6	641	
	% within Q4_9.	45.1%	54.0%	0.9%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_10 (Satisfaction of Economic Development) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q4_10. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Economic development (example: promoting and connecting Halifax to grow and get business, talent, and investment).	Very satisfied	Count	33	20	0	53
		% within Q4_10.	62.3%	37.7%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	8.3%	4.9%	0.0%	6.5%
	Satisfied	Count	221	219	2	442
		% within Q4_10.	50.0%	49.5%	0.5%	100.0%
		% within D1.	55.3%	53.4%	33.3%	54.2%
	Dissatisfied	Count	105	124	2	231
		% within Q4_10.	45.5%	53.7%	0.9%	100.0%
		% within D1.	26.3%	30.2%	33.3%	28.3%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	41	47	2	90
		% within Q4_10.	45.6%	52.2%	2.2%	100.0%
		% within D1.	10.3%	11.5%	33.3%	11.0%
Total	Count	400	410	6	816	
	% within Q4_10.	49.0%	50.2%	0.7%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_11 (Satisfaction of Emergency Preparedness) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q4_11. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Emergency preparedness.	Very satisfied	Count	46	63	0	109
		% within Q4_11.	42.2%	57.8%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	11.2%	13.8%	0.0%	12.5%
	Satisfied	Count	257	275	4	536
		% within Q4_11.	47.9%	51.3%	0.7%	100.0%
		% within D1.	62.5%	60.2%	66.7%	61.3%
	Dissatisfied	Count	71	80	2	153
		% within Q4_11.	46.4%	52.3%	1.3%	100.0%
		% within D1.	17.3%	17.5%	33.3%	17.5%
Very dissatisfied	Count	37	39	0	76	
	% within Q4_11.	48.7%	51.3%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	9.0%	8.5%	0.0%	8.7%	
Total	Count	411	457	6	874	
	% within Q4_11.	47.0%	52.3%	0.7%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_12 (Satisfaction of Environmental Protection and Sustainability) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q4_12. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Environmental protection and sustainability.	Very satisfied	Count	26	12	0	38
		% within Q4_12	68.4%	31.6%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	6.1%	2.5%	0.0%	4.2%
	Satisfied	Count	228	196	1	425
		% within Q4_12	53.6%	46.1%	0.2%	100.0%
		% within D1.	53.3%	41.5%	14.3%	46.9%
	Dissatisfied	Count	106	164	6	276
		% within Q4_12	38.4%	59.4%	2.2%	100.0%
		% within D1.	24.8%	34.7%	85.7%	30.4%
Very dissatisfied	Count	68	100	0	168	
	% within Q4_12	40.5%	59.5%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	15.9%	21.2%	0.0%	18.5%	
Total	Count	428	472	7	907	
	% within Q4_12	47.2%	52.0%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_13 (Satisfaction of Fire Services) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q4_13. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Fire services.	Very satisfied	Count	128	126	0	254
		% within Q4_13.	50.4%	49.6%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	30.1%	27.9%	0.0%	28.9%
	Satisfied	Count	260	305	2	567
		% within Q4_13.	45.9%	53.8%	0.4%	100.0%
		% within D1.	61.2%	67.5%	66.7%	64.4%
	Dissatisfied	Count	30	13	1	44
		% within Q4_13.	68.2%	29.5%	2.3%	100.0%
		% within D1.	7.1%	2.9%	33.3%	5.0%
Very dissatisfied	Count	7	8	0	15	
	% within Q4_13.	46.7%	53.3%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	1.6%	1.8%	0.0%	1.7%	
Total	Count	425	452	3	880	
	% within Q4_13.	48.3%	51.4%	0.3%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_14 (Satisfaction of Garbage, Recycling, and Organics Collection) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q4_14. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Garbage, recycling, and organics collection.	Very satisfied	Count	129	134	0	263
		% within Q4_14.	49.0%	51.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	27.9%	26.0%	0.0%	26.7%
	Satisfied	Count	268	302	5	575
		% within Q4_14.	46.6%	52.5%	0.9%	100.0%
		% within D1.	58.0%	58.6%	62.5%	58.4%
	Dissatisfied	Count	43	53	0	96
		% within Q4_14.	44.8%	55.2%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	9.3%	10.3%	0.0%	9.7%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	22	26	3	51
		% within Q4_14.	43.1%	51.0%	5.9%	100.0%
		% within D1.	4.8%	5.0%	37.5%	5.2%
Total	Count	462	515	8	985	
	% within Q4_14.	46.9%	52.3%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_15 (Satisfaction of Halifax Public Libraries) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q4_15. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Halifax Public Libraries.	Very satisfied	Count	213	256	5	474
		% within Q4_15.	44.9%	54.0%	1.1%	100.0%
		% within D1.	50.1%	51.2%	62.5%	50.8%
	Satisfied	Count	190	223	1	414
		% within Q4_15.	45.9%	53.9%	0.2%	100.0%
		% within D1.	44.7%	44.6%	12.5%	44.4%
	Dissatisfied	Count	14	14	1	29
		% within Q4_15.	48.3%	48.3%	3.4%	100.0%
		% within D1.	3.3%	2.8%	12.5%	3.1%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	8	7	1	16
		% within Q4_15.	50.0%	43.8%	6.3%	100.0%
		% within D1.	1.9%	1.4%	12.5%	1.7%
Total	Count	425	500	8	933	
	% within Q4_15.	45.6%	53.6%	0.9%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_16 (Satisfaction of Overall City Maintenance) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q4_16. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Overall city maintenance.	Very satisfied	Count	29	34	0	63
		% within Q4_16.	46.0%	54.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	6.3%	6.8%	0.0%	6.5%
	Satisfied	Count	288	310	4	602
		% within Q4_16.	47.8%	51.5%	0.7%	100.0%
		% within D1.	62.9%	61.6%	57.1%	62.2%
	Dissatisfied	Count	111	125	1	237
		% within Q4_16.	46.8%	52.7%	0.4%	100.0%
		% within D1.	24.2%	24.9%	14.3%	24.5%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	30	34	2	66
		% within Q4_16.	45.5%	51.5%	3.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	6.6%	6.8%	28.6%	6.8%
Total	Count	458	503	7	968	
	% within Q4_16.	47.3%	52.0%	0.7%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_17 (Satisfaction of Parking Enforcement) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q4_17. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Parking enforcement.	Very satisfied	Count	27	28	0	55
		% within Q4_17.	49.1%	50.9%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	6.7%	7.0%	0.0%	6.8%
	Satisfied	Count	222	238	1	461
		% within Q4_17.	48.2%	51.6%	0.2%	100.0%
		% within D1.	55.4%	59.2%	25.0%	57.1%
	Dissatisfied	Count	91	96	2	189
		% within Q4_17.	48.1%	50.8%	1.1%	100.0%
		% within D1.	22.7%	23.9%	50.0%	23.4%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	61	40	1	102
		% within Q4_17.	59.8%	39.2%	1.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	15.2%	10.0%	25.0%	12.6%
Total	Count	401	402	4	807	
	% within Q4_17.	49.7%	49.8%	0.5%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_18 (Satisfaction of Police Services) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q4_18. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Police services.	Very satisfied	Count	94	82	0	176
		% within Q4_18.	53.4%	46.6%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	21.3%	17.1%	0.0%	19.0%
	Satisfied	Count	266	311	2	579
		% within Q4_18.	45.9%	53.7%	0.3%	100.0%
		% within D1.	60.3%	64.8%	40.0%	62.5%
	Dissatisfied	Count	52	58	1	111
		% within Q4_18.	46.8%	52.3%	0.9%	100.0%
		% within D1.	11.8%	12.1%	20.0%	12.0%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	29	29	2	60
		% within Q4_18.	48.3%	48.3%	3.3%	100.0%
		% within D1.	6.6%	6.0%	40.0%	6.5%
Total	Count	441	480	5	926	
	% within Q4_18.	47.6%	51.8%	0.5%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_19 (Satisfaction of Public Engagement) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q4_19. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Public engagement (example: consultation on projects like Cogswell District).	Very satisfied	Count	64	34	0	98
		% within Q4_19.	65.3%	34.7%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	16.0%	8.7%	0.0%	12.3%
	Satisfied	Count	199	207	3	409
		% within Q4_19.	48.7%	50.6%	0.7%	100.0%
		% within D1.	49.9%	53.1%	50.0%	51.4%
	Dissatisfied	Count	88	96	2	186
		% within Q4_19.	47.3%	51.6%	1.1%	100.0%
		% within D1.	22.1%	24.6%	33.3%	23.4%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	48	53	1	102
		% within Q4_19.	47.1%	52.0%	1.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	12.0%	13.6%	16.7%	12.8%
Total	Count	399	390	6	795	
	% within Q4_19.	50.2%	49.1%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_20 (Satisfaction of Transit - Conventional Bus & Ferry) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q4_20. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Public Transit - Conventional bus & ferry (example: reliability).	Very satisfied	Count	33	23	0	56
		% within Q4_20.	58.9%	41.1%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	7.9%	4.9%	0.0%	6.3%
	Satisfied	Count	200	181	2	383
		% within Q4_20.	52.2%	47.3%	0.5%	100.0%
		% within D1.	47.8%	38.5%	28.6%	42.8%
	Dissatisfied	Count	123	167	3	293
		% within Q4_20.	42.0%	57.0%	1.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	29.4%	35.5%	42.9%	32.7%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	62	99	2	163
		% within Q4_20.	38.0%	60.7%	1.2%	100.0%
		% within D1.	14.8%	21.1%	28.6%	18.2%
Total	Count	418	470	7	895	
	% within Q4_20.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_21 (Satisfaction of Transit - Access-a-bus) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q4_21. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Public Transit - Access-a-bus (example: reliability).	Very satisfied	Count	25	11	0	36
		% within Q4_21.	69.4%	30.6%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	14.9%	7.6%	0.0%	11.4%
	Satisfied	Count	85	62	2	149
		% within Q4_21.	57.0%	41.6%	1.3%	100.0%
		% within D1.	50.6%	42.8%	100.0%	47.3%
	Dissatisfied	Count	36	37	0	73
		% within Q4_21.	49.3%	50.7%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	21.4%	25.5%	0.0%	23.2%
Very dissatisfied	Count	22	35	0	57	
	% within Q4_21.	38.6%	61.4%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	13.1%	24.1%	0.0%	18.1%	
Total	Count	168	145	2	315	
	% within Q4_21.	53.3%	46.0%	0.6%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_22 (Satisfaction of Overall Transit Service) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q4_22. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Overall transit service.	Very satisfied	Count	35	15	0	50
		% within Q4_22.	70.0%	30.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	8.4%	3.3%	0.0%	5.6%
	Satisfied	Count	191	186	2	379
		% within Q4_22.	50.4%	49.1%	0.5%	100.0%
		% within D1.	45.6%	40.4%	28.6%	42.8%
	Dissatisfied	Count	126	171	3	300
		% within Q4_22.	42.0%	57.0%	1.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	30.1%	37.2%	42.9%	33.9%
Very dissatisfied	Count	67	88	2	157	
	% within Q4_22.	42.7%	56.1%	1.3%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	16.0%	19.1%	28.6%	17.7%	
Total	Count	419	460	7	886	
	% within Q4_22.	47.3%	51.9%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_23 (Satisfaction of Indoor Recreation Facilities) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q4_23. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Indoor recreation facilities (example: community centres, pools).	Very satisfied	Count	55	54	0	109
		% within Q4_23.	50.5%	49.5%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	14.0%	12.1%	0.0%	12.9%
	Satisfied	Count	252	263	4	519
		% within Q4_23.	48.6%	50.7%	0.8%	100.0%
		% within D1.	64.3%	59.1%	66.7%	61.6%
	Dissatisfied	Count	58	81	1	140
		% within Q4_23.	41.4%	57.9%	0.7%	100.0%
		% within D1.	14.8%	18.2%	16.7%	16.6%
Very dissatisfied	Count	27	47	1	75	
	% within Q4_23.	36.0%	62.7%	1.3%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	6.9%	10.6%	16.7%	8.9%	
Total	Count	392	445	6	843	
	% within Q4_23.	46.5%	52.8%	0.7%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_24 (Satisfaction of Outdoor Recreation Facilities) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q4_24. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Outdoor recreation facilities (example: sports fields).	Very satisfied	Count	46	55	0	101
		% within Q4_24.	45.5%	54.5%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	11.7%	12.8%	0.0%	12.2%
	Satisfied	Count	246	274	6	526
		% within Q4_24.	46.8%	52.1%	1.1%	100.0%
		% within D1.	62.4%	63.9%	75.0%	63.3%
	Dissatisfied	Count	67	68	1	136
		% within Q4_24.	49.3%	50.0%	0.7%	100.0%
		% within D1.	17.0%	15.9%	12.5%	16.4%
Very dissatisfied	Count	35	32	1	68	
	% within Q4_24.	51.5%	47.1%	1.5%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	8.9%	7.5%	12.5%	8.2%	
Total	Count	394	429	8	831	
	% within Q4_24.	47.4%	51.6%	1.0%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_25 (Satisfaction of Recreation Programming) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q4_25. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Recreation programming (example: swimming, camps).	Very satisfied	Count	47	48	0	95
		% within Q4_25.	49.5%	50.5%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	15.0%	13.1%	0.0%	13.8%
	Satisfied	Count	202	211	2	415
		% within Q4_25.	48.7%	50.8%	0.5%	100.0%
		% within D1.	64.3%	57.7%	33.3%	60.5%
	Dissatisfied	Count	47	69	2	118
		% within Q4_25.	39.8%	58.5%	1.7%	100.0%
		% within D1.	15.0%	18.9%	33.3%	17.2%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	18	38	2	58
		% within Q4_25.	31.0%	65.5%	3.4%	100.0%
		% within D1.	5.7%	10.4%	33.3%	8.5%
Total	Count	314	366	6	686	
	% within Q4_25.	45.8%	53.4%	0.9%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_26 (Satisfaction of Sidewalk Maintenance) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q4_26. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Sidewalk maintenance.	Very satisfied	Count	41	32	0	73
		% within Q4_26.	56.2%	43.8%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	9.3%	6.7%	0.0%	7.8%
	Satisfied	Count	226	231	4	461
		% within Q4_26.	49.0%	50.1%	0.9%	100.0%
		% within D1.	51.1%	48.0%	57.1%	49.6%
	Dissatisfied	Count	122	136	2	260
		% within Q4_26.	46.9%	52.3%	0.8%	100.0%
		% within D1.	27.6%	28.3%	28.6%	28.0%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	53	82	1	136
		% within Q4_26.	39.0%	60.3%	0.7%	100.0%
		% within D1.	12.0%	17.0%	14.3%	14.6%
Total	Count	442	481	7	930	
	% within Q4_26.	47.5%	51.7%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_27 (Satisfaction of Street / Road Maintenance) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q4_27. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Street / road maintenance.	Very satisfied	Count	19	14	0	33
		% within Q4_27.	57.6%	42.4%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	4.1%	2.7%	0.0%	3.4%
	Satisfied	Count	147	174	4	325
		% within Q4_27.	45.2%	53.5%	1.2%	100.0%
		% within D1.	31.7%	33.9%	66.7%	33.1%
	Dissatisfied	Count	173	184	0	357
		% within Q4_27.	48.5%	51.5%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	37.4%	35.8%	0.0%	36.3%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	124	142	2	268
		% within Q4_27.	46.3%	53.0%	0.7%	100.0%
		% within D1.	26.8%	27.6%	33.3%	27.3%
Total	Count	463	514	6	983	
	% within Q4_27.	47.1%	52.3%	0.6%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_28 (Satisfaction of Traffic Management) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q4_28. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Traffic management (example: signals).	Very satisfied	Count	28	33	0	61
		% within Q4_28.	45.9%	54.1%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	6.1%	6.6%	0.0%	6.4%
	Satisfied	Count	231	266	3	500
		% within Q4_28.	46.2%	53.2%	0.6%	100.0%
		% within D1.	50.7%	53.5%	42.9%	52.1%
	Dissatisfied	Count	122	131	2	255
		% within Q4_28.	47.8%	51.4%	0.8%	100.0%
		% within D1.	26.8%	26.4%	28.6%	26.6%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	75	67	2	144
		% within Q4_28.	52.1%	46.5%	1.4%	100.0%
		% within D1.	16.4%	13.5%	28.6%	15.0%
Total	Count	456	497	7	960	
	% within Q4_28.	47.5%	51.8%	0.7%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_29 (Satisfaction of Traffic / Pedestrian Safety) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q4_29. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Traffic / pedestrian safety.	Very satisfied	Count	36	21	0	57
		% within Q4_29.	63.2%	36.8%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	7.9%	4.1%	0.0%	5.9%
	Satisfied	Count	213	219	5	437
		% within Q4_29.	48.7%	50.1%	1.1%	100.0%
		% within D1.	46.7%	42.9%	62.5%	44.9%
	Dissatisfied	Count	129	148	3	280
		% within Q4_29.	46.1%	52.9%	1.1%	100.0%
		% within D1.	28.3%	29.0%	37.5%	28.7%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	78	122	0	200
		% within Q4_29.	39.0%	61.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	17.1%	23.9%	0.0%	20.5%
Total	Count	456	510	8	974	
	% within Q4_29.	46.8%	52.4%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_30 (Satisfaction of Winter Maintenance) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q4_30. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Winter maintenance (example: snow and ice removal).	Very satisfied	Count	29	21	1	51
		% within Q4_30.	56.9%	41.2%	2.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	6.3%	4.1%	12.5%	5.2%
	Satisfied	Count	212	186	2	400
		% within Q4_30.	53.0%	46.5%	0.5%	100.0%
		% within D1.	45.7%	36.4%	25.0%	40.7%
	Dissatisfied	Count	125	181	3	309
		% within Q4_30.	40.5%	58.6%	1.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	26.9%	35.4%	37.5%	31.4%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	98	123	2	223
		% within Q4_30.	43.9%	55.2%	0.9%	100.0%
		% within D1.	21.1%	24.1%	25.0%	22.7%
Total	Count	464	511	8	983	
	% within Q4_30.	47.2%	52.0%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q5 (Satisfaction of Services) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q5. Overall, how satisfied are you with the delivery of all the services provided by the municipality?	Very satisfied	Count	17	20	0	37
		% within Q5.	45.9%	54.1%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	3.7%	3.9%	0.0%	3.7%
	Satisfied	Count	303	307	4	614
		% within Q5.	49.3%	50.0%	0.7%	100.0%
		% within D1.	65.3%	59.6%	50.0%	62.2%
	Dissatisfied	Count	122	168	3	293
		% within Q5.	41.6%	57.3%	1.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	26.3%	32.6%	37.5%	29.7%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	22	20	1	43
		% within Q5.	51.2%	46.5%	2.3%	100.0%
		% within D1.	4.7%	3.9%	12.5%	4.4%
Total	Count	464	515	8	987	
	% within Q5.	47.0%	52.2%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q6_1 (Importance of Governance and Engagement) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q6_1. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Governance and Engagement.	Very important	Count	205	223	3	431
		% within Q6_1.	47.6%	51.7%	0.7%	100.0%
		% within D1.	43.7%	42.2%	37.5%	42.9%
	Important	Count	230	259	4	493
		% within Q6_1.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%
		% within D1.	49.0%	49.1%	50.0%	49.1%
	Not important	Count	27	41	1	69
		% within Q6_1.	39.1%	59.4%	1.4%	100.0%
		% within D1.	5.8%	7.8%	12.5%	6.9%
	Not at all important	Count	7	5	0	12
		% within Q6_1.	58.3%	41.7%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	1.5%	0.9%	0.0%	1.2%
Total	Count	469	528	8	1005	
	% within Q6_1.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q6_2 (Importance of Economic Development) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q6_2. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Economic Development.	Very important	Count	213	192	2	407
		% within Q6_2.	52.3%	47.2%	0.5%	100.0%
		% within D1.	45.4%	36.4%	25.0%	40.5%
	Important	Count	208	283	5	496
		% within Q6_2.	41.9%	57.1%	1.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	44.3%	53.6%	62.5%	49.4%
	Not important	Count	40	43	1	84
		% within Q6_2.	47.6%	51.2%	1.2%	100.0%
		% within D1.	8.5%	8.1%	12.5%	8.4%
	Not at all important	Count	8	10	0	18
		% within Q6_2.	44.4%	55.6%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	1.7%	1.9%	0.0%	1.8%
Total	Count	469	528	8	1005	
	% within Q6_2.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q6_3 (Importance of Healthy Liveable Communities) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q6_3. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Healthy Liveable Communities.	Very important	Count	260	405	6	671
		% within Q6_3.	38.7%	60.4%	0.9%	100.0%
		% within D1.	55.4%	76.7%	75.0%	66.8%
	Important	Count	171	117	0	288
		% within Q6_3.	59.4%	40.6%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	36.5%	22.2%	0.0%	28.7%
	Not important	Count	32	6	1	39
		% within Q6_3.	82.1%	15.4%	2.6%	100.0%
		% within D1.	6.8%	1.1%	12.5%	3.9%
	Not at all important	Count	6	0	1	7
		% within Q6_3.	85.7%	0.0%	14.3%	100.0%
		% within D1.	1.3%	0.0%	12.5%	0.7%
Total	Count	469	528	8	1005	
	% within Q6_3.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q6_4 (Importance of Transportation) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q6_4. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Transportation.	Very important	Count	246	352	6	604
		% within Q6_4.	40.7%	58.3%	1.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	52.5%	66.7%	75.0%	60.1%
	Important	Count	195	167	2	364
		% within Q6_4.	53.6%	45.9%	0.5%	100.0%
		% within D1.	41.6%	31.6%	25.0%	36.2%
	Not important	Count	23	9	0	32
		% within Q6_4.	71.9%	28.1%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	4.9%	1.7%	0.0%	3.2%
	Not at all important	Count	5	0	0	5
		% within Q6_4.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%
Total	Count	469	528	8	1005	
	% within Q6_4.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q6_5 (Importance of Service Delivery) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q6_5. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Service Delivery.	Very important	Count	106	161	1	268
		% within Q6_5.	39.6%	60.1%	0.4%	100.0%
		% within D1.	22.6%	30.5%	12.5%	26.7%
	Important	Count	292	307	5	604
		% within Q6_5.	48.3%	50.8%	0.8%	100.0%
		% within D1.	62.3%	58.1%	62.5%	60.1%
	Not important	Count	67	54	2	123
		% within Q6_5.	54.5%	43.9%	1.6%	100.0%
		% within D1.	14.3%	10.2%	25.0%	12.2%
	Not at all important	Count	4	6	0	10
		% within Q6_5.	40.0%	60.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	0.9%	1.1%	0.0%	1.0%
Total	Count	469	528	8	1005	
