

P.O. Box 1749 Halifax, Nova Scotia B3J 3A5 Canada

Item No. 10.1.1 Harbour East Marine Drive Community Council March 1, 2018 Public Hearing February 1, 2018 First Reading

SUBJECT:	Case 21490: Rezoning for Lands at 9 Veterans Avenue, Dartmouth	
DATE:	January 17, 2018	
SUBMITTED BY:	Kelly Denty, Acting Director, Planning and Development	
	ORIGINAL SIGNED	
то:	Chair and Members of Harbour East Marine Drive Community Council	

<u>ORIGIN</u>

Application by Teal Architects and Planners, on behalf of St. Luke's Anglican Church

LEGISLATIVE AUTHORITY

Halifax Regional Municipality Charter (HRM Charter), Part VIII, Planning & Development

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that Harbour East Marine Drive Community Council:

- Give First Reading to consider approval of the proposed amendment to the Dartmouth Land Use By-law, as set out in Attachment A, to rezone a portion of lands at 9 Veterans Avenue from the R-3 (Multiple Family Residential-Medium Density) Zone to the S (Institutional) Zone, a portion of the lands from the R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone to the S Zone, and a portion of the lands from the R-3 Zone to the R-1 Zone and schedule a public hearing; and
- 2. Adopt the amendment to the Dartmouth Land Use By-Law, as set out in Attachment A.

BACKGROUND

Teal Architects and Planners, on behalf of St. Luke's Anglican Church, have requested to subdivide and rezone a portion of lands at 9 Veterans Avenue, Dartmouth, to create a new lot and construct a three-story building for emergency accommodations for women and children fleeing intimate partner violence (Bryony House).

Subject Site	Approximately 2,165.5sq. m (23,310 square feet) in area of PID no.	
	00175646 which has frontage on Tacoma Drive	
Location	North of St. Luke's Anglican Church, fronting along Tacoma Drive	
Regional Plan Designation	Urban Settlement (US)	
Community Plan Designation	Residential (R) and Main Street Designation (MS) - Town Residential	
(Map 1)	Sub-Designation, under the Dartmouth Municipal Planning Strategy	
Zoning (Map 2)	R-1 (Single Family Residential) zone and the R-3 (Multiple Family	
	Residential Zone- Medium Density) under the Dartmouth Land Use	
	By-law	
Size of Site	2,165.5 sq. m (23,310 sq. feet) of the total 7,646 sq. m (82,300 sq.	
	feet) of the existing lot	
Street Frontage	Approximately 84 m (275 feet) of frontage on Tacoma Drive, and 53	
	m (174 feet) of frontage on Veterans Avenue.	
Current Land Use(s)	Vacant/Church parking lot	
Surrounding Use(s)	The surrounding area is comprised of a mix of uses including:	
	- Commercial (retail/restaurant) to the west (Main Street) and	
	southwest (Westphal shopping centre)	
	 Institutional (Church) to the south and east 	
	 Single family dwellings to the south and east 	

Proposal Details

The proposed subdivision will create two lots. An R-1 lot, housing the St. Luke's Anglican Church, having frontage on Veteran's Avenue. A second vacant lot would be created on Tacoma Drive to the rear of the existing church. The proposed rezoning would allow development of this lot for a three storey building for emergency accommodations for women and children fleeing intimate partner violence.

The major aspects of the proposal are as follows:

- The existing St. Luke's Anglican Church will remain unchanged on a smaller lot in compliance with the R-1 Zone requirements.
- The proposed new building would be owned and managed by the Halifax Transition House Association (HTHA).
- Should Council approve the proposed rezoning application, the development of any building would be considered through the separate as-of-right permitting process.

Council should note that the proposed rear lot line does not align with the existing zoning and designation boundaries. While this does not materially impact the proposed development, it is desirable to align regulatory boundaries with property lines whenever possible. In order to accomplish this, three portions of the lands will need to be rezoned to accommodate the request as follows (descriptions of the rezoning steps in this report directly reference these three areas):

<u>Area 1</u>: A portion of land approximately 24,781 square feet (2,302 square meters) in area, fronting on Tacoma Drive will be rezoned from the R-3 Zone to the S Zone;

<u>Area 2</u>: A portion of land approximately 1,519 square feet (141 square meters) in area, along the rear property line, will be rezoned from the R-1 Zone to the S Zone; and,

<u>Area 3</u>: A portion of land approximately 3,406 square feet (316 square meters) in area, along the rear property line, will be rezoned from the R-3 Zone to the R-1 Zone.