	% within Q6_5.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q6_6 (Importance of Social Development) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q6_6. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Social Development.	Very important	Count	115	255	5	375
		% within Q6_6.	30.7%	68.0%	1.3%	100.0%
		% within D1.	24.5%	48.3%	62.5%	37.3%
	Important	Count	216	235	1	452
		% within Q6_6.	47.8%	52.0%	0.2%	100.0%
		% within D1.	46.1%	44.5%	12.5%	45.0%
	Not important	Count	104	28	2	134
		% within Q6_6.	77.6%	20.9%	1.5%	100.0%
		% within D1.	22.2%	5.3%	25.0%	13.3%
	Not at all important	Count	34	10	0	44
		% within Q6_6.	77.3%	22.7%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	7.2%	1.9%	0.0%	4.4%
Total	Count	469	528	8	1005	
	% within Q6_6.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q7 (Service Level) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q7. For the following Governance & Engagement service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Public engagement (consultations like this one).	Increase service levels	Count	118	173	4	295
		% within Q7.	40.0%	58.6%	1.4%	100.0%
		% within D1.	25.2%	32.8%	50.0%	29.4%
	Maintain service levels	Count	306	330	4	640
		% within Q7.	47.8%	51.6%	0.6%	100.0%
		% within D1.	65.2%	62.5%	50.0%	63.7%
	Decrease service levels	Count	45	25	0	70
		% within Q7.	64.3%	35.7%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	9.6%	4.7%	0.0%	7.0%
	Total	Count	469	528	8	1005
		% within Q7.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%
		% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q8_1 (Service Level of Business Support Services) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q8_1. For the following Economic Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Business support services.	Increase service levels	Count	102	78	1	181
		% within Q8_1.	56.4%	43.1%	0.6%	100.0%
		% within D1.	21.7%	14.8%	12.5%	18.0%
	Maintain service levels	Count	307	397	6	710
		% within Q8_1.	43.2%	55.9%	0.8%	100.0%
		% within D1.	65.5%	75.2%	75.0%	70.6%
	Decrease service levels	Count	60	53	1	114
		% within Q8_1.	52.6%	46.5%	0.9%	100.0%
		% within D1.	12.8%	10.0%	12.5%	11.3%
Total	Count	469	528	8	1005	
	% within Q8_1.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q8_2 (Service Level of Community Planning) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q8_2. For the following Economic Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Community planning / development approvals.	Increase service levels	Count	181	201	2	384
		% within Q8_2.	47.1%	52.3%	0.5%	100.0%
		% within D1.	38.6%	38.1%	25.0%	38.2%
	Maintain service levels	Count	256	293	5	554
		% within Q8_2.	46.2%	52.9%	0.9%	100.0%
		% within D1.	54.6%	55.5%	62.5%	55.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	32	34	1	67
		% within Q8_2.	47.8%	50.7%	1.5%	100.0%
		% within D1.	6.8%	6.4%	12.5%	6.7%
Total	Count	469	528	8	1005	
	% within Q8_2.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q8_3 (Service Level of Economic Development) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q8_3. For the following Economic Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Economic development.	Increase service levels	Count	182	166	2	350
		% within Q8_3.	52.0%	47.4%	0.6%	100.0%
		% within D1.	38.8%	31.4%	25.0%	34.8%
	Maintain service levels	Count	236	326	5	567
		% within Q8_3.	41.6%	57.5%	0.9%	100.0%
		% within D1.	50.3%	61.7%	62.5%	56.4%
	Decrease service levels	Count	51	36	1	88
		% within Q8_3.	58.0%	40.9%	1.1%	100.0%
		% within D1.	10.9%	6.8%	12.5%	8.8%
Total	Count	469	528	8	1005	
	% within Q8_3.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_1 (Service Level of Bike Lanes / Cycling Facilities) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q9_1. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Bike lanes / cycling facilities.	Increase service levels	Count	196	228	5	429
		% within Q9_1.	45.7%	53.1%	1.2%	100.0%
		% within D1.	41.8%	43.2%	62.5%	42.7%
	Maintain service levels	Count	103	179	1	283
		% within Q9_1.	36.4%	63.3%	0.4%	100.0%
		% within D1.	22.0%	33.9%	12.5%	28.2%
Decrease service levels	Count	170	121	2	293	
	% within Q9_1.	58.0%	41.3%	0.7%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	36.2%	22.9%	25.0%	29.2%	
Total	Count	469	528	8	1005	
	% within Q9_1.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_2 (Service Level of Parking Enforcement) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q9_2. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Parking enforcement.	Increase service levels	Count	100	74	3	177
		% within Q9_2.	56.5%	41.8%	1.7%	100.0%
		% within D1.	21.3%	14.0%	37.5%	17.6%
	Maintain service levels	Count	266	342	3	611
		% within Q9_2.	43.5%	56.0%	0.5%	100.0%
		% within D1.	56.7%	64.8%	37.5%	60.8%
Decrease service levels	Count	103	112	2	217	
	% within Q9_2.	47.5%	51.6%	0.9%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	22.0%	21.2%	25.0%	21.6%	
Total	Count	469	528	8	1005	
	% within Q9_2.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_3 (Service Level of Public Transit - Conventional Bus & Ferry) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q9_3. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Public transit - conventional bus / ferry.	Increase service levels	Count	242	342	6	590
		% within Q9_3.	41.0%	58.0%	1.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	51.6%	64.8%	75.0%	58.7%
	Maintain service levels	Count	206	180	2	388
		% within Q9_3.	53.1%	46.4%	0.5%	100.0%
		% within D1.	43.9%	34.1%	25.0%	38.6%
Decrease service levels	Count	21	6	0	27	
	% within Q9_3.	77.8%	22.2%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	4.5%	1.1%	0.0%	2.7%	
Total	Count	469	528	8	1005	
	% within Q9_3.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_4 (Service Level of Public Transit - Access-A-Bus) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q9_4. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Public transit - Access-A-Bus.	Increase service levels	Count	117	216	4	337
		% within Q9_4.	34.7%	64.1%	1.2%	100.0%
		% within D1.	24.9%	40.9%	50.0%	33.5%
	Maintain service levels	Count	317	304	4	625
		% within Q9_4.	50.7%	48.6%	0.6%	100.0%
		% within D1.	67.6%	57.6%	50.0%	62.2%
	Decrease service levels	Count	35	8	0	43
		% within Q9_4.	81.4%	18.6%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	7.5%	1.5%	0.0%	4.3%
Total	Count	469	528	8	1005	
	% within Q9_4.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_5 (Service Level of Overall Transit Service) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q9_5. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Overall transit service.	Increase service levels	Count	231	325	5	561
		% within Q9_5.	41.2%	57.9%	0.9%	100.0%
		% within D1.	49.3%	61.6%	62.5%	55.8%
	Maintain service levels	Count	214	199	3	416
		% within Q9_5.	51.4%	47.8%	0.7%	100.0%
		% within D1.	45.6%	37.7%	37.5%	41.4%
	Decrease service levels	Count	24	4	0	28
		% within Q9_5.	85.7%	14.3%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	5.1%	0.8%	0.0%	2.8%
Total	Count	469	528	8	1005	
	% within Q9_5.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_6 (Service Level of Sidewalk Maintenance) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q9_6. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Sidewalk maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	160	225	3	388
		% within Q9_6.	41.2%	58.0%	0.8%	100.0%
		% within D1.	34.1%	42.6%	37.5%	38.6%
	Maintain service levels	Count	286	285	5	576
		% within Q9_6.	49.7%	49.5%	0.9%	100.0%
		% within D1.	61.0%	54.0%	62.5%	57.3%
	Decrease service levels	Count	23	18	0	41
		% within Q9_6.	56.1%	43.9%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	4.9%	3.4%	0.0%	4.1%
Total	Count	469	528	8	1005	
	% within Q9_6.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_7 (Service Level of Street / Road Maintenance) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q9_7. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Street / road maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	276	300	3	579
		% within Q9_7.	47.7%	51.8%	0.5%	100.0%
		% within D1.	58.8%	56.8%	37.5%	57.6%
	Maintain service levels	Count	182	218	5	405
		% within Q9_7.	44.9%	53.8%	1.2%	100.0%
		% within D1.	38.8%	41.3%	62.5%	40.3%
	Decrease service levels	Count	11	10	0	21
		% within Q9_7.	52.4%	47.6%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	2.3%	1.9%	0.0%	2.1%
Total	Count	469	528	8	1005	
	% within Q9_7.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_8 (Service Level of Traffic Management) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q9_8. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Traffic management.	Increase service levels	Count	163	172	3	338
		% within Q9_8.	48.2%	50.9%	0.9%	100.0%
		% within D1.	34.8%	32.6%	37.5%	33.6%
	Maintain service levels	Count	280	331	5	616
		% within Q9_8.	45.5%	53.7%	0.8%	100.0%
		% within D1.	59.7%	62.7%	62.5%	61.3%
	Decrease service levels	Count	26	25	0	51
		% within Q9_8.	51.0%	49.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	5.5%	4.7%	0.0%	5.1%
Total	Count	469	528	8	1005	
	% within Q9_8.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_9 (Service Level of Traffic / Pedestrian Safety) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q9_9. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Traffic / pedestrian safety.	Increase service levels	Count	176	276	4	456
		% within Q9_9.	38.6%	60.5%	0.9%	100.0%
		% within D1.	37.5%	52.3%	50.0%	45.4%
	Maintain service levels	Count	263	240	4	507
		% within Q9_9.	51.9%	47.3%	0.8%	100.0%
		% within D1.	56.1%	45.5%	50.0%	50.4%
	Decrease service levels	Count	30	12	0	42
		% within Q9_9.	71.4%	28.6%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	6.4%	2.3%	0.0%	4.2%
Total	Count	469	528	8	1005	
	% within Q9_9.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_10 (Service Level of Winter Maintenance) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q9_10. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Winter maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	201	305	5	511
		% within Q9_10.	39.3%	59.7%	1.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	42.9%	57.8%	62.5%	50.8%
	Maintain service levels	Count	255	216	3	474
		% within Q9_10.	53.8%	45.6%	0.6%	100.0%
		% within D1.	54.4%	40.9%	37.5%	47.2%
	Decrease service levels	Count	13	7	0	20
		% within Q9_10.	65.0%	35.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	2.8%	1.3%	0.0%	2.0%
Total	Count	469	528	8	1005	
	% within Q9_10.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_1 (Service Level of Arts and Cultural Programming) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q10_1. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Arts and cultural facilities and program.	Increase service levels	Count	92	157	3	252
		% within Q10_1.	36.5%	62.3%	1.2%	100.0%
		% within D1.	19.6%	29.7%	37.5%	25.1%
	Maintain service levels	Count	261	294	3	558
		% within Q10_1.	46.8%	52.7%	0.5%	100.0%
		% within D1.	55.7%	55.7%	37.5%	55.5%
	Decrease service levels	Count	116	77	2	195
		% within Q10_1.	59.5%	39.5%	1.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	24.7%	14.6%	25.0%	19.4%
Total	Count	469	528	8	1005	
	% within Q10_1.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_2 (Service Level of Cleanliness) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q10_2. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Cleanliness (litter and graffiti removal).	Increase service levels	Count	138	161	3	302
		% within Q10_2.	45.7%	53.3%	1.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	29.4%	30.5%	37.5%	30.0%
	Maintain service levels	Count	266	299	5	570
		% within Q10_2.	46.7%	52.5%	0.9%	100.0%
		% within D1.	56.7%	56.6%	62.5%	56.7%
	Decrease service levels	Count	65	68	0	133
		% within Q10_2.	48.9%	51.1%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	13.9%	12.9%	0.0%	13.2%
Total	Count	469	528	8	1005	
	% within Q10_2.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_3 (Service Level of Community Beautification) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q10_3. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Community beautification.	Increase service levels	Count	147	194	1	342
		% within Q10_3.	43.0%	56.7%	0.3%	100.0%
		% within D1.	31.3%	36.8%	12.5%	34.1%
	Maintain service levels	Count	312	327	7	646
		% within Q10_3.	48.3%	50.6%	1.1%	100.0%
		% within D1.	66.5%	62.0%	87.5%	64.3%
	Decrease service levels	Count	10	6	0	16
		% within Q10_3.	62.5%	37.5%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	2.1%	1.1%	0.0%	1.6%
Total	Count	469	527	8	1004	
	% within Q10_3.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_4 (Service Level of Emergency Preparedness) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q10_4. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Emergency preparedness.	Increase service levels	Count	203	327	6	536
		% within Q10_4.	37.9%	61.0%	1.1%	100.0%
		% within D1.	43.3%	61.9%	75.0%	53.3%
	Maintain service levels	Count	233	190	2	425
		% within Q10_4.	54.8%	44.7%	0.5%	100.0%
		% within D1.	49.7%	36.0%	25.0%	42.3%
	Decrease service levels	Count	33	11	0	44
		% within Q10_4.	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	7.0%	2.1%	0.0%	4.4%
Total	Count	469	528	8	1005	
	% within Q10_4.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_5 (Service Level of Environmental Protection and Sustainability) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q10_5. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Environmental protection and sustainability.	Increase service levels	Count	50	85	0	135
		% within Q10_5.	37.0%	63.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	10.7%	16.1%	0.0%	13.4%
	Maintain service levels	Count	397	434	8	839
		% within Q10_5.	47.3%	51.7%	1.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	84.6%	82.4%	100.0%	83.6%
	Decrease service levels	Count	22	8	0	30
		% within Q10_5.	73.3%	26.7%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	4.7%	1.5%	0.0%	3.0%
Total	Count	469	527	8	1004	
	% within Q10_5.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_6 (Service Level of Fire Services) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q10_6. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Fire services.	Increase service levels	Count	61	105	3	169
		% within Q10_6.	36.1%	62.1%	1.8%	100.0%
		% within D1.	13.0%	19.9%	37.5%	16.8%
	Maintain service levels	Count	396	415	5	816
		% within Q10_6.	48.5%	50.9%	0.6%	100.0%
		% within D1.	84.4%	78.6%	62.5%	81.2%
	Decrease service levels	Count	12	8	0	20
		% within Q10_6.	60.0%	40.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	2.6%	1.5%	0.0%	2.0%
Total	Count	469	528	8	1005	
	% within Q10_6.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_7 (Service Level of Garbage, Recycling, and Organics Collection) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q10_7. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Garbage, recycling, and organics collection.	Increase service levels	Count	56	91	2	149
		% within Q10_7.	37.6%	61.1%	1.3%	100.0%
		% within D1.	11.9%	17.2%	25.0%	14.8%
	Maintain service levels	Count	357	405	6	768
		% within Q10_7.	46.5%	52.7%	0.8%	100.0%
		% within D1.	76.1%	76.7%	75.0%	76.4%
	Decrease service levels	Count	56	32	0	88
		% within Q10_7.	63.6%	36.4%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	11.9%	6.1%	0.0%	8.8%
Total	Count	469	528	8	1005	
	% within Q10_7.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_8 (Service Level of Halifax Public Libraries) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q10_8. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Halifax Public Libraries.	Increase service levels	Count	143	164	3	310
		% within Q10_8.	46.1%	52.9%	1.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	30.6%	31.1%	37.5%	30.9%
	Maintain service levels	Count	309	348	5	662
		% within Q10_8.	46.7%	52.6%	0.8%	100.0%
		% within D1.	66.0%	65.9%	62.5%	65.9%
	Decrease service levels	Count	16	16	0	32
		% within Q10_8.	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	3.4%	3.0%	0.0%	3.2%
Total	Count	468	528	8	1004	
	% within Q10_8.	46.6%	52.6%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_9 (Service Level of Overall City Maintenance) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q10_9. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Overall city maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	149	210	3	362
		% within Q10_9.	41.2%	58.0%	0.8%	100.0%
		% within D1.	31.8%	39.8%	37.5%	36.0%
	Maintain service levels	Count	297	299	5	601
		% within Q10_9.	49.4%	49.8%	0.8%	100.0%
		% within D1.	63.3%	56.6%	62.5%	59.8%
	Decrease service levels	Count	23	19	0	42
		% within Q10_9.	54.8%	45.2%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	4.9%	3.6%	0.0%	4.2%
Total	Count	469	528	8	1005	
	% within Q10_9.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_10 (Service Level of Parks, Playgrounds, and Green Spaces) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q10_10. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Parks, playgrounds, and green spaces.	Increase service levels	Count	117	136	2	255
		% within Q10_10.	45.9%	53.3%	0.8%	100.0%
		% within D1.	24.9%	25.8%	25.0%	25.4%
	Maintain service levels	Count	341	387	6	734
		% within Q10_10.	46.5%	52.7%	0.8%	100.0%
		% within D1.	72.7%	73.3%	75.0%	73.0%
	Decrease service levels	Count	11	5	0	16
		% within Q10_10.	68.8%	31.3%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	2.3%	0.9%	0.0%	1.6%
Total	Count	469	528	8	1005	
	% within Q10_10.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_11 (Service Level of Police Services) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q10_11. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Police services.	Increase service levels	Count	67	100	2	169
		% within Q10_11.	39.6%	59.2%	1.2%	100.0%
		% within D1.	14.3%	18.9%	25.0%	16.8%
	Maintain service levels	Count	346	394	4	744
		% within Q10_11.	46.5%	53.0%	0.5%	100.0%
		% within D1.	73.8%	74.6%	50.0%	74.0%
	Decrease service levels	Count	56	34	2	92
		% within Q10_11.	60.9%	37.0%	2.2%	100.0%
		% within D1.	11.9%	6.4%	25.0%	9.2%
Total	Count	469	528	8	1005	
	% within Q10_11.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_12 (Service Level of Indoor Recreation Facilities) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q10_12. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Indoor recreation facilities.	Increase service levels	Count	99	138	4	241
		% within Q10_12.	41.1%	57.3%	1.7%	100.0%
		% within D1.	21.1%	26.1%	50.0%	24.0%
	Maintain service levels	Count	332	367	4	703
		% within Q10_12.	47.2%	52.2%	0.6%	100.0%
		% within D1.	70.8%	69.5%	50.0%	70.0%
	Decrease service levels	Count	38	23	0	61
		% within Q10_12.	62.3%	37.7%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	8.1%	4.4%	0.0%	6.1%
Total	Count	469	528	8	1005	
	% within Q10_12.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_13 (Service Level of Outdoor Recreation Facilities) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q10_13. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Outdoor recreation facilities.	Increase service levels	Count	114	138	3	255
		% within Q10_13.	44.7%	54.1%	1.2%	100.0%
		% within D1.	24.3%	26.1%	37.5%	25.4%
	Maintain service levels	Count	317	364	4	685
		% within Q10_13.	46.3%	53.1%	0.6%	100.0%
		% within D1.	67.6%	68.9%	50.0%	68.2%
	Decrease service levels	Count	38	26	1	65
		% within Q10_13.	58.5%	40.0%	1.5%	100.0%
		% within D1.	8.1%	4.9%	12.5%	6.5%
Total	Count	469	528	8	1005	
	% within Q10_13.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_14 (Service Level of Recreation Programming) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q10_14. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Recreation programming.	Increase service levels	Count	87	159	4	250
		% within Q10_14.	34.8%	63.6%	1.6%	100.0%
		% within D1.	18.6%	30.1%	50.0%	24.9%
	Maintain service levels	Count	332	342	4	678
		% within Q10_14.	49.0%	50.4%	0.6%	100.0%
		% within D1.	70.8%	64.8%	50.0%	67.5%
	Decrease service levels	Count	50	27	0	77
		% within Q10_14.	64.9%	35.1%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	10.7%	5.1%	0.0%	7.7%
Total	Count	469	528	8	1005	
	% within Q10_14.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q11_1 (Service Level of Accessibility Programming) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q11_1. For the following Social Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Accessibility programming.	Increase service levels	Count	97	204	5	306
		% within Q11_1.	31.7%	66.7%	1.6%	100.0%
		% within D1.	20.7%	38.6%	62.5%	30.4%
	Maintain service levels	Count	326	311	3	640
		% within Q11_1.	50.9%	48.6%	0.5%	100.0%
		% within D1.	69.5%	58.9%	37.5%	63.7%
	Decrease service levels	Count	46	13	0	59
		% within Q11_1.	78.0%	22.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	9.8%	2.5%	0.0%	5.9%
Total	Count	469	528	8	1005	
	% within Q11_1.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q11_2 (Service Level of Affordability / Free Programming) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q11_2. For the following Social Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Affordability / free programming.	Increase service levels	Count	122	256	6	384
		% within Q11_2.	31.8%	66.7%	1.6%	100.0%
		% within D1.	26.0%	48.5%	75.0%	38.2%
	Maintain service levels	Count	281	256	1	538
		% within Q11_2.	52.2%	47.6%	0.2%	100.0%
		% within D1.	59.9%	48.5%	12.5%	53.5%
	Decrease service levels	Count	66	16	1	83
		% within Q11_2.	79.5%	19.3%	1.2%	100.0%
		% within D1.	14.1%	3.0%	12.5%	8.3%
Total	Count	469	528	8	1005	
	% within Q11_2.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q11_3 (Service Level of Diversity Programming) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
Q11_3. For the following Social Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Diversity and inclusiveness programming.	Increase service levels	Count	105	205	5	315
		% within Q11_3.	33.3%	65.1%	1.6%	100.0%
		% within D1.	22.4%	38.8%	62.5%	31.3%
	Maintain service levels	Count	260	289	2	551
		% within Q11_3.	47.2%	52.5%	0.4%	100.0%
		% within D1.	55.4%	54.7%	25.0%	54.8%
	Decrease service levels	Count	104	34	1	139
		% within Q11_3.	74.8%	24.5%	0.7%	100.0%
		% within D1.	22.2%	6.4%	12.5%	13.8%
Total	Count	469	528	8	1005	
	% within Q11_3.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

D2 (Age) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
D2. How old are you?	18-34 years old	Count	109	105	4	218
		% within D2.	50.0%	48.2%	1.8%	100.0%
		% within D1.	23.7%	20.3%	50.0%	22.1%
	35-54 years old	Count	176	215	4	395
		% within D2.	44.6%	54.4%	1.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	38.3%	41.5%	50.0%	40.1%
	55 and older	Count	175	198	0	373
		% within D2.	46.9%	53.1%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	38.0%	38.2%	0.0%	37.8%
Total	Count	460	518	8	986	
	% within D2.	46.7%	52.5%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

D3 (Income) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
D3. What was your 2018 total household income before taxes?	Less than \$30,000	Count	29	57	3	89
		% within D3.	32.6%	64.0%	3.4%	100.0%
		% within D1.	7.1%	12.6%	37.5%	10.3%
	\$30,000 to less than \$50,000	Count	46	86	2	134
		% within D3.	34.3%	64.2%	1.5%	100.0%
		% within D1.	11.3%	18.9%	25.0%	15.4%
	\$50,000 to less than \$75,000	Count	85	103	0	188
		% within D3.	45.2%	54.8%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	20.9%	22.7%	0.0%	21.7%
	\$75,000 to less than \$100,000	Count	73	73	0	146
		% within D3.	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	18.0%	16.1%	0.0%	16.8%
	\$100,000 to less than \$125,000	Count	66	58	0	124
		% within D3.	53.2%	46.8%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	16.3%	12.8%	0.0%	14.3%
	\$125,000 to less than \$150,000	Count	54	32	3	89
		% within D3.	60.7%	36.0%	3.4%	100.0%
		% within D1.	13.3%	7.0%	37.5%	10.3%
	Over \$150,000	Count	53	45	0	98
		% within D3.	54.1%	45.9%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	13.1%	9.9%	0.0%	11.3%
	Total	Count	406	454	8	868
		% within D3.	46.8%	52.3%	0.9%	100.0%
		% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

D4 (Employment Status) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
D4. What is your employment status?	Employed full time	Count	263	277	5	545
		% within D4.	48.3%	50.8%	0.9%	100.0%
		% within D1.	57.7%	54.3%	62.5%	56.0%
	Employed part time	Count	18	42	2	62
		% within D4.	29.0%	67.7%	3.2%	100.0%
		% within D1.	3.9%	8.2%	25.0%	6.4%
	Unemployed and currently looking for work	Count	7	10	1	18
		% within D4.	38.9%	55.6%	5.6%	100.0%
		% within D1.	1.5%	2.0%	12.5%	1.8%
	Unemployed and not currently looking for work	Count	1	4	0	5
		% within D4.	20.0%	80.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%	0.5%
	Student	Count	10	14	0	24
		% within D4.	41.7%	58.3%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	2.2%	2.7%	0.0%	2.5%
	Retired	Count	109	111	0	220
		% within D4.	49.5%	50.5%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	23.9%	21.8%	0.0%	22.6%
	Homemaker	Count	3	10	0	13
		% within D4.	23.1%	76.9%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	0.7%	2.0%	0.0%	1.3%
Self-employed	Count	38	29	0	67	
	% within D4.	56.7%	43.3%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	8.3%	5.7%	0.0%	6.9%	
Unable to work	Count	7	13	0	20	
	% within D4.	35.0%	65.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	1.5%	2.5%	0.0%	2.1%	
Total	Count	456	510	8	974	
	% within D4.	46.8%	52.4%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

D5 (Person with Disabilities) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?	Yes	Count	55	74	4	133
		% within D5.	41.4%	55.6%	3.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	12.2%	15.0%	57.1%	14.0%
	No	Count	397	420	3	820
		% within D5.	48.4%	51.2%	0.4%	100.0%
		% within D1.	87.8%	85.0%	42.9%	86.0%
Total	Count	452	494	7	953	
	% within D5.	47.4%	51.8%	0.7%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

D6 (Acadian or Francophone) by D1 (Gender)

		D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total	
		Man	Woman	Non-binary		
D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?	Yes - Acadian	Count	33	34	0	67
		% within D6.	49.3%	50.7%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	7.3%	6.6%	0.0%	6.9%
	Yes - Francophone	Count	16	14	0	30
		% within D6.	53.3%	46.7%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	3.5%	2.7%	0.0%	3.1%
	No	Count	404	464	8	876
		% within D6.	46.1%	53.0%	0.9%	100.0%
		% within D1.	89.2%	90.6%	100.0%	90.0%
Total	Count	453	512	8	973	
	% within D6.	46.6%	52.6%	0.8%	100.0%	
	% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

D7 (Ethnic Identity) by D1 (Gender)