Enabling Policy and LUB Context

Planning policy for this site is based on the Dartmouth Municipal Planning Strategy. The portion of the subject property along the Veteran's Avenue is designated Residential (R). The remaining portion along Tacoma Drive is within the Main Street Designation (MS) - Town Residential Sub-Designation (Map 2).

Similarly, a portion of the lot fronting on Veteran's Avenue is zoned R-1 (Single Family Residential). The portion of the lot fronting on Tacoma Drive is zoned R-3 (Multiple Family Residential Zone-Medium Density).

Area 1: R-3 (Multiple Family Residential zone- Medium Density) to S (Institutional) zone

The R-3 Zone permits R-1, R-2, and townhouse uses, lodging houses, group homes (not more than 12 residents), and a day care facility (within the Main Street Designation). The R-3 Zone allows multiple family developments by development agreement only, except for those properties within the Main Street Designation which may proceed as-of-right, if the conditions in the Land Use Bylaw are met.

Policy C-60 allows the consideration of, within the Main Street - Town Residential Sub-Designation, the development of similar uses on properties which abut one another, through amendments to the Land Use Bylaw. This policy provides for the development of uses which are permitted by the zone of the abutting property within the abutting sub-designation. In this instance, the abutting sub-designation is the Neighborhood Edge Sub-Designation and it allows for "small institutional uses, provided that developments shall be in a low-rise house form". The abutting properties are zoned NLW (Neighborhood Live-Work Zone) which permits institutional uses.

Further, Implementation Policy IP-1 ensures that developments are compatible and consistent with adjacent uses in terms of use, bulk, and scale, and that provisions for landscaping and buffering are in place to reduce potential incompatibilities with adjacent land uses. Attachment B contains a copy of the relevant policies from the Dartmouth MPS as well as a staff assessment as to how this proposal meets these policies.

Please note that Policy C-60 refers to 'Map 9x (Main Street Generalized Future Land Use Map)', however staff have identified this as a typographical error as the Main Street Generalized Future Land Use Map is labeled Map 9y. There is no Map 9x in the Dartmouth MPS, and Map 9X illustrates amendments to the Burnside Industrial Business Park.

Area 2: R-1 (Single Family Residential) zone to S (Institutional) zone

This portion of land will become part of the new lot fronting on Tacoma Drive, and rezoning this portion from R-1 to S is required to permit the proposed institutional use. Policy IP-1(b) allows the consideration of local institutional land uses in the Residential Designation of the Dartmouth MPS. As noted above, Attachment B provides additional information on how the proposal meets policy.

Area 3: R-3 (Multiple Family Residential zone- Medium Density) to R-1 (Single Family Residential) zone

This portion of land will remain under the ownership of and use by the Church, therefore rezoning this portion from R-3 to R-1 better aligns the zoning with the future lot lines. Policy IP-1(b) allows the consideration of single family residential land uses, which permits places of worship and associated halls, within the Residential Designation.

COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

The community engagement process is consistent with the intent of the HRM Community Engagement Strategy. The level of community engagement was consultation, achieved through providing information and seeking comments through the HRM website, signage posted on the subject site, letters mailed to property owners within the 500ft notification area and a public information meeting held on Monday, January 15, 2018 from 7pm-8pm, at which 43 people attended. Attachment C contains a copy of the minutes from the meeting. The public comments received include the following topics:

- Building height and design impacting views from residential property.
- Amount of landscaping and screening from residential properties.
- Support for the proposed use by many, however at least one attendee mentioned not being in support of the re-zoning due to worry regarding safety of residents surrounding the proposed use.

A public hearing must be held by Harbour East Marine Drive Community Council before they can consider approval of the proposed LUB amendment. Should Community Council decide to proceed with a public hearing on this application, in addition to the published newspaper advertisements, property owners within the notification area shown on Map 2 will be notified of the hearing by regular mail. The HRM website will also be updated to indicate notice of the public hearing. The proposal will potentially impact local residents and property owners.

DISCUSSION

Staff have reviewed the proposal relative to all relevant policies and advise that it is reasonably consistent with the intent of the MPS. Attachment A contains the proposed LUB amendment that would rezone the subject site to the S (Institutional) Zone. The Attachment also outlines smaller portions of land to be rezoned from the R-3 Zone to the R-1 Zone, and from the R-1 Zone to the R-3 Zone to better align the property boundaries with the zoning boundaries.

Attachment B provides an evaluation of the proposed rezoning in relation to relevant MPS policies. Of the matters reviewed to satisfy the MPS criteria, the following have been identified for more detailed discussion:

Compatibility with Existing Neighborhood

The surrounding neighborhood consists of a mix of single family residential, commercial (retail/restaurant), and institutional uses with two churches located within three blocks of the property. Temporary emergency housing provides a community service and serves as an institutional use which is not currently present, but is consistent with other local institutional uses.

The building is proposed at 3 storeys (38 feet) which is less than the maximum permitted height of 45 feet, as per Schedule AF of the Dartmouth Land Use Bylaw (LUB). The proposed building provides an appropriate transition from the larger scale commercial properties along Main Street, to the single family residential neighborhood located adjacent to the property.

There has been extensive engagement with the proponents on this file to date and staff are highly confident the proponents intend to carry out the development in accordance with the building plans as described in this report. However, Council should note the rezoning process does not allow approval to be granted subject to a particular building design. Should the proposed rezoning be approved, any alternate building configurations that meet the new zoning requirements would be entitled to a Development Permit.

Council should be aware the proposed building requires a variance from the front yard setback requirements in the Institutional Zone. This variance is required to:

• provide maximum separation from the existing low density residential uses to the south and east

- make efficient use of a site that is constrained by an awkward lot configuration; and,
- accommodate unfavourable topographic conditions.

The variance process is not directly related to the proposed rezoning and does not require any action by Council at this time.

Comparison of R-3 Zone and the S Zone

The R-3 Zone permits a range of uses including R-1, R-2, townhouse uses, apartment buildings (by development agreement), lodging houses, a group home (not more than 12 residents), and a day care facility (within the Main Street Designation).

If re-zoned, the Institutional Zone permits the P (Park) Zone uses which includes: sports clubs, either public or private, public or private community purpose buildings, hospitals, schools, colleges, universities, masteries, libraries, museums, art galleries, and other institutions of a similar nature, health clinics, long term care facilities, day nurseries, and places of worship and associated halls.

The proposed institutional building will provide temporary housing, as well as a health clinic, and counselling services. The proposed use is considered of a smaller institutional scale use; however, it is important to note the array of uses permitted in the Institutional Zone.

Conclusion

Staff have reviewed the proposal in terms of all relevant policy criteria and advise that the proposal is reasonably consistent with the intent of the MPS. The proposed rezoning to the S (Institutional) Zone is consistent with the uses and scale of the neighborhood and contributes to an appropriate transition from the Main Street commercial to the surrounding low-density residential neighbourhood. The proposed rezoning to the R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone from the R-3 (Multiple Family Residential- Medium Density) Zone is consistent with the existing church use at 9 Veterans Avenue, and prevents a residual piece of R-3 zoned land from remaining. Therefore, staff recommend that the Harbour East Marine Drive Community Council approve the proposed LUB amendment as set out in Attachment A.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no budget implications. The applicant will be responsible for all costs, expenses, liabilities and obligations incurred. The administration of the land use bylaw amendment can be carried out within the approved 2017/2018 C310 Planning Applications budget and with existing resources.

RISK CONSIDERATION

There are no significant risks associated with the recommendations contained within this report. This application may be considered under existing MPS policies. Community Council has the discretion to make decisions that are consistent with the MPS, and such decisions may be appealed to the N.S. Utility and Review Board. Information concerning risks and other implications of adopting the proposed LUB amendment are contained within the Discussion section of this report.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

No environmental implications are identified.

ALTERNATIVES

- 1. Harbour East Marine Drive Community Council may choose to approve the proposed LUB amendment subject to modifications. Such modifications may require further discussion with the applicant and may require a supplementary report or another public hearing. A decision of Council to approve this proposed LUB amendment is appealable to the N.S. Utility & Review Board as per Section 262 of the *HRM Charter*.
- 2. Harbour East Marine Drive Community Council may choose to refuse the proposed LUB amendment, and in doing so, must provide reasons why the proposed amendment does not reasonably carry out the intent of the MPS. A decision of Council to refuse the proposed LUB amendment is appealable to the N.S. Utility & Review Board as per Section 262 of the *HRM Charter*.