			D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?			Total
			Man	Woman	Non-binary	
D7. What is your ethnic identity?	White	Count	378	435	5	818
		% within D7.	46.2%	53.2%	0.6%	100.0%
		% within D1.	88.9%	86.8%	100.0%	87.9%
South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankann etc.)	Chinese	Count	6	5	0	11
		% within D7.	54.5%	45.5%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	1.4%	1.0%	0.0%	1.2%
Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Count	1	6	0	7
		% within D7.	14.3%	85.7%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	0.2%	1.2%	0.0%	0.8%
Black (example: African Canadian)	Filipino	Count	12	20	0	32
		% within D7.	37.5%	62.5%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	2.8%	4.0%	0.0%	3.4%
Black (example: African Canadian)	Latin American	Count	3	7	0	10
		% within D7.	30.0%	70.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	0.7%	1.4%	0.0%	1.1%
Filipino	Arab	Count	0	1	0	1
		% within D7.	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%
Latin American	Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian)	Count	2	1	0	3
		% within D7.	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	0.5%	0.2%	0.0%	0.3%
Arab	Korean	Count	0	3	0	3
		% within D7.	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.3%
Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian)	First Nations (example: North American Indian; includes Status and Métis)	Count	1	0	0	1
		% within D7.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Korean	Inuk	Count	0	2	0	2
		% within D7.	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.2%
First Nations (example: North American Indian; includes Status and Métis)	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	Count	9	2	0	11
		% within D7.	81.8%	18.2%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	2.1%	0.4%	0.0%	1.2%
Métis	Total	Count	1	5	0	6
		% within D7.	16.7%	83.3%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%	0.6%
Inuk	Total	Count	0	1	0	1
		% within D7.	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%
Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	Total	Count	12	13	0	25
		% within D7.	48.0%	52.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D1.	2.8%	2.6%	0.0%	2.7%
Total	Total	Count	425	501	5	931
		% within D7.	45.6%	53.8%	0.5%	100.0%
		% within D1.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q1 (FSA) by D3 (Income)

			D3. What was your 2018 total household income before taxes?							Total
			Less than \$30,000	\$30,000 to less than \$50,000	\$50,000 to less than \$75,000	\$75,000 to less than \$100,000	\$100,000 to less than \$125,000	\$125,000 to less than \$150,000	Over \$150,000	
Q1. Select the first three digits of your postal code.	B3H	Count	10	10	11	8	3	3	12	57
		% within Q1.	17.5%	17.5%	19.3%	14.0%	5.3%	5.3%	21.1%	100.0%
		% within D3.	10.5%	7.2%	5.8%	5.3%	2.4%	3.2%	12.0%	6.4%
	B3J	Count	5	12	6	7	4	4	6	44
		% within Q1.	11.4%	27.3%	13.6%	15.9%	9.1%	9.1%	13.6%	100.0%
		% within D3.	5.3%	8.7%	3.1%	4.7%	3.1%	4.3%	6.0%	4.9%
	B4C	Count	0	5	5	7	10	3	2	32
		% within Q1.	0.0%	15.6%	15.6%	21.9%	31.3%	9.4%	6.3%	100.0%
		% within D3.	0.0%	3.6%	2.6%	4.7%	7.9%	3.2%	2.0%	3.6%
	B2Y	Count	2	4	10	5	17	2	5	45
		% within Q1.	4.4%	8.9%	22.2%	11.1%	37.8%	4.4%	11.1%	100.0%
		% within D3.	2.1%	2.9%	5.2%	3.3%	13.4%	2.1%	5.0%	5.0%
	B2V	Count	1	5	5	1	7	3	3	25
		% within Q1.	4.0%	20.0%	20.0%	4.0%	28.0%	12.0%	12.0%	100.0%
		% within D3.	1.1%	3.6%	2.6%	0.7%	5.5%	3.2%	3.0%	2.8%
	B2W	Count	4	6	18	14	6	9	7	64
		% within Q1.	6.3%	9.4%	28.1%	21.9%	9.4%	14.1%	10.9%	100.0%
		% within D3.	4.2%	4.3%	9.4%	9.3%	4.7%	9.6%	7.0%	7.2%
	B2X	Count	3	0	8	5	5	4	3	28
		% within Q1.	10.7%	0.0%	28.6%	17.9%	17.9%	14.3%	10.7%	100.0%
		% within D3.	3.2%	0.0%	4.2%	3.3%	3.9%	4.3%	3.0%	3.1%
	B2Z	Count	1	2	5	2	2	2	1	15
		% within Q1.	6.7%	13.3%	33.3%	13.3%	13.3%	13.3%	6.7%	100.0%
		% within D3.	1.1%	1.4%	2.6%	1.3%	1.6%	2.1%	1.0%	1.7%
	B3A	Count	15	10	13	9	4	6	6	63
		% within Q1.	23.8%	15.9%	20.6%	14.3%	6.3%	9.5%	9.5%	100.0%
		% within D3.	15.8%	7.2%	6.8%	6.0%	3.1%	6.4%	6.0%	7.0%
	B3B	Count	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	3
		% within Q1.	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D3.	0.0%	0.7%	0.5%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
	B3E	Count	0	1	3	2	3	2	2	13
		% within Q1.	0.0%	7.7%	23.1%	15.4%	23.1%	15.4%	15.4%	100.0%
		% within D3.	0.0%	0.7%	1.6%	1.3%	2.4%	2.1%	2.0%	1.5%
	B3G	Count	3	5	4	3	1	2	1	19
		% within Q1.	15.8%	26.3%	21.1%	15.8%	5.3%	10.5%	5.3%	100.0%
		% within D3.	3.2%	3.6%	2.1%	2.0%	0.8%	2.1%	1.0%	2.1%
	B3K	Count	21	16	16	8	10	6	5	82
		% within Q1.	25.6%	19.5%	19.5%	9.8%	12.2%	7.3%	6.1%	100.0%
		% within D3.	22.1%	11.6%	8.4%	5.3%	7.9%	6.4%	5.0%	9.2%
	B3L	Count	7	9	8	5	4	9	5	47
		% within Q1.	14.9%	19.1%	17.0%	10.6%	8.5%	19.1%	10.6%	100.0%
		% within D3.	7.4%	6.5%	4.2%	3.3%	3.1%	9.6%	5.0%	5.3%
	B3M	Count	5	10	15	8	9	5	2	54
		% within Q1.	9.3%	18.5%	27.8%	14.8%	16.7%	9.3%	3.7%	100.0%
		% within D3.	5.3%	7.2%	7.9%	5.3%	7.1%	5.3%	2.0%	6.0%
	B3N	Count	6	6	6	5	3	1	6	33
		% within Q1.	18.2%	18.2%	18.2%	15.2%	9.1%	3.0%	18.2%	100.0%
		% within D3.	6.3%	4.3%	3.1%	3.3%	2.4%	1.1%	6.0%	3.7%
	B3P	Count	1	3	3	5	0	2	2	16
		% within Q1.	6.3%	18.8%	18.8%	31.3%	0.0%	12.5%	12.5%	100.0%
		% within D3.	1.1%	2.2%	1.6%	3.3%	0.0%	2.1%	2.0%	1.8%
	B3R	Count	1	2	2	3	1	1	1	11
		% within Q1.	9.1%	18.2%	18.2%	27.3%	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	100.0%
		% within D3.	1.1%	1.4%	1.0%	2.0%	0.8%	1.1%	1.0%	1.2%
	B3S	Count	0	6	3	7	1	1	2	20
		% within Q1.	0.0%	30.0%	15.0%	35.0%	5.0%	5.0%	10.0%	100.0%
		% within D3.	0.0%	4.3%	1.6%	4.7%	0.8%	1.1%	2.0%	2.2%
	B3T	Count	0	9	16	12	7	3	3	50
		% within Q1.	0.0%	18.0%	32.0%	24.0%	14.0%	6.0%	6.0%	100.0%
		% within D3.	0.0%	6.5%	8.4%	8.0%	5.5%	3.2%	3.0%	5.6%
	B3V	Count	1	0	3	1	1	2	0	8
		% within Q1.	12.5%	0.0%	37.5%	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D3.	1.1%	0.0%	1.6%	0.7%	0.8%	2.1%	0.0%	0.9%
	B3Z	Count	0	0	2	2	9	12	4	29
		% within Q1.	0.0%	0.0%	6.9%	6.9%	31.0%	41.4%	13.8%	100.0%
		% within D3.	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	1.3%	7.1%	12.8%	4.0%	3.2%
	B4A	Count	2	6	4	7	2	2	7	30
		% within Q1.	6.7%	20.0%	13.3%	23.3%	6.7%	6.7%	23.3%	100.0%
		% within D3.	2.1%	4.3%	2.1%	4.7%	1.6%	2.1%	7.0%	3.4%
	B4B	Count	0	2	3	3	3	4	8	23
		% within Q1.	0.0%	8.7%	13.0%	13.0%	13.0%	17.4%	34.8%	100.0%
		% within D3.	0.0%	1.4%	1.6%	2.0%	2.4%	4.3%	8.0%	2.6%
	B4E	Count	3	0	2	4	3	3	5	20
		% within Q1.	15.0%	0.0%	10.0%	20.0%	15.0%	15.0%	25.0%	100.0%
		% within D3.	3.2%	0.0%	1.0%	2.7%	2.4%	3.2%	5.0%	2.2%
	B4G	Count	0	0	2	1	4	0	0	7
		% within Q1.	0.0%	0.0%	28.6%	14.3%	57.1%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within D3.	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.7%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%

B0J	Count	3	6	13	14	6	3	1	46
	% within Q1.	6.5%	13.0%	28.3%	30.4%	13.0%	6.5%	2.2%	100.0%
	% within D3.	3.2%	4.3%	6.8%	9.3%	4.7%	3.2%	1.0%	5.1%
B0N	Count	1	2	3	1	2	0	1	10
	% within Q1.	10.0%	20.0%	30.0%	10.0%	20.0%	0.0%	10.0%	100.0%
	% within D3.	1.1%	1.4%	1.6%	0.7%	1.6%	0.0%	1.0%	1.1%
B2T	Count	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	% within Q1.	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	% within D3.	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Total	Count	95	138	191	150	127	94	100	895
	% within Q1.	10.6%	15.4%	21.3%	16.8%	14.2%	10.5%	11.2%	100.0%
	% within D3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q2 (Value of Property Tax) by D3 (Income)

			D3. What was your 2018 total household income before taxes?							Total
			Less than \$30,000	\$30,000 to less than \$50,000	\$50,000 to less than \$75,000	\$75,000 to less than \$100,000	\$100,000 to less than \$125,000	\$125,000 to less than \$150,000	Over \$150,000	
Q2. Thinking about all the programs and services you receive from the municipality, please indicate the degree to which you believe you receive good or poor value for the level of property taxes that you currently pay?	Very good value	Count	8	4	9	6	9	1	8	45
		% within Q2.	17.8%	8.9%	20.0%	13.3%	20.0%	2.2%	17.8%	100.0%
		% within D3.	8.8%	3.1%	4.9%	4.1%	7.3%	1.1%	8.1%	5.2%
	Good value	Count	38	50	97	78	70	55	55	443
		% within Q2.	8.6%	11.3%	21.9%	17.6%	15.8%	12.4%	12.4%	100.0%
		% within D3.	41.8%	38.5%	52.7%	53.8%	56.5%	61.1%	55.6%	51.3%
	Poor value	Count	17	35	44	39	30	22	24	211
		% within Q2.	8.1%	16.6%	20.9%	18.5%	14.2%	10.4%	11.4%	100.0%
		% within D3.	18.7%	26.9%	23.9%	26.9%	24.2%	24.4%	24.2%	24.4%
	Very poor value	Count	2	7	8	10	6	11	10	54
		% within Q2.	3.7%	13.0%	14.8%	18.5%	11.1%	20.4%	18.5%	100.0%
		% within D3.	2.2%	5.4%	4.3%	6.9%	4.8%	12.2%	10.1%	6.3%
I do not pay property tax	Count	26	34	26	12	9	1	2	110	
	% within Q2.	23.6%	30.9%	23.6%	10.9%	8.2%	0.9%	1.8%	100.0%	
	% within D3.	28.6%	26.2%	14.1%	8.3%	7.3%	1.1%	2.0%	12.7%	
Total	Count	91	130	184	145	124	90	99	863	
	% within Q2.	10.5%	15.1%	21.3%	16.8%	14.4%	10.4%	11.5%	100.0%	
	% within D3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q3 (Taxes and Fees) by D3 (Income)

			D3. What was your 2018 total household income before taxes?							Total
			Less than \$30,000	\$30,000 to less than \$50,000	\$50,000 to less than \$75,000	\$75,000 to less than \$100,000	\$100,000 to less than \$125,000	\$125,000 to less than \$150,000	Over \$150,000	
Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:	Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Count	19	32	27	28	30	17	22	175
		% within Q3.	10.9%	18.3%	15.4%	16.0%	17.1%	9.7%	12.6%	100.0%
	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services to	Count	29	57	101	75	43	49	42	396
		% within Q3.	7.3%	14.4%	25.5%	18.9%	10.9%	12.4%	10.6%	100.0%
	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	Count	46	49	63	47	54	28	36	323
		% within Q3.	14.2%	15.2%	19.5%	14.6%	16.7%	8.7%	11.1%	100.0%
Total	Count	94	138	191	150	127	94	100	894	
	% within Q3.	10.5%	15.4%	21.4%	16.8%	14.2%	10.5%	11.2%	100.0%	
	% within D3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_1 (Satisfaction of Accessibility Programming) by D3 (Income)

			D3. What was your 2018 total household income before taxes?							Total
			Less than \$30,000	\$30,000 to less than \$50,000	\$50,000 to less than \$75,000	\$75,000 to less than \$100,000	\$100,000 to less than \$125,000	\$125,000 to less than \$150,000	Over \$150,000	
Q4_1. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Accessibility programming (example: physical / intellectual access to facilities, services, programs).	Very satisfied	Count	9	5	12	14	13	6	15	74
		% within Q4_1.	12.2%	6.8%	16.2%	18.9%	17.6%	8.1%	20.3%	100.0%
		% within D3.	11.1%	4.9%	8.8%	13.7%	14.4%	9.5%	21.7%	11.5%
	Satisfied	Count	42	50	83	60	55	38	43	371
		% within Q4_1.	11.3%	13.5%	22.4%	16.2%	14.8%	10.2%	11.6%	100.0%
		% within D3.	51.9%	49.0%	60.6%	58.8%	61.1%	60.3%	62.3%	57.6%
	Dissatisfied	Count	23	28	31	23	18	16	8	147
		% within Q4_1.	15.6%	19.0%	21.1%	15.6%	12.2%	10.9%	5.4%	100.0%
		% within D3.	28.4%	27.5%	22.6%	22.5%	20.0%	25.4%	11.6%	22.8%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	7	19	11	5	4	3	3	52
		% within Q4_1.	13.5%	36.5%	21.2%	9.6%	7.7%	5.8%	5.8%	100.0%
		% within D3.	8.6%	18.6%	8.0%	4.9%	4.4%	4.8%	4.3%	8.1%
Total	Count	81	102	137	102	90	63	69	644	
	% within Q4_1.	12.6%	15.8%	21.3%	15.8%	14.0%	9.8%	10.7%	100.0%	
	% within D3.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_2 (Satisfaction of Affordability / Free Programming) by D3 (Income)

Q9_3 (Service Level of Public Transit - Conventional Bus & Ferry) by D3 (Income)

			D3. What was your 2018 total household income before taxes?							Total
			Less than \$30,000	\$30,000 to less than \$50,000	\$50,000 to less than \$75,000	\$75,000 to less than \$100,000	\$100,000 to less than \$125,000	\$125,000 to less than \$150,000	Over \$150,000	
Q9_3. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Public transit - conventional bus / ferry.	Increase service levels	Count	70	90	104	85	73	56	54	532
		% within Q9_3. % within D3.	13.2% 73.7%	16.9% 65.2%	19.5% 54.5%	16.0% 56.7%	13.7% 57.5%	10.5% 59.6%	10.2% 54.0%	100.0% 59.4%
	Maintain service levels	Count	24	46	83	60	51	35	39	338
		% within Q9_3. % within D3.	7.1% 25.3%	13.6% 33.3%	24.6% 43.5%	17.8% 40.0%	15.1% 40.2%	10.4% 37.2%	11.5% 39.0%	100.0% 37.8%
	Decrease service levels	Count	1	2	4	5	3	3	7	25
		% within Q9_3. % within D3.	4.0% 1.1%	8.0% 1.4%	16.0% 2.1%	20.0% 3.3%	12.0% 2.4%	12.0% 3.2%	28.0% 7.0%	100.0% 2.8%
Total	Count	95	138	191	150	127	94	100	895	
	% within Q9_3. % within D3.	10.6% 100.0%	15.4% 100.0%	21.3% 100.0%	16.8% 100.0%	14.2% 100.0%	10.5% 100.0%	11.2% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	