ATTACHMENTS

Мар 1: Мар 2:	Generalized Future Land Use Zoning and Notification	
Attachment A: Attachment B: Attachment C:	Proposed Amendment to the Dartmouth Land Use Bylaw Review of Relevant Policies from the Dartmouth MPS and LUB PIM Minutes January 15, 2018	
A copy of this report can be obtained online at <u>halifax.ca</u> or by contacting the Office of the Municipal Clerk at 902.490.4210.		
Report Prepared by:	Brittney MacLean, Planner II, Current Planning, 902.490.7175	
Report Approved by:	ORIGINAL SIGNED	
	Maggie Holm, Principal Planner, Current Planning, 902.293.9496	





ATTACHMENT A

Proposed Amendment to the Dartmouth Land Use By-law

BE IT ENACTED by the Harbour East Marine Drive Community Council of the Halifax Regional Municipality that the Land Use By-law for Dartmouth is hereby further amended as follows:

1. The Dartmouth Zoning Map is amended by rezoning a portion of the lands identified as 9 Veterans Avenue, Dartmouth, from the R-3 (Multiple Family Residential-Medium Density) zone and the R-1 (Single Family Residential) zone to the S (Institutional) zone, and a portion of the lands from the R-3 zone to the R-1 zone, as illustrated in Schedule A attached hereto.

THIS IS TO CERTIFY that the by-law of which this is a true copy was duly passed at a duly called meeting of the Harbour East Marine Drive Community Council of Halifax Regional Municipality held on the _____ day of _____, 20__.

GIVEN under the hand of the municipal clerk and under the Corporate Seal of the said Municipality this _____day of _____, 201___.

Municipal Clerk



Attachment B - Review of Relevant Policies from the Dartmouth MPS and LUB

Commercial Po	licies – Main Street	t Designation

Policy C-60	Staff Comment
Council may, within the Main Street Designation, consider the development of similar uses on properties which abut one another, through amendments to the Land Use By-law within any sub-designation except the Neighbourhood Edge Sub-designation, to provide for the development of uses which are permitted by the zone on the abutting property within the abutting sub- designation as shown on Map 9x (Main Street Generalized Future Land Use Map).	The site abutting this property lies within the Neighborhood Edge Sub-Designation, which allows for "small institutional uses, provided that development shall be in a low-rise house form". The abutting properties are zoned NLW (Neighborhood Live-Work Zone) under the Dartmouth LUB. The NLW Zone permits S (Institutional) uses. The proposed building form at 38 feet in height is consistent with low rise housing form outlined within the Neighborhood Edge Sub-Designation. The S (Institutional) Zone requirements for building heights within the Main Street Designation are a maximum of
	45 feet as per Schedule AF of the Dartmouth LUB. The proposed use is listed under the S (Institutional) Zone, the zone applied to the adjacent properties within the Neighborhood Edge Sub-Designation. <i>Please note that Policy C-60 refers to Map 9x, which is</i> <i>incorrect. A text error was made in the Dartmouth</i>
	MPS. The Main Street Generalized Future Land Use Map is Map 9y of the Dartmouth MPS.

Policy C-54	Staff Comment
Council shall establish the Neighbourhood Edge Sub- Designation as shown on Map 9X - Generalized Future Land Use, to encourage a compatible and orderly transition between high-traffic areas and established residential neighbourhoods, and offer opportunities for single family houses, auxiliary dwelling units, townhouse-style residential blocks, low-intensity arts and crafts, personal services, accessory retail, offices, and small institutional uses, provided that development shall be in a low-rise house form.	Neighborhood Edge Sub-Designation allows for "small institutional uses, provided that development shall be in a low-rise house form". See above for staff review of Policy C-54 in relation to Policy C-60.

Implementation Policy

Policy IP-1(b) Generalized Land Use	Staff Comment
The generalized land use categories for the City shall	1. R-3 (Multiple Family Residential zone- Medium
include: (1) Residential, (2) Commercial, (3) Industrial,	Density) to S (Institutional) zone:
(4) Park and Open Space, (5) Institutional. (Deleted-RC-	
Jul 11/00;E-Sep 2/00)	

"Local Institutional" and "City/Regional Institutional"
uses are permitted in all sub-designations of the plan
per Table 4b.
2. R-1 (Single Family Residential) zone to S
(Institutional) zone:
<u></u>
Policy IP-1(b) allows the consideration of local
institutional land uses in the Residential designation
as per Table 4.
3. R-3 (Multiple Family Residential zone- Medium
Density) to R-1 (Single Family Residential) zone:
Density) to K-1 (Single Panniy Residential) zone.
Policy ID 1/b) allows the consideration of single
Policy IP-1(b) allows the consideration of single
family residential land uses within the Residential
designation as per Table 4.
Staff feel the proposal is in conformance with Policy
C-60 of the Dartmouth MPS as discussed above.
The surrounding neighborhood consists of a mix of
single family residential, commercial
(retail/restaurant), and institutional use- with two
churches located within three blocks of the property.
Temporary housing provides a community service
and serves as an institutional use which is not
currently present in the neighborhood, but which is

	consistent with other institutional uses in the neighborhood.
	The building bulk is proposed at 3 stories (38 feet) which is less than the required maximum height under Sched AF of the Dartmouth LUB. The building provides an appropriate transition from the larger scale commercial along Main Street, to the single family residential neighborhood located adjacent to the property.
(3) provisions for buffering, landscaping, screening, and access control to reduce potential incompatibilities with adjacent land uses and traffic arteries;	Landscaping requirements are required under the S Zone, Section 45(2)(d) which requires the first ten (10) feet bordering the road right-of-way to be fully landscaped, except where driveway or pedestrian access points are required. Additionally, Part 2 General Provisions of the Dartmouth LUB lists additional landscaping requirements including a grassed area with a minimum depth of twenty (20) feet along the entire length of the adjacent property line. The grassed landscaped area may be reduced to ten (10) feet where an opaque fence of at least six (6) feet in height is provided along the common property boundary.
	The site has been proposed to meet the landscaping provisions of the Dartmouth LUB (above), with landscaping around the periphery of the site designed to screen the residential properties from the proposed institutional building.
	The current site is accessed via curb cuts on Tacoma Drive and Veterans Ave. The site is now proposed to be accessed from Tacoma Drive only, to reduce any land use/traffic incompatibilities.
 (4) that the proposal is not premature or inappropriate by reason of: (i) the financial capability of the City is to absorb any costs relating to the development 	There are no costs of this proposal which must be absorbed by the municipality.
(ii) the adequacy of sewer and water services and public utilities	The site is serviced by municipal sewer and water services.
(iii) the adequacy and proximity of schools, recreation and other public facilities	There are a number of schools within the neighborhood, including Admiral Westphal Elementary School, Brookhouse Elementary School, Caledonia Junior High School, Ellenvale Junior High School, and Prince Andrew High School, as well as two Conseil Scolaire Acadien Provinvial (CSAP) Schools: Ecole Bois-Jolie et Ecole du Carrefour. The site is also in proximity to Tacoma/Westphal

	Shopping Centre as well as Main Street commercial shops, which include grocery, pharmacy and doctor clinic access.
(iv) the adequacy of transportation networks in adjacent to or leading to the development	The Tacoma/Westphal shopping centre is a major transit stop serviced by four Metro Transit routes including routes no.10 and no.72 which provide access to the Bridge Terminal and Downtown Halifax. The site has access to Main Street and onto the Circumferential highway. HRM Engineering concluded that the TIS submitted was found acceptable.
(v) existing or potential dangers for the	The proposal shows signs that would lead to
contamination of water bodies or courses or the	negative effects to waterbodies or watercourses.
creation of erosion or sedimentation of such areas	There are no waterbodies or watercourses in
	proximity of the site.
(vi) preventing public access to the shorelines or the waterfront	N/A
(vii) the presence of natural, historical features, buildings or sites	N/A
(viii) create a scattered development pattern	N/A
requiring extensions to truck facilities and public	
services while other such facilities remain under utilized	
(ix) the detrimental economic or social effect that it may have on other areas of the City.	N/A
(5) that the proposal is not an obnoxious use;	The use is not considered obnoxious.
 (6) that controls by way of agreements or other legal devices are placed on proposed developments to ensure compliance with approved plans and coordination between adjacent or near by land uses and public facilities. Such controls may relate to, but are not limited to, the following: (i) type of use, density, and phasing 	The application is for a re-zoning to the S (Institutional) Zone under the Dartmouth LUB. The LUB regulates the permitted uses under Part 9, Section 45(1) to: "(a) P uses as herein set out; (b) sports clubs, either public or private; (c) public or private community purpose buildings; (d) hospitals, schools, colleges, universities, masteries, libraries, museums, art galleries, and other institutions of a similar nature. (deleted: HECC-Dec 4/08; E-Dec 27/08) (e) health clinics; (f) long term care facilities; (g) day nurseries; (h) places of worship and associated halls; and
(ii) emissions including air, water, noise	(i) uses accessory to any of the foregoing uses." There are no such emissions from the proposed
	institutional use.
(iii) traffic generation, access to and egress from the	HRM Engineering found that the TIS submitted was
site, and parking	acceptable. The TIS relays that the proposed access
	to the site is existing, and that there is no cross