Q9_4 (Service Level of Public Transit - Access-A-Bus) by D3 (Income)

			D3. What was your 2018 total household income before taxes?							Total
			Less than \$30,000	\$30,000 to less than \$50,000	\$50,000 to less than \$75,000	\$75,000 to less than \$100,000	\$100,000 to less than \$125,000	\$125,000 to less than \$150,000	Over \$150,000	
Q9_4. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Public transit - Access-A-Bus.	Increase service levels	Count	44	56	64	52	34	24	27	301
		% within Q9_4. % within D3.	14.6% 46.3%	18.6% 40.6%	21.3% 33.5%	17.3% 34.7%	11.3% 26.8%	8.0% 25.5%	9.0% 27.0%	100.0% 33.6%
	Maintain service levels	Count	47	80	117	93	88	62	68	555
		% within Q9_4. % within D3.	8.5% 49.5%	14.4% 58.0%	21.1% 61.3%	16.8% 62.0%	15.9% 69.3%	11.2% 66.0%	12.3% 68.0%	100.0% 62.0%
	Decrease service levels	Count	4	2	10	5	5	8	5	39
		% within Q9_4. % within D3.	10.3% 4.2%	5.1% 1.4%	25.6% 5.2%	12.8% 3.3%	12.8% 3.9%	20.5% 8.5%	12.8% 5.0%	100.0% 4.4%
Total	Count	95	138	191	150	127	94	100	895	
	% within Q9_4. % within D3.	10.6% 100.0%	15.4% 100.0%	21.3% 100.0%	16.8% 100.0%	14.2% 100.0%	10.5% 100.0%	11.2% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	

Q9_5 (Service Level of Overall Transit Service) by D3 (Income)

			D3. What was your 2018 total household income before taxes?							Total
			Less than \$30,000	\$30,000 to less than \$50,000	\$50,000 to less than \$75,000	\$75,000 to less than \$100,000	\$100,000 to less than \$125,000	\$125,000 to less than \$150,000	Over \$150,000	
Q9_5. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Overall transit service.	Increase service levels	Count	64	88	98	83	71	55	49	508
		% within Q9_5. % within D3.	12.6% 67.4%	17.3% 63.8%	19.3% 51.3%	16.3% 55.3%	14.0% 55.9%	10.8% 58.5%	9.6% 49.0%	100.0% 56.8%
	Maintain service levels	Count	29	48	88	63	52	37	45	362
		% within Q9_5. % within D3.	8.0% 30.5%	13.3% 34.8%	24.3% 46.1%	17.4% 42.0%	14.4% 40.9%	10.2% 39.4%	12.4% 45.0%	100.0% 40.4%
	Decrease service levels	Count	2	2	5	4	4	2	6	25
		% within Q9_5. % within D3.	8.0% 2.1%	8.0% 1.4%	20.0% 2.6%	16.0% 2.7%	16.0% 3.1%	8.0% 2.1%	24.0% 6.0%	100.0% 2.8%
Total	Count	95	138	191	150	127	94	100	895	
	% within Q9_5. % within D3.	10.6% 100.0%	15.4% 100.0%	21.3% 100.0%	16.8% 100.0%	14.2% 100.0%	10.5% 100.0%	11.2% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	

Q9_6 (Service Level of Sidewalk Maintenance) by D3 (Income)

			D3. What was your 2018 total household income before taxes?							Total
			Less than \$30,000	\$30,000 to less than \$50,000	\$50,000 to less than \$75,000	\$75,000 to less than \$100,000	\$100,000 to less than \$125,000	\$125,000 to less than \$150,000	Over \$150,000	
Q9_6. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Sidewalk maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	50	66	75	46	35	35	33	340
		% within Q9_6. % within D3.	14.7% 52.6%	19.4% 47.8%	22.1% 39.3%	13.5% 30.7%	10.3% 27.6%	10.3% 37.2%	9.7% 33.0%	100.0% 38.0%
	Maintain service levels	Count	42	69	112	96	86	53	61	519
		% within Q9_6. % within D3.	8.1% 44.2%	13.3% 50.0%	21.6% 58.6%	18.5% 64.0%	16.6% 67.7%	10.2% 56.4%	11.8% 61.0%	100.0% 58.0%
	Decrease service levels	Count	3	3	4	8	6	6	6	36
		% within Q9_6. % within D3.	8.3% 3.2%	8.3% 2.2%	11.1% 2.1%	22.2% 5.3%	16.7% 4.7%	16.7% 6.4%	16.7% 6.0%	100.0% 4.0%
Total	Count	95	138	191	150	127	94	100	895	
	% within Q9_6. % within D3.	10.6% 100.0%	15.4% 100.0%	21.3% 100.0%	16.8% 100.0%	14.2% 100.0%	10.5% 100.0%	11.2% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	

Q1 (FSA) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q1. Select the first three digits of your postal code.	B3H	Count	67	0	0	67
		% within Q1.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	6.2%
	B3J	Count	44	0	0	44
		% within Q1.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%	4.1%
	B4C	Count	37	0	0	37
		% within Q1.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%
	B2Y	Count	54	0	0	54
		% within Q1.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%
	B2V	Count	34	0	0	34
		% within Q1.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%
	B2W	Count	88	0	0	88
		% within Q1.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	10.1%	0.0%	0.0%	8.2%
	B2X	Count	33	0	0	33
		% within Q1.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%
	B2Z	Count	0	0	18	18
		% within Q1.	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	0.0%	0.0%	10.1%	1.7%
	B3A	Count	76	0	0	76
		% within Q1.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	8.7%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%
	B3B	Count	4	0	0	4
		% within Q1.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
	B3E	Count	0	0	14	14
		% within Q1.	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	0.0%	0.0%	7.9%	1.3%
	B3G	Count	22	0	0	22
		% within Q1.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%
	B3K	Count	91	0	0	91
		% within Q1.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	10.5%	0.0%	0.0%	8.4%
	B3L	Count	54	0	0	54
		% within Q1.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%
	B3M	Count	65	0	0	65
		% within Q1.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	7.5%	0.0%	0.0%	6.0%
	B3N	Count	39	0	0	39
		% within Q1.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%

B3P	Count	20	0	0	20
	% within Q1.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	% within Tax Designation	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%
B3R	Count	13	0	0	13
	% within Q1.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	% within Tax Designation	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%
B3S	Count	25	0	0	25
	% within Q1.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	% within Tax Designation	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%
B3T	Count	60	0	0	60
	% within Q1.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	% within Tax Designation	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%
B3V	Count	0	0	9	9
	% within Q1.	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Tax Designation	0.0%	0.0%	5.1%	0.8%
B3Z	Count	0	0	40	40
	% within Q1.	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Tax Designation	0.0%	0.0%	22.5%	3.7%
B4A	Count	35	0	0	35
	% within Q1.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	% within Tax Designation	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%
B4B	Count	0	30	0	30
	% within Q1.	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	% within Tax Designation	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	2.8%
B4E	Count	0	0	28	28
	% within Q1.	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Tax Designation	0.0%	0.0%	15.7%	2.6%
B4G	Count	8	0	0	8
	% within Q1.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	% within Tax Designation	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%
B0J	Count	0	0	58	58
	% within Q1.	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Tax Designation	0.0%	0.0%	32.6%	5.4%
B0N	Count	0	0	11	11
	% within Q1.	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Tax Designation	0.0%	0.0%	6.2%	1.0%
B2T	Count	1	0	0	1
	% within Q1.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	% within Tax Designation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Total	Count	870	30	178	1078
	% within Q1.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q2 (Value of Property Tax) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q2. Thinking about all the programs and services you receive from the municipality, please indicate the degree to which you believe you receive good or poor value for the level of property taxes that you currently pay?	Very good value	Count	45	2	5	52
		% within Q2.	86.5%	3.8%	9.6%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	5.4%	6.9%	2.9%	5.0%
	Good value	Count	444	13	69	526
		% within Q2.	84.4%	2.5%	13.1%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	53.2%	44.8%	39.9%	50.7%
	Poor value	Count	179	12	64	255
		% within Q2.	70.2%	4.7%	25.1%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	21.4%	41.4%	37.0%	24.6%
	Very poor value	Count	40	2	29	71
		% within Q2.	56.3%	2.8%	40.8%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	4.8%	6.9%	16.8%	6.8%
	I do not pay property tax	Count	127	0	6	133
		% within Q2.	95.5%	0.0%	4.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	15.2%	0.0%	3.5%	12.8%
Total	Count	835	29	173	1037	
	% within Q2.	80.5%	2.8%	16.7%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q3 (Taxes and Fees) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q3. When the municipality is creating the municipal budget, please indicate which of the statements comes closest to your point of view. The municipality should:	Decrease taxes and fees, even if municipal services must decrease	Count	153	6	58	217
		% within Q3.	70.5%	2.8%	26.7%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	17.6%	20.0%	32.6%	20.2%
	Maintain taxes and fees, even if it mean reducing some municipal services	Count	403	16	73	492
		% within Q3.	81.9%	3.3%	14.8%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	46.4%	53.3%	41.0%	45.7%
	Increase municipal services, even if taxes and fees must increase	Count	312	8	47	367
		% within Q3.	85.0%	2.2%	12.8%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	35.9%	26.7%	26.4%	34.1%
	Total	Count	868	30	178	1076
		% within Q3.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q4_1 (Satisfaction of Accessibility Programming) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q4_1. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Accessibility programming (example: physical / intellectual access to facilities, services, programs).	Very satisfied	Count	63	3	15	81
		% within Q4_1.	77.8%	3.7%	18.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	10.4%	13.0%	11.3%	10.6%
	Satisfied	Count	359	14	69	442
		% within Q4_1.	81.2%	3.2%	15.6%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	59.2%	60.9%	51.9%	58.0%
	Dissatisfied	Count	136	5	36	177
		% within Q4_1.	76.8%	2.8%	20.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	22.4%	21.7%	27.1%	23.2%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	48	1	13	62
		% within Q4_1.	77.4%	1.6%	21.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	7.9%	4.3%	9.8%	8.1%
Total	Count	606	23	133	762	
	% within Q4_1.	79.5%	3.0%	17.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_2 (Satisfaction of Affordability / Free Programming) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q4_2. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Affordability / free programming.	Very satisfied	Count	61	3	15	79
		% within Q4_2.	77.2%	3.8%	19.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	8.9%	12.0%	11.7%	9.4%
	Satisfied	Count	441	15	62	518
		% within Q4_2.	85.1%	2.9%	12.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	64.5%	60.0%	48.4%	61.9%
	Dissatisfied	Count	135	4	36	175
		% within Q4_2.	77.1%	2.3%	20.6%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	19.7%	16.0%	28.1%	20.9%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	47	3	15	65
		% within Q4_2.	72.3%	4.6%	23.1%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	6.9%	12.0%	11.7%	7.8%
Total	Count	684	25	128	837	
	% within Q4_2.	81.7%	3.0%	15.3%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_3 (Satisfaction of Arts and Cultural Programming) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q4_3. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Arts and cultural facilities and programs.	Very satisfied	Count	44	0	13	57
		% within Q4_3.	77.2%	0.0%	22.8%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	10.0%	0.0%	13.8%	10.4%
	Satisfied	Count	253	10	44	307
		% within Q4_3.	82.4%	3.3%	14.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	57.2%	76.9%	46.8%	55.9%
	Dissatisfied	Count	113	2	26	141
		% within Q4_3.	80.1%	1.4%	18.4%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	25.6%	15.4%	27.7%	25.7%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	32	1	11	44
		% within Q4_3.	72.7%	2.3%	25.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	7.2%	7.7%	11.7%	8.0%
Total	Count	442	13	94	549	
	% within Q4_3.	80.5%	2.4%	17.1%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_4 (Satisfaction of Bike Lanes / Cycling Facilities) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q4_4. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Bike lanes / cycling facilities.	Very satisfied	Count	69	3	15	87
		% within Q4_4.	79.3%	3.4%	17.2%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	10.1%	13.6%	10.9%	10.3%
	Satisfied	Count	195	9	37	241
		% within Q4_4.	80.9%	3.7%	15.4%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	28.5%	40.9%	27.0%	28.6%
	Dissatisfied	Count	219	7	39	265
		% within Q4_4.	82.6%	2.6%	14.7%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	32.0%	31.8%	28.5%	31.4%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	201	3	46	250
		% within Q4_4.	80.4%	1.2%	18.4%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	29.4%	13.6%	33.6%	29.7%
Total	Count	684	22	137	843	
	% within Q4_4.	81.1%	2.6%	16.3%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_5 (Satisfaction of Business Support Services) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q4_5. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Business support services (example: permits).	Very satisfied	Count	22	0	6	28
		% within Q4_5.	78.6%	0.0%	21.4%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	5.4%	0.0%	6.0%	5.4%
	Satisfied	Count	232	10	47	289
		% within Q4_5.	80.3%	3.5%	16.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	57.1%	66.7%	47.0%	55.5%
	Dissatisfied	Count	112	5	27	144
		% within Q4_5.	77.8%	3.5%	18.8%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	27.6%	33.3%	27.0%	27.6%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	40	0	20	60
		% within Q4_5.	66.7%	0.0%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	9.9%	0.0%	20.0%	11.5%
Total	Count	406	15	100	521	
	% within Q4_5.	77.9%	2.9%	19.2%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_6 (Satisfaction of Cleanliness) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q4_6. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Cleanliness (example: litter & graffiti removal).	Very satisfied	Count	77	0	10	87
		% within Q4_6.	88.5%	0.0%	11.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	9.1%	0.0%	6.0%	8.3%
	Satisfied	Count	452	13	84	549
		% within Q4_6.	82.3%	2.4%	15.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	53.4%	44.8%	50.3%	52.6%
	Dissatisfied	Count	224	9	50	283
		% within Q4_6.	79.2%	3.2%	17.7%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	26.4%	31.0%	29.9%	27.1%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	94	7	23	124
		% within Q4_6.	75.8%	5.6%	18.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	11.1%	24.1%	13.8%	11.9%
Total	Count	847	29	167	1043	
	% within Q4_6.	81.2%	2.8%	16.0%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_7 (Satisfaction of Community Planning) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q4_7. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Community planning / land use planning and approvals.	Very satisfied	Count	22	0	2	24
		% within Q4_7.	91.7%	0.0%	8.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	3.0%	0.0%	1.5%	2.7%
	Satisfied	Count	245	10	38	293
		% within Q4_7.	83.6%	3.4%	13.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	33.8%	38.5%	28.1%	33.1%
	Dissatisfied	Count	260	7	52	319
		% within Q4_7.	81.5%	2.2%	16.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	35.9%	26.9%	38.5%	36.0%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	198	9	43	250
		% within Q4_7.	79.2%	3.6%	17.2%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	27.3%	34.6%	31.9%	28.2%
Total	Count	725	26	135	886	
	% within Q4_7.	81.8%	2.9%	15.2%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_8 (Satisfaction of Community Standards) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q4_8. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Community standards (example: by-law enforcement).	Very satisfied	Count	28	1	6	35
		% within Q4_8.	80.0%	2.9%	17.1%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	3.8%	3.6%	4.0%	3.8%
	Satisfied	Count	388	15	76	479
		% within Q4_8.	81.0%	3.1%	15.9%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	52.6%	53.6%	51.0%	52.4%
	Dissatisfied	Count	207	6	39	252
		% within Q4_8.	82.1%	2.4%	15.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	28.1%	21.4%	26.2%	27.6%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	114	6	28	148
		% within Q4_8.	77.0%	4.1%	18.9%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	15.5%	21.4%	18.8%	16.2%
Total	Count	737	28	149	914	
	% within Q4_8.	80.6%	3.1%	16.3%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_9 (Satisfaction of Diversity Programming) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q4_9. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Diversity and inclusiveness programs (example: language and culture programming).	Very satisfied	Count	65	2	14	81
		% within Q4_9.	80.2%	2.5%	17.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	11.8%	10.5%	12.7%	11.9%
	Satisfied	Count	338	9	56	403
		% within Q4_9.	83.9%	2.2%	13.9%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	61.1%	47.4%	50.9%	59.1%
	Dissatisfied	Count	114	5	24	143
		% within Q4_9.	79.7%	3.5%	16.8%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	20.6%	26.3%	21.8%	21.0%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	36	3	16	55
		% within Q4_9.	65.5%	5.5%	29.1%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	6.5%	15.8%	14.5%	8.1%
Total	Count	553	19	110	682	
	% within Q4_9.	81.1%	2.8%	16.1%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_10 (Satisfaction of Economic Development) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q4_10. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Economic development (example: promoting and connecting Halifax to grow and get business, talent, and investment).	Very satisfied	Count	47	1	12	60
		% within Q4_10.	78.3%	1.7%	20.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	6.7%	3.8%	8.3%	6.9%
	Satisfied	Count	393	14	59	466
		% within Q4_10.	84.3%	3.0%	12.7%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	56.1%	53.8%	41.0%	53.5%
	Dissatisfied	Count	190	8	48	246
		% within Q4_10.	77.2%	3.3%	19.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	27.1%	30.8%	33.3%	28.2%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	71	3	25	99
		% within Q4_10.	71.7%	3.0%	25.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	10.1%	11.5%	17.4%	11.4%
Total	Count	701	26	144	871	
	% within Q4_10.	80.5%	3.0%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_11 (Satisfaction of Emergency Preparedness) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q4_11. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Emergency preparedness.	Very satisfied	Count	92	4	22	118
		% within Q4_11.	78.0%	3.4%	18.6%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	12.4%	13.8%	13.4%	12.6%
	Satisfied	Count	463	16	92	571
		% within Q4_11.	81.1%	2.8%	16.1%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	62.2%	55.2%	56.1%	60.9%
	Dissatisfied	Count	130	4	30	164
		% within Q4_11.	79.3%	2.4%	18.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	17.5%	13.8%	18.3%	17.5%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	59	5	20	84
		% within Q4_11.	70.2%	6.0%	23.8%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	7.9%	17.2%	12.2%	9.0%
Total	Count	744	29	164	937	
	% within Q4_11.	79.4%	3.1%	17.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_12 (Satisfaction of Environmental Protection and Sustainability) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q4_12. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Environmental protection and sustainability.	Very satisfied	Count	31	0	9	40
		% within Q4_12	77.5%	0.0%	22.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	3.9%	0.0%	5.6%	4.1%
	Satisfied	Count	356	13	78	447
		% within Q4_12	79.6%	2.9%	17.4%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	45.3%	50.0%	48.8%	46.0%
	Dissatisfied	Count	242	5	48	295
		% within Q4_12	82.0%	1.7%	16.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	30.8%	19.2%	30.0%	30.3%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	157	8	25	190
		% within Q4_12	82.6%	4.2%	13.2%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	20.0%	30.8%	15.6%	19.5%
Total	Count	786	26	160	972	
	% within Q4_12	80.9%	2.7%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_13 (Satisfaction of Fire Services) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q4_13. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Fire services.	Very satisfied	Count	233	4	37	274
		% within Q4_13.	85.0%	1.5%	13.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	30.9%	15.4%	22.8%	29.1%
	Satisfied	Count	480	18	106	604
		% within Q4_13.	79.5%	3.0%	17.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	63.7%	69.2%	65.4%	64.2%
	Dissatisfied	Count	28	2	16	46
		% within Q4_13.	60.9%	4.3%	34.8%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	3.7%	7.7%	9.9%	4.9%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	12	2	3	17
		% within Q4_13.	70.6%	11.8%	17.6%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	1.6%	7.7%	1.9%	1.8%
Total	Count	753	26	162	941	
	% within Q4_13.	80.0%	2.8%	17.2%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_14 (Satisfaction of Garbage, Recycling, and Organics Collection) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q4_14. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Garbage, recycling, and organics collection.	Very satisfied	Count	219	13	52	284
		% within Q4_14.	77.1%	4.6%	18.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	25.7%	43.3%	29.5%	26.8%
	Satisfied	Count	508	14	90	612
		% within Q4_14.	83.0%	2.3%	14.7%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	59.6%	46.7%	51.1%	57.8%
	Dissatisfied	Count	84	2	19	105
		% within Q4_14.	80.0%	1.9%	18.1%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	9.9%	6.7%	10.8%	9.9%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	41	1	15	57
		% within Q4_14.	71.9%	1.8%	26.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	4.8%	3.3%	8.5%	5.4%
Total	Count	852	30	176	1058	
	% within Q4_14.	80.5%	2.8%	16.6%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_15 (Satisfaction of Halifax Public Libraries) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q4_15. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Halifax Public Libraries.	Very satisfied	Count	431	12	66	509
		% within Q4_15.	84.7%	2.4%	13.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	52.9%	41.4%	41.8%	50.8%
	Satisfied	Count	351	12	80	443
		% within Q4_15.	79.2%	2.7%	18.1%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	43.1%	41.4%	50.6%	44.2%
	Dissatisfied	Count	19	5	8	32
		% within Q4_15.	59.4%	15.6%	25.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	2.3%	17.2%	5.1%	3.2%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	14	0	4	18
		% within Q4_15.	77.8%	0.0%	22.2%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	1.7%	0.0%	2.5%	1.8%
Total	Count	815	29	158	1002	
	% within Q4_15.	81.3%	2.9%	15.8%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_16 (Satisfaction of Overall City Maintenance) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q4_16. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Overall city maintenance.	Very satisfied	Count	58	2	7	67
		% within Q4_16.	86.6%	3.0%	10.4%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	6.8%	6.9%	4.5%	6.5%
	Satisfied	Count	539	14	90	643
		% within Q4_16.	83.8%	2.2%	14.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	63.4%	48.3%	57.3%	62.1%
	Dissatisfied	Count	201	9	44	254
		% within Q4_16.	79.1%	3.5%	17.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	23.6%	31.0%	28.0%	24.5%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	52	4	16	72
		% within Q4_16.	72.2%	5.6%	22.2%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	6.1%	13.8%	10.2%	6.9%
Total	Count	850	29	157	1036	
	% within Q4_16.	82.0%	2.8%	15.2%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_17 (Satisfaction of Parking Enforcement) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q4_17. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Parking enforcement.	Very satisfied	Count	50	1	12	63
		% within Q4_17.	79.4%	1.6%	19.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	7.0%	4.2%	9.6%	7.3%
	Satisfied	Count	396	13	80	489
		% within Q4_17.	81.0%	2.7%	16.4%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	55.2%	54.2%	64.0%	56.4%
	Dissatisfied	Count	166	7	23	196
		% within Q4_17.	84.7%	3.6%	11.7%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	23.1%	29.2%	18.4%	22.6%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	106	3	10	119
		% within Q4_17.	89.1%	2.5%	8.4%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	14.8%	12.5%	8.0%	13.7%
Total	Count	718	24	125	867	
	% within Q4_17.	82.8%	2.8%	14.4%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_18 (Satisfaction of Police Services) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q4_18. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Police services.	Very satisfied	Count	146	6	34	186
		% within Q4_18.	78.5%	3.2%	18.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	18.2%	22.2%	20.7%	18.7%
	Satisfied	Count	501	15	100	616
		% within Q4_18.	81.3%	2.4%	16.2%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	62.5%	55.6%	61.0%	62.0%
	Dissatisfied	Count	98	3	16	117
		% within Q4_18.	83.8%	2.6%	13.7%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	12.2%	11.1%	9.8%	11.8%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	57	3	14	74
		% within Q4_18.	77.0%	4.1%	18.9%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	7.1%	11.1%	8.5%	7.5%
Total	Count	802	27	164	993	
	% within Q4_18.	80.8%	2.7%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_19 (Satisfaction of Public Engagement) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q4_19. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Public engagement (example: consultation on projects like Cogswell District).	Very satisfied	Count	87	5	13	105
		% within Q4_19.	82.9%	4.8%	12.4%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	12.4%	20.8%	10.5%	12.3%
	Satisfied	Count	361	11	58	430
		% within Q4_19.	84.0%	2.6%	13.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	51.4%	45.8%	46.8%	50.5%
	Dissatisfied	Count	159	4	35	198
		% within Q4_19.	80.3%	2.0%	17.7%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	22.6%	16.7%	28.2%	23.3%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	96	4	18	118
		% within Q4_19.	81.4%	3.4%	15.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	13.7%	16.7%	14.5%	13.9%
Total	Count	703	24	124	851	
	% within Q4_19.	82.6%	2.8%	14.6%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_20 (Satisfaction of Transit - Conventional Bus & Ferry) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q4_20. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Public Transit - Conventional bus & ferry (example: reliability).	Very satisfied	Count	47	2	13	62
		% within Q4_20.	75.8%	3.2%	21.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	6.0%	8.0%	8.9%	6.5%
	Satisfied	Count	352	13	49	414
		% within Q4_20.	85.0%	3.1%	11.8%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	44.6%	52.0%	33.6%	43.1%
	Dissatisfied	Count	260	4	48	312
		% within Q4_20.	83.3%	1.3%	15.4%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	33.0%	16.0%	32.9%	32.5%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	130	6	36	172
		% within Q4_20.	75.6%	3.5%	20.9%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	16.5%	24.0%	24.7%	17.9%
Total	Count	789	25	146	960	
	% within Q4_20.	82.2%	2.6%	15.2%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_21 (Satisfaction of Transit - Access-a-bus) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q4_21. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Public Transit - Access-a-bus (example: reliability).	Very satisfied	Count	34	0	7	41
		% within Q4_21.	82.9%	0.0%	17.1%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	12.7%	0.0%	11.1%	12.1%
	Satisfied	Count	129	6	23	158
		% within Q4_21.	81.6%	3.8%	14.6%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	48.3%	60.0%	36.5%	46.5%
	Dissatisfied	Count	62	2	15	79
		% within Q4_21.	78.5%	2.5%	19.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	23.2%	20.0%	23.8%	23.2%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	42	2	18	62
		% within Q4_21.	67.7%	3.2%	29.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	15.7%	20.0%	28.6%	18.2%
Total	Count	267	10	63	340	
	% within Q4_21.	78.5%	2.9%	18.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_22 (Satisfaction of Overall Transit Service) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q4_22. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Overall transit service.	Very satisfied	Count	41	1	14	56
		% within Q4_22.	73.2%	1.8%	25.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	5.3%	4.0%	9.6%	5.9%
	Satisfied	Count	354	11	43	408
		% within Q4_22.	86.8%	2.7%	10.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	45.5%	44.0%	29.5%	43.0%
	Dissatisfied	Count	261	6	53	320
		% within Q4_22.	81.6%	1.9%	16.6%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	33.5%	24.0%	36.3%	33.7%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	122	7	36	165
		% within Q4_22.	73.9%	4.2%	21.8%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	15.7%	28.0%	24.7%	17.4%
Total	Count	778	25	146	949	
	% within Q4_22.	82.0%	2.6%	15.4%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_23 (Satisfaction of Indoor Recreation Facilities) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q4_23. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Indoor recreation facilities (example: community centres, pools).	Very satisfied	Count	98	4	18	120
		% within Q4_23.	81.7%	3.3%	15.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	13.5%	14.3%	11.9%	13.3%
	Satisfied	Count	465	19	71	555
		% within Q4_23.	83.8%	3.4%	12.8%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	64.0%	67.9%	47.0%	61.3%
	Dissatisfied	Count	113	2	34	149
		% within Q4_23.	75.8%	1.3%	22.8%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	15.6%	7.1%	22.5%	16.5%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	50	3	28	81
		% within Q4_23.	61.7%	3.7%	34.6%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	6.9%	10.7%	18.5%	9.0%
Total	Count	726	28	151	905	
	% within Q4_23.	80.2%	3.1%	16.7%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_24 (Satisfaction of Outdoor Recreation Facilities) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q4_24. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Outdoor recreation facilities (example: sports fields).	Very satisfied	Count	94	3	15	112
		% within Q4_24.	83.9%	2.7%	13.4%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	13.1%	11.1%	10.6%	12.6%
	Satisfied	Count	472	17	70	559
		% within Q4_24.	84.4%	3.0%	12.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	65.6%	63.0%	49.6%	63.0%
	Dissatisfied	Count	102	4	36	142
		% within Q4_24.	71.8%	2.8%	25.4%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	14.2%	14.8%	25.5%	16.0%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	52	3	20	75
		% within Q4_24.	69.3%	4.0%	26.7%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	7.2%	11.1%	14.2%	8.4%
Total	Count	720	27	141	888	
	% within Q4_24.	81.1%	3.0%	15.9%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_25 (Satisfaction of Recreation Programming) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q4_25. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Recreation programming (example: swimming, camps).	Very satisfied	Count	79	4	22	105
		% within Q4_25.	75.2%	3.8%	21.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	13.4%	16.7%	17.9%	14.3%
	Satisfied	Count	380	14	49	443
		% within Q4_25.	85.8%	3.2%	11.1%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	64.5%	58.3%	39.8%	60.2%
	Dissatisfied	Count	92	2	31	125
		% within Q4_25.	73.6%	1.6%	24.8%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	15.6%	8.3%	25.2%	17.0%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	38	4	21	63
		% within Q4_25.	60.3%	6.3%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	6.5%	16.7%	17.1%	8.6%
Total	Count	589	24	123	736	
	% within Q4_25.	80.0%	3.3%	16.7%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_26 (Satisfaction of Sidewalk Maintenance) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q4_26. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Sidewalk maintenance.	Very satisfied	Count	64	3	15	82
		% within Q4_26.	78.0%	3.7%	18.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	7.7%	12.0%	10.9%	8.2%
	Satisfied	Count	418	16	57	491
		% within Q4_26.	85.1%	3.3%	11.6%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	50.0%	64.0%	41.6%	49.2%
	Dissatisfied	Count	236	4	38	278
		% within Q4_26.	84.9%	1.4%	13.7%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	28.2%	16.0%	27.7%	27.9%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	118	2	27	147
		% within Q4_26.	80.3%	1.4%	18.4%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	14.1%	8.0%	19.7%	14.7%
Total	Count	836	25	137	998	
	% within Q4_26.	83.8%	2.5%	13.7%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_27 (Satisfaction of Street / Road Maintenance) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q4_27. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Street / road maintenance.	Very satisfied	Count	34	2	4	40
		% within Q4_27.	85.0%	5.0%	10.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	4.0%	6.7%	2.3%	3.8%
	Satisfied	Count	291	7	45	343
		% within Q4_27.	84.8%	2.0%	13.1%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	34.2%	23.3%	26.0%	32.5%
	Dissatisfied	Count	313	12	60	385
		% within Q4_27.	81.3%	3.1%	15.6%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	36.7%	40.0%	34.7%	36.5%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	214	9	64	287
		% within Q4_27.	74.6%	3.1%	22.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	25.1%	30.0%	37.0%	27.2%
Total	Count	852	30	173	1055	
	% within Q4_27.	80.8%	2.8%	16.4%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_28 (Satisfaction of Traffic Management) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q4_28. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Traffic management (example: signals).	Very satisfied	Count	55	2	9	66
		% within Q4_28.	83.3%	3.0%	13.6%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	6.6%	6.7%	5.5%	6.4%
	Satisfied	Count	442	9	80	531
		% within Q4_28.	83.2%	1.7%	15.1%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	52.8%	30.0%	49.1%	51.6%
	Dissatisfied	Count	226	10	35	271
		% within Q4_28.	83.4%	3.7%	12.9%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	27.0%	33.3%	21.5%	26.3%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	114	9	39	162
		% within Q4_28.	70.4%	5.6%	24.1%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	13.6%	30.0%	23.9%	15.7%
Total	Count	837	30	163	1030	
	% within Q4_28.	81.3%	2.9%	15.8%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_29 (Satisfaction of Traffic / Pedestrian Safety) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q4_29. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Traffic / pedestrian safety.	Very satisfied	Count	55	2	6	63
		% within Q4_29.	87.3%	3.2%	9.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	6.5%	6.9%	3.6%	6.0%
	Satisfied	Count	363	15	86	464
		% within Q4_29.	78.2%	3.2%	18.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	42.8%	51.7%	52.1%	44.5%
	Dissatisfied	Count	244	9	42	295
		% within Q4_29.	82.7%	3.1%	14.2%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	28.7%	31.0%	25.5%	28.3%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	187	3	31	221
		% within Q4_29.	84.6%	1.4%	14.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	22.0%	10.3%	18.8%	21.2%
Total	Count	849	29	165	1043	
	% within Q4_29.	81.4%	2.8%	15.8%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q4_30 (Satisfaction of Winter Maintenance) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q4_30. Please tell us how satisfied you are with the following service provided by the municipality: Winter maintenance (example: snow and ice removal).	Very satisfied	Count	42	2	12	56
		% within Q4_30.	75.0%	3.6%	21.4%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	4.9%	6.7%	6.9%	5.3%
	Satisfied	Count	331	16	75	422
		% within Q4_30.	78.4%	3.8%	17.8%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	38.9%	53.3%	43.4%	40.0%
	Dissatisfied	Count	276	7	50	333
		% within Q4_30.	82.9%	2.1%	15.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	32.4%	23.3%	28.9%	31.6%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	202	5	36	243
		% within Q4_30.	83.1%	2.1%	14.8%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	23.7%	16.7%	20.8%	23.1%
Total	Count	851	30	173	1054	
	% within Q4_30.	80.7%	2.8%	16.4%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q5 (Satisfaction of Services) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q5. Overall, how satisfied are you with the delivery of all the services provided by the municipality?	Very satisfied	Count	32	0	6	38
		% within Q5.	84.2%	0.0%	15.8%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	3.7%	0.0%	3.4%	3.6%
	Satisfied	Count	543	16	94	653
		% within Q5.	83.2%	2.5%	14.4%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	63.6%	53.3%	53.7%	61.7%
	Dissatisfied	Count	246	11	62	319
		% within Q5.	77.1%	3.4%	19.4%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	28.8%	36.7%	35.4%	30.1%
	Very dissatisfied	Count	33	3	13	49
		% within Q5.	67.3%	6.1%	26.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	3.9%	10.0%	7.4%	4.6%
Total	Count	854	30	175	1059	
	% within Q5.	80.6%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q6_1 (Importance of Governance and Engagement) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q6_1. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Governance and Engagement.	Very important	Count	377	17	75	469
		% within Q6_1.	80.4%	3.6%	16.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	43.3%	56.7%	42.1%	43.5%
	Important	Count	424	11	89	524
		% within Q6_1.	80.9%	2.1%	17.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	48.7%	36.7%	50.0%	48.6%
	Not important	Count	58	2	13	73
		% within Q6_1.	79.5%	2.7%	17.8%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	6.7%	6.7%	7.3%	6.8%
	Not at all important	Count	11	0	1	12
		% within Q6_1.	91.7%	0.0%	8.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	1.3%	0.0%	0.6%	1.1%
	Total	Count	870	30	178	1078
		% within Q6_1.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q6_2 (Importance of Economic Development) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q6_2. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Economic Development.	Very important	Count	332	13	84	429
		% within Q6_2.	77.4%	3.0%	19.6%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	38.2%	43.3%	47.2%	39.8%
	Important	Count	442	11	77	530
		% within Q6_2.	83.4%	2.1%	14.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	50.8%	36.7%	43.3%	49.2%
	Not important	Count	76	6	14	96
		% within Q6_2.	79.2%	6.3%	14.6%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	8.7%	20.0%	7.9%	8.9%
	Not at all important	Count	20	0	3	23
		% within Q6_2.	87.0%	0.0%	13.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	2.3%	0.0%	1.7%	2.1%
Total	Count	870	30	178	1078	
	% within Q6_2.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q6_3 (Importance of Healthy Liveable Communities) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q6_3. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Healthy Liveable Communities.	Very important	Count	591	17	112	720
		% within Q6_3.	82.1%	2.4%	15.6%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	67.9%	56.7%	62.9%	66.8%
	Important	Count	244	12	52	308
		% within Q6_3.	79.2%	3.9%	16.9%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	28.0%	40.0%	29.2%	28.6%
	Not important	Count	30	1	12	43
		% within Q6_3.	69.8%	2.3%	27.9%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	3.4%	3.3%	6.7%	4.0%
	Not at all important	Count	5	0	2	7
		% within Q6_3.	71.4%	0.0%	28.6%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	0.6%	0.0%	1.1%	0.6%
Total	Count	870	30	178	1078	
	% within Q6_3.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q6_4 (Importance of Transportation) by Tax Designation