	connection to the Adjacent St. Luke's Anglican
	Church to Veterans Ave. The number of trips
	generated would be 11 during AM peak hour, and 13
	at PM peak hour.
(iv) open storage and landscaping	There is no open storage proposed. Landscaping requirements are required under the S Zone, Section 45(2)(d) which requires the first ten (10) feet bordering the road right-of-way to be fully landscaped, except where driveway or pedestrian access points are required. Additionally, Part 2
	General Provisions of the Dartmouth LUB lists additional landscaping requirements including a grassed area with a minimum depth of twenty (20) feet along the entire length of the adjacent property line. The grassed landscaped area may be reduced to ten (10) feet where an opaque fence of at least six (6) feet in height is provided along the common property boundary.
(v) provisions for pedestrian movement and safety	Part 2 General Provisions of the Dartmouth LUB lists minimum requirements for parking lots under Section 15, which requires curbs or other appropriate methods of delineating shall be provided to ensure safety between varying vehicular movements and vehicular and pedestrian movements;
(vi) management of open space, parks, walkways	There are no LUB requirements for open space/parks/walkways for institutional use in the S Zone, however an outdoor playground and open
(vii) drainage both natural and sub-surface and oil- stability	space are provided as part of the proposal. N/A
(viii) performance bonds.	N/A
 (7) suitability of the proposed site in terms of steepness of slope, soil conditions, rock out-cropping's, location of watercourses, marshes, swamps, bogs, areas subject to flooding, proximity to major highways, ramps, railroads, or other nuisance factors; 	The subject property is located on a slight rise of land, and located above Tacoma Drive. There is an existing retaining wall along the property line at the sidewalk, however any new building would need to meet engineering requirements when building permits were applied for.
(8) that in addition to the public hearing requirements as set out in the Planning Act and City by-laws, all applications for amendments may be aired to the public via the "voluntary" public hearing process established by City Council for the purposes of information exchange between the applicant and residents. This voluntary meeting allows the residents to clearly understand the proposal previous to the formal public hearing before City Council;	N/A

 (9) that in addition to the foregoing, all zoning	The applicant has provided a site plan and proposed
amendments are prepared in sufficient detail to provide: (i) Council with a clear indication of the nature of	building elevations which clearly illustrate the
proposed development, and	proposed new development.
(ii) permit staff to assess and determine the impact such development would have on the land and the surrounding community.	The above noted information has been shared with Development and Engineering services, and no issues have been identified. Additionally, planning staff have not identified any relevant impacts caused by the rezoning on the surrounding community.

HALIFAX REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY Public Information Meeting Case 21490

The following does not represent a verbatim record of the proceedings of this meeting.

	Monday, January 15, 2018 7:00 p.m. St. Luke's Anglican Church Hall - 9 Veterans Avenue, Dartmouth, NS
STAFF IN ATTENDANCE:	Brittney MacLean, Planner, HRM Planning Maggie Holm, Principle Planner Steve Higgins, Acting Manager, Current Planning Holly Kent, Planning Technician, HRM Planning Tara Couvrette, Planning Controller, HRM Planning
ALSO IN ATTENDANCE:	Councillor, Tony Mancini, District 6 Deputy Mayor Waye Mason, Disctrict 7 MLA, Tim Halman Reverend, Matthew Sponagle – St. Like's Anglican Church Tom Emodi, TEAL Architects & Planners – Applicant Ross Grant, TEAL Architects & Planners – Applicant Carolyn Caskey, TEAL Architects & Planners – Applicant Kenda Riles, Halifax Transition House Association
	Approximately 12

ATTENDANCE: Approximately: 43

The meeting commenced at approximately 7:03 p.m.

Call to order, purpose of meeting – Brittney MacLean

Ms. MacLean introduced herself as the Planner and Facilitator for the application. They also introduced; Councillor Tony Mancini, Tara Couvrette – Planning Controller, Holly Kent - Planning Technician, Reverend, Matthew Sponagle – St. Luke's Anglican Church, Tom Emodi, TEAL Architects & Planners – Applicant, Ross Grant, TEAL Architects & Planners – Applicant, Carolyn Caskey, TEAL Architects & Planners – Applicant, Kenda Riles, Halifax Transition House Association and Tim Halman, MLA.