		Tax Designation			Total	
		Urban	Suburban	Rural		
Q6_4. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Transportation.	Very important	Count	536	18	96	650
		% within Q6_4.	82.5%	2.8%	14.8%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	61.6%	60.0%	53.9%	60.3%
	Important	Count	308	11	68	387
		% within Q6_4.	79.6%	2.8%	17.6%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	35.4%	36.7%	38.2%	35.9%
	Not important	Count	23	1	12	36
		% within Q6_4.	63.9%	2.8%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	2.6%	3.3%	6.7%	3.3%
	Not at all important	Count	3	0	2	5
		% within Q6_4.	60.0%	0.0%	40.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	0.3%	0.0%	1.1%	0.5%
Total	Count	870	30	178	1078	
	% within Q6_4.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q6_5 (Importance of Service Delivery) by Tax Designation

		Tax Designation			Total	
		Urban	Suburban	Rural		
Q6_5. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Service Delivery.	Very important	Count	226	6	59	291
		% within Q6_5.	77.7%	2.1%	20.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	26.0%	20.0%	33.1%	27.0%
	Important	Count	520	17	104	641
		% within Q6_5.	81.1%	2.7%	16.2%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	59.8%	56.7%	58.4%	59.5%
	Not important	Count	113	5	14	132
		% within Q6_5.	85.6%	3.8%	10.6%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	13.0%	16.7%	7.9%	12.2%
	Not at all important	Count	11	2	1	14
		% within Q6_5.	78.6%	14.3%	7.1%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	1.3%	6.7%	0.6%	1.3%
Total	Count	870	30	178	1078	
	% within Q6_5.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q6_6 (Importance of Social Development) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q6_6. Please rate the following Council Priority in terms of its importance to you: Social Development.	Very important	Count	336	3	60	399
		% within Q6_6.	84.2%	0.8%	15.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	38.6%	10.0%	33.7%	37.0%
	Important	Count	382	22	77	481
		% within Q6_6.	79.4%	4.6%	16.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	43.9%	73.3%	43.3%	44.6%
	Not important	Count	109	4	32	145
		% within Q6_6.	75.2%	2.8%	22.1%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	12.5%	13.3%	18.0%	13.5%
	Not at all important	Count	43	1	9	53
		% within Q6_6.	81.1%	1.9%	17.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	4.9%	3.3%	5.1%	4.9%
Total	Count	870	30	178	1078	
	% within Q6_6.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q7 (Service Level) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q7. For the following Governance & Engagement service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Public engagement (consultations like this one).	Increase service levels	Count	258	8	53	319
		% within Q7.	80.9%	2.5%	16.6%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	29.7%	26.7%	29.8%	29.6%
	Maintain service levels	Count	555	18	111	684
		% within Q7.	81.1%	2.6%	16.2%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	63.8%	60.0%	62.4%	63.5%
	Decrease service levels	Count	57	4	14	75
		% within Q7.	76.0%	5.3%	18.7%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	6.6%	13.3%	7.9%	7.0%
	Total	Count	870	30	178	1078
		% within Q7.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q8_1 (Service Level of Business Support Services) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q8_1. For the following Economic Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Business support services.	Increase service levels	Count	154	4	35	193
		% within Q8_1.	79.8%	2.1%	18.1%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	17.7%	13.3%	19.7%	17.9%
	Maintain service levels	Count	606	22	128	756
		% within Q8_1.	80.2%	2.9%	16.9%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	69.7%	73.3%	71.9%	70.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	110	4	15	129
		% within Q8_1.	85.3%	3.1%	11.6%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	12.6%	13.3%	8.4%	12.0%
Total	Count	870	30	178	1078	
	% within Q8_1.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q8_2 (Service Level of Community Planning) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q8_2. For the following Economic Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Community planning / development approvals.	Increase service levels	Count	335	11	69	415
		% within Q8_2.	80.7%	2.7%	16.6%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	38.5%	36.7%	38.8%	38.5%
	Maintain service levels	Count	476	16	96	588
		% within Q8_2.	81.0%	2.7%	16.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	54.7%	53.3%	53.9%	54.5%
	Decrease service levels	Count	59	3	13	75
		% within Q8_2.	78.7%	4.0%	17.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	6.8%	10.0%	7.3%	7.0%
Total	Count	870	30	178	1078	
	% within Q8_2.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q8_3 (Service Level of Economic Development) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q8_3. For the following Economic Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Economic development.	Increase service levels	Count	290	5	74	369
		% within Q8_3.	78.6%	1.4%	20.1%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	33.3%	16.7%	41.6%	34.2%
	Maintain service levels	Count	491	20	94	605
		% within Q8_3.	81.2%	3.3%	15.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	56.4%	66.7%	52.8%	56.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	89	5	10	104
		% within Q8_3.	85.6%	4.8%	9.6%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	10.2%	16.7%	5.6%	9.6%
Total	Count	870	30	178	1078	
	% within Q8_3.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_1 (Service Level of Bike Lanes / Cycling Facilities) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q9_1. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Bike lanes / cycling facilities.	Increase service levels	Count	397	10	55	462
		% within Q9_1.	85.9%	2.2%	11.9%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	45.6%	33.3%	30.9%	42.9%
	Maintain service levels	Count	240	12	53	305
		% within Q9_1.	78.7%	3.9%	17.4%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	27.6%	40.0%	29.8%	28.3%
	Decrease service levels	Count	233	8	70	311
		% within Q9_1.	74.9%	2.6%	22.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	26.8%	26.7%	39.3%	28.8%
Total	Count	870	30	178	1078	
	% within Q9_1.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_2 (Service Level of Parking Enforcement) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q9_2. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Parking enforcement.	Increase service levels	Count	174	4	16	194
		% within Q9_2.	89.7%	2.1%	8.2%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	20.0%	13.3%	9.0%	18.0%
	Maintain service levels	Count	519	20	112	651
		% within Q9_2.	79.7%	3.1%	17.2%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	59.7%	66.7%	62.9%	60.4%
	Decrease service levels	Count	177	6	50	233
		% within Q9_2.	76.0%	2.6%	21.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	20.3%	20.0%	28.1%	21.6%
Total	Count	870	30	178	1078	
	% within Q9_2.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_3 (Service Level of Public Transit - Conventional Bus & Ferry) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q9_3. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Public transit - conventional bus / ferry.	Increase service levels	Count	509	15	98	622
		% within Q9_3.	81.8%	2.4%	15.8%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	58.5%	50.0%	55.1%	57.7%
	Maintain service levels	Count	342	14	71	427
		% within Q9_3.	80.1%	3.3%	16.6%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	39.3%	46.7%	39.9%	39.6%
	Decrease service levels	Count	19	1	9	29
		% within Q9_3.	65.5%	3.4%	31.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	2.2%	3.3%	5.1%	2.7%
Total	Count	870	30	178	1078	
	% within Q9_3.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_4 (Service Level of Public Transit - Access-A-Bus) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q9_4. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Public transit - Access-A-Bus.	Increase service levels	Count	295	7	62	364
		% within Q9_4.	81.0%	1.9%	17.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	33.9%	23.3%	34.8%	33.8%
	Maintain service levels	Count	538	23	106	667
		% within Q9_4.	80.7%	3.4%	15.9%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	61.8%	76.7%	59.6%	61.9%
	Decrease service levels	Count	37	0	10	47
		% within Q9_4.	78.7%	0.0%	21.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	4.3%	0.0%	5.6%	4.4%
Total	Count	870	30	178	1078	
	% within Q9_4.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_5 (Service Level of Overall Transit Service) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q9_5. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Overall transit service.	Increase service levels	Count	487	17	90	594
		% within Q9_5.	82.0%	2.9%	15.2%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	56.0%	56.7%	50.6%	55.1%
	Maintain service levels	Count	364	12	79	455
		% within Q9_5.	80.0%	2.6%	17.4%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	41.8%	40.0%	44.4%	42.2%
	Decrease service levels	Count	19	1	9	29
		% within Q9_5.	65.5%	3.4%	31.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	2.2%	3.3%	5.1%	2.7%
Total	Count	870	30	178	1078	
	% within Q9_5.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_6 (Service Level of Sidewalk Maintenance) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q9_6. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Sidewalk maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	343	9	64	416
		% within Q9_6.	82.5%	2.2%	15.4%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	39.4%	30.0%	36.0%	38.6%
	Maintain service levels	Count	499	18	103	620
		% within Q9_6.	80.5%	2.9%	16.6%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	57.4%	60.0%	57.9%	57.5%
	Decrease service levels	Count	28	3	11	42
		% within Q9_6.	66.7%	7.1%	26.2%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	3.2%	10.0%	6.2%	3.9%
Total	Count	870	30	178	1078	
	% within Q9_6.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_7 (Service Level of Street / Road Maintenance) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q9_7. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Street / road maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	484	19	117	620
		% within Q9_7.	78.1%	3.1%	18.9%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	55.6%	63.3%	65.7%	57.5%
	Maintain service levels	Count	364	11	57	432
		% within Q9_7.	84.3%	2.5%	13.2%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	41.8%	36.7%	32.0%	40.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	22	0	4	26
		% within Q9_7.	84.6%	0.0%	15.4%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	2.5%	0.0%	2.2%	2.4%
Total	Count	870	30	178	1078	
	% within Q9_7.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_8 (Service Level of Traffic Management) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q9_8. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Traffic management.	Increase service levels	Count	295	15	55	365
		% within Q9_8.	80.8%	4.1%	15.1%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	33.9%	50.0%	30.9%	33.9%
	Maintain service levels	Count	531	12	116	659
		% within Q9_8.	80.6%	1.8%	17.6%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	61.0%	40.0%	65.2%	61.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	44	3	7	54
		% within Q9_8.	81.5%	5.6%	13.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	5.1%	10.0%	3.9%	5.0%
Total	Count	870	30	178	1078	
	% within Q9_8.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_9 (Service Level of Traffic / Pedestrian Safety) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q9_9. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Traffic / pedestrian safety.	Increase service levels	Count	409	15	65	489
		% within Q9_9.	83.6%	3.1%	13.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	47.0%	50.0%	36.5%	45.4%
	Maintain service levels	Count	426	15	101	542
		% within Q9_9.	78.6%	2.8%	18.6%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	49.0%	50.0%	56.7%	50.3%
	Decrease service levels	Count	35	0	12	47
		% within Q9_9.	74.5%	0.0%	25.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	4.0%	0.0%	6.7%	4.4%
Total	Count	870	30	178	1078	
	% within Q9_9.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q9_10 (Service Level of Winter Maintenance) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q9_10. For the following Transportation service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Winter maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	435	16	94	545
		% within Q9_10.	79.8%	2.9%	17.2%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	50.0%	53.3%	52.8%	50.6%
	Maintain service levels	Count	422	13	78	513
		% within Q9_10.	82.3%	2.5%	15.2%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	48.5%	43.3%	43.8%	47.6%
	Decrease service levels	Count	13	1	6	20
		% within Q9_10.	65.0%	5.0%	30.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	1.5%	3.3%	3.4%	1.9%
Total	Count	870	30	178	1078	
	% within Q9_10.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_1 (Service Level of Arts and Cultural Programming) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q10_1. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Arts and cultural facilities and program.	Increase service levels	Count	235	4	31	270
		% within Q10_1.	87.0%	1.5%	11.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	27.0%	13.3%	17.4%	25.0%
	Maintain service levels	Count	479	20	95	594
		% within Q10_1.	80.6%	3.4%	16.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	55.1%	66.7%	53.4%	55.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	156	6	52	214
		% within Q10_1.	72.9%	2.8%	24.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	17.9%	20.0%	29.2%	19.9%
Total	Count	870	30	178	1078	
	% within Q10_1.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_2 (Service Level of Cleanliness) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q10_2. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Cleanliness (litter and graffiti removal).	Increase service levels	Count	254	10	54	318
		% within Q10_2.	79.9%	3.1%	17.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	29.2%	33.3%	30.3%	29.5%
	Maintain service levels	Count	505	14	90	609
		% within Q10_2.	82.9%	2.3%	14.8%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	58.0%	46.7%	50.6%	56.5%
	Decrease service levels	Count	111	6	34	151
		% within Q10_2.	73.5%	4.0%	22.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	12.8%	20.0%	19.1%	14.0%
Total	Count	870	30	178	1078	
	% within Q10_2.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_3 (Service Level of Community Beautification) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q10_3. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Community beautification.	Increase service levels	Count	281	12	75	368
		% within Q10_3.	76.4%	3.3%	20.4%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	32.3%	40.0%	42.1%	34.2%
	Maintain service levels	Count	574	17	99	690
		% within Q10_3.	83.2%	2.5%	14.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	66.1%	56.7%	55.6%	64.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	14	1	4	19
		% within Q10_3.	73.7%	5.3%	21.1%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	1.6%	3.3%	2.2%	1.8%
Total	Count	869	30	178	1077	
	% within Q10_3.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_4 (Service Level of Emergency Preparedness) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q10_4. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Emergency preparedness.	Increase service levels	Count	477	16	86	579
		% within Q10_4.	82.4%	2.8%	14.9%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	54.8%	53.3%	48.3%	53.7%
	Maintain service levels	Count	354	14	82	450
		% within Q10_4.	78.7%	3.1%	18.2%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	40.7%	46.7%	46.1%	41.7%
	Decrease service levels	Count	39	0	10	49
		% within Q10_4.	79.6%	0.0%	20.4%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	4.5%	0.0%	5.6%	4.5%
Total	Count	870	30	178	1078	
	% within Q10_4.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_5 (Service Level of Environmental Protection and Sustainability) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q10_5. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Environmental protection and sustainability.	Increase service levels	Count	103	5	37	145
		% within Q10_5.	71.0%	3.4%	25.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	11.8%	16.7%	20.9%	13.5%
	Maintain service levels	Count	745	21	130	896
		% within Q10_5.	83.1%	2.3%	14.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	85.6%	70.0%	73.4%	83.2%
	Decrease service levels	Count	22	4	10	36
		% within Q10_5.	61.1%	11.1%	27.8%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	2.5%	13.3%	5.6%	3.3%
Total	Count	870	30	177	1077	
	% within Q10_5.	80.8%	2.8%	16.4%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_6 (Service Level of Fire Services) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q10_6. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Fire services.	Increase service levels	Count	143	1	33	177
		% within Q10_6.	80.8%	0.6%	18.6%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	16.4%	3.3%	18.5%	16.4%
	Maintain service levels	Count	712	27	138	877
		% within Q10_6.	81.2%	3.1%	15.7%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	81.8%	90.0%	77.5%	81.4%
	Decrease service levels	Count	15	2	7	24
		% within Q10_6.	62.5%	8.3%	29.2%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	1.7%	6.7%	3.9%	2.2%
Total	Count	870	30	178	1078	
	% within Q10_6.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_7 (Service Level of Garbage, Recycling, and Organics Collection) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q10_7. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Garbage, recycling, and organics collection.	Increase service levels	Count	130	2	29	161
		% within Q10_7.	80.7%	1.2%	18.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	14.9%	6.7%	16.3%	14.9%
	Maintain service levels	Count	662	27	130	819
		% within Q10_7.	80.8%	3.3%	15.9%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	76.1%	90.0%	73.0%	76.0%
	Decrease service levels	Count	78	1	19	98
		% within Q10_7.	79.6%	1.0%	19.4%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	9.0%	3.3%	10.7%	9.1%
Total	Count	870	30	178	1078	
	% within Q10_7.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_8 (Service Level of Halifax Public Libraries) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q10_8. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Halifax Public Libraries.	Increase service levels	Count	262	9	55	326
		% within Q10_8.	80.4%	2.8%	16.9%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	30.1%	30.0%	30.9%	30.3%
	Maintain service levels	Count	583	20	113	716
		% within Q10_8.	81.4%	2.8%	15.8%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	67.1%	66.7%	63.5%	66.5%
	Decrease service levels	Count	24	1	10	35
		% within Q10_8.	68.6%	2.9%	28.6%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	2.8%	3.3%	5.6%	3.2%
Total	Count	869	30	178	1077	
	% within Q10_8.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_9 (Service Level of Overall City Maintenance) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q10_9. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Overall city maintenance.	Increase service levels	Count	313	12	66	391
		% within Q10_9.	80.1%	3.1%	16.9%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	36.0%	40.0%	37.1%	36.3%
	Maintain service levels	Count	521	16	102	639
		% within Q10_9.	81.5%	2.5%	16.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	59.9%	53.3%	57.3%	59.3%
	Decrease service levels	Count	36	2	10	48
		% within Q10_9.	75.0%	4.2%	20.8%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	4.1%	6.7%	5.6%	4.5%
Total	Count	870	30	178	1078	
	% within Q10_9.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_10 (Service Level of Parks, Playgrounds, and Green Spaces) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q10_10. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Parks, playgrounds, and green spaces.	Increase service levels	Count	220	9	42	271
		% within Q10_10.	81.2%	3.3%	15.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	25.3%	30.0%	23.6%	25.1%
	Maintain service levels	Count	639	20	129	788
		% within Q10_10.	81.1%	2.5%	16.4%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	73.4%	66.7%	72.5%	73.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	11	1	7	19
		% within Q10_10.	57.9%	5.3%	36.8%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	1.3%	3.3%	3.9%	1.8%
Total	Count	870	30	178	1078	
	% within Q10_10.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_11 (Service Level of Police Services) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q10_11. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Police services.	Increase service levels	Count	137	4	43	184
		% within Q10_11.	74.5%	2.2%	23.4%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	15.7%	13.3%	24.2%	17.1%
	Maintain service levels	Count	646	23	121	790
		% within Q10_11.	81.8%	2.9%	15.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	74.3%	76.7%	68.0%	73.3%
	Decrease service levels	Count	87	3	14	104
		% within Q10_11.	83.7%	2.9%	13.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	10.0%	10.0%	7.9%	9.6%
Total	Count	870	30	178	1078	
	% within Q10_11.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_12 (Service Level of Indoor Recreation Facilities) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q10_12. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Indoor recreation facilities.	Increase service levels	Count	200	4	56	260
		% within Q10_12.	76.9%	1.5%	21.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	23.0%	13.3%	31.5%	24.1%
	Maintain service levels	Count	615	25	110	750
		% within Q10_12.	82.0%	3.3%	14.7%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	70.7%	83.3%	61.8%	69.6%
	Decrease service levels	Count	55	1	12	68
		% within Q10_12.	80.9%	1.5%	17.6%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	6.3%	3.3%	6.7%	6.3%
Total	Count	870	30	178	1078	
	% within Q10_12.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_13 (Service Level of Outdoor Recreation Facilities) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q10_13. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Outdoor recreation facilities.	Increase service levels	Count	214	5	54	273
		% within Q10_13.	78.4%	1.8%	19.8%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	24.6%	16.7%	30.3%	25.3%
	Maintain service levels	Count	603	23	108	734
		% within Q10_13.	82.2%	3.1%	14.7%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	69.3%	76.7%	60.7%	68.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	53	2	16	71
		% within Q10_13.	74.6%	2.8%	22.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	6.1%	6.7%	9.0%	6.6%
Total	Count	870	30	178	1078	
	% within Q10_13.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q10_14 (Service Level of Recreation Programming) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q10_14. For the following Healthy Liveable Communities service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Recreation programming.	Increase service levels	Count	211	6	51	268
		% within Q10_14.	78.7%	2.2%	19.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	24.3%	20.0%	28.7%	24.9%
	Maintain service levels	Count	591	23	112	726
		% within Q10_14.	81.4%	3.2%	15.4%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	67.9%	76.7%	62.9%	67.3%
	Decrease service levels	Count	68	1	15	84
		% within Q10_14.	81.0%	1.2%	17.9%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	7.8%	3.3%	8.4%	7.8%
Total	Count	870	30	178	1078	
	% within Q10_14.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q11_1 (Service Level of Accessibility Programming) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q11_1. For the following Social Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Accessibility programming.	Increase service levels	Count	290	4	42	336
		% within Q11_1.	86.3%	1.2%	12.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	33.3%	13.3%	23.6%	31.2%
	Maintain service levels	Count	526	23	124	673
		% within Q11_1.	78.2%	3.4%	18.4%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	60.5%	76.7%	69.7%	62.4%
	Decrease service levels	Count	54	3	12	69
		% within Q11_1.	78.3%	4.3%	17.4%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	6.2%	10.0%	6.7%	6.4%
Total	Count	870	30	178	1078	
	% within Q11_1.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q11_2 (Service Level of Affordability / Free Programming) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q11_2. For the following Social Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Affordability / free programming.	Increase service levels	Count	343	9	60	412
		% within Q11_2.	83.3%	2.2%	14.6%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	39.4%	30.0%	33.7%	38.2%
	Maintain service levels	Count	457	18	97	572
		% within Q11_2.	79.9%	3.1%	17.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	52.5%	60.0%	54.5%	53.1%
	Decrease service levels	Count	70	3	21	94
		% within Q11_2.	74.5%	3.2%	22.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	8.0%	10.0%	11.8%	8.7%
Total	Count	870	30	178	1078	
	% within Q11_2.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q11_3 (Service Level of Diversity Programming) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Q11_3. For the following Social Development service, please indicate whether you believe the municipality should increase, maintain, or reduce the level of service: Diversity and inclusiveness programming.	Increase service levels	Count	290	7	38	335
		% within Q11_3.	86.6%	2.1%	11.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	33.3%	23.3%	21.3%	31.1%
	Maintain service levels	Count	463	19	106	588
		% within Q11_3.	78.7%	3.2%	18.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	53.2%	63.3%	59.6%	54.5%
	Decrease service levels	Count	117	4	34	155
		% within Q11_3.	75.5%	2.6%	21.9%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	13.4%	13.3%	19.1%	14.4%
Total	Count	870	30	178	1078	
	% within Q11_3.	80.7%	2.8%	16.5%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