Case 21490 - Application by Teal Architects and Planners, on behalf of the property owner, to rezone a portion of the lands located at 9 Veterans Avenue, Dartmouth from R-3 (Multiple Family Residential) zone to the S (Institutional) zone.

Ms. MacLean explained; the purpose of the Public Information Meeting (PIM) is: a) to identify that HRM has received a proposal for the site; b) to provide information on the project; c) to explain the Planning Policies and the stages of the Planning Process; d) an opportunity for Staff to receive public feedback regarding the proposal. No decisions are made at this PIM.

1. Presentation of Proposal – Ms. MacLean

Ms. MacLean provided a brief introduction to the application and then made a presentation to the public outlining the purpose of the meeting, status of the application and the applicants request. Ms. MacLean outlined the context of the subject lands and the relevant planning policies.

1b. Presentation by Reverend Matthew Sponagle – St. Like's Anglican Church

Reverend Sponagle provided some history on the church and the property and explained why the church is involved with this application.

1c. Presentation by Kenda Riles, Halifax Transition House Association

Ms. Riles explained why they need a new location and current condition of their location in Halifax. Ms. Riles also explained the community impact and the programs/services they offer.

1d. Ross Grant and Carolyn Caskey, TEAL Architects & Planners – Applicant

Mr. Grant explained why they decided on that site. They explained their goal is to design and construct a 24-bed shelter with the programs that Ms. Riles outlined.

Ms. Caskey spoke about the design of the building and the landscape.

2. Questions and Comments

Gary Spears, Stevens Rd. – Mr. Spears has concerns over the height of the building and the views from their property. Mr. Spears would like to know why they couldn't go from Tacoma Drive and build into the ground rather than have a three storey building on the site as it sits now. They feel it will decrease the value of their property. **Ms. MacLean** explained they would need to meet the height requirement for the zone. **Mr. Emodi** from Teal explained the reason they couldn't go any further into the ground was because the cost to excavate is very expensive. They were able to bring it down as far as they could, excavate about 12 feet, and still meet the projects budget which means from his location they should only see the top two storeys of the building.

Dave Stevens, Veterans Ave – Wanted to know if this was totally funded by Federal and Provincial funds. **Mr. Grant** explained the funding comes from Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation (CMHC) which is a Federally funded program and the funding is being administered by Housing NS. **Mr. Stevens** stated the reason why they ask is because there was four hundred thousand spent repairing the old building and it is still in disrepair. Are they going to keep this building in top shape so it looks good in the community or will it be rundown? They wouldn't want to see it bring the look of the neighbourhood down because of ill repair. They stated they are not against the building coming here, they just don't want something coming in and not being kept up. They also wanted to know if there is going to be a thru fare from this location to the church. **Ms. MacLean** explained there is going to be a fence around this location so there will be no thru traffic from this location to the church. **Mr. Stevens** asked what the exterior of the building was going to be. **Mr. Grant** explained they haven't got to the point yet of knowing what the materials would be. **Ms. Riles** explained that even though the inside of the current shelter is in disrepair the outside looks fine and you would never know the inside looked like that. They have never had a complaint about the property.

Steve Milk wanted to know if this does get approved how long will it take to complete the project. **Mr. Grant** stated it would take about 18 months.

Graziella Grbae, Main St – stated what they have to say is not for or against the project. They stated they are with the Village on Main Community Improvement District and is confused about the issue because their understanding is the property is zoned R3 and it can be three storeys which means this building should be able to be built as of right with no public engagement. Ms. MacLean stated that for an apartment building there would be public engagement. For anything more than 2 residential units must be considered under a development agreement. Ms.MacLean later corrected herself, and stated to everyone that under the Main Street area in the Land Use By-law R-3 Zone, an apartment building can be built as-of-right through the permitting process without a development agreement or planning application, and with no public engagement.

Lawrence Roche, Verterans Ave - feels they live in a great neighbourhood with lots of amenities and transit close by. They feel there should be space made for everybody in the community and would like to see this project go ahead.

3. Closing Comments

Ms. MacLean thanked everyone for coming and expressing their comments.

4. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at approximately 7:53 p.m.