D1 (Gender) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
D1. What gender identity do you most associate with?	Man	Count	386	13	70	469
		% within D1.	82.3%	2.8%	14.9%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	47.4%	44.8%	43.2%	46.7%
	Woman	Count	422	16	90	528
		% within D1.	79.9%	3.0%	17.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	51.8%	55.2%	55.6%	52.5%
	Non-binary	Count	6	0	2	8
		% within D1.	75.0%	0.0%	25.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	0.7%	0.0%	1.2%	0.8%
Total	Count	814	29	162	1005	
	% within D1.	81.0%	2.9%	16.1%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

D2 (Age) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
D2. How old are you?	18-34 years old	Count	201	7	23	231
		% within D2.	87.0%	3.0%	10.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	24.3%	24.1%	13.8%	22.6%
	35-54 years old	Count	323	10	74	407
		% within D2.	79.4%	2.5%	18.2%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	39.1%	34.5%	44.3%	39.8%
	55 and older	Count	302	12	70	384
		% within D2.	78.6%	3.1%	18.2%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	36.6%	41.4%	41.9%	37.6%
Total	Count	826	29	167	1022	
	% within D2.	80.8%	2.8%	16.3%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

D3 (Income) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
D3. What was your 2018 total household income before taxes?	Less than \$30,000	Count	86	0	9	95
		% within D3.	90.5%	0.0%	9.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	11.8%	0.0%	6.4%	10.6%
	\$30,000 to less than \$50,000	Count	125	2	11	138
		% within D3.	90.6%	1.4%	8.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	17.1%	8.7%	7.8%	15.4%
	\$50,000 to less than \$75,000	Count	157	3	31	191
		% within D3.	82.2%	1.6%	16.2%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	21.5%	13.0%	22.0%	21.3%
	\$75,000 to less than \$100,000	Count	121	3	26	150
		% within D3.	80.7%	2.0%	17.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	16.6%	13.0%	18.4%	16.8%
	\$100,000 to less than \$125,000	Count	98	3	26	127
		% within D3.	77.2%	2.4%	20.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	13.4%	13.0%	18.4%	14.2%
	\$125,000 to less than \$150,000	Count	66	4	24	94
		% within D3.	70.2%	4.3%	25.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	9.0%	17.4%	17.0%	10.5%
	Over \$150,000	Count	78	8	14	100
		% within D3.	78.0%	8.0%	14.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	10.7%	34.8%	9.9%	11.2%
	Total	Count	731	23	141	895
		% within D3.	81.7%	2.6%	15.8%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

D4 (Employment Status) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
D4. What is your employment status?	Employed full time	Count	467	17	91	575
		% within D4.	81.2%	3.0%	15.8%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	56.1%	65.4%	54.8%	56.1%
	Employed part time	Count	55	0	9	64
		% within D4.	85.9%	0.0%	14.1%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	6.6%	0.0%	5.4%	6.2%
	Unemployed and currently looking for work	Count	20	0	0	20
		% within D4.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%
	Unemployed and not currently looking for work	Count	4	0	2	6
		% within D4.	66.7%	0.0%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	0.5%	0.0%	1.2%	0.6%
	Student	Count	20	1	5	26
		% within D4.	76.9%	3.8%	19.2%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	2.4%	3.8%	3.0%	2.5%
	Retired	Count	184	5	39	228
		% within D4.	80.7%	2.2%	17.1%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	22.1%	19.2%	23.5%	22.2%
	Homemaker	Count	11	2	1	14
		% within D4.	78.6%	14.3%	7.1%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	1.3%	7.7%	0.6%	1.4%
	Self-employed	Count	55	1	16	72
		% within D4.	76.4%	1.4%	22.2%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	6.6%	3.8%	9.6%	7.0%
Unable to work	Count	17	0	3	20	
	% within D4.	85.0%	0.0%	15.0%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	2.0%	0.0%	1.8%	2.0%	
Total	Count	833	26	166	1025	
	% within D4.	81.3%	2.5%	16.2%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

D5 (Person with Disabilities) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
D5. Do you identify as a person with disabilities?	Yes	Count	118	3	22	143
		% within D5.	82.5%	2.1%	15.4%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	14.6%	11.1%	14.1%	14.4%
	No	Count	689	24	134	847
		% within D5.	81.3%	2.8%	15.8%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	85.4%	88.9%	85.9%	85.6%
Total	Count	807	27	156	990	
	% within D5.	81.5%	2.7%	15.8%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

D6 (Acadian or Francophone) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
D6. Do you identify as Acadian or Francophone?	Yes - Acadian	Count	51	1	20	72
		% within D6.	70.8%	1.4%	27.8%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	6.2%	3.6%	12.7%	7.1%
	Yes - Francophone	Count	26	0	5	31
		% within D6.	83.9%	0.0%	16.1%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	3.1%	0.0%	3.2%	3.1%
	No	Count	750	27	133	910
		% within D6.	82.4%	3.0%	14.6%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	90.7%	96.4%	84.2%	89.8%
Total	Count	827	28	158	1013	
	% within D6.	81.6%	2.8%	15.6%	100.0%	
	% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

D7 (Ethnic Identity) by Tax Designation

			Tax Designation			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
D7. What is your ethnic identity?	White	Count	680	24	137	841
		% within D7.	80.9%	2.9%	16.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	87.0%	92.3%	89.5%	87.5%
South Asian (example: East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankann etc.)	Chinese	Count	11	0	1	12
		% within D7.	91.7%	0.0%	8.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	1.4%	0.0%	0.7%	1.2%
Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Count	5	0	3	8
		% within D7.	62.5%	0.0%	37.5%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	0.6%	0.0%	2.0%	0.8%
Black (example: African Nova Scotian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Count	30	2	1	33
		% within D7.	90.9%	6.1%	3.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	3.8%	7.7%	0.7%	3.4%
Black (example: African Canadian)	Black (example: African Canadian)	Count	10	0	0	10
		% within D7.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%
Filipino	Filipino	Count	1	0	0	1
		% within D7.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Latin American	Latin American	Count	3	0	0	3
		% within D7.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
Arab	Arab	Count	3	0	0	3
		% within D7.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
Southeast Asian (example: Vietnamese, Cambodian)	Southeast Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Count	1	0	0	1
		% within D7.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	West Asian (example: Iranian, Afghan, etc.)	Count	1	0	0	1
		% within D7.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Korean	Korean	Count	2	0	0	2
		% within D7.	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
First Nations (example: North American Indian; includes Status and Métis)	First Nations (example: North American Indian; includes Status and Métis)	Count	11	0	1	12
		% within D7.	91.7%	0.0%	8.3%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	1.4%	0.0%	0.7%	1.2%
Métis	Métis	Count	5	0	2	7
		% within D7.	71.4%	0.0%	28.6%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	0.6%	0.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Inuk	Inuk	Count	0	0	1	1
		% within D7.	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.1%
Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	Mixed (Indicated 2 or more ethnicity categories)	Count	19	0	7	26
		% within D7.	73.1%	0.0%	26.9%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	2.4%	0.0%	4.6%	2.7%
Total	Total	Count	782	26	153	961
		% within D7.	81.4%	2.7%	15.9%	100.0%
		% within Tax Designation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